for this data. Generation follows comprehension because generation cannot occur until comprehension learning adds words to the dictionary. Length of utterance Inoreases because the number of words available to express a concept increases during comprension learning. Knowledge of language meaning precedes knowledge of language syntax because syntax is indexed under word meanings and hence cannot be learned before the word meaning. Misunderstanding of utterances whose correct interpretation is not the semantically most probable occurs because children use théir knowledge or probable meanings to augment gape in their understanding. Finally, misunderstanding of utterances whose syntax suggests an interpretation different from) the semantically most likely interpretation occurs because knowledge of syntax is learned after knowledge of meaning. This error is made when enough knowledge of aeaning has been acquired to produce an interpretation, but not enough syntax has been learned to produce a particle. tax has been learned to produce a correct interpretation.

There are certainly aany other factors in child language acquisition which have not been considered here, but this paper offers support for the seaantically-indexed syntax hypothesis and the comprehension-driven generation hypothesis as components of a complete model of child language acquisition. Further research must address questions posed by the data of more advanced child language acquisition, which could support other methods of indexing syntactic knowledge and other possible relationships between comprehension and generation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. Roger Sohank's assistance in this work was invaluable. Dr. Richard Cullingford has made many valuable suggestions, and Dr. Katharine Nelson has provided many insights into problems of modelling child language learning. Peter Selfridge, Larry Birnbaum, Marie Bienkowskl and Jamie Callan have contributed both ideas and criticisms.

REFERENCES

- [1] Benedict, H. (1976). Unjuimm. SwWImMfllvn itt m to 16. Month-old Infants.. (thesis) Department of Psychology, Tale University, New Hsven, Connecticut.
- [2] Birnbaum, L., and Selfridge, M. (1981). in Inside artificial Intelligence: Five Programs plus Miniatures. Sohank R. and Riesbeck C.K. (eds.), Lawrence Earlbaua Associates, Hillsdale, NJ.
- [3] Bloom, L., 1973. One *word at a Time* Mouton, The Hague.
- [4] Bruner, J.S., Qoodnow, J. J., and Austin, G.A., (1956). A Study of <u>Thinking</u>. John Wiley and Sons, New Tork
- [5] Clark, I.?. and Clark, H.H., (1978). Psychology and JkIMUltt, Har court Brace Jovanovioh.

- [6] CullIngford, R.E., Krueger, M.V., and Sel-fridge, M. (1981) A Ploture and a Thousand Words: Automated Explanations as a Component of a Computer-Aided Design System. Forthcoming.
- [7] Halliday, M.A.K., (1975). <u>Learning</u> How to Mean Explorations the Development of Languages Edward Arnold, London.
- [8] Hoodenraad, R., Grieve, R., Baldwin, P., and Campbell, R. (1976). Comprehension as an Interactive Process. In'R. N. Campell and P. T. Smith (eds.) Recent <u>Advances</u> in the Psychology p/<u>Language</u>., Plenum Press, New York
- [9] Sohank, R. C., (1973)- Identification of Conceptualisations Underlying Natural Language. In R. C. Schank and K. N. Colby (eds.) Computer Models of Thought and Language W.H. Freeman and Co., San Franalsoo.
- [10] Selfridge, M. (1980). A Prooess Model of Language Acquisition. Computer Science Technical Report 172, Yale University, New Haven, Ct.
- [11] Strohrer, H. and Nelaon, K.E., (1974). The Young Child's Development of Sentence Comprehension: Influence of Event Probability, Non-verbal Context, Syntaotic Form, and Strategies. Child Day.. 45:567*576
- [12] Westone, H. and Friedlander, (1973). The Effect of Word Order on Young Children's Responses to Simple Questions and Commands. Child Day. 44:734-740
- [13] Winston, P. (1975). Learning Structural Descriptions from Examples. In PH. Winston (ed.) The Pavohology of Computer Vision. McGraw-Hill, New York.