SURVIVAL OF GRAND UNIFIED MONOPOLES

P.A. Horvathy^{*} J.H. Rawnsley Math. Inst., Warwick University.

Abstract

If a Grand Unified Theory with a compact unifying group G is spontaneously broken to H, magnetic monopoles are created. The fate of such an H-monopole under a subsequent breaking to K c H is shown to depend on the behaviour of its non-Abelian charge Q introduced by Goddard, Nuyts, Olive: if Q belongs to the Lie algebra k of K, the monopole survives: if Q can be Hrotated to k, it can be converted. A necessary condition for an H-monopole to survive is that its Higgs charge satisfy a topological constraint.

CNRS-CPT-84/PE.1661

SEPTEMBER 1984

* Centre de Physique Théorique, C.N.R.S., Marseille, France Laboratoire Propre du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

* Address from October 1st, 1984 : Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies 10 Burlington Road, DUBLIN 4 (Eire) In Grand Unified Gauge Theories (GUT's) magnetic monopoles arise naturally as everywhere-regular, finite-energy, static, purely--magnetic solutions to the coupled Yang-Mills-Higgs (YMH) equations. [1]. What happens to these monopoles if the symmetry is broken subsequently to smaller and smaller subgroups? This question has been asked and partially answered before [2-4]. Our approach is hoped to be more direct and it allows us to derive the previous knowledge as a consequence.

Let us consider a GUT with a compact, connected and simply connected unifying group G. At some energy scale the G-symmetry is broken to a closed subgroup H of G by the v.e.v. of a Higgs field ϕ (transforming according to some representation $\phi + g \cdot \phi$, $g \in G$ of G). The field equations admit magnetic monopole solutions [1], These solutions satisfy boundary conditions: on S^2 , the "2sphere at infinity", (i) ϕ takes its values in an orbit $G \cdot \phi_0 = G/H$; (ii) ϕ is parallel, $D_j \phi = 0$ j = 1,2,3. It follows from (ii) that on S^2 the YMH equations decouple and the YM potential A_j satisfies the pure YM equation

(1) $D_j F^{ij} = 0$ Vj.

J

The point is that any solution of (1) is characterized by a vector Q in h, the Lie algebra of H , called the "non-Abelian magnetic

- 1 -

charge" [5,6]: there exist gauges over $U_{\downarrow} = S^2 \setminus \{\text{south pole}\}$ and $U_{\perp} = S^2 \setminus \{\text{north pole}\}\$ respectively such that (1) is solved by

(2)
$$A_{\mu}^{\pm} = 0$$
, $A_{\theta}^{\pm} = 0$, $A_{\phi}^{\pm} = \pm Q(1 + \cos \theta)$

for some fixed $Q \in h$. For a given solution Q is unique up to a constant global gauge transformation. In particular, it can always be rotated to any given Cartan algebra of h. Q must be *quantized*

(3)
$$exp 4\pi Q = 1$$
.

(i) implies that ϕ defines a class $[\phi]$ in $\pi_2(G/H)$ which we call its Higgs charge. The Higgs fields are gauge-related if and only if they have the same Higgs charge. $\delta_H:\pi_2(G/H) \rightarrow \pi_1(H)$ is an isomorphism since G is simply connected. $\delta_H[\phi]$ is represented by the loop

(4) $\gamma(t) = \exp 4\pi Qt$ $0 \le t \le 1$

To have topological non-triviality, we require $[\phi] \neq 0$.

Conversely, for any quantized $Q \in h$, (2) provides us with a solution of (1) which can be extended to a YMH solution on S² simply

- 2 -

by putting $\phi = \phi_0$ in U_{\pm} . Observe that this is a well-defined Higgs field since Q < h means that $Q \cdot \phi_0 = 0$, and so $\phi_0 = \exp 2Q_{\Psi} \cdot \phi_0$ in $U_{\pm} \cap U_{\pm}$. The solution (A_{j}, ϕ) constructed in this way is interpreted as an H-monopole (i.e. a monopole created in the "phase" when the symmetry group is H) assuming $[\phi] \in \pi_p(G/H)$ is non zero.

Let us now assume that the symmetry is broken spontaneously to a closed subgroup K of H. In the K "phase" monopoles are pairs (A_{j},X) , where X is a new Higgs field engineering the symmetry breaking. In particular, $X:S^2 + G/K$ where K is the stability subgroup of a X_0 in a suitable representation; X must be parallel, and $\pi_2(G/H) \ge [X] \ne 0$. The theory above tells us that any K-monopole is characterized by a K-magnetic charge $Q' \in k$ (the Lie algebra of K).

Let us now consider an H-monopole associated to a $Q \in h$. This same Q defines a K-monopole as well as soon as

(5) $Q \in k$ and $\pi_1(K) \ni [exp 4\pi Qt]_K \neq 0$.

Saying that an H-monopole survives means hence that its Kand H magnetic charges are in fact <u>the same vector</u> Q.

Observe that for surviving monopoles $\delta_{H}[\phi]$ and $\delta_{K}[X]$ are represented by the same loop (4), so, if $i: K \hookrightarrow H$ is the inclusion,

- 3 -

(6a)
$$\delta_{\mu}[\phi] = i_{\pm} \delta_{\mu}[\chi]$$

1

where $i_*:\pi_1(K) \rightarrow \pi_1(H)$ is the homomorphism induced by i. Alternatively, the natural projection σ : G/K \rightarrow G/H induces $\sigma_*:\pi_2(G/K) \rightarrow \pi_2(G/H)$ and (6a) means

(6b)
$$[\phi] = \sigma_{*}[X]$$

Consequently, an H-monopole can survive only if

(7)
$$[\phi] \in \operatorname{Im} \sigma_{\pm}$$
 i.e. $\delta_{\mu}[\phi] \in \operatorname{Im} i_{\pm}$

Let us now assume that (7) holds. Then $[\exp 4\pi Qt]_K \neq 0$ automatically. If there exists an $h \in H$ such that $h Q h^{-1} \in k$ and this may or may not be the case depending on how the Cartan algebra of k is related to that of k (see examples below) - then the monopole can save itself by reorienting somehow its field's direction and so <u>survive converted</u> [4]. But <u>if (7) is violated it must disappear</u>. The proposed scenario for this is confinement in a flux tube [3,4]. Observe that (7) depends only on how the generators of $\pi_1(K)$ sit in H. In particular, if they are contractible in H, <u>Im i_x = 0</u> and no <u>H-monopole</u> can <u>survive</u>. Those K-monopoles whose <u>K-charges are in Ker</u> i_x.

- 4 -

cannot be surviving H-monopoles. They are hence <u>newly created</u>, light monopoles.

An important special case is when $\pi_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$. $\pi_1(K)$ has then a generator of the form $\gamma_0(t) = \exp 2\pi \zeta t$, $0 \le t \le 1$. (7) requires $[\phi] = r \cdot [\gamma_0]$ for some integer r. If furthermore, Q and $r \cdot \zeta/2$ are conjugate then the monopole can be converted by reorienting its field. If $Q = r\zeta/2$, then the monopole survives unchanged.

In some cases, these relations can be translated into numbers. For example, if ϕ is in the adjoint representation of G, then $\pi_1(H) \approx ZZ^p$ where p is the dimension of Z(h), the centre of the Lie algebra h. [ϕ] is then simply a p-tuple of integers, $\phi = (m_1, \dots, m_p)$ [7]. On the other hand, γ_0 above has (k_1, \dots, k_p) as homotopy class in $\pi_1(H)$, so (7) means

(8) $m_i = r \cdot k_i$, $j = 1, \dots, p$ for some integer r.

þ,

For example, if K is a U(1) subgroup, γ_0 is K itself; if K is a U(3) subgroup - locally SU(3)_c × U(1)_{em} - then

- 5 -

 $\varsigma = i$ (diag(0,0, $\sqrt{-1}$)) is a generator for $\pi_1(K)$. (i is the inclusion i; $k \approx su(3) \times u(1) \leftrightarrow k$ of Lie algebras).

If $k \in Z(k)$ and Q is not in Z(k), then no conjugate of Q belongs to Z(k), and so no such H-monopole can survive, not even converted. For example, if $h = su(2)_{W} + u(1)_{Y}$, $k = z(k) = u(1)_{em}$, then only pure electromagnetic monopoles can survive the transition H + K [2].

We just mention that the formulae above have a nice geometric interpretation. YM fields are connection forms on principal bundles. Spontaneous symmetry breaking means bundle reduction. Higgs charges classify the principal bundles over S^2 , so (7) means that H-bundle reduces to a K-bundle. (ii) is the condition for the connection to reduce. (2) - the content of the theorem of Goddard, Nuyts and Olive [5,6] - is expressed by saying that monopoles have 1-dimensional holonomy generated by Q. If an H-bundle reduces to a K-bundle, the H-connection reduces to a K-connection if and only if k contains the holonomy, i.e. (5). Full details are given in [9].

. 6 -

As a first illustration, let us consider <u>G = SU(3)</u> broken to <u>H = U(2)</u> by a Higgs <u>8</u> ϕ . The base point is $\phi_0 = (\lambda \sqrt{-1})$ diag (1,1,-2), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The non-Abelian charge Q reads, after diagonalization,

(9)
$$Q = \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{diag}(n_1, n_2 - n_1, -n_2) - \tilde{i}$$

where n, n_1 , n_2 are integers and n_1 , n_2 have no common divisor. The form (9) is unique if we require $2n_1 \ge n_2 \ge n_1/2$. The U(2)-Higgs charge is then $m = n \cdot n_2$.

Let K be a U(1) subgroup K = exp $2\pi\zeta t$, $\zeta \in U(2)$. ζ can be diagonalized by a suitable U(2)-conjugation:

(10)
$$hch^{-1} = \sqrt{-1} dtag(k_1, k_2-k_1, -k_2)$$

The integers k_1 , k_2 here have no common divisor; they are unique if $2k_1 \ge k_2$ $2k_2 \ge k_1$. Conjugate vectors generate homotopic loops, so $[K]_{U(2)} = k_2$.

(7) requires hence $m = rk_2$ for some r. In particular [8], if $k_2 = 0$ all H-monopoles must disappear when the symmetry breaks down to K (if $k_2 = 2$, m must be even, etc.

It is easy to see that Q and some half-integer multiple of (10) are U(2)-conjugate if and only if $n_2 = k_2 \text{ and } n_1 = k_1$ provided $2k_4 > k_2$.*

* If $\mathcal{R}_1 = k_2$, ζ is in the centre and so ζ and Q cannot be conjugate unless they are equal, which is the third case. Otherwise, the monopole is again confined in a flux tube [2].

- 7 -

In this case the monopole can be <u>converted</u> by reorienting its field's direction.

Finally, the H-monopole <u>survives unchanged</u> if Q and $r^{5/2}$ are not only conjugate but <u>actually equal</u>: r = n, $n_1 = k_1$ and $n_2 = k_2$ where now K itself is diagonal, and has the form (10).

If we choose instead $\phi_0 = \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag} (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ as base point, the residual symmetry group is U(1) × U(1), so $\pi_1(H) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. If we choose $n_1 = \sqrt{-1}(1, -1, 0)$ and $n_2 = \sqrt{-1}(0, 1, -1)$ as generators for 6, the Higgs charge of the monopole defined by Q in (9) is $[\phi] = (nn_1, nn_2)$.

 $H = U(1) \times U(1)$ is Abelian, so any subgroup K is already of the form (10). (7) requires $n_1 = k_1$ and $n_2 = k_2$, so $Q \in k$. Hence all monopoles satisfying the topological constraint survive unchanged, while all others are destroyed.

As a second example let us consider <u>G = SU(3)</u> and ϕ transforming according to the representation <u>6</u> [413] The representation space consists of 3 × 3 symmetric matrices with SU(3) acting as $\phi + g \cdot \phi = g \phi g^T$. If we choose $\phi_0 = \mathbf{1}_3 = \text{diag}(1,1,1)$ as base point, we get <u>H = SO(3)</u> as unbrokensymmetry group. H is generated in fact by the Gell-Mann matrices

$$\lambda_7 = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad -\lambda_5 = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (11)$$

Let us choose our Cartan algebra T to contain λ_2 . The non-Abelian magnetic change, after rotation to T, reads

(12)
$$Q = m\lambda_2$$
, m an integer.

 $\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$; the Higgs charge of the SO(3)-monopole defined by (12) is $[\phi]_{SO(3)} = m \pmod{2}$. So we get topologically non-trivial solutions only for m odd.

Let us consider a U(1) subgroup K = exp $2\pi\xi \in H \in SU(3)$. ξ can be brought to T by a suitable SO(3)-rotation. $\xi + h\xi h^{-1} = \zeta \in T$ for some h ϵ H. ζ is a minimal generator since ξ is minimal. Hence $\xi = \pm \lambda_2$. Consequently $[K]_{SO(3)} = [exp <math>2\pi\zeta t]_{SO(3)} = 1 \pmod{2}$. It follows that all topologically non-trivial (m odd) H-monopoles satisfy the topological constraint (7). $i_{\pm} : \mathbb{Z} \ni m \to m \pmod{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ so the light, newly created K = U(1) monopoles are <u>evenly charged</u> [4].

Let us assume m odd in (12). Q can be $H \approx SO(3)$ -rotated to $k \approx R.\xi$, so all odd-charged SO(3)-monopoles can save themselves by reorienting their fields and hence be converted. Their new U(1) charge

- 9 -

will be simply m. They survive however <u>unchanged</u> if Q and ξ are actually <u>parallel</u>, i.e. $\xi = \pm \lambda_2$.

Let us consider the prototype GUT based on G = SU(5) [10,11]. The SU(5) symmetry is broken first by a Higgs $\frac{24}{4}$ (adjoint) ϕ . If $\phi_0 = v\sqrt{-1}$ diag (1,1,1, -3/2, -3/2), we get H = S(U(3) × U(2)) = [SU(3)_C × SU(2)_W × U(1)_Y]/Z₆ of those matrices $\left[\frac{A!}{1B}\right]$ A ϵ U(3), B ϵ U(2) det A. det B = 1.

(13)
$$Q = \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag} (n_1, n_2, -n_1, n_3 - n_2, n_1 - n_3, -n_4)$$

where n, n₁, n₂, n₃, n₄ are integers, the last four has no common divisor, and $2n_1 \ge n_2 \ge n_3/2 \ge n_4/4$, $\pi_1(H) \simeq Z$. The Higgs charge of the monopole which corresponds to Q is calculated as [7, 12],

(14)
$$m = [\phi] = \frac{tr_3}{\sqrt{-1}}$$
 (20) = n.n₃

(trace on the first 3 entries).

At a lower energy scale H is further broken to $K = U(3) = SU(3)_{c} \otimes U(1)_{em}^{*}$ by $X = (\phi, \psi)$, where ψ is in 5, having base point v(0,0,0,1).

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ det A^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, A \in U(3).$$

* @ means local product, $U(3) = SU(3)_{c} \times U(1)_{em}/\mathbb{Z}_{3}$.

- 10 -

!

A generator of $\pi_1(K)$ is found as

(15)
$$\zeta = \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag}(0, 0, 1, -1, 0)$$

so $[K]_{\mu} = tr_3(\zeta)/\sqrt{-1} = 1$.

Im $i_{\pm} = Z$, the <u>topology constraint is always satisfied</u>. Q as given in (13) can belong to k if and only if $n_4 = 0$. If so, the H-monopole survives unchanged and has K-charge [X] = Tr (20)/ $\sqrt{-1} = nn_2 = m$.

Q ϵ H can be H-rotated into k = u(3) if and only if $n_3 = n_4$. If so, the H monopole reorients its field and survives thus converted. No new monopoles are now produced when the symmetry breaks to K since i_* is <u>injective</u>, Ker $i_* = 0$.

In some versions of the SU(5) theory [10] the base point in the first breaking is rather $\phi_0 = v\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag} (1, 1, 1, -3/2 + \epsilon, -3/2 - \epsilon)$ leading to H' = S(U(3) × U(1) × U(1)) = U(3) × U(1) as residual symmetry group. $\pi_1(H) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ now. One can choose $\zeta_1 = \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag} (0, 0, 1, -1, 0)$ and $\zeta_2 = \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{diag} (0, 0, 0, 1, -1)$ as generators for $\pi_1(H')$. We have two Higgs charges, m_1 and m_2 . Q as given by (13) belongs to h'. The charges of the corresponding monopole are found as

(16)
$$m_{1} = \frac{tr_{3}}{\sqrt{-1}} (20) = nn_{3}$$
$$m_{2} = \frac{tr_{4}}{\sqrt{-1}} (20) = nn_{4}$$

K = U(3) as above 1s a subgroup of H'; its <u>two</u> Higgs charges in $\pi_1(H')$ are

(17)
$$k_1 = \frac{tr_3}{\sqrt{-1}}(z) = 1 \text{ and } k_2 = \frac{tr}{\sqrt{-1}}(z) = 0.$$

Consequently Im 1, is generated by $(1,0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and so only such H'-monopoles satisfy the <u>topological</u> constraint (7) which have no <u>m_2-charge</u>, i.e. $n_4 = 0$. Those with $n_4 \neq 0$ are <u>destroyed</u> under the transition H' \neq K. If $n_4 = 0$, Q belongs to k, so it survives unchanged. Its K-charge is $m_1 \approx nn_3$. <u>No new K-monopoles</u> are produced since i, is still injective.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Horváth, L. Palla, Phys. Lett. <u>69B</u>, (1977), 197.
- [2] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. <u>94B</u> (1980), 149;
 G. Lazarides, M. Magg. Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. 97B (1980), 87
- [3] F.A. Bais, Phys. Lett. 98B (1981), 437.

1

- [4] E. Weinberg, D. London, J.L. Rosner, Nucl. Phys. (1984) 8236, 90
- [5] P. Goddard, J. Nuyts, D. Olive, Nucl. Phys. <u>8125</u> (1977), 1.
- [6] S. Coleman, "The magnetic monopole fifty years later", in <u>The</u> <u>Unity of Fundamental Interactions</u> Ed. A. Zichichi, Plenum, New York (1983).
- [7] P.A. Horváthy, J.H. Rawnsley, "Topological charges in monopole theories," to appear in the Comm. Math. Phys.
- [8] J. Madore, Comm. Math. Phys. <u>56</u> (1977), 115.
- [9] P.A. Horváthy, J.H. Rawnsley "The color problem in non-Abelian gauge theories" (in preparation).
- [10] C.P. Dokos, T.N. Tomaras, Phys. Rev. <u>D21</u> (1980), 2940.
- [11] M. Daniel, G. Lazarides, Q. Sha^{fi}, Nucl. Phys. B170 [FS1] (1980), 156.
- [12] P.A. Horváthy, J.H. Rawnsley, "Monopole charges for arbitrary compact gauge groups and Higgs' fields in any representation", Marseille Preprint CPT-84/P. 1601 (1984).
- [13] P.Goddard, D.Olive, Rep. Prog. Phys. 41 (1978), 1357.