## **JGPT Review Writing Suggestions**

- 1. Read the manuscript carefully
- 2. If you wish, use the JGPT Reviewer 'Read along' Template as you read through the manuscript, making notes in the right-hand column as you go.
- 3. Pause to think what is your overall impression of this paper? Consider what your recommendation to the editor will be:
  - a. Accept as is
  - b. Request minor revisions
  - c. Request major revisions
  - d. Reject
- 4. Introductory paragraph:
  - a. Begin the review with an opening sentence or two that summarizes the study very concisely; this lets the author know you have actually read the paper and understand what the study found.
  - b. Include a sentence or two in which you recognize the most positive aspects of the study and/or manuscript.
  - c. Summarize the major strengths and weaknesses of the paper.
    - i. Save the details for later
  - d. Conclude this paragraph with a sentence or two to convey your recommendation to the editor.
- 5. Second paragraph focus on your MAJOR points; numbered bullet list OK.
  - a. If you recommended that the paper be rejected, focus on 3 to 5 main problems that led you to conclude that the paper should not be considered for publication.
    - i. For each problem, indicate what the problem is and if not obvious why you consider it a 'fatal flaw'.
    - ii. When appropriate, help the author find the problem to which you refer with examples from the text (with line numbers).
    - iii. When appropriate, include references that support your points.

LKA 10/09/19

- b. If you recommended that the author make major or minor revisions, in this paragraph include those problems that absolutely must be corrected for the paper to be considered further.
  - i. For each problem, indicate what the problem is and if not obvious why you consider it a 'must fix' issue.
  - ii. For each problem, specify the change you would like to see.
  - iii. Organize the problem list by manuscript section (Abstract, Introduction, Methods, etc.)
  - iv. Use line numbers to help the author find the text to which you refer.
  - v. When referring to a pattern you note in the text, provide a few select examples.
- 6. Third paragraph when revisions are requested add your MINOR points; numbered bullet list OK.
  - a. In this paragraph, include those problems that would improve the paper substantially if they were fixed, but are not "make or break" changes.
    - i. For each problem, indicate what the problem is and if not obvious how you think it would improve the paper if it were fixed.
    - ii. For each problem, specify the change you would like to see.
    - iii. Organize the list by manuscript section (Abstract, Introduction, Methods, etc.)
    - iv. Use line numbers to help the author find the text to which you refer.
    - v. When referring to a pattern you note in the text, provide a few select examples.

## 7. Closing paragraph:

- a. If you recommended that the paper be rejected, close on a positive note with a comment or two about the positive aspects of the work.
- b. If you recommended that the author make major or minor revisions, close on a positive note by expressing optimism that the revisions will result in an improved manuscript with a heightened chance for acceptance, or by saying you look forward to reading their revised manuscript, or something along those lines.

LKA 10/09/19 2

- 8. Re-read your review, it should be:
  - a. Thorough, clear, objective and fair, professional, tactful and diplomatic
  - b. Free of bias, personal opinion, emotion, rudeness, sarcasm or cynicism
  - c. Motivated by a desire to help the author of a paper for which you recommended rejection understand how and why you arrived at the decision you did
  - d. Motivated by a desire to help the author of a paper for which you recommended revisions understand clearly and specifically how to meet your expectations for requested changes

LKA 10/09/19