

000	054
001	055
002	056
003	057
004	058
005	059
006	060
007	061
008	062
009	063
010	064
011	065
012	066
013	067
014	068
015	069
016	070
017	071
018	072
019	073
020	074
021	075
022	076
023	077
024	078
025	079
026	080
027	081
028	082
029	083
030	084
031	085
032	086
033	087
034	088
035	089
036	090
037	091
038	092
039	093
040	094
041	095
042	096
043	097
044	098
045	099
046	100
047	101
048	102
049	103
050	104
051	105
052	106
053	107
Referring Expression Generation and Comprehension via Attributes	057
Supplementary Material	058
Anonymous ICCV submission	061
Paper ID 2105	064
1. Complete results evaluated by automated metrics	068
Table 1 shows the complete results of referring expression generation on RefCOCO, RefCOCO+ and RefCOCOg evaluated by automated metrics.	069
2. More comprehension results by automatic detection	075
In Figure 1 we show more comprehension results of “attr” based on the detected objects from Faster-RCNN [1]. The top three rows are the correct comprehension results and the bottom two rows are the incorrect ones. The green, blue and red boxes represent ground truth, correct and incorrect localizations respectively. The comprehension is successful if the overlap with the ground truth box is higher than 0.5. The failures are composed of two types: wrong comprehension by other objects and inaccurate localization.	076
References	087
[1] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. <i>In NIPS</i> , 2015. 1	089
[2] L. Yu, P. Poirson, S. Yang, A. C. Berg, and T. L. Berg. Modeling context in referring expressions. <i>In ECCV</i> , 2016. 2	091

108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161

162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215

Table 1. Referring expression generation results evaluated by automated metrics on RefCOCO, RefCOCO+ and RefCOCOg.
 RefCOCO

	TestA				TestB			
	BLEU1	BLEU2	ROUGE	METEOR	BLEU1	BLEU2	ROUGE	METEOR
baseline [2]	0.477	0.290	0.413	0.173	0.553	0.343	0.499	0.228
MMI [2]	0.478	0.295	0.418	0.175	0.547	0.341	0.497	0.228
visdif+MMI [2]	0.494	0.307	0.441	0.185	0.578	0.375	0.531	0.247
visdif+tie [2]	0.510	0.318	0.446	0.189	0.593	0.386	0.533	0.249
attr	0.553	0.366	0.472	0.208	0.581	0.379	0.532	0.247
attr+visdif	0.562	0.373	0.494	0.222	0.606	0.392	0.546	0.258

RefCOCO+

	TestA				TestB			
	BLEU1	BLEU2	ROUGE	METEOR	BLEU1	BLEU2	ROUGE	METEOR
baseline [2]	0.391	0.218	0.356	0.140	0.331	0.174	0.322	0.135
MMI [2]	0.370	0.203	0.346	0.136	0.324	0.167	0.320	0.133
visdif+MMI [2]	0.386	0.221	0.360	0.142	0.327	0.172	0.325	0.135
visdif+tie [2]	0.409	0.232	0.372	0.150	0.340	0.178	0.328	0.143
attr	0.399	0.229	0.362	0.150	0.342	0.181	0.345	0.149
attr+visdif	0.415	0.239	0.374	0.155	0.350	0.189	0.355	0.155

RefCOCOg

	Val			
	BLEU1	BLEU2	ROUGE	METEOR
baseline [2]	0.437	0.273	0.363	0.149
MMI [2]	0.428	0.263	0.354	0.144
visdif [2]	0.442	0.277	0.370	0.151
attr	0.460	0.295	0.389	0.163
attr+visdif	0.454	0.291	0.378	0.160

