

Fractional Versions of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Eliana Contharteze Grigoletto, Edmundo Capelas de Oliveira

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
Email: elianac@ime.unicamp.br, capelas@ime.unicamp.br

Received April 27, 2013; revised May 27, 2013; accepted June 7, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Eliana Contharteze Grigoletto, Edmundo Capelas de Oliveira. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The concept of fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville, Liouville, Weyl and Riesz sense is presented. Some properties involving the particular Riemann-Liouville integral are mentioned. By means of this concept we present the fractional derivatives, specifically, the Riemann-Liouville, Liouville, Caputo, Weyl and Riesz versions are discussed. The so-called fundamental theorem of fractional calculus is presented and discussed in all these different versions.

Keywords: Fractional Integral; Fractional Derivative; Riemann-Liouville Derivative; Liouville Derivative; Caputo Derivative; Weyl Derivative and Riesz Derivative

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus, a popular name used to denote the calculus of non integer order, is as old as the calculus of integer order as created independently by Newton and Leibniz. In contrast with the calculus of integer order, fractional calculus has been granted a specific area of mathematics only in 1974, after the first international congress dedicated exclusively to it. Before this congress there were only sporadic independent papers, without a consolidated line [1,2].

During the 1980s fractional calculus attracted researchers and explicit applications began to appear in several fields. We mention the doctoral thesis, published as an article [3], which seems to be the first one in the subject and the classical book by Miller and Ross [1], where one can see a timeline from 1645 to 1974. After the decade of 1990, completely consolidated, there appeared some specific journals and several textbooks were published. These facts lent a great visibility to the subject and it gained prestige around the world. An interesting timeline from 1645 to 2010 is presented in references [4-6]. We recall here that an important advantage of using fractional differential equations in applications is their non-local property. The use of fractional calculus is more realistic and this is one reason why fractional calculus has become more popular.

Nowadays, fractional calculus can be considered a frontier area in mathematics in the sense that there is as

much research on its applications as there is on the calculus of integer order. Several applications in all areas of knowledge are collected, presented and discussed in different books as follow [7-12].

The main objective of this paper is to explain what is meant by calculus of non integer order and collect any different versions of the fractional derivatives associated with a particular fractional integral. Specifically, we recover the concepts of fractional integral and fractional derivative in different versions and present a new version of the so-called fundamental theorem of fractional calculus (FTFC), which is interpreted as a generalization of the classical fundamental theorem of calculus. We mention three recent works where FTFC is discussed, Tarasov's book [12], a paper by Tarasov [13] and a paper by Dannon [14] in which a particular case of the parameter associated with the derivative is presented. The paper is written as follows: in section two, we first review the concept of fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville sense, which can be interpreted as a generalization of the integral of integer order and in the Liouville sense, which is a particular case of the Riemann-Liouville one. We review also the concept of fractional integral in the Weyl sense and in the Riesz sense. Section two present also the concepts of derivative as proposed by Riemann-Liouville, Liouville, Caputo, Weyl and Riesz, showing the real importance and applications. Some properties are also presented, among which one associated with the semigroup

property. Our main result appears in section three, in which we present and demonstrate the many faces of the FTFC, in all different versions and which are interpreted as a generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus. Applications are presented in section four.

2. Fractional Calculus

The integral and derivatives of non integer order have several applications and are used to solve problems in different fields of knowledge, specifically, involving a fractional differential equation with boundary value conditions and/or initial conditions [7,9,11,12]. They can be seen as generalizations of the integral and derivatives of integer order. On the other hand, we mention two papers, by Heymans & Podlubny [15] and Podlubny [16] that provide an interesting geometric interpretation, and discuss applications of fractional calculus, with integral and derivatives of non integer order. Also, we mention a recent paper in which the authors discuss a fractional differential equation with integral boundary value conditions [17]. We remember that, there are several ways to introduce the concepts of fractional integral and fractional derivatives, which are not necessarily coincident with each other [18]. The so-called Grünwald-Letnikov derivative, which will be not discussed in this paper, is convenient and useful to affront problems involving a numerical treatment [19].

In this section, the concept of fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville, Liouville, Weyl and Riesz sense is presented. Some properties involving the particular Riemann-Liouville integral are mentioned. By means of this concept we present the fractional derivatives, specifically, the Riemann-Liouville, Liouville, Caputo, Weyl and Riesz versions are discussed.

2.1. Fractional Integral of Riemann-Liouville

The fractional integral of Riemann-Liouville is an integral that generalizes the concept of integral in the classical sense, and which can be obtained as a generalization of the Cauchy-Riemann integral. As we have already said, before we define the fractional integral Riemann-Liouville.

Definition 1 (Spaces $I_{a+}^\alpha(L_p)$ and $I_{a-}^\alpha(L_p)$) The spaces $I_{a+}^\alpha(L_p)$ and $I_{a-}^\alpha(L_p)$ are defined, for $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, by

$$I_{a+}^\alpha(L_p) := \{f(x) : f(x) = I_{a+}^\alpha g(x), g(x) \in L^p(a, b)\}$$

and

$$I_{a-}^\alpha(L_p) := \{f(x) : f(x) = I_{a-}^\alpha h(x), h(x) \in L^p(a, b)\}$$

respectively.

Property 2.1 (Semigroup) If $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ or $\alpha = 0$

and if $\text{Re}(\beta) > 0$ or $\beta = 0$, then

$$I_{a+}^\alpha I_{a+}^\beta = I_{a+}^{\alpha+\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{b-}^\alpha I_{b-}^\beta = I_{b-}^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

(Riemann-Liouville integrals) Let $f(x) \in L^1(a, b)$, with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. The fractional integrals of Riemann-Liouville of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, on the left and on the right, are defined respectively by

$$(I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau, \text{ with } x > a,$$

and

$$(I_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{f(\tau)}{(\tau-x)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau, \text{ with } x < b.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, we have $I_{a+}^0 \equiv I_{b-}^0 := I$, where I is the identity operator.

2.2. Fractional Derivative of Riemann-Liouville

After we introduce the fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville sense, we define the fractional derivative of Riemann-Liouville, which is the most used by mathematicians, particularly, into the problems in which the initial conditions are not involved.

(Riemann-Liouville derivative) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\text{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and $n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$, where $[\mu]$ denotes the integer part of μ , the fractional derivatives in the Riemann-Liouville sense, on the left and on the right, are defined by

$$(D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f)(x)] \tag{1}$$

and

$$(D_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) := (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(I_{b-}^{n-\alpha} f)(x)], \tag{2}$$

respectively.

Note that the derivatives in Equations (1) and (2) exist for $f(x) \in AC^n(a, b)$.

If, in particular, $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then

$$(D_{a+}^n f)(x) = f^{(n)}(x)$$

and

$$(D_{b-}^n f)(x) = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x).$$

2.3. Fractional Integral and Derivative in the Liouville Sense

An interesting particular case of the fractional integral of Riemann-Liouville and the corresponding fractional derivative, is the so-called Liouville fractional integral and

¹ $f(x) \in L^p(a, b)$ if $(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

²Space of complex functions $f(x)$ whose derivatives up to order $n-1$ are absolutely continuous on (a, b) .

the Liouville fractional derivative. This case is obtained by substitution $a \rightarrow -\infty$ and $b \rightarrow \infty$ in the expressions associated with the fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville sense.

(Liouville integral and derivative) The fractional integrals in the Liouville sense on the real axis, on the left and on the right, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, are defined by

$$(I_+^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau \tag{3}$$

and

$$(I_-^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^\infty \frac{f(\tau)}{(\tau-x)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau, \tag{4}$$

respectively.

The corresponding fractional derivatives in the Liouville sense are given by

$$(D_+^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(I_+^{n-\alpha} f)(x)] \tag{5}$$

and

$$(D_-^\alpha f)(x) := (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(I_-^{n-\alpha} f)(x)], \tag{6}$$

where $n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.4. Fractional Integral and Derivative in the Weyl Sense

The operations involving the fractional integral and the fractional derivative, as above defined by means of the Riemann-Liouville operators are convenient for a function represented by a series of power but not for functions defined, for example, by means of Fourier series, because $f(x)$ is a periodic function with period 2π , the $(I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x)$ cannot be periodic. For this reason, it is convenient to introduce the fractional integral and the fractional derivatives in the so-called Weyl sense. First, some remarks on the Fourier series are presented.

Let $f(x)$ be a periodic function with period 2π , defined on the real axis, with null average value, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0,$$

and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}, \text{ with } c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx,$$

representing the Fourier series of $f(x)$ where c_n are the corresponding Fourier coefficients. Note that, by hypothesis, as the function has null average value, we have $c_0 = 0$.

(Weyl integral and derivative) We define the fractional integral and the respective fractional derivatives in the Weyl sense by

$${}^W I^\alpha f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^{-\alpha} e^{inx}$$

and

$$W^\alpha f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^\alpha e^{inx},$$

respectively, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$.

In the particular case $0 < \alpha < 1$, if $f(x) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$, then ${}^W I^\alpha f(x) = I_+^\alpha f(x)$ and ${}_{-\infty} W_x^\alpha f(x) = D_+^\alpha f(x)$, where I_+^α and D_+^α are defined in Equations (3) and (5), respectively. If we define the Fourier series of $f(x)$ in the form

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n e^{-inx}, \text{ with } c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{inx} f(x) dx,$$

then we obtain for $0 < \alpha < 1$, in the same way, the fractional integral in the Weyl sense on the right and the fractional derivative on the right, defined by

$${}^W I^\alpha f(x) = I_-^\alpha f(x)$$

and

$${}_x W_\infty^\alpha f(x) = D_-^\alpha f(x).$$

respectively.

2.5. Fractional Derivative in the Caputo Sense

The differential operator of non integer order in the Caputo sense is similar to the differential operator of non integer order in the Riemann-Liouville sense. The capital difference is that: in the Caputo sense, the derivative acts first on the function, after we evaluate the integral and in the Riemann-Liouville sense, the derivatives acts on the integral, *i.e.*, we first evaluate the integral and after we calculate the derivative. The derivative in the Caputo sense is more restrictive than the Riemann-Liouville one. We also note that, both derivatives are defined by means of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. The importance associated with this derivative is that, the derivative in the Caputo sense can be used, for example, in the case of a fractional differential equation with initial conditions which have a well known interpretation, as in the calculus of integer order [20,21].

(Caputo derivative) Let $f(x) \in AC^n[a, b]$, with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\text{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0$ and

$n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$. The fractional derivatives on the left,

${}_c D_{a+}^\alpha$, and on the right, ${}_c D_{b-}^\alpha$, in the Caputo sense, are defined in terms of the fractional integral operator of Riemann-Liouville as

$$({}_c D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) := (I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f^{(n)})(x) \tag{7}$$

and

$$({}_c D_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) := (-1)^n (I_{b-}^{n-\alpha} f^{(n)})(x).$$

For $\alpha = 0$ we have ${}_c D_{a+}^0 \equiv {}_c D_{b-}^0 := I$. In particular, if $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then we have

$$({}_c D_{a+}^n f)(x) = f^{(n)}(x)$$

and

$$({}_c D_{b-}^n f)(x) = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x).$$

2.6. Fractional Integral in the Riesz Sense

First of all, we introduce the fractional integral and the corresponding fractional derivative in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , but for our purpose we discuss specifically the case $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, only. The operations of fractional integral and fractional derivative in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n are fractional powers of the Laplacian operator, $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and functions $f(\mathbf{x})$, “sufficiently good” [9], with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the fractional operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(\mathbf{x})$ is defined in terms of the Fourier trans-

form by

$$(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \|\omega\|^{-\alpha} \mathcal{F} f = \mathbb{I}^\alpha f, \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0.$$

The so-called fractional integral of order α in the Riesz sense, denoted by \mathbb{I}^α , which is also known by Riesz potential and is defined by the Fourier convolution product

$$(\mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\alpha(\mathbf{x} - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, and

$$K_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\gamma_n(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-n}, & \alpha - n \neq 0, 2, \dots, \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-n} \log\left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\right), & \alpha - n = 0, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

is the Riesz kernel and $\gamma_n(\alpha)$ is defined in [9], as follows

$$\frac{\gamma_n(\alpha)}{2^\alpha \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)} = \begin{cases} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right) \right]^{-1}, & \text{with } \alpha - n \neq 0, 2, 4, \dots, \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} 2^{-1} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha-n}{2}\right), & \text{with } \alpha - n = 0, 2, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

(Riesz integral) As we have already said, we take in particular, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(\mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) |x - \xi|^{\alpha-1} d\xi \quad (8)$$

$\alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$ which is the Riesz fractional integral.

We can also write Equation (8) in terms of the Liouville integrals. For this end, we introduce a convenient convolution product, *i.e.*, we rewrite Equation (8) in the following form

$$(\mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) = c_\alpha (f * g)(x), \quad (9)$$

with $g(x) = |x|^{\alpha-1}$, $c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$, $\alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$

Applying the Fourier transform in both sides of Equation (9), we get

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbb{I}^\alpha f](\omega) = \mathcal{F}[c_\alpha (f * g)](\omega)$$

and

$$|\omega|^{-\alpha} \hat{f}(\omega) = c_\alpha \hat{f}(\omega) \hat{g}(\omega),$$

where the functions $\hat{f}(\omega)$ and $\hat{g}(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of the functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively. Thus, we can write,

$$\hat{g}(\omega) = \frac{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)} |\omega|^{-\alpha}.$$

Then, rewriting Equation (8) in terms of the Liouville integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}^\alpha f(x) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) |x - \xi|^{\alpha-1} d\xi = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \left[\int_{-\infty}^x f(\xi) (x - \xi)^{\alpha-1} d\xi + \int_x^{\infty} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{\alpha-1} d\xi \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \left[I_+^\alpha f(x) + I_-^\alpha f(x) \right] = \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[I_+^\alpha f(x) + I_-^\alpha f(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can write the fractional integral in the Riesz sense, in terms of a sum of two Liouville integrals

$$\mathbb{I}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[\mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) \right] \quad (10)$$

with $\alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$. For the best of our knowledge this is a new result.

2.7. Fractional Derivative in the Riesz Sense

The fractional derivative in the Riesz sense has been introduced in problems that can be treated as a Fourier convolution product. In this section, we introduce this fractional derivative and express it in terms of the Liouville derivative. An example of a specific convolution product will be proved. As we have already mentioned, we present the general definition but we are interested in a particular case involving the parameter.

(Riesz derivative) The fractional derivative of $f(\mathbf{x})$ in the Riesz sense, with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is defined for $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, by means of

$$(\mathbb{D}^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{d_n(l, \alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\Delta_\xi^l f)(\mathbf{x})}{|\xi|^{n+\alpha}} d\xi \quad (l > \alpha), \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}^\alpha f(x) &= \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[D_+^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha f(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^x f(\xi)(x-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^\infty f(\xi)(\xi-x)^{-\alpha} d\xi \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[\frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^x f(\xi)(x-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi + (-1)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^\infty f(\xi)(x-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\xi)(x-\xi)^{-\alpha} d\xi = \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\xi) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x-\xi)^{-\alpha} \right] d\xi \\ &= \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\xi) \left[-\alpha (x-\xi)^{-\alpha-1} \right] d\xi = \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\xi)(x-\xi)^{-\alpha-1} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the convenient convolution product is

$$(\mathbb{D}^\alpha f)(x) = d_\alpha (f * h)(x), \quad (14)$$

where $h(x) = x^{-\alpha-1}$, $d_\alpha = \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right]$ and

where $d_n(l, \alpha)$ and $(\Delta_\xi^l f)(\mathbf{x})$ are defined in [9]. The derivative in terms of the Fourier transform is

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \|\omega\|^\alpha \mathcal{F} f = \mathbb{D}^\alpha f.$$

In the particular case, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\mathbb{D}^\alpha f)(x) = k_\alpha \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{f(x) - f(x-\xi)}{|\xi|^{1+\alpha}} d\xi, \quad (12)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and

$$k_\alpha = \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Thus, considering $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can write Equation (12) in terms of the fractional derivative in the Liouville sense, as follows

$$\mathbb{D}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \left[D_+^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha f(x) \right], \quad (13)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In what follows we express the Riesz derivative $\mathbb{D}^\alpha f(x)$ in terms of a convolution product. Using Equation (13) we get

$0 < \alpha < 1$.

Applying the Fourier transform in both sides of Equation (14), we obtain the Fourier transform of the function $h(x) = x^{-\alpha-1}$:

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbb{D}^\alpha f](\omega) = \left[\frac{1+(-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \mathcal{F}[(f * h)](\omega)$$

$$|\omega|^\alpha \hat{f}(\omega) = \left[\frac{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right] \hat{f}(\omega) \hat{h}(\omega),$$

where $\hat{f}(\omega)$ and $\hat{h}(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$, respectively. Thus,

$$\hat{h}(\omega) = \left[\frac{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}} \right] |\omega|^\alpha, \tag{15}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Using this result we prove a theorem involving the Fourier convolution of two particular functions.

Theorem 1 The Fourier convolution product of the functions $g(x) = |x|^{\alpha-1}$ and $h(x) = x^{-\alpha-1}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is given by

$$(g * h)(x) = \frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} \delta(x), \tag{16}$$

with $c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$, $d_\alpha = \left[\frac{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right]$ and

$$\frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} = \frac{4\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}}$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function.

Proof. Evaluating the Fourier transform of the function $(g * h)(x)$, and using Equation (N) and Equation (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[(g * h)](\omega) &= \hat{g}(\omega) \hat{h}(\omega) \\ &= \left(\frac{|\omega|^{-\alpha}}{c_\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{|\omega|^\alpha}{d_\alpha} \right) = \frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} = \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} \delta \right](\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Fourier transform of the convolution product can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}[(g * h)](\omega) = \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} \delta \right](\omega).$$

To recover the convolution product, we apply the corresponding inverse Fourier transform in both sides of the last equation, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} (g * h)(x) &= \frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} \delta(x) \\ &= \left[\frac{4\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}} \right] \delta(x). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Note that, the coefficient $\frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha}$ in Equation (16) is complex, because $0 < \alpha < 1$.

3. The Fundamental Theorem of Fractional Calculus

After the presentation of different versions of the fractional integral operator and the corresponding fractional derivative it is natural to introduce the corresponding FTFC associated with these different versions. Then, we present in this section the so-called FTFC, in the Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Liouville, Weyl and Riesz versions. The results that are known we mention the reference where one can see the proof, otherwise, we present the proof. As we have already said, in all cases we first write the theorem in general form, consider a particular case and finally, we recover, as a convenient limit, the fundamental theorem in the corresponding classical version.

Theorem 2 (Riemann-Liouville) Consider a function $f(x)$ such that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$; let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$. If $f(x) \in AC^n[a, b]$ or $C^n[a, b]$ then, for every $x \in (a, b)$ we have:

- 1) $(D_{a+}^\alpha I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$ and $(D_{b-}^\alpha I_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$.
- 2) For $(I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f(x)) \in AC^n[a, b]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(I_{a+}^\alpha D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) \\ &= f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha-j-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-j)} \left[(D^{n-j-1} I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=a} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and in the case $(I_{b-}^{n-\alpha} f(x)) \in AC^n[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(I_{b-}^\alpha D_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x) \\ &- \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-j-1} (b-x)^{\alpha-j-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-j)} \left[(D^{n-j-1} I_{b-}^{n-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=b} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For $f(x) \in I_{a+}^\alpha(L_p)$ we have,

$$(I_{a+}^\alpha D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x) \tag{19}$$

and for $f(x) \in I_{b-}^\alpha(L_p)$ we have,

$$(I_{b-}^\alpha D_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x). \tag{20}$$

In particular, if $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$ in Equations (17) and (18), then

$$(I_{a+}^\alpha D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x) - \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=a} \right]$$

and

$$(I_{b-}^{\alpha} D_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) - \frac{(b-x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=b} \right].$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$(I_{a+}^1 D_{a+}^1 f)(x) = f(x) - f(a)$$

and

$$(I_{b-}^1 D_{b-}^1 f)(x) = f(x) - f(b),$$

also³

$$({}_a I_b^1 D_{a+}^1 f)(x) = f(b) - f(a)$$

and

$$({}_a I_b^1 D_{b-}^1 f)(x) = f(a) - f(b).$$

Proof. (1) Both results follow from Lemma 2.4 in [9].

(2) To prove Equation (19) and Equation (20), we use Definition 1 and the case **(1)**. If $f(x) \in I_{a+}^{\alpha}(L_p)$, then $f(x) = I_{a+}^{\alpha} g(x)$. Thus, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{a+}^{\alpha} D_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) &= I_{a+}^{\alpha} \left[(D_{a+}^{\alpha} I_{a+}^{\alpha} g)(x) \right] \\ &= (I_{a+}^{\alpha} g)(x) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $f(x) \in I_{b-}^{\alpha}(L_p)$, it follows in an analogous way that

$$(I_{b-}^{\alpha} D_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x).$$

The Equations (17) and (18) follow from [11]. In the particular case $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$ we must substitute $n = 1$ in Equations (17) and (18).

In the case $\alpha = 1$ we recover

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_a I_b^1 D_{a+}^1 f)(x) &= \left[(I_{a+}^1 D_{a+}^1 f)(x) \right] \Big|_{x=b} \\ &= [f(x) - f(a)] \Big|_{x=b} = f(b) - f(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_a I_b^1 D_{b-}^1 f)(x) &= \left[(I_{b-}^1 D_{b-}^1 f)(x) \right] \Big|_{x=a} \\ &= [f(x) - f(b)] \Big|_{x=a} = f(a) - f(b). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We will now show that the Theorem 2 in which we consider the fractional operator in the Caputo sense.

Theorem 3 (Caputo) Let $f(x)$ be a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$. If $f(x) \in AC^n[a, b]$ or $C^n[a, b]$, then, for $x \in (a, b)$:

1) For $\text{Re}(\alpha) \notin \mathbb{N}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$({}_c D_{a+}^{\alpha} I_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad ({}_c D_{b-}^{\alpha} I_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x).$$

2) We have

³ $({}_a I_b^1 \varphi)(x) = [(I_{a+}^1 \varphi)(x)] \Big|_{x=b} = [(I_{b-}^1 \varphi)(x)] \Big|_{x=a}$.

$$(I_{a+}^{\alpha} D_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(x-a)^j}{j!} (f^{(j)}(x)) \Big|_{x=a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{b-}^{\alpha} D_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) \\ = f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j (b-x)^j}{j!} (f^{(j)}(x)) \Big|_{x=b}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$, then

$$\begin{cases} (I_{a+}^{\alpha} D_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) - f(a), \\ (I_{b-}^{\alpha} D_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) - f(b), \end{cases}$$

and, if $\alpha = 1$, then

$$({}_a I_b^1 D_{a+}^1 f)(x) = f(b) - f(a)$$

and

$$({}_a I_b^1 D_{b-}^1 f)(x) = f(a) - f(b).$$

Proof. (1) It follows from Lemma 2.21 in [9]. **(2)** It follows from Lemma 2.22 in [9]. \blacksquare

Theorem 4 (Liouville) Let $f(x)$ be a function defined on real axis, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $n = [\text{Re}(\alpha)] + 1$. If $f(x) \in AC^n(-\infty, \infty)$ or $C^n(-\infty, \infty)$ then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

- 1) $(D_{+}^{\alpha} I_{+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x)$ and $(D_{-}^{\alpha} I_{-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x)$.
- 2) If $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x),$$

then

$$(I_{+}^{\alpha} D_{+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (I_{-}^{\alpha} D_{-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. (1) Using Part **(1)** of the Theorem 2, follows

$$(D_{+}^{\alpha} I_{+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} (D_{a+}^{\alpha} I_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = f(x),$$

in the same way, we have $(D_{-}^{\alpha} I_{-}^{\alpha} f)(x) = f(x)$.

(2) Using Part **(2)** of the Theorem 2, we have that: if $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{+}^{\alpha} D_{+}^{\alpha} f)(x) \\ = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} \left\{ f(x) - \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=a} \right] \right\} = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{-}^{\alpha} D_{-}^{\alpha} f)(x) \\ = \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ f(x) - \frac{(b-x)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} f(x)) \Big|_{x=b} \right] \right\} = f(x), \end{aligned}$$

with the function $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$ or $-\infty$. \blacksquare

Theorem 5 (Weyl) Let $f(x) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$ be a peri-

odic function with period 2π , defined on the real axis, with null average value, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ then, at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ in which the Fourier series of $f(x)$ is convergent, we have

$$({}^W D^\alpha {}^W I^\alpha f)(x) = f(x) \text{ and } ({}^W I^\alpha {}^W D^\alpha f)(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}, \text{ with } c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx,$$

be the Fourier series of $f(x)$, with the corresponding Fourier coefficients c_n , then

$${}^W I^\alpha f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^{-\alpha} e^{inx}$$

and

$${}^W D^\alpha f(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^\alpha e^{inx},$$

with this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^W I^\alpha {}^W D^\alpha f)(x) &= {}^W I^\alpha \left[\sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^\alpha e^{inx} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n (in)^\alpha (in)^{-\alpha} e^{inx} \sim \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \sim f(x). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have $({}^W D^\alpha {}^W I^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$. ■

Theorem 6 (Riesz) Let $f(x) \in \Phi$, where Φ denote the so-called the space of Lizorkin functions, defined in [9], and let $\alpha > 0$, then

- 1) $(\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$.
- 2) For $0 < \alpha < 1$, $(\mathbb{I}^\alpha \mathbb{D}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$.

Proof. (1) See Property 2.35 in [9]. (2) For $0 < \alpha < 1$, using Equation (9) we have

$$(\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) = \mathbb{D}^\alpha [c_\alpha (f * g)(x)],$$

where $g(x) = |x|^{\alpha-1}$, and $c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$.

Thus, using Equation (14), we get

$$\mathbb{D}^\alpha [c_\alpha (f * g)(x)] = c_\alpha d_\alpha [(f * g) * h](x),$$

with $h(x) = x^{-\alpha-1}$ and $d_\alpha = \left[\frac{1 + (-1)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(-\alpha) 2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \right]$.

Using the Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) &= c_\alpha d_\alpha [(f * g) * h](x) \\ &= c_\alpha d_\alpha [f * (g * h)](x) \\ &= c_\alpha d_\alpha \left[f * \left(\frac{1}{c_\alpha d_\alpha} \delta \right) \right](x) \\ &= f(x). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Considering $0 < \alpha < 1$, and using Equation (10) and Equation (13), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)_x &= \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} \mathbb{D}^\alpha (\mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x)) \\ &= \gamma^2 [D_+^\alpha (\mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x)) + D_-^\alpha (\mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x))] \\ &= \gamma^2 (D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)}$.

By means of the Theorem 4, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can write $D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) = D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) = f(x)$.

Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) &= \gamma^2 [2f(x) + D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Theorem 6, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have $(\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$. In this case, we can write,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{4 \cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)} (2f(x) + D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x)),$$

or in the following form,

$$D_+^\alpha \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha f(x) + D_-^\alpha \mathbb{I}_+^\alpha f(x) = \left[2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) - 1 \right] f(x)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Evaluating $(\mathbb{D}^\alpha \mathbb{I}^\alpha f)(x)$, we get in the same way, that

$$\mathbb{I}_+^\alpha D_-^\alpha f(x) + \mathbb{I}_-^\alpha D_+^\alpha f(x) = \left[2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) - 1 \right] f(x)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

4. Applications

In this section, using the FTFC, fractional differential equations are solved, one of them associated with the Riemann-Liouville case and the other involving the Caputo case.

Example 1 Consider the following fractional differential equation and its initial condition:

$${}_c D_{0+}^\alpha y(t) = c, \text{ and } y(0) = 0,$$

with c a complex constant, $t > 0$ and $0 < \text{Re}(\alpha) < 1$. Applying the fractional integral operator I_{0+}^α to the fractional differential equation and using Theorem 3, item (2), we can write

$$I_{0+}^\alpha {}_c D_{0+}^\alpha y(t) = I_{0+}^\alpha c \Leftrightarrow y(t) = \frac{ct^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

The next application, we discuss the same problem which has been discussed by Jafari & Momani [22] using another methodology, the so-called modified homotopy perturbation method. We solve the equation using the method of separation of variables and the FTFC (Riemann-Liouville).

Example 2 Consider the initial value problem involving the fractional diffusion equation

$${}_c D_{0+}^\alpha u = -\Delta u, \text{ and } u(\bar{x}, 0) = e^{-(x_1+x_2+x_3)}, \quad (21)$$

where $u \equiv u(\bar{x}, t)$, $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, with $-\infty < x_i < \infty$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose a solution with the form

$$u(\bar{x}, t) = X(\bar{x})T(t). \quad (22)$$

Substituting Equation (22) into the fractional diffusion equation, Equation (21), we get

$$\frac{{}_c D_{0+}^\alpha T(t)}{T(t)} = \frac{-\Delta X(\bar{x})}{X(\bar{x})} = \lambda,$$

where λ is a real constant.

We first consider the fractional differential equation

$$\frac{{}_c D_{0+}^\alpha T(t)}{T(t)} = \lambda. \text{ Thus, we obtain}$$

$${}_c D_{0+}^\alpha T(t) = \lambda T(t). \quad (23)$$

Substituting Equation (7) into Equation (23), we get an equivalent equation

$$I_{0+}^{n-\alpha} T^{(n)}(t) = \lambda T(t).$$

Applying operator $D_{0+}^{n-\alpha}$ on both sides of the last equation we have

$$D_{0+}^{n-\alpha} I_{0+}^{n-\alpha} T^{(n)}(t) = D_{0+}^{n-\alpha} (\lambda T(t)).$$

Using Theorem 2, item (1), we can write

$$T^{(n)}(t) = \lambda D_{0+}^{n-\alpha} T(t). \quad (24)$$

As $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we have $n = 1$. We can also write $T^{(n)}(t) = D_{0+}^n T(t)$. Equation (24) can then be written

$$D_{0+}^1 T(t) = \lambda D_{0+}^{1-\alpha} T(t). \quad (25)$$

This is a known equation and can be seen in reference

[9], *i.e.*, from Theorem 5.2, Equation (5.2.31) in [9] with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, to obtain the result

$$T(t) = E_\alpha(\lambda t^\alpha), \quad (26)$$

where $E_\mu(x)$ is the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function.

Using the initial condition we have

$$X(\bar{x})T(0) = e^{-(x_1+x_2+x_3)},$$

and by Equation (26), $T(0) = 1$, then $X(\bar{x}) = e^{-(x_1+x_2+x_3)}$.

Substituting this result in equation involving $X(\bar{x})$ we have $-3X(\bar{x}) = \lambda X(\bar{x})$, *i.e.*, $\lambda = -3$.

Thus, the solution of the initial value problem, *i.e.*, the fractional diffusion equation and the initial condition, is given by

$$u(\bar{x}, t) = e^{-(x_1+x_2+x_3)} E_\alpha(-3t^\alpha). \quad (27)$$

We note that, in the paper by Jafari & Momani [22] its solution is presented with a misprint, *i.e.*, as can be verified this solution is not a solution of Equation (21). We remark, in passing, that the solution presented in the paper by Jafari & Momani [22] is different from ours because it solution is not a solution of Equation (21).

As a particular case, we consider the problem associated with the unidimensional diffusion equation, that is

$${}_c D_{0+}^\alpha u = -\Delta u, \text{ and } u(x, 0) = e^{-x},$$

where $u \equiv u(x, t)$, with $-\infty < x < \infty$, $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

In this case, the solution is $u(x, t) = e^{-x} E_\alpha(-t^\alpha)$, since, $\lambda = -1$ in Equation (26). For $\alpha = 0.8$ the graphic is as in **Figure 1**.

5. Conclusion

After a brief introduction about the calculus of non-integer order, popularly known as fractional calculus, we presented the concept of fractional integral in the Riemann-Liouville sense. We then discussed the formulation of fractional derivatives as introduced by Riemann-Liouville and, interchanging the integral with the derivative, we introduced the formulation proposed by Caputo. We presented also the fractional integral and fractional derivatives in the Liouville, Weyl and Riesz sense. As our main result, we collected and showed the many faces of the FTFC, associated with the Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Liouville, Weyl and Riesz version. As applications, we discussed two examples involving fractional differential equations. A natural continuation of this work resides in the fact that we can obtain solutions associated with fractional differential equations involving also fractional derivatives as proposed by Riesz and Weyl. A study in this direction is being developed [23].




Figure 1. Graphics of $u(x,t) = e^{-x} E_{\alpha}(-t^{\alpha}) \times x$ in the case $\alpha = 0.8$.

6. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. J. Emlio Maiorino and Dr. Quintino A. G. Souza for several and useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, "An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [2] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, "The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Application of Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order," Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [3] R. L. Bagley and P. J. Torvik, "A Theoretical Basis for the Application of Fractional Calculus to Viscoelasticity," *Journal of Rheology*, Vol. 27, No. 3, 1983, pp. 201-210. [doi:10.1122/1.549724](https://doi.org/10.1122/1.549724)
- [4] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, V. Kiryakova and F. Mainardi, "A Poster about the Recent History of Fractional Calculus," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2010, pp. 329-334.
- [5] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, V. Kiryakova and F. Mainardi, "A Poster about the Old History of Fractional Calculus," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2010, pp. 447-454.
- [6] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, V. Kiryakova and F. Mainardi, "Recent History of Fractional Calculus," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2011, pp. 1140-1153. [doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2010.05.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2010.05.027)
- [7] K. Diethelm, "The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations," Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010. [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-14574-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14574-2)
- [8] R. Hilfer, "Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics," World Scientific, Singapore City, 2000.
- [9] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, "Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations," Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [10] I. Podlubny, "Fractional Differential Equations," Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [11] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, "Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications," Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [12] V. E. Tarasov, "Fractional Dynamics, Applications of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media," Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [13] V. E. Tarasov, "Fractional Vector Calculus and Fractional Maxwell's Equations," *Annals of Physics*, Vol. 323, No. 11, 2008, pp. 2756-2778. [doi:10.1016/j.aop.2008.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2008.04.005)
- [14] H. Vic Dannon, "The Fundamental Theorem of the Fractional Calculus and the Meaning of Fractional Derivatives," *Gauge Institute Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-26.
- [15] N. Heymans and I. Podlubny, "Physical Interpretation of Initial Conditions for Fractional Differential Equations with Riemann-Liouville Fractional Derivative," *Rheologica Acta*, Vol. 45, No. 5, 2006, pp. 765-772. [doi:10.1007/s00397-005-0043-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00397-005-0043-5)
- [16] I. Podlubny, "Geometric and Physical Interpretation of Fractional Integral and Fractional Differentiation," *Journal of Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2002, pp. 367-386.
- [17] A. Cabada and G. Wang, "Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Integral Boundary Value Conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 389, No. 1, 2012, pp. 403-411. [doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.11.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.11.065)
- [18] R. Figueiredo Camargo, "Fractional Calculus and Applications (in Portuguese)" Doctoral Thesis, UNICAMP, Campinas, 2009.
- [19] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, "Discrete-Time Fractional-Order Controllers," *Journal of Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2001, pp. 47-66.
- [20] R. Figueiredo Camargo, E. Capelas de Oliveira and J. Vaz Jr., "On the Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function and Its Application in a Fractional Telegraph Equation," *Mathematical Physics, Analysis & Geometry*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2012, pp. 1-16. [doi:10.1007/s11040-011-9100-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-011-9100-8)
- [21] F. Silva Costa and E. Capelas de Oliveira, "Fractional Wave-Diffusion Equation with Periodic Conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 53, 2012, Article ID: 123520. [doi:10.1063/1.4769270](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4769270)

- [22] H. Jafari and S. Momani, "Solving Fractional Diffusion and wave Equations by Modified Homotopy Perturbation Method," *Physics Letters A*, Vol. 370, No. 5-6, 2007, pp. 388-396. [doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2007.05.118](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2007.05.118)
- [23] E. Contharteze Grigoletto, "Fractional Differential Equations and the Mittag-Leffler Functions (in Portuguese)," Ph.D. Thesis, UNICAMP, Campinas, to Appear.