Removal of Metribuzin by Ozonation: Effect of Initial Concentration and pH

Micheline Orlandi Honório¹, Everson Vaz de Liz Junior¹, Regina de Fátima Peralta Muniz Moreira¹, Rênnio Felix de Sena², Humberto Jorge José¹

¹Department of Chemical Engineering and Food Engineering, Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, Brazil; ²Department of Chemical Engineering, Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB), João Pessoa, Brazil. Email: micheline.hermany@hotmail.com

Received November 1st, 2012; revised January 5th, 2013; accepted February 3rd, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Micheline Orlandi Honório *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Herbicides are chemical compounds capable of killing or inhibiting the growth of certain plants and they have been frequently detected in natural waters. Advanced treatments, including those using ozone, have been used in order to remove herbicides from different types of water with good treatment efficiency. The efficiency of ozonation, an oxidative process, in the removal of persistent compounds is affected by several factors, such as pH and the concentration of the contaminant. The compound metribuzin, the herbicide investigated in this study, is persistent and mobile in the environment with a high potential for transport through soils and thus it can reach the groundwater. In this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of different pH conditions (2.5, 5.5 and 12.0) and initial concentrations of metribuzin (20, 50 and 100 ppm) on the removal of this herbicide from water using the ozonation process. In order to identify the possible effect of these two variables on the ozonation treatment, the concentration of metribuzin was determined by spectrophotometry UV-vis spectrophotometer. The results were used to evaluate the best conditions for the ozonation conditions which provided the highest metribuzin removal efficiency ($86.5\% \pm 0.3\%$) were pH 12.0 and an initial metribuzin concentration of 20 ppm. The findings indicate that oxidation with ozone is effective for the removal of metribuzin from aqueous solutions under the conditions studied.

Keywords: Pesticides; Metribuzim; Ozone; Removal

1. Introduction

Herbicides are chemical compounds that have the ability to kill or inhibit the growth of certain undesirable plants without disturbing the desired crop [1]. Their frequently detection in water resources, even in very small concentrations, is cause for concern due to the adverse potential effects related to health [2].

The herbicide metribuzin is a synthetic compound of the group triazinone and it is the active principle of Sencor 480 SC[®], used for controlling weeds in crops, for example, soybean and sugar cane. It is a recalcitrant compound that has high mobility in soil, which may reach the groundwater and thereafter decompose in the soil producing intermediates of lower toxicity. Harmful if swallowed and very toxic to aquatic organisms, this herbicide may cause long-term degradation to the aquatic environment, and for this reason it is considered a potential contaminant of groundwater [3]. Metribuzin (C₈H₁₄N₄OS), 4-amino-6-tert-butyl-4, 5dihydro-3-methylthio-1, 2, 4-triazin-5-one, is a colorless crystalline solid which has a solubility in water (20°C) of 1050 ppm, maximum absorption in UV-vis range (λ_{max}) at 294 ± 1.0 nm [3] and the structural formula shown in **Figure 1**. It is moderately hazardous (class II) and when



Figure 1. Structural formula of metribuzin.



the pesticide is absorbed by the target plant it exerts phytotoxic action [3-7].

This herbicide is used in a pre- and post-emergency situation and its action mechanism involves inhibition of photosynthesis. It is highly toxic to algae and microcrustaceans and is persistent and mobile in the environment. Apart from reaching the groundwater [7-10] and it can also contaminate surface water through direct application and runoff [11,12].

The decomposition products of metribuzin in soil, DADK (desamino diketo metribuzin—main product), DK (diketo metribuzin) and DA (desamino metribuzin), are persistent and mobile and can be detected in ground-water [11,12].

New technologies are being used in order to improve the processes for wastewater treatment, reducing the amount of sludge generated and eliminating recalcitrant compounds [13], and these include the Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs).

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are attractive alternatives to biological process and non-destructive physical water treatment processes, because they are able to mineralize organic water contaminants. AOP treatments use strong oxidizing agents (O_3 , H_2O_2) and/or catalysts (Fe, Mn, TiO₂) usually together with high-energy radiation, e.g., UV irradiation. AOPs predominantly involve the generation of a very powerful and non-selective oxidizing species, the hydroxyl radical (•OH), for the destruction of persistent and hazardous pollutants [13].

Ozone is a chemical compound with a triatomic structure (O₃) and it is a resonance hybrid (**Figure 2**). Also, it is toxic, colorless, sparingly soluble in water and easily detected at very low concentrations $(0.01 - 0.05 \text{ mg} \text{-}^{-1})$ [14]. Its properties derive from its high reactivity which can be attributed to the electronic configuration of the molecule due to the electrophilic character of ozone (confirmed by the absence of electrons in one of the terminal oxygen atoms in some of the resonance structures) and an excess negative charge present in some another oxygen atoms imparts a nucleophilic character [15].

Different applications of ozone have been studied by several authors, such as the treatment of drinking water, cooling water, treatment of industrial effluents with a high content of organic compounds, cleaning and disinfection of fruits, vegetables and processed meat, liquor and slurry treatment, use in industrial laundries and bleaching processes, treatment of domestic sewage and Industrial cleaning pools.



Figure 2. Canonical structures of resonance hybrid of the ozone molecule [16].

Due to its oxidation potential ($E_0 = 2.07$ V), ozone has been used in technologies which are capable of reducing and removing a number of environmental pollution parameters, proving very effective, for example, in the removal of organic matter in the treatment of water [17].

Based on the ability of ozone to react with organophosphate and organonitrogen insecticides, such processes have proven to be effective for the elimination of different pesticides in wastewater, especially when the reaction occurs in alkaline medium, when compared to other AOPs, such as the photo-Fenton process and photocatalysis with TiO_2 [18].

Because of this, AOPs, such as those employing O_3 (O_3 in alkaline medium; O_3/H_2O_2 ; O_3/UV ; $O_3/H_2O_2/UV$; $O_3/catalyst$), have been considered a viable treatment alternative when the contaminated material has a low concentration of organic compounds (hundreds of ppm) and the contaminants are not biodegradable. In addition, the AOPs can be used when the conventional treatment is not feasible or even inappropriate, for example, effluents containing toxic compounds or mixtures which contain complex compounds and/or high concentrations of contaminants [17].

Ozonation can occur by two mechanisms, the direct attack of O_3 molecules (direct reaction) and attack by the hydroxyl radical (•OH), formed in the decomposition of ozone (indirect reaction).

The direct ozonation reactions are highly selective relatively slow ($k_D = 1 - 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) and limited to aromatic and aliphatic acids. Among the compounds that react with ozone by direct reaction are those containing C=C bonds, specific functional groups (OH, CH₃, OCH₃) and atoms which have a negative charge density (N, P, O and S) [9,16].

The indirect reaction is not selective since it can easily and quickly react with many compounds present in the water by means of the •OH radical ($E_0 = 2.80$ V). This radical is able to promote an attack on organic compounds $10^6 - 10^9$ times faster than known oxidizing agents such as H₂O₂ ($E_0 = 1.77$ V) and O₃ itself ($E_0 = 2.07$ V) [9].

Ozone (O₃), although highly efficient, restricts the mineralization of organic micropollutants in potable water or industrial effluents, which is verified by the low rates of TOC removal, even using AOPs (with O₃) [17].

The efficiency of ozonation, when used in the treatment of natural waters is influenced by several factors such as the pH and temperature of the medium, type and concentration of the contaminant and ozone flow rate.

The pH is the factor that deserves special attention since; in general, the hydroxyl ions catalyze this reaction because they alter the stability of ozone in the medium in relation to the formation of hydroxyl radicals (more reactive species). Therefore, it is considered the main variable that affects ozonation [13,19].

In relation to the contaminant, studies indicate that increasing the initial concentration causes an increase in the consumption of ozone when the amount of organic matter to be oxidized is increased, decreasing the concentration of residual ozone, this behavior being accenttuated at the beginning of ozonation. Therefore, at lower contaminant concentrations the removal occurs more rapidly [20,21].

In this context, this study aimed to evaluate the removal of metribuzin applying an ozonation process under different conditions of pH and initial metribuzin concentrations.

2. Materials and Methods

All solutions containing metribuzin were prepared using distilled water and the commercial product Sencor[®] SC 480 (48% metribuzin).

The pH was adjusted to pH 2.5, 5.5 or 12.0 using diluted H_2SO_4 and NaOH. The *tert*-butanol (VETEC) was used as a scavenger of hydroxyl radicals in an ozone to *tert*-butanol ratio of 1:1000, at the beginning of the reaction.

An ozone generator (TECH FILTER) was used to produce the ozone from the ambient air, applying the principle of electrochemical discharge (corona), capable of producing 500 mg \cdot O₃·h⁻¹.

A batch reactor was used, formed by a cylindrical outer jacket of borosilicate glass, with a water inlet and outlet, where the temperature of the water is monitored, with a working volume of 1.5 L. The bubbling gas is conducted through two porous cylindrical dispersers. The samples were collected with a syringe coupled to a silicone tube. During all runs agitation was applied in the reactor, as shown in **Figure 3**.

2.1. Analytical Determinations

The remaining concentration of metribuzin at different reaction times was determined using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (HACH 5000) at 293 nm. The pH was measured with a pH meter (PH LUTHORN 206).

2.2. Experimental Conditions

Initially, experiments were performed at pH 12.0 and pH 5.5 without ozone, in order to verify the effect of pH on the stability of metribuzin. The metribuzin (20 ppm) was then added to the distilled water at pH 12.0 and pH 2.5 without ozone, and the concentration of metribuzin ([MTZ]) was quantified at predetermined intervals during a period of 240 min.

The ozonation experiments were firstly conducted at different pH values (2.5, 5.5 and 12.0) with an initial



Figure 3. Ozonation system used (1—magnetic stirrer, 2 point of sample collection; 3—cylindrical bubblers, 4—inlet for water from thermostatic bath, 5—water outlet to water bath, 6—reactor bath, 7—ozone residual output).

concentration of metribuzin of 20 ppm. The concentration of metribuzin was then varied (20, 50 and 100 ppm) and an initial pH of 5.5 was used, with and without *tert*butanol.

All experiments were performed with an ozone concentration in the gas phase of 500 ppm and flow rate of 1.1 L $O_3 \cdot h^{-1}$, which was maintained constant. The solution temperature was maintained at 21°C in a thermostatic bath (QUIMIS[®]).

The O_3/O_2 gas mixture was bubbled through the reactor with a constant flow of ozone for 1 h. After reaching the complete solubility of the ozone a volume appropriate to achieve the desired concentrations of metribuzin was added, maintaining the bubbling constant for the whole reaction period (240 min). The aliquots were collected at predetermined intervals from the moment of addition of the herbicide to complete 4 hours of reaction.

By quantifying the concentration of metribuzin, through the absorbance measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer at 293 nm, at predetermined intervals during the reaction, it was possible to evaluate both the effect of the initial metribuzin concentration and of the initial pH on the removal.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Study on the Effect of the Initial Concentration of Metribuzin

The effect of the initial concentration of metribuzin on the removal efficiency was investigated.

The metribuzin removal efficiency for initial concentrations of 20, 50 and 100 ppm after 240 min of reaction was evaluated. **Figure 4** shows the evolution of the metribuzin removal applying ozonation at an initial pH of 5.5 for different initial concentrations of metribuzin.

It can be observed that the removal of the metribuzin, at the concentrations studied, slowly reaches removals of $58.6\% \pm 6.2\%$, $30.5\% \pm 0.4\%$ and $16.7\% \pm 3.1\%$ for concentrations of 20, 50 and 100 ppm, respectively.

The metribuzin removal efficiency, by ozonation, decreased with increasing initial concentrations of metribuzin because, according to the literature [15,21], there is a greater consumption of ozone when the amount of organic matter to be oxidized is increased, decreasing thus the concentration of residual ozone. Therefore, at lower contaminant concentrations the removal occurs more rapidly.

3.2. Effect of pH

The pH affects the mechanism by which the ozone oxidizes the organic molecules in the effluent and can also influence the stability of the molecules.

According to IUPAC [3], metribuzin is stable at between pH 5 and 9. Therefore, experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of the pH (2.5 and 12.0) on the stability of metribuzin using an initial concentration of 20 ppm (**Figure 5**).



Figure 4. Evolution of metribuzin removal with different initial concentrations of metribuzin (pH 5.5).



Figure 5. Effect of pH (2.5 and 12) on the stability of metribuzin ($[MTZ_0] = 20$ ppm) without ozone.

It can be seen that there was no difference in the concentration of metribuzin measured during the reaction period (240 min) at the two pH values, indicating that under the conditions studied metribuzin remained stable since there was no decrease in the metribuzin concentration (**Figure 5**). Thus, it can be considered that during the ozonation process the decrease in the metribuzin concentration observed is due only to oxidation by ozone.

Thus, studies were initiated to evaluate the effect of different pH values on the metribuzin removal efficiency from an aqueous solution by ozonation with an initial metribuzin concentration of $[MTZ]_0 = 20$ ppm, ozone flow (Q) of 1.1 L·h⁻¹ and system temperature (T) of 20°C ± 1°C for 240 min.

Figure 6 shows the percent removal of metribuzin as a function of the initial pH, for 240 minutes, when the ozonation process was applied.

It can be observed that during the ozonation process (240 min) at alkaline pH (pH 12.0), the removal of metribuzin was 86.5% \pm 0.3%, which was higher than at acidic pH (pH 5.5 and pH 2.5), where the removals obtained were 58.5% \pm 6.3% and 64.5% \pm 4.0%, respecttively. Hence, most of the metribuzin removal at alkaline pH probably occurred through indirect oxidation with hydroxyl radicals, which have a higher oxidation potential than molecular ozone.

Under acidic conditions, either there is a higher molecular ozone concentration in the medium or compounds, such as metribuzin, with C=C bonds, specific functional groups (OH, CH₃, OCH₃) and atoms that possess a negative charge density (N, P, O and S) react with molecular ozone in a selective manner [9,22]. Moreover, at alkaline pH, there is the decomposition of ozone (particularly to the hydroxyl radical (OH•)) which does not react selectively with this type of compound and thus the removal of metribuzin, when applying ozonation at pH 12.0, was the most effective.

It was found that under the acidic conditions evaluated, there was a small difference in the metribuzin removal efficiency. Therefore, to better evaluate the influence of



Figure 6. Evolution of metribuzin removal at different pH values, ([MTZ]₀ = 20 ppm; Q = 1.1 L O₃ h⁻¹, T = 20°C \pm 1°C).



Figure 7. Evolution of metribuzin removal at different acid pH values ($[MTZ_0] = 20$ ppm; Q = 1.1 L O₃·h⁻¹; [O₃]:[*tert*-butanol] = 1:1000).

Table 1. Comparison of the efficiency obtained in the ozonation with and without *tert*-butanol.

pН	Without Tert-butanol [*] (%)	With Tert-butanol [*] (%)	D (%)**
2.5	64.5 ± 4.0	54.9 ± 0.1	9.6
5.5	58.5 ± 6.3	31.6 ± 1.4	26.9

^{*(}mean \pm standard deviation); **D = Difference between the average efficiencies obtained.

pH when applying ozonation to remove MTZ at acidic pH, *tert*-butanol (scavenger of hydroxyl radicals) was added at a concentration 1000 times greater than the ozone dissolved in the medium, to ensure that all hydroxyl radicals generated were consumed [9].

Figure 7 shows the evolution of metribuzin removal during the ozonation treatment, at pH 2.5 and pH 5.5, with *tert*-butanol.

In the presence of *tert*-butanol the efficiency of the metribuzin removal decreased compared to reactions performed without *tert*-butanol. This is because in the first case only the ozone is present, a selective compound that has a lower oxidative potential when compared to the hydroxyl radical.

Thus, to directly determine the prevalence of ozonation in the reactions at pH 5.5 and pH 2.5 the removal efficiencies were compared to those with and without *tert*-butanol at both pH values, as shown in **Table 1**.

Therefore, metribuzin is attacked both by the ozone and the free radicals, in the absence of *tert*-butanol. On the other hand, in the presence of *tert*-butanol only ozone acts as an oxidant and as a consequence the removal efficiency is lower at both pH values. This behavior is most evident at pH 5.5, where the ozone concentration is less than at pH 2.5.

Thus, a direct mechanism predominates at pH 2.5 and 5.5, although at pH 5.5 both mechanisms (direct and indirect) are operating.

4. Conclusions

In this study, two parameters that affect the oxidation

process, that is, metribuzin concentration and solution pH, were evaluated. According to the results obtained, under the operation conditions studied, the following conclusions can be drawn.

When the ozone concentration was constant and ozone was the limiting reagent in the reaction, the efficiency of the process decreased with an increase in the initial concentration of metribuzim (20 ppm > 50 ppm >100 ppm).

When the ozonation was performed in the absence of *tert*-butanol at different pH values, it was observed that the removal of metribuzin was lower at acidic pH compared with basic pH due to the concentration of hydroxyl radicals (which have a higher oxidation potential than molecular ozone) being greater at alkaline pH.

The efficiency of the ozonation in the presence of *tert*-butanol at pH 5.5 and 2.5 was lower than that performed without *tert*-butanol since in the latter case there is a predominance of the reaction with molecular ozone, the concentration of which decreases with increasing pH.

Thus, the ozonation process that presented the best removal efficiency ($86.5\% \pm 0.3\%$) was that applying pH 12.0, initial metribuzin concentration of 20 ppm, temperature of 21°C and reaction time of 240 min. Under these conditions ozone treatment represents an effective method for the removal of metribuzin.

REFERENCES

- N. D. Kruze, "Biologia e Controle de Plantas Daninhas— Unidade 4—Herbicidologia," UFSM, 2012. http://w3.ufsm.br/herb/Unidade%204.pdf
- [2] K. V. Plakas and A. J. Karabelas, "Removal of Pesticides from Water by NF and RO Membranes—A Review," *Desalination*, Vol. 287, 2012, pp. 255-265. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2011.08.003
- [3] IUPAC, "Global Availability of Information on Agrochemicals," 2011. http://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/iupac/index.htm
- [4] P. Chelme-Ayala, M. G. El-Din and D. W. Smith, "Kinetic and Mechanism of Degradation of Two Pesticide in Aqueous Solutions by Ozonation," *Chemosphere*, Vol. 78, No. 5, 2010, pp. 557-562. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.11.014
- [5] WHO, "The WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard and Guidelines to Classification 2009". http://www.inchem.org/documents/pds/pdsother/class_20 09.pdf
- [6] L. Maraschin, "Avaliação do Grau de Contaminação por Pesticidas na Água dos Principais Rios Formadores do Pantanal Mato-Grossense," Dissertação (Mestrado em Saúde e Ambiente)—Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, 2003.
- [7] L. Vargas and E. S. Roman, "Resistência de Plantas Daninhas a Herbicidas: Conceitos, Origem e Evolução," 2006.
- [8] M. Patterson, "Metribuzin Analysis of Risks to Endan-

gered and Threatened Salmon and Steelhead," 2004. http://www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/effects/metribuzin/metr ibuzin_analysis.pdf

- [9] D. B. Luiz, A. K. Genena, E. Virmond, J. H. Jose, R. F. P. M. Moreira, W. Gebhardt and H. Fr. Schröder, "Identification of Degradation of Erythromycin A Arising from Ozone and Advanced Process Treatment," *Water Environment Research*, Vol. 82, No. 9, 2010, pp. 797-805. doi:10.2175/106143010X12609736966928
- [10] ANVISA—"Portaria No. 10/SNVS de 08 de Março de 1.985," DOU 14/03/85.
- [11] J. C. Barreiro and M. O. O. Rezende, "Estudo da Degradação do Herbicida Metribuzin em Solo na Presença de Ácido Húmico Extraído de Vermicomposto," *IV Encontro Brasileiro de Substâncias Húmicas*, Vol. 1, 2001, pp. 160-161.
- [12] Minnesota Department Health, "Metribuzin Degradates (DA, DK, DADK). Drinking Water Contaminants of Emerging Concern Program," Junho, 2010. http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/risk/guidance/dwec /summetribuzin.pdf
- [13] R. F. Sena, J. L. Tambosi, A. K. Genena, R. F. P. M. Moreira, H. F. R. Schröder and H. J. Jose, "Treatment of Meat Industry Wastewater Using Dissolved Air Flotation and Advanced Oxidation Processes Monitored by GC-MS and LC-MS," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 152, No. 1, 2009, pp. 151-157. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2009.04.021
- [14] L. C. M. Filho, "Avaliação da Ozonização Como pré ou pós-Tratamento à Filtração Direta Descendente na Remoção de Cianobactérias e Saxitoxinas," Tese (Doutorado em Engenharia Ambiental), Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina—Florianópolis, 2006.

- [15] F. J. Beltrán, "Ozone Reaction Kinetic for Water and Wastewater Systems," Lewis Publishers, CRC Press, USA, 2004, 358 p.
- [16] C. Gottschank, J. A. Libra and A. Saupe, "Ozonation of Water and Wastewater: A Practical Guide to Understanding Ozone and Its Application," Willey-VCH, 2000.
- [17] C. P. A. B. Teixeira and W. F. Jardim, "Processos Oxidativos Avançados Conceitos Teóricos," Caderno Temático, Universidade Estadual de Campinas—UNICAMP, Campinas. 2004. http://lqa.iqm.unicamp.br/cadernos/caderno3.pdf
- [18] E. Almeida, M. R. Assalin, M. A. Rosa and N. Duran, "Tratamento de Efluentes Industriais por Processos Oxidativos na Presença de Ozônio," *Química Nova*, Vol. 27, No. 5, 2004. <u>doi:10.1590/S0100-40422004000500023</u>
- [19] K. Li, A. Yediler, M. Yang, S. Schulte-Hostede and M. H. Wong, "Ozonation of Oxytetracycline and Toxicological Assessment of Its Oxidation By-Products," *Chemosphere*, Vol. 72, No. 3, 2008, pp. 473-478. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.02.008
- [20] M. R. Assalin, P. L. Silva and N. Durán, "Comparação da Eficiência do Processo de Ozonização e Ozonização Catalítica (Mn II e Cu II) na Degradação de Fenol," 2006.
- [21] D. A. Coelho, "Degradação dos Antiinflamatórios Diclofenaco, Ibuprofeno e Naproxeno por Ozonização," Tese (Doutorando em Engenharia Química), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro—Rio de Janeiro, 2008.
- [22] L. M. Silva, M. H. P. Santana and J. F. C. Boodts, "Electrochemistry and Green Chemical Processes: Electrochemical Ozone Production," *Química Nova*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 2003, pp. 880-888. doi:10.1590/S0100-40422003000600017