Simulation of Diode-Pumped Q-Switched Nd:YAG Laser Generating Eye-Safe Signal in IOPO Environment

Mian M. Ashraf^{*}, Muhammad Siddique

Institute of Industrial Control System, Rawalpindi, Pakistan Email: *ashrafmian@hotmail.com, mrsiddik@yahoo.com

Received June 18, 2012; revised July 20, 2012; accepted July 30, 2012

ABSTRACT

Numerical simulation of diode-pumped Q-switched Nd:YAG laser leading to the generation of eye-safe signal in singly resonant Intra-cavity Optical Parametric Oscillator (IOPO) is presented. Starting from rate equations, the time dependent laser equations have been solved numerically, whereas the space-dependent OPO equations analytically. Our results show that 1.4 J diode laser (810 nm) pulse with 200 µsec width, delivers 30 mJ Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm) pulse with 5 n-second width. This Nd:YAG laser further generates 9 mJ eye safe signal (1570 nm) pulse with 2.5 n-second width.

Keywords: Simulation; Diode Laser; Q-Switched Nd:YAG Laser; Eye-Safe Laser; Signal; Idler; IOPO; Rate Equations; Out-Put Power; KTP Non-Linear Crystal; Pump Beam Waist

1. Introduction

Compact nanosecond pulsed lasers operating in eye-safe wavelength region (1500 - 1600 nm) are of great interest in many applications such as laser radar, active imaging and remote sensing [1,2]. The conventional methods for the generation of eye-safe lasers are based upon solidstate lasers with Er^{3+} or Cr^{4+} doped media [3-6] and the Raman lasers pumped by Nd doped lasers [7-10]. Another promising approach for high-peak power eve-safe source is based upon optical parametric oscillator (OPO). The OPO has been extensively studied and developed ever since its demonstration in 1965. The main difference between Extra-cavity OPO (EOPO) and Intra-cavity OPO (IOPO) is that in IOPO, the OPO cavity is designed to be inside the cavity of the pump laser. The IOPO serves as a nonlinear cavity dumper to extract the energy stored in the intra-cavity optical field [11,12]. In recent years, KTP-IOPO pumped by passively Q-switched diodepumped Nd-doped lasers has been investigated experimentally and high-energy pulses with mJ range energy have been obtained. The conversion efficiency of the optimized Q-switched pulse energy at 1047 nm (Nd:YLF) to 1547 nm signal approached by about 47% [13]. However, the signal pulse energy is restricted mainly due to initial transmission of saturable absorber. The first KTPbased IOPO driven by a diode-pumped electro-optical Q-switched Nd:YAG laser was realized by Jin Feng et al. 15 mJ energy output at 1.57 µm wavelength with 7 ns

duration at 20 Hz was demonstrated [14]. The schematic is shown in **Figure 1** [15].

In this paper we simulate the diode-pumped Q-switched Nd:YAG laser generating eye-safe laser signal in IOPO environment. In the next section Diode-pumped Q-switched Nd:YAG laser is simulated.

2. Simulation of Diode-Pumped Q-Switched Pulsed Nd:YAG Laser

Taking into account the losses of pump into signal and idler radiation in the nonlinear crystal (KTP), the rate equations for the Q-switched Nd:YAG laser in IOPO [16] have been modified for diode-pumped regime and described as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_p}{\mathrm{d}t} = \phi_p \left[c\sigma N \frac{l_r}{L'} - \kappa_{\mathrm{loss}} \right] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\phi_p c \sigma N. \tag{2}$$



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of Intra-cavity optical parametric oscillator.



^{*}Corresponding author.

With

$$\kappa_{\rm loss} = \left(\frac{\kappa_{\rm opo}}{t_{\rm RL}} + \frac{\kappa_{\rm cav}}{t_{\rm RP}}\right)$$

are the total losses inside the laser cavity and OPO cavity with

$$\kappa_{\text{cav}} = \ln \left(R_1 R_2 \left(1 - \frac{T_{\text{tot}}}{T_{\text{KTP}}} \right) \right) \text{ and } \kappa_{\text{opo}} = \left(1 - \eta_{\text{con}} \right)$$

Here, ϕ_p is the pump photon density, N is the population inversion, c is the speed of light, σ is the stimulated emission cross section of the laser medium. R_1 and R_2 represent the reflectivities of Nd:YAG cavity mirror.

Also, $T_{\text{tot}} = T_{\text{Nd:YAG}} + T_{\text{EOM}} + T_{\text{GP}} + T_{\text{KTP}}$, is the total transmission in the laser cavity. The Nd:YAG power/energy is converted into signal and idler power/energy through nonlinear interaction in KTP and represents the conversion efficiency of the Nd:YAG pump density into signal and idler. The scheme is such that idler signal is dumped inside the IOPO. Also, l_r is the length of the Nd: YAG rod and L' is the optical length of the laser cavity. The relation between the photon flux intensity I_m , the photon density ϕ_m , and the complex electric field \tilde{E} (in the nonlinear crystal) is expressed as:

$$I_m = \frac{\phi_m h c v_m}{n_m} \tag{3}$$

$$I_m = \frac{1}{2} n_m c \varepsilon_0 \left| \tilde{E}_m \right|^2 \tag{4}$$

The reduction of above equations to photon density equation as:

$$\phi_m = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_0 n_m^2}{h v_m} \left| \tilde{E}_m \right|^2, \tag{5}$$

where *h* is the Plank's constant, ε_0 is the permittivity of free space, n_m is the refractive index at wavelength λ_m (m = p, s, i, corresponding to the secondary pump, signal and idler wavelengths), and $\omega_m = 2 \pi v_m$ is the radiation frequency.

Equations (1) and (2) are temporal equations and have been numerically simulated. The results are given in **Figure 1**. The photon density forms a pulse with width of the order of few nanoseconds. The threshold population and energy are given as:

$$N_{th} = \frac{L'}{c\sigma l_r} \kappa_{\rm loss} \tag{6}$$

$$E_{th} = \frac{N_{th}hc\pi L'}{\lambda_p}a^2.$$
 (7)

The estimated values for N_{th} and E_{th} are 1.06×10^{17} cm⁻³ and 1.5 mJ respectively and more than [16] for lamp

pumping. The main reason is that the Nd:YAG rod is transversally pumped by diode laser, which is more efficient than lamp pumping. The primary pumping pulse width is of the order of upper level's life time of Nd:YAG laser. It has been fixed at 200 µsec in the simulation. The dynamics of sudden decrease in population from its maximum initial value, N_i (2.06 × 10¹⁸ cm⁻³), to the minimal value and emergence of Nd:YAG laser pulse simultaneously is represented in **Figure 2**.

In the next section, the simulated photon density of Nd:YAG laser will be transformed into secondary pump energy for eye-safe signal generation.

3. Eye-Safe Laser Pulse Generation in IOPO

It is convenient to define secondary pump photon energy (Nd:YAG) as

$$E_{np} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \left| E_p \left(t \right) \right|^2, \qquad (8)$$

where $E_p(t)$ is the pump electric field as is described in Equation (5) and is related to photon density. The evolution of the pump, signal and idler fields during multiple round trips in the IOPO resonator has also been simulated. Nonlinear interaction in the KTP crystal, mirror losses including absorption losses in the crystal have been incorporated in the simulation. Assuming uniform plane waves interaction and perfect phase matching, we neglect the diffraction effects. The coupled equations for the parametric interaction along the *x*-crystallographic axis are:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_p}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\alpha_p E_p - \frac{\omega_p \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{eff}}}{n_p c} E_s E_i \sin\theta \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_s}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\alpha_s E_s - \frac{\omega_s \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{eff}}}{n_s c} E_p E_i \sin\theta \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_i}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\alpha_i E_i - \frac{\omega_i \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{eff}}}{n_i c} E_s E_p \sin\theta \tag{11}$$



Figure 2. Nd:YAG laser photon density (in solid line) and population inversion (in dashed line) vs. time.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}x} = \left(\frac{\omega_p E_s E_i}{E_p n_p} - \frac{\omega_s E_p E_i}{E_s n_s} - \frac{\omega_i E_s E_p}{E_i n_i}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}_{eff}}{c} \cos\theta \ (12)$$

It should be noted that all the fields in the Equations (9)-(12) are real. The initial phases of the signal and idler fields are not imposed by the interaction, so we suppose that they adjust themselves to maximize the energy transfer from the pump to signal and idler fields. For non-critical phase matching, $\theta = 90^{\circ}$, it means there is no angle tuning. In order to solve above coupled wave equations, we assume that pump field E_p remains constant in entire cavity length. Consequently, analytical solution of the above coupled wave equations for signal is given as:

$$E_{s}(x,t) = E_{s}(0,t)e^{-\alpha_{s}x}\cosh\Gamma(t)x.$$
 (13)

where

$$\Gamma(t) = N_{\text{round}} \pi a_{\text{KTP}}^2 \sqrt{k_s k_i g_s} E_p(t)$$
(14)

is the parametric gain co-efficient in cm^{-1} is the parametric gain co-efficient in cm^{-1} . Here,

$$k_{i(s)} = \frac{2\pi d_{eff}}{\lambda_{i(s)} n_{i(s)}},$$

is the response of the idler (signal) to the nonlinear crystal KTP and g_s is the signal spatial mode coupling coefficient and is defined as:

$$g_{s} = \frac{w_{p}^{2}}{w_{p}^{2} + w_{s}^{2}}$$
(15)

Here w_s and w_p are signal and pump beam waist, respectively. N_{round} is the number of round-trips for the gain, $N_{\text{round}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-R_2)}{(1+R_2)} \frac{A_c}{\pi w_s^2}$. It contains threshold gain similar

to Equation (6) of [17]. It is worthwhile to mention here that w_p impacts the overlap between the pump and signal and determines the intra-cavity pump intensity. Furthermore, the steady state signal spot size w_s is also associated with w_p as follows [17]:

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{L'\lambda_s}\right)^2 w_s^6 + w_s^2 - \frac{w_p^2}{2} = 0$$
 (16)

with L' is the optical length of the IOPO cavity and given as $L' = (L + (n_p - 1)l_{\text{KTP}})$. We numerically solve Equation (16) for a fix pump beam waist value and find out that root of polynomial equation in w_s around that fix value of w_p . The signal develops after some round trips in IOPO cavity and a time-delay

$$t_d = \left(l_{\text{Nd:YAG}} + \left(n_p - 1\right)T_{\text{Nd:YAG}}\right) / c,$$

and we assume that the signal electric field at the IOPO boundary is

$$E_s(0,t_d) = \pi a_{\text{KDP}}^2 l_{\text{KDP}} E_p(0,t_d).$$
(17)

Thus the expression for the signal energy is written as,

$$E_{ns}\left(L_{\text{iopo}},t\right) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 \left|E_s\left(L_{\text{iopo}},t\right)\right|^2.$$
 (18)

The constants/symbols along with values used in the simulation are provided in appendix. The parameters are either fixed or simulated. First, we simulate the primary pump source of the Nd:YAG laser and estimate the pumping rate. This pumping rate estimates initial population, N_i , used in initial conditions for the solution of the differential Equations (1) and (2). Numerical simulation is performed to investigate the population as well as the photon density of Nd:YAG pulsed laser. Another important simulated parameter is secondary pump beam quality as it determines the signal's beam quality. We fix the secondary pump beam waist and simulate the signal beam spot size and then follow the algorithm.

In the next section, the simulation results will be discussed briefly.

4. Results and Discussions

The results of Equations (8) and (18) are shown in **Figure 3**. It is quite evident that a 30 mJ Nd:YAG laser pulse with 5 n-second width generates a 9 mJ eye-safe signal pulse with 2.5 n-second width. The signal pulse emerges after a time delay commensurate with distance between mirrors M_1 and M_3 .

The secondary pump beam waist is a vital design parameter. The slight change in its value will drastically affect its signal's counterpart as is evident in **Figure 4**. To prove this point, we increase its value from 500 µm to



Figure 3. Secondary pump (solid) and signal energy (dashed) vs time for a) 0.05 cm (left) and b) 0.052 cm (right) pump beam waist.



Figure 4. Variation of the signal spot size (left) and corresponding energy (right) with secondary pump beam waist.

520 μ m, the peak energy of the signal decreases from 9 to 4 mJ. This sensitivity of the signal spot size and corresponding peak energy with the secondary pump beam waist is highlighted and shown in **Figure 4**.

It is quite obvious from the above figure that the signal spot size has a linear relationship with pump beam waist

and $\frac{W_s}{W_p} < 1$. It has been observed that the signal spatial

mode coupling coefficient slightly increases with the pump beam waist but remains less than 0.68. However, the increase in secondary pump beam waist decreases the number of round trip for the gain. Consequently, the signal energy drops sharply and this trend goes on. Hence, there should be no compromise on pump beam quality. Finally, a comparison among the reference and simulated results are provided in **Table 1**.

5. Conclusion

Eve-safe laser has been simulated in IOPO environment in two steps. In first step, diode laser has been used as a primary pump source for the generation of 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser and in second step, Nd:YAG laser has been used as a secondary pump source for the generation of 1573 nm eye-safe laser signal. It has been shown that the eye-safe laser signal emerges after a time delay of the order of few n-seconds. The simulation results are in fairly good agreement with the referred work [15,16]. The pump beam waist is a vital design parameter. It describes the pump beam intensity. Here, it also dictates eye-safe signal pulse energy. The simulation gives reasonable results for diode laser energy less than 2 J as a primary pump source. For larger values, the secondary pump-to-signal energy ratio increases and one has to refix the parameters.

Table 1. A comparison of the simulated and referred results.

| S. No. | Referred Results | Simulated Results |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Diode pump $\lambda = 808 \text{ nm}$ [15-17] | Diode pump $\lambda = 810 \text{ nm}$ |
| 2 | Diode Peak Power: 15 KW [15] | Diode Peak Power: 14 KW |
| 3 | Nd:YAG λ = 1064 nm [15-17] | Nd:YAG $\lambda = 1064$ nm |
| 4 | Nd:YAG energy/pulse: 33 mJ (Figure 2 of [16]) | Nd:YAG energy/pulse: 30 mJ |
| 5 | Signal $\lambda = 1570$ nm [15,16] | Signal $\lambda = 1573$ nm |
| 6 | Signal Peak Power: 9.1 MW [15] | Signal Peak Power: 9.0 MW |
| 7 | Signal Pulse width: 3.48 n-sec [15] | Signal Pulse width 2.5 n-sec |

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Rehan Akhter and Dr. A. H. Hamadani for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- E. Gregor, D. E. Niieuwsma and R. D. Stultz, "20 Hz Eyesafe Laser Rangefinder for Air Defense," *SPIE Proceedings*, Vol. 1207, 1990, pp. 124-134. doi:10.1117/12.17855
- [2] E. Nettleton, B. W. Schilling, D. N. Barr and J. S. Lei, "Monoblock Laser for a Low-Cost, Eyesafe, Microlaser Range Finder," *Applied Optics*, Vol. 39, No. 15, 2000, pp. 2428-2432. doi:10.1364/AO.39.002428.
- [3] S. Kuck, K. Petermann, U. Pohlmann, U. Schonhoff and G. Huber, "Tunable Room Temperature Laser Action of Cr⁴⁺-doped Y₃Sc_xAl_{5-x}O₁₂," Vol. 58, No. 2, 1994, pp 153-156.
- [4] N. V. Kuleshov, *et al.*, "Fluorescence Dynamics, Excited-State Absorption and Stimulated Emission of Er³⁺ in KY(WO₄)₂," *Optics InfoBase: Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1998, pp. 1205-1212. doi:10.1364/JOSAB.15.001205
- [5] I. Sokolska, E. Heumann, S. Kuck and T. Lukasiewicz, "Laser Oscillation of Er³⁺:YVO₄ and Er³⁺, Yb³⁺:YVO₄ Crystals in the Spectral Range around 1.6 μm," *Applied Physics B*, Vol. 71, No. 6, 2000, pp. 893-896.
- [6] A. Sennaroglu, "Broadly Tunable Cr-Doped Solid-State Lasers in the near Infrared and Visible," *Progress in Quantum Electronics*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 2002, pp. 287-352. doi:10.1016/S0079-6727(02)00016-2
- [7] P. Cerny, H. Jelinkova, P. G. Zverev and T. T. Basiev, "Solid State Lasers with Raman Frequency Conversion," *Progress in Quantum Electronics*, Vol. 28, No. 2, 2004, pp. 113-143. <u>doi:10.1016/j.pquantelec.2003.09.003</u>
- [8] Y. F. Chen, "Compact Efficient All-Solid-State Eye-Safe Laser with Self-Frequency Raman Conversion in a Nd: YVO₄ Crystal," *Optics Letters*, Vol. 29, No. 18, 2004, pp. 2172-2174. doi:10.1364/OL.29.002172
- [9] Y. F. Chen, "Efficient 1521-11 m Nd:GdVO₄ Raman Laser," *Optics Letters*, Vol. 29, No. 22, 2004, pp. 2632-2634. <u>doi:10.1364/OL.29.002632</u>
- [10] J. T. Murray, R. C. Powell, N. Peyghambarian, D. Smith, W. Austin and R. A. Stolzenberger, "Generation of 1.5 μm Radiation through Intracavity Solid State Raman Shifting in Ba(NO₃)₂ Nonlinear Crystals," *Optics Letters*, Vol. 20, No. 9, 1995, pp. 1017-1023. doi:10.1364/OL.20.001017
- [11] Y. Yashkir and H. M. Van Driel, "Passively Q-Switched 1.57-µm Intracavity Optical Parametric Oscillator," *Applied Optics*, Vol. 38, No. 12, 1999, pp. 2554-2559. doi:10.1364/AO.38.002554
- [12] A. Agnesi, S. Dell'aequa and G. Reali, "Diode-Pumped Quasi-Cw Intracavity Optical Parametric Oscillator at 1.57 μm with Efficient Pulse Shortening," *Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics*, Vol. 70, No. 6, 2000, pp. 751-753. doi:10.1007/PL00021130

- [13] W. Zendzian, J. K. Jabczynski, J. Kwiatkowski and K. Kopczynski, "300-kW, Eye-Safe Intracavity OPO Transmitter," *Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 6952, 2008, Article ID: 69520U.
- [14] F. Jin, G. Zhai, J. Li, N. Ma and S. S. Shi, "All Solid State Eye-Safe Optical Parametric Oscillators," *Laser Technology*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2002, pp. 201-203.
- [15] Y.-Y. Wang, K. Zhong and D.-G. Xu, "High-Energy Pulsed Eye-Safe Intracavity Optical Parametric Oscillator at 1.57 μm," *Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 7276, 2008,

Article ID: 727603.

- [16] R. Dabu, A. Stratan and C. Fenic, "Intracavity Pumped Nanosecond Optical Parametric Oscillator Emitting in the Eye-Safe Range," *Applied Optics*, Vol. 40, No. 24, 2001, pp. 4334-4340.
- [17] J. G. Miao, H. K. Bian and B. S. Wang, "Low Threshold Narrow Pulse Width Intra-Cavity Optical Parametric Oscillator at 1573 nm," *Optics Communications*, Vol. 265, No. 1, 2006, pp. 349-353. doi:10.1016/j.optcom.2006.03.040

172

Appendix

Constants and Symbols

| Diode pumping inputs. | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|----|-----|--|
| η_r | Laser diode irradiative efficiency | 50 | [%] | |
| η_t | Pump transfer efficiency | 80 | [%] | |
| η_a | Absorption efficiency of the diode laser | 90 | [%] | |
| η_{p1} | Primary pumping efficiency $\eta_{p1} = \eta_r \times \eta_t \times \eta_a$ | 36 | [%] | |
| P_p | Pumping peak power of diode laser | 14 | KW | |

| R_1 | Reflectivity of M ₁ (Nd:YAG transmission) | 99.7 | [%] |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------|
| R_2 | Reflectivity of M ₂ (Eye-safe transmission) | 99.3 | [%] |
| l | Nd:YAG Laser rod length | 7.5 | [cm] |
| l _{Nd:YAG} | Nd:YAG (only) laser cavity length | 87.5 | [cm] |
| $a_{\mathrm{KD}*\mathrm{P}}$ | Diameter of electro-optical Q-switch (Pockels cell) | 0.055 | [cm] |
| $l_{\mathrm{KD}^{*\mathrm{P}}}$ | Length of electro-optical Q-switch (Pockels cell) | 1.2 | [cm] |
| a | Diameter of Nd:YAG rod in cm | 0.05 | [cm] |
| L | Optical length of the Nd:YAG cavity | 91.122 | [cm] |
| η_{lsr} | Laser efficiency | 2.5 | [%] |
| L | Laser cavity Length | 90 | [cm] |
| $	au_1$ | Lower laser level life time | $120 	imes 10^{-9}$ | [sec] |
| $	au_2$ | Upper laser level life time | 230×10^{-6} | [sec] |

Nd:YAG inputs.

IOPO inputs

| $d_{e\!f\!f}$ | Effective nonlinear coefficient | $7	imes 10^{-10}$ | [cm/V] |
|------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| $a_{\rm ktp}$ | Diameter of KTP crystal | 0.04 | [cm] |
| $l_{\rm ktp}$ | KTP crystal length | 1.5 | [cm] |
| $l_{ m iopo}$ | Length of IOPO (only) | 2.5 | [cm] |
| $\eta_{ m con}$ | Conversion efficiency of secondary pump into signal | 0.90 | [%] |
| $	au_{ m RL}$ | Round trip time in IOPO resonator | 6.05×10^{-9} | [sec] |
| $	au_{ m RP}$ | Round trip time in IOPO cavity, $\tau_{RP} = (2 (l_{iopo} + (ns - 1) l_{ktp}))/c$ | $0.21 	imes 10^{-9}$ | [sec] |
| Ac | Area of the out-put coupler M ₁ & M ₂ | 0.5 | [cm ²] |
| n_p | Refractive index at secondary pump wavelength | 1.748 | - |
| n_s | Refractive index at signal wavelength | 1.5 | - |
| n_i | Refractive index at idler wavelength | 1.5 | - |
| $T_{\rm EOM}$ | Transmission of electro-optical modulator | 96 | [%] |
| $T_{\rm Nd:YAG}$ | Transmission of Nd:YAG | 96 | [%] |
| $T_{ m GP}$ | Transmission of polarizer | 86 | [%] |
| $T_{\rm KTP}$ | Transmission of KTP crystal | 98 | [%] |
| R_3 | Reflectivity of M ₃ for eye-safe signal | 100 | [%] |
| λ_i | Idler wavelength | $3.3 	imes 10^{-4}$ | [cm] |
| α_i | Attenuation coefficient of idler in KTP | 0.4 | $[cm^{-1}]$ |
| α_s | Attenuation coefficient of signal in KTP | 0.007 | $[cm^{-1}]$ |