

The Open Graph Theorem for Correspondences: A New Proof and Some Applications

Galeazzo Impicciatore¹, Francesco Ruscitti²

¹Department of Economics and Law, University la Sapienza, Rome, Italy ²Department of Political and Social Sciences, John Cabot University, Rome, Italy Email: galeazzo.impicciatore@uniroma1.it, fruscitti@johncabot.edu

Received May 9, 2012; revised June 11, 2012; accepted July 12, 2012

ABSTRACT

It is known that a correspondence from a topological space to a Euclidean space, with open and convex upper sections, has an open graph if and only if it is lower hemicontinuous. We refer to this result as the open graph theorem. We provide a new and simple proof of the open graph theorem. We also show that the open graph theorem leads to novel results on the existence of constant selections and fixed points for correspondences with non-compact and non-convex domain. Finally, we present an economic application of our results to a principal-agent model.

Keywords: Open Graph Theorem; Lower Hemicontinuity; Constant Selections; Fixed Points; Support of a Measure

1. Introduction

The closed graph theorem for correspondences asserts that a closed-valued correspondence with a compact Hausdorff range is upper hemicontinuous if and only if it has a closed graph. This result, especially when combined with Kakutani's fixed point theorem, has many well-known applications in economics. A companion theorem, which perhaps is less-known, is the "open graph theorem" for correspondences established by Zhou [1]: a correspondence S from an arbitrary topological space to \mathbb{R}^n , with open and convex values, is lower hemicontinuous if and only if it has an open graph. Zhou and other authors have already used the open graph theorem to show the existence of fixed points for a correspondence $S: X \rightarrow X$, when X is convex and compact. These results have then been exploited to establish the existence of equilibria in abstract economies (see, e.g., Theorem 7 in Zhou [1]).

In this paper we first provide a new proof of the open graph theorem. Unlike the original proof of Zhou, which is based on the geometric properties of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , our proof relies on basic separation properties of convex sets. We underscore that our proof hinges on a lemma which is relevant for dynamic programming applications. Moreover, such a lemma also enables us to strengthen a theorem on correspondences reported in Moore [2].

Then, we demonstrate that the open graph theorem can be employed to obtain a new and simple result on the existence of fixed points and constant selections for a correspondence S whose domain X is simply a connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Note that we assume neither convexity nor compactness of X. Next, we prove, as a corollary, a quite surprising property of continuous correspondences defined on connected topological spaces. In a nutshell, under additional assumptions such correspondences must be constant. Finally, we develop an economic application of our corollary that concerns contract theory.

The lay-out of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we remind the reader the open graph theorem and we offer a new proof. To this end, we first prove an instrumental lemma which is interesting in its own right. In Section 3 we show how the open graph theorem leads to the existence of constant selections and fixed points. As a corollary, in Section 4 we provide sufficient conditions for constant correspondences. In Subsection 4.1 we discuss an economic application of our result about constant correspondences. Specifically, we focus on an abstract but fairly general principal-agent model.

2. The Open Graph Theorem

The concepts concerning correspondences, used hereafter, should already be familiar. At any rate, we refer the reader to Aliprantis *et al.* [3], or Border [4]. In Section 4.1 we shall make use of the following result, reported in Moore [2] as Proposition 11.70.

Proposition 2.1. Let X be a topological space, and suppose that $S: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lower hemicontinuous correspondence with convex values. Then, the correspon-

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

dence $\tilde{S}: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\tilde{S}(x) = intS(x)$$

has open lower sections.1

The following theorem is due to Zhou ([1], Proposition 2).

Theorem 2.1. (The open graph theorem): Let X be a topological space, and suppose that $S: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a correspondence with convex and open upper sections. Then, S is lower hemicontinuous if and only if it has an open graph.

Next we offer a novel proof of Theorem 2.1. Our proof hinges upon the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $S: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lower hemicontinuous correspondence, where X is an arbitrary topological space. Suppose that S is convex-valued, and for some $\overline{x} \in X$, int $S(\overline{x})$ is non-empty. Then, for any compact set $K \subset \text{int}S(\overline{x})$, there exists a neighborhood $V(\overline{x})$ of \overline{x} such that $K \subset S(x')$ for all $x' \in V(\overline{x})$.

Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the claim is false. Then, there is a compact set K, with $K \subset intS(\overline{x})$ for some $\overline{x} \in X$, a net $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ in X such that $x_{\alpha} \to \overline{x}$, and a net $\{\tilde{y}_{\alpha}\}$ such that $\tilde{y}_{\alpha} \in K$ but $\tilde{y}_{\alpha} \notin S(x_{\alpha})$ for each α . By a standard result on separation of convex sets, the vectors \tilde{y}_{α} can be separated from the sets $S(x_{\alpha})$. That is, there exists a net $\{\pi_{\alpha}\}$ such that

$$\langle \pi_{\alpha}, \tilde{y}_{\alpha} - y_{\alpha} \rangle \ge 0$$

for any $y_{\alpha} \in S\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ $\left(\left\langle \cdot,\cdot\right\rangle \right)$ denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^{n}). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|\pi_{\alpha}\|=1$ and that $\pi_{\alpha}\to\pi_{0}$ where $\|\pi_{0}\|=1$ (the proof works for any converging subnet of π_{α}). Now, since the net $\left\{\tilde{y}_{\alpha}\right\}$ lies in K, assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{y}_{\alpha}\to\tilde{y}_{0}\in K$. Since $K\subset intS\left(\overline{x}\right)$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\subset S(\overline{x})$, where $B_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)$ is the closed ball of radius ε around \tilde{y}_{0} . Now let $z_{\alpha}=\tilde{y}_{0}+\varepsilon\pi_{\alpha}$. For any $y_{\alpha}\in S\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$, we have that

$$\liminf_{\alpha} \langle \pi_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha} - y_{\alpha} \rangle \ge \varepsilon \tag{2.1}$$

This is because

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{y}_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{y}_{\alpha} \rangle$$
$$= \langle \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{y}_{\alpha} \rangle + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

and $\lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi_{\alpha}, \tilde{y}_{0} - y_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi_{\alpha}, \tilde{y}_{\alpha} - y_{\alpha} \rangle \ge 0$.

On the other hand, $z_{\alpha} \to z_0$, where $z_0 = \tilde{y}_0 + \varepsilon \pi_0$. Therefore, $z_0 \in B_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_0\right) \subset S(\overline{x})$. By lower hemicontinuity of S, there exists $\left\{\hat{y}_{\alpha}\right\}$, with $\hat{y}_{\alpha} \in S\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ for each α , such that $\hat{y}_{\alpha} \to z_0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle \pi_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha} - \hat{y}_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$$

which contradicts (2.1).

We remark that in the special case in which *X* is finite-dimensional, a proof of Lemma 2.1 can be derived from Proposition 4.15 and Theorem 5.9 in Rockafellar and Wets [5].²

Remark 2.1. When the compact set K is a singleton, Lemma 2.1 implies the following property of S: If $\overline{y} \in intS(\overline{x})$, then there exists a neighborhood $V(\overline{x})$ of \overline{x} such that $\overline{y} \in S(x')$ for all $x' \in V(\overline{x})$. In a finite-dimensional setting, this property has been exploited by Stokey *et al.* [6] and Kim [7], without proof, to establish the differentiability of the value function in dynamic programming. In Benveniste and Scheinkman [8], this property follows at once from the assumptions on the technology set and the initial behavior of the optimal path. Aliprantis *et al.* [9] basically assume it.

We are now ready to present a new proof of Theorem 2.1. In what follows, *gphs* denotes the graph of correspondence *S*.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If gphs is open, then S has open lower sections, and therefore S is lower hemicontinuous (Lemma 17.12 in Aliprantis and Border [3]). Now let $(x,y) \in gphS$. Then $y \in S(x)$, and since S has open upper sections, by Lemma 2.1 there exist open neighborhoods V(x) and W(y), of x and y respectively, such that $W(y) \subset S(x')$ for all $x' \in V(x)$. Therefore $V(x) \times W(y) \subset gphS$, and thus gphs is open in $X \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2.2. We stress that the above Proposition 2.1 is reported in Moore [2] without proof. However, notice that using Lemma 2.1 above it is easy to show that \tilde{S} , defined in Proposition 2.1, is lower hemicontinuous. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, \tilde{S} has open graph. Because open graph implies open lower sections, we obtain a result stronger than Moore's.

3. Existence of Fixed Points and Constant Selections

We now use the open graph theorem to establish the existence of fixed points for a correspondence $S: X \rightarrow X$, where X is simply a connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The following result doesn't require compactness or convexity of X. The idea of using connectedness as a substitute for convexity was suggested by Horvath [10]. However, our result neither implies nor is implied by his results. Recall that a topological space X is connected if the only clopen (simultaneously closed and open) subsets of X are \emptyset and X. A subset of a topological space is a connected set if it is a connected space with its relative topology. The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1.

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

¹From here onward, *int* denotes the interior with respect to the topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

²We thank A. Bagh for pointing this out to us.

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a connected topological space. Assume that $S: X woheadrightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is lower hemicontinuous, convex-valued, and with upper sections open in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose there exists $\overline{x} \in X$ and $\overline{y} \in S(\overline{x})$ such that $S^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is closed in X. Then, $\overline{y} \in S(x)$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, if $Y \subseteq X$, then S: X woheadrightarrow Y has a fixed point.

Proof. By assumption, the set $S^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is closed in X. Also, by the open graph theorem $S^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is open in $X^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is open in $X^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is both open and closed in X, $S^{-1}(\overline{y})$ is clearly non-empty by assumption, and X is connected, we must have that $X = S^{-1}(\overline{y})$, and we are done.

Remark 3.1. Note that Theorem 3.1 actually establishes the existence of a constant selection.⁴ Note, also, that on one hand Theorem 3.1 requires more conditions on S than convexity and lower hemicontinuity needed for fixed point theorems in \mathbb{R}^n . On the other hand, our theorem does not impose any compactness or convexity assumptions on X.

4. Constant Correspondences

Theorem 3.1 implies that the full continuity of a correspondence with open and convex upper section is restrictive, as the following surprising corollary illustrates.

Corollary 4.1. Let X be a connected topological space. If $S: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nonempty-valued continuous correspondence with convex and open upper sections, then S is constant on X.

Proof. The upper hemicontinuity of S implies that for any $x \in X$ and any $y \in S(x)$, $S^{-1}(y)$ is closed in X (Lemma 17.4 in [3]). It then follows from Theorem 3.1 that for any $x \in X$ and $y \in S(x)$, $y \in S(x')$ for all $x' \in X$. Hence, S is constant on X.

Remark 4.1. It should be clear from the above proof that Corollary 4.1 still holds true if one replaces upper hemicontinuity with the weaker assumption that *S* has closed lower sections.

Principal-Agent Models

To illustrate the usefulness of Corollary 4.1, we shall outline an application to contract theory. For the main concepts and basic results about the principal-agent model we refer the reader to Grossman and Hart [11].

There is one principal and one risk-averse agent; let \mathcal{A} be the set of the agent's feasible actions; let \mathbb{R}^n be the set of verifiable performance measures. Let $\pi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function that maps any feasible action to a (non-atomic) Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n . $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equipped with the weak topology.

Notice that with these primitives the support⁶ gives rise to a well-defined correspondence $\mu \mapsto \text{supp } \mu$ from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be such a correspondence. Finally, consider the composition $S := \Gamma \circ \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Following [12], let's say that the model exhibits shifting support if the latter changes with the action. With shifting support, it is known that basically no hidden action problem exists. Furthermore, there are actions (which are not least-costly to the agent) that can be implemented by the principal at the full-information cost (see [11,12]). Therefore, the case of a shifting support is in this sense trivial. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, in the principal-agent literature a shifting support is assumed away. In contrast, here we want to provide sufficient conditions, on the fundamentals of the model, in order that the support be independent of the actions. In other words, we seek conditions that result in the correspondence *S* being constant. To this end, let

 $\tilde{\Gamma}: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the correspondence defined by $\tilde{\Gamma}(\mu) = int\Gamma(\mu)$. The $\tilde{S}:=\tilde{\Gamma}\circ\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{R}^n$. It will suffice to show that \tilde{S} is constant.

Assumption 4.1.1. \mathcal{A} is a connected topological space, π is continuous, and $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a set of Borel probability measures with support which is convex and that has non-empty interior. Moreover, \tilde{S} has closed lower sections.

Proposition 4.1.1. Under Assumption 4.1.1, \tilde{S} is constant on A.

Proof. By Theorem 17.14 in [3], Γ is lower hemicontinuous. As the restriction of Γ to $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is convex-valued by assumption, it follows from Proposition 2.1 above that the restriction of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ to $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is lower hemicontinuous as well. Thus, $\tilde{S} := \tilde{\Gamma} \circ \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is lower hemicontinuous. Clearly, it is non-empty-valued and has convex and open upper sections. Hence, by Corollary 4.1 and Remark 4.1, $\tilde{S} := \tilde{\Gamma} \circ \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is constant on \mathcal{A} .

5. Conclusion

In this work we have presented a new proof of the open graph theorem. Then we have employed the latter to show the existence of fixed points and constant selections for correspondences defined on a connected domain. As a corollary, we have provided sufficient conditions for a correspondence to be constant. Finally, we have developed an economic application of constant correspondences that deals with contract theory. Our new

³Recall that open graph implies open lower sections.

⁴For economic applications of constant selections, see Horvath [10].

⁵This framework is general for it encompasses multi-tasks and multi-outcomes models.

⁶We borrow the notion of support from Aliprantis and Border ([3], p. 441). Also, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the support of μ by supp

⁷We have required the measure to be non-atomic (see above) to rule out point mass probability measures. Indeed, the latter measures admit a support with empty interior.

proof is based on a lemma that utilizes basic separating hyperplane theorems and that, therefore, employes standard techniques in mainstream economic theory. We have argued that from the mathematics standpoint such lemma may be of some interest in its own right, and moreover it sheds light on some classic proofs of the differentiability of the value function in deterministic dynamic programming. Our result on the existence of fixed points might be useful when compactness and convexity of the domain is not guaranteed but the correspondence at hand satisfies specific properties in addition to lower hemicontinuity and convexity of its upper sections. Whether it can be applied to general equilibrium models or in game theory is something that remains to be seen. Regarding the significance of our economic application, Proposition 4.1.1 provides "guidelines" to construct principal-agent models that give rise to a probability distribution, over the observable outcomes, with support that does not change with the agent's unobservable action. One does not have to assume from the outset that the support is constant.

REFERENCES

- J. Zhou, "On the Existence of Equilibrium for Abstract Economies," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 193, No. 3, 1995, pp. 839-858. doi:10.1006/jmaa.1995.1271
- [2] J. C. Moore, "Mathematical Methods for Economic Theory 2," Studies in Economic Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Vol. 10, 1999.
- [3] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, "Infinite Dimensional Analysis A Hitchhiker's Guide," 3rd Edition, Springer-

- Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [4] K. Border, "Fixed Point Theorems with Applications to Economics and Game Theory," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511625756
- [5] R. T. Rockafellar and R. Wets, "Variational Analysis," 3rd Edition, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Vol. 317, 2009.
- [6] N. Stokey, R. E. Lucas and E. Prescott, "Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics," Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [7] T. Kim, "Differentiability of the Value Function: A New Characterization," Seoul Journal of Economics, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1993, pp. 257-265.
- [8] L. M. Benveniste and J. A. Scheinkman, "On the Differentiability of the Value Function in Dynamic Models of Economics," *Econometrica*, Vol. 47, No. 3, 1979, pp. 727-732. doi:10.2307/1910417
- [9] C. D. Aliprantis, G. Camera and F. Ruscitti, "Monetary Equilibrium and the Differentiability of the Value Function," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 2009, pp. 454-462. doi:10.1016/j.jedc.2008.06.010
- [10] C. D. Horvath, "On the Existence of Constant Selections," *Topology and Its Applications*, Vol. 104, No. 1-3, 2000, pp. 119-139. doi:10.1016/S0166-8641(99)00022-X
- [11] S. J. Grossman and O. D. Hart, "An Analysis of the Principal-Agent Problem," *Econometrica*, Vol. 51, No. 1, 1983, pp. 7-45. doi:10.2307/1912246
- [12] B. Caillaud and B. E. Hermalin, "Hidden Action and Incentives," 2000. http://faculty.haas.berkeley.edu/hermalin/agencyread.pdf

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.