

Manufacturing and Production Economics Numerical Model Old Non-FMS versus Modern FMS Plants

Gerald Aranoff

Ariel University, Ariel, Israel

Email: garanoff@netvision.net.il

How to cite this paper: Aranoff, G. (2019) Manufacturing and Production Economics Numerical Model Old Non-FMS versus Modern FMS Plants. *Modern Economy*, 10, 2387-2392.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2019.1012150>

Received: November 5, 2019

Accepted: December 24, 2019

Published: December 27, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Flexible Manufacturing System (FMS) is a modern innovation in manufacturing technology that allows a factory to switch at no cost between manufacturing different products. This paper presents a simple numerical model of manufacturing and production economics with illustrated demand and cost curves. The model shows industry long-run equilibrium ($E(\pi) = 0$) for manufacturing and production to supply hypothetical demand schedules for two products: 1 and 2. The model has two plant types: old non-FMS Plant_{2L} that can produce only product 2 and modern FMS Plant_K that can switch easily between manufacturing products 1 and 2. The model assumes linear total cost curves with absolute capacity limits for the two plant types.

Keywords

Flexible Manufacturing System

1. Introduction

1.1. Manufacturing and Production

Manufacturing is the transformation of raw materials into new products. Statistics show the importance of manufacturing and production in the US¹:

Manufacturing is an essential component of gross domestic product, which was \$2.33 trillion in 2018, and drove 11.6% of US economic output, according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Manufactured goods comprise half of US exports. Manufacturing adds a lot of value to the power of the US economy. Every dollar spent in manufacturing adds \$1.89 in business

¹<https://www.thebalance.com/u-s-manufacturing-what-it-is-statistics-and-outlook-3305575>.

growth in other supporting sectors, including retailing, transportation, and business services. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the United States has 12.85 million manufacturing jobs, which employs 8.5% of the workforce, and pays 12% more than other jobs.

1.2. Percent Capacity Utilization: Generally Low

Today we experience world-wide technological revolutions, political upheavals, trade wars, and financial crises. World economies generally operate today below long-run average rates for capacity utilization.

US reports on capacity utilization for manufacturing are shown in **Table 1**².

1.3. The Flexible Manufacturing System: Surviving Trade Wars and Technology Revolutions

A flexible manufacturing system allows the system to react to changes, whether predicted or unpredicted. This flexibility falls into two categories. The first category, routing flexibility, covers the system's ability to produce new product types and change the order of operations executed on a part. The second category is called machine flexibility, which is the ability to use multiple machines to perform the same operation on a part, as well as the system's ability to absorb large-scale changes, such as in volume or capability. In my simple numerical example of flexible versus inflexible manufacturing system, K versus L, K is the flexible manufacturing system that can easily switch from making Product 1 to making Product 2 and visa versa. L is the inflexible manufacturing system that manufactures only Product 2.

The flexible manufacturing system is an exciting new development in manufacturing technology, allowing switching easily between products manufactured. Researchers in production and manufacturing praise the flexible manufacturing system³. My numerical example convincingly demonstrates the superiority of the flexible manufacturing system.

1.4. A World of Globalization

I wrote recently about today's world of globalization. I write⁴:

Globalization is a process of interaction and integration among the people, companies, and governments of different nations, a process driven by international trade and investment and aided by information technology.

Table 1. The US reports capacity utilization for manufacturing.

1972-2018	1994-1995	2009	October 2019
78.2%	84.7%	63.5%	75.2%
average	high	low	latest

²<https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/g17/current/table7.htm>.

³See Chan *et al.* [1].

⁴Aranoff, Gerald, "Globalization: Alternative Pricing in a Peak-Load Pricing Model," *Modern Economy*, 8 July 2017 [2].

Globalization increases under open-market systems domestically and internationally. Countries under open-market systems have large numbers of producers and buyers of goods and services each acting to his/her interest to secure profits and consumer welfare with minimal government interference/regulation.

With globalization today, there is an urgent need for flexible manufacturing systems, whereby factories can easily switch making Product 1 to Product 2 to Product 3, and so on.

2. Numerical Example

2.1. Old Non-FMS Plant_{2L} versus Modern FMS Plant_K

In my numerical example, for simplification, I assume the demand schedules for product 1 and for product 2 are identical except for the frequency of the occurrence, w . At times of w_1 there is demand only for product 1 and no demand for product 2. At times of w_2 there is demand for product 2 and no demand for product 1.

$$P_1 = 3456/Q_1 w_1$$

$$P_2 = 3456/Q_2 w_2$$

I assume that the modern FMS plant_K has lower variable cost (VC) per unit and higher fixed cost (FC) per period than the Old non-FMS plant_{2L}. Modern FMS plants have the latest robots and computerized production equipment making them capital intensive. Old non-FMS plants have durable and specific assets with low FC and operate as standby capacity to meet peak demand periods. The model assumes, for simplicity, that the modern FMS plant has the same VC and FC, for products 1 and 2. The assumption is that products 1 and 2 use raw materials that cost the same and that the production process is the same or similar.

2.2. The Industry of Manufacturing Product 1 and Product 2

Investors seeking to invest in manufacturing and production of product 1 and product 2 can choose between two hypothetical plants, modern FMS plant_K and Old non-FMS plant_{2L}. Both plants have durable specific assets and linear short-run total costs curves with absolute capacity limits. The plants differ in per-unit variable cost, (b), per-unit fixed cost, (β), and capacity per plant, (q). My notation is that b is the constant per-unit variable operating cost. β is the per-unit fixed capacity cost where the numerator is the constant fixed costs per week and the denominator is the maximum the plant can produce in a week. I assume periods of a week. I assume q is the operating rate in a week. Let n be the number of plants, a continuous variable.

I assume $b_K < b_L$, $\beta_K > \beta_L$, and $q_L > q_K$ ⁵. In my model, investors can order any number of plants_K or plants_L. Plant_K has the lean accounting system which

⁵As in my *Economic Modelling* article [3].

lowers its b . Plant_K requires more fixed assets for the same output which raises its β and lowers its q for the same investment amount, say \$1,000,000. Investors cannot choose a mixture of plant_K and plant_L.

For simplification, the industry will be comprised of only plants_K or only plants_L. If investors could choose a mixture of plant_K and plant_L, then plant_K would dominate.

Demand fluctuates between P_1 with frequency w_1 and P_2 with frequency w_2 .

$$\text{Expected total revenues} = E(TR) = P_1 Q_1 w_1 + P_2 Q_2 w_2.$$

$$\text{Expected total costs} = E(TC) = b_K (Q_1 w_1 + Q_2 w_2) + \beta_K (Q_1 + Q_2).$$

$$\text{Expected profits} = E(\pi) = E(TR) - E(TC).$$

$$\text{Long-run equilibrium requires: } E(\pi) = 0.$$

For simplification in my numerical example, let:

$$b_{1K} = \$24 \text{ per ton}_1 = b_{2K} = \$24 \text{ per ton}_2$$

$$\beta_{1K} = \$12 \text{ per ton}_1 = \beta_{2K} = \$12 \text{ per ton}_2$$

$$q_{1K} = 0.72 \text{ ton}_1 \text{ per week} = q_{2K} = 0.72 \text{ ton}_2 \text{ per week}$$

$$b_{2L} = \$31.2 \text{ per ton}_2$$

$$\beta_{2L} = \$4.8 \text{ per ton}_2$$

$$q_{2L} = 0.9 \text{ ton}_2 \text{ per week}$$

$$w_1 = w_2 = 0.5$$

$$P_1 = 3456/Q_1 w_1$$

$$P_2 = 3456/Q_2 w_2$$

Plant_K illustrates flexible manufacturing system because it can switch between making product 1 and product 2 with perfect ease. Plant_L illustrates inflexible manufacturing system because it can make only product 2. Plant_L shuts down temporarily in w_1 when there is no demand for product 2. Fractional plants are permitted. No long-run economies of scale are assumed for each plant. Plant_K will dominate all the more in real-world cases, because it can switch among more than two products.

Figure 1 shows an industry with all inflexible manufacturing system plants_L

Let $w_2 =$	0.5
$STC_{2L} = b_{2L}q_i + \beta_{2L}q_{2L}$	
$b_{2L} =$	\$ 31.2 per ton ₂
$\beta_{2L} =$	\$ 4.8 per ton ₂
$q_{2L} =$	0.9 tons ₂ per week
$FC_{2L} = \beta_{2L} \times q_{2L} =$	\$ 4.32 per week
$SAC(\min)_{2L} =$	\$ 36 per ton ₂
$P_2 = b_{2L} + \beta_{2L}/w_2 =$	\$ 40.8 per ton ₂
$Q_2 =$	84.7 tons ₂ per week
$n_{2L} =$	53.09 plants _{2L}
$E(TR)_{2L} = P_2 Q_2 w_2 =$	\$ 1728
$E(TC)_{2L} = b_{2L} Q_2 w_2 + \beta_{2L} Q_2 =$	\$ 1728
$E(\pi)_{2L} =$	\$ 0

Figure 1. LR Equil. Old non-FMS Plant_{2L} and $D_2 w_2$.

manufacturing product 2. The industry capacity is 84.7 tons₂ per week from 53.09 plants_{2L}. Long run equilibrium exists because industry demand for product 2 is satisfied and expected profits over the cycle are zero.

Figure 2 shows an industry with all flexible manufacturing system plants_K. Plants_K can choose to manufacture product 1 or product 2. If plants_K choose to make only product 1, capacity is 84.7 tons₁ week using 117.65 plants_{1K}. If plants_K choose to make only product 2, capacity, is 84.7 tons₂ per week using 117.65 plants_{2K}.

3. Conclusion and Policy Implication

3.1. A Quantum Leap Forward in Manufacturing

Flexible manufacturing systems are a quantum leap forward in manufacturing. My earlier work on output-flexibility⁶ presumes old-fashioned factories as in John M. Clark's⁷ days. Investors will want to invest in plant capacity that has a flexible manufacturing system that will enable the factory to operate at a higher rate of utilization.

3.2. Automobile Manufacturing Facing Uncertainty

A recent WSJ article highlights uncertainty for automobile manufacturing⁸.

Let $P_1 = 3456/Q_1 w_1$	
Let $w_1 =$	0.5
Let $P_2 = 3456/Q_2 w_2$	
Let $w_2 =$	0.5
$b_{1K} = b_{2K} =$	\$24 per ton
$\beta_{1K} = \beta_{2K} =$	\$12 per ton
$q_{1K} = q_{2K} =$	0.72 tons per week
$FC_{1K} = \beta_{1K}Q_1 = FC_{2K} = \beta_{2K}Q_2 =$	\$ 8.64 per week
$SAC(\min)_{1K} = SAC(\min)_{2K} =$	\$ 36 per ton
$P_1 = P_2 =$	\$ 40.8 per ton
$Q_1 = Q_2 =$	84.7 tons per week
$n_K =$	117.65 plants _K
$E(TR)_K = P_1Q_1w_1 + P_2Q_2w_2 =$	\$ 1728
$E(TC)_K = b_K(Q_1w_1 + Q_2w_2) + \beta_K(Q_1 + Q_2) =$	\$ 1728
$E(\pi)_K =$	\$ 0

Figure 2. LR Equil. Modern FMS Plant_K and $D_1 w_1, D_2 w_2$.

⁶Aranoff, Gerald, "Competitive manufacturing with fluctuating demand and diverse technology: mathematical proofs and illuminations on industry output-flexibility," *Economic modelling* vol, 28 May 2011 1441-1450 [3].

⁷See John M. Clark *studies in the economics of overhead costs*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1923 Chapter 9 detailed data of an imaginary plant [4].

⁸<https://www.wsj.com/articles/automobile-woes-cast-cloud-over-eastern-europe-11574607781>.

“But as with many countries in central and eastern Europe, that reliance on auto making to drive growth may become a problem as the industry slows globally and faces big changes in demand and technology. The result was a fourfold increase in the number of vehicles manufactured in central and eastern Europe over the past two decades.”

Yes the global automobile industry is experiencing a slowdown. Cars are lasting longer and people are using ride sharing and other alternate transportation systems. I say, see what else car manufacturing plants could manufacture with their plants and staff. The global demand for cars may go back up, with technology improvements, rising global wealth everywhere and declining prices of cars.

3.3. More Complex Computer Models Needed

My simple numerical example can help companies make complex computer models of proposed plant expansion, construction, or renovation. Today, with globalization and intense worldwide competition, and technological developments, there is much uncertainty on exactly which products a company should make. Computer models will aid decision making. The flexible manufacturing system may justify capital expenditures where an inflexible manufacturing system could not be justified. Companies must have a flexible manufacturing system to prosper.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Chan, F.T.S., Bhagwat, R. and Wadhwa, S. (2008) Comparative Performance Analysis of a Flexible Manufacturing System (FMS): A Review-Period-Based Control. *International Journal of Production Research*, **46**, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207540500521188>
- [2] Aranoff, G. (2017) Globalization: Alternative Pricing in a Peak-Load Pricing Model. *Modern Economy*, **8**, 888-896. <https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2017.87062>
- [3] Aranoff, G. (2011) Competitive Manufacturing with Fluctuating Demand and Diverse Technology: Mathematical Proofs and Illuminations on Industry Output-Flexibility. *Economic Modelling*, **28**, 1441--1450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2011.02.016>
- [4] Maurice, C.J. (1923) *Studies in the Economics of Overhead Costs*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.