



Considerable Development of the Type Additive-Quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variable in (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Spaces

Ly Van An

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Tay Ninh, Vietnam

Email: lyvanan145@gmail.com, lyvananvietnam@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: An, L.V. (2023) Considerable Development of the Type Additive-Quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variable in (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Spaces. *Open Access Library Journal*, **10**: e10970.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1110970>

Received: November 6, 2023

Accepted: December 26, 2023

Published: December 29, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this article, I use the direct method to study two general functional inequalities with multivariables. First, I prove that the $g(\lambda)$ -function inequalities (1) and (2) are additive in $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2)$ -homogeneous F -spaces. After that, I continue to prove that the $g(\lambda)$ -function inequality (1) and (2) are quadratic in the $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2)$ -homogeneous F -space. That is the main result in this paper.

Subject Areas

Mathematics

Keywords

Additive $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequality, $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2)$ -Homogeneous F -Space, Additive-Quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequality, (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Space

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be a normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$. I use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for all the norm on both \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In this paper, I investigate some additive-quadratic λ -functional inequality in $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2)$ -homogeneous F -spaces.

In fact, when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces, I solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias type stability

of two forllowing additive-quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -functional inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and when I change the role of the function inequality (1), I continue to prove the following function inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \{h: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, h(\lambda) = \lambda\} \quad (3)$$

where $g \in \mathbf{H}$.

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad (4)$$

is called the Cauchy equation.

In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping. Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (5)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. The stability of quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [6] for mappings $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$,

where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 is a Banach space. Cholewa [7] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain E_1 is replaced by an Abelian group.

Recently, the I has studied the additive function inequalities or quadratic function inequalities of mathematicians around the world see [1]-[24], on spaces as complex Banach spaces, non-Archimedean Banach spaces or homogeneous F -space let me give two general additive-quadratic functional inequalities and show their solutions exist on (α_1, α_2) -homogeneous F -space.

In this article, I successfully built quadratic functional inequalities with the number of variables more than 3 on F -homogeneous space and I showed their solutions. This is a great step forward in the field of functional equations. Application to solve problems in many spaces with no limit on the number of variables.

The paper is organized as follows: In section preliminarier I remind a basic property such as I only redefine the solution definition of the equation of the additive function and F^* -space.

Section 3: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive $g(\lambda)$ -functional inequalities (1) when when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces.

Section 4: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive $g(\lambda)$ -functional inequalities (2) when when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces.

Section 5: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -functional inequalities (1) when when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces.

Section 6: is devoted to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -functional inequalities (2) when when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. F^* -Spaces

Let \mathbf{X} be a (complex) linear space. A nonnegative valued function $\|\cdot\|$ is an F -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- 2) $\|\lambda x\| = \|\lambda\| \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ and all λ with $|\lambda| = 1$;
- 3) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- 4) $\|\lambda_n x\| \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$;
- 5) $\|\lambda_n x\| \rightarrow 0$, $x_n \rightarrow 0$.

Then $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called an F^* -space. An F -space is a complete F^* -space. An F -norm is called β -homogeneous ($\beta > 0$) if $\|tx\| = |t|^\beta \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(\mathbf{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called α -homogeneous F -space.

2.2. Solutions of the Inequalities

The functional equation The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad (6)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (7)$$

is called the quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping.

3. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability Additive $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequalities (1) in α -Homogeneous F -Spaces

Now, I first study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces. Under this setting, I can show that the mapping satisfying (1) is additive. These results are give in the following.

Where: $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \leq 1$.

Lemma 1. Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an odd mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \lambda \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (8).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (8), we have

$$\|(4k-2)f(0)\| \leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \|2kf(0)\| \leq 0$$

therefore

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (8), we have

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq 0 \\ & f\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) = \frac{1}{2k} f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

From (8) and (9) I infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 & = |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) \tag{11}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

Next we replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, ky, \dots, ky, z, \dots, z)$ in (11), we have

$$f(kx + kz) + f(kx - kz) = 2kf(x) \tag{12}$$

for all $x, z \in \mathbf{X}$.

Now letting $p = kx + kz, q = kx - kz$ when that in (12), we get

$$f(p) + f(q) = 2kf\left(\frac{p+q}{2k}\right) = 2k \cdot \frac{1}{2k} f(p+q) = f(p+q) \tag{13}$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbf{X}$. So f is an additive mapping, as we expected. The converse is obviously true. \square

Corollary 1. Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \\
 & \quad - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \\
 & = g(\lambda) \left(2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive.

Note! The functional equation (14) is called an additive λ -functional equation.

Theorem 2. Assume for $r > \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonngative real number, and Suppose $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j=1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r. \tag{16}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (15).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (15), we have

$$\|(4k - 2)f(0)\| \leq \|2kg(\lambda)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(|4k - 2|^{\alpha_2} - |2kg(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \right) \|f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (15) we have

$$\|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq (2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1)\theta \|x\|^r \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\| f(x) - 2kf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (2k)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l}\right) - (2k)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| (2k)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}\right) - (2k)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_2 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (19)

that the sequence $\left\{ (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (19), we get (16).

Form $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is even, the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is even.

It follows from (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. + f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| 2kf\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2kf\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) \right\| \\ & \left. + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r}} \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \right. \\ & = |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j=1 \rightarrow n$, So by Lemma 1 it follows that the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Now we need to prove uniqueness, Suppose $\phi': \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is also an additive mapping that satisfies (16). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(x) - \phi'(x)\| &= (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left\| \phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| \\
&\leq (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left(\left\| \phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| + \left\| \phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2 \cdot (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} \cdot (2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1)}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r} \left((2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (2k)^{\alpha_2} \right)} \theta \|x\|^r
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $\phi(x) = \phi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves thus the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a unique mapping satisfying (16) as we expected.

Theorem 3. Assume for $r < \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonnegative real number, and Suppose $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j=1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2} - (2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r. \quad (23)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (22).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (22), we have

$$\|(4k - 2)f(0)\| \leq \|2kg(\lambda)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(|4k - 2|^{\alpha_2} - |2kg(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \right) \|f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (22) we have

$$\|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq (2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1)\theta \|x\|^r \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2k} f(2kx) \right\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (25)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^l} f((2k)^l x) - \frac{1}{(2k)^m} f((2k)^m x) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^j} f((2k)^j x) - \frac{1}{(2k)^{j+1}} f((2k)^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_2 j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (26)

that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x) \right\}$ is a cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Since \mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (26), we get (23).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. \square

4. Stability Additive $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequalities (2) in (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Spaces

Now, we study the solutions of (2). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces. Under this setting, I can show that the mapping satisfying (2) is additive. These results are give in the following.

Lemma 4. Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an odd mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive.

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (27).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (27), we have

$$\|2kf(0)\| \leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \|(4k-2)f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(2kx, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (27), we have

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 4kf \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) - 2f(x) \right\| \leq 0 \\ & f \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) = \frac{1}{2k} f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

From (27) and (28) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| -3 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, as we expected. The converse is obviously true. \square

Corollary 2. Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping satifies

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \\
& - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \\
& = g(\lambda) \left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive.

Note! The functional equation (30) is called an additive λ -functional equation.

Theorem 5. Assume for $r > \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonngative real number, and Suppose $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \lambda \left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (4k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r. \quad (32)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (38).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (38), we have

$$\|2f(0)\| \leq |\lambda|^{\alpha_2} \|(4k-2)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(|4k-2|^{\alpha_2} - |2\lambda|^{\alpha_2} \right) \|f(0)\| \leq 0$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(2kx, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (38) we have

$$\left\| 4f\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{k}f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (33)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\| 4kf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} k^{\alpha_2} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (34)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (4k)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l}\right) - (4k)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| (4k)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}\right) - (4k)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} k^{\alpha_2} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(4k)^{\alpha_2 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r j}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (35)

that the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (35), we get (39). Form $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is even, the mapping

$$\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

is even. It follows from (38) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right\| \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left\| 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^{n+1}}\right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^{n+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{z_j}{(2k)^n}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{-z_j}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| \\
& \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^{\alpha_2 n} |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| 2f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n} z_j\right) \right\| \\
& + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(4k)^{\alpha_2 n}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r}} \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \\
& = \left\| \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, So by Lemma 4.1 it follows that the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Now we need to prove uniqueness, Suppose $\phi': \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is also a quadratic mapping that satisfies (39). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(x) - \phi'(x)\| &= (4k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left\| \phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - \phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| \\
&\leq (4k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left(\left\| \phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| + \left\| \phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\| \right) \quad (37) \\
&\leq \frac{2 \cdot (4k)^{\alpha_2 n} \cdot (2k)^{\alpha_1 r}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r} \left((2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (4k)^{\alpha_2} \right)} \theta \|x\|^r
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $\phi(x) = \phi'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves thus the mapping $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique mapping satisfying (39) as we expected. \square

Theorem 6. Assume for $r < \frac{2\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonnegative real number, $f(0) = 0$ and Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_Y \\
&\leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_Y \\
&\quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}}{(4k)^{\alpha_2} - (2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r. \quad (39)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The proof is similar to theorem 5.

5. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability Quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -Functional Inequalities (1) in (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Spaces

Now, we first study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when X is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that Y is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (1) is quadratic. These results are give in the following.

Lemma 7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic.

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (40).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (40), we have

$$\|(4k-2)f(0)\| \leq |\lambda|^{\alpha_2} \|2kf(0)\| \leq 0$$

therefore

$$\text{So } f(0) = 0.$$

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (40), we have

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq 0 \\
& f\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) = \frac{1}{2k} f(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

From (40) and (41) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \lambda \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& = |\lambda|^{\alpha_2} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) \quad (43)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

As we expected. The converse is obviously true. \square

Corollary 3. Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \\ & - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \\ & = g(\lambda) \left(2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic.

Note! The functional equation (44) is called an quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -functional equation.

Theorem 8. Assume for $r > \frac{2\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonngative real number, and

Suppose $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (46)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (45).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (45), we have

$$\|(4k-2)f(0)\| \leq \|2kg(\lambda)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(\left|4k-2\right|^{\alpha_2} - \left|2kg(\lambda)\right|^{\alpha_2}\right)\|f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (45) we have

$$\|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq (2k^{\alpha_{r+1}} + 1)\theta\|x\|^r \quad (47)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\|f(x) - 2kf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_{r+1}} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_r}}\theta\|x\|^r \quad (48)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (2k)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l}\right) - (2k)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| (2k)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}\right) - (2k)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_{r+1}} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_r}} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_2 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_{rj}}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (49)

that the sequence $\left\{ (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (49), we get (46).

Form $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is even, the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is even.

It follows from (45) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k \phi\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(-z_j) \right\| \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2k)^{\alpha_2 n} \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}-\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right) \\
 &-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}z_j\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n}z_j\right)\Big\| \\
 &\leq \lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}|g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2}\left\|2kf\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}+\frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right. \\
 &+2kf\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}-\frac{1}{(2k)^{n+1}}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)-3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right) \\
 &-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n}\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{1}{(2k)^n}z_j\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{1}{(2k)^n}z_j\right)\Big\| \\
 &+\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\frac{(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r}}\theta\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\|x_j\|^r+\sum_{j=1}^k\|y_j\|^r+\sum_{j=1}^k\|z_j\|^r\right) \\
 &=|g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2}\left\|2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}+\frac{1}{2k}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)+2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}-\frac{1}{2k}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right. \\
 &\left.-3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j)\right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \tag{50}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\|f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}+\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)+f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}-\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right. \\
 &\left.-2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j)\right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
 &\leq\left\|g(\lambda)\left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}+\frac{1}{2k}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)+2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{x_j+y_j}{(2k)^2}-\frac{1}{2k}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)\right.\right. \\
 &\left.\left.-3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j+y_j}{2k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j)-\sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j)\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, So by Lemma 7 it follows that the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic. Now we need to prove uniqueness, Suppose $\phi': \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is also an additive mapping that satisfies (46). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\phi(x)-\phi'(x)\|=(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)-\phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)\right\| \\
 &\leq(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}\left(\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)\right\|+\left\|\phi'\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)\right\|\right) \tag{51} \\
 &\leq\frac{2\cdot(2k)^{\alpha_2 n}\cdot(2k^{\alpha_1 r+1}+1)}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 n r}\left((2k)^{\alpha_1 r}-(2k)^{\alpha_2}\right)}\theta\|x\|^r
 \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $\phi(x) = \phi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves thus the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a unique mapping satisfying (46) as we expected.

Theorem 9. Assume for $r < \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonngative real number, and Suppose $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j=1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2} - (2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (53)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (52).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (52), we have

$$\|(4k - 2)f(0)\| \leq \|2kg(\lambda)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(|4k - 2|^{\alpha_2} - |2kg(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \right) \|f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Next replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(kx, \dots, kx, kx, \dots, kx, x, \dots, x)$ in (52) we have

$$\|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq (2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1)\theta \|x\|^r \quad (54)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2k} f(2kx) \right\| \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (55)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^l} f((2k)^l x) - \frac{1}{(2k)^m} f((2k)^m x) \right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^j} f((2k)^j x) - \frac{1}{(2k)^{j+1}} f((2k)^{j+1} x) \right\| \quad (56) \\
& \leq \frac{2k^{\alpha_1 r + 1} + 1}{(2k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_2 j}} \|x\|^r
\end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (56)

that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f((2k)^n x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (56), we get (53).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. \square

6. Stability Quadratic λ -Functional Inequalities (2) in (α_1, α_2) -Homogeneous F -Spaces

Now, we study the solutions of (2). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} is a α_1 -homogeneous F -spaces and that \mathbf{Y} is a α_2 -homogeneous F -spaces. Under this setting, we can show that the mapping satisfying (2) is quadratic. These results are given in the following.

Lemma 10. Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (57) \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j=1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (57).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (57), we have

$$\|2kf(0)\| \leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \|(4k-2)f(0)\|$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(2kx, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (57), we have

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 4kf\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) - 2f(x) \right\| &\leq 0 \\ f\left(\frac{x}{2k}\right) &= \frac{1}{2k} f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

From (57) and (58) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \left\| 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \left\| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, and so

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j = 1 \rightarrow n$, as we expected. The converse is obviously true. \square

Corollary 4. Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be an even mapping satilies

$$\begin{aligned} &2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2kf\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \\ &\quad - 3\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \\ &= g(\lambda) \left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$ for $j=1 \rightarrow n$, if and only if $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is quadratic.

Note! The functional equation (60) is called a quadratic $g(\lambda)$ -functional equation.

Theorem 11. Assume for $r > \frac{2\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonngative real number, and Suppose $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a even mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + 2kf \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + f \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f \left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j=1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r} - (4k)^{\alpha_2}} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (62)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (61).

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (61), we have

$$\|2f(0)\| \leq |g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \|(4k-2)f(0)\|$$

therefore

$$\left(|4k-2|^{\alpha_2} - |2g(\lambda)|^{\alpha_2} \right) \|f(0)\| \leq 0$$

So $f(0) = 0$.

Replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(2kx, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (61) we have

$$\left\| 4f \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) - \frac{1}{k} f(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (63)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\left\| 4kf \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) - f(x) \right\| \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} k^{\alpha_2} \theta \|x\|^r \quad (64)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| (4k)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^l}\right) - (4k)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^m}\right) \right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| (4k)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^j}\right) - (4k)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \quad (65) \\
& \leq (2k)^{\alpha_1 r} k^{\alpha_2} \theta \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(4k)^{\alpha_2 j}}{(2k)^{\alpha_1 j r}} \|x\|^r
\end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative integers p, l with $p > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (65)

that the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right) \right\}$ converges.

So one can define the mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (4k)^n f\left(\frac{x}{(2k)^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (65), we get (62). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8. \square

Theorem 12. Assume for $r < \frac{2\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$, θ be nonnegative real number, $f(0) = 0$

and suppose $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{(2k)^2} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(-\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| g(\lambda) \left(f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2k}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(z_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f(-z_j) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (66) \\
& + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in X$ for all $j = 1 \rightarrow n$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\phi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \phi(x)\| \leq \frac{(2k)^{\alpha_1 r}}{(4k)^{\alpha_2} - (2k)^{\alpha_1 r}} \theta \|x\|^r. \quad (67)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

The proof is similar to theorem 8 and 9.

7. Conclusion

In this article, I construct two general functional inequalities with multivariables on homogeneous space and show that their solutions are additive-quadratic maps.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Ulam, S.M. (1960) A Collection of Mathematical Problems (Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics). Interscience Publishers, New York.
- [2] Hyers, D.H. (1941) On the Stability of the Linear Functional Equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **27**, 222-224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [3] Aoki, T. (1950) On the Stability of the Linear Transformation in Banach Spaces. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **2**, 64-66. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>
- [4] Rassias, T.M. (1978) On the Stability of the Linear Mapping in Banach Spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **72**, 297-300. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1>
- [5] Găvruta, P. (1994) A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **184**, 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>
- [6] Skof, F. (1983) Proprieta' locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, **53**, 113-129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02924890>
- [7] Cholewa, P.W. (1984) Remarks on the Stability of Functional Equations. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **27**, 76-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02192660>
- [8] Park, C.K. (2015) Additive β -Functional Inequalities and Equations. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 17-26. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-02>
- [9] Park, C.K. (2015) Additive β -Functional Inequalities in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 397-407. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-33>
- [10] Park, C.K., Ghasemi, K., Ghaleh, S.G. and Jang, S.Y. (2013) Approximate n-Jordan $*$ -Homomorphisms in C^* -Algebras. *Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications*, **15**, 365-368.
- [11] Park, C.K., Najati, A. and Jang, S.Y. (2013) Fixed Points and Fuzzy Stability of an Additive-Quadratic Functional Equation. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, **15**, 452-462.
- [12] Rolewicz, S. (1972) Metric Linear Spaces, Monografie Matematyczne. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw.
- [13] Shagholi, S., Gordji, M.E. and Bavand Savadkouhi, M. (2011) Nearly Ternary Cubic Homomorphism in Ternary Frechet Algebras. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, **13**, 1106-1114.
- [14] Shagholi, S., Gordji, M.E. and Bavand Savadkouhi, M. (2011) Stability of Ternary Quadratic Derivation on Ternary Banach Algebras. *Journal of Computational Analysis*

- and Applications*, **13**, 1097-1105.
- [15] Shin, D.Y., Park, C.K. and Farhadabadi, S. (2014) On the Superstability of Ternary Jordan C^* -Homomorphisms. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, **16**, 964-973.
- [16] Shin, D.Y., Park, C.K. and Farhadabadi, S. (2014) Stability and Superstability of J^* -Homomorphisms and J^* -Derivations for a Generalized Cauchy-Jensen Equation. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, **17**, 125-134.
- [17] Van An, L. (2020) Generalized Hyers-Ulam Type Stability of the Type Functional Equation with $2k$ -Variable in Non-Archimedean Space. *Asia Matematika*, **5**, 69-83.
- [18] Van An, L. (2020) Generalized Hyers-Ulam Type Stability of the Additive Functional Equation Inequalities with $2n$ -Variables on an Approximate Group and Ring Homomorphism. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*, **66**, 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V66I9P509>
- [19] Van An, L. (2021) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Type Stability of the with $2k$ -Variable Quadratic Functional Inequalities in Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces and Banach Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*, **67**, 183-197. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V67I9P521>
- [20] Van An, L. (2021) Generalized Hyers-Ulam Type Stability of the $2k$ -Variables Quadratic β -Functional Inequalities and Function in γ -Homogeneous Normed Space. *International Journal of Mathematics and Its Applications*, **9**, 81-93.
- [21] Van An, L. (2023) Generalized Stability of Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variables Associated for Jordan-Von Neumann-Type Additive Functional Equation. *Open Access Library Journal*, **10**, e9681.
- [22] Van An, L. (2020) Generalized Hyers-Ulam Type Stability of the $2k$ -Variable Additive β -Functional Inequalities and Equations in Complex Banach Space. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **66**, 134-147. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V66I7P518>
- [23] Van An, L. (2022) Generalized Stability Additive λ -Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variable in a-Homogeneous F-Spaces. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, **20**, Article 43. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-20-2022-43>
- [24] Van An, L. (2023) The Fekete-Szegő Problem of Analytic Functions Based on the De-Ferential Operator and Certain Subclasses. *Journal of Advances in Mathematics*, **22**, 40-52. <https://rajpub.com/index.php/jam>
<https://doi.org/10.24297/jam.v22i.9499>