### A Personality-based Adaptive System for Visualizing Classical Music Performances



**Markus Schedl**, Mark Melenhorst, Cynthia C.S. Liem, Agustín Martorell, Óscar Mayor, Marko Tkalčič

http://www.cp.jku.at









SCORE

**BAR TO BAR** 

- Performances as Highly Enriched aNd Interactive Concert eXperiences
- Aims at making classical concerts appealing to new audiences, in particular, the younger generation
- Social media as a means to create user profiles and elaborate personalized music information and recommendation systems

(pre-, during-, post-concert experiences)

• Motivate fans of classical music to use social media

00:15

#### Aim

To create a **personalized** music information system, in this case a **music visualization system**.

For personalization, we model listeners in terms of **personality traits**, according to the Big Five Inventory (BFI): Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism

#### Overview

- Visualizations for classical music in PHENICX
- Investigating personality-based preferences for visualizations
- Personalized music visualization system
- Evaluation and conclusions

# Visualizations for classical music **Score Follower**



# Visualizations for classical music **Score Follower**



## Visualizations for classical music Orchestra Layout



## Visualizations for classical music Orchestra Layout



## Visualizations for classical music Structure Visualization

I	Movements Beethoven - Symphony n.9											
	Movement IV											
	Introduction, theme & variations		Scherz	o	Medit	ation		Fugato fina	ale			
Intro	Introduction, theme & variations   Recitative & Citations Theme & Variations (instrumental)   Intro Theme & Variations (vocal)											
Recit. Recit.	Recit. Recit. Recit.	Theme var.1 var.2 var.3 closing Theme var.1							var.2			
a the second second	Recitative & Citations											
I	Recitative	п	Recitative	ш	Re	citative	IV	Recitative				

## Visualizations for classical music Structure Visualization



# Investigating personality-based preferences for visualizations

User study to investigate relationship between **personality traits** and **preference for visualization** 

#### **Experimental setup:**

- Personality traits assessed by *44-items BFI questionnaire*
- Preference assessed by *pragmatic quality* (technical, complicated, impractical, cumbersome, unpredictable, confusing, unruly)
- Study conducted via Amazon Mechanical Turk
- 185 participants, paid 1.50\$, task lasted 17 minutes on average
- Between-subject design
- Participants first filled in the BFI-44 questionnaire, then were shown a demo video of the assigned visualization (Beethoven's 9<sup>th</sup> symphony), and asked to answer the pragmatic quality questions on a 7-point scale

# Investigating personality-based preferences for visualizations

**Correlation analysis** between personality traits and pragmatic quality ratings revealed several moderate, significant correlations (p < 0.03):

Visualization	Personality Trait	Rating Question	Correlation	p-value
Score Follower	Conscientiousness	cumbersome-direct	0.30	0.02184
Score Follower	Extraversion	pragmatic quality (overall)	0.36	0.00633
Score Follower	Agreeableness	lame-exciting	0.31	0.01727
Score Follower	Agreeableness	pragmatic quality (overall)	0.32	0.01637
Structure Visualization	Extraversion	technical-human	0.30	0.01540
Structure Visualization	Agreeableness	technical-human	0.33	0.00729
Structure Visualization	Agreeableness	impractical-practical	0.45	0.00019
Structure Visualization	Agreeableness	cumbersome-direct	0.38	0.00207
Structure Visualization	Agreeableness	confusing-clear	0.42	0.00052
Structure Visualization	Agreeableness	unruly-manageable	0.42	0.00065

- Real system that was implemented into the "RCO Editions" mobile application for enhanced experience of concerts
- Users won't answer 44 BFI questions before using the system
- Cross-correlations between BFI-44 and PQ scores to select two questions with highest absolute correlation:
  - BFI-7: "I see myself as someone who is helpful and unselfish with others."
  - BFI-18: "I see myself as someone who tends to be disorganized."

																Ĕ											5	
			_	10	9	2	Ξ	~	5	2		~	2	<u></u>		പ	~	6	6	4	+	~	∞	~		2	с С	
			<u>_</u>	Ĩ	Ŀ	<u>.</u> _	Ŀ	<u> </u>	Ŀ	2	2	<u>انا</u>	Ŀ	<u>i</u>	σ	N	ğ	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	Ŀ	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	Ŀ	<u> </u>	Ν	Ŀ	N	
			ā	ā	ā	ā	ā	ā	ā	ā	ē	ā	ā	ē	٩	2	т	ā	ā	ā	ē	ā	ā	ā	5	ā	5	4
	bfi	<u>_</u> 1	100	33	45	27	42	24	Х		24					Х	Х	-64		X		Х		X	×	Х	Х	
	bfi	i_5	33	100	42	38	38		50	47	22	27	Х				×	-38	-29	Х	-25				×	Х	×	
	bfi_	16	45	42	100	29	62	31	37	37	40	24	28	26	25		×	-47	-36		-33	-25			×	Х	X	0.8
	bfi_	10	27	38	29	100	30	30	32	47	X		Х		×	×			×	Х	×	×	×	×		Х	×	
	bfi_	11	42	38	62	30	100	46		24	36	33		32		×		-40	-45	-30	-54		-30	-26	×	X	X	
	bfi	9	24	25	31	30	46	100	22	18	37	31	25	29	X	×	×	-29	-74	-59	-59	-25	-28	-29	×	-24	X	- 0.6
	bfi	15	X	50	37	32	26	22	100	36	×	24	х	16	X	×	×	×	X	х	×	×	×	×	X	х	X	
	bfi	20		47	37	47	24	18	36	100	21	22	21	16	18	X	20	-20	×	X	-20	-16	X	-17	X	X	-17	0.4
	bfi	7	24	22	40	х	36	37	x	21	100	29	46	31	32	23	21	-19	-30	-32	-36	-40	-22	-27	×	-32	-15	
	bfi	i 3	21	27	24	25	33	31	24	22	29	100	23	75	24	х	×	×	-28	X	-26	-16	-50	-55	X	-26	X	
	bfi	17	16	×	28	х	21	25	×	21	46	23	100	21	×	X	×	X	-25	-29	-22	-48	×	-20	X	-38	-20	0.2
	bfi	13		17	26	17	32	29	16	16	31	75	21	100	25	15	16	X	-26	X	-37	-19	_44	-48	X	-27	×	
	F	ÞQ	17	17	25	x	20	×	x	18	32	24	x	25	100	52	20	X	×	X	-19	-17	-26	-26	X	x	X	0
viz	3	hol	×	15	15	X	×	×	X	×	23	×	X	15	52	100	48	X	X	X	x	×	-16	×	X	X	-41	
	H	วร	×	×	×	16	16	X	×	20	21	X	X	16	20	48	100	×	X	X	×	-15	-21	-23	×	X	-70	
	bfi	i 6	-64	-38	-47	-20	-40	-29	X	-20	-19	X	X	×	×	x	×	100	38	20	33	×	17	×	X	x	×	-0.2
	bfi	19		-29	-36	×	_45	-74	×	×	-30	-28	-25	-26	×	×	X	38	100	71	58	34	26	25	X	23	X	
	bfi	14	×	×	-16	x	-30	-59	X	X	-32	×	-29	×	×	×	×	20	71	100	45	37	18	17	X	25	X	_04
	bfi	4	-30	-25	-33	x	-54	-59	×	-20	-36	-26	-22	-37	-19	x	×	33	58	45	100	29	29	29	x	29	X	0.1
	bfi	2	×	-17	-25	x	-24	-25	x	-16	-40	-16	_48	-19	-17	x	-15	×	34	37	29	100	18	24	×	51	x	
	bfi	18	-15	-21	-21	X	-30	-28	Ŷ	×	-22	-50	×	_44	-26	-16	-21	17	26	18	29	18	100	70	×	22	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	-0.6
	bfi	8	×	_19	-16	x	-26	-29	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	-17	-27	-55	-20	_48	-26	×	-23	×	25	17	29	24	70	100	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	30	18	
		viz	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	Y	×	-16	×	×	Ŷ	×	×	×	Y	Y	×	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	Y	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	Y	¥	×	×	×	×	100	16	10	0.8
	bfi	12	Ŷ	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	X	×	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	_2/	X	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	_32	-26	_39	27	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	Ŷ	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	23	25	20	51	22	30	16	100	×	-0.0
viz	3	dif	÷	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	Ŷ	Ŷ	×	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$		15	V	_20	V	Ŷ	A1	70	Ŷ	V	V	V	V	V	18	10	×	100	
VIZ	0_	un		^	^	^	^	^	$\wedge$	F17	-13	^	-20	$\wedge$	^	+1	-70	~	~	^	^	^	^	10	13	~	100	<sup>L</sup> –1

#### **Recommending visualization:**

- Cluster users with respect to their answers to BFI-7 and -18
- Split at median value into lo-lo, lo-hi, hi-lo, and hi-hi groups



#### **Recommending visualization:**

- Cluster users with respect to their answers to BFI-7 and -18
- Split at median value into lo-lo, lo-hi, hi-lo, and hi-hi groups
- Each cluster has its own preferred visualization

Personality Cluster	1 <sup>st</sup> Rank	2 <sup>nd</sup> Rank	3 <sup>rd</sup> Rank
lo-lo	Orchestra Layout	Structure Visualization	Score Follower
lo-hi	Orchestra Layout	Structure Visualization	Score Follower
hi-lo	Structure Visualization	Orchestra Layout	Score Follower
hi-hi	Score Follower	Orchestra Layout	Structure Visualization

#### **Recommending visualization:**

- Cluster users with respect to their answers to BFI-7 and -18
- Split at median value into lo-lo, lo-hi, hi-lo, and hi-hi groups
- Each cluster has its own preferred visualization
- New users are assigned to a cluster based on their answers and recommended the visualization preferred by similar users
- Prototype: <u>http://bird.cp.jku.at/phenicx\_visrecsys/index.php</u>

### Evaluation

#### **Experimental setup:**

- User study conducted via Amazon Mechanical Turk
- 79 participants, paid 0.35\$, task lasted 3 minutes on average
- Participants first asked two questions (BFI-7 and -18), then shown the three visualizations (in randomized order) and asked to rank them after having watched video of each for at least 20 seconds

#### **Performance measure**: normalized discounted cumulative gain (nDCG)

#### **Results:**

nDCG = 0.87 for our personalized approach

nDCG = 0.82 for random ranking

nDCG = 0.69 for worst possible ranking

Differences statistically significant (t-test at p = 0.03)

### Conclusions

- Investigated three visualizations for classical orchestra performances: Score Follower, Orchestra Layout, and Structure Visualization
- User study on relationship between personality traits (BFI) and visualization preferences (PQ) showed substantial correlations
- Two most significant BFI questions used to cluster users and build a personality-based adaptive system to order the different visualizations
- User study showed that personalized approach is preferred over non-personalized (nDCG, t-test)

