



Corrigendum to “Satellite data reveal a common combustion emission pathway for major cities in China” published in *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 4269–4288, 2019

Wenfu Tang¹, Avelino F. Arellano¹, Benjamin Gaubert², Kazuyuki Miyazaki³, and Helen M. Worden²

¹Department of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory, Boulder, CO 80301, USA

³Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Japan

Correspondence: Wenfu Tang (wenfutang@email.arizona.edu)

Published: 12 April 2019

Due to an error which occurred during the typesetting process in the paper “Satellite data reveal a common combustion emission pathway for major cities in China” (published in *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 4269–4288, 2019), ECO (emissions of carbon monoxide) is shown incorrectly as ECO₂ in Sect. 3.3.2 and Table 2. Below are the corrections.

1. “This figure consists of five 2-D line plots of a posteriori (solid) and a priori (dashed) time series of SO₂-to-NO_x emission ratios (ESO₂/ENO_x) on the *y* axis versus corresponding values of CO-to-NO_x emission ratios (ECO₂/ENO_x) on the *x* axis.”

should be

“This figure consists of five 2-D line plots of a posteriori (solid) and a priori (dashed) time series of SO₂-to-NO_x emission ratios (ESO₂/ENO_x) on the *y* axis versus corresponding values of CO-to-NO_x emission ratios (ECO/ENO_x) on the *x* axis.”

2. “In Shenyang, both ESO₂/ENO_x and ECO₂/ENO_x are decreasing relative to 2005 at a faster rate (as represented by the length of the line) than in Beijing.”

should be

“In Shenyang, both ESO₂/ENO_x and ECO/ENO_x are decreasing relative to 2005 at a faster rate (as represented by the length of the line) than in Beijing.”

3. “Conversely, we see a clear shift in Shanghai and most notably in Shenzhen to a slightly decreasing ESO₂/ENO_x and increasing ECO₂/ENO_x, leading their emission trajectories toward a different state of combustion cleanness.”

should be

“Conversely, we see a clear shift in Shanghai and most notably in Shenzhen to a slightly decreasing ESO₂/ENO_x and increasing ECO/ENO_x, leading their emission trajectories toward a different state of combustion cleanness.”

4. “In particular, we find ESO₂/ENO_x and ECO₂/ENO_x in Los Angeles to be both linearly increasing relative to 2005 values.”

should be

“In particular, we find ESO₂/ENO_x and ECO/ENO_x in Los Angeles to be both linearly increasing relative to 2005 values.”

5. The ECO₂/ENO_x in Table 2 of the paper should be ECO/ENO_x.