



# *Supplement of*

## Measurements of higher alkanes using  $\mathrm{NO}^+$  chemical ionization in PTR-ToF-MS: important contributions of higher alkanes to secondary organic aerosols in China

Chaomin Wang et al.

*Correspondence to:* Bin Yuan (byuan@jnu.edu.cn) and Min Shao (mshao@pku.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

- **Page S1-S50**
- **Contents of this file**
- Appendix 5
- Figures S1 to S31
- Table S1-S4
- Appendix 1 Description of sampling sites
- Appendix 2 Estimation of SOA production from individual precursors
- Appendix 3 Estimation of contributions of individual precursors to SOA production
- Appendix 4 Calculation of OH exposure
- Appendix 5 Estimation of SOA production rate from individual precursors
- Figure S1. Sampling site locations of Guangzhou Campaign in PRD and Baoding Campaign
- in NCP of China.
- 38 Figure S2. The variations of NO<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ions on the voltages of ion source (Us
- and Uso) for  $NO^+$  PTR-ToF-MS. For each experiment, either Us or Uso is fixed at a voltage
- 40 and the other was varied to explore the best setting for  $NO^+$  PTR-ToF-MS. For example, test
- #1 in (a), we fix Us at 40 V and change Uso from 20 V to 180 V. The dashed line in (a)
- 42 indicate the setting point in this study (Us=40 V and Uso=100 V).
- 43 Figure S3. Time series of  $NO^+$ ,  $H_3O^+$ ,  $O_2^+$ ,  $NO_2^+$  during the PRD **(a)** and the NCP **(b)** campaigns, respectively.
- 45 Figure S4. Time series of  $O_2$ <sup>+</sup> to NO<sup>+</sup> ratio and the absolute humidity during the PRD (a) and the NCP (b) campaigns, respectively.
- 47 Figure S5. Humidity dependence of  $O_2$ <sup>+</sup> to NO<sup>+</sup> ratios during the lab experiment and the two field campaigns.
- Figure S6. Calibration factors of C8-C15 *n*-alkanes under dry conditions (RH<1%) during the two field campaigns.

 Figure S7. Humidity dependence of all product ions and the fragment ions for *n*-alkanes (C8- 52 C15) standards (a), and primary ions  $(NO<sup>+</sup>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>)$  (b).

Figure S8. Mass spectra of the distributions of product ions from *n*-Dodecane **(a)**, *n*-

54 Pentadecane **(b)** and *n*-Eicosane **(c)** with NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS. The signals of masses shown

- here are the results after subtracting the isotopic signals.
- Figure S9. Fraction of product ions  $(m-1)^+$  in the mass spectra of *n*-alkanes and their isomers
- 57 with different number of substituted methyl groups in  $NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-TOF-MS$ .

 Figure S10. Delay times of higher alkanes for the field campaigns, emission source measurements and tubing losses test in the laboratory.

Figure S11. An example of the voltages of ion source voltages (Us, Uso), drift tube (Udrift,

 Udx) and pressure of drift tube (a), and the signal changes of primary ions (b) during 62 automatical switching between  $NO^+$  mode and  $H_3O^+$  mode, respectively.

 Figure S12. The tubing loss experiments of higher alkanes (*n*-C8-C15), 1,2,4- trimethylbenzene,α-pinene and naphthalene at room temperature using PTR-ToF-MS with an external pump at 5.0 L/min.

Figure S13. Comparisons of benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics and C9 aromatics measured by

NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS (red dots),  $H_3O^+$  PTR-ToF-MS (blue dots) and GC-MS/FID (green lines

and dots) during the PRD campaign.

Figure S14. Comparisons of acetaldehyde, pentanone, ethanol and acrolein measured by  $NO<sup>+</sup>$ 

- 70 PTR-ToF-MS (red dots) and  $H_3O^+$  PTR-ToF-MS (blue dots) during the PRD and NCP campaigns.
- 72 Figure S15. Comparisons of C9-C11 alkanes measured by NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS and GC-MS/FID during PRD campaign.

S3

- Figure S16. Diurnal variations of OH concentrations in PRD and NCP, respectively. OH concentrations are derived from an observation-constrained box model utilizing MCM v3.3.1 as the chemical mechanisms(Wolfe et al., 2016).
- Figure S17. Similar diurnal profiles of C8-C21 alkanes during campaigns in PRD (a, b) and NCP (c, d).
- Figure S18. Comparisons of average diurnal variations of OH exposure calculated from the ratio of m+p-xylene and ethylbenzene for anthropogenic compounds in PRD and NCP and isoprene chemistry in PRD for biogenic compounds.
- Figure S19. (a) Time series of isoprene and monoterpenes in NCP. (b) Diurnal variation of isoprene, monoterpenes and benzene in NCP. (c) Scatter plot of isoprene and monoterpenes versus CO in NCP.
- Figure S20. Time series of naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes in PRD (a) and NCP (b), respectively.
- Figure S21. Diurnal variations of concentrations of organic aerosols (OA), secondary organic aerosols (SOA) and primary organic aerosols (POA) in PRD (a) and NCP (b). POA and SOA were determined by positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis of OA measured by AMS. Figure S22. The reported SOA yields as a function of OA concentrations for higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes) (a-k) under high-NOx condition from chamber studies(Lim and Ziemann, 2009;Presto et al., 2010a;Tkacik et al., 2012;Loza et al., 2014;Lamkaddam et al., 2017b). Figure S23. The reported SOA yields as a function of OA concentrations for monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, m-xylene, 1,2,3-TMB/1,2,4-TMB/1,3,5-TMB, styrene)(Ng et al., 2007;Li et al., 2016;Tajuelo et al., 2019) (a-e), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalene, dimethylnaphthalenes) (Chan et al., 2009) (f-h) and isoprenoids (isoprene and α- pinene)(Carlton et al., 2009;Edney et al., 2005;Kleindienst et al., 2006;Pandis et al., 1991;Ahlberg et al., 2017) (i-j) under high-NOx condition from chamber studies.

99 Figure S24. Time series of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>) during the PRD (a) and the NCP (b) campaigns, respectively.

 Figure S25. Time series of SOA produced from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) as well as the measured SOA concentrations in PRD (a) and NCP (b), respectively.

 Figure S26. Scatter plots of total SOA production from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) versus measured SOA concentrations during the PRD campaign (a) and NCP campaign (b).

 Figure S27. The relative contributions to measured SOA concentrations from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) in PRD (a) and NCP (b).

 Figure S28. The average concentrations from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) in PRD (a) and NCP (b), respectively.

 Figure S29. Diurnal variations of SOA yields of *n*-C15 alkane, benzene, naphthalene and *α*-pinene in PRD (a) and NCP (b).

 Figure S30. The mean SOA production rates of higher alkanes (C8-C20 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and

S5

monoterpenes) and their hourly diurnal variations in PRD (a) and NCP (b). Diurnal variations

of alkanes, monoaromatics, naphthalenes and isoprenoids in PRD (c) and NCP (d).

Figure S31. Correlation of m+p-xylene with ethylbenzene in PRD (a) and NCP (b). The dashed

- lines in both graphs indicate the estimated initial mission ratio of m+p-xylene/ethylbenzene.
- Table S1. The settings of the voltages of ion source voltages (Us, Uso), drift tube (Udrift, Udx)
- 129 and pressure of drift tube (pDrift) during automatical switching between NO<sup>+</sup> mode and H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>

mode, respectively.

Table S2. Fractions of *n*-alkanes in higher alkanes with same formulas derived from this study,

ambient air in Los Angeles, Bakersfield, Caldecott Tunnel and in vehicle exhausts.

- Table S3. The calculated average SOA yields of higher alkanes in PRD and NCP.
- Table S4. Average biases in SOA yields due to vapor wall losses for various VOCs under high-

NOx conditions from Zhang et al. 2014.

#### 137 **1. Description of sampling sites**

138 The sampling site of Guangzhou Campaign (23.13° N, 113.26 ° E) was on the top of a nine-story building (25 m above ground level) at Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences. This site is a typical urban site surrounded by residential areas, campus and urban transport arteries with a strong influence of vehicle emissions. Field measurements of site Baoding (38.85º N, 115.48º E) were performed on the top of a sea container (3.5 m above ground level) located at a Meteorological Auto- Monitoring Station in the rural area of North China Plain. This rural site was surrounded by farmlands and villages, with several national roads and railways nearby, where air masses are influenced from local emissions and regional transport.

#### 147 **2. Estimation of SOA production from individual precursors**

148 It is assumed that VOCs are removed from the atmosphere mainly by reaction with OH 149 radical(Atkinson and Arey, 2003), then the VOCs are assumed to follow a pseudo first-order 150 kinetic reaction, such as

$$
151 \t -\frac{d[VOC_i]}{dt} = k_{VOC_i}[VOC_i][OH] \t (S1)
$$

152 Where  $[VOC_i]$  is the concentration of a given VOC (μg m<sup>-3</sup>), [OH] is the concentration of 153 OH radical (molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $k_{VOC_i}$  is the rate constant of  $VOC_i$  with the OH radical (cm<sup>3</sup> 154 molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The initial concentration of a given VOC,  $[VOC_i]_{t=0}$  can be retrieved from 155 Eq.  $(1)$  as follows:

$$
156 \quad [VOCi]_{t=0} = [VOCi]_{t} \times (e^{k_{VOC_i} \times [OH] \times \Delta t}) \tag{S2}
$$

157  $[VOC_i]_t$  is the  $VOC_i$  concentration measured at time *t* (μg m<sup>-3</sup>), The OH exposure,  $[OH] \times \Delta t$ 158 (molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> s), is estimated by the ratio of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene to benzene(de Gouw 159 et al., 2017;Hayes et al., 2013) for anthropogenic VOCs and by isoprene chemistry method 160 for biogenic VOCs, respectively (Apel et al., 2002;Roberts et al., 2006) (see details in SI, Appendix 4 and Figure S19). Then consumed concentration of a given VOC,  $\Delta[VOC_i]$ , can 162 be estimated as follows:

163 
$$
\Delta[VOC_i] = [VOC_i]_{t=0} - [VOC_i]_t
$$
 (S3)

164 
$$
\Delta[VOC_i] = [VOC_i]_t \times (e^{k_{VOC_i} \times ([OH] \times \Delta t)} - 1)
$$
 (S4)

165 Then for a given VOC, the SOA production ( $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) at time t,  $[SOA_i]_t$ , can be estimated 166 using the consumed concentration multiply the SOA yield,  $Yield_i$ , as follows:

167 
$$
[SOA_i]_t = [VOC_i]_t \times (e^{k_{VOC_i} \times ([OH] \times \Delta t)} - 1) \times Yield_i
$$
 (S5)

### 168 **3. Estimation of contributions of individual precursors to SOA production**

169 We calculated the relative contribution of each compound to the total SOA 170 concentration at time *t* by

171 
$$
[Fractioni]t = \frac{[SOAi]t}{[SOAmeasured]t} \times 100
$$
 (S6)

172 where  $[Fraction_i]_t$  (%) is the relative contribution of a given compound  $VOC_i$  to the 173 measured SOA total concentration,  $[SOA_i]_t$  is the SOA production of  $VOC_i$  at time t by the 174 equation (S6),  $[SOA_{measured}]_t$  is the SOA concentration at time *t*, which is determined by 175 positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis of organic aerosol measured by aerosol mass 176 spectrometry ( $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>).

#### 177 **4. Calculation of OH exposure**

178 The observed ratios between m+p-xylene and ethylbenzene were used to estimate the 179 OH exposure ( $[OH] \times \Delta t$ ) for anthropogenic compounds by Roberts et al. (1984):

180 
$$
[OH] \times \Delta t = \frac{1}{k_{m+p}\text{-xylene}-k_{ethylbenzene}} \times [ln(\frac{m+p\text{-xylene}}{ethylbenzene})_{t=0} - ln(\frac{m+p\text{-xylene}}{ethylbenzene})_t],
$$
 (S7)

181 where the initial emission ratios of m+p-xylene/ethylbenzene were estimated according to the 182 correlation of m+p-xylene with ethylbenzene during campaigns. The ratio of 4 and 1.5 were 183 used in the PRD campaign and the NCP campaign, respectively (Figure S31).

 During 2018 PRD campaign, isoprenoids (i.e. isoprene and monoterpenes in this study) are dominantly emitted from biogenic sources, which are different from anthoprogenic compounds such as higher alkanes, monoaromatics and naphthalenes. Therefore, we calculated the OH exposure of isoprenoids based on isoprene chemistry for 2018 PRD campaign. The calculation method can be found in Roberts et al. (2006).

 Isoprene are mainly photo-oxidized through the reactions with OH radical in the atmosphere and its primary first-generation reaction products are formaldehyde, MVK and MACR (Apel et al., 2002) . The reaction processes of isoprene oxidized by OH radical are mainly as follows:

193 *Isoprene* + *OH* 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 0.63*HCHO* + 0.32*MVK* + 0.23*MACR*  $k_l = 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (S8)

194 
$$
MVK + OH \rightarrow Products
$$
  $k_2 = 1.9 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (S9)

195 
$$
MACR + OH \rightarrow Products
$$
  $k_3 = 3.3 \times 10^{-11} cm^{-3} s^{-1}$  (S10)

196 where  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  are the rate constants of the reactions. According to above reactions, the 197 relationship between MVK/Isoprene, MACR/Isoprene, (MVK+MACR)/Isoprene and the 198 reaction time  $\Delta t$  can be decribed as follows (Apel et al., 2002):

199 
$$
\frac{MVK}{Isoprene} = \frac{0.32k_1}{k_2 - k_1} (1 - \exp((k_1 - k_2) [OH]\Delta t))
$$
 (S11)

200 
$$
\frac{MACR}{Isoprene} = \frac{0.23k_1}{k_3 - k_1} (1 - \exp((k_1 - k_3) [OH]\Delta t))
$$
 (S12)

201 
$$
\frac{MVK+MACR}{Isoprene} = \frac{0.32k_1}{k_2 - k_1} (1 - \exp((k_1 - k_2) [OH]\Delta t)) + \frac{0.23k_1}{k_3 - k_1} (1 - \exp((k_1 - k_3) [OH]\Delta t))
$$
 (S13)

202 where  $\frac{MVK+MACR}{Isoprene}$  can be derived from the measurements by ToF-MS. Then the OH exposure 203 ( $[OH]\Delta t$ ) of isoprenoids can be obtaind from Eq. S13.

#### **5. Estimation of SOA production rate from individual precursors**

 Here we calculated the SOA production rate associated with OH radicals for each SOA precursors based on the diurnal variation of each species. Here, only the oxidation of OH radicals is considered(Atkinson and Arey, 2003). The SOA production rate represents the instant SOA production amount by oxidation reaction with atmospheric OH radical at a certain time for a specific precursor, which can be characterized as follows:

$$
210 \t[SOA_i]_t = [VOC_i]_t \times [OH]_t \times k_{VOC_i} \times Yield_i,
$$
\n(S14)

211 where for a given specific compound  $VOC_i$ ,  $[SOA_i]_t$  is the instant SOA production rate for the species (μg m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $[VOC_i]_t$  is the concentration measured at time *t* (μg m<sup>-3</sup>),  $[OH]_t$  is the OH concentration at time *t* (molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $k_{VOC_i}$  is the rate constant of  $VOC_i$  with the 214 OH radical (cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and *Yield<sub>i</sub>* is the SOA yield.

 Based on equation (S14), SOA instant production for higher alkanes (C8-C20), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromaics, C9 aromaics), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene, monoterpenes) were calculated. The OH reaction rate constant of each compound was taken literature (Atkinson, 2003). SOA yield data used here for alkanes (Lim and Ziemann, 2009;Presto et al., 2010b;Loza et al., 2014;Lamkaddam et al., 2017a), monoaromatics (Li et al., 2016;Tajuelo et al., 2019;Ng et al., 2007), naphthalenes (Chan et al., 2009) and isoprenoids (Ahlberg et al., 2017;Carlton et al., 2009;Edney et al., 2005;Kleindienst et al., 2006;Pandis et al., 1991) were summarized from reported values in the literature, with the consideration on the influence of organic aerosol concentration (Figure S20) to SOA yield (Figure S21-22). OH

 concentrations are derived from an observation-constrained box model utilizing MCM v3.3.1 as the chemical mechanisms(Wolfe et al., 2016).

 As shown in Figure S29, the total mean SOA production rate of higher alkanes (C8-C20) 228 is much higher compared to other VOCs classes,  $\sim$ 1.9 times of monoaromatics,  $\sim$ 7.8 times of naphthalenes and ~2.4 times of isoprenoids at the urban site in PRD. At the rural site in NCP, the total mean SOA production rate of higher alkanes (C8-C20) is comparable to monoaromatics and slightly higher than that of naphthalenes and isoprenoids. Strong diurnal variations are observed in both sites. In comparison with the rural site in NCP, SOA production rates of VOCs are much higher at the urban cite in PRD. This is mainly due to the higher OH concentrations (Figure S16) by strong solar radiation under high humidity conditions in PRD during autumn, compared to dry and cold environment during the measurements in NCP.





**Figure S1**. Sampling site locations of Guangzhou Campaign in PRD and Baoding Campaign

in NCP of China.



242 **Figure S2.** The variations of  $NO^+$ ,  $H_3O^+$ ,  $O_2^+$  and  $NO_2^+$  ions on the voltages of ion source 243 (Us and Uso) for NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS. For each experiment, either Us or Uso is fixed at a 244 voltage and the other was varied to explore the best setting for  $NO^+$  PTR-ToF-MS. For 245 example, test #1 in (a), we fix Us at 40 V and change Uso from 20 V to 180 V. The dashed 246 line in (a) indicate the setting point in this study (Us=40 V and Uso=100 V).



**Figure S3.** Time series of NO<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> during the PRD **(a)** and the NCP **(b)** 249 campaigns, respectively.



**Figure S4.** Time series of  $O_2$ <sup>+</sup> to NO<sup>+</sup> ratios and absolute humidity during the PRD (a) and

253 the NCP **(b)** campaigns, respectively.





**256** Figure S5. Humidity dependence of  $O_2$ <sup>+</sup> to NO<sup>+</sup> ratios during the lab experiment and the two

257 field campaigns.



 **Figure S6**. Calibration factors of C8-C15 *n*-alkanes under dry conditions (RH<1%) during the two field campaigns.





262 **Figure S7**. Humidity dependence of all product ions and the fragment ions for *n*-alkanes (C8-

263 C15) (a), and primary ions  $(NO^+, O_2^+, H_3O^+)$  (b).



 **Figure S8.** Mass spectra of the distributions of product ions from *n*-Dodecane **(a)**, *n*-266 Pentadecane **(b)** and *n*-Eicosane **(c)** with NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS. The signals of masses shown in the graph are the results after subtracting the isotopic signals during the high resolution peak fitting of the mass spectra.



**Figure S9**. Fraction of product ions  $(m-1)^+$  in the mass spectra of *n*-alkanes and their isomers

271 with different number of substituted methyl groups in NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS.



 **Figure S10**. Delay times of higher alkanes for the field campaigns, emission source measurements and tubing losses test in the laboratory.



 **Figure S11**. An example of voltages of ion source voltages (Us, Uso), drift tube (Udrift, Udx) and pressure of drift tube **(a)**, and the signal changes of primary ions **(b)** during automatical 280 switching between  $NO^+$  mode and  $H_3O^+$  mode, respectively.



 **Figure S12**. The tubing loss experiments of higher alkanes (*n*-C8-C15), 1,2,4- trimethylbenzene,α-pinene and naphthalene at room temperature using PTR-ToF-MS with an external pump at 5.0 L/min.



 **Figure S13**. Comparisons of benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics and C9 aromatics measured by 289 NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS (red dots),  $H_3O^+$  PTR-ToF-MS (blue dots) and GC-MS/FID (green lines and dots) during the PRD campaign.



 **Figure S14**. Comparisons of acetaldehyde, pentanone, ethanol and acrolein measured by NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS (red dots) and  $H_3O^+$  PTR-ToF-MS (blue dots) during the PRD and NCP campaigns.



298 Figure S15 Comparisons of C9-C11 alkanes measured by NO<sup>+</sup> PTR-ToF-MS and GC-299 MS/FID during PRD campaign.



 **Figure S16**. Diurnal variations of OH concentrations in PRD and NCP, respectively. OH concentrations are derived from an observation-constrained box model utilizing MCM v3.3.1 as the chemical mechanisms(Wolfe et al., 2016).



 **Figure S17**. Similar diurnal profiles of C8-C21 alkanes during campaigns in PRD (a, b) and NCP (c, d).





 **Figure S18**. Comparisons of average diurnal variations of OH exposure calculated from the ratio of m+p-xylene and ethylbenzene for anthropogenic compounds in PRD and NCP and isoprene chemistry in PRD for biogenic compounds.



 **Figure S19**. **(a)** Time series of isoprene and monoterpenes in NCP. **(b)** Diurnal variation of isoprene, monoterpenes and benzene in NCP. **(c)** Scatter plot of isoprene and monoterpenes versus CO in NCP.



 **Figure S20**. Time series of naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**, respectively.



 **Figure S21**. Diurnal variations of concentrations of organic aerosols (OA), secondary organic aerosols (SOA) and primary organic aerosols (POA) in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**. POA and SOA were determined by positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis of OA measured by AMS.



 **Figure S22**. The reported SOA yields as a function of OA concentrations for higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes) **(a-k)** under high-NOx condition from chamber studies(Lim and Ziemann, 2009;Presto et al., 2010a;Tkacik et al., 2012;Loza et al., 2014;Lamkaddam et al., 2017b).



 **Figure S23.** The reported SOA yields as a function of OA concentrations for monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, m-xylene, 1,2,3-TMB/1,2,4-TMB/1,3,5-TMB, styrene)(Ng et al., 2007;Li et al., 2016;Tajuelo et al., 2019) **(a-e)**, naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalene, dimethylnaphthalenes)(Chan et al., 2009) **(f-h)** and isoprenoids (isoprene and α- pinene)(Carlton et al., 2009;Edney et al., 2005;Kleindienst et al., 2006;Pandis et al., 1991;Ahlberg et al., 2017) **(i-j)** under high-NOx condition from chamber studies.



**Figure S24**. Time series of NOx during the PRD **(a)** and the NCP **(b)** campaigns, respectively.



 **Figure S25.** Time series of SOA produced from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) as well as the measured SOA concentrations in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**, respectively.



 **Figure S26**. Scatter plots of total SOA production from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) versus measured SOA concentrations during the PRD campaign **(a)** and NCP campaign **(b)**.



 **Figure S27**. The relative contributions to measured SOA concentrations from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**.



 **Figure S28.** The average concentrations from higher alkanes (C8-C21 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**, respectively.



**Figure S29**. Diurnal variations of SOA yields of *n*-C15 alkane, benzene, naphthalene and *α*-

pinene in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**.



 **Figure S30**. The mean SOA production rates of higher alkanes (C8-C20 alkanes), monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, C8 aromatics, C9 aromatics and styrene), naphthalenes (naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes, dimethylnaphthalenes) and isoprenoids (isoprene and monoterpenes) and their hourly diurnal variations in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**. Diurnal variations of alkanes, monoaromatics, naphthalenes and isoprenoids in PRD **(c)** and NCP **(d)**.



 **Figure S31.** Correlation of m+p-xylene with ethylbenzene in PRD **(a)** and NCP **(b)**. The dashed lines in both graphs indicate the estimated initial mission ratio of m+p-xylene/ethylbenzene.

387 **Table S1**. The settings of the voltages of ion source voltages (Us, Uso), drift tube (Udrift, Udx) 388 and pressure of drift tube (pDrift) during automatical switching between NO<sup>+</sup> mode and H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> 389 mode, respectively.



391 **Table S2**. Fractions of *n*-alkanes in higher alkanes with same formulas derived from this study,



392 ambient air in Los Angeles, Bakersfield, Caldecott Tunnel and in vehicle exhausts.

393 <sup>a</sup>: This work; <sup>b</sup>: Chan et al. (2013); <sup>c</sup>: Worton et al. (2014); <sup>d</sup>: Gentner et al. (2012)

394

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

<b>Compounds</b>	<b>Formula</b>	<b>Average SOA</b>	<b>Average SOA</b>
Octane	$C_8H_{18}$	$0.003 \pm 0.002$	$0.006 \pm 0.004$
Nonane	$C_9H_{20}$	$0.010 \pm 0.005$	$0.017 \pm 0.010$
Decane	$C_{10}H_{22}$	$0.026 \pm 0.012$	$0.040 \pm 0.021$
Undecane	$C_{11}H_{24}$	$0.058 \pm 0.020$	$0.080 \pm 0.036$
Dodecane	$C_{12}H_{26}$	$0.106 \pm 0.032$	$0.142 \pm 0.059$
Tridecane	$C_{13}H_{28}$	$0.249 \pm 0.061$	$0.305 \pm 0.103$
Tetradecane	$C_{14}H_{30}$	$0.329 \pm 0.070$	$0.388 \pm 0.118$
Pentadecane	$C_{15}H_{32}$	$0.386 \pm 0.081$	$0.450 \pm 0.135$
Hexadecane	$C_{16}H_{34}$	$0.428 \pm 0.086$	$0.492 \pm 0.141$
Heptadecane	$C_{17}H_{36}$	$0.488 \pm 0.096$	$0.556 \pm 0.156$
Octadecane	$C_{18}H_{38}$	$0.664 \pm 0.079$	$0.704 \pm 0.139$
Nonadecane	$C_{19}H_{40}$	$0.773 \pm 0.056$	$0.792 \pm 0.105$
Eicosane	$C_{20}H_{42}$	$0.860 \pm 0.025$	$0.863 \pm 0.054$
Heneicosane	$C_{21}H_{44}$	$0.877 \pm 0.025$	$0.870 \pm 0.046$

395 **Table S3.** The calculated average SOA yields of higher alkanes in PRD and NCP.





#### **References**

- Ahlberg, E., Falk, J., Eriksson, A., Holst, T., Brune, W. H., Kristensson, A., Roldin, P., and Svenningsson, B.: Secondary organic aerosol from VOC mixtures in an oxidation flow reactor, Atmospheric Environment, 161, 210-220, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.005, 2017.
- Apel, E. C., Riemer, D. D., Hills, A., Baugh, W., Orlando, J., Faloona, I., Tan, D., Brune, W., Lamb,
- B., Westberg, H., Carroll, M. A., Thornberry, T., and Geron, C. D.: Measurement and interpretation of
- isoprene fluxes and isoprene, methacrolein, and methyl vinyl ketone mixing ratios at the PROPHET
- site during the 1998 Intensive, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, ACH 7-1-ACH 7-
- 15, 10.1029/2000JD000225, 2002.
- Atkinson, R.: Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with alkanes and cycloalkanes,
- Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3, 2233-2307, 10.5194/acp-3-2233-2003, 2003.
- Atkinson, R., and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chemical Reviews, 103, 4605-4638, 10.1021/cr0206420, 2003.
- Carlton, A. G., Wiedinmyer, C., and Kroll, J. H.: A review of Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) formation from isoprene, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 4987-5005, DOI 10.5194/acp-9-4987- 2009, 2009.
- Chan, A. W. H., Kautzman, K. E., Chhabra, P. S., Surratt, J. D., Chan, M. N., Crounse, J. D., Kuerten, A., Wennberg, P. O., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from photooxidation of naphthalene and alkylnaphthalenes: implications for oxidation of intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 3049-3060, 10.5194/acp-9-3049-2009, 2009.
- Chan, A. W. H., Isaacman, G., Wilson, K. R., Worton, D. R., Ruehl, C. R., Nah, T., Gentner, D. R.,
- Dallmann, T. R., Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., deGouw, J. A.,
- Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Lin, Y. H., Rubitschun, C. L., Surratt, J. D., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez,
- J. L., and Goldstein, A. H.: Detailed chemical characterization of unresolved complex mixtures in
- atmospheric organics: Insights into emission sources, atmospheric processing, and secondary organic
- aerosol formation, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 118, 6783-6796, 10.1002/jgrd.50533, 2013.
- de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Kim, S. W., Lerner, B. M., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., McDonald, B. C.,
- Warneke, C., Kuster, W. C., Lefer, B. L., Griffith, S. M., Dusanter, S., Stevens, P. S., and Stutz, J.:
- Chemistry of Volatile Organic Compounds in the Los Angeles basin: Nighttime Removal of Alkenes
- and Determination of Emission Ratios, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 122, 11843-
- 11861, 10.1002/2017jd027459, 2017.
- Edney, E. O., Kleindienst, T. E., Jaoui, M., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., Wang, W., and Claeys,
- M.: Formation of 2-methyl tetrols and 2-methylglyceric acid in secondary organic aerosol from laboratory irradiated isoprene/NOX/SO2/air mixtures and their detection in ambient PM2.5 samples collected in the eastern United States, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 5281-5289, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.05.031, 2005.
- Gentner, D. R., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Dallmann, T. R., Davis, L., Liu, S., Day,
- D. A., Russell, L. M., Wilson, K. R., Weber, R., Guha, A., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 18318-18323, 10.1073/pnas.1212272109, 2012.
- Hayes, P. L., Ortega, A. M., Cubison, M. J., Froyd, K. D., Zhao, Y., Cliff, S. S., Hu, W. W., Toohey,
- D. W., Flynn, J. H., Lefer, B. L., Grossberg, N., Alvarez, S., Rappenglueck, B., Taylor, J. W., Allan, J.
- D., Holloway, J. S., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., De Gouw, J. A., Massoli, P., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Weber,
- R. J., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Kreisberg, N. M., Goldstein, A. H.,
- Thalman, R., Waxman, E. M., Volkamer, R., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J.
- H., Dusanter, S., Griffith, S., Stevens, P. S., Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., and Jimenez, J. L.: Organic
- aerosol composition and sources in Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex campaign, Journal of
- Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 118, 9233-9257, 10.1002/jgrd.50530, 2013.
- Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., and Jaoui, M.: Secondary Organic
- Carbon and Aerosol Yields from the Irradiations of Isoprene and α-Pinene in the Presence of NOx and
- SO2, Environmental Science & Technology, 40, 3807-3812, 10.1021/es052446r, 2006.
- Lamkaddam, H., Gratien, A., Pangui, E., Cazaunau, M., Picquet-Varrault, B., and Doussin, J.-F.: High- NOx Photooxidation of n-Dodecane: Temperature Dependence of SOA Formation, Environmental Science & Technology, 51, 192-201, 10.1021/acs.est.6b03821, 2017a.
- 
- Lamkaddam, H., Gratien, A., Pangui, E., Cazaunau, M., Picquet-Varrault, B., and Doussin, J. F.: High-
- NOx Photooxidation of n-Dodecane: Temperature Dependence of SOA Formation, Environ Sci
- Technol, 51, 192-201, 10.1021/acs.est.6b03821, 2017b.
- Li, L., Tang, P., Nakao, S., Kacarab, M., and Cocker, D. R., III: Novel Approach for Evaluating
- Secondary Organic Aerosol from Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Unified Method for Predicting Aerosol
- Composition and Formation, Environmental Science & Technology, 50, 6249-6256,
- 10.1021/acs.est.5b05778, 2016.
- Lim, Y. B., and Ziemann, P. J.: Effects of Molecular Structure on Aerosol Yields from OH Radical-
- Initiated Reactions of Linear, Branched, and Cyclic Alkanes in the Presence of NOx, Environmental
- Science & Technology, 43, 2328-2334, 10.1021/es803389s, 2009.
- Loza, C. L., Craven, J. S., Yee, L. D., Coggon, M. M., Schwantes, R. H., Shiraiwa, M., Zhang, X.,
- Schilling, K. A., Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Ziemann, P. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.:
- Secondary organic aerosol yields of 12-carbon alkanes, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 1423-
- 1439, 10.5194/acp-14-1423-2014, 2014.
- Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Chan, A. W. H., Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary
- organic aerosol formation from m-xylene, toluene, and benzene, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
- 7, 3909-3922, DOI 10.5194/acp-7-3909-2007, 2007.
- Pandis, S. N., Paulson, S. E., Seinfeld, J. H., and Flagan, R. C.: Aerosol formation in the photooxidation
- of isoprene and β-pinene, Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics, 25, 997-1008,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90141-S, 1991.
- Presto, A. A., Miracolo, M. A., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary organic aerosol
- formation from high-NO(x) photo-oxidation of low volatility precursors: n-alkanes, Environ Sci
- Technol, 44, 2029-2034, 10.1021/es903712r, 2010a.
- Presto, A. A., Miracolo, M. A., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from High-NOx Photo-Oxidation of Low Volatility Precursors: n-Alkanes, Environmental Science & Technology, 44, 2029-2034, 10.1021/es903712r, 2010b.
- Roberts, J., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S., Goldan, P., Kuster, W., de Gouw, J., warneke, C., Williams,
- E., Lerner, B., Murphy, P., Apel, E., and Fehsenfeld, F.: Analysis of the isoprene chemistry observed
- during the New England Air Quality Study (NEAQS) 2002 Intensive Experiment, Journal of
- Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 111, D23S12, 10.1029/2006JD007570, 2006.
- Tajuelo, M., Rodriguez, D., Teresa Baeza-Romero, M., Diaz-de-Mera, Y., Aranda, A., and Rodriguez,
- A.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from styrene photolysis and photooxidation with hydroxyl
- radicals, Chemosphere, 231, 276-286, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.136, 2019.
- Tkacik, D. S., Presto, A. A., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol
- Formation from Intermediate-Volatility Organic Compounds: Cyclic, Linear, and Branched Alkanes,
- Environmental Science & Technology, 46, 8773-8781, 10.1021/es301112c, 2012.
- Wolfe, G. M., Marvin, M. R., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., and Liao, J.: The Framework for 0-D
- Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v3.1, Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3309-3319, 10.5194/gmd-9-3309-2016, 2016.
- Worton, D. R., G. Isaacman, D. R. Gentner, T. R. Dallmann, A. W. H. Chan, C. Ruehl, T. W.
- Kirchstetter, K. R. Wilson, R. A. Harley and A. H. Goldstein: Lubricating Oil Dominates Primary
- Organic Aerosol Emissions from Motor Vehicles. Environmental Science & Technology, 48(7): 3698-
- 3706, 10.1021/es405375j, 2014.
- Zhang, X., Cappa, C. D., Jathar, S. H., McVay, R. C., Ensberg, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Influence of vapor wall loss in laboratory chambers on yields of secondary organic aerosol,
- 
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111, 5802-5807,
- 10.1073/pnas.1404727111, 2014.
-