



Supplement of

Nighttime chemistry of biomass burning emissions in urban areas: A dual mobile chamber study

Spiro D. Jorga et al.

Correspondence to: Spyros N. Pandis (spyros@chemeng.upatras.gr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

20

Calculation of organonitrates

In order to calculate the fraction of nitrates attributed to organonitrates we used values of the ratio NO^+/NO_2^+ (*R*) during a calibration of the AMS with ammonium nitrate. The fractional contribution of the organonitrates (*ONit*) to total nitrates (*TotNit*) can be calculated as:

24

25

$$\frac{ONit}{TotNit} = \frac{(R_{mea} - R_{cal})(1 + R_{ONit})}{(R_{ONit} - R_{cal})(1 + R_{mea})}$$
(S1)

26

where R_{mea} is the measured *R* during the ambient sampling and R_{cal} is the ratio of m/z 30 to m/z 46 during NH₄NO₃ calibration. R_{ONit} is the *R* derived from organonitrates, which in our case was the maximum *R* (equal to 4) we observed during the ambient sampling. Using a R_{mea} of 2.44 and R_{cal} of 1.4, approximately 60% of the measured nitrates were organic nitrates based on the method of Farmer et al. (2010).

- 32
- 33

34 Correction for particle wall losses

35 The size-dependent particle wall loss rate constants were measured in the end of each 36 experiment using ammonium sulfate seeds. The particles produced by an atomizer/dryer system 37 were introduced to the chamber directly, without passing through a neutralizer. Measurements of 38 the wall loss rate constants in our chambers with and without a neutralizer are the same for all 39 practical purposes. A typical profile is shown in Figure S3. The corresponding constants were 40 higher for the smaller particles, but they were practically size independent in the size range 170-41 700 nm. With the mean diameter of the OA mass distribution being around 300 nm we used the 42 average wall loss rate constant in that size range. This approach was used to calculate the initial 43 concentration of OA in the perturbed chamber needed for the estimation of the mass spectrum of 44 the produced OA.

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49

Experiment	O 3 (ppb)	NO ₃ (ppt)
1	240	NA
2	145	4
3	65	Not detected
4	220	NA
5	200	NA
6	65	13
7	85	NA
8	150	25
9	185	5
10	75	Not detected
11	130	3

Table S1: Injected amount of ozone, and maximum detected concentration of NO₃ in the perturbed
chamber.

- Table S2: Comparison of the produced OA mass spectra in the perturbed chamber for Exp. 1 and
 the ambient AMS OOA factors identified in different cities during wintertime.

	Location	Produced OA (degrees)		
_	Bologna, Italy	9		
	Xi'an/Beijing, China	11		
	Fresno, US	14		
	Barcelona, Spain	16		
	Athens, Greece	17		
	Paris, France	18		
58 59				
60				
61				
62				
63				
64				
65				
66				
67				
68				
69				
70				
71				
72				
73				
74				
75				

		-		
	Experiment	OA enhancement*	O: Cinitial	O:Cfinal
	1	1.75	0.4	0.62
	2	1.31	0.36	0.51
	3	1.16	0.25	0.32
	4	1.74	0.33	0.52
	5	1.34	0.4	0.56
	6	1.35	0.36	0.49
	7	1.61	0.36	0.53
	8	1.67	0.45	0.59
	9	1.21	0.44	0.59
	10	1.27	0.33	0.48
	11	1.23	0.41	0.55

Table S3: OA enhancement and initial and final O:C in the perturbed chamber.

79 *OA enhancement= $OA_{final}/OA_{initial}$



81	Figure S1: Location	of sampling site,	in the city of Patras,	Greece (Google Maps 2021).
01	i igui e bit Elocution	or sampling site,	in the enty of I attus,	010000 (000510 111ups 2021)

- *,*



Figure S2: Time series of the four factors identified from the PMF analysis of the ambient dataduring the month long campaign.



Figure S3: Particle loss rete constant profile in the chambers during Exp. 1.

- . . .



Figure S4: Measured concentration of NO₃ radicals in the control (blue dots) and in the perturbed
chamber (red dots) during Exp. 8. The error bars represent one standard deviation.

