

Interactive comment on “Global biogenic volatile organic compound emissions in the ORCHIDEE and MEGAN models and sensitivity to key parameters” by P. Messina et al.

J. Rinne (Editor)

janne.rinne@nateko.lu.se

Received and published: 25 January 2016

I have a comment on the light dependent fraction (LDF) of monoterpene emission, as included in Equation (2) of the manuscript. The LDFs used in the study are different for different compounds emitted, being 1 for isoprene and 0.6 for monoterpenes. However, these seem to be held constant across all plant functional types. I question the validity of this latter assumption which seems to be copied from predecessor models. According to both the light dependencies of measured monoterpene emissions (Staudt and Seufert 1995; Steinbrecher et al., 1999; Kuhn et al., 2002; Rinne et al 2002; Taipale et al., 2011) and stable isotope labeling experiments (Loreto et al., 1996; Ghirardo et al.,

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



2010), the LDF for monoterpenes to vary from 1 for many broadleaf trees to less than 0.5 for many conifers. This issue and its implications should be at least discussed in the paper.

A technical comment: Why is value for beta defined for isoprene emission, when the term where it appears in Equation (2) is zero when LDF is 1?

References

Ghirardo A, Koch K, Taipale R, Zimmer I, Schnitzler J-P, Rinne J. 2010. Determination of de novo and pool emissions of terpenes from four common boreal/alpine trees by ^{13}C labelling and PTR-MS analysis. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 33: 781-792.

Kuhn, U. S. Rottenberger, T. Biesenthal, A. Wolf, G. Schebeske, P. Ciccioli, E. Brancaleoni, M. Frattoni, T.M. Tavares, and J. Kesselmeier Isoprene and monoterpene emission of Amazonian tree species during the wet season: direct and indirect investigations on controlling environmental functions *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107 (D20), 8071, doi:10.1029/2001JD000978, (2002)

Loreto, F., Ciccioli, P., Cecinato, A., Brancaleoni, E., Frattoni, M., Fabozzi, C., Tricoli, D., 1996. Evidence of the photosynthetic origin of monoterpenes emitted by *Quercus ilex* L. leaves by ^{13}C labeling. *Plant Physiology* 110, 1317–1322.

Rinne, H.J.I., A.B. Guenther, J.P. Greenberg, P.C. Harley: Isoprene and monoterpene fluxes measured above Amazonian rainforest and their dependence on light and temperature. *Atmospheric Environment* 36 (2002) 2421–2426

Staudt M., Seufert G. (1995) Light-dependent emissions of monoterpenes by Holm oak (*Quercus ilex*, L.), *Naturwissenschaften* 82, pp.89-92.

Steinbrecher R., Hauff K., Hakola H. & Rössler J. (1999) A revised parameterisation for emission modelling of isoprenoids for boreal plants. In *Biogenic VOC Emissions and Photochemistry in the Boreal Regions of Europe* (eds T. Laurila & V. Lindfors),

C11978

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



pp. 29–43. Air Pollution Research Report 70. Commission of European Communities, Luxembourg.

Taipale, R., M. K. Kajos, J. Patokoski, P. Rantala, T. M. Ruuskanen, and J. Rinne. Role of de novo biosynthesis in ecosystem scale monoterpene emissions from a boreal Scots pine forest. *Biogeosciences*, 8, 2247-2255, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2247-2011, 2011

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 33967, 2015.

ACPD

15, C11977–C11979,
2016

[Interactive
Comment](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

C11979

