23187

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 23187–23210, 2009 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/23187/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Technical Note: A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling of CO₂ concentration estimation

K. Trusilova, C. Rödenbeck, C. Gerbig, and M. Heimann

Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knoell Str. 10, 07745 Jena, Germany Received: 30 July 2009 – Accepted: 15 October 2009 – Published: 2 November 2009 Correspondence to: K. Trusilova (ktrusil@bgc-jena.mpg.de) Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Atmospheric

and Physics

Discussions

Chemistry



Abstract

We introduce a global-to-regional nesting scheme for atmospheric transport models that are used for simulating concentrations of green house gases from globally distributed surface fluxes. The coupled system of the regional Stochastic Time-Inverted

- Lagrangian Transport (STILT) model and the global atmospheric transport model (TM3) is designed to resolve atmospheric trace gas concentrations at high temporal and spatial resolutions in a specified domain e.g. for regional inverse applications. The nesting technique used for the coupling is based on a decomposition of the atmospheric concentration signal into a far-field and a near-field contribution that allows global and
- ¹⁰ regional models being of different type, i.e. Eulerian (grid) and Lagrangian (trajectory). For illustrating the performance of the coupled TM3-STILT system we compare simulated mixing ratios of carbon dioxide with available observations at 10 sites in Europe. For all chosen sites the TM3-STILT provided higher correlations between the modelled and the measured time series than the TM3 global model. The autocorrelation analysis showed that in contrast to the global model TM3-STILT model is capable to represent
- the variability of the measured tracer concentrations.

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic and biogenic emissions of trace gases at the surface cause large variations of atmospheric concentrations in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) from up-²⁰ stream sources and sinks, which strongly influence tracer mixing ratios at a particular observing station. However, the scales on which the transport in this "near-field" often takes place are not resolved by typical grid sizes of global atmospheric transport models. This makes the model comparison against available observations difficult and often requires averaging of the observed quantities in time and space to the model ²⁵ resolution. Such averaging leads to a loss of information about fine scale signals that can be retrieved from observations and that can be used for inferring trace gas fluxes

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





at high temporal and spatial resolutions by an atmospheric inverse scheme (Lin et al., 2005; Gerbig et al., 2008).

In order to refine the spatial and temporal resolutions of the predicted concentrations of trace gases in global transport models, domain nesting techniques are often used.

- Such a zooming technique was implemented in the atmospheric chemistry-transport zoom model TM5 (Krol et al., 2005) and in the nested regional transport-chemistry model HANK (Hess et al., 2000). In order to smooth the transition from the global grid of several degrees to a fine grid of less than 1°×1° typically, often one or more intermediate zoom regions are needed. This multi-domain nesting leads to an increased com-
- ¹⁰ putational cost of model runs and can be justified only when the whole zoomed area is of interest. However, for a model evaluation or an inverse model study, usually only the model output at a limited number of available observation locations is required. Such a local refinement of the spatial resolution for predicted variables may be achieved by using Lagrangian transport models (Lin et al., 2003; Pongratz et al., 2008; Rotach et al.,
- 15 1996; Stohl et al., 2005) for calculating the footprint (near-field) and background (far-field) influences for each available observation. Lagrangian transport models simulate transport by following the time evolution of a particle ensemble and interpolating meteorological fields to the sub-grid scale location of each particle. The particle approach can provide significant gains in information as compared to Eulerian-grid models due to the adjustable time step and fine spatial resolution of surface sources and sinks (Lin
 - et al., 2003).

In this paper, we present a new fully coupled system of a global three-dimensional atmospheric tracer model and a stochastic Lagrangian transport model designed for predicting atmospheric trace gas concentrations at fine temporal and spatial resolutions.

The coupled system is to be used in the two-step scheme for high-resolution regional atmospheric trace gas inversions described in the work of Rödenbeck et al. (2009). This scheme allows coupling of two independent atmospheric transport models for retrieving surface fluxes of observed trace gases at finer regional spatial resolutions than possible with global models. Rödenbeck et al. (2009) presented the scheme using a

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





hypothetical regional model nested into the global one; here we demonstrate an implementation of the coupled system consisting of a global Eulerian grid model and a regional Lagrangian model to be used in this scheme. In this study we analyse the performance of the coupled system for the European domain and evaluate it against continuous measurements of CO_2 concentrations at 10 European sites.

2 Modelling system

5

20

The global-regional coupled system of the global Eulerian-grid and the regional Lagrangian atmospheric transport models is highly modular and both components can be decoupled at the stage of compilation. The technical implementation of the coupling is
consistent and it is based on the principle of decomposition of measured tracer mixing ratio on the "near-field" and "far-field" contributions. This decomposition is possible because of the linearity of the atmospheric continuity equation for a conservative tracer. The near-field part of the signal is resolved by the fine-scale regional model whereas the far-field part – the relatively well-mixed atmosphere background – is resolved by the global coarse-grid model.

The global atmospheric tracer model TM3 (Heimann et al., 2003) is a threedimensional transport model that solves the continuity equation for an arbitrary number of atmospheric tracers. It uses prescribed meteorological fields, which can be obtained from an atmospheric general circulation model or from a weather forecast model. 6 h meteorological fields from the NCEP/NCAR Global Reanalysis Products (Kalnay et al., 1996) were used for our simulations.

The Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport model STILT (Lin et al., 2003; Gerbig et al., 2003) simulates atmospheric transport by following the time evolution of a particle ensemble backwards in time and calculates footprint elements that represent the sensitivity of the mixing ratio of the tracer at the receptor location to any

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





given surface flux. The model uses meteorological fields from the ECMWF¹ operational data at the spatial resolution of 0.25° horizontally with 60 vertical levels on the latitude/longitude projection that have been obtained from the ECMWF Data Server. The particle number was set to 100, limiting the statistical error to 13% of the regional contribution to the CO₂ mixing ratio at the receptor point (Gerbig et al., 2003). As described by Lin et al. (2003), STILT computes the influence function $/(x_r, t_r | x, t)$, which links the local concentration $C(x_r, t_r)$ of a tracer, measured at receptor location x_r at time t_r to the surface sources S(x, t) of the tracer (in ppm units) emitted at locations xat time t into a shallow layer near the surface:

10
$$C(\boldsymbol{x}_{r},t_{r}) = \Delta C(\boldsymbol{x}_{r},t_{r})_{\mathsf{NF}} + C(\boldsymbol{x}_{r},t_{r})_{\mathsf{FF}}$$
(1)

$$\Delta C(\boldsymbol{x}_{r},t_{r})_{NF} = \int_{t_{0}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{t} dt \int_{V} d^{3} \times I(\boldsymbol{x}_{r},t_{r}|\boldsymbol{x},t) S(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$

t,

$$C(\mathbf{x}_{r}, t_{r})_{FF} = \int_{V} d^{3}x I(\mathbf{x}_{r}, t_{r} | \mathbf{x}, t_{0}(x)) C(\mathbf{x}, t_{0}(x))$$
(3)

The first term on the right-hand side in Eq. (1), $\Delta C(\mathbf{x}_r, t_r)_{NF}$, represents the upstream near-field influence to the concentration at the receptor location. The function I_{15} $I(\mathbf{x}_r, t_r | \mathbf{x}, t)$ links volumetric sources $S(\mathbf{x}, t)$ to the concentrations at the receptor $C(\mathbf{x}_r, t_r)$. The term $\Delta C(\mathbf{x}_r, t_r)_{NF}$ is calculated along trajectories of particles while they "interact" with the surface layer and the corresponding air parcels exchange tracers with surface sources and sinks. A trajectory of a particle is a finite number of particle's locations simulated backward in time, from t_r to $t_0(\mathbf{x})$, starting at the location (receptor) where an observation is available. The trajectory length is limited by the maximum backward

ACPD 9, 23187-23210, 2009 A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling K. Trusilova et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(2)



¹The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, http://www.ecmwf.int/

time of calculation, $t_0(x) \le 72$ (h) for this study, and by the limits of the regional model domain.

The second term in Eq. (1), $C(\mathbf{x}_r, t_r)_{FF}$, represents the influence of the background concentration of the given tracer to its concentration at the receptor. This background far-field CO₂ concentration is calculated by the global model.

3 Model simulations

5

20

25

Two domains were defined for the model simulations: the global domain (GD) with 72×48 grid cells on the spatial resolution of 4°×5°, and the regional domain of interest (Dol) covering central and western Europe from 12° W to 35° E and from 35° N and 62° N with 108×188 (latitude by longitude) grid cells corresponding to a spatial resolution of 0.25°×0.25°. The layout of the two grids is shown in Fig. 1. The full period of simulations (FP) extends from 1 January 2005 to 1 January 2007 for the global model. The period of interest (Pol) extends from 1 March to 31 October 2006. The time between 1 January 2005 and 1 March 2006 is the spinup time used in the global model to acquire the initial background distribution of the trace gas mixing ratios at the beginning of Pol.

We compare the performance of the global TM3 model versus the regional TM3-STILT coupled model within the Dol/Pol. The far-field influence C_{FF} is the same for both model simulations, whereas the near-field (C_{NF}) contributions resolved by the global and the regional models differ.

3.1 Calculating the far-field part of the mixing ratio signal

The far-field part of the signal C_{FF} is calculated by the global model in two steps as in the 2-step inversion scheme (Rödenbeck et al., 2009):

1. the global three-dimensional distribution of carbon dioxide mixing ratio in the at-

mosphere (C_{alob}) for GD/FP is calculated with the global TM3 model using an

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling



inversion-retrieved estimate of the carbon surface flux ($F_{posterior}$);

- 2. the near-field contribution in the global simulation is subtracted from the global CO_2 field C_{glob} .
- For retrieving $F_{\text{posterior}}$ we used an inversion similar to the global inversion described in the work of Rödenbeck (2005) but with the following prior estimates of global surface flux fields: the anthropogenic emission fields taken from the EDGAR emission database (Olivier et al., 2005; van Aardenne et al., 2005), the net biosphereatmosphere exchange calculated with the terrestrial ecosystem model BIOME-BGC (Trusilova et al., 2008), and the net ocean-atmosphere carbon flux (F_0) that was specified as the sum of the ocean untake flux induced by the anthropogenic perturbation
- ¹⁰ ified as the sum of the ocean uptake flux induced by the anthropogenic perturbation as compiled by Mikaloff-Fletcher et al. (2006), the preindustrial air-sea fluxes from Mikaloff-Fletcher et al. (2007), and the river fluxes of Jacobson et al. (2007). The inversion used the observed mixing ratios $C_{\rm obs}$ at a global set of monitoring stations as the primary input.
- ¹⁵ For calculating the C_{glob} in the global forward simulation we use the $F_{posterior}$ as determined by the global atmospheric inversion over the GD/FP.

In order to estimate in the European region the far-field contribution C_{FF} only, the near-field regional contribution C_{NF} has to be subtracted from the C_{glob} . The C_{NF} is calculated with the global model within the Dol/Pol using the modified flux input $F_{posterior_0} = \{F_{posterior} \text{ within Dol/Pol}; 0 - otherwise\}$. Finally, the remaining C_{FF} is calculated as:

$$C_{\text{FF}} = C_{\text{glob}} - C_{\text{NF}} = A_{\text{TM3}}F_{\text{posterior}} - A'_{\text{TM3}}F_{\text{posterior}_0}$$

where A_{TM3} is linear transport operator of the global model.

20

A'_{TM3} is the transport operator of the global model, A_{TM3}, modified for the comparison
 with the regional model in the following way: any tracer emitted within the Dol/Pol and transported beyond the Dol/Pol cannot re-enter it; any tracer field outside Dol/Pol is

Interactive Discussion

(4)

set to 0 in order to avoid double counting of the same tracer mass contribution to the near field (within the Dol/Pol) and to the far field (outside the Dol/Pol).

The far-field mixing ratio C_{FF} is calculated using the optimized surface flux estimate $F_{\text{posterior}}$ retrieved by a global atmospheric inversion in a previous step. This guarantees that the global flux field, $F_{\text{posterior}}$ and, subsequently, the C_{FF} are consistent with the observations globally. With this procedure, C_{FF} is a sufficiently good coarse scale estimate of the far-field influence given by the global atmospheric transport model.

3.2 Calculating the near-field part of the mixing ratio signal

The near-field contribution resolved by the global and the regional models $-C_{NF_{TM3}}$ and $C_{NF_{STILT}}$, respectively – are calculated within Dol/Pol with the set of fluxes *F* upscaled to spatial resolutions of 4°×5° and 0.25°×0.25° for the global model and the regional model:

$$C_{\rm NF_{TM3}} = A'_{\rm TM3}F$$

$$C_{\rm NF_{STILT}} = A_{\rm STILT}F$$
(5)
(6)

¹⁵ where A_{STILT} is the linear transport operator of the regional model.

For calculating $C_{NF_{TM3}}$ and $C_{NF_{STILT}}$, three components of the carbon surface flux were specified with high temporal and spatial resolution: the anthropogenic carbon emission flux (F_a), the land biosphere (F_b) and the ocean (F_o) net carbon exchange fluxes. The F_a was derived from the European anthropogenic emission inventory at a resolution of 10 km (Pregger et al., 2007). The $F_{b_{VPRM}}$ was calculated with the diagnostic model VPRM (Mahadevan et al., 2008) for the Dol/Pol at $1/12^\circ \times 1/8^\circ$. The VPRM model was driven with the ECMWF operational meteorological data and used the land cover classification database SYNMAP (Jung et al., 2006). The F_a and F_b were aggregated to the resolution $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ for the Dol/Pol. The F_o , which was used in the global inversion discussed above, was re-sampled to $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ within the Dol/Pol. The

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





corresponding input files contain carbon emission fluxes $[molm^{-2} 3 h^{-1}]$ on the latitude-longitude projection.

4 Evaluation of the coupled TM3-STILT model

For the evaluation of the models two simulations were performed for the Dol/Pol domain: 1) on the coarse spatial/temporal resolution with the TM3 model and 2) on the fine spatial resolution with the TM3-STILT coupled model.

The time series of the near-field CO_2 mixing ratios, $C_{NF_{TM3}}$ and $C_{NF_{STILT}}$, were then compared with the near-field signal ($C_{NF_{obs}}$) extracted from the time series of observations (C_{obs}) that was estimated as:

10 $C_{\rm NF_{obs}} = C_{\rm obs} - C_{\rm FF}$

20

4.1 Data selection

As the coupled TM3-STILT system is designed for performing regional atmospheric inversions on high spatial resolutions, for the evaluation we chose the sites that will potentially be used in a regional inversion for the European domain (Table 1). The CO₂ concentration data were provided by the measurement institutions listed in the Table 1. The data selection procedure for continuous and for flask data is described in the work of Rödenbeck (2005).

Although the measured and modelled data are continuous and of high frequency (1 h), we chose to analyse the model output only between 10:00 and 17:00 UTC in order not to include night time values because of several considerations:

 the night-time mixing ratios near the surface, where the stations are located, are very much influenced by small scale meteorological circulation patterns which are mostly not resolved in either global or regional models;

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling

K. Trusilova et al.

(7)



2. the day-time values measured at tall towers or mountain stations are representative of the well-mixed planetary boundary layer and are better represented in atmospheric models.

4.2 Subtraction of the seasonal signal

⁵ The large-scale seasonal variability of the CO₂ concentrations over Europe is dominated by the surface flux from the entire northern hemisphere, and which is represented primarily in the far-field component of the mixing ratio. Here, the synoptic variability is of interest, hence prior to the statistical analysis, we subtracted the seasonal component from the observed and modelled time series. A harmonic function was used to approximate the seasonal flux component for each time series:

$$c(t) = c_0 + c_1 x + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(a_i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{365d}i \times t\right) + b_i \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{365d}i \times t\right) \right),$$
(8)

where c(t) is time series of measured|modelled concentration values, c_0, c_1, a_j, b_j are constants estimated by the harmonic-fitting algorithm.

t is time expressed in days of the year.

15

The seasonal signal approximated by the harmonic function c(x) was subtracted from the time series $C_{\text{NF}_{\text{TM3}}}$, $C_{\text{NF}_{\text{STILT}}}$, and $C_{\text{NF}_{obs}}$. The resulting time series X_{TM3} , X_{STILT} , and X_{obs} were then statistically analysed.

4.3 Statistical measures for the model evaluation

For comparing the performance of atmospheric transport models we calculated the correlation coefficient (*r*) and the root mean square difference (RMSD) between modelled and measured time series of CO₂ (only for the near-field influence parts X_{TM3} , X_{STILT} , and X_{obs}), as well as the autocorrelation coefficient (*R*) and the variance (Var) for these time series.

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





All gaps in the observed CO₂ mixing ratios were linearly interpolated in time. The autocorrelation analysis was applied for the same set of lag periods (1–8, 18, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h) to the interpolated time series of measured and modelled data in order to quantify the global and the coupled model's capability to capture the fine scale variabil-⁵ ity in the measured CO₂ signals.

5 Results and discussion

on the diagram).

10

Figure 2 shows as an example the de-seasonalized time series of the observations together with the simulations by TM3 and TM3-STILT at a flat-terrain station, a mountain station, and a coastal site. It is clearly seen, the TM3 model produces a smoother time series than TM3-STILT and that it fails to capture many of the fine-scale features of the observed signals, in particular at the Monte Cimone site, CMN.

5.1 Analysis of time series using Taylor diagrams

In order to evaluate the TM3 and TM3-STILT capabilities to capture fine-scale features of modelled signals we make use of Taylor diagrams. The Taylor diagram (Taylor, 2001) shows graphically how closely a pattern matches observations. The similarity of two patterns is qualified in terms of their correlation (angle), their standard deviation (or relative standard deviation – radius), and their root mean square difference (also root mean square error – distance from the location of pattern to the location of observation

Figure 3 shows Taylor diagrams that demonstrate how well the TM3 and TM3-STILT models are able to simulate the CO_2 concentrations at given locations; the match to the observation is shown by the position of each dot. The statistical characteristics used for the Taylor diagrams of Fig. 3 are given in Table 2.

For all sites the TM3-STILT model showed a better correlation of the modelled CO₂ time series to observations than the TM3 model (Table 2). Especially large differ-

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





ences were found for the station at Mace Head (MHD), for which the $r_{TM3 obs} = 0$ and $r_{\text{STILT,obs}}$ = 0.63. The reason for this large difference can be explained by the capability of the TM3-STILT model to resolve the fine-scale transport in this coastal region.

- The variance of the TM3-STILT-simulated time series matches the variance of the ⁵ observations closer than the TM3-simulated time series. Good performance of TM3-STILT was achieved for CMN, KAS, PUY, OXK, and SCH as illustrated by the red dots located close to the Rel.SD=1 line in the Fig. 3. For the BIK, CBW, HUN, and MHD the Var_{STILT} matches the variance in observations closer than Var_{TM3}, however, some of the variability was still missed by the fine-scale model. For the PRS station the regional model produced time series which strongly overestimated the observed 10 variability. This could be explained by an inaccurate placement of the receptor point
- in the Lagrangian model over the surface with very complex terrain: Plateau Rosa is a high-altitude mountain station with a very complex local meteorology that is not adequately represented in either TM3 or TM3-STILT.
- The RMSD_{TM3-STILT} was significantly smaller than RMSD_{TM3} for four stations: BIK, 15 CBW, KAS, and MHD. These sites have rather homogeneous surrounding land cover and, except for KAS, are located on flat terrain. Under these conditions the surface flux uncertainly has a minor influence on the atmospheric signal and TM3-STILT with the fine-resolved transport over-performes the TM3 model. On the other hand, at four
- sites CMN, PRS, PUY, and SCH RMSD_{TM3-STILT} was greater than RMSD_{TM3}. This 20 indicates a greater average mismatch between the TM3-STILT model and the observations. However, this mismatch originates primarily from the larger variability of the TM3-STILT signal predicted for these sites, however the corresponding time series of TM3-STILT are still better correlated to the observations than the estimates by the global model TM3. 25

5.2 Autocorrelation analysis

The autocorrelation analysis of the modelled and measured time series was performed in order to demonstrate the capabilities of the models to represent the statistical prop-



ACPD



erties of the observed CO_2 signals. Because of the daytime selection procedure in the model output, the autocorrelation coefficients in the time series of model outputs and observations was calculated only for lag times of 1–8, 18, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. Since observations were not always available at 1-hourly intervals, linearly interpolated val-

- ⁵ ues at the respective time lags were used. The associated 95% confidence intervals for the estimated autocorrelation coefficients were calculated using the Fisher transformation (Shen et al., 2006). The confidence intervals are shown as error bars of the autocorrelation coefficients in Fig. 4. The narrow confidence intervals illustrate that the autocorrelation coefficients calculated for the models and the observations become
- ¹⁰ significantly different already from a lag of 1 h for all sites, except for the PUY and BIK sites. Clearly, the TM3-STLT model matches much better the autocorrelation structure of the observations than the global TM3 model.

Figure 4 shows the autocorrelation coefficients and their confidence intervals for the modelled and measured time series of CO_2 mixing ratio. For all time series the auto-

- ¹⁵ correlation coefficients were high for short time lags of 1–3 h. As we considered only daytime observations, this strong dependence of neighbour values can be explained by small changes of CO₂ mixing ratio in 1–3 h during the day. For the larger time lags of 4 to 8 h the autocorrelation in observation was slightly decreased for modelled and measured time series.
- For time lags greater than 8 h the TM3 time series still exhibits too high autocorrelation indicating too smoothed out day-to-day variations of tracer mixing ratios (Fig. 4, for BIK, CBW, CMN, MHD, OXK, PRS, PUY, SCH) in contrast to the observations.

The autocorrelation coefficients of the TM3-STILT time series matches much more closely the measurements (Fig. 4) and they are decreasing for time lags greater than

²⁵ 8 h at almost all sites (except HUN and KAS, Fig. 4), in much better agreement with the observations. This result demonstrates that the TM3-STILT model has a better capability to capture the variability of local fine-scale tracer time series.

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling



6 Conclusions

This paper demonstrates a practical implementation and evaluation of the spatialtemporal nesting of a domain of interest (DoI) and a period of interest (PoI) resolved by a regional model into a global atmospheric transport model. The nesting scheme, pre-

viously described in the work of Rödenbeck et al. (2009), was designed for performing high-resolution regional atmospheric trace gas inversions. The fine-resolution regional atmospheric transport model of the Lagrangian type was nested into the Eulerian-grid coarse resolution global model for one year over the European domain. The performance of the nested system versus the global model was analysed for predicting time series of CO₂ mixing ratios at ten measurement stations.

The nested high-resolution model system is able to resolve both atmospheric transport and the source/sink patterns of CO_2 on fine spatial (less than 1°) and temporal (less than 1 h) scales and to capture to a considerable degree the fine scale variability in measured signals that is not resolved by the global model.

- ¹⁵ The illustrative evaluation of the performance of the coupled TM3-STILT model is of course limited. On the one hand, the far field contribution by its construction from a global inversion matches the atmospheric observations outside the Dol. On the other hand, the near-field component of the simulation is dominated by the sources within the Dol. These sources have not been optimized in any way and are expected to con-
- tain errors in their spatial and temporal distribution. These errors are added to the atmospheric model transport errors and contribute to the mismatch at the observation stations. The statistical analysis performed here attempts to isolate the former error, but this cannot be done unequivocally.

TM3-STILT constitutes a computationally efficient modelling system for the representation of the high-resolution atmospheric transport of conservative atmospheric tracers over a complex domain such as Europe. In the future, the implemented system is to be used in regional atmospheric inversions for the optimization of high-resolution source flux estimates of CO₂ and other long-lived greenhouse gases.

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





Acknowledgements. The station data were provided and partly funded by European Union projects CARBOEUROPE (Ref. No. GOCE-CT-2003-505572) and CHIOTTO (Ref. No. EVK2-CT-2002-00163). We thank all principal investigators who provided the station data for these projects.

⁵ The service charges for this open access publication have been covered by the Max Planck Society.

References

- Cundari, V., Colombo, T. and Ciattaglia, L.: 13 Years of atmospheric carbon-dioxide measurements at Mt-Cimone station, Italy, Nuovo Cimento C, 18(1), 33–47, 1995.
- Derwent, R. G., Ryall, D. B., Manning, A., Simmonds, P. G., O'Doherty, S., Biraud, S. et al.: Continuous observations of carbon dioxide at Mace Head, Ireland from 1995 to 1999 and its net European ecosystem exchange, Atmos. Environ., 36(17), 2799–2807, 2002.
 - Gerbig, C., Körner, S., and Lin, J. C.: Vertical mixing in atmospheric tracer transport models: error characterization and propagation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 591–602, 2008, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.pet/8/591/2008/

15 http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/591/2008/.

- Gerbig, C., Lin, J. C., Wofsy, S. C., Daube, B. C., Andrews, A. E., Stephens, B. B. et al.: Toward constraining regional-scale fluxes of CO₂ with atmospheric observations over a continent:
 2. Analysis of COBRA data using a receptor-oriented framework, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108(D24), 4757, 2003.
- Haszpra, L., Barcza, Z., Hidy, D., Szilagyi, I., Dlugokencky, E., and Tans, R.: Trends and temporal variations of major greenhouse gases at a rural site in Central Europe, Atmos. Environ., 42(38), 8707–8716, 2008.
 - Heimann, M. and Körner, S.: The global atmospheric tracer model TM3, Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Technical Reports, 5, 1–131, 2003.
- Hess, P. G., Flocke, S., Lamarque, J. F., Barth, M. C., and Madronich, S.: Episodic modeling of the chemical structure of the troposphere as revealed during the spring MLOPEX 2 intensive, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105(D22), 26809–26839, 2000.

Jacobson, A. R., Fletcher, S. E. M., Gruber, N., Sarmiento, J. L., and Gloor, M.: A joint atmosphere-ocean inversion for surface fluxes of carbon dioxide: 1. Methods and global-scale fluxes. Global Biogeochem, Cy. 21(1), GB1020 doi:10.1029/2006GB002703.2007

scale fluxes, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21(1), GB1020 doi:10.1029/2006GB002703, 2007.

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling

Title	Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
14	►I					
Back	Close					
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion						



- Jung, M., Henkel, K., Herold, M., and Churkina, G.: Exploiting synergies of global land cover products for carbon cycle modeling, Remote Sens. Environ., 101(4), 534–553, 2006.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L. et al.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77(3), 437–471, 1996.
- Krol, M., Houweling, S., Bregman, B., van den Broek, M., Segers, A., van Velthoven, P., Peters, W., Dentener, F., and Bergamaschi, P.: The two-way nested global chemistry-transport zoom model TM5: algorithm and applications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 417–432, 2005, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/417/2005/.
- Levin, I., Graul, R., and Trivett, N. B. A.: Long-term observations of atmospheric CO_2 and carbon isotopes at continental sites in Germany, Tellus B, 47(1-2), 23-34, 1995.
 - Lin, J.C. and Gerbig, C.: Accounting for the effect of transport errors on tracer inversions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L01802, doi:10.1029/2004GL021127, 2005.
 - Lin, J. C., Gerbig, C., Wofsy, S. C., Andrews, A. E., Daube, B. C., Davis, K. J., and Grainger, C. A.: A near-field tool for simulating the upstream influence of atmospheric observa-
- tions: The Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108(D16), 4493, 2003.
 - Longhetto, A., Apadula, F., Bacci, P., Bonelli, P., Cassardo, C., Ferrarese, S., Giraud, C., and Vannini, C.: A study of greenhouse gases and air trajectories at Plateau Rosa, Il Nuovo Cimento C (Italian Physical Society), 18(6), 583–601, 1995.
- Mahadevan, P., Wofsy, S. C., Matross, D. M., Xiao, X. M., Dunn, A. L., Lin, J. C., Gerbig, C., Munger, J. W., Chow, V. Y., and Gottlieb, E. W.: A satellite-based biosphere parameterization for net ecosystem CO₂ exchange: Vegetation photosynthesis and respiration model (VPRM), Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22(2), GB2005, doi:10.1029/2006GB002735, 2008.
 - Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., Jacobson, A. R., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Gerber, M.,
- Follows, M., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D., Mouchet, A. Muller, S. A., and Sarmiento,
 J. L.: Inverse estimates of anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, transport, and storage by the ocean,
 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20(2), GB2002, doi:10.1029/2005GB002530, 2006.
 - Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., Jacobson, A. R., Gloor, M., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Gerber, M., Follows, M., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D. Mouchet, A., Muller, S.
- A., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Inverse estimates of the oceanic sources and sinks of natural CO₂ and the implied oceanic carbon transport, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21(1), GB1010, doi:10.1029/2006GB002751, 2007.

Necki, J., Schmidt, M., Rozanski, K., Zimnoch, M., Korus, A., Lasa, J. et al.: Six-year record of





atmospheric carbon dioxide and methane at a high-altitude mountain site in Poland, Tellus B, 55(2), 94–104, 2003.

- Olivier, J. G. J., van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F., Ganzeveld, L. N., and Peters, J. A. H. W.: Recent trends in global greenhouse gas emissions: regional trends and spatial distribution
- ⁵ of key sources, in: Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases (NCGG-4), coordinated by: A. van Amstel, Millpress, 325–330, 2005.
 - Pongratz, T., Öttl, D., and Uhrner, U.: Documentation of the Lagrangian Particle Model GRAL (Graz Lagrangian Model) Vs. 6.8, Amt der Steiermärkischen Landesregierung Fachabteilung 17C, Bericht Nr. LU-10-08, Amt der Steiermärkischen Landesregierung, Fachabteilung 17C,

20

25

Popa, E., Gloor, E., Manning, A. C., Jordan, A., Schultz, U., Hänsel, F. et al.: Measurements of greenhouse gases and related tracers at Bialystok tall tower station, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 2, 2587–2637, 2009

Pregger, T., Scholz, Y., and Friedrich, R.: Documentation of the Anthropogenic GHG Emission

- ¹⁵ Data for Europe Provided in the Frame of CarboEurope GHG and CarboEurope IP, Final Report CarboEurope-IP, funded by Sixth Framework Programme, IER, University of Stuttgart, Germany, 2007.
 - Rödenbeck, C.: Estimating CO₂ sources and sinks from atmospheric mixing ratio measurements using a global inversion of atmospheric transport. Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Jena, Germany, Technical Reports, 6, 1–48, 2005.
 - Rödenbeck, C., Gerbig, C., Trusilova, K., and Heimann, M.: A two-step scheme for highresolution regional atmospheric trace gas inversions based on independent models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5331–5342, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/5331/2009/.

Rotach, M. W., Gryning, S. E., and Tassone, C.: A two-dimensional Lagrangian stochastic dispersion model for daytime conditions, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 122(530), 367–389, 1996.

- Schmidt, M., Graul, R., Sartorius, H., and Levin, I.: The Schauinsland CO₂ record: 30 years of continental observations and their implications for the variability of the European CO₂ budget, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108(D19), 4619, doi:10.1029/2002JD003085 2003.
 Shen, D. and Lu, Z.: Computation of correlation coefficient and its confidence interval in SAS®,
- ³⁰ in: The Thirty-first Annual SAS® Users Group International Conference, San Francisco, California, USA, SAS Institute Inc., 2006.
 - Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., and Wotawa, G.: Technical note: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2461–2474, 2005,

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling





¹⁰ Graz, Austria, 1–87, 2008.

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2461/2005/.

10

15

- Sturm, P., Leuenberger, M., and Schmidt, M.: Atmospheric O_2 , CO_2 and $\delta^{13}C$ observations from the remote sites Jungfraujoch, Switzerland, and Puy de Dôme, France, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(17), L17811, doi:10.1029/2005GL023304, 2005.
- ⁵ Taylor, K. E.: Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single diagram, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106(D7), 7183–7192, 2001.
 - Thompson, R. L., Manning, A. C., Gloor, E., Schultz, U., Seifert, T., Hänsel, F., Jordan, A., and Heimann, M.: In-situ measurements of oxygen, carbon monoxide and greenhouse gases from Ochsenkopf tall tower in Germany, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 2, 1247–1291, 2009, http://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/2/1247/2009/.
- Trusilova, K. and Churkina, G.: The Terrestrial Ecosystem Model GBIOME-BGCv1, Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Technical Reports, 14, 2008.
- van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F. J., Olivier, J. G. J., Peters, J. A. H. W., and Ganzeveld, L. N.: The EDGAR 3.2 Fast Track 2000 dataset (32FT2000), 1–12, 2005.

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling



ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling

K. Trusilova et al.





Table 1. Sites with the CO_2 concentration measurements for the validation of TM3 and TM3-STILT models.

Code	Name/Geographic location	Latitude	Longitude	Height ma.s.l.	Site class	Measurement institution, references
BIK	Bialystok, Poland	53°13′ N	23°01' E	463	С	MPI-BGC ² , (Popa et al., 2009)
CBW	Cabauw, The Netherlands	51°58′N	4°55′E	200	С	ECN ³
CMN	Monte Cimone, Italy	44°11′N	10°42′E	2165	М	IAFMS ⁴ , (Cundari et al., 1995)
HUN	Hegyhatsal, Hungary	46°57′N	16°39′E	363	С	OMSZ ⁵ , (Haszpra et al., 2008)
KAS	Kasprowy Wierch, Poland	49°13′N	19°59′E	1987	М	AGH ⁶ , (Necki et al., 2003)
MHD	Mace Head, Ireland	53°19′N	9°53′W	25	S	NUI Galway ⁷ , (Derwent et al., 2002)
OXK	Ochsenkopf, Germany	50°12′N	11°48′E	1183	М	MPI-BGC, (Thompson et al., 2009)
PRS	Plateau Rosa, Italy	45°59′N	7°42′E	3480	М	ENEA ⁸ , (Longhetto et al., 1995)
PUY	Puy de Dome, France	45°45′N	3°00′E	1465	М	LSCE ⁹ , (Sturm et al., 2005)
SCH	Schauinsland, Germany	47°55′N	7°55′E	1205	М	UBA ¹⁰ , (Schmidt et al., 2003; Levin et al., 1995)

C - "Continental" - surface sites on continents;

M – "Mountain" – mountain sites (in continents);

S - "Shore" - at land/sea interface;

² MPI-BGC - Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Germany (http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de)

³ ECN – Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands (http://www.ecn.nl)

⁴ IAFMS – Italian Air Force Meteorological Service (http://www.meteoam.it)

⁵ OMSZ – Hungarian Meteorological Service (http://www.met.hu)

⁶ AGH – University of Science and Technology, Department of Environmental Physics, Krakow, Poland (http://www.agh.edu.pl)

⁷ NUI Galway – National University of Ireland, Physics Department, Galway (http://www.nuigalway.ie)

⁸ ENEA (CESI RICERCA until 29 April 2009) - Research on the Electrical System S.p.A., Italy (http://www.cesiricerca.it)

⁹ LSCE – Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, France (http://www.lsce.ipsl.fr)

¹⁰ UBA – German Environment Agency, Germany (http://www.umweltbundesamt.de)

ACPD

9, 23187-23210, 2009

A new coupled system for global-to-regional downscaling

K. Trusilova et al.

Title Page						
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
I 4	۶I					
Back	Close					
Eull Scroop / Eco						
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion						

Table 2. Statistical characteristics of modelled time series (global mean and seasonal cycle subtracted): r – correlation coefficient, RMSD – root mean square difference between observed and modelled time series, and Var – variance of the measured and modelled tile series.

Station	n Statistical characteristic							
	r		RMSD		Var [pp	m]		
	ТМЗ	TM3-STILT	ТМЗ	TM3-STILT	Meas.	ТМ3	TM3-STILT	
BIK	0.30	0.42	85.71	83.72	8.23	1.65	3.81	
CBW	0.37	0.54	67.30	59.81	11.09	5.03	6.64	
CMN	0.09	0.42	80.15	93.02	6.84	1.25	10.45	
HUN	0.09	0.17	75.97	81.25	18.57	1.71	7.64	
KAS	0.24	0.40	54.44	54.21	10.03	0.64	4.90	
MHD	0.00	0.63	77.56	54.71	6.06	1.22	2.44	
OXK	0.27	0.42	77.89	81.39	15.08	3.41	12.28	
PRS	0.19	0.33	52.94	68.53	1.89	0.70	3.37	
PUY	0.17	0.45	51.35	54.65	5.76	1.18	6.76	
SCH	0.31	0.47	78.13	82.20	11.96	3.57	12.21	



Fig. 1. The global and the regional domains.











CCC I

23208



Fig. 3. Taylor diagrams for modelled and measured time series of CO_2 mixing ratio at 10 European sites. The relative standard deviation (Rel. SD) was calculated as the ratio of the SD of modelled time series to the SD of observations (SD_{mod}/SD_{obs}). The black point indicates the perfect match between the model and the observations.











