

The Globalization of Renaissance Art

A Critical Review

Edited by

Daniel Savoy



BRILL

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Contents

List of Figures and Tables VII

Notes on Contributors X

Introduction 1

Daniel Savoy

PART 1

Global Genealogies

1 A Global Florence and its Blind Spots 17

Sean Roberts

2 Otto Kurz's Global Vision 45

Jessica Keating

PART 2

Beyond Eurocentrism

3 Decolonizing the Global Renaissance: A View from the Andes 67

Ananda Cohen-Aponte

4 Ranges of Response: Asian Appropriation of European Art and Culture 95

Thomas DaCosta Kaufmann

PART 3

A Borderless Renaissance

5 Reconsidering the World-system: The Agency and Material Geography of Gold 131

Lauren Jacobi

- 6 **Linking the Mediterranean: The Construction of Trading Networks in 14th and 15th-century Italy** 158
Emanuele Lugli
- 7 **Cosmopolitan Renaissance: Prints in the Age of Exchange** 186
Stephanie Leitch
- 8 **The World Seen from Venice: Representing the Americas in Grand-scale Wall Maps** 218
Elizabeth Horodowich

PART 4

Instituting the Global

- 9 **Global Renaissance Art: Classroom, Academy, Museum, Canon** 257
Lia Markey
- 10 **Zones of Indifference** 289
Marie Neil Wolff
- 11 **The “Global Turn” in Art History: Why, When, and How Does It Matter?** 299
Claire Farago
- Epilogue: Roundtable** 314
- Index** 337

Decolonizing the Global Renaissance: A View from the Andes

Ananda Cohen-Aponte

The development of Global Renaissance art history has had an undeniable impact on the field of colonial Latin American art. Some of the earliest manifestations of this disciplinary partnership can be found in exhibitions, monographs, articles, and edited volumes produced around the quincentennial of Christopher Columbus's 1492 voyage. Exhibitions such as *Circa 1492* at the National Gallery and a wave of scholarly publications addressed the cataclysmic impact of the European invasion and subsequent colonization of the Americas at an epistemological, linguistic, political, biological, and aesthetic level. The year 1992 precipitated an outpouring of critical reflection on the history of colonialism in the Western hemisphere and its enduring legacies both within Latin America and its diasporic communities.¹ It also brought social action, as evidenced by the massive Columbus Day protests, demonstrations, and performances that year in the United States, Mexico, Guatemala, Argentina, and other countries across Latin America.² Inspired in part by decolonial writings produced by Latin American intellectuals long before the quincentennial,

1 See, for instance, Stephen Greenblatt, *Marvelous Possessions: The Wonder of the New World* (Chicago, 1991); Rolena Adorno, "Reconsidering Colonial Discourse for Sixteenth- and Seventeenth-Century Spanish America," *Latin American Research Review* 28, no. 3 (1993): 135–45; Stephen Greenblatt, ed., *New World Encounters* (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1993); Jay A. Levenson, ed., *Circa 1492: Art in the Age of Exploration* (New Haven, 1991); René Jara and Nicholas Spadaccini, ed., *Amerindian Images and the Legacy of Columbus* (Minneapolis, 1992); and René Jara and Nicholas Spadaccini, ed., *1492/1992: Re-Discovering Colonial Writing* (Minneapolis, 1989).

2 For further discussion on quincentennial protests and performances in Guatemala, see Diane M. Nelson, *A Finger in the Wound: Body Politics in Quincentennial Guatemala* (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1999). On films that addressed the legacy of Columbus, see Ella Shohat and Robert Stam, *Unthinking Eurocentrism: Multiculturalism and the Media*, 1st ed. (London, 1994), 61–77. For an overview of art-historical and anthropological scholarship produced in light of the quincentennial, see Elizabeth Hill Boone, introduction to *Native Traditions in the Postconquest World: A Symposium at Dumbarton Oaks, 2nd through 4th October 1992*, ed. Elizabeth Hill Boone and Tom Cummins (Washington, DC, 1998), 1–9.

from Felipe Guaman Poma de Ayala's seventeenth-century manuscript directed at King Philip III protesting the abuses of Spanish colonialism in Peru, to Edmundo O'Gorman's 1958 provocation that America was not discovered, but rather invented, scholars from a range of disciplines began to challenge the utility of a discovery-oriented model for approaching European colonial expansion.³

In the realm of art history, Claire Farago's 1995 edited volume *Reframing the Renaissance* made a critical intervention into the field, offering a new model for approaching works of art produced on both sides of the Atlantic in the wake of European expansion and colonization. Using the quincentennial as a point of departure, the contributions to the volume carved out new possibilities for expanding the geographical and conceptual parameters of Renaissance art.⁴ The essays in *Reframing the Renaissance* succeeded in creating a bridge between scholars working across the disciplinary divide of European and Spanish colonial art. Perhaps its most enduring impact is that it confirmed the existence of many Renaissances and many Baroques whose artistic fruits spilled into territories across the Americas and Asia. The cultural relativism accorded to colonial art, made possible in part through the paradigm offered by a Global Renaissance model, has helped to broaden our lens on the entangled art histories of the early modern period.

Interest in the cross-fertilization of visual languages between Europe and the Spanish Americas has continued unabated, indicated not only by the plethora of scholarship on the subject over the past two decades, but also by the exponential increase in conference panels on Global Renaissance topics. Art historians trained in the Italian Renaissance and the Southern Baroque have successfully taken on colonial Latin American topics, examining the means by which artists in the Americas transformed European visual languages in the creation of new artistic traditions. This shift in scholarship is also

3 Edmundo O'Gorman, *La invención de América: el universalismo de la cultura de occidente* (Mexico City, 1958). Guaman Poma's manuscript was completed in 1615, but it was not published until the 20th century. For a complete transcription, see Felipe Guaman Poma de Ayala, *El primer nueva corónica y buen gobierno*, ed. John V. Murra and Rolena Adorno, trans. Jorge Urioste (Mexico City, 1980). For further discussion on early critiques of the Columbian "discovery," see Walter Mignolo, *The Darker Side of the Renaissance: Literacy, Territoriality, and Colonization*, 2nd ed. (Ann Arbor, 1995), 315–34. For an art-historically-grounded critique of exhibitions such as *Circa 1492*, see Claire Farago, "Introduction: Reframing the Renaissance," in *Reframing the Renaissance: Visual Culture in Europe and Latin America, 1450–1650*, ed. Claire Farago (New Haven, 1995), 6–8.

4 Claire Farago, ed., *Reframing the Renaissance: Visual Culture in Europe and Latin America, 1450–1650* (New Haven, 1995).

reflected in recent hiring practices, as openings in what were once traditional Renaissance and Baroque faculty positions have begun to specify a preference for candidates with a specialty in early modern Europe and its global manifestations. Indeed, the annual conferences of the Renaissance Society of America (RSA) and the Sixteenth Century Society and Conference (SCSC) have become a veritable haven for colonial Latin American art historians, whose expanded definitions of the early modern world have opened up a generative space for cross-disciplinary dialogue between Europeanists and Latin Americanists.

Nevertheless, there still remain unresolved issues within the realm of Global Renaissance discourse that require further consideration. One is the question of temporality. Colonial Latin American art historians have been grappling with the utility of European periodizations for the viceregal art of Mexico and Peru since the 1920s and '30s, a debate that continues into the twenty-first century.⁵ In the Andes in particular, the usage of the terms Medieval, Renaissance, Mannerist, and Baroque serve primarily as stylistic markers, since these periodizations enjoyed a continued presence on South American soil for decades and even centuries after their heyday in Europe. Art-historical terms like “Mestizo Baroque,” the “Global Renaissance,” or even “Early Modern Latin America” do succeed in breaking free of anachronistic boundary-policing of artistic practices. However, these terms gloss over the distinct economic, cultural, and above all, colonial conditions under which the Renaissance’s global products manifested themselves in Latin America. This essay problematizes some of the terms and frameworks of a Global Renaissance model of art history. Our reliance on seemingly innocuous terminology such as “cross-cultural encounter” or even the “New World,” which remain ubiquitous in early modern art-historical scholarship, invariably erases asymmetries of power inherent to the creation of new artistic languages and traditions in colonized regions. To illustrate these points, I offer a case study of racialized inequality within

5 See Martín Noel, “Comentarios sobre el nacimiento de la arquitectura hispano-americana,” *Revista de Arquitectura*, no. 1 (1915): 8–12; Ángel Guido, *Fusión hispano-indígena en la arquitectura colonial* (Rosario, Argentina, 1925); Felipe Cossío del Pomar, *Pintura colonial (escuela cuzqueña)* (Cuzco, 1928); Alfredo Benavides R., “Un aspecto técnico del barroco en general y en especial del hispano-aborigen,” *Revista de Arte: Publicación bimestral de divulgación de la Facultad de Bellas Artes de la Universidad de Chile* 2, no. 9 (1936): 2–7; Ángel Guido, “El estilo mestizo o criollo en el arte de la colonia,” in *II Congreso internacional de historia de América* (Buenos Aires, 1937), vol. 3, 581–91; and José Uriel García, *El nuevo indio. Ensayos indianistas sobre la sierra surperuana*, 2nd ed. (Cuzco, 1937). For further contextualization of early scholarship on colonial art of the Andes, see Ananda Cohen-Aponte, “Forging a Popular Art History: *Indigenismo* and the Art of Colonial Peru,” *RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics* 67–68 (forthcoming 2017).

the artistic landscape of seventeenth-century Peru in order to complicate the ways that we understand visual encounters and exchanges in the early modern world.

From Reframing to Decolonizing the Renaissance

One of the challenges of writing colonial art histories within the rubric of the Global Renaissance is that the terminology itself assumes Europe (and specifically Italy) as its geographical and temporal starting point; the Renaissance becomes an entity that is exported and subsequently received by societies across the world. This framework may seem relatively benign; it is, as one may say, how the story goes. But locating the origins of colonial art history in fifteenth-century Europe brings along with it two major historiographical caveats. First, this narrative frames colonial visual exchanges as a meeting between an innovative artistic “movement” fitted with a heavy armature of historiography and theorization (the Renaissance), and a temporally murky, nearly ahistorical artistic “tradition” (the art of the Americas). The practices of indigenous artists over the course of several millennia prior to the Spanish invasion are thus emptied of their historicity and become little more than a foil for articulating new extra-European art histories. Simply de-nationalizing the borders of the Renaissance and Baroque does little to undo the inevitable temporal truncation of pre-Conquest artistic trajectories in the forging of new art histories.

The second consequence of an approach to early modern global art history that takes Europe as its point of genesis is the construction of the Americas as a willing receiver of Renaissance artistic models. By framing the act of artistic exchange as one of reception rather than imposition, we risk reaffirming a discovery-centered approach to artistic production generated under colonial rule. Over the course of the past few decades, Latin Americanist scholarship has reflected changing views on the making of the so-called New World, inspired in part by the critical reflection brought on by the events and publications surrounding 1992 (although for many communities across the Americas, there was never any question as to the violence inherent in this construction). The term “encounter” began to gain currency as a more neutral alternative, emphasizing the fact that the existence of the Americas was not contingent on its entrance into European consciousness.⁶ This term also attempted to “level

6 Although as Elizabeth Hill Boone notes, “... the Encounter that is mentioned so much in Quincentennial literature did not eventuate in equality for both sides.” See Boone, “Introduction,” 7. Some of the scholarship from the early 1990s use “discovery” and

the playing field” by placing Europeans and Amerindians on equal footing; an encounter implies a happenstance meeting or convening between two parties rather than one group’s wholesale declaration of the others’ existence.

Yet, as many have argued, the concept of encounter does not adequately convey the tremendous violence that lay at the heart of colonization: the military conquest and capture of territory; the genocide of indigenous people from disease and warfare; the enslavement of millions of Africans in the transatlantic slave trade; the forcible conversion of native peoples to Christianity; the physical destruction of pre-Columbian settlements, monuments, and religious objects; and the imposition of new aesthetic systems and forms of knowledge that sought to suppress indigenous epistemologies. When we speak of the “arrival” or “reception” of Renaissance and Baroque artistic models to the Americas, it parallels the purported neutrality of the encounter model, implying that all artistic systems were created equal. It may be tempting to cast aside the visual arts as somehow less complicit in colonial ideologies or systems of power. After all, the arts could inspire reverie, devotion, and contemplation, all of which could be seen as positive contributions that ensured the well-being of Latin America’s colonial subjects.

But artistic production cannot be disentangled from the enterprise of Spanish colonial rule. The visual arts formed a critical arm of the evangelizing tactics of European missionaries, who sought to translate Catholic concepts into visual form for easier comprehension among indigenous congregations. They also visually articulated new social imaginaries, codes of conduct, and ways of being and seeing that sought to supplant indigenous models for representing the world. And above all, the visual arts participated in newly formed systems of racialized labor extraction that were designed to privilege white Spanish and creole (American-born Spaniards) artists and patrons, which will be discussed at greater length below. Therefore, to extricate the visual arts from the conditions of colonialism within which they were produced and received would do a disservice to the complicated histories of aesthetic expression in colonial Spanish America. We must acknowledge, in the words of Walter D. Mignolo, “the mutual complicity between economic wealth and the splendors

“encounter” as marking different phases in the colonization of the Americas, with discovery signifying the initial entry by Europeans onto American territory and encounter referring to the interpersonal exchanges that subsequently took place. See, for instance, Marvin Lunenfeld, *1492--Discovery, Invasion, Encounter: Sources and Interpretations* (Lexington, MA, 1991); and Anthony Pagden, *European Encounters with the New World: From Renaissance to Romanticism* (New Haven, 1993). Nevertheless, eventually the term “discovery” began to fall out of scholarly use in favor of more value-neutral language.

of the arts.”⁷ It is perhaps the sheer beauty and exuberance of colonial Latin American works of art that make it easier to forget about the histories from which they emerge—crude, violent, messy histories of economic exploitation, and of profound racial and ethnic inequality—that beg for our attention.

I do not wish to imply that indigenous, mestizo, and afrodescendant artists did not willingly participate in the artistic process. Nor do I wish to deny their tremendous interventions in the localization and reformulation of European imported models that gave colonial Latin American art such a multifaceted character. Rather, I contend that we must introduce power and inequality into our paradigm for interpreting histories of artistic exchange between Europe and its colonial possessions during the early modern period. In the same way that the Spanish invasion, conquest, and colonization of Americas can hardly be described as a benign “encounter,” nor can the collision of visual systems that emerged out of these historical conditions. The globalization of the Renaissance was itself a series of visual conquests, as Serge Gruzinski has argued, that entailed the attempted destruction of pre-Columbian visual systems and the imposition of new visual languages, techniques, and media.⁸ To describe this contested terrain as a visual encounter would be to assume that indigenous artists and patrons had the choice to reject the onslaught of European images and the religious and cultural ideologies that they encoded. European art, both secular and religious, imported and locally produced, was upheld by a complex infrastructure that retained its legitimacy through territorial occupation and wealth extraction as well as religious and cultural repression.

Artificially conferring equal footing to indigenous, mixed-race or black artists with respect to their European counterparts in an effort to grant them agency in the artistic arena inevitably does just the opposite; it distorts the historical record by interpreting coercion as choice and hybridity as a peaceful convergence of visual systems. Gustavo Verdesio’s critique of Néstor García Canclini’s important work on hybridity is particularly apt here. He argues that we cannot celebrate hybrid cultures and practices without also acknowledging “the constitutive violence that lies at the origin of the social situation that serves as the framework for the aforementioned hybrid practices.”⁹ Art historians have

7 Walter Dignolo, *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options* (Durham, NC, 2011), 20.

8 See Serge Gruzinski, *La colonización de lo imaginario: sociedades indígenas y occidentalización en el México español, siglos XVI–XVIII* (Mexico City, 1991).

9 Gustavo Verdesio, “Colonialism Now and Then: Colonial Latin American Studies in the Light of the Predicament of Latin Americanism,” in *Colonialism Past and Present: Reading and*

examined the issue of hybridity in the art of colonial Latin America at length (and its analogue *mestizaje*, frequently used in Latin American scholarship); my desire is not to contest the utility of hybridity models to the phenomenon of cross-cultural fertilization in the visual arts. Indeed, these concepts have proven very fruitful for examining the transformations of iconography, style, and techniques within colonial contexts.¹⁰ Rather, a corresponding understanding of hybridity as grounded in epistemic violence sheds light on the more troubling aspects of cultural interaction and its visual manifestations. The visual arts have the ability to hold multitudes; a single object can express both the generative, creative aspects of the cross-cultural interactions that made the work possible while also standing as testament to the mechanisms of colonial control that uphold the socioeconomic milieu in which the artist participates. It is up to the historian to navigate the visual and archival record in a way that does justice to the varied historical and aesthetic forces that brought a given work of art into the world.

Decolonizing the Global Renaissance would enable us to take a step beyond expanding the geographical or temporal frame through which we view early modern artistic production. Following the contributions made by Walter Mignolo, Aníbal Quijano, Silvia Rivera Cusicanqui, Sylvia Wynter, and others, I see a decolonial model of early modern art history as one that is keenly attentive to the hegemonic systems of power that served to naturalize the subjugation of indigenous aesthetic practices.¹¹ It sees the visual arts as inseparable

Writing about Colonial Latin America Today, ed. Alvaro Felix Bolaños and Gustavo Verdesio (Albany, NY, 2001), 10. See also Jorge Cañizares-Esguerra and Benjamin Breen, "Hybrid Atlantics: Future Directions for the History of the Atlantic World," *History Compass* 11, no. 8 (2013): 601–602.

- 10 For a sampling of critical reflections on hybridity and *mestizaje* as applied to colonial Latin American art, see J. Jorge Klor de Alva, "The Postcolonization of the (Latin) American Experience: A Reconsideration of 'Colonialism,' 'Postcolonialism,' and 'Mestizaje,'" in *After Colonialism: Imperial Histories and Postcolonial Displacements*, ed. Gyan Prakash (Princeton, 1995), 241–75; Serge Gruzinski, *The Mestizo Mind: The Intellectual Dynamics of Colonization and Globalization*, trans. Deke Dusinberre (London, 2002); and Carolyn Dean and Dana Leibsohn, "Hybridity and Its Discontents: Considering Visual Culture in Colonial Spanish America," *Colonial Latin American Review* 12, no. 1 (2003): 5–35.
- 11 For further discussion, see Mignolo, *The Darker Side of the Renaissance*; Walter Mignolo, *Local Histories/Global Designs: Coloniality, Subaltern Knowledges, and Border Thinking* (Princeton, 2000); Mignolo, *The Darker Side of Western Modernity*; Anibal Quijano, "Coloniality of Power, Eurocentrism, and Latin America," *Nepantla: Views from the South* 1, no. 3 (2000): 533–80; Mabel Moraña, Enrique D. Dussel, and Carlos A. Jáuregui, ed., *Coloniality at Large: Latin America and the Postcolonial Debate* (Durham, NC, 2008); Silvia Rivera Cusicanqui, "Ch'ixinakax Utxiwa': A Reflection on the Practices and Discourses

from the colonial worlds within which they are generated and received. And finally, a decolonial perspective would take the trauma of conquest and invasion as the ground zero for an art history of the colonial Americas rather than Renaissance Italy or an expansionist late medieval Spain. In so doing, we can begin to disassociate a globalizing Renaissance or Baroque from triumphalist narratives of splendor and cultural development. The gaze through which we view the Global Renaissance often matches that of the very social actors who extended Europe's sphere of influence across the world and who promulgated, in the words of Quijano, "a view of the differences between Europe and non-Europe as natural (racial) differences and not consequences of a history of power."¹² A decolonial approach to the history of art thus re-centers art histories that have been pushed to the periphery while still acknowledging the asymmetrical power relations at play between Europeans and their colonial subjects.

What would it mean to rewrite a history of art from the vantage point of the Global South, whose positionality at the receiving end of a globalized Renaissance differed considerably from Europe's self-image that it projected onto the world? If we speak of a visual invasion of Renaissance and Baroque models into the Americas, then we can more productively assess the stakes of non-European participation in the art-making process. This approach would enable us to reorient our perspective on the way that visual models were imposed and received, willingly or not, by artists within the Americas. A decolonial perspective on Renaissance art during the age of colonial expansion would, in turn, also add nuance to the terms under which we understand Latin American art from this period. Viceregal art is often treated as art that just so happened to *coincide* with colonization. But if we simply change one word in that construction and rearticulate it as art produced *under* colonization, then we can see this body of work as causally connected to colonial projects rather than as a temporal happenstance.

In the pages to follow, I draw examples from the Andean region of South America, which became incorporated into the Viceroyalty of Peru in 1535, to provide a glimpse into the conditions faced by indigenous and mestizo artists in the production of religious artworks for ecclesiastical and private patrons. I look specifically at the city of Cuzco, Peru, the original capital of the Inca empire (known in its time by the Quechua term Tawantinsuyu), which remained

of Decolonization," *South Atlantic Quarterly* 111, no. 1 (2012): 95–109; and Sylvia Wynter, "Unsettling the Coloniality of Being/Power/Truth/Freedom: Towards the Human, After Man, Its Overrepresentation—An Argument," *CR: The New Centennial Review* 3, no. 3 (2004): 257–337.

12 Quijano, "Coloniality of Power," 190.

an active center of artistic production throughout the colonial period. Scholars have written extensively on the artistic grandeur of colonial Cuzco, which boasts dozens of baroque churches filled to the brim with spectacular artworks that evince a dynamic and creative fusion of indigenous and European visual systems. The scholarly emphasis on the dazzling surface appearance of these works of art and architecture—our fetishization of their visual hybridity, as Carolyn Dean and Dana Leibsohn have argued—invariably distracts us from the conditions and circumstances of their production.¹³ This essay examines the economic toll and social costs of artistic hybridity. While the Cuzco context cannot speak for the entirety of colonial Latin America, it provides a case study into the difficulties of reconciling the visual splendor of colonial art and architecture with the little-studied labor conditions under which much of it was generated.

Art, Labor and Inequality in Colonial Cuzco

To illuminate these points, I discuss a series of artists' contracts from the seventeenth century to address issues of racialized inequality in the domain of artistic labor. The specific circumstances under which Andean artists worked during the colonial period still remain poorly understood. This is due in part to the paucity of known archival documentation on artistic guilds. Moreover, scholars have suggested that digging deeper into the archives may not necessarily solve the problem; it appears that artists and patrons did not always resort to the legal system when they drew up contracts, and may have negotiated more informal, verbal agreements, especially by the late seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.¹⁴ Despite these challenges, the pioneering studies by Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, Carol Damian, Ramón Gutiérrez, Maya Stanfield-Mazzi, and others have offered important insights into the socioeconomic dimensions of art making in colonial Peru, from which I draw here.¹⁵ What

13 Carolyn Dean and Dana Leibsohn, "Hybridity and its Discontents: Considering Visual Culture in Colonial Spanish America," *Colonial Latin American Review* 12, no. 1 (2003): 13–16.

14 Maya Stanfield-Mazzi, "The Possessor's Agency: Private Art Collecting in the Colonial Andes," *Colonial Latin American Review* 18, no. 3 (2009): 352.

15 See Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño. Datos para una historia del arte en el Perú* (Cuzco, 1960); Ramón Gutiérrez, "Los gremios y academias en la producción del arte colonial," in *Pintura, escultura y artes útiles en iberoamérica, 1500–1825*, ed. Ramón Gutiérrez (Madrid, 1995), 25–50; Carol Damian, "Artist and Patron in Colonial Cuzco: Workshops, Contracts, and a Petition for Independence," *Colonial Latin American Historical Review* 4, no. 1 (1995): 25–53; Stanfield-Mazzi, "The Possessor's Agency"; and

I wish to contribute to this discussion is a specific focus on differential pay structures accorded to indigenous-descended and Spanish or creole artists and their broader implications for issues of agency, hybridity, and colonial domination in the artistic arena.

For the purposes of this essay, I have analyzed data from 240 artists' contracts in Cuzco written between 1600 and 1704.¹⁶ The contracts feature a variety of artistic professions, including bricklayers, architects, stonemasons, carpenters, painters, gilders, organ makers, musicians, sculptors, and overseers. The vast majority of the commissions from this sample were religious in nature, destined for churches, convents, monasteries, and chapels within the city of Cuzco and its environs. The patrons included priests, administrators, *mayordomos* (stewards) of various confraternities, indigenous *caciques* (local leaders), and artists themselves who sought assistants to complete their projects. Projects ranged from building *retablos* (altarpieces) to hauling stones from the Inca fortress of Sacsayhuaman for use in the construction of colonial churches. These documents offer a wealth of insight into the process of artistic production and patronage in mid-colonial Cuzco, not only in terms of the economic dimensions of Andean art during this period, but also with respect to local understandings of taste, talent, and beauty, as will be discussed shortly.

The 240 contracts yielded the following number of specialists: twenty-two architects, three blacksmiths, five bricklayers, twenty-seven carpenters, one dramaturge, fifty-one *ensambladores* (joiners, or more specifically, assemblers of altarpieces) as well as six *ensambladores* who also worked in carpentry or sculpture,

Luisa Elena Alcalá, "On Perceptions of Value in Colonial Art," in *Journeys to New Worlds: Spanish and Portuguese Colonial Art in the Roberta and Richard Hubler Collection*, ed. Suzanne Stratton-Pruitt (Philadelphia, 2013), 18–27.

16 This sample was drawn from the pioneering work of Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, who transcribed hundreds of *conciertos* (contracts) in Cuzco's regional archive as well as 34 books of the *Actas de Cabildos* (meeting minutes of the governing council) from Lima, which were first published in a series of articles in the *Revista del Archivo Histórico del Cuzco* in 1951, 1952, 1953, 1954, 1957, and 1960, and together compiled into his 1960 book, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*. While scholars have widely cited a number of the contracts that appear in this invaluable book, this is the first publication to my knowledge to conduct a systematic analysis of the data from these contracts. It should be noted that the book includes contracts that span the sixteenth to the nineteenth century, but for the purposes of this study, I chose to only include contracts from the seventeenth century, with one exception of a contract from 1704. While Cornejo Bouroncle's book could not possibly include every artistic contract produced in colonial Cuzco, his painstaking work in not only transcribing, but locating hundreds of contracts interspersed between hundreds of thousands of pages of archival documentation suggests that his book and the seventeenth-century contracts that I extracted from it for this study very much constitute a representative sample of Cuzco's artistic life during this period.

four founders, twenty gilders (some of whom were also master painters), nineteen goldsmiths and silversmiths, one hauler, two locksmiths, twenty-three masons, one musician, twelve organ makers, one overseer, thirty-two painters, two passementerie makers, three roofers, seven sculptors, thirty-five stoneworkers, and two workers whose profession was unspecified (table 3.1).¹⁷

TABLE 3.1 *Overview of artistic professions and wages in Cuzco, 1600–1704 (sorted alphabetically), from Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, Derroteros de arte cuzqueño. Datos para una historia del arte en el Perú (Cuzco, 1960)*

Specialty	Number in sample	# Spaniards	# Indians or Mestizos	Unspecified or other	Wage range (lowest and highest)
Architect or Architectural Assistant	22	21	1	0	40 – 2,250 pesos
Blacksmithing	3	1	2	0	50 pesos/year – 750 pesos/year + 2 black slaves
Carpentry	27	11	15	1	10 pesos/year – 100 pesos/month (for 3 months)
Dramaturgy	1	1	0	0	8 reales/day
Foundry	4	1	2	1	100 – 600 pesos
Gilding	20	13	7	0	13 pesos/month – 10,000 pesos
Goldsmithing and Silversmithing	19	18	0	1 “de color pardo y natural de la ciudad de Trujillo”	1 real/ounce – 8 pesos/marco
Hauling	1	0	1	0	3 reales/day and cloth for pants
Joining	57	40	16	1 indio “ladino en la lengua española”	4 reales/day – 100,000 pesos

¹⁷ This number exceeds 240 because contracts often involved multiple artists.

TABLE 3.1 *Overview of artistic professions and wages in Cuzco, 1600–1704 (cont.)*

Specialty	Number in sample	# Spaniards	# Indians or Mestizos	Unspecified or other	Wage range (lowest and highest)
Locksmithing	2	2	0	0	unspecified – 1000 pesos
Masonry	23	2	21	0	6 reales/day – 7 pesos/day (950 pesos for 4 months)
Musician	1	1	0	0	120 pesos/year
Organ-making	12	6	6	0	170 pesos – 2,500 pesos
Overseeing	1	1	0	0	300 pesos
Painting	32	22	10	0	6 pesos/painting – 90 pesos/painting
Passementerie- making	2	1	1	0	1 peso/pound of passementerie – 100 pesos and daily food
Roofing	3	3	0	0	130 pesos – 400 pesos
Sculpting	7	5	2	0	10 pesos + clothing – 600 pesos
Stoneworking	35	3	29	3	4 reales/day – 2 pesos/day
Unspecified	2	1	1	0	10 pesos/year + food – 170 pesos for 10 months

* 1 real = 8 pesos

* Indian/Mestizo category determined by ethnic label accorded to individual. If ethnicity not indicated, I included any individual with a Quechua surname within this category.

Each artistic specialty is classified according to three primary rubrics, in descending order of importance: *maestro* (master), *oficial* (journeyman who had been trained in a master's workshop), or simply by the profession, such as *pintor* (painter) or *escultor* (sculptor). A fourth category emerges within this classificatory scheme: *indio maestro* (master indian) or *indio oficial* (indian journeyman). For instance, an indigenous sculptor at the master rank would be called an *indio maestro escultor* and an indigenous sculptor at the official rank would be labeled *indio oficial escultor*. This ethnic qualifier of *indio* always precedes the profession, carrying the implicit assumption that native masters would always be Indians first, and artists second. As Carol Damian contends, "when an Indian *maestro* was contracted, the designation of race preempted the status of accomplishment."¹⁸ Spanish artists are rarely, if ever identified as such; they remain unmarked in the contracts, which underscores the unequal foundations upon which the guild system was built. To be an unmarked artist was to enjoy the social prestige of occupying the highest echelons of the profession in which your ethnicity was affirmed to the point of it being rendered unremarkable. This is particularly ironic in light of the fact that Spaniards were a minority in this indigenous and mestizo-dominated city.

The privilege of being unmarked becomes even clearer when we consider the case of certain artists who either chose not to represent themselves as indigenous or who were not even classified as native artists because of the success they had achieved in their profession. Take, for instance, the well-known indigenous sculptor and retablo-maker Juan Tomás Tuyru Tupac (also spelled Tuyrutopa; active 1677–1706). Tuyru Tupac completed a number of prestigious commissions in the city of Cuzco, including the pulpit and general design for the chapel of the Hospital of San Pedro and the famous sculpture of the Virgin of la Almudena.¹⁹ Indeed, he was celebrated by Cuzco's famed Bishop and arts patron Manuel de Mollinedo y Angulo, (r. 1673–1699) as one of the city's most talented artists. Mollinedo acknowledged his status as "noble Indian of Inca descent."²⁰ Tuyru Tupac's illustrious indigenous ancestry merely heightened his prestige in Mollinedo's eyes, who sought out native talent during his tenure as Bishop not only for their excellence in the arts, but perhaps also to demonstrate the successes of the Catholic church in cultivating indigenous artists who dedicated their lives to the production of religious works of art. Indeed, the trope of the indigenous artist as a faithful servant to God through

18 Damian, "Artist and Patron in Colonial Cuzco," 31.

19 See Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*, 81.

20 Referenced in Luis Eduardo Wuffarden, "From Apprentices to 'Famous Brushes': Native Artists in Colonial Peru," in *Contested Visions in the Spanish Colonial World*, ed. Ilona Katzew (Los Angeles, 2012), 261.

his production of images was well in place both in New Spain and Peru by the sixteenth century.²¹ But in the notarial archives, Tuyru Tupac appears to transcend a marked status and is consistently referred to as *maestro ensamblador y escultor*.²² Similarly, Tuyru Tupac's contemporary, the celebrated painter Basilio Santa Cruz Pumacallao, was originally perceived by scholars as a Spanish artist because of his paintings' naturalism and deft emulation of European baroque styles.²³ Indeed, in the archival documentation of his work, he is often identified without his maternal surname, and simply as Basilio Santa Cruz, *maestro pintor*.²⁴

The case of Tomás Tuyru Tupac and others like it highlights the great care we must take in cross-referencing archival sources, since identities cannot always be ascertained by the artists' name or title. Nevertheless, a few preliminary observations can be made from this sample. First, certain artistic specialties reside primarily in the domain of a single ethnic group, while others remain more mixed. For instance, all of the architects in the sample are of Spanish descent with the exception of one indigenous architectural assistant. Architects in colonial Latin America were frequently brought from Spain, and there remained a healthy competition among metropolitan centers to commission the most esteemed Spanish architects for construction of major churches and cathedrals. The rather prestigious position of *ensamblador* was also dominated by Spaniards and Spanish-descended workers; of the fifty-one *ensambladores* in the sample, only three were labeled as *indio maestro ensamblador*. Other indigenous artisans participated in the craft, but in the capacity as *oficial* or as assistants.

On the other hand, sixteen of the twenty-three masons (*albañiles*) who assisted in architectural projects are identified as indigenous (and we could reasonably increase that number to twenty-one, based on the Quechua surnames Aucuchupa, Guamán, Quicya Guamán, Rimache, and Vilcahuamán of masons who were not labeled as "indio").²⁵ Examination of these contracts reveals that indigenous masons received substantially less pay than their Spanish peers for equivalent projects, even when the indigenous mason carried a higher rank. To take one example, consider Francisco Sánchez, *indio maestro albañil*, who was commissioned to rebuild the entire church of Acomayo (a town located about

21 Luisa Elena Alcalá, "Fue necesario hacernos más que pintores'. Pervivencias y transformaciones de la profesión pictórica en hispanoamérica," in *Las sociedades ibéricas y el mar a finales del siglo XVI*, ed. Fernando Checa Cremades (Lisbon, 1998), 90.

22 Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*, 81, 87–88.

23 Dean and Leibsohn, "Hybridity and Its Discontents," 22–23.

24 Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*, 70–72.

25 *Ibid.*, 39, 116, 137, 171.

65 miles southeast of Cuzco) from its foundations (*levantar desde los cimientos*) in 1663 for a total of 1000 *pesos*.²⁶ By contrast, the Spanish artisan Juan de Pantones in 1600 was commissioned to build a refectory, high cloister, and the arches for the cloister of the Convento de la Merced in Cuzco. Pantones was ranked as *oficial de albañilería*, which is ranked below *maestro*. He was not only paid 1200 *pesos* for the job, but he was also promised two Castillian rams and a bushel of flour each month.²⁷ In other words, Pantones still received greater compensation for an equivalent commission despite his lower rank. Other *indios maestros albañiles* fared far worse than Sánchez. For instance, another contract references Ambrocio Quispe, who in 1633 was paid a mere forty *pesos* over the course of three months to build houses on Calle Nueva, a street in Cuzco close to the main plaza.²⁸

The field of organ making presents us with another snapshot into race-based wage differentials. Both indigenous and Spanish artisans participated in the profession; in fact, we have an almost even split in the sample. Of twelve organ makers, it appears that six are indigenous or of indigenous descent; three contracts from 1663 involve the patronage of Pedro Huamán, who is identified differently in each one. He is listed as *indio, Maestro organero* (Indian, Master organ maker) in one contract; as *natural de Arequipa, maestro organero* (from Arequipa, master organ maker) in another; and finally, as *Indio natural de Corahuasi* (Indian from Corahuasi [which is located near Arequipa]).²⁹ Given the specialty and the fact that all three contracts are from the same year, it would be safe to assume that they all refer to the same individual. These variations in the way that Huamán presents himself to the notary reveal to us the fluidity of these labels and identities. Nevertheless, fluidity does not always translate into equity. The Spanish artists Martín Cabellas and Gabriel Cabezas received 2,500 *pesos* and 1,300 *pesos* for constructing new organs for churches in the towns of Acos and Coporaque, respectively.³⁰ The indigenous masters Felipe Poma and Pedro Huamán, by contrast, received 500 and 700 *pesos* for similar commissions.³¹

These wage discrepancies become even clearer when we consider the data for the top and bottom thirty earners of the sample. The top thirty earners in the sample received wages spanning 1,000 to 100,000 *pesos* for a single commission (table 3.2).

26 Ibid., 65.

27 Ibid., 118.

28 Ibid., 157.

29 Ibid., 63, 65–66.

30 Ibid., 137, 174–75.

31 Ibid., 39, 63.

TABLE 3.2 *Top 30 earners in artistic professions in Cuzco, 1600–1704 (sorted by year), from Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, Derroteros de arte cuzqueño. Datos para una historia del arte en el Perú (Cuzco, 1960)*

Artist	Specialty	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Martín González	Maestro arquitecto	Architecture	2,250 pesos	Unspecified	1627
Juan de Ezaguirre	Unspecified	Architecture	1,100 pesos	3 months	1627
Martín González de Lagos	Unspecified	Painting	2,200 pesos	Unspecified	1627
Juan Rodríguez Samané	Maestro de dorar	Gilding	5,000 pesos	Unspecified	1631
Pedro de Mesa	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	3,000 pesos	1 year	1633
Gabriel Cabezas	Maestro de hacer órganos	Organ	1,300 pesos	Unspecified	1633
Martín Cabellas	Maestro de hacer órganos	Organ	2,500 pesos	In time for Corpus Christi	1634
Martín de Torres	Maestro arquitecto	Architecture	1,300 pesos	Due January 1, 1635	1634
Juan Ríos (camanejo) y Martín de Torres (maestro pintor y ensamblador)	Camanejo (Ríos) and Maestro pintor y ensamblador (Torres)	Painting and Joining	2500 pesos	7 months	1637
Martín de Torres	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	1,400 pesos	Finish in 1638	1637
Martín de Torres	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	1,600 pesos	Unspecified	1644
Francisco Domínguez de Chávez y Orellana [sic; Arellano?]	Maestro albañil	Architecture	1,600 pesos	Unspecified	1649
Lorenzo de Pineda	Maestro cerrajero	Locksmithing	1,000 pesos	1 year	1651
Pedro Galeano	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	5,500 pesos	Unspecified	1659
Juan Calderón	Maestro dorador	Gilding	2,500 pesos	Unspecified	1659
Lázar Pardo de Lagos	Maestro pintor y dorador	Gilding	4,500 pesos	Unspecified	1660
Pedro de Oquendo	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	19,000 pesos	Unspecified	1662

Artist	Specialty	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Francisco Domínguez de Chávez y Arellano	Maestro arquitecto	Architecture	750 <i>pesos</i> , 1 ram each week, 4 loaves of bread/day, and a bottle of good wine each month	1 year	1663
Martín de Loaiza	Maestro dorador	Gilding	1,100 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1663
Francisco Domínguez de Chávez	Maestro arquitecto	Architecture	2,000 <i>pesos</i> , food and drink	Unspecified	1663
Francisco Sánchez	Indio, maestro albañil	Masonry	1,000 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1663
Diego Martínez de Oviedo	Maestro mayor del oficio de arquitecto	Architecture	4,600 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1664
Cristóbal López	Indio natural, maestro ensamblador	Joining	1,500 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1668
Cristóbal Clemente	Maestro pintor	Gilding	10,000 <i>pesos</i>	10 months	1670
Pedro de Oquendo	Maestro arquitecto	Architecture	2,000 <i>pesos</i> in addition to amount from previous contract	Unspecified	1674
Mateo Tuyrotopa	Oficial dorador [indio or descended]	Gilding	1,200 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1677
Juan Thomas Tuyrutopa	Maestro ensamblador y escultor [indio or descended]	Joining and Sculpting	Everything at his cost, only giving him an Indian to help each day; 100,000 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1679
Martín de Carbajal	Maestro carpintero	Carpentry	1,250 <i>pesos</i>	5 months	1679
Pedro Gutiérrez	Maestro ensamblador	Joining	2,600 <i>pesos</i>	Unspecified	1692

Among these thirty artists, only two are identified as indigenous and an additional two are of indigenous descent but not labeled as such. These artists also occupy the lower limits of the group, earning 1,000 and 1,500 *pesos* for their work. Incidentally, the very highest earner also happens to be indigenous: the aforementioned Juan Tomás Tuyru Tupac, who was to receive a whopping 100,000 *pesos* to gild the main *retablo* and produce twenty-five sculptures for the Church of Santa Ana (although he was expected to cover the cost of materials).³² But on the whole, the highest wages were consistently given to Spanish artists. For some, compensation went beyond currency. In payment for spending a year at the Convento de Santo Domingo overseeing work on the choir, a certain Francisco Domínguez de Chávez y Arellano received 750 *pesos* as well as one ram and four loaves of bread a week, and “a bottle of good wine each month.”³³

Out of the bottom thirty earners, twenty are identified as “indio” and an additional two are indigenous based on their Quechua surnames (table 3.3).

TABLE 3.3 *Bottom 30 earners in artistic professions in Cuzco, 1600–1704 (sorted by year), from Jorge Cornejo Bouroncle, Derroteros de arte cuzqueño. Datos para una historia del arte en el Perú (Cuzco, 1960)*

Artist	Specialty/ethnicity	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Gerónimo Gutiérrez and Miguel de Romaní	Sculptor and painter and <i>oficial pintor</i> , respectively	Sculpting and Painting	Clothing entirely made from cloth from Quito, a coat, a doublet from Motilla, a hat, a pair of silk stockings, two <i>ruan</i> shirts, twelve pairs of shoes from Córdoba, ten <i>pesos</i> of silver, and food to eat for all year.	1 year	1618
Juan Ruíz de Lara	<i>Representante de comedias</i>	Theater	8 <i>reales</i> /day	1 year	1643

³² Ibid., 81.

³³ “... se le pagará 750 *pesos* corrientes de a ocho *reales*, un camero cada semana, cuatro panes cada día y una botija de vino bueno, cada mes.” Ibid., 63.

Artist	Specialty/ethnicity	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Felipe Santa Cruz	<i>Indio oficial cantero</i>	Stoneworking	6 reales/day	1 year	1645
Martín Quispe	Indio from Colquepata	Hauling	3 reales/day and baize cloth for pants	1 year	1645
Juan de Soria	Unspecified	Stoneworking	4 reales/day	1 year	1646
Diego Fernández	Indio from Oropesa	Stoneworking	4 reales/day	1 year	1646
Juan Maldonado	Unspecified	Stoneworking	4 reales/day	Unspecified	1646
Francisco Aima	Indio from Chinchaiqucyo	Joining	6 pesos/year, a piece of clothing, a shirt, a mantle made from <i>abasca</i> (thick) cloth, breech-cloth, a common hat, and at the end of three years, he will be given an adze, saw, brush, and jointer	3 years	1646
Pascual Quispe	Indio from Parish of Belén	Stoneworking	6 reales/day	1 year	1646
Pedro Chama	Indio from Chincheros	Stoneworking	3 reales/day	1 year	1646
Juan Puca	Indio from Huasac	Stoneworking	4 reales/day	1 year	1646
Juan Martín	Indio from Yucay	Stoneworking	6 reales/day, 5 pesos in advance	1 year	1646
Juan Hernández	<i>Indio oficial cantero</i>	Stoneworking	4 reales/day	1 year	1646
Pedro Pizarro	<i>Oficial pintor</i>	Painting	4 reales/day	Unspecified	1648
Joseph de Béhar	<i>Oficial de tirador de oro y plata</i>	Goldsmithing and Silversmithing	8 reales/day and food on the days he works	6 months	1649

TABLE 3.3 *Bottom 30 earners in artistic professions in Cuzco, 1600–1704 (sorted by year) (cont.)*

Artist	Specialty/ethnicity	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Marcos Quispe	<i>Indio natural</i>	Joining	6 <i>pesos</i> the 1st year and dress made from cloth from Quito, mantle, breeches, shirt made from <i>abasca</i> (thick) cloth, hat, eight pairs of shoes, and daily food; 10 <i>pesos</i> /year for the next three years and clothing and food	4 years	1649
Domingo Inquil	<i>Indio natural</i>	Carpentry	50 <i>pesos</i> and lodging at the hospital	1 year	1650
Miguel Quicya Guamán	<i>Maestro albañil</i>	Masonry	6 <i>reales</i> /day, housing, and 3 <i>reales</i> per week to buy meat, and 10 <i>pesos</i> for account	2 years	1650
Diego Quispe	Unspecified [indio or descended]	Unspecified	10 <i>pesos</i> /year and daily food	Unspecified	1650
Melchor Benito	Indio from the Parish of Santiago	Masonry	1 <i>peso</i> /day	1 year	1650
Martín Paucar, Salvador Topa, Martín Yupanqui, and Bernabé Paucar	Indios	Masonry	1 <i>peso</i> /day	Unspecified	1650
Juan Guamán	Indio from Caicay	Masonry	6 <i>reales</i> /day (in silver)	4 years	1651

Artist	Specialty/ethnicity	Type of job	Payment	Duration	Date
Melchor Guamán	<i>Indio albañil</i>	Masonry	6 <i>reales</i> /day (in silver)	4 years	1651
Marcos Quispe	<i>Indio albañil y cantero</i>	Masonry	6 <i>reales</i> /day (in silver)	4 years	1651
Cristóbal Paucar	<i>Indio, oficial pintor y yanacona de la iglesia mayor</i>	Painting	8 <i>pesos</i> /month, and 20 <i>pesos</i> for account	1 year	1651
Andrés Paredes	From Huamanga	Painting	5 <i>reales</i> /day, food and dinner, 5 <i>varas</i> of cloth from Quito and 7 of ordinary <i>ruan</i>	6 months	1664

The wages here range from ten *pesos* a year to less than one *peso* a day. Keeping in mind that indigenous males between the ages of eighteen and fifty were required to pay annual tribute to the Spanish crown, which on average, amounted to about five to six *pesos* per year, the wages that some indigenous artists earned would have barely enabled them to eke out an existence.³⁴ In some cases, they would be forced to leave a commission midway through if called upon to participate in the *mita*, or rotational labor draft, at the Potosí mines, which was required of all able-bodied indigenous men.³⁵ Some of the lowest-paid artisans were contracted on an annual basis to serve as assistant to a master. For example, in 1646, the Spanish *maestro ensamblador* Martín de Torres contracted Francisco Aima, an Indian from the town of Chinchaipecyo, to assist him in his work for a total of three years, receiving “six *pesos* each year, a piece of clothing, shirt, mantle, breeches, and a common hat, and at the end of the three years, he will be given an adze, a razor, a saw, and a jointer.”³⁶ Another indigenous laborer, Martín Quispe, was contracted in 1645 to haul stones for

34 Kenneth J. Andrien, *Andean Worlds: Indigenous History, Culture, and Consciousness Under Spanish Rule, 1532–1825* (Albuquerque, 2001), 51.

35 Alcalá, “Fue necesario hacernos más que pintores,” 89.

36 “... ganando seis *pesos* cada año, una pieza de ropa, camiseta, manto de abasca, calzón de pañete y un sombrero común y al fin de los 3 años le ha de dar una azuela, un cepillo, una sierra y una garlopa.” Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*, 148–49.

a year for construction projects at the Cuzco Cathedral, receiving three *reales* a day (one *peso* is equivalent to eight *reales*), plus cloth to make pants.³⁷

In numerical terms, Spaniards make up 86% of the top earners and indigenous Andeans make up 73% of the lowest earners. For each of the professions featured in table 1, Indian artists received the lowest wage listed in the wage range and Spaniards received the highest, with the exception of the “Joining” category, whose highest wage went to the aforementioned Juan Tomás Tuyru Tupac. When we consider the profound inequality built into the structure of the guild system during the seventeenth century, it makes it more difficult to conceptualize the artistic process as a cross-cultural “partnership” or “encounter.” For many, working in the artistic realm was a means of survival at its most rudimentary level. The contracts considered here remind us of the profound discrepancies in the earning potential and opportunities for advancement between indigenous and Spanish artists. This is not even to speak of Afro-Peruvian artists; in 1649 with the establishment of the painters’ guild in Lima, *maestros pintores* were prohibited from hiring Black, *mulato* (of African and European descent), and *zambo* (of African and indigenous descent) artists to work as their apprentices.³⁸ While the success of artists like Diego Quispe Tito, Juan Tomás Tuyru Tupac, Basilio Santa Cruz Pumacallao and others confirms the tremendous influence of indigenous artists in colonial Cuzco, we must also keep in mind the hundreds of Andean artists who did not achieve such exceptional status, but whose participation in artistic commissions was no less vital to the development of the city’s aesthetic grandeur. Moreover, while Spanish and select few elite Andean artists could earn monetary compensation as a means of maintaining their livelihood and amassing capital, this generous compensation was made possible through the gross under-compensation of indigenous labor. The profound ethnic and racial inequalities that shaped the guild system in seventeenth-century Cuzco at a moment of intense artistic flourishing speaks to the contradictions of a model of cross-cultural encounter in the artistic fruits of the Global Renaissance. In a system that systematically devalued non-European labor and reserved upward mobility for only a smattering of indigenous elites, the terms under which we conceive of artistic innovation and creativity must be tempered with an understanding of the material realities of the majority of Andean artists and artisans. The socioeconomic conditions of Cuzco’s guild system improved significantly in the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries, particularly in the industry of painting with the founding

37 Ibid., 146–47.

38 Alcalá, “Fue necesario hacernos más que pintores,” 88.

of the Cuzco School.³⁹ Yet further research still remains to be done in terms of the extent to which artistic notoriety and social capital among indigenous artists of this period translated into actual economic autonomy.

Andeans Forging their Own Art Histories

In light of these caveats and considerations of the economic landscape in which indigenous artists operated, can we trace a history of art in the colonial Andes as it was understood by artists, patrons, and viewers? Is it possible to find generative meaning in the production of colonial art that attends to both issues of power as well as periodization? A return to the archives may help us to begin to answer this question. A number of contracts and inventories from the eighteenth century make reference to perfection and beauty that have drawn on two centuries of artistic expression and development in the region. We find a growing recognition of the value of Andean artistic practice among its own patrons and practitioners. For example, in a 1694 document, *maestro pintor* Francisco Rodríguez de Guzmán was contracted to teach the art of painting to Andrés Mercado, “so he can teach him the said job of Painter[,] drawing the bodies of male and female saints with total perfection.”⁴⁰ Other contracts expect the completed works of art to be completed “with total perfection and beauty” [*con toda perfección y hermosura*].⁴¹ These documents remain relatively mute on just what constitutes these locally-defined standards of beauty, but we can imagine that much of the art produced in eighteenth-century Cuzco conformed to these tastes. And indeed, many of the paintings from this period lack the same type of naturalism that had characterized the art of the seventeenth century, displaying instead a preference for rich depictions of fabric, bright color palettes, and flattened, idealized faces. Consider the following passage from the *Fundación de la Real Audiencia* describing painters of the Cuzco School: “it can’t be denied that these painters have a certain fire, imagination,

39 See José de Mesa and Teresa Gisbert, *Historia de la pintura cuzqueña* (Lima, 1982); Horacio Villanueva Urteaga, “Nacimiento de la escuela cuzqueña de pintura,” *Boletín del Archivo Departamental del Cuzco* 1 (1985): 11–13; Teófilo Benavente Velarde, *Pintores cusqueños de la colonia* (Cuzco, 1995); and Luis Eduardo Wuffarden, “The Rise and Triumph of the Regional Schools, 1670–1750,” in *Painting in Latin America, 1550–1820*, ed. Luisa Elena Alcalá and Jonathan Brown (New Haven, 2014), 307–63.

40 “... para que le enseñe el dicho ofissio de Pintor dibujando con toda perfección los cuerpos de los santos y santas.” Cornejo Bouroncle, *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño*, 106.

41 *Ibid.*, 78.

and a certain kind of taste, but they entirely ignore everything related to the instruction of art, they don't know how to ennoble nature, nor modulate with their brushes; rather, their sacred images are agleam with imitation rather than invention."⁴² This statement was made in reference to the Spanish author's surprise when he found out that there existed a burgeoning market for Cuzco School paintings in Italy. Yet "beauty" and "perfection" had a different meaning for local artists and patrons. In a 1754 contract for a series of religious paintings, the Cuzqueño patron Gabriel Rincón stipulates that they should be painted "in rich colors, good faces" and executed "according to the customs of our art."⁴³ This statement is predicated on the idea that by the eighteenth century, there existed a notion of an art that "belonged" to the Andes and which was mutually understood as such. One which had resonance as a visual system that dovetailed with and diverged from European practices at different moments in time, but always with a honed eye to its own historical genesis.

Bibliography

- Adorno, Rolena, ed. *Guaman Poma de Ayala: The Colonial Art of an Andean Author*. New York, 1992.
- Adorno, Rolena, ed. *Guaman Poma: Writing and Resistance in Colonial Peru*. 2nd ed. Austin, 2000.
- Adorno, Rolena, ed. "Reconsidering Colonial Discourse for Sixteenth- and Seventeenth-Century Spanish America." *Latin American Research Review* 28, no. 3 (1993): 135–45.
- Alcalá, Luisa Elena. "Fue necesario hacernos más que pintores'. Pervivencias y transformaciones de la profesión pictórica en hispanoamérica." In *Las sociedades ibéricas y el mar a finales del siglo XVI*, edited by Fernando Checa Cremades, 85–105. Lisbon, 1998.

42 "No se puede negar que estos Pintores tienen algún fuego, imaginativa, y tal qual gusto; pero ignoran enteramente todo lo que es instrucción relativa a este Arte, no saben ennoblecere a la naturaleza, ni hacen la esfera de sus pinceles, sino las Imágenes sagradas en que reluce más la imitación que la invención." Ignacio de Castro, *Relación de la fundación de la Real Audiencia del Cuzco en 1788, y de las fiestas con que esta grande y fidelísima ciudad celebró este honor* (Madrid, 1795), 76.

43 "... de colores finos buenos rostros ... según costumbre entre los nuestros de nuestro arte ..." Ibid., 20. For further discussion of this quote and others like it, see Teresa Gisbert, "La conciencia de un arte propio en la pintura virreinal andina," in *Tradición, estilo o escuela en la pintura iberoamericana. Siglos XVI–XVIII*, ed. María Concepción García Saíz and Juana Gutiérrez Haces, *Estudios de arte y estética* 57 (Mexico City, 2004), 131–50.

- Alcalá, Luisa Elena. "On Perceptions of Value in Colonial Art." In *Journeys to New Worlds: Spanish and Portuguese Colonial Art in the Roberta and Richard Hubler Collection*, edited by Suzanne Stratton-Pruitt, 18–27. Philadelphia, 2013.
- Andrien, Kenneth J. *Andean Worlds: Indigenous History, Culture, and Consciousness Under Spanish Rule, 1532–1825*. Albuquerque, 2001.
- Bailey, Gauvin Alexander. *The Andean Hybrid Baroque: Convergent Cultures in the Churches of Colonial Peru*. Notre Dame, 2010.
- Benavente Velarde, Teófilo. *Pintores cuzqueños de la colonia*. Cuzco, 1995.
- Benavides R., Alfredo. "Un aspecto técnico del barroco en general y en especial del hispano-aborigen." *Revista de Arte: Publicación bimestral de divulgación de la Facultad de Bellas Artes de la Universidad de Chile* 2, no. 9 (1936): 2–7.
- Bonavia, Duccio. *Mural Painting in Ancient Peru*. Translated by Patricia J. Lyon. Bloomington, IN, 1985.
- Boone, Elizabeth Hill. Introduction to *Native Traditions in the Postconquest World: A Symposium at Dumbarton Oaks, 2nd through 4th October 1992*, edited by Elizabeth Hill Boone and Tom Cummins, 1–12. Washington, DC, 1998.
- Boone, Elizabeth Hill, and Walter D. Mignolo, ed. *Writing Without Words: Alternative Literacies in Mesoamerica and the Andes*. Durham, NC, 1994.
- Boone, Elizabeth Hill, and Gary Urton, ed. *Their Way of Writing: Scripts, Signs, and Pictographies in Pre-Columbian America*. Washington, DC, 2011.
- Cañizares-Esguerra, Jorge, and Benjamin Breen. "Hybrid Atlantics: Future Directions for the History of the Atlantic World." *History Compass* 11, no. 8 (2013): 597–609.
- Castro, Ignacio de. *Relación de la fundación de la Real Audiencia del Cuzco en 1788, y de las fiestas con que esta grande y fidelísima ciudad celebró este honor*. Madrid, 1795.
- Chang-Rodríguez, Raquel. *La palabra y la pluma en "Primer nueva corónica y buen gobierno"*. Lima, 2005.
- Cohen Suarez, Ananda. *Heaven, Hell, and Everything in Between: Murals of the Colonial Andes*. Austin, 2016.
- Cornejo Bouroncle, Jorge. *Derroteros de arte cuzqueño. Datos para una historia del arte en el Perú*. Cuzco, 1960.
- Cornejo Polar, Antonio. *Writing in the Air: Heterogeneity and the Persistence of Oral Tradition in Andean Literatures*. Translated by Lynda J. Jentsch. Durham, NC, 2013.
- Cossío del Pomar, Felipe. *Pintura colonial (escuela cuzqueña)*. Cuzco, 1928.
- Cummins, Thomas B. F. "Images on Objects: The Object of Imagery in Colonial Native Peru as Seen through Guaman Poma's Nueva Corónica I Buen Gobierno." *Journal of the Steward Anthropological Society* 25, no. 1/2 (1997): 237–73.
- Cummins, Tom, and Joanne Rappaport. "The Reconfiguration of Civic and Sacred Space: Architecture, Image, and Writing in the Colonial Northern Andes." *Latin American Literary Review* 26, no. 52 (1998): 174–200.

- Damian, Carol. "Artist and Patron in Colonial Cuzco: Workshops, Contracts, and a Petition for Independence." *Colonial Latin American Historical Review* 4, no. 1 (1995): 25–53.
- Dean, Carolyn, and Dana Leibsohn. "Hybridity and Its Discontents: Considering Visual Culture in Colonial Spanish America." *Colonial Latin American Review* 12, no. 1 (2003): 5–35.
- Farago, Claire, ed. *Reframing the Renaissance: Visual Culture in Europe and Latin America, 1450–1650*. New Haven, 1995.
- Fraser, Valerie. "The Artistry of Guaman Poma." *RES: Anthropology and Aesthetics*, no. 29/30 (1996): 269–89.
- García, José Uriel. *El nuevo indio. Ensayos indianistas sobre la sierra surperuana*. 2nd ed. Cuzco, 1937.
- Greenblatt, Stephen. *Marvelous Possessions: The Wonder of the New World*. Chicago, 1991.
- Greenblatt, Stephen, ed. *New World Encounters*. Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1993.
- Gruzinski, Serge. *La colonización de lo imaginario: sociedades indígenas y occidentalización en el México español, siglos XVI–XVIII*. Mexico City, 1991.
- Gruzinski, Serge. *The Mestizo Mind: The Intellectual Dynamics of Colonization and Globalization*. Translated by Deke Dusinberre. London, 2002.
- Guaman Poma de Ayala, Felipe. *El primer nueva corónica y buen gobierno*. Edited by John V. Murra and Rolena Adorno. Translated by Jorge Urioste. Mexico City, 1980.
- Guido, Angel. "El estilo mestizo o criollo en el arte de la colonia." In *II Congreso internacional de historia de América*, 3: 581–91. Buenos Aires, 1937.
- Guido, Angel. *Fusión hispano-indígena en la arquitectura colonial*. Rosario, Argentina, 1925.
- Gutiérrez, Ramón. "Los gremios y academias en la producción." In *Pintura, escultura y artes útiles en iberoamérica, 1500–1825*, edited by Ramón Gutiérrez, 25–50. Madrid, 1995.
- Jara, René, and Nicholas Spadaccini, ed. *1492/1992: Re-Discovering Colonial Writing*. Minneapolis, 1989.
- Jara, René, and Nicholas Spadaccini, ed. *Amerindian Images and the Legacy of Columbus*. Minneapolis, 1992.
- Klor de Alva, J. Jorge. "The Postcolonization of the (Latin) American Experience: A Reconsideration of 'Colonialism,' 'Postcolonialism,' and 'Mestizaje.'" In *After Colonialism: Imperial Histories and Postcolonial Displacements*, edited by Gyan Prakash, 241–75. Princeton, 1995.
- Levenson, Jay A., ed. *Circa 1492: Art in the Age of Exploration*. New Haven, 1991.
- Levy, Evonne Anita, and Kenneth Mills, ed. *Lexikon of the Hispanic Baroque: Transatlantic Exchange and Transformation*. Austin, 2013.

- Lunenfeld, Marvin. *1492–Discovery, Invasion, Encounter: Sources and Interpretations*. Lexington, MA, 1991.
- Mesa, José de, and Teresa Gisbert. *Historia de la pintura cuzqueña*. Lima, 1982.
- Mignolo, Walter. *Local Histories/Global Designs: Coloniality, Subaltern Knowledges, and Border Thinking*. Princeton, 2000.
- Mignolo, Walter. *The Darker Side of the Renaissance: Literacy, Territoriality, and Colonization*. 2nd ed. Ann Arbor, 1995.
- Mignolo, Walter. *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options*. Durham, NC, 2011.
- Moraña, Mabel, Enrique D. Dussel, and Carlos A. Jáuregui, ed. *Coloniality at Large: Latin America and the Postcolonial Debate*. Durham, NC, 2008.
- Nelson, Diane M. *A Finger in the Wound: Body Politics in Quincentennial Guatemala*. Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1999.
- Noel, Martín. “Comentarios sobre el nacimiento de la arquitectura hispano-americana.” *Revista de Arquitectura*, no. 1 (1915): 8–12.
- O’Gorman, Edmundo. *La invención de América: el universalismo de la cultura de occidente*. Mexico City, 1958.
- Pagden, Anthony. *European Encounters with the New World: From Renaissance to Romanticism*. New Haven, 1993.
- Pillsbury, Joanne. “Reading Art without Writing: Interpreting Chimú Architectural Sculpture.” In *Dialogues in Art History, from Mesopotamia to Modern: Readings for a New Century, Studies in the History of Art 74*, edited by Elizabeth Cropper, 73–89. Washington, DC, 2009.
- Quijano, Anibal. “Coloniality of Power, Eurocentrism, and Latin America.” *Nepantla: Views from the South* 1, no. 3 (2000): 533–80.
- Rappaport, Joanne, and Thomas B. F. Cummins. *Beyond the Lettered City: Indigenous Literacies in the Andes*. Durham, NC, 2012.
- Rivera Cusicanqui, Silvia. “Ch’ixinakax Utxiwa’: A Reflection on the Practices and Discourses of Decolonization.” *South Atlantic Quarterly* 111, no. 1 (2012): 95–109.
- Salomon, Frank, and Mercedes Niño-Murcia. *The Lettered Mountain: A Peruvian Village’s Way with Writing*. Durham, NC, 2011.
- Shohat, Ella, and Robert Stam. *Unthinking Eurocentrism: Multiculturalism and the Media*. 1st ed. London, 1994.
- Stanfield-Mazzi, Maya. “The Possessor’s Agency: Private Art Collecting in the Colonial Andes.” *Colonial Latin American Review* 18, no. 3 (2009): 339–64.
- Stastny, Francisco. “Maniera o contramaniera en la pintura latinoamericana.” In *La dispersión del manierismo. (Documentos de un coloquio)*, edited by Jorge Alberto Manrique, 197–230. Mexico City, 1980.
- Stastny, Francisco. *Síntomas medievales en el “barroco americano.”* Lima, 1994.

- Taylor, Diana. *The Archive and the Repertoire: Performing Cultural Memory in the Americas*. Durham, NC, 2003.
- Van de Guchte, Maarten. "Invention and Assimilation: European Engravings as Models for the Drawings of Felipe Guaman Poma de Ayala." In *Guaman Poma de Ayala: The Colonial Art of an Andean Author*, edited by Rolena Adorno, 92–109. New York, 1992.
- Verdesio, Gustavo. "Colonialism Now and Then: Colonial Latin American Studies in the Light of the Predicament of Latin Americanism." In *Colonialism Past and Present: Reading and Writing about Colonial Latin America Today*, edited by Alvaro Felix Bolaños and Gustavo Verdesio, 1–17. Albany, NY, 2001.
- Villanueva Urteaga, Horacio. "Nacimiento de la escuela cuzqueña de pintura." *Boletín del Archivo Departamental del Cuzco* 1 (1985): 11–13.
- Windus, Astrid, and Jens Baumgarten. "The Invention of a Medieval Present: Visual Stagings in Colonial Bolivia and Brazil." *Indiana* 30 (2013): 51–76.
- Wuffarden, Luis Eduardo. "From Apprentices to 'Famous Brushes': Native Artists in Colonial Peru." In *Contested Visions in the Spanish Colonial World*, edited by Ilona Katzew, 251–73. Los Angeles, 2012.
- Wuffarden, Luis Eduardo. "The Rise and Triumph of the Regional Schools, 1670–1750." In *Painting in Latin America, 1550–1820*, edited by Luisa Elena Alcalá and Jonathan Brown, 307–63. New Haven, 2014.
- Wynter, Sylvia. "Unsettling the Coloniality of Being/Power/Truth/Freedom: Towards the Human, After Man, Its Overrepresentation—An Argument." *CR: The New Centennial Review* 3, no. 3 (2004): 257–337.