



Supplement of

High metabolism and periodic hypoxia associated with drifting macrophyte detritus in the shallow subtidal Baltic Sea

Karl M. Attard et al.

Correspondence to: Karl M. Attard (karl.attard@biology.sdu.dk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

Table S1: A summary of the eddy covariance flux measurements performed on the detritus canopy during the three measurement campaigns. Daily integrated seabed PAR and detritus light-use efficiency (LUE, calculated as daily GPP/ daily PAR) are also presented.

Field campaign	Day	Daily GPP (mmol O ₂ m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Daily R (mmol O ₂ m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	GPP:R	Daily PAR (mmol photons m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	LUE (O ₂ photon ⁻¹)
Jun 2017	1	62	83	0.74	13554	0.005
	2	54	71	0.76	11710	0.005
	3	29	35	0.81	9044	0.003
Sep 2017	1	15	26	0.57	3013	0.005
	2	37	69	0.54	4827	0.008
	3	26	48	0.53	3815	0.007
May 2018	1	46	59	0.77	10997	0.004
	2	57	74	0.76	12732	0.004
	3	74	97	0.76	13336	0.006
	4	32	41	0.78	10523	0.003
	5	39	51	0.77	10915	0.004

Table S2: Fit statistics for linear regressions performed between daily detritus GPP and R, and daily GPP and benthic PAR. Where relevant, values are presented \pm SE. The SE was scaled with the square root of the reduced Chi-Sqr. ANOVA was used to test slope significance. Asterisks indicate that the slope was significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level.

Relationship between daily GPP and daily R				
Field campaign	Slope of linear regression \pm SE	Intercept \pm SE	R^2	ANOVA Prob > F
Jun 2017	1.43 \pm 0.02	-5.91 \pm 0.77	0.99	0.01*
Sep 2017	1.93 \pm 0.06	-2.19 \pm 1.70	0.99	0.02*
May 2018	1.33 \pm 0.00	-1.09 \pm 0.17	0.99	0.00*
Global	1.16 \pm 0.13	9.90 \pm 5.92	0.89	0.00*
Relationship between daily GPP and daily PAR				
Field campaign	Slope of linear regression \pm SE	Intercept \pm SE	R^2	ANOVA Prob > F
Jun 2017	128 \pm 23	5293 \pm 1164	0.94	0.11
Sep 2017	82 \pm 4	1765 \pm 121	0.99	0.03*
May 2018	73 \pm 12	8103 \pm 609	0.90	0.01*
Global	182 \pm 40	1725 \pm 1852	0.66	0.00*

Table S3: Species list for the five studied sites. Presence is indicated by 'x'.

Group	Species	Macrophyte detritus	Bare sediments	Sheltered <i>Z. marina</i>	Exposed <i>Z. marina</i>	Sheltered <i>F. vesiculosus</i>	Exposed <i>F. vesiculosus</i>
Crustacea	<i>Amphibalanus improvisus</i>			x			
	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>					x	
	<i>Corophium</i> spp.			x			
	<i>Gammarus</i> spp.			x	x	x	x
	<i>Idotea balthica</i>	x			x	x	x
	<i>Idotea chelipes</i>				x	x	x
	<i>Idotea granulosa</i>			x	x	x	x
	<i>Jaera albifrons</i>			x	x	x	x
	Cladocera					x	
	Copepoda					x	
	Ostracoda sp.					x	
	Mysid					x	x
Bivalvia	<i>Cerastoderma glaucum</i>			x	x		
	<i>Parvicardium hauniense</i>			x	x		
	<i>Macoma balthica</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
	<i>Mya arenaria</i>			x	x		
	<i>Mytilus trossulus x edulis</i>	x		x	x	x	x
Gastropoda	<i>Peringia ulvae</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
	<i>Radix</i> sp.	x		x			x
	<i>Potamopyrgus antipodarum</i>		x	x			
	<i>Theodoxus fluviatilis</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x
Polychaeta	<i>Hediste diversicolor</i>			x	x		

	<i>Halicryptus spinulosus</i>					X	
	<i>Maranzelleria</i> spp.		X	X	X	X	
	Nematoda					X	
	Oligochaeta			X	X	X	
	<i>Pygospio elegans</i>					X	
Others	<i>Chironomus</i> sp			X	X	X	X
	Coleoptera larvae						X
	Odonata						X
	<i>Cyanophthalma obscura</i>						X
	Hydrachnidae		X				X