Biogeosciences Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-502-EC1, 2020

Biogeosciences
Discussions

Interactive comment on "Emissions of monoterpenes from new Scots pine foliage: dependency on season, stand age and location and importance for models" *by* Ditte Taipale et al.

Andreas Ibrom (Editor)

anib@env.dtu.dk

Received and published: 20 March 2020

Dear Authors,

thank you very much for your timely contribution to the interactive discussion. This is highly appreciated and , as you will see useful!

When reading your comments, I had the impression that the text reads a bit like an apologia. An apologia opts for revealing that the opponent is wrong and oneself is right. But we are in a different situation. Your text must speak for itself without further explanation.

You are of course welcome to correct some misunderstandings that are unveiled through the review. But you should reflect upon, how your manuscript might have contributed to potential misunderstandings and how you can improve guidance of the reader. Why would an expert reader understand your text in this way ? Probably many other readers will do the same!

Please note, finally the most of the future readers will only read the paper. If this was written in a way that you need further explanation to understand it, it wouldn't be acceptable.

With kind regards,

Andreas Ibrom

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-502, 2020.