

Evolution of the long-term and estuary-scale phytoplankton patterns in the Scheldt estuary: the disappearance of net growth in the brackish region

Dante M. L. Horemans¹, Yoeri M. Dijkstra², Michèle Tackx³, Patrick Meire¹, and Tom J. S. Cox^{1,4}

¹Ecosystem Management Research Group, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium

²Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands

³EcoLab, University of Toulouse, Toulouse, France

⁴NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Yerseke, Netherlands

Correspondence: Dante M. L. Horemans (dante.horemans@uantwerpen.be)

Supporting Information

Table S1. Parameter values used in our model experiments. If only one parameter value is presented, we used this value for all three periods.

	variable	definition	value			unit
			2004-2007	2008-2014	2015-2018	
Hydrodynamics	A^0	M_2 water level amplitude at $x=0$		1.77		m
	A^1	M_4 water level amplitude at $x=0$		0.14		m
	ϕ^1	M_4 water level phase relative to M_2 tide at $x=0$		-1.3		deg
	Q	total river discharge to resolve the phytoplankton dynamics	85	81	72	$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
	$Q_{\text{upper Sea Scheldt}}$	relative contribution of the upper Sea Scheldt boundary to total river discharge	26.4 %	31.5 %	27.1 %	/
	Q_{Rupel}	relative contribution of the Rupel tributary to total river discharge	64.2 %	59.3 %	63.3 %	/
	Q_{Dender}	relative contribution of the Dender tributary to total river discharge	9.4 %	9.2 %	9.6 %	/
Sediment	Q_{sediment}	total river discharge to resolve the sediment dynamics		180		$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
	c_{sea}	depth-averaged subtidal concentration at $x=0$		0.06		kg m^{-3}
	$c_{\text{upper Sea Scheldt}}$	subtidal SPM concentration at the Upper Sea Scheldt boundary		0.01		kg m^{-3}
	K_h	horizontal eddy diffusivity coefficient		100		$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
	K_v^0	vertical eddy diffusivity coefficient		3.1×10^{-2}		$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
Turbulence	M	erosion parameter		4×10^{-3}		s m^{-1}
	σ_ρ	Prandtl-Smith number ($=A_v/K_v^0$ with A_v the vertical eddy viscosity)		1		/
Flocculation	$s f_0$	bed roughness coefficient		4.22		mm s^{-1}
	k_A^{min}	non-dimensional minimal aggregation coefficient		0.29		/
Salinity	f_s	shape factor		$\pi/6$		/
	D_p	diameter primary mud particles		25×10^{-6}		m
	μ	dynamic viscosity		0.0010518		Pa s
	ρ_s	density of sediment primary particles		2650		kg m^{-3}
	ρ_w	reference density of water		1000		kg m^{-3}
	λ^{spring}	ratio of minimal aggregation and floc break-up parameter in spring		65.9×10^{-6}		$\text{s}^{-1/2} \text{m}^2$
	s_{sea}	salinity boundary condition at the mouth		28.9 ‰		/
Nutrients	$x_c^{\text{sal, spring}}$	calibration parameter in postulated tanh salinity distribution in spring	47.5	46.4	49.0	km
	$x_L^{\text{sal, spring}}$	calibration parameter in postulated tanh salinity distribution in spring	28.8	30.7	29.9	km
	H_N	half-saturation constant for PP dependence on N availability		0.003		mol N m^{-3}
	H_P	half-saturation constant for PP dependence on P availability		0.0002		mol P m^{-3}
Phytoplankton	N_{sea}	nitrogen boundary concentration at the mouth		0.025		mmol N L^{-1}
	$Phos_{\text{sea}}$	phosphorous boundary concentration at the mouth		0.0011		mmol P L^{-1}
	$N_{\text{upper Sea Scheldt}}$	influx of nitrogen at the upstream boundary		3		mol N s^{-1}
	$Phos_{\text{upper Sea Scheldt}}$	influx of phosphorous at the upstream boundary		0.2		mol P s^{-1}
	N_{Rupel}	influx of nitrogen at Rupel tributary		5.1		mol N s^{-1}
	$Phos_{\text{Rupel}}$	influx of phosphorous at Rupel tributary		0.12		mol P s^{-1}
	C:N:P	ratio between carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorous (in mol)		106:16:1		/
	μ_{00}	calibration parameter in postulated temperature dependence for μ_{max}	1.12×10^{-5}	1.21×10^{-5}	1.00×10^{-5}	s^{-1}
μ_{01}	calibration parameter in postulated temperature dependence for μ_{max}	1.10	1.07	1.05	/	
$\mu_{\text{max}} : \alpha$	ratio between maximum photosynthetic rate and growth efficiency		393	381	230	$\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
m_0	phytoplankton mortality rate	0.83×10^{-6}	1.19×10^{-6}	2.64×10^{-6}	s^{-1}	
g_1	calanoids grazing efficiency	1.6×10^{-7}	0.24×10^{-7}	1.6×10^{-7}	$\text{s}^{-1} \text{L}$	
g_2	non-calanoids grazing efficiency	0.93×10^{-7}	0.63×10^{-7}	0.93×10^{-7}	$\text{s}^{-1} \text{L}$	
C:Chla	carbon to chlorophyll a ratio		50		/	
E_{00}	maximum PAR		1007		$\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	
ω_E	angular frequency for day length		0.215		d^{-1}	
T	water temperature	14.3	14.7	14.7	$^\circ \text{C}$	
P_{sea}	phytoplankton boundary concentration at the mouth	15.9	17.1	15.8	$\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	
QP	influx of phytoplankton at the upstream boundary	1.5	1.8	2.5	g s^{-1}	
w_P	settling velocity of phytoplankton cells		1.15×10^{-5}		m s^{-1}	
k_{bg}	background exponential light extinction coefficient		0.095		m^{-1}	
k_P	phytoplankton-induced exponential light extinction coefficient		18		$\text{m}^2 (\text{mol N})^{-1}$	
k_c	sediment-induced exponential light extinction coefficient	81.4	77.9	72.0	$\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$	