

DATABASE

Open Access



DisBind: A database of classified functional binding sites in disordered and structured regions of intrinsically disordered proteins

Jia-Feng Yu¹, Xiang-Hua Dou², Yu-Jie Sha¹, Chun-Ling Wang², Hong-Bo Wang¹, Yi-Ting Chen², Feng Zhang², Yaoqi Zhou^{1,3*}  and Ji-Hua Wang^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: Intrinsically unstructured or disordered proteins function via interacting with other molecules. Annotation of these binding sites is the first step for mapping functional impact of genetic variants in coding regions of human and other genomes, considering that a significant portion of eukaryotic genomes code for intrinsically disordered regions in proteins.

Results: DisBind (available at <http://biophy.dzu.edu.cn/DisBind>) is a collection of experimentally supported binding sites in intrinsically disordered proteins and proteins with both structured and disordered regions. There are a total of 226 IDPs with functional site annotations. These IDPs contain 465 structured regions (ORs) and 428 IDRs according to annotation by DisProt. The database contains a total of 4232 binding residues (from UniProt and PDB structures) in which 2836 residues are in ORs and 1396 in IDRs. These binding sites are classified according to their interacting partners including proteins, RNA, DNA, metal ions and others with 2984, 258, 383, 350, and 262 annotated binding sites, respectively. Each entry contains site-specific annotations (structured regions, intrinsically disordered regions, and functional binding regions) that are experimentally supported according to PDB structures or annotations from UniProt.

Conclusion: The searchable DisBind provides a reliable data resource for functional classification of intrinsically disordered proteins at the residue level.

Keywords: Intrinsic disorder, Database, Function classification, Protein disorder prediction, Protein function, Binding site

Background

More and more proteins are shown to be partially or wholly unstructured or intrinsically disordered [1, 2]. These intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) or regions (IDRs) in a protein have a wide variety of functions ranging from molecular recognition, molecular assembly, protein modification to entropic chain activities [3]. Flexible disordered regions offer many unique advantages such as facilitating multiple binding partners, enabling posttranslational modifications and preventing aggregations [4]. Some of IDPs implicated in human diseases are attractive targets for drug discovery [5].

Recognizing the importance of IDPs, several databases have been built. DisProt is the first curated database that contains a collection of experimentally verified IDPs and IDRs [6]. The latest release contains a total of 694 proteins with 1539 disordered regions (a just published newer release expands to more than 800 entries [7] and we will update ours in the next version). D2P2, on the other hand, consists of computationally predicted IDPs from 1765 proteomes from 1256 distinct species [8]. Both computational and experimental annotations were used in MobiDB to annotate >500,000 disordered proteins [9]. Computational annotations relied on a consensus of predictors including IUPRED [10] and ESpritz [11]. Its most recent version [12] further linked to information from post-translational modification in universal protein resource (UniProt) [13] and STRING

* Correspondence: yaoqizhou@griffith.edu.au; jhw25336@126.com

¹Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biophysics, Institute of Biophysics, Dezhou University, Dezhou 253023, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



protein-protein interactions [14]. IDEAL [15] was a database incorporating functional with structural/disorder annotations for 582 IDPs (as of the latest release on 12/Jun/2015) by manually integrating protein data bank (PDB) [16], UniProt [13] and DisProt databases [6]. It has been focused on interaction network of IDPs with induced folding sites annotated in disordered regions.

Here we have compiled a database, DisBind (Disorder Binding sites), which is dedicated to classification of functional binding sites of IDPs and proteins with both intrinsically disordered and structured regions from the DisProt database, regardless if IDPs have or do not have experimentally determined structures by induced folding. Residue-level binding sites are important first step for understanding the functional impacts of genetic variants in coding regions of human and other genomes, considering that a significant portion of eukaryotic genomes code for intrinsically disordered regions in proteins [17]. We categorize binding sites into eight categories according to their binding partners: DNA, RNA, proteins, cofactor/heme, metal ions, substrate/ligand, ATP/GTP, and others. Although some categories only have a few sites, we include them in the database for completeness. This database provides a classification of functional binding sites in IDPs annotated according to experimentally supported evidences. As a comparison, IDEAL does not contain binding sites from metals and ligands. DisProt does not contain binding site information. For completeness, both structured and disordered regions of an intrinsically disordered protein are annotated. Most disordered regions with annotated binding sites do not have known structures. Some disordered regions, however, have experimentally-determined structures when they are in complex with their interaction partners (binding induced folding or conformational selections). For those special cases, we annotated secondary structure motifs involved in binding regions which can provide a basis for initial understanding of binding mechanisms.

Construction and content

We obtained all annotated IDRs and IDPs from the recent version of DisProt database (v6.02). The binding sites for those IDPs are either retrieved from the annotation of specific binding sites in UniProt and/or derived from the high-resolution complex structures (resolution better than 3.5 Å) in PDB. Most binding sites from UniProt are ion binding sites whereas binding sites from PDB structures are mainly IDP-RNA, IDP-DNA and IDP-protein interactions. For IDPs in a complex structure, binding residues in IDPs are determined by a cutoff distance of 3.5 Å between any atoms of an IDP and its binding partner as with previous studies [18, 19]. Binding partners are classified into 8 categories: DNA, RNA, proteins, cofactor/heme, metal ions, substrate/ligand, ATP/GTP, and others. The secondary structure information of binding residues were also obtained from PDB based on the DSSP (Dictionary of protein secondary structure) assignment [20]. Eight secondary structure groups are combined into three classes i.e. α -helix (H, G, I), β -sheet (B, E) and coil (T, S, D). We note that the link to DSSP only exists for those IDPs with three-dimensional structural regions determined. If the same IDP binds with different proteins associated with different PDB structures, they were annotated separately.

Utility and Discussion

Current version of DisBind contains 226 IDPs with functional site annotations. These IDPs contain 465 structured regions (ORs) and 428 IDRs according to annotation by DisProt. For completeness, both structured and unstructured regions are annotated. The database contains a total of 4232 binding residues (from UniProt and PDB structures) in which 2836 residues are in ORs and 1396 in IDRs. In Table 1, these binding

Table 1 The number of residues and binding residues of IDPs and IDRs according to binding partners of IDPs in DisBind

Category	# IDPs ^a	# all Residues			# Residues in IDRs		# Binding Residues		
		IDPs ^b	IDRs	ORs	Helix ^c	Sheet ^c	IDPs	IDRs	ORs
ALL	226	166235	29908	136327	1705	439	4232	1396	2836
Protein	127	57586	12822	44764	1299	244	2984	1070	1914
RNA	12	6040	1286	4754	106	131	258	189	69
DNA	32	12092	2853	9239	301	64	383	55	328
Metal	81	40351	6242	34109	-	-	350	69	281
Cofactor	12	6825	1193	5632	-	-	41	2	39
Substrate	32	5791	1014	4777	-	-	61	2	59
ATP/GTP	32	14695	2475	12220	-	-	37	1	36
Others	44	22855	2023	20832	-	-	123	8	115

^aSome IDPs can bind to different partners. ^b# of residues or binding residues in IDPs refer to all residues or all binding residues regardless if they are in structured, unstructured, or unannotated regions. ^c# of helical or sheet residues in IDRs

Please note that IDPs may contain both structured regions and IDRs as well as un-annotated regions

residues are further classified according to their binding partners. The largest subset of DisBind involves with binding to proteins with 772 binding residues in disordered regions. This followed by 189, 55, and 69 residues in disordered regions that interact with RNA and DNA, and metal ions, respectively. Only a few binding sites are located for the remaining functional categories.

Figure 1 shows the top page of DisBind which consists of seven parts: 'Home', 'Classification', 'Browse', 'Search', 'Blast', 'Download' and 'Help'. Under the 'Classification' option, the collected items can be retrieved according to their partners (i.e., DNA, RNA, protein, cofactor/heme, metal ions, substrate/ligand, ATP/GTP and others). All items collected in DisBind numbered from N00001 to N00226 can be also retrieved by clicking 'Browse' option. Alternatively, a user can obtain the collected information by inputting any keywords by the 'Search' option or protein sequences by the 'Blast' option. In addition, all of binding sites along with their secondary structures can be downloaded in the fasta format. 'Help' page contains detailed explanation of each page and meaning of color codes.

The information stored for each IDP has five parts as demonstrated in Fig. 2 by using N00004 as an example. Part I provides the basic information such as identification numbers from DisBind, DisProt,

UniProt, and NCBI along with the protein name and its sequence length. Part II contains specific binding sites and corresponding binding partners according to UniProt annotations and/or the PDB complex structure along with PDB ID #. A click on the PDB ID# will directly link to the protein databank for structural visualization. These sites along with annotated disordered regions by DisProt are highlighted in the sequence. The secondary structure in disordered regions is shown along with sequence presented in Part III. Parts IV and V contains comments from DisProt regarding the disordered protein and corresponding references on functional annotations, respectively.

Conclusion

DisBind is a database dedicated to residue-level classification of functional binding sites in disordered and structured regions of intrinsically disordered proteins. This database compiled information from the structural database (protein databank), the database of experimentally validated disordered proteins (DisProt), and the comprehensive protein sequence and functional database (UniProt). The database is fully searchable and freely accessible. In the next version of the dataset, we will significantly expand the dataset by including disordered proteins (>17000) that are

DisBind
DisBind: Classification of functional binding sites in intrinsically disordered proteins

HOME CLASSIFICATION BROWSE SEARCH BLAST DOWNLOAD HELP

Statistics

- IDPs sequences: 226
- Disordered regions: 428
- All binding sites (BS) : 3600
- BS in disordered regions: 1093
- BS in ordered regions: 2516
- Sequences with ss information: 98
- Sites with ss information: 3590
- More details...
- Version: V1.01
- Release date: Dec 2, 2016

Related Database

DisProt
UniProt
PDB
pE-DB
IDEAL

Welcome to DisBind

DisBind is a database dedicated to classification of functional binding sites of intrinsically disordered proteins according to their binding partners such as Proteins, RNA, DNA and metal ions. This dataset is built on manually annotated disordered proteins from DisProt and functional sites from UniProt and complex structures in protein databank.

Copyright © Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Functional Macromolecular Biophysics, Dezhou, China.
Contact: jfyu1979@126.com
Visitor:44332

Fig. 1 The front page of DisBind database



DisBind

DisBind-Classification of functional binding sites in intrinsically disordered proteins

HOME
CLASSIFICATION
BROWSE
SEARCH
BLAST
DOWNLOAD
HELP

Browse DB

DisBind:	N00004
Disprot:	DP00486
Protein name:	SUMO-activating enzyme subunit 2
Uniprot:	Q9UBT2
NCBI:	4259898
Sequence length:	640

Binding sites

Feature key	Position	Number	Description	Source	PDB
Metal binding	158 161 441 444	4	Zinc	Uniprot	
Binding site	48 72	2	ATP	Uniprot	
Binding site	7 28 31 34 38 39 55 58 61 65 79 82 83 84 117 119 122 140 141 142 144 147 162 306 308 374 378 383 385 386 387 389 404 419 420 421 425 426 427 428 429 430 435 630 632 633 634 636 637 639 640	53	Protein(SUMO-activating enzyme subunit 1; Small ubiquitin-related modifier 1)	PDB	3KYC

Disordered region: 1-3 219-237 291-304 551-640

10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

```

MALSRGLPFE LAEAVAGGRV LVVGGAGGIC ELLKMLVLTG FSHIDLIDL TIDVSNLRQ FLQKQKHVGR SKAQVAKESV LQYYPKANIV AYHDSIMNPD - 1
YNVEFRQFI LVMNALLNRA ARNHVNRML AADVFLIESS TAGYLGQVTT IKKGVTCEYE CHPKPTQRTF PGCTIRNTPS EPHICIVNAK YLFNQLFGE - 2
DADQVSPDR ADPEAAEFP EAAAPARAN EDGDIRLIST KEWAKSTGYD FVKLFTLKF DDIRYLLTMD KLMRKRKPFV PLDWAQVQSQ EETNASDQD - 3
NEPVLGKDKQ QVLQVKSAR LFSKSIETLR VHLEKGGDA ELINWDDPS AMDFVTSAN LRMHIFSNM KSRFDIKSMA GNIIPAIATT NAVIAGLVL - 4
EGKILSGKI DQRTIFLNK QPNRKKLLV PCALDPNPN CVVCASKPEV TVRLNVRHT VLTLDKIVK EKFMVAPDV QIEDGKTL ISSEGETEA - 5
MNHKLSFEG INGSRLQAD DFQDYTLI NILHSEDLG DVEFEVVGDA FERVQPKQAE DAAKSLTNGS EDGAQSTET AQEQDQVLYV DSDEEDSSN - 6
ADVSEERSR KSKLDEKCNL SAKRSRIEQR EELDDVIALD
    
```

█ : Disordered region
 █ :Zinc
 █ :ATP
 █ :Protein(SUMO-activating enzyme subunit 1; Small ubiquitin-related modifier 1)

Secondary structure of disordered regions according to DSSP

Complex	Disordered region	Length	Folded Sequence Positions	Secondary Structure Types	DSSP
3KYC	219-237	19	235 236 237	DDD	3KYC
3KYC	291-304	14	291	D	3KYC
3KYC	551-640	90	607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640	DDTTTSSTTTTTTHHHHHHHGGGTTDDSD DDEEEDD	3KYC

10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

```

MALSRGLPFE LAEAVAGGRV LVVGGAGGIC ELLKMLVLTG FSHIDLIDL TIDVSNLRQ FLQKQKHVGR SKAQVAKESV LQYYPKANIV AYHDSIMNPD - 1
YNVEFRQFI LVMNALLNRA ARNHVNRML AADVFLIESS TAGYLGQVTT IKKGVTCEYE CHPKPTQRTF PGCTIRNTPS EPHICIVNAK YLFNQLFGE - 2
DADQVSPDR ADPEAAEFP EAAAPARAN EDGDIRLIST KEWAKSTGYD FVKLFTLKF DDIRYLLTMD KLMRKRKPFV PLDWAQVQSQ EETNASDQD - 3
NEPVLGKDKQ QVLQVKSAR LFSKSIETLR VHLEKGGDA ELINWDDPS AMDFVTSAN LRMHIFSNM KSRFDIKSMA GNIIPAIATT NAVIAGLVL - 4
EGKILSGKI DQRTIFLNK QPNRKKLLV PCALDPNPN CVVCASKPEV TVRLNVRHT VLTLDKIVK EKFMVAPDV QIEDGKTL ISSEGETEA - 5
MNHKLSFEG INGSRLQAD DFQDYTLI NILHSEDLG DVEFEVVGDA FERVQPKQAE DAAKSLTNGS EDGAQSTET AQEQDQVLYV DSDEEDSSN - 6
ADVSEERSR KSKLDEKCNL SAKRSRIEQR EELDDVIALD
    
```

█ : Disordered region
█:alpha helix; █:residue in isolated beta-bridge; █:extended strand, participates in beta ladder;
█:3-helix (3/10 helix); █:5-helix (pi helix); █:hydrogen bonded turn; █:bend; █:loop or irregular

Comments from Disprot

The dimeric enzyme acts as a E1 ligase for SUMO1, SUMO2, SUMO3, and probably SUMO4. It mediates ATP-dependent activation of SUMO proteins and formation of a thioester with a conserved cysteine residue on SAE2.

References

1. Azuma Y, Tan SH, Cavenagh MM, Almaztein AM, Saitoh H, et al. (2001) Expression and regulation of the mammalian SUMO-1 E1 enzyme. *FASEB J* 15: 1825-1827.
2. Lois LM, Lima CD (2005) Structures of the SUMO E1 provide mechanistic insights into SUMO activation and E2 recruitment to E1. *The EMBO Journal* 24: 439-451.
3. Olsen SK, Capili AD, Lu X, Tan DS, Lima CD (2010) Active site remodeling accompanies thioester bond formation in the SUMO E1. *Nature* 463: 906-912.
4. Tatham MH, Jeffrey E, Vaughan DA, Desterro JM, Botting CH, et al. (2001) Polymeric chains of SUMO-2 and SUMO-3 are conjugated to protein substrates by SAE1/SAE2 and Ubc9. *J Biol Chem* 276: 35368-35374.
5. Wang J, Hu W, Cai S, Lee B, Song J, et al. (2007) The intrinsic affinity between E2 and the Cys domain of E1 in ubiquitin-like modifications. *Molecular cell* 27: 228-237.
6. Wang J, Lee B, Cai S, Fukui L, Hu W, et al. (2009) Conformational transition associated with E1-E2 interaction in small ubiquitin-like modifications. *J Biol Chem* 284: 20340-20348.

Copyright © Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Functional Macromolecular Biophysics, Dezhou, China.
 Contact: jfyu1979@126.com
 Visitor:44331

Fig. 2 Information collected for each IDP as demonstrated for IDP N00004

indirectly supported by X-ray crystallography and Nuclear Magnetic resonance collected in MobiDB [12]. Moreover, we plan to incorporate predicted regions using existing techniques such as IUPRED [10] and ESpritz [11] as well as recently accurate developed techniques such as SPOT-Disorder [21]. This large dataset should provide an ultimate resource for functional site classifications in IDPs.

Availability and requirements

Database homepage: <http://biophy.dzu.edu.cn/DisBind>. These data are freely available without restrictions for use by academics.

Abbreviations

DisBind: Database of Disordered protein Binding Sites; DisProt: Database of Protein Disorder; IDPs: Intrinsically Disordered Proteins; NCBI: National Center

for Biotechnology Information; PDB: Protein databank; UniProt: Universal Protein Resource

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work was supported by the Taishan Scholars Program and Natural Science Foundation (ZR2016JL027) of Shandong province of China, National Natural Science Foundation of China (61271378, 61302186, 61540025), and National Health and Medical Research Council (1059775 and 1083450) of Australia to YZ. The authors thank the Australian Research Council grant LE150100161 for infrastructure support. Funding agencies did not play any role in the design or conclusion of this study.

Authors' contributions

JY, YZ and JW designed the project and drafted the manuscript. JY, XD, CW, YS, HW, YC, FZ collected the data, wrote the code and performed the analysis. All participated in finalizing and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Biophysics, Institute of Biophysics, Dezhou University, Dezhou 253023, China. ²College of Physics and Electronic Information, Dezhou University, Dezhou 253023, China. ³Institute for Glycomics and School of Information and Communication Technology, Griffith University, Parklands Dr, Southport, QLD 4222, Australia.

Received: 25 August 2016 Accepted: 31 March 2017

Published online: 05 April 2017

References

- Ward JJ, Sodhi JS, McGuffin LJ, Buxton BF, Jones DT. Prediction and functional analysis of native disorder in proteins from the three kingdoms of life. *J Mol Biol.* 2004;337(3):635–45.
- Xue B, Dunker AK, Uversky VN. Orderly order in protein intrinsic disorder distribution: disorder in 3500 proteomes from viruses and the three domains of life. *J Biomol Struct Dyn.* 2012;30(2):137–49.
- Dunker AK, Silman I, Uversky VN, Sussman JL. Function and structure of inherently disordered proteins. *Curr Opin Struc Biol.* 2008;18(6):756–64.
- Liu ZR, Huang YQ. Advantages of proteins being disordered. *Protein Sci.* 2014;23(5):539–50.
- Cheng Y, LeGall T, Oldfield CJ, Mueller JP, Van YY, Romero P, Cortese MS, Uversky VN, Dunker AK. Rational drug design via intrinsically disordered protein. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2006;24(10):435–42.
- Vucetic S, Obradovic Z, Vacic V, Radivojac P, Peng K, Iakoucheva LM, Cortese MS, Lawson JD, Brown CJ, Sikes JG, et al. DisProt: a database of protein disorder. *Bioinformatics.* 2005;21(1):137–40.
- Piovesan D, Tabaro F, Micetic I, Necci M, Quaglia F, Oldfield CJ, Aspromonte MC, Davey NE, Davidovic R, Dosztanyi Z, et al. DisProt 7.0: a major update of the database of disordered proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(D1):D1123–4.
- Oates ME, Romero P, Ishida T, Ghalwash M, Mizianty MJ, Xue B, Dosztanyi Z, Uversky VN, Obradovic Z, Kurgan L, et al. D(2)P(2): database of disordered protein predictions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013;41(Database issue):D508–516.
- Di Domenico T, Walsh I, Martin AJ, Tosatto SC. MobiDB: a comprehensive database of intrinsic protein disorder annotations. *Bioinformatics.* 2012;28(15):2080–1.
- Dosztanyi Z, Csizmok V, Tompa P, Simon I. The pairwise energy content estimated from amino acid composition discriminates between folded and intrinsically unstructured proteins. *J Mol Biol.* 2005;347(4):827–39.
- Walsh I, Martin AJ, Di Domenico T, Tosatto SC. ESPriz: accurate and fast prediction of protein disorder. *Bioinformatics.* 2012;28(4):503–9.
- Potenza E, Di Domenico T, Walsh I, Tosatto SC. MobiDB 2.0: an improved database of intrinsically disordered and mobile proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(Database issue):D315–320.
- UniProt C. UniProt: a hub for protein information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(Database issue):D204–212.
- Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, Simonovic M, Roth A, Santos A, Tsafou KP, et al. STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(Database issue):D447–452.
- Fukuchi S, Sakamoto S, Nobe Y, Murakami SD, Amemiya T, Hosoda K, Koike R, Hiroaki H, Ota M. IDEAL: Intrinsically Disordered proteins with Extensive Annotations and Literature. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012;40(1):D507–511.
- Rose PW, Beran B, Bi CX, Bluhm WF, Dimitropoulos D, Goodsell DS, Prlc A, Quesada M, Quinn GB, Westbrook JD, et al. The RCSB Protein Data Bank: redesigned web site and web services. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011;39:D392–401.
- Dunker AK, Obradovic Z, Romero P, Garner EC, Brown CJ. Intrinsic protein disorder in complete genomes. *Genome Inform Workshop Genome Inform.* 2000;11:161–71.
- Wang LJ, Brown SJ. BindN: a web-based tool for efficient prediction of DNA and RNA binding sites in amino acid sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006;34:W243–8.
- Si JN, Zhang ZM, Lin BY, Schroeder M, Huang BD. MetaDBSite: a meta approach to improve protein DNA-binding sites prediction. *BMC Syst Biol.* 2011;5:57.
- Kabsch W, Sander C. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers.* 1983;22(12):2577–637.
- Hanson J, Yang Y, Paliwal K, Zhou Y: Improving protein disorder prediction by deep bidirectional long short-term memory recurrent neural networks. *Bioinformatics* 2017:in press.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

