Research

Normative productivity of the global vegetation

Georgii A Alexandrov*1,2 and Tsuneo Matsunaga¹

Address: ¹Office for Global Environmental Database, Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Onogawa 16-2, Tsukuba, Japan and ²Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pyzhevsky 3, Moscow, Russia

Email: Georgii A Alexandrov* - g.alexandrov@nies.go.jp; Tsuneo Matsunaga - matsunag@nies.go.jp * Corresponding author

Published: 24 December 2008

Carbon Balance and Management 2008, 3:8 doi:10.1186/1750-0680-3-8

This article is available from: http://www.cbmjournal.com/content/3/1/8

Received: 16 October 2008 Accepted: 24 December 2008

© 2008 Alexandrov and Matsunaga; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: The biosphere models of terrestrial productivity are essential for projecting climate change and assessing mitigation and adaptation options. Many of them have been developed in connection to the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program (IGBP) that backs the work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). In the end of 1990s, IGBP sponsored release of a data set summarizing the model outputs and setting certain norms for estimates of terrestrial productivity. Since a number of new models and new versions of old models were developed during the past decade, these normative data require updating.

Results: Here, we provide the series of updates that reflects evolution of biosphere models and demonstrates evolutional stability of the global and regional estimates of terrestrial productivity. Most of them fit well the long-living Miami model. At the same time we call attention to the emerging alternative: the global potential for net primary production of biomass may be as high as 70 PgC y^{-1} , the productivity of larch forest zone may be comparable to the productivity of taiga zone, and the productivity of rain-green forest zone may be comparable to the productivity of tropical rainforest zone.

Conclusion: The departure from Miami model's worldview mentioned above cannot be simply ignored. It requires thorough examination using modern observational tools and techniques for model-data fusion. Stability of normative knowledge is not its ultimate goal – the norms for estimates of terrestrial productivity must be evidence-based.

Background

The amount of plant organic matter produced on annual basis, so called net primary production or NPP, is the basic characteristic of the biosphere. It shows biosphere potential to supply primary food energy source for nonautotrophic species including humans. Human appropriation of terrestrial net primary production stems not only from the demand for food but also for fuel, construction materials, and paper. It is estimated to be from 8 to 15 PgC y^{-1} in total (including 3–6 PgC y^{-1} associated with food supply) [1].

NPP also shows biosphere potential to steer the Earth system by absorbing CO_2 , a gas whose atmospheric concentration affects global climate. NPP characterizes the "gross" terrestrial carbon sink – the amount of CO_2 annually sequestered by vegetation. The net land-to-atmosphere flux is much smaller because the "gross" sink is compensated for by various carbon sources. Its magnitude

Open Access

is estimated to be from 0.3 to 1.5 PgC y⁻¹[2]. The coupled carbon-cycle-climate models show the wide range of projections for the magnitude of the terrestrial uptake in the middle of this century: from 0 to 8 PgC y⁻¹[3].

Appropriation (or re-direction) of NPP is also one method of climate change mitigation. Protecting non-living organic matter from decomposition and burning [4], reducing deforestation rates [5-7], and increasing the forest harvest age [8] will "re-direct" NPP to carbon pools with longer turnover times. Implementation of these measures may partly compensate for emissions from fossil fuel burning.

The total terrestrial NPP is generally assumed to be about 60 PgC y⁻¹ [9]. Biosphere models differ on this value. Comparison of global NPP models carried out more than a decade ago revealed that estimates ranged from 44.4 to 66.3 PgC y⁻¹ [10]. One of the major results of that comparative study was releasing average estimates of NPP over a geographic grid with a half-degree resolution [11]. These were the first normative data on global NPP created by summarizing modelling efforts. ("Normative data" means the data that result from a model ensemble, not from a single model, and therefore may be accepted as norms.)

The data have not been updated since then, although a number of new models and new versions of old models were developed during the last decade. Here we present the series of updates reflecting the evolution of biosphere models.

Results

Evolutional stability of normative data

The well-established beliefs in science tend to be evolutionarily stable – that is, new research on old subjects tends ultimately to furnish the same result. The series of

Table 1: Normative productivity	of major vegetation zones
---------------------------------	---------------------------

updates (Additional files 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) demonstrates the evolutional stability of normative data on terrestrial productivity. The totals (i.e. the estimates of the total terrestrial NPP corresponding to different versions of the Normative NPP grid) vary in a very narrow range: from 58.76 to 59.14 PgC y⁻¹. Sub-totals characterizing productivity of major vegetation zones are never off by more than 7 gC m⁻² y⁻¹ (Table 1). A new model may change sub-totals by 1% at most.

Most of sub-totals, in fact, fit well the "long-living" Miami NPP model (Figure 1). The Miami NPP model [12] is still used as a benchmark for NPP models and in global carbon cycle modelling [13-17]. Relating biome productivity to the mean annual temperature, this model implicitly presumes a certain correlation between the climatic conditions of the growing season and those of the whole year. Therefore, it may underestimate or overestimate productivity wherein the presumed correlation breaks down. For example, tundra (42) and the vegetation zone of larch forests (14) are equally cold in terms of mean annual temperature (Figure 2), but summer is warmer in the vegetation zone of larch forests. Therefore, process-based models, which are more sensitive to the seasonality of climatic conditions, normally estimate the productivity of larch forests to be higher than that of tundra. Similarly, they give higher estimate for the vegetation zone of needle-leaf evergreen forests (36). The lower estimate for tropical rainforests (8) may manifest the sensitivity of process-based models to limiting factors other than heat and water supply (e.g., nitrogen limitation).

Emergent alternative data

The method of building normative data employed in this study (see Methods) works against estimates suggesting too large shifts in mean values. These estimates form the pool of alternative data. The series of alternative data

Biome code	Normative NPP version								
	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.13
3	792	795	796	793	788	787	787	787	792
4	812	816	818	815	813	815	814	815	817
6	64	63	62	62	62	63	62	62	60
7	318	319	319	319	318	320	318	318	32
8	995	1001	1002	999	1002	1008	1009	1008	101
10	646	648	649	647	646	645	645	644	64
13	538	540	541	542	540	542	539	540	54
14	210	208	208	209	209	207	208	208	21
15	395	395	396	395	393	395	392	392	39
27	139	138	139	139	138	139	138	138	140
36	324	319	321	321	323	323	324	324	32
42	116	114	114	115	114	114	112	112	113

Units: $gC m^2 y^{-1} (100 gC m^2 y^{-1} = tC ha^{-1} y^{-1})$. Biome codes: 42 - tundra, 14 - larch forests, 36 - needle-leaf forests, 13 - summer-green broad-leaved forests, 4 - evergreen broad-leaved forests, 8 - tropical rainforests, 6 - deserts, 27 - semi-desert scrubs, 7 - shrublands, 15 - grasslands, 10 - subhumid woodlands, 3 - raingreen forests.



Figure I

Normative NPP (version 1.13.0) of major vegetation zones plotted against mean annual temperature (left pane) and annual precipitation (right pane). Points mark mean values, ellipses delineate standard deviations from the mean values, and lines represent temperature curve and humidity curve of the Miami NPP model, respectively. Legend: 42 – tundra, 14 – larch forests, 36 – needle-leaf forests, 13 – summer-green broad-leaved forests, 4 – evergreen broad-leaved forests, 8 – tropical rainforests, 6 – deserts, 27 – semi-desert scrubs, 7 – shrublands, 15 – grasslands, 10 – subhumid woodlands, 3 – raingreen forests.

(Additional files 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17) shows large variations of totals: from 64 to 91.7 PgC y⁻¹. In the final version (Additional file 17), the total is 71.4 PgC y⁻¹ and sub-totals depart widely from the Miami model projections (Figure 3) implying an alternative global pattern of productivity (Figure 4). This pattern may be characterized in general as "seasonality sensitive". The productivity of larch forests (14) is comparable to that of taiga (36), and the productivity of rain-green forests (3) is comparable to that of tropical rainforests (8), emphasizing that conditions during the growing season, not during the whole year, are crucial.



Figure 2

The map (left pane) and climatic characteristics (right pane) of the vegetation zones. Legend: 42 – tundra, 14 – larch forests, 36 – needle-leaf forests, 13 – summer-green broad-leaved forests, 4 – evergreen broad-leaved forests, 8 – tropical rainforests, 6 – deserts, 27 – semi-desert scrubs, 7 – shrublands, 15 – grasslands, 10 – subhumid woodlands, 3 – raingreen forests. Points mark mean values, ellipses delineate standard deviations from the mean values, and lines highlight ecological series (ecoclines). The blue line highlights the series of biomes succeeding each other along the gradient of mean annual temperature, and the red line the series of biomes succeeding each other along the gradient of annual precipitation. (The map of vegetation zones is based on the data from TGER data set [20], climatic characteristics are based on CLIMATE database version 2.1 [W. Cramer, Potsdam, personal communication].)

Discussion

In modelling terrestrial productivity we are facing the problem of structural uncertainty. Field observations hardly allow us to make a reasonable choice between competing conceptual frameworks, to form an agreement on the best model structure, or even to discriminate between adequate descriptions of significant processes from inadequate ones [18]. Therefore, we approach this problem through retrospection of modelling efforts.

Terrestrial productivity has been a focus of biosphere studies over the last three decades. First, the global pattern of NPP was characterized by data collected during the International Biological Program (1964–1974). Then, the data was turned into empirical models that relate gradations in NPP to environmental factors of known geographic distribution. Later, a number of process-based models were developed in connection to the IGBP activities. This is definitely a field of science that hardly may be referred to as immature.

Nevertheless, the range of estimates remains roughly constant over this period. Early estimates of terrestrial NPP range from 10 to 100 PgC y⁻¹ [19]. Starting in the 1970s, they fall between 40 and 80 PgC y⁻¹. The estimates of empirical models [20] vary from 50 to 65 PgC y⁻¹, and the estimates of process-based models are expected to vary in the same range [10]. Re-analysis of the NPP measurements stored in the Osnabrück NPP database show that a 90% confidence interval for the expected value is 50–70 PgC y⁻¹ [21]. It seems that it may be difficult to reduce this 20% level of uncertainty in the commonly accepted estimate of terrestrial NPP while leaving research methods unchanged. Therefore, we are focusing here on the stability of normative estimates – that is, estimates acceptable for use in policy relevant assessments. The diversity of research results does not matter until a viable alternative to the commonly accepted norms emerges. This study confirms that 60 PgC y⁻¹ remains to be the best candidate for further use in policy relevant assessments.

The major output of this study, gridded normative data on terrestrial productivity, may find use in benchmarking NPP models employed in coupled carbon-cycle-climate models. Could the wide range of projections for the future magnitude of the terrestrial uptake be attributed to the diversity of NPP models employed? Which projections correspond to well-established beliefs, and which do not?

Recent IPCC guidelines focus on objective reporting of uncertainty stemming from climate model pluralism [22]. However, epistemological pluralism [23,24] is no more a topical issue in "a world that is aware of its responsibility for planetary change and will demand globally concerted actions" [25,26]. One of the things that the world community is likely to expect from scientists is evaluating effectiveness of these actions in an objective and unambiguous manner [27]. Hence, it seems a time for moving the focus of attention to objective reporting of well-established beliefs.

Objective reporting of well-established beliefs suggests drawing distinctions between normative knowledge (or text-book knowledge) and alternative knowledge (or frontier knowledge). The former is the solid knowledge that has stood the test of time and is well confirmed by a number of independent research studies. Frontier knowl-



Figure 3

Alternative NPP (version 1.13.0) of major vegetation zones plotted against mean annual temperature (left pane) and annual precipitation (right pane). Points mark mean values, ellipses delineate standard deviations from the mean values, and lines represent temperature curve and humidity curve of the Miami NPP model, respectively. Legend: 42 – tundra, 14 – larch forests, 36 – needle-leaf forests, 13 – summer-green broad-leaved forests, 4 – evergreen broad-leaved forests, 8 – tropical rainforests, 6 – deserts, 27 – semi-desert scrubs, 7 – shrublands, 15 – grasslands, 10 – subhumid woodlands, 3 – raingreen forests.





edge is something new, and something really new cannot be turned into solid knowledge immediately. Since each model may be considered as normative for some regions and as alternative for other regions, we are not drawing distinctions between models. Instead, we are sorting model outputs and doing what is called "knowledge engineering" [28].

Conclusion

The stability of well-established beliefs stems from the stability of research methods, and therefore it can be temporal. Rapid development of meteorological methods for measuring CO₂ fluxes offers some benefits over traditional methods of measuring productivity. The observation network for measurements of gas, water and energy exchange between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere, so-called FLUXNET [29], produced a large collection of data. This calls for re-calibration of existing models [30,31], and, hypothetically, may lead to changes in our judgement on typical values of productivity. Similar effects may have measurements coming from new satellite sensors.

Modern observational tools will eventually improve the consistency of biosphere models through creating multiple constraints for positioning 'true' values for model parameters [32-35] and through filling gaps in knowledge needed for improving descriptions of significant processes. The evolution of normative knowledge on terrestrial productivity is thus limited by the rate at which the research community internalizes new facts and builds consensus on

necessary changes in the norms. The scheme of building normative data [36] employed in this study simulates the process of consensus building, but sets transparent criteria for distinguishing between normative and alternative data. It also suggests that an existing consensus should be re-considered when the bulk and consistency of alternative data match the bulk and consistency of normative data (Figure 5). The software tools realizing this scheme are available through web-based services developed for routine checks of model consistency.

Methods

The evolution of scientific theories is often considered a Darwinian process of natural selection that determines which theory survives and drifts them toward consensus [37]. The scheme of building normative data [36] employed in this study simulates the process of data selection by setting transparent criteria of fitness.

This algorithm works against new estimates that do not fall within the range implied by the initial ensemble: Miami NPP model, Montreal NPP model, TGER-NPP model and the outputs of the Potsdam NPP model inter-comparison. Moreover, it works against new estimates that may increase uncertainty in the mean value, which is measured as the width of the confidence interval: = 2 $c \cdot s \cdot n^{-1/2}$, where *n* is the number of estimates, *s* is standard deviation, *c* is 95th percentile of Student's *t* distribution with *n*-1 degree of freedom. All this is filtering out erroneous estimates as well as correct estimates when they are



Figure 5 The half-width of confidence interval for Normative NPP version 1.13.0 (left pane) vs that of alternative NPP version 1.13.0 (right pane). Units: $gC m^{-2} y^{-1} (100 gC m^{-2} y^{-1} = tC ha^{-1} y^{-1})$.

dramatically contradicting normative knowledge formed by the initial ensemble.

Since no well-agreed-upon method exists at the moment for distinguishing between erroneous and correct estimates of NPP, every estimate is included either into the normative ensemble or into the alternative ensemble. The former represents the current state of knowledge, whereas the latter represents emerging alternatives to current knowledge.

Noteworthy also are discrepancies in estimates that may result from different spatial resolution of models and/or input data. They were reduced by filtering out models of low spatial resolution.

Normative NPP, version 1.5.0

This data file (Additional file 1) was formed by averaging the outputs of the Miami NPP model, Montreal NPP model, TGER-NPP model and the outputs of the Potsdam NPP model intercomparison (PotsdamNPP).

Normative NPP, version 1.6.0

This data file (Additional file 2) was formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.5.0. and outputs of TsuBiMo 1.0 model [18,38], using the following algorithm:

1. Compare the value of TsuBiMo NPP for a given cell (x,y) of the geographic grid, u(x,y) with the normative ensemble of estimates for this cell, w(x,y).

2. If $u(x,y) > w_{max}(x,y)$ or $u(x,y) < w_{min}(x,y)$, normative NPP, v(x,y), remains unchanged; otherwise go to step 3.

3. Append u(x,y) to w(x,y), calculate mean value, , of thus formed list of estimates and the width of its confidence interval, .

4. If is greater than the width of confidence interval for the mean value of w(x,y), v(x,y) remains unchanged, otherwise v(x,y) = .

NB. Exclude the numbers denoting missing values (-9999) from calculations of mean values and their confidence intervals.

Normative NPP, versions 1.7.0-1.13.0

Each of the data files (Additional files 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) was formed, using the algorithm described above, from the normative ensemble of estimates and outputs of the model mentioned in the data file description.

Alternative NPP, version 1.6.0

This data file (Additional file 10) was formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP version 1.5.0. and outputs of TsuBiMo 1.0 model, using the following algorithm.

1. Compare the value of TsuBiMo NPP for a given cell (x,y) of the geographic grid, u(x,y) with the normative ensemble of estimates (version 1.5.0) for this cell, w(x,y).

2. If $w_{min}(x,y) < u(x,y) < w_{max}(x,y)$, alternative NPP, v(x,y), remains unchanged; otherwise go to step 3.

3. Append u(x,y) to w(x,y), calculate mean value of thus formed list of estimates and the width of its confidence interval, .

4. If is less than the width of confidence interval for the mean value of w(x,y), v(x,y) remains unchanged, otherwise go to the step 5.

5. Append u(x,y) to the list of alternative estimates, s(x,y); calculate the mean value, , of thus formed list; set v(x,y) = .

NB. Exclude the numbers denoting missing values (-9999) from calculations of mean values and their confidence intervals.

Alternative NPP, versions 1.7.0-1.13.0

Each of the data file (Additional files 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17) was formed, using the algorithm described above, from the alternative ensemble of estimates and outputs of the model mentioned in the data file description.

Warnings

The results of this study should be interpreted in the same manner as the results of Potsdam NPP Model Intercomparison [10], and should not be taken out of context. For example, normative productivity of crops under specific crop management system may be either higher or lower than Normative NPP.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally to the design of the study and to manuscript drafting. Calculations were performed by GAA. Both authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Appendix: a brief overview of modelling efforts

Efforts to model terrestrial productivity may be categorized into three types: (type 1) developing an empirical model interpolating and extrapolating measured NPP values, (type 2) developing an empirical model interpolating and extrapolating parameters of a process-based model of productivity using measured NPP values, (type 3) developing an empirical model interpolating and extrapolating parameters of a process-based model of productivity using measured values of this parameters.

The typical representative of the first type, which is referred to as empirical models, is the Miami NPP model.

The observed gradations in the observed data are attributed to factors of known global distribution using mathematical functions that have no biological meaning. Then, these functions are used to produce a global pattern of productivity from given global patterns of mean annual temperature and precipitations.

TsuBiMo represents the second type, which is referred to as semi-empirical process-based models. The measured values of NPP are considered as indirect measurements of light-saturated rates of photosynthesis [18]. The values of this parameter are restored from the NPP measurements using technique known as model-data fusion [39]. Then, gradations in the light-saturated rate of photosynthesis are attributed to gradations in the temperature and precipitation during the growing season. The temperature dependence is modelled with a generalized Arrhenius function [40], whereas the humidity factor is modelled with a function that has no biophysical meaning.

Biome-BGC represents the third type, which is referred to as process-based models, and GLO-PEM represent a class of so-called production efficiency models (PEMs). A number of such models were developed between 1992 and 1996 (Table 2). The boom has been backed by supportive databasing activities. In 1991, the International Institute for Applied System Analysis (IIASA) released the database for mean monthly values of temperature, precipitation and cloudiness of a global terrestrial grid [41]. In 1992, the Environmental Research Laboratory of the US Environmental Protection Agency released a comprehensive geographic database for modelling terrestrial climatebiosphere interactions [42]. Data on the global distribution of productivity factors stimulated globalization of process-based models that were originally developed for modelling productivity at an ecosystem scale [43,44].

Most process-based models require species-specific parameterization that becomes problematic on a global scale. Since the global distribution of species-specific parameters is not well known, they are normally set at some ad hoc values. The recent development of techniques for model-data fusion [34] opens up possibilities for transforming process-based models into semi-empirical, process-based models.

New techniques for data-fusion (such as neural networks) together with growing databases of NPP measurements offer new opportunities for empirical modelling. The NCEAS model [45] may be a first sign of the new boom in empirical modelling.

The history of modelling efforts is presented in Table 2, where models [44-98] are listed in chronological order.

Table 2: A chronology of modelling efforts

Year	Туре І	Туре 2	Туре 3		
1972	Miami NPP** [12]; Montreal NPP** [54]				
			1973 1984		
1985	Chikugo [55,56]				
1986					
1987	OBM [13]				
1988	MONTHLYC [57]				
1989	TGER-NPP*** [20,58]				
1990					
1991					
1992					
1993			Biome-BGC [44]; CASA [59]; FBM 2.2 [60]; CENTURY 4.0 [61]; TEM 3.0 [62]		
1994	HRBM 3.0 [63]		CARAIB 2.1 [64]; DEMETER [65]; IMAGE 2.0 [66]		
1995			GLO-PEM [67]; SDBM[68]; TEM 4.0 [69];		
1996			DOLY [70]; SIB2 [71]; SILVAN 2.2 [72]; TURC [73]; BIOME3 [74]		
1997			HYBRID 3.0 [75]; BAIM [76,77]		
1998		TsuBiMo 1.0** [38,78]			
1999			GLO-PEM updated version *,** [79]		
2000			Sim-Cycle** [80]; BETHY [81,82]		
2001					
2002		TsuBiMo-PEM [18]; TsuBiMo 1.1 [18];	CCDAS-SDBM [83]		
2003					
2004		TsuBiMo 1.2 [8 4]	MODIS-NPP*,** [85,86]; Sim-Cycle(rev)** [87]		
2005		TsuBiMo I.3 [88]	BEAMS** [89]; VEGAS** [90,91]		
2006			BAIM2 [92]; ORCHIDEE[93]; CCDAS-BETHY [94,95]		
2007			Biome-BGC 4.1.1*** [96]; JSBACH [97]		
2008	NCEAS [45]; Madison NPP** [98]				

The list includes only those models of productivity that were calibrated for global scale applications of fine spatial resolution (1-degree or higher). The series of models developed in 80s [46-50] was not included, for their spatial resolution is too coarse (the biosphere is split into several discrete productivity zones.) Some dynamic global vegetation models [33,51] were not included in the list either because we did not receive confirmation from the authors that model outputs include an original baseline annual estimate of productivity or because of the coarse resolution of the model product [52]. Any corrections and suggestions to this list can be posted as a comment to the article, pressing "Post a Comment" button on the article website. * model outputs are available for downloading from a public website ** model outputs are stored in Carbon Sink Archives and available for model benchmarking using the web-based service provided by the OGED/CGER/NIES [53]

Additional material

Additional file 1

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.5.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ obtained by averaging outputs of Miami NPP model, Montreal NPP model, TGER-NPP model and the outputs of the Potsdam NPP model intercomparison (PotsdamNPP). Missing values are denoted by -9999. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S1.txt]

Additional file 2

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.6.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^2 y$ ¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.5.0. and outputs of TsuBiMo 1.0 model. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S2.txt]

Additional file 3

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.7.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^2 y$ ¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.6.0. and outputs of the updated version of GLO-PEM. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S3.txt]

Additional file 4

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.8.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^2 y^{-1}$ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.7.0. and outputs of Biome-BGC 4.1.1. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S4.txt]

Additional file 5

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.9.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.8.0. and outputs of BEAMS. Missing values are denoted by -9999. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S5.txt]

Additional file 6

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.10.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.9.0. and outputs of Madison NPP model. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S6.txt]

Additional file 7

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.11.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.10.0. and outputs of Improved MODIS Collection 4.8 NPP. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S7.txt]

Additional file 8

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.12.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.11.0. and outputs of Sim-CYCLE(rev). Missing values are denoted by -9999. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S8.txt]

Additional file 9

Gridded normative NPP, version 1.13.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the normative ensemble of estimates underlying Normative NPP 1.12.0. and outputs of VEGAS. Missing values are denoted by - 9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S9.txt]

Additional file 10

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.6.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP version 1.5.0. and outputs of TsuBiMo 1.0. Missing values (i.e., the lack of alternative estimate) are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S10.txt]

Additional file 11

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.7.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^2 y$ ¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP version 1.6.0. and outputs of the updated version of GLO-PEM. Missing values (i.e., the lack of alternative estimate) are denoted by - 9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S11.txt]

Additional file 12

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.8.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of halfdegree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP version 1.7.0. and outputs of Biome-BGC 4.1.1. Missing values (i.e., the lack of alternative estimate) are denoted by -9999. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S12.txt]

Additional file 13

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.9.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP 1.8.0. and outputs of BEAMS. Missing values are denoted by -9999. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S13.txt]

Additional file 14

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.10.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP 1.9.0. and outputs of Madison NPP model. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S14.txt]

Additional file 15

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.11.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP 1.10.0. and outputs of Improved MODIS Collection 4.8 NPP. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S15.txt]

Additional file 16

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.12.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC m⁻² y⁻¹ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP 1.11.0. and outputs of Sim-CYCLE2. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S16.txt]

Additional file 17

Gridded alternative NPP, version 1.13.0. The file can be viewed with a spreadsheet. It stores tabular data: 3 columns separated by tabs and 62483 rows. Each row corresponds to a cell of the geographic grid of half-degree resolution. First two columns contain longitude and latitude for the north-west corner a cell in decimal degrees. West longitudes and south latitudes are negative. The third column contains NPP estimate in gC $m^2 y^1$ formed from the alternative ensemble of estimates underlying Alternative NPP 1.12.0. and outputs of VEGAS. Missing values are denoted by -9999.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1750-0680-3-8-S17.txt]

Acknowledgements

This work was conducted in connection to the CGER/NIES research program on forest carbon sinks modelling and databasing. The idea of this work was conceived following discussions with Pep Canadell, Takehisa Oikawa and Seita Emori on the subjects related to model development, comparison and evaluation. Galina Churkina, Steve Running, Maosheng Zhao, Takahiro Sasai, Akihito Ito, David Zaks, Ning Zeng, Jinho Yoon, Stephen Prince and Scott Goetz helped us in data acquisition. Wolfgang Knorr, Ning Zeng, Martin Heimann, David McGuire, Ian Woodward, Kazuo Mabuchi, Colin Prentice, Rik Leemans, Vladimir Krapivin, Leonid Goloubyatnikov, Alexander Tarko, Kazuhito Ichii, Nicolas Viovy, Galina Churkina and Steve Running gave advice regarding the chronology of modelling efforts. Colin Prentice, Rik Leemans, Kazuhito Ichii and Galina Churkina helped us to clean manuscript from sad misprints, omissions and logical gaps. The usage of English was corrected by Benjamin Brown.

References

- Imhoff ML, Bounoua L, Ricketts T, Loucks C, Harriss R, Lawrence WT: Global patterns in human consumption of net primary production. Nature 2004, 429:870-873.
- Denman KL, Brasseur G, Chidthaisong A, Ciais P, Cox PM, Dickinson RE, Hauglustaine D, Henize C, Holland E, Jacob D, Lohmann U, Ramachandran S, da Silva Dias PL, Wofsy SC, Zhang X: Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry. In Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Edited by: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007:500-587.
- 3. Heimann M, Reichstein M: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks. *Nature* 2008, **451**:289-292.
- Zeng N: Carbon sequestration via wood burial. Carbon Balance and Management 2008, 3:1.
- Gurney K, Raymond L: Targeting deforestation rates in climate change policy: a 'Preservation Pathway' approach. Carbon Balance and Management 2008, 3:2.
- 6. Kindermann G, Obersteiner M, Rametsteiner E, McCallum I: Predicting the deforestation-trend under different carbonprices. Carbon Balance and Management 2006, 1:15.
- van Minnen J, Strengers B, Eickhout B, Swart R, Leemans R: Quantifying the effectiveness of climate change mitigation through forest plantations and carbon sequestration with an integrated land-use model. Carbon Balance and Management 2008, 3:3.
- 8. Alexandrov G: Carbon stock growth in a forest stand: the power of age. Carbon Balance and Management 2007, 2:4.
- Steffen W, Noble I, Canadell J, Apps M, Schulze ED, Jarvis PG, Baldocchi D, Ciais P, Cramer W, Ehleringer J, Farquhar G, Field CB, Ghazi A, Gifford R, Heimann M, Houghton R, Kabat P, Korner C, Lambin E, Linder S, Mooney HA, Murdiyarso D, Post WM, Prentice IC, Raupach MR, Schimel DS, Shvidenko A, Valentini R: The terrestrial carbon cycle: Implications for the Kyoto Protocol. Science 1998, 280:1393-1394.

- Cramer W, Kicklighter DW, Bondeau A, Moore B, Churkina C, Nemry B, Ruimy A, Schloss AL: Comparing global models of terrestrial net primary productivity (NPP): overview and key results. *Global Change Biology* 1999, 5:1-15.
- ISLSCP Initiative II, Hall FG, Collatz G, Los S, Brown de Colstoun E, Landis D: NASA 2005 [http://islscp2.sesda.com/ISLSCP2_1/ html pages/groups/carbon/model npp_xdeg.html].
- Lieth H: Modeling the primary productivity of the world. Primary Productivity of the Biosphere 1975:237-263.
- Esser G: Sensitivity of global carbon pools and fluxes to human and potential climatic impacts. *Tellus* 1987, 39B:245-260.
- Post WM, King AW, Wullschleger SD: Historical variations in terrestrial biospheric carbon storage. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1997, 11:99-109.
- Grace PR, Post WM, Hennessy K: The potential impact of climate change on Australia's soil organic carbon resources. Carbon Balance Manage 2006, 1(14):.
- Friedlingstein P, Delire C, Muller JP, Gerard JC: The climate induced variation of the continental biosphere – a model simulation of the Last glacial maximum. *Geophys Res Lett* 1992, 19:897-900.
- Brovkin V, Bendtsen J, Claussen M, Ganopolski A, Kubatzki C, Petoukhov V, Andreev A: Carbon cycle, vegetation and climate dynamics in the Holocene: Experiments with the CLIMBER-2 model. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2002, 16:1139. doi: 10.1029/ 2001GB001662
- Alexandrov GA, Oikawa T, Yamagata Y: The scheme for globalization of a process-based model explaining gradations in terrestrial NPP and its application. *Ecological Modelling* 2002, 148:293-306.
- 19. Ajtay GL, Ketner P, Duvigneaud P: Terrestrial primary production and phytomass. The Global Carbon Cycle 1979:129-181.
- Box EO, Dyé D, Kajiwara K, Tateishi R, Bai X: Global Environmental Data Sets from the Toyota Crown Laboratory Global Engineering Research Project (1991–1994) on CD-ROM 1994.
- Alexandrov GA, Oikawa T, Esser G: Estimating terrestrial NPP: what the data say and how they may be interpreted? *Ecological Modelling* 1999, 117:361-369.
- 22. Parker W: Understanding Pluralism in Climate Modeling. Foundations of Science 2006, 11:349-368.
- van Asselt MBA, Rotmans J: Uncertainty in integrated assessment modelling: From positivism to pluralism. Climatic Change 2002, 54:75-105.
- 24. Healy S: Epistemological pluralism and the 'politics of choice'. *Futures* 2003, **35:**689-701.
- Socolow R: Climate change mitigation under strong carbon constraints. Proceedings of 50th Anniversary of the Global Carbon Dioxide Record Symposium: 28–30 November 2007; Kona (Hawaii).
- Cicerone RJ: Climate Change: It is not just scientists. Proceedings of 50th Anniversary of the Global Carbon Dioxide Record Symposium: 28–30 November 2007; Kona (Hawaii).
 Alexandrov G, Heimann M, Jones C, Tans P: On 50th Anniversary
- Alexandrov G, Heimann M, Jones C, Tans P: On 50th Anniversary of the Global Carbon Dioxide Record. Carbon Balance and Management 2007, 2:11.
- Kendal S, Creen M: An Introduction to Knowledge Engineering Springer; 2007.
- 29. Valentini R, Baldocchi D, Olson R: **FLUXNET: A challenge that is becoming reality.** *Global Change Newslett* 1999, **37:**15-17.
- Friend AD, Arneth A, Kiang NY, Lomas M, Ogee J, Rodenbeckk C, Running SW, Santaren JD, Sitch S, Viovy N, Woodward FI, Zaehle S: FLUXNET and modelling the global carbon cycle. Global Change Biology 2007, 13:610-633.
- Alexandrov GA, Yamagata Y, Saigusa N, Oikawa T: Re-calibrating TsuBiMo with eddy-covariance measurements at Takayama. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 2005, 134:135-142.
- Prentice IC, Heimann M, Sitch S: The carbon balance of the terrestrial biosphere: Ecosystem models and atmospheric observations. Ecological Applications 2000, 10:1553-1573.
- 33. Prentice IC: The carbon cycle and atmospheric carbon dioxide. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis 2001:183-237.
- Peters W, Jacobson AR, Sweeney C, Andrews AE, Conway TJ, Masarie K, Miller JB, Bruhwiler LMP, Potron G, Hirsch AI, Worthy DEJ, Werf GR van der, Randerson JT, Wennberg PO, Krol MC, Tans PP: An atmospheric perspective on North American carbon

dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2007, 104:18925-18930.

- Canadell JG, Dickinson R, Hibbard K, Raupach M, Young O: Global Carbon Project (2003) Science Framework and Implementation. 2003, 1:69.
- Alexandrov GA, Matsunaga T: Evaluating consistency of biosphere models: software tools for a web-based service. Proceedings of the iEMSs Fourth Biennial Meeting: International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software (iEMSs 2008); Barcelona 2008 [http://www.iemss.org/iemss2008/uploads/Main/S12-02-Alexandrov et al-IEMSS2008.pdf].
- Bradie M: Epistemiology from an Evolutionary Point of View. In Conceptual Issues in Evolutionary Biology Edited by: Sober E. MIT Press; 1994:453-476.
- Alexandrov G, Oikawa T: TsuBiMo: a biosphere model of the CO2-fertilization effect. Climate Research 2002, 19:265-270.
- Alexandrov GA, Chan D, Chen M, Gurney K, Higuchi K, Ito A, Jones CD, Komarov A, Mabuchi K, Matross DM, Veroustraete F, Verstraeten WW: Model-data fusion in studies of the terrestrial carbon sink. In Environmental Modelling, software and decision support Edited by: Jakeman AJ, Voinov AA, Rizzoli AE, Chen SH. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2009:329-344.
- Alexandrov GA, Yamagata Y: A peaked function for modeling temperature dependence of plant productivity. Ecological Modelling 2007, 200:189-192.
- Leemans R, Cramer W: The IIASA database for mean monthly values of temperature, precipitation and cloudiness on a global terrestrial grid. The IIASA Database for Mean Monthly Values of Temperature, Precipitation and Cloudiness on a Global Terrestrial Grid 1991.
- 42. NOAA-EPA Global Ecosystems Database Project: Global Ecosystem Database Version 1.0. User's Guide, Documentation, Reprints and Digital Data on CD-ROM Boulder: USDOC/NOAA National Geophysical Data Center; 1992.
- Running SW: Estimating terrestrial primary productivity by combining remote sensing and ecosystem simulation. In Ecological Studies: Remote Sensing of Biosphere Functioning Edited by: Hobbs RJ, Mooney HA. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1990:65-86.
- 44. Running SW, Hunt ER: Generalization of a forest ecosystem process model for other biomes, Biome-BGC, and an application for global-scale models. In Scaling Physological Processes: Leaf to Globe Edited by: Ehleringer JR, Field CB. San Diego: Academic Press; 1993:141-158.
- Del Grosso S, Parton W, Stohlgren T, Zheng D, Bachelet D, Prince S, Hibbard K, Olson R: Global potential net primary production predicted from vegetation class, precipitation, and temperature. Ecology 2008:2117-2126.
- Krapivin VF: Mathematical model for global ecological investigations. Ecological Modelling 1993, 67:103-127.
- Tarko AM: Anthropogenic Changes of the Global Biosphere Processes. Mathematical Modeling Moscow: Fizmatlit; 2005.
- Golubyatnikov LL, Denisenko EA: Modeling the Values of Net Primary Production for the Zonal Vegetation of European Russia. Biology Bulletin 2001, 28:293-300.
- 49. Bolin B: Carbon Cycle Modelling New York: J. Wiley; 1981
- Goudriaan J, Ketner P: A simulation study for the global carbon cycle, including man's impact on the biosphere. Climatic Change 1984, 6:167-192.
- Sitch S, Huntingford C, Gedeny N, Levy PE, Lomas M, Piao SL, Betts R, Ciais P, Cox P, Friedlingstein P, Jones CD, Prentice IC, Woodward FI: Evaluation of the terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs). Global Change Biology 2008, 14:2015-2039.
- Sato H, Itoh A, Kohyama T: SEIB-DGVM: A new Dynamic Global Vegetation Model using a spatially explicit individualbased approach. *Ecological Modelling* 2007, 200:279-307.
- 53. OGED: Carbon Sink Archives. 2008 [http://www-cger.nies.go.jp/ cger-e/db/enterprise/csa/index.html].
- 54. Lieth H, Box EO: Evapotranspiration and primary productivity. Publications in Climatology 1972, 25:37-46.
- Uchijima Z, Seino H: Agroclimate evaluation of net primary productivity of natural vegetation (1) Chikugo model for evaluating net primary productivity. J Agr Meteorol 1985, 40:343-352.

- Seino H, Uchijima Z: Global distribution of net primary productivity of terrestrial vegetation. Journal of Agricultural Meteorology 1992, 48:39-48.
- 57. Box EO: Estimating the seasonal carbon source-sink geography of a natural, steady-state terrestrial biosphere. J Appl Meteorol 1988, 27:1109-1124.
- Box EO, Holben BN, Kalb V: Accuracy of the AVHRR vegetation index as a predictor of biomass, primary productivity and net CO2 flux. Vegetatio 1989, 80:71-89.
- Potter CS, Randerson JT, Field CD, Matson PA, Vitousek PM, Mooney HA, Klooster SA: Terrestrial ecosystem production: a process model based on global satellite and surface data. *Global Bioge*ochem Cycles 1993, 7:811-841.
- Kindermann J, Luedeke MKB, Badeck F-W, Otto RD, Klaudius A, Haeger C, Wuerth G, Lang T, Daenges S, Habermehl S, Kohlmaier GH: Structure of a global and seasonal carbon exchange model for the terrestrial biosphere the frankfurt biosphere model (FBM). Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 1993, 70:675-684.
- Parton WJ, Scurlock JMO, Ojima DS, Gilmanov TG, Scholes RJ, Schimel DS, Kirchner T, Menaut J-C, Seastedt T, Moya EG, Kamnalrut A, Kinyamario JI: Observations and Modeling of Biomass and Soil Organic Matter Dynamics for the Grassland Biome Worldwide. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1993, 7:785-809.
- Melillo JM, McGuire AD, Kicklighter DW, Moore B, Vorosmarty CJ, Schloss AL: Global Climate-Change and Terrestrial Net Primary Production. Nature 1993, 363:234-240.
- Esser G, Hoffstadt J, Mack F, Wittenberg U: High Resolution Biosphere Model: Documentation. Gessen, Justus Liebig University; 1994.
- Warnant P, Francois L, Strivay D, Gerard JC: Caraib A Global-Model of Terrestrial Biological Productivity. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1994, 8:255-270.
- 65. Foley JA: Net Primary Productivity in the Terrestrial Biosphere – the Application of A Global-Model. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 1994, 99:20773-20783.
- Goldewijk K, Leemans R: The terrestrial biosphere and global change. In Carbon balance of world's forested ecosystems: towards an global assessment Edited by: Kanninen M. Helsinki: Painatuskeskus; 1994:163-175.
- 67. Prince SD, Goward S: **Global net primary production: a remote** sensing approach. Journal of Biogeography 1995, **22:**815-835.
- Knorr W, Heimann M: Impact of drought stress and other factors on seasonal land biosphere CO2 exchange studied through an atmospheric tracer transport model. *Tellus, Series* B 1995, 47B:471-489.
- McGuire AD, Melillo JM, Kicklighter DW, Joyce LA: Equilibrium responses of soil carbon to climate change: Empirical and process-based estimates. *Journal of Biogeography* 1995, 22:785-796.
- Woodward FI, Smith TM, Emanuel WR: A Global Land Primary Productivity and Phytogeography Model. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1995, 9:471-490.
- Sellers PJ, Randall DA, Collatz GJ, Berry JA, Field CB, Dazlich DA, Zhang C, Collelo GD, Bounoua L: A revised land surface parameterization (SiB2) for atmospheric GCMs I. Model formulation. *Journal of Climate* 1996, 9:676-705.
- Kaduk J, Heimann M: A prognostic phenology scheme for global terrestrial carbon cycle models. Climate Research 1996, 6:1-19.
- Ruimy A, Dedieu G, Saugier B: TURC: A diagnostic model of continental gross primary productivity and net primary productivity. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1996, 10:269-285.
- Haxeltine A, Prentice IC: BIOME3: An equilibrium terrestrial biosphere model based on ecophysiological constraints, resource availability, and competition among plant functional types. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 1996, 10:693-709.
- Friend AD, Stevens AK, Knox RG, Cannell MGR: A process-based, terrestrial biosphere model of ecosystem dynamics (Hybrid v3.0). Ecological Modelling 1997, 95:249-287.
- Mabuchi K, Sato Y, Kida H: Numerical study of the relationships between climate and the carbon dioxide cycle on a regional scale. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan 2000, 78:25-46.
- Mabuchi K, Sato Y, Kida H, Saigusa N, Oikawa T: A Biosphere-Atmosphere Interaction Model (BAIM) and its primary verifications using grassland data. Papers in Meteorology and Geophysics 1997, 47:115-140.

- Alexandrov GA, Yamagata Y, Oikawa T: Towards a model for projecting Net Ecosystem Production of the world forests. Ecological Modelling 1999, 123:183-191.
- Goetz SJ, Prince SD, Goward SN, Thawley MM, Small J: Satellite remote sensing of primary production: an improved production efficiency modeling approach. Ecological Modelling 1999, 122:239-255.
- Ito A, Oikawa T: A simulation model of the carbon cycle in land ecosystems (Sim-CYCLE): a description based on drymatter production theory and plot-scale validation. *Ecological Modelling* 2002, 151:143-176.
- Modelling 2002, 151:143-176.
 81. Knorr W: Annual and interannual CO2 exchanges of the terrestrial biosphere: process-based simulations and uncertainties. Global Ecology and Biogeography 2000, 9:225-252.
- Knorr W, Gobron N, Scholze M, Kaminski T, Schnur R, Pinty B: Impact of terrestrial biosphere carbon exchanges on the anomalous CO2 increase in 2002–2003. Geophysical Research Letters 2007, 34:.
- 83. Kaminski T, Knorr W, Rayner PJ, Heimann M: Assimilating atmospheric data into a terrestrial biosphere model: A case study of the seasonal cycle. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2002, 16:.
- Alexandrov G, Yamagata Y: Verification of carbon sink assessment: Can we exclude natural sinks? Climatic Change 2004, 67:437-447.
- Running SW, Nemani RR, Heinsch FA, Zhao M, Reeves M, Hashimoto H: A Continuous Satellite-Derived Measure of Global Terrestrial Primary Production. *BioScience* 2004, 54:547-560.
- Zhao M, Heinsch FA, Nemani RR, Running SW: Improvements of the MODIS terrestrial gross and net primary production global data set. Remote Sensing of Environment 2005, 95:164-176.
- Ito A, Oikawa T: Global Mapping of Terrestrial Primary Productivity and Light-Use Efficiency with a Process-Based Model. In Global Environmental Change in the Ocean and on Land Edited by: Shiyomi M. Tokyo: Terrapub; 2004:343-358.
- Alexandrov GA, Yamagata Y: Apparent Trends in Productivity of Monsoon Asia from 1982 to 2002. EOA Trans AGU 2005, 86:.
- 89. Sasai T, Ichii K, Yamaguchi Y, Nemani R: Simulating terrestrial carbon fluxes using the new biosphere model "biosphere model integrating eco-physiological and mechanistic approaches using satellite data" (BEAMS). Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences 2005, 110:.
- Zeng N, Mariotti A, Wetzel P: Terrestrial mechanisms of interannual CO2 variability. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2005, 19:.
- Zeng N: Glacial-interglacial atmospheric CO2 change The glacial burial hypothesis. Advances in Atmospheric Sciences 2003, 20:677-693.
- Mabuchi K, Kida H: On-line climate model simulation of the global carbon cycle and verification using the in situ observation data. 2006 [http://www.iemss.org/iemss2006/papers/w16/ 64 MABUCHI 1.pdf].
- Krinner G, Viovy N, de Noblet-Ducoudre N, Ogee J, Polcher J, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Sitch S, Prentice IC: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmospherebiosphere system. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2005, 19:.
- Rayner PJ, Scholze M, Knorr W, Kaminski T, Giering R, Widmann H: Two decades of terrestrial carbon fluxes from a carbon cycle data assimilation system (CCDAS). Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2005, 19:.
- 95. Scholze M, Kaminski T, Rayner P, Knorr W, Giering R: **Propagating** uncertainty through prognostic carbon cycle data assimilation system simulations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 2007, **112**.
- Churkina G, Trusilova K, Vetter M, Dentener F: Contributions of nitrogen deposition and forest regrowth to terrestrial carbon uptake. Carbon Balance and Management 2007, 2:5.
- Raddatz TJ, Reick CH, Knorr W, Kattge J, Roeckner E, Schnur R, Schnitzler KG, Wetzel P, Jungclaus J: Will the tropical land biosphere dominate the climate-carbon cycle feedback during the twenty-first century? *Climate Dynamics* 2007, 29:565-574.
- Zaks DPM, Ramankutty N, Barford CĆ, Foley JA: From Miami to Madison: Investigating the relationship between climate and terrestrial net primary production. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 2007, 21:GB3004.

