

Multimodal and Multilingual Understanding of Smells using ViLBERT and mUNITER

Kiymet Akdemir¹, Ali Hürriyetoglu^{1,*}, Raphaël Troncy², Teresa Paccosi³, Stefano Menini³, Mathias Zinnen⁴ and Vincent Christlein⁴

¹*KNAW Humanities Cluster DHiLab, the Netherlands*

²*EURECOM, France*

³*Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Italy*

⁴*Pattern Recognition Lab, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität, Germany*

Abstract

We evaluate state-of-the-art multimodal models to detect common olfactory references in multilingual text and images in the scope of the Multimodal Understanding of Smells in Texts and Images (MUSTI) Task at Mediaeval 2022. The goal of the MUSTI Subtask 1 is to classify pairs of text and image as to whether they refer to the same smell source or not. We approach this task as a Visual Entailment problem and evaluate the performance of the English model ViLBERT and the multilingual model mUNITER on MUSTI Subtask 1. While base ViLBERT and mUNITER models perform worse than a dummy baseline, fine-tuning these models using the training data improve performance significantly in almost all scenarios. We find that fine-tuning mUNITER with SNLI-VE and MUSTI training data performs better than other configurations we implemented. Our experiments demonstrate that the task presents some challenges, but it is by no means impossible. Our code is available at <https://github.com/Odeuropa/musti-eval-baselines> to encourage reproducibility.

1. Introduction

Olfactory information is considered difficult to identify in texts or images. This is mainly due to the relatively rare linguistic evidence documented about its occurrence in texts and its implicit representation in images. Consequently, automating olfactory information extraction in text or images has been attracting considerably less attention [1, 2, 3]. Although novel approaches for multimodal analysis of texts and images have recently been developed, to the best of our knowledge, the olfactory information has not been the focus of any academic work in a multimodal setting.

The Multimodal Understanding of Smells in Texts and Images (MUSTI)¹ task that is organized in the scope of MediaEval 2022² fills this gap [4]. The text-image pairs provided by the MUSTI organizers are multilingual – English, German, French, and Italian – and gathered from historical data spanning a period between the 17th and 20th centuries.

We evaluate the performance of two state-of-the-art models, ViLBERT [5] and mUNITER [6], on the MUSTI challenge test data and present the performances of base and fine-tuned versions of these models.

MediaEval'22: Multimedia Evaluation Workshop, January 13–15, 2023, Bergen, Norway and Online

*Corresponding author.

✉ kiymet.akdemir@dh.huc.knaw.nl (K. Akdemir); ali.hurriyetoglu@dh.huc.knaw.nl (A. Hürriyetoglu); raphael.troncy@eurecom.fr (R. Troncy); tpaccosi@fbk.eu (T. Paccosi); menini@fbk.eu (S. Menini); mathias.zinnen@fau.de (M. Zinnen); vincent.christlein@fau.de (V. Christlein)



© 2022 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

¹<https://multimediaeval.github.io/editions/2022/tasks/musti/>

²<https://multimediaeval.github.io/editions/2022/>

We detail our method in Section 2. Next, we present the results of the models along various configurations in Section 3. Finally, a summary of our evaluation and an outlook concludes this paper in Section 4.

2. Method

We propose to evaluate the performance of state of the art visio-linguistic models on the MUSTI data. We use the VOLTA framework (Visiolinguistic Transformer Architectures) [7] which unifies several BERT-based Vision-Language (V&L) Models built on top of ViLBERT-MT (Vision & Language BERT Multi-Task) [8]. The VOLTA repository³ contains models pre-trained on their original setup given in their papers and several models pre-trained in a controlled setup. We use ViLBERT [5], pre-trained in its original setup on the English data, and the multilingual model mUNITER [6], pre-trained in the controlled setup on all languages (English, Italian, French, and German) provided in MUSTI.

These V&L Models are pre-trained on Conceptual Captions [9] to perform several V&L tasks such as Visual Question Answering, Visual Entailment, Grounding Referring Expressions, Caption-Based Image Retrieval, etc. It is a standard approach to fine-tune these models on a specific task [7].

In the Visual Entailment task, the goal is to determine, given an image as a premise and text as a hypothesis, whether the premise implies the hypothesis [10]. Models output one of the three labels: *entailment*, *neutral*, or *contradiction*. For the MUSTI Subtask 1, to evaluate if an image and a text pair refers to the same smell object, we evaluate them on the Visual Entailment task. Then, we consider the output as YES if the model outputs *entailment* and NO if *neutral* or *contradiction* are returned by the model since Subtask 1 is a binary classification problem.

To extract features of the images, we use Faster R-CNN [11] with a ResNet-101 [12] backbone that outputs 36 boxes per image following VOLTA. First, we fine-tune ViLBERT and mUNITER on the Visual Entailment dataset SNLI-VE [10] for 20 epochs with a learning rate of 2e-5 and batch size 128. Afterward, we train these fine-tuned models for 10 epochs using the MUSTI training data, splitting 20% of it as a validation set with a learning rate of 2e-5 and batch size 64.

We train ViLBERT only on English train data and the multilingual model mUNITER on the complete MUSTI training data. The parameter sets that yield the best validation score during training are used for inference. From the pre-trained models, we obtain the following models: fine-tuned on SNLI-VE, fine-tuned on MUSTI, and fine-tuned on MUSTI after the SNLI-VE.

We observe that reproducing our experiments may lead to different results since the task performance of BERT-based models after fine-tuning heavily depends on the weight initialization seed, such that the minimum and maximum scores can differ by 1 or more points across 10 fine-tuning experiments Bugliarello et al. [7]. Furthermore, we fine-tune models using both SNLI-VE and MUSTI, which may increase the variation in the scores.

3. Results

We present in Table 1 the F1-macro scores when using the ViLBERT model on English data only. In Table 2, we present the results of the dummy baseline compared to various mUNITER models, as well as the method proposed in Shao et al. [13]. The pre-trained model mUNITER differs from the dummy baseline at most at 1 point with a very low YES output score. In particular, it does not predict YES for any DE data and it yields 1, 2, and 5 YES for EN, FR, and

³<https://github.com/e-bug/volta>

Table 1

ViLBERT results on the MUSTI English test set, given as F1-macro score.

ViLBERT	ViLBERT -SNLI	ViLBERT -MUSTI	ViLBERT- SNLI-MUSTI
0.4609	0.4373	0.7834	0.8024

Table 2

Multilingual models results on the MUSTI test set, given as F1-macro score. The *Overall* score is the F1-macro on all predictions on all test data.

	English	German	French	Italian	Overall
dummy-baseline	0.4285	0.4289	0.3333	0.4273	0.4075
mUNITER	0.4269	0.4289	0.3551	0.4398	0.4177
mUNITER-SNLI	0.4474	0.4644	0.3605	0.5020	0.4473
mUNITER-MUSTI	0.6965	0.4579	0.5022	0.6535	0.6011
mUNITER-SNLI-MUSTI	0.7482	0.5014	0.5053	0.6850	0.6176
Shao et al. [13]	0.7867	0.4568	0.3743	0.7501	0.6033

IT, respectively. Fine-tuning models on SNLI-VE does not improve scores significantly. The result is not surprising since MUSTI Subtask 1 is not directly a visual entailment task, as the text does not need to describe the image. It is sufficient to have the same smell object in the text and image pair to be classified as YES.

On the other hand, fine-tuning the pre-trained mUNITER on MUSTI data increases the number of YES outputs to 55 for EN, 22 for DE, 85 for FR, and 46 for IT, and the scores increase remarkably. We achieve the best performance when the models are first fine-tuned on SNLI-VE, and then on MUSTI training data. Thus, we got the highest scores on mUNITER fine-tuned on both SNLI-VE and MUSTI, and ViLBERT fine-tuned on SNLI-VE and MUSTI. For the EN data, ViLBERT-SNLI-MUSTI outperforms mUNITER models and the proposed method of Shao et al. [13]. Our best multilingual model mUNITER-SNLI-MUSTI outperforms Shao et al. [13] except for the EN and IT score, while their overall performances are close to each other.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose an approach to tackle the MUSTI Subtask 1 challenge, namely detecting whether an image-text pair refers to the same smell object as a Visual Entailment task. In particular, we have experimented with the multimodal models ViLBERT and mUNITER. We fine-tune models on SNLI-VE to improve the performance on the visual entailment task, and we observe that training further on MUSTI training data boosts performance. ViLBERT-SNLI-MUSTI achieves the highest F1-macro scores on English data, while mUNITER-SNLI-MUSTI achieves the best multilingual performance.

As future work, we would like to adapt a CLIP [14] model towards the MUSTI task, replacing the vision backbone with more performant architectures such as SWIN [15] and trying different ways to merge visual and textual features, or using more training data for the fine-tuning step. Last but not least, adaptation of the base models utilized for historical text and historical painting processing has the potential to enhance performance.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme within the Odeuropa project (grant agreement No. 101004469).

References

- [1] S. Menini, T. Paccosi, S. Tonelli, M. Van Erp, I. Leemans, P. Lisena, R. Troncy, W. Tullett, A. Hürriyetoğlu, G. Dijkstra, F. Gordijn, E. Jürgens, J. Koopman, A. Ouwerkerk, S. Steen, I. Novalija, J. Brank, D. Mladenec, A. Zidar, A multilingual benchmark to capture olfactory situations over time, in: 3rd Workshop on Computational Approaches to Historical Language Change, Association for Computational Linguistics, Dublin, Ireland, 2022, pp. 1–10. URL: <https://aclanthology.org/2022.lchange-1.1>.
- [2] M. Zinnen, P. Madhu, R. Kosti, P. Bell, A. Maier, V. Christlein, Odor: The icpr2022 odeuropa challenge on olfactory object recognition, in: 26th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 2022, pp. 4989–4994. doi:10.1109/ICPR56361.2022.9956542.
- [3] M. Zinnen, P. Madhu, R. Kosti, P. Bell, A. Maier, V. Christlein, Odeuropa dataset of smell-related objects, 2022. URL: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6367776>.
- [4] A. Hürriyetoğlu, T. Paccosi, S. Menini, M. Zinnen, P. Lisena, K. Akdemir, R. Troncy, M. van Erp, MUSTI - Multimodal Understanding of Smells in Texts and Images at MediaEval 2022, in: MediaEval Benchmarking Initiative for Multimedia Evaluation, 2022.
- [5] J. Lu, D. Batra, D. Parikh, S. Lee, Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks, in: 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, Curran Associates Inc., 2019.
- [6] F. Liu, E. Bugliarello, E. Ponti, S. Reddy, N. Collier, D. Elliott, Visually grounded reasoning across languages and cultures, in: Workshop on ImageNet: Past, Present, and Future, 2021. URL: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=-pKZ0OO-L7l>.
- [7] E. Bugliarello, R. Cotterell, N. Okazaki, D. Elliott, Multimodal Pretraining Unmasked: A Meta-Analysis and a Unified Framework of Vision-and-Language BERTs, Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics 9 (2021) 978–994. URL: https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00408.
- [8] J. Lu, V. Goswami, M. Rohrbach, D. Parikh, S. Lee, 12-in-1: Multi-task vision and language representation learning, in: IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2020, pp. 10434–10443. doi:10.1109/CVPR42600.2020.01045.
- [9] P. Sharma, N. Ding, S. Goodman, R. Soricut, Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypernymed, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning, in: 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL), Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, 2018, pp. 2556–2565. URL: <https://aclanthology.org/P18-1238>.
- [10] N. Xie, F. Lai, D. Doran, A. Kadav, Visual entailment task for visually-grounded language learning, arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.10582, 2018.
- [11] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, J. Sun, Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks, Advances in neural information processing systems 28 (2015).
- [12] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Sun, Deep residual learning for image recognition, in: IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [13] Y. Shao, Y. Zhang, W. Wan, J. Li, J. Sun, Multilingual Text-Image Olfactory Object Matching Based on Object Detection, in: MediaEval Benchmarking Initiative for Multimedia Evaluation, 2022.
- [14] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark, et al., Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision, in: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.
- [15] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, B. Guo, Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows, in: IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 2021, pp. 10012–10022.