Existence of regular 3-polytopes of order 2^n

Dong-Dong Hou^a, Yan-Quan Feng^{*a}, Dimitri Leemans^b

 a Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, P.R. China b Département de Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1050 Bruxelles Belgium

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for any positive integers n, s, t such that $n \geq 10$, $s, t \geq 2$ and $n-1 \geq s+t$, there exists a regular polytope with Schläfli type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$ and its automorphism group is of order $2ⁿ$. Furthermore, we classify regular polytopes with automorphism groups of order 2^n and Schläfli types $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}, \{4, 2^{n-4}\}$ and $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}\$, therefore giving a partial answer to a problem proposed by Schulte and Weiss in [Problems on polytopes, their groups, and realizations, Periodica Math. Hungarica 53(2006) 231-255].

Keywords: Regular polytope, 2-group, automorphism group. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20B25, 20D15, 52B15.

1 Introduction

Classifications of abstract regular polytopes have been a subject of interest for several decades. One path has been to fix (families of) groups of automorphisms and determine the abstract regular polytopes having these groups as full automorphism groups. Some striking results have been obtained, for instance for the symmetric and alternating groups. Fernandes and Leemans classified abstract regular polytopes of rank $n-1$ and $n-2$ for S_n [9, 12] and more recently, they extended this classification to rank $n-3$ and $n-4$ with Mixer [13]. Cameron, Fernandes, Leemans and Mixer showed that the highest rank of an abstract regular polytope with full automorphism group an alternating group A_n is $|(n-1)/2|$ when $n \geq 12$ [3], and thanks to two previous papers of Fernandes, Leemans and Mixer [10, 11], this bound is known to be sharp. More recently, Gomi, Loyola and De Las Peñas determined the non-degenerate string C-groups of order 1024 in [14].

There exists a well known one-to-one correspondence between abstract regular polytopes and string C-groups. We therefore work with string C-groups as it is more convenient and easier to define them than abstract regular polytopes. In this paper, we study 2-groups acting on regular polytopes. The starting point of our research was the following problem proposed by Schulte and Weiss in [22].

^{*}Corresponding author.E-mails: yqfeng@bjtu.edu.cn, 16118416@bjtu.edu.cn,dleemans@ulb.ac.be

Problem 1.1 Characterize the groups of orders 2^n or $2^n p$, with n a positive integer and p an odd prime, which are automorphism groups of regular or chiral polytopes?

Conder [6] showed that if P is a regular 3-polytope with Schläfli type $\{k_1, k_2\}$, then $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})| \geq 2k_1k_2$. If \mathcal{P} has Schläfli type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$ and $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})| = 2^n$, then $n - 1 \geq s + t$. In this paper, we first show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 For any positive integers n, s, t such that $n \ge 10$, s, $t \ge 2$ and $n-1 \ge s+t$, there exists a string C-group of order 2^n with Schläfli type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$.

Cunningham and Pellicer $[8]$ classified the regular 3-polytopes $\mathcal P$ for the case when $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})| = 2k_1k_2$. Note that if $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})| = 2^n$ and $k_1 = 4$ then $k_2 \leq 2^{n-3}$. As a special case, Cunningham and Pellicer [8] obtained the classification of regular 3-polytopes with automorphism groups of order 2^n and Schläfli type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}$, and this was also given in Loyola [17] by using the classification of 2-groups with a cyclic subgroup of order 2^{n-3} [19]. We prove the result again independently by using new techniques that are described in this paper, and the techniques work well for more classifications. In particular, we further classify the regular 3-polytopes with automorphism groups of order $2ⁿ$ and Schläfli types $\{4, 2^{n-4}\}\$ and $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}\$ in this paper.

To state the next result, we need to define some groups:

$$
G_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] \rangle, \nG_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} \rangle, \nG_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] \rangle, \nG_4 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} \rangle, \nG_5 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] \rangle, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] \rangle, \nG_6 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] \rangle, \nG_7 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \
$$

Theorem 1.3 For $n \geq 10$, let $\Gamma := (G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be a string C-group of order 2^n . Then

- (1) Γ has type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}$ if and only if $G \cong G_1$ or G_2 ;
- (2) Γ has type $\{4, 2^{n-4}\}$ if and only if $G \cong G_3$ or G_4 ;
- (3) Γ has type $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}$ if and only if $G \cong G_5, G_6, G_7$ or G_8 .

Let $n < 10$. By [4] or [15], there is a unique string C-group of order 2^n with type $\{4, 4\}$, and Theorem 1.3 is true for the types $\{4, 2^{n-s}\}$ with $n-s \geq 3$ and $s = 3, 4$ or 5, except for the cases when $n = 8$ or 9 with $s = 5$. For $n = 8$ with $s = 5$, there are four string C-groups with type $\{4, 8\}$: two are G_5 and G_6 , and the other two are $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^8}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2](\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2$, $\rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^3}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [((\rho_1 \rho_2)^2)^{\rho_0}, \rho_1 \rho_2](\rho_1 \rho_2)^4$. For $n = 9$ with $s = 5$, there are six string C-groups with type $\{4, 16\}$: four are G_i with $5 \le i \le 8$, and the other two are $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^4}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2](\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2$, $(\rho_1^2,\rho_2^2,(\rho_0\rho_1)^{2^2},(\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^4},(\rho_0\rho_2)^2,[(\rho_0\rho_1)^2,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2](\rho_2\rho_1)^4,[\rho_1,\rho_0,\rho_2,\rho_1,\rho_0,\rho_1,\rho_0]\rangle.$

2 Background results

2.1 String C-groups

Abstract regular polytopes and string C-groups are the same mathematical objects. The link between these objects may be found for instance in [20, Chapter 2]. We take here the viewpoint of string C-groups because it is the easiest and the most efficient one to define abstract regular polytopes.

Let G be a group and let $S = \{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{d-1}\}\$ be a generating set of involutions of G. For $I \subseteq \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, let G_I denote the group generated by $\{\rho_i : i \in I\}$. Suppose that

* for any $i, j \in \{0, ..., d-1\}$ with $|i-j| > 1$, ρ_i and ρ_j commute (the string property);

* for any $I, J \subseteq \{0, \dots, d-1\}, G_I \cap G_J = G_{I \cap J}$ (the *intersection property*).

Then the pair (G, S) is called a *string C-group of rank d* and the *order* of (G, S) is simply the order of G. If (G, S) only satisfies the string property, it is called a *string group generated by involutions* or *sggi*. By the intersection property, S is a minimal generating set of G. It is known that string C-groups are the same thing as automorphism groups of regular polytopes [20, Section 2E]. The following proposition is straightforward, and for details, one may see [5].

Proposition 2.1 The intersection property for a string C-group (G, S) of rank 3 is equivalent to that S is a minimal generating set of G and $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle \cap \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \rangle$.

The *i-faces* of the regular d-polytope associated with (G, S) are the right cosets of the distinguished subgroup $G_i = \langle \rho_j | j \neq i \rangle$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1$, and two faces are incident just when they intersect as cosets. The *(Schläfli) type* of (G, S) is the ordered set $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}\},\$ where p_i is the order of $\rho_{i-1}\rho_i$. In this paper we always assume that each p_i is at least 3 for otherwise the generated group is a direct product of two smaller groups. If that happens, the string C-group (and the corresponding abstract regular polytope) is called degenerate. The following proposition is related to degenerate string C-groups of rank 3.

Proposition 2.2 For $t \geq 1$, let

$$
L_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
L_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2^t, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
L_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2^t, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle.
$$

Then $|L_1| = 16$, $|L_2| = |L_3| = 2^{t+2}$. In particular, the listed exponents are the true orders of the corresponding elements.

The proof of Propostion 2.2 is straightforward from the fact that $L_2 = \langle \rho_0 \rangle \times \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_{2^{t+1}}$ and $L_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle \times \langle \rho_2 \rangle \cong D_{2^{t+1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $D_{2^{t+1}}$ denotes the dihedral group of order 2^{t+1} .

The following proposition is called the *quotient criterion* for a string C-group.

Proposition 2.3 [20, Section 2E] Let $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be an sggi, and let $\Lambda = (\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle, \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle)$ $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$) be a string C-group. If the mapping $\rho_j \mapsto \sigma_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ induces a homomorphism $\pi : G \to \Lambda$, which is one-to-one on the subgroup $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle$ or on $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$, then $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is also a string C-group.

The following proposition gives some string C-groups with type $\{4, 4\}$, which is proved in [7, Section 8.3] for $b \geq 2$ but it is also true for $b = 1$ by MAGMA [2].

Proposition 2.4 For $b \geq 1$, let

 $M_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_0)^{2b} \rangle,$ $M_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_0)^b \rangle.$

Then $|M_1| = 16b^2$ and $|M_2| = 8b^2$. In particular, the listed exponents are the true orders of the corresponding elements.

2.2 Permutation representation graphs and CPR graphs

In [21], Daniel Pellicer introduced CPR-graphs to give a permutation representation of string C-groups (CPR stands for C-group Permutation Representation). These graphs are also sometimes called permutation representation graphs.

Let G be a group and $S := \{\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{d-1}\}\$ be a generating set of involutions of G. Let ϕ be an embedding of G into the symmetric group S_n for some n. The permutation representation graph G of G determined by ϕ is the multigraph with n vertices, and with edge labels in the set $\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$, such that any two vertices v, w are joined by an edge of label j if and only if $(v)((\rho_i)\phi) = w$.

If (G, S) is a string C-group, then the permutation representation graph defined above is called a CPR-graph by Pellicer.

2.3 Group theory

Let G be a group. For $x, y \in G$, we use $[x, y]$ as an abbreviation for the *commutator* $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ of x and y, and [H, K] for the subgroup generated by all commutators [x, y] with $x \in H$ and $y \in K$, when H and K are subgroups of G. The following proposition is a basic property of commutators and its proof is straightforward.

Proposition 2.5 Let G be a group. Then, for any $x, y, z \in G$, $[xy, z] = [x, z]^y [y, z]$ and $[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^{z}.$

The *commutator (or derived)* subgroup G' of a group G is the subgroup generated by all commutators $[x, y]$ for any $x, y \in G$. With Proposition 2.5, it is easy to prove that if G is generated by a subset M , then G' is generated by all conjugates in G of elements $[x_i, x_j]$ with $x_i, x_j \in M$; see [16, Hilfsatz III.1.11] for example.

Proposition 2.6 Let G be a group, $M \subseteq G$ and $G = \langle M \rangle$. Then $G' = \langle [x_i, x_j]^g \mid x_i, x_j \in G \rangle$ $M, g \in G$.

The Frattini subgroup, denoted by $\Phi(G)$, of a finite group G is defined to be the intersection of all maximal subgroups of G. Let G be a finite p-group for a prime p , and set $\mathfrak{O}_1(G) = \langle g^p | g \in G \rangle$. The following theorem is the well-known Burnside Basis Theorem.

Theorem 2.7 [1, Theorem 1.12] Let G be a p-group and $|G: \Phi(G)| = p^d$.

- (1) $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$. Moreover, if $N \triangleleft G$ and G/N is elementary abelian, then $\Phi(G) \leq N$.
- (2) Every minimal generating set of G contains exactly d elements.
- (3) $\Phi(G) = G' \mathcal{O}_1(G)$. In particular, if $p = 2$, then $\Phi(G) = \mathcal{O}_1(G)$.

By Theorem 2.7(2), we have the following important result.

Remark 2.8 A string 2-group has C-group representations in only one rank.

The unique cardinality of all minimal generating set of a 2-group G is called the rank of G, and denoted by $d(G)$. This is quite different from almost simple groups where in most cases if a group has string C -group representations of maximal rank d , then it has string C-group representations of ranks from 3 to d. The only known exception is the alternating group A_{11} [10].

For a subgroup H of a group G, the core $\text{Core}_G(H)$ of H in G is the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H. The following result is called $\textit{Lucchini's theorem}$.

Proposition 2.9 [18, Theorem 2.20] Let A be a cyclic proper subgroup of a finite group G, and let $K = \text{Core}_G(A)$. Then $|A: K| < |G: A|$, and in particular, if $|A| \geq |G: A|$, then $K > 1$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $n \ge 10$, $s, t \ge 2$ and $n-s-t \ge 1$. Set $R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2) = {\rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^s}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^t}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2}$, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, \rho_2], [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4]$ and define

$$
H = \begin{cases} \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2), [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{2^{\frac{n-s-t-1}{2}}} \rangle, & n-s-t \text{ odd} \\ \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2), [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{2^{\frac{n-s-t-2}{2}}} \rangle, & n-s-t \text{ even.} \end{cases}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, we only need to show that H is a string C-group of order 2^n with Schläfli type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$. For convenience, write $o(h)$ for the order of h in H.

Note that ρ_0 commutes with $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^4$ because $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = 1$. Since $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$ is a dihedral group, we have $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\rho_1} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\rho_2} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{-1}$. It follows that $\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle \leq H$. Similarly, $\langle (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4 \rangle \leq H$ as $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, \rho_2] = 1$.

Let $L_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^t}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. Clearly, ρ_0 commutes with both ρ_1 and ρ_2 in L_2 , and hence $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = 1$. It is easy to see that the generators ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in L_2 satisfy all relations in H. This implies that L_2 is a homomorphic image of H. By Proposition 2.2, $\rho_1 \rho_2$ has order 2^t in L_2 , and hence has order 2^t in H. It follows that $|H| = o((\rho_1 \rho_2)^4) \cdot |H/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle| = 2^{t-2} \cdot |H/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle|.$

Let $L_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^s}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. The element ρ_2 commutes with both ρ_0 and ρ_1 in L_3 , and hence $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, \rho_2] = 1$. Since $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, Proposition 2.5 implies $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{\rho_2 \rho_1}$. Hence $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = 1$ in L_3 . Therefore the generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in L_3 satisfy all relations in H. By Proposition 2.2, $\rho_0 \rho_1$ has order 2^s in L_3 , and hence has order 2^s in H. It follows that $|H| = 2^{s-2} \cdot |H/\langle (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4 \rangle|.$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.2, we are left to prove that $|H| = 2^n$.

Case 1: $s = 2$. We distinguish two cases, namely the case where $n - t$ is odd and the case where $n - t$ is even.

Assume that $n-t$ is odd. Then $H = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2), [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] \frac{n-t-3}{2} \rangle$. Since $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = (\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^2$. It follows that $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{2^{\frac{n-t-3}{2}}}$ $(\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{\frac{n-t-1}{2}}}$. Note that $(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4 = 1$ and $\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle \leq H$. Thus $H/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle \cong H_1$, where $H_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^2}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{\frac{n-t-1}{2}}} \rangle$. By Proposition 2.4, $|H_1| = 8 \cdot (2^{\frac{n-t-1}{2}})^2 = 2^{n-t+2}$, and hence $|H| = 2^{t-2} \cdot |H/\langle(\rho_1 \rho_2)^4\rangle| = 2^{t-2}|H_1| = 2^n$.

Assume that $n-t$ is even. Then $H = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2), [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}} \rangle$. A similar argument as above gives rise to $H/\langle(\rho_1\rho_2)^4\rangle \cong H_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0\rho_1)^{2^2}$, $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^2}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}}\rangle$. Noting $(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2 = (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{-2}$ and $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{-2}$ $\text{in } H_2 \text{, we have } [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}} = (((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2)^2)^{2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}} = (((\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^2)^2)^{2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}}$ $(\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-t-2}{2}}}$ because $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, and so $H_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^2}$, $(\rho_0 \rho_2)^2$, $(\rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2)^{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-t-4}{2}}}$. By Proposition 2.4, $|H_2| = 16 \cdot (2^{\frac{n-t-2}{2}})^2 = 2^{n-t+2}$, and $|H| =$ $2^{t-2} \cdot |H/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4 \rangle | = 2^{t-2} \cdot |H_2| = 2^n.$

Case 2: $s > 2$.

Assume that $n-t-s$ is odd. Then $H = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid R(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2), [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{2^{\frac{n-t-s-1}{2}}} \rangle$. It follows $H/\langle(\rho_0\rho_1)^4\rangle \cong H_3$, where $H_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0\rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^t}, (\rho_0\rho_2)^2$, $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4], [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{2^{\frac{(n-s+2)-t-3}{2}}}$. By Case 1, $|H_3| = 2^{n-s+2}$, and therefore $|H| = 2^{s-2}$. $|H/\langle (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4 \rangle| = 2^{s-2} \cdot |H_3| = 2^n.$

Assume that $n-t-s$ is even. Then $H/\langle (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4 \rangle \cong H_4$, where $H_4 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2$, $(\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^t}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4], [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{2^{\frac{(n-s+2)-t-4}{2}}}\rangle.$ Then $|H_4| = 2^{n-s+2}$ from Case 1, and therefore $|H| = 2^{s-2} \cdot |H_4| = 2^n$.

Corollary 3.1 The pairs $(G_1, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$, $(G_3, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ and $(G_5, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$, defined in Theorem 1.3, are string C-groups of order 2^n with Schläfli type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}, \{4, 2^{n-4}\}$ and $\{4, 2^{n-5}\},$ respectively.

Proof. By taking $(s, t) = (2, n - 3), (2, n - 4), (2, n - 5)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we know that $(H_i, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$ are string C-groups of order 2^n with Schläfli type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}, \{4, 2^{n-4}\}$ and $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}$ respectively, where

$$
H_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4], [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
H_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4], [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
H_5 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4], [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2 \rangle.
$$

Since $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$ and $((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2)^{\rho_0} = ((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2)^{\rho_1} = (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2$, by Proposition 2.5 we have the following identities in all H_i and G_i for $i = 1, 3, 5$:

$$
[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{\rho_2 \rho_1},
$$

\n
$$
[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2][\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^3},
$$

\n
$$
[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1]^{\rho_2} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2}.
$$

Clearly, $H_5 = G_5$. In G_1 , $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} = 1$ because $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = 1$. Thus, $H_1 = G_1$. To prove $H_3 = G_3$, we only need to show that $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = 1$ in G_3 . Noting that $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = 1$ in G_3 , we have

$$
[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1}[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{\rho_2}[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, (\rho_2 \rho_1)^2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]
$$

= $\rho_0 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 \rho_0 (\rho_2 \rho_1)^2 \{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2\} \rho_2 (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2 \rho_2 = \rho_0 \{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2\} (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 \rho_0 (\rho_2 \rho_1)^2 \rho_2 (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2 \rho_2$
= $\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 \rho_0 \{ \rho_2 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2\} (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2 \rho_2 = \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 \rho_0 \rho_2 (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 \rho_2$
= $\rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_0 \rho_2 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_2 = 1,$

that is, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{-1}$. On the other hand, since $1 = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2 \rho_2] =$ $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_2}$ and $1 = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2}$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1} = ([(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_2})^{-1} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]$. It follows that $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^2 = 1$ and $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{-1}$, and so $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_1 \rho_2} =$ $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{-2} = 1$, as required. \Box

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 Let $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be a string C-group of type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$ with $2 \leq s \leq t$. Let $|G| = 2^n$ and $2t \geq n-1$. Then $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}} \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ and $(\overline{G}, {\overline{\rho_0}}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2})$ is a string C-group of type $\{2^s, 2^{t-1}\}$ and order 2^{n-1} , where $\overline{G} = G/N$ and $\overline{x} = xN$ for any $x \in G$.

Proof. Let $H = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$ be the rotation subgroup of G. Then $|G : H| \leq 2$. Since $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$ is a minimal generating set of G, Theorem 2.7 (2) implies $d(G) = 3$, and since H is generated by two elements, we have $|H| = 2^{n-1}$.

Let $M = \langle \rho_1 \rho_2 \rangle$. Then $|M| = 2^t$ and $|M|^2 \ge |H|$ as $2t \ge n - 1$. Then Proposition 2.9 implies that $\text{Core}_H(M) > 1$. Since M is cyclic and $|N| = 2$, N is characteristic in $\text{Core}_{H}(M)$, and so $\text{Core}_{H}(M) \trianglelefteq H$ implies $N \trianglelefteq H$. Noting that N lies in the center of the dihedral group $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$, we have $N^{\rho_1} = N$, and hence $N \leq G$ because $G = \langle H, \rho_1 \rangle$. Clearly, $\overline{G} = 2^{n-1}.$

Since $t \geq 2$, we have $N \leq U_1(G) = \langle g^2 | g \in G \rangle$, and by Theorem 2.7, $N \leq \Phi(G)$ and $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$. Thus, $G/\Phi(G)$ has rank 3, and since $G/\Phi(G) \cong (G/N)/(\Phi(G)/N)$, G/N

has rank 3, implying that $\{\overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2}\}$ is a minimal generating set of \overline{G} . It follows that $\overline{\rho_0}$, $\overline{\rho_1}$, $\overline{\rho_2}$ and $\overline{\rho_0 \rho_2}$ are involutions. To prove that \overline{G} has the intersection property, by Proposition 2.1 we only need to show $\langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} \rangle = \langle \overline{\rho_1} \rangle$.

Suppose $\langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} \rangle \neq \langle \overline{\rho_1} \rangle$. Then there exist $x_1 \in \langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle$ and $x_2 \in \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$ such that $\overline{x_1} = \overline{x_2} \notin \langle \overline{\rho_1} \rangle$, which implies $x_1 \notin \langle \rho_1 \rangle$. Since $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle \cap \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \rangle$, we have $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $x_1 = x_2(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}}$ as $\overline{x_1} = \overline{x_2}$, which is impossible because otherwise $x_1 = x_2(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}} \in \langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle \cap \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \rangle$. Thus, $\langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1} \rangle \cap \langle \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} \rangle = \langle \overline{\rho_1} \rangle$, as required.

To finish the proof, we are left to show $\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1}$ and $\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2}$ have order 2^s and 2^{t-1} respectively. Since $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ has type $\{2^s, 2^t\}$, $\rho_0 \rho_1$ and $\rho_1 \rho_2$ have order 2^s and 2^t respectively. Since $N \le \langle \rho_1 \rho_2 \rangle$ and $|N| = 2$, $\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2}$ has order 2^{t-1} and $\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1}$ has order 2^s or 2^{s-1} .

Suppose $\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1}$ has order 2^{s-1} . Then $(\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^{s-1}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}} \in \langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle \cap \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle = \langle \rho_1 \rangle$, and hence $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}} = \rho_1$ because $\rho_1 \rho_2$ has order 2^t. It follows that $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{t-1}-1} = \rho_2$ and $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^t-2} = 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1}$ has order 2^s . This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.2 Let $G = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. Then $G' = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2], [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} \rangle$.

Proof. Since $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, Proposition 2.6 implies $G' = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1]^g, [\rho_2, \rho_1]^h | g, h \in G \rangle$. Since $\langle \rho_0, \rho_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$ are dihedral groups, we have $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_0} = ((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2)^{\rho_0} = (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{-2}$ $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^{-1}, [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{-1}, [\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_1, \rho_2]^{-1}$ and $[\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_2} = [\rho_1, \rho_2]^{-1}$.

Set $L = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2], [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} \rangle$. Since $([\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2})^{\rho_0} = ([\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2})^{-1}$, $([\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2})^{\rho_2} =$ $[\rho_0, \rho_1]$ and $([\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2})^{\rho_1} = \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 = [\rho_1, \rho_2] [\rho_1, \rho_0]^{\rho_2} [\rho_2, \rho_1]$, we have $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^g \in$ L for any $g \in G$. Since $[\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_0} = \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_0 = \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_0 \rho_2 =$ $[\rho_0, \rho_1][\rho_1, \rho_2][\rho_1, \rho_0]^{\rho_2}$, we have $[\rho_1, \rho_2]^h \in L$ for any $h \in G$. It follows that $G' \leq L$, and hence $G' = L$. П

Proof of Theorem 1.3(1): For the sufficiency, we need to show that both G_1 and G_2 are string C-groups of order 2^n , where $n \geq 10$ and

$$
G_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] \rangle, G_2 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} \rangle.
$$

By Corollary 3.1, G_1 is a string C-group of order 2^n and we are only left with G_2 . However, to explain the method clearly, we prove the above fact again for G_1 using a permutation representation graph that is simple and easy to understand. Let $G = G_1$ or G_2 . For convenience, we write $o(g)$ for the order of g in G. We first prove the following claim.

Claim: $|G| \leq 2^n$.

Note that G/G' is abelian and is generated by three involutions. Thus $|G/G'| \leq 2^3$. To prove the claim, it suffices to show $|G'| \leq 2^{n-3}$.

For $G = G_1$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = 1$ and $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, which implies $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} =$ $[\rho_0, \rho_1][\rho_1, \rho_0][\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} = [\rho_0, \rho_1][(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, \rho_1].$ Since $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_0} = [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{-1}$, we have $\langle [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle \leq G$, and by Lemma 4.2, we have $G' = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2], [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle \geq$ $\langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle \langle [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle$. This implies that $|G'| \leq |\langle [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle| |\langle [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle| =$ $o((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2) o((\rho_1 \rho_2)^2) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{n-4} = 2^{n-3}$, as required.

For $G = G_2$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} = 1$ and $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, which implies $[\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} = [\rho_0, \rho_1] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = [\rho_0, \rho_1] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{-2^{n-4}} \in \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle$ and $[\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_0} =$ $\rho_1[(\rho_0\rho_1)^2, \rho_2]\rho_2\rho_1\rho_2 = \rho_1(\rho_1\rho_2)^{-2^{n-4}}\rho_1(\rho_1\rho_2)^2 = (\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}(\rho_1\rho_2)^2 \in \langle[\rho_1,\rho_2]\rangle$. It follows that $\langle [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle \leq G$ because $[\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_1} = [\rho_1, \rho_2]^{\rho_2} = [\rho_1, \rho_2]^{-1}$, and by Lemma 4.2, $G' =$ $\langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2], [\rho_0, \rho_1]^{\rho_2} \rangle = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1], [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle = \langle [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle \langle [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle$. In particular, $|G'| \leq$ $|\langle [\rho_0, \rho_1] \rangle|| \langle [\rho_1, \rho_2] \rangle | = o((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2) o((\rho_1 \rho_2)^2) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{n-4} = 2^{n-3}$, as required.

Now we are ready to finish the sufficiency proof by considering two cases. We use another method than the quotient method, based on permutation representation graphs. We give the details for G_1 as they are simpler than those of G_2 and might help the reader understand the case G_2 .

Case 1: $G = G_1$.

The key point is to construct a permutation group A of order at least 2^n on a set Ω that is an epimorphic image of G , that is, A has three generators, say a, b, c , satisfying the same relations as do ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 . The permutation representation graph has vertex set Ω with a-, b- and c-edges. Recall that an x-edge $(x=a, b \text{ or } c)$ connects two points in Ω if and only if x interchanges them. It is easy to have such graphs when n is small by taking Ω as the set of right cosets of the subgroup $\langle \rho_0, \rho_2 \rangle$ in G, where ρ_0 , ρ_1 and ρ_2 produce the a -, b - and c -edges, respectively. We give in Figure 1 a permutation representation graph for G_1 and explain below how it is constructed.

Figure 1: A permutation representation graph corresponding to G_1

Set $t = 2^{n-3}$ and write $i_{jt}^k = jt + 4i + k$ where $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{4} - 1, 0 \le j \le 1$ and $1 \le k \le 4$. Then a, b, c are permutations on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-2}\}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} a = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-1}(i_0^2, i_t^2)(i_0^3, i_t^3), & b = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-1}(i_0^1, i_0^2)(i_t^1, i_t^2)(i_0^3, i_0^4)(i_t^3, i_t^4),\\ c = (0_0^1)(0_t^1)((\frac{t}{4}-1)_0^4)((\frac{t}{4}-1)_t^4) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-1}(i_0^2, i_0^3)(i_t^2, i_t^3) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-2}(i_0^4, (i+1)_0^1)(i_t^4, (i+1)_t^1). \end{array}
$$

Here, $(i+1)_{jt}^k = jt + 4(i+1) + k$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{4} - 2$. Note that 1-cycles are also given in the product of distinct cycles of c and this would be helpful to compute conjugations of some elements by c. It is easy to see that a is fixed under conjugacy of c, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows $(ac)^2 = 1$. We further have

$$
ab = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-1} (i_0^1, i_0^2, i_t^1, i_t^2) (i_0^3, i_t^4, i_t^3, i_0^4),
$$

\n
$$
bc = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{4}-1} (1+ti, 3+ti, \dots, t-1+ti, t+ti, t-2+ti, \dots, 2+ti),
$$

\n
$$
(ab)^2 = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_0^1, i_t^1) (i_0^2, i_t^2) (i_0^3, i_t^3) (i_0^4, i_t^4).
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Clearly, $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1$, $(ab)^4 = 1$ and $(bc)^{2^{n-3}} = 1$. Furthermore, $(ab)^2$ is fixed under conjugacy of c, that is, $((ab)^2)^c = (ab)^2$, and hence $[(ab)^2, c] = 1$.

Clearly, A is transitive on $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-2}\}$, and the stabilizer A_1 has order at least 4 because $a, c \in A_1$. This implies that A is a permutation group of order at least 2^n and its generators a, b, c satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in G. Then there is an epimorphism $\phi : G \mapsto A$ such that $\rho_0^{\phi} = a$, $\rho_1^{\phi} = b$ and $\rho_2^{\phi} = c$. Since $|A| \geq 2^n$ and $|G| \leq 2^n$, ϕ is an isomorphism, implying $|G| = 2^n$.

The generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in $L_1 := \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$ satisfy all relations in G. This implies that the map: $\rho_0 \mapsto \rho_0$, $\rho_1 \mapsto \rho_1$, $\rho_2 \mapsto \rho_2$, induces a homomorphism from G to L_1 . By Proposition 2.2, $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in L_1 and hence $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

Case 2: $G = G_2$.

As in Case 1, we give in Figure 2 a permutation representation graph for G_2 . Note that, as in Case 1, bc consists of two paths of length 2t with alternating labels of b and c , where $t = 2^{n-4}$, and here all of the real complexity lies in the definition of a.

Figure 2: A permutation representation graph corresponding to G_2

Write $ci = \frac{t}{8} - i - 1$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$, $i_{jt}^k = jt + 8i + k$ and $ci_{jt}^k = jt + 8ci + k$ for $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq 8$. Note that $0 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{8} - 1$ if and only if $0 \leq ci \leq \frac{t}{8} - 1$. Then a, b, c are permutations on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-2}\}$:

$$
a = \n\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_t^2, i_{2t}^2)(i_0^2, ci_{2t}^7)(i_{3t}^2, ci_t^7)(i_0^7, i_{3t}^7)(i_0^3, ci_{2t}^6)(i_0^3, ci_t^6)(i_0^6, i_{3t}^6) \n(i_0^4, ci_t^5)(i_0^5, ci_t^4)(i_{2t}^4, ci_{3t}^5)(i_{2t}^5, ci_t^4), \nb = \n\prod_{j=0}^3 \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_{jt}^1, i_{jt}^2)(i_{jt}^3, i_{jt}^4)(i_{jt}^5, i_{jt}^6)(i_{jt}^7, i_{jt}^8), \nc = \n\prod_{i=0}^1 (0_{0+2ti}^1)((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{t+2ti}^8)((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{0+2ti}^8, 0_{t+2ti}^1) \prod_{j=0}^3 (\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_{jt}^2, i_{jt}^3)(i_{jt}^4, i_{jt}^5)(i_{jt}^6, i_{jt}^7) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-2} (i_{jt}^8, (i+1)_{jt}^1)).
$$

Here, $(i+1)¹_{jt} = it + 8(i+1) + 1$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 2$. It is easy to see that a is fixed under conjugacy of c, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows $(ac)^2 = 1$.

Let $\alpha = a, b, \text{ or } c$. Then α is an involution. Recall that $ci = \frac{t}{8} - i - 1$. Since $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$ if and only if $0 \le ci \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$, it is easy to see that if α interchanges $i_{j_1}^{k_1}$ $_{j_1t}^{k_1}$ and $i_{i_2}^{k_2}$ $j_{j1}^{k_2}$ then α also interchanges $ci_{j_1t}^{k_1}$ and $ci_{j_2t}^{k_2}$, and if α interchanges $i_{j_1}^{k_1}$ $_{j_1t}^{k_1}$ and $ci_{j_2t}^{k_2}$ then α also interchanges $ci_{j_1t}^{k_1}$ and $i_{j_2}^{k_2}$ $j_{2t}^{k_2}$. These facts are very helpful for the following computations.

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n ab & = & \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_t^1, i_t^2, i_{2t}^1, i_{2t}^2) (i_0^1, i_0^2, ci_{2t}^8, ci_{2t}^7) (i_{3t}^1, i_{3t}^2, ci_t^8, ci_t^7) (i_0^7, i_{3t}^8, i_{3t}^7, i_0^8) \\
& = & \frac{(i_0^3, ci_{2t}^5, i_{3t}^3, ci_t^5) (i_0^5, ci_t^3, ci_{2t}^4, i_{3t}^6) (i_0^6, i_{3t}^5, ci_{2t}^3, ci_t^4) (i_0^4, ci_t^6, i_{3t}^4, ci_t^6), \\
b & = & \prod_{i=0}^1 (1+2ti, 3+2ti, \cdots, 2t-1+2ti, 2t+2ti, 2t-2+2ti, \cdots, 2+2ti), \\
(ab)^2 & = & \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1} (i_t^1, i_{2t}^1) (i_0^1, ci_{2t}^8) (i_t^8, ci_{3t}^1) (i_0^8, i_{3t}^8) (i_t^2, i_{2t}^2) (i_0^2, ci_{2t}^7) (i_t^7, ci_{3t}^2) (i_0^7, i_{3t}^7) \\
& = & \frac{(i_0^3, i_3^3)}{(i_0^3, i_3^3)} (i_t^3, ci_3^6) (i_0^6, ci_{2t}^3) (i_t^6, i_{2t}^6) (i_0^4, i_{3t}^4) (i_t^4, ci_{3t}^5) (i_0^5, ci_t^4) (i_t^5, i_{2t}^5), \\
(bc)^{2^{n-4}} & = & \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} (1+i, 2t-i) (2t+1+i, 4t-i).\n \end{array}
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Clearly, $(ab)^4 = 1$ and $(bc)^{2^{n-3}} = 1$. Since $ci = \frac{t}{8} - i - 1$, $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 2$ if and only if $1 \le ci \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$, and since c interchanges i_{jt}^8 and $(i+1)_{jt}^{\bar{i}}$, it also interchanges ci_{jt}^{8} and $c(i-1)_{jt}^{1}$, where $c(i-1) = \frac{t}{8} - (i-1) - 1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}c(ab)^2c&=&\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1}(i^1_0,i^1_{3t})(i^8_0,ci^1_{2t})(i^1_t,ci^8_{3t})(i^8_t,i^8_{2t})(i^2_0,i^2_{3t})(i^7_0,ci^2_{2t})(i^2_t,ci^7_{3t})(i^7_t,i^7_{2t})\\(i^3_t,i^3_{2t})(i^3_0,ci^6_{2t})(i^6_t,ci^3_{3t})(i^6_0,i^6_{3t})(i^4_t,i^4_{2t})(i^4_0,ci^5_{2t})(i^5_t,ci^4_{3t})(i^5_0,i^5_{3t}).\end{array}
$$

It is clear that $(bc)^{2^{n-4}}$ interchanges i_0^k and ci_t^{9-k} as $i_0^k + ci_t^{9-k} = 2t + 1$ (note that $1 \leq i_0^k \leq t$ and $t+1 \leq ci_t^{9-k} \leq 2t$, and similarly $(bc)^{2^{n-4}}$ interchanges i_{2t}^k and ci_{3t}^{9-k} . Then it is easy to check $[(ab)^2, c] = (ab)^2c(ab)^2c = (bc)^{2n-4}$. It follows that the generators a, b, c of A satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in G, and hence A is isomorphic to G with order 2^n . Clearly, A is transitive and A_1 has order at least 4 because $a, c \in A_1$. It follows that $|A| \geq 2^n$ and hence $|G| = 2^n$. On the other hand, the generators ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in $L_1 := \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$ (defined in Proposition 2.2) satisfy all relations in G. This implies that $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

Now we prove the necessity. Let $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be a string C-group of rank three with type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}\$ and $|G| = 2^n$. Then each of ρ_0, ρ_1 and ρ_2 has order 2, and we further have $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$, $o(\rho_0 \rho_2) = 2$ and $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-3}$. To finish the proof, we aim to show that $G \cong G_1$ or G_2 . Since both G_1 and G_2 are C-groups of order 2^n of type $\{4, 2^{n-3}\}$, it suffices to show that, in G, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = 1$ or $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} = 1$, which will be done by induction on n. This can easily be checked to be true for $n = 10$ by using the computational algebra package Magma [2].

Assume $n \geq 11$. Take $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2n-4} \rangle$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $N \leq G$ and $(\overline{G} =$ $G/N, {\overline{\{\rho_0,\rho_1,\rho_2\}}}$ (with $\overline{\rho_i} = N\rho_i$) is a string C-group of rank three of type $\{4, 2^{n-4}\}.$ Since $|\overline{G}| = 2^{n-1}$, by induction hypothesis we may assume $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_1$ or \overline{G}_2 , where

$$
\overline{G}_1 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^{2^2}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-4}}, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_2})^2, [(\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^2, \overline{\rho_2}]\rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\overline{G}_2 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^{2^2}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-4}}, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_2})^2, [(\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^2, \overline{\rho_2}](\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-5}}\rangle.
$$

Suppose $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_2$. Since $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}$, implying $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}}$, where $\delta = 1$ or -1 . Since $((\rho_0 \rho_1)^2)^{\rho_0} =$ $(\rho_0 \rho_1)^{-2} = (\rho_0 \rho_1)^2$ and $\rho_0 \rho_2 = \rho_2 \rho_0$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{\rho_0} = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]$, and hence $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}}] = 1$. By Proposition 2.5, $1 = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, \rho_2] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2]^{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2} [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] =$ $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}})^{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2} (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-4}}$, which is impossible because $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-3}$.

Thus, $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_1$. Since $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}$. For the latter, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, \rho_2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-3}} = 1$. It follows that $G \cong G_1$ or G_2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.3(2): For the sufficiency, we need to show that both G_3 and G_4 are string C-group of order 2^n , where $n \geq 10$ and

- $G_3 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] \rangle,$
- $G_4 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2](\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} \rangle.$

By Corollary 3.1, we only need to show that G_4 is a string C-group.

Let $G = G_4$. Then $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}$. Noting $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] =$ $[(\rho_0\rho_1)^2,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2] [(\rho_0\rho_1)^2,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2]^{(\rho_1\rho_2)^2} = (\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} = 1$, we have $[(\rho_0\rho_1)^2,(\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}] =$ 1, which implies $[\rho_1, ((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}})^{\rho_0}] = 1$ as $[\rho_1, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}] = 1$. Thus, ρ_1 fixes $K =$ $\langle(\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-5}},((\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-5}})^{\rho_0}\rangle$. Clearly, $K^{\rho_0}=K^{\rho_2}=K$, and so $K\leq G$. The three generators $\rho_0 K$, $\rho_1 K$, $\rho_2 K$ in G/K satisfy the same relations as ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in G_3 . In fact, $(\rho_1 K \rho_2 K)^{2^{n-5}} = K$, and hence $|G/K| \leq 2^{n-1}$ (here we need to check that $|G_3| = 2^9$ for $n = 9$ and this can be done using MAGMA). Furthermore, $[\rho_1 \rho_2, ((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}})^{\rho_0}] = 1$ as $[\rho_2, ((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}})^{\rho_0}] = 1$. It follows that $|K| \leq 4$ and $|G| \leq 2^{n+1}$.

Suppose $|\tilde{G}| = 2^{n+1}$. Then $|G/K| = 2^{n-1}$ and $|K| = 4$. It follows that $o(\rho_1 \rho_2 K) =$ 2^{n-5} in G/K and hence $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-4}$ in G. Note that $2(n-4) \ge n$ as $n \ge 10$, by Proposition 2.9, $\text{Core}_G(\langle \rho_1 \rho_2 \rangle) > 1$, so $\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} \rangle \leq G$. It follows that $|K| = 2$, a contradiction. Thus $|G| \leq 2^n$.

We give in Figure 3 a permutation representation graph of G . In this case, bc consists of two paths of length 2t and a circle of length 4t with alternating labels b and c, where $t = 2^{n-5}$.

Figure 3: A permutation representation graph corresponding to G_4

Write $i_{jt}^k = jt + 8i + k$ and $ci_{jt}^k = jt + 8(\frac{t}{8} - i - 1) + k$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$, $0 \le j \le 7$ and $1 \leq k \leq 8$. The permutations a, b, c on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-2}\}\$ are as follows:

$$
a = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} (i_0^2, i_{2t}^2)(i_t^2, i_{3t}^2)(i_{4t}^2, i_{6t}^2)(i_{5t}^2, i_{7t}^2)(i_0^3, i_{2t}^3)(i_t^3, i_{3t}^3)(i_{4t}^3, i_{6t}^3)(i_{5t}^3, i_{7t}^3)
$$

\n
$$
(i_0^4, ci_{7t}^5)(i_0^5, ci_{7t}^4)(i_{2t}^4, ci_{3t}^5)(i_{2t}^5, ci_{3t}^4)(i_{6t}^4, ci_{t}^5)(i_{6t}^5, ci_{t}^4)(i_{4t}^4, ci_{5t}^5)(i_{4t}^5, ci_{5t}^4)
$$

\n
$$
(i_0^6, i_0^6)(i_t^6, i_0^6)(i_t^6, i_0^6)(i_{3t}^6, i_0^6)(i_{3t}^6, i_0^6)(i_0^7, i_{4t}^7)(i_t^7, i_5^7)(i_{2t}^7, i_6^7)(i_{3t}^7, i_{7t}^7),
$$

\n
$$
b = \prod_{j=0}^7 \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} (i_{jt}^1, i_{jt}^2)(i_{jt}^3, i_{jt}^4)(i_{jt}^5, i_{9t}^6)(i_{jt}^7, i_{3t}^8),
$$

\n
$$
c = \frac{(0_0^1)(0_0^1)((\frac{t}{5}-1)_0^8)((\frac{t}{5}-1)_0^8)(0_2^1, 0_4^1)((\frac{t}{5}-1)_3^8, (\frac{t}{8}-1)_5^8) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^3((\frac{t}{8}-1)_2^8, 0_{t+2ti})
$$

\n
$$
\cdot \prod_{j=0}^7 (\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} (i_{jt}^2, i_{jt}^3)(i_{jt}^4, i_{7t}^5)(i_{9t}^6, i_{jt}^7) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-2} (i_{5t}^3, (i+1)_t^1)).
$$

Here, $(i+1)_{jt}^k = jt + 8(i+1) + k$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{8} - 2$. It is easy to see that c fixes a under conjugacy, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows that $(ac)^2 = 1$. Furthermore,

$$
ab = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{3}-1} (i_0^1, i_0^2, i_2^1, i_2^2, j_2^2, (i_4^1, i_3^2, i_3^2, (i_4^1, i_4^2, i_6^1, i_6^2, (i_5^1, i_5^2, i_7^1, i_7^2, i_7^2, i_8^2, i_9^2, i_9^
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l} (i_0^3, i_{2t}^4, ci_t^6, ci_{5t}^5)(ci_t^3, ci_{3t}^4, i_0^6, i_{4t}^5)(i_{2t}^3, i_0^4, ci_{5t}^6, ci_t^5)(ci_{3t}^3, ci_t^4, i_{4t}^6, i_0^5)\\ (i_{4t}^3, i_{6t}^4, ci_{3t}^6, ci_{7t}^5)(ci_{5t}^3, ci_{7t}^4, i_{2t}^6, i_0^5)(i_{6t}^3, i_4^4, ci_{7t}^6, ci_{3t}^5)(ci_{7t}^3, ci_{5t}^4, i_{6t}^6, i_{2t}^5). \end{array}
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. It is clear that $(bc)^{2^{n-5}}$ interchanges i_0^j $j\atop 0$ and ci_t^{9-j} for each $1\leq j\leq$ 8 because $i_0^j + c i_t^{9-j} = 2t + 1$ (note that $1 \leq i_0^j \leq t$ and $t + 1 \leq c i_t^{9-j} \leq 2t$), and similarly $(bc)^{2^{n-5}}$ also interchanges i_2^j $_{2t}^{j}$ and ci_{5t}^{9-j} , i_{3}^{j} j_t^j and ci_{4t}^{9-j} , and i_6^j $_{6t}^{j}$ and ci_{7t}^{9-j} . Thus, $[c(ab)^{2}c, b] = (c(ab)^{2}cb)^{2} = (bc)^{2^{n-5}}$, and hence $[(ab)^{2}, cbc] = (bc)^{2^{n-5}}$ as $[(bc)^{2^{n-5}}, c] = 1$. Since $[(ab)^2, b] = 1$, Proposition 2.5 implies that $[(ab)^2, (bc)^2] = [(ab)^2, cbc] [(ab)^2, b]^{cbc} =$ $(bc)^{2^{n-5}}$. It follows that the generators a, b, c of A satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in G , and hence A is isomorphic to G with order 2^n .

Again let $L_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. The generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in L_1 satisfy all relations in G. This means that $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

To prove the necessity, let $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be a string C-group of rank three with type $\{4, 2^{n-4}\}\$ and $|G| = 2^n$. Then $o(\rho_0) = o(\rho_1) = o(\rho_2) = o(\rho_0 \rho_2) = 2$, $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ and $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-4}$. To finish the proof, we aim to show that $G \cong G_3$ or G_4 . Since both G_3 and G_4 are C-groups of order 2^n and of type $\{4, 2^{n-4}\}$, it suffices to show that, in G ,

 $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = 1$ or $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} = 1$, which will be done by induction on *n*. This is true for $n = 10$ by MAGMA.

Assume $n \geq 11$. Take $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} \rangle$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $N \leq G$ and $(\overline{G} =$ $G/N, {\overline{\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}}}$ (with $\overline{\rho_i} = N \rho_i$) is a string C-group of rank three of type $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}$. Since $|\overline{G}| = 2^{n-1}$, by induction hypothesis we may assume $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_3$ or \overline{G}_4 , where

$$
\overline{G}_3 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^{2^2}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-5}}, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_2})^2, [(\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^2, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2] \rangle,
$$

$$
\overline{G}_4 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^{2^2}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-5}}, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_2})^2, [(\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^2, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2] (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-6}} \rangle.
$$

Suppose $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_4$. Since $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}$, which implies $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-6}}$, where $\delta = 1$ or -1 . By Proposition 2.5, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}}$ and $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^8] = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-4}} = 1$, implying $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}] = 1$. Thus, 1 = $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2} [(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = ((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-6}})^{(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2} (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-6}}$ $= (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}}$, which is impossible because $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-4}$. n−5

Thus, $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_3$. In this case, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}$. For the latter, $[(\rho_0 \rho_1)^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-4}} = 1$. It follows that $G \cong G_3$ or G_4 .

Proof of Theorem 1.3(3): Let
$$
n \ge 10
$$
 and let $G = G_5, G_6, G_7$ or G_8 , where
\n $G_5 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] \rangle$,
\n $G_6 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] \rangle$,
\n $G_7 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} \rangle$,
\n $G_8 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^{2^2}, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-5}}, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2$,
\n $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}, [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} \rangle$.

By Corollary 3.1, we only need to show that G_6, G_7 and G_8 are string C-groups.

In all cases, $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that $[\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^8] = [\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^4] ([\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^4])^{(\rho_1\rho_2)^4} = 1.$ Noting that $((\rho_1\rho_2)^8)^{\rho_1} = (\rho_1\rho_2)^{-8} =$ $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^8)^{\rho_2}$, we have $K = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^8 \rangle \leq G$. Clearly, $|K| \leq 2^{n-8}$, and the three generators $\rho_0 K$, $\rho_1 K$, $\rho_2 K$ in G/K satisfy the same relations as ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in G_5 when $n = 8$. By MAGMA, $|G_5| = 2^8$ when $n = 8$, and hence $|G| = |G:K| \cdot |K| \le 2^n$.

Case 1: $G = G_6$.

We construct a permutation representation graph of G , and in this graph, bc consists four paths of length 2t and two circles of length 4t with alternating labels of b and c, where $t = 2^{n-6}$. We omit the drawing of the graph here because it is quite big.

Write $i_{jt}^k = jt + 8i + k$ and $ci_{jt}^k = jt + 8(\frac{t}{8} - i - 1) + k$, where $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 1$, $1 \le k \le 8$, $0 \leq j \leq 15$. The permutations a, b, c on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-2}\}$ are as follows:

$$
a = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1}(i_0^2, i_{2t}^2)(i_t^2, i_{3t}^2)(i_{4t}^2, i_{7t}^2)(i_{8t}^2, i_{11t}^2)(i_{12t}^2, i_{14t}^2)(i_{13t}^2, i_{15t}^2)(i_{5t}^2, ci_{7t}^7)(i_{6t}^2, ci_{2t}^7)\\(i_0^2, ci_{13t}^7)(i_{10t}^2, ci_{8t}^7)(i_0^7, i_{4t}^7)(i_1^7, i_{5t}^7)(i_{3t}^7, i_{6t}^7)(i_{9t}^7, i_{12t}^7)(i_{10t}^7, i_{14t}^7)(i_{11t}^7, i_{15t}^7)\\(i_0^3, i_2^3)(i_t^3, i_3^3)(i_{4t}^3, i_{7t}^3)(i_{8t}^3, i_{1t}^3)(i_{12t}^3, i_{14t}^3)(i_{13t}^3, i_{15t}^3)(i_{9t}^3, ci_{9t}^6)(i_{9t}^3, ci_{9t}^6)\\(i_0^3, ic_{13t}^6)(i_{10t}^6, ci_{8t}^6)(i_0^6, i_4^6)(i_0^6, i_{5t}^6)(i_{9t}^6, i_{6t}^6)(i_{9t}^6, i_{12t}^6)(i_{10t}^6, i_{14t}^6)(i_{11t}^6, i_{15t}^6)\\(i_0^4, i_8^4)(i_{7t}^4, i_9^4)(i_0^4, ci_{15t}^5)(i_t^4, ci_{14t}^5)(i_{2t}^4, ci_{11t}^5)(i_3t, ci_{10t}^6)(i_{4t}^4, ci_{13t}^5)(i_{5t}^4, ci_{12t}^5)\\(i_0^5, i_5^5)(i_{7t}^5, i_{9t}^5)(i_0^5, ci_{15t}^4)(i_t^5, ci_{14t}^4)(i_{2t}^5, ci_{11t}^4)(i_{3t}^5, ci_{10t}^4)(i_{14t}^5, ci_{1
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}b=&\prod_{j=0}^{15}\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1}(i_{jt}^{1},i_{jt}^{2})(i_{jt}^{3},i_{jt}^{4})(i_{jt}^{5},i_{jt}^{6})(i_{jt}^{7},i_{jt}^{8}),\\c=&\prod_{i=0}^{10}(i_{sit}^{1})(0_{6t+8it}^{1})((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{t+8it}^{8})((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{7t+8it}^{8})(0_{2t+8it}^{1},0_{4t+8it}^{1})((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{3t+8it}^{8},(\frac{t}{8}-1)_{5t+8it}^{8})\\&\prod_{i=0}^{7}((\frac{t}{8}-1)_{2it}^{8},0_{(2i+1)t}^{1})\cdot\prod_{j=0}^{15}(\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-1}(i_{jt}^{2},i_{jt}^{3})(i_{jt}^{4},i_{jt}^{5})(i_{jt}^{6},i_{jt}^{7})\cdot\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{8}-2}(i_{jt}^{8},(i+1)_{jt}^{1})).\\&\dots,\\b\end{array}
$$

Here, $(i + 1)_{jt}^k = jt + 8(i + 1) + k$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 2$. For $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{8} - 2$, c interchanges i_{jt}^8 and $(i + 1)_{jt}^1$, and also ci_{jt}^8 and $c(i - 1)_{jt}^1$. It is easy to see that a is fixed under conjugacy by c, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows that $(ac)^2 = 1$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} ab & = & \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1}(i_{0}^{1},i_{0}^{2},i_{2t}^{1},i_{2t}^{2})(i_{t}^{1},i_{t}^{2},i_{3t}^{1},i_{3t}^{2})(i_{4t}^{1},i_{4t}^{2},i_{7t}^{1},i_{7t}^{2})(i_{5t}^{1},i_{5t}^{2},ci_{7t}^{8},ci_{7t}^{7})\\ & & (i_{6t}^{1},i_{6t}^{2},ci_{2t}^{8},ci_{2t}^{7})(i_{8t}^{1},ci_{9t}^{7},ci_{12t}^{8},i_{11t}^{1})(i_{9t}^{1},ci_{8t}^{7},i_{10t}^{1},ci_{13t}^{7})(i_{11t}^{1},ci_{12t}^{7},ci_{9t}^{8},i_{8t}^{2})\\ & & (i_{12t}^{1},ci_{11t}^{7},ci_{15t}^{8},i_{14t}^{7})(i_{13t}^{1},ci_{10t}^{7},ci_{14t}^{8},i_{15t}^{2})(i_{14t}^{1},ci_{15t}^{7},ci_{18t}^{8},i_{11t}^{2},ci_{14t}^{7},ci_{14t}^{8},ci_{10t}^{7},ci_{14t}^{8},ci_{14t}^{8},ci_{14t}^{8},i_{15t}^{7})(i_{8t}^{8},i_{8t}^{7},i_{8t}^{8},i_{8t}^{7})(i_{8t}^{8},ci_{9t}^{8},i_{13t}^{8},ci_{10t}^{8})\\ & & (i_{0}^{3},i_{2t}^{4},ci_{11t}^{6},ci_{15t}^{6})(i_{t}^{3},i_{3t}^{4},ci_{10t}^{6},ci_{14t}^{5})(i_{2t}^{3},i_{6t}^{4},ci_{15t}^{6})(i_{8t}^{3},ci_{15t}^{7})(i_{8t}^{3},ci_{15t}^{5},ci_{15t}^{5})(i_{15t}^{3},ci_{15t}^{4},ci_{15t}^{6},ci_{15t}^{5})\\ & & (i_{3t}^{3},i_{t}^{4},i_{3t}^{3},ci_{13t}^{5})(i_{3t}^{3},ci_{15t}^{5},ci
$$

$$
bc = \prod_{i=0}^{1} (1+8ti, 3+8ti, \cdots, 2t-1+8ti, 2t+8ti, 2t-2+8ti, \cdots, 2+8ti)
$$

\n
$$
(2t+1+8ti, 2t+3+8ti, \cdots, 4t-1+8ti, 6t+8ti, 6t-2+8ti, \cdots, 4t+2+8ti)
$$

\n
$$
(4t+1+8ti, 4t+3+8ti, \cdots, 6t-1+8ti, 4t+8ti, 4t-2+8ti, \cdots, 2t+2+8ti)
$$

\n
$$
(6t+1+8ti, 6t+3+8ti, \cdots, 8t-1+8ti, 8t+8ti, 8t-2+8ti, \cdots, 6t+2+8ti),
$$

$$
(bc)^{2^{n-6}} = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq 0}}^{t-1} (1+i, 2t-i)(6t+1+i, 8t-i)(8t+1+i, 10t-i)(14t+1+i, 16t-i)
$$

$$
\cdot \prod_{i=0}^{2t-1} (2t+1+i, 6t-i)(10t+1+i, 14t-i).
$$

The above computations imply $(ab)^4 = 1$ and $(bc)^{2^{n-5}} = 1$. Furthermore,

$$
(ab)^2 = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{1/2} (i_0^1, i_1^1, (i_1^1, i_3^1)(i_4^1, i_7^1)(i_9^1, i_{10}^1)(i_5^1, ci_{10}^2)(i_6^1, ci_{21}^3)(i_8^1, ci_{11}^3)(i_{11}^1, ci_{92}^3)(i_9^1, ci_{11}^3, ci_{12}^3)(i_{11}^3, ci_{13}^3)(i_9^3, i_9^3)(i_9^3, i_9^3)(i_9^3
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} [(ab)^2,c] &=& \prod_{i=0}^{i_5-1} (i_0^1, ci_0^8, ci_0^8, i_{7t}^1)(i_1^1, i_6^1, ci_0^8, ci_7^8, (i_{2t}^1, i_{4t}^1, ci_8^8, ci_3^8, (i_{3t}^1) (i_{3t}^1, i_{5t}^1, ci_8^8, ci_2^8, (i_{3t}^8, i_{1t}^1)\\(i_6^1, i_{15t}^1, ci_0^3, ci_1^8, ci_1^8, ci_1^8, ci_1^8, ci_1^8, i_{1t}^1)(i_{10t}^1, ci_1^8, ci_2^8, i_{12t}^1)(i_{11t}^1, ci_0^8, ci_1^8, i_{13t}^1)\\(i_6^2, ci_{15t}^7, ci_{14}^7, i_{14t}^2)(i_6^2, ci_{14t}^7, ci_{2t}^7, i_{11t}^2)(i_6^2, ci_{11}^7, ci_{2t}^7, i_{2t}^2)(i_3^2, ci_{10t}^7, ci_{10t}^7, ci_{13t}^7)\\(i_6^3, i_{14t}^3, ci_6^6, ci_{15t}^6)(i_6^3, i_{15t}^3, ci_2^6, ci_{14t}^6)(i_2^3, i_{12t}^3, ci_6^6, ci_{11t}^6)(i_3^3, i_{13t}^3, ci_6^6, ci_{10t}^6)\\(i_6^3, i_{14t}^3, ci_6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6)(i_4^3, i_{12t}^3, ci_2^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6)(i_4^3, i_{13t}^3, ci_1^6, ci_1^6, ci_1^6)\\(i_6^4, ci_6^5, ci_7^5, i_7^4)(i_6^4, ci_6^5, ci_1^5)(i_2^4, i_4^4, ci_5^5, ci_2^5)(i_3^4, ic_4^6, ci_4^6, i_3^3)\\(i_6^4,
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Now, one may see that $[(ab)^2, c]^{bc} = [(ab)^2, c]^b$. By Proposition 2.5, $[a,(bc)^2] = [(ab)^2,c]^{bc}$ and $[a,(cb)^2] = [(ab)^2,c]^b$. It follows that $[a,(bc)^2] = [a,(cb)^2]$ and hence $[a,(bc)^4]=1$. For $1 \leq j \leq 8$, it is clear that $(bc)^{2n-6}$ interchanges i_0^j $\frac{j}{0}$ and $ci_{t_a}^{9-j}$ as $i_0^j + ci_t^{9-j} = 2t+1$ (note that $1 \leq i_0^j \leq t$ and $t+1 \leq ci_t^{9-j} \leq 2t$), and similarly $(bc)^{2^{n-6}}$ also interchanges i_2^j i_{2t}^{j} and ci_{5t}^{9-j} , i_{4}^{j} $_{4t}^{j}$ and ci_{3t}^{9-j} , i_{6}^{j} $_{6t}^{j}$ and ci_{7t}^{9-j} , i_{8}^{j} s_t and ci_{9t}^{9-j} , i_1^j j_{10t} and ci_{13t}^{9-j} , i_1^j $_{12t}^j$ and ci_{11t}^{9-j} , and i_1^j \lim_{14t} and ci_{15t}^{9-j} . This implies $(bc)^{2^{n-6}} = ([(ab)^2, c]^b)^2 = ([(ab)^2, c]^{bc})^2 = [a, (bc)^2]^2$. It follows that the generators a, b, c of A satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in G, and hence A is isomorphic to G with order 2^n .

Again let $L_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. The generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in L_1 satisfy all relations in G. This means that $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

Case 2: $G = G_7$.

We construct a permutation representation graph of G , and in this graph, bc consists one path of length 32t with alternating labels of c and b, where $t = 2^{n-5}$. Again, the graph is too big to be drawn in this paper.

Write $i_{jt}^k = jt + 16i + k$, where $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{16} - 1$, $1 \le k \le 16$ and $0 \le j \le 1$. The permutations a, b, c on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2^{n-5}\}$, are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}a=&\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1}(i^5_0,i^5_t)(i^6_0,i^6_t)(i^7_0,i^7_1)(i^8_0,i^8_t)(i^9_0,i^9_t)(i^{10}_0,i^{10}_t)(i^{11}_0,i^{11}_t)(i^{12}_0,i^{12}_t)\\ b=&(0^1_0)(0^1_t)((\frac{t}{16}-1)^{16}_0,(\frac{t}{16}-1)^{16}_t)\prod_{j=0}^1(\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1}(i^2_{jt},i^3_{jt})(i^4_{jt},i^5_{jt})(i^6_{jt},i^7_{jt})(i^8_{jt},i^9_{jt})(i^{10}_{jt},i^{11}_{jt})\\ (i^{12}_{jt},i^{13}_{jt})(i^{14}_{jt},i^{15}_{jt})\cdot\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-2}(i^{16}_{jt},(i+1)^{1}_{jt})), \end{array}
$$

 $c = \prod_{j=0}^1\prod_{i=0}^{t_0-1}(i^1_{jt},i^2_{jt})(i^3_{jt},i^4_{jt})(i^5_{jt},i^6_{jt})(i^7_{jt},i^8_{jt})(i^9_{jt},i^{10}_{jt})(i^{11}_{jt},i^{12}_{jt})(i^{13}_{jt},i^{14}_{jt})(i^{15}_{jt},i^{16}_{jt}).$

Here, $(i+1)_{jt}^k = jt + 16(i+1) + k$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{16} - 2$. It is easy to see that a is fixed under conjugacy of c, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows that $(ac)^2 = 1$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} ab & = & \big((\frac{t}{16}-1)_{0}^{16}, (\frac{t}{16}-1)_{t}^{16}\big) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1}(i_{0}^{2},i_{0}^{3})(i_{t}^{2},i_{t}^{3})(i_{0}^{4},i_{0}^{5},i_{t}^{4},i_{t}^{5})(i_{0}^{6},i_{t}^{7})(i_{0}^{7},i_{t}^{6})(i_{0}^{8},i_{t}^{9})(i_{0}^{9},i_{t}^{8})\\ & & (i_{0}^{10},i_{t}^{11})(i_{0}^{11},i_{t}^{10})(i_{0}^{12},i_{t}^{13},i_{t}^{12},i_{0}^{13})(i_{0}^{14},i_{0}^{15})(i_{t}^{15},i_{t}^{14}) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{1}\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-2}(i_{jt}^{16},(i+1)_{jt}^{1}),\\ cb & = & \big(1,3,5,\cdots,t-1,2t,2t-2,\cdots,t+2,t+1,t+3,\cdots,2t-1,t,t-2,\cdots,4,2 \big) \end{array}
$$

The above computations imply $(ab)^4 = 1$ and $(bc)^{2^{n-5}} = 1$. Moreover, we have

$$
(ab)^2 = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^4, i_t^4) (i_0^5, i_t^5) (i_0^{12}, i_t^{12}) (i_0^{13}, i_t^{13}),
$$

\n
$$
c(ab)^2c = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^3, i_t^3) (i_0^6, i_t^6) (i_0^{11}, i_t^{11}) (i_0^{14}, i_t^{14}),
$$

\n
$$
[(ab)^2, c] = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^3, i_t^3) (i_0^4, i_t^4) (i_0^5, i_t^5) (i_0^6, i_t^6) (i_0^{11}, i_t^{11}) (i_0^{12}, i_t^{12}) (i_0^{13}, i_t^{13}) (i_0^{14}, i_t^{14}),
$$

\n
$$
[(ab)^2, c]^b = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^2, i_t^2) (i_0^4, i_t^4) (i_0^5, i_t^5) (i_0^7, i_t^7) (i_0^{10}, i_t^{10}) (i_0^{12}, i_t^{12}) (i_0^{13}, i_t^{13}) (i_0^{15}, i_t^{15}),
$$

\n
$$
[(ab)^2, c]^{bc} = \Pi_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^1, i_t^1) (i_0^3, i_t^3) (i_0^6, i_t^6) (i_0^8, i_t^8) (i_0^9, i_t^9) (i_0^{11}, i_t^{11}) (i_0^{14}, i_t^{14}) (i_0^{16}, i_t^{16}).
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Since $[a, c] = 1$, by Proposition 2.5 we have $[a, (bc)^2] = [(ab)^2, c]^{bc}$, and hence $[a, (bc)^2]^2 = 1$. The element $(bc)^{2^{n-6}}$ interchanges i_0^k and i_t^k as $i_t^k - i_0^k = t$ (note that $1 \leq i_0^k \leq t$ and $t + 1 \leq i_t^k \leq 2t$, which implies $[(ab)^2, c]^b[(ab)^2, c]^{bc} = (bc)^{2^{n-6}}$. Clearly, $[(ab)^2, c]^c = [(ab)^2, c]$. Again by Proposition 2.5, $[a, (bc)^4] = [a, (bc)^2][a, (bc)^2]^{(bc)^2}$ $[(ab)^2, c]^{bc}[(ab)^2, c]^{(bc)^3} = ([(ab)^2, c]^{cb}[(ab)^2, c]^{bc})^{(bc)^2} = ([(ab)^2, c]^{b}[(ab)^2, c]^{(bc)^2} = (bc)^{2n-6}.$ It follows that the generators a, b, c of A satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in G, and hence A is a quotient group of G. In particular, $o(bc) = 2^{n-5}$ in A, and hence $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-5}$ in G. It follows that $|G| = o(\rho_1 \rho_2)^8 \cdot |G/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^8 \rangle| = 2^{n-8} \cdot 256 = 2^n$.

Again let $L_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. The generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in L_1 satisfy all relations in G. This means that $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

Case 3: $G = G_8$.

We construct a permutation representation graph of G . In this graph, bc consists two paths of length 2t and a circle of length 4t alternating labels of c and b, where $t = 2^{n-6}$. Again, the graph is too big to be drawn in this paper.

Write $i_{jt}^k = jt + 16i + k$ and $ci_{jt}^k = jt + 16(\frac{t}{16} - i - 1) + k$, where $0 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{16} - 1$, $1 \leq k \leq 16$ and $0 \leq j \leq 7$. The permutations a, b, c on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-3}\}$ are as follows:

$$
a = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1} (i_0^1, i_{4t}^1)(i_t^1, i_{5t}^1)(i_{2t}^1, i_{6t}^1)(i_{3t}^1, i_{7t}^1)(i_0^2, i_{4t}^2)(i_t^2, i_{5t}^2)(i_{2t}^2, i_{6t}^2)(i_{3t}^2, i_{7t}^2) \n(i_t^3, i_{2t}^3)(i_{5t}^3, i_6^3)(i_0^3, ci_{4t}^{14})(i_{3t}^3, ci_{4}^{14})(i_{4t}^4, ci_{6t}^{14})(i_{7t}^3, ci_{3t}^{14})(i_0^1, i_{5t}^{14})(i_{2t}^3, i_{7t}^3) \n(i_t^4, i_{2t}^4)(i_{5t}^4, i_{6t}^4)(i_0^4, ci_{4t}^{13})(i_{3t}^4, ci_{4t}^{13})(i_{4t}^4, ci_{6t}^{13})(i_{7t}^4, ci_{3t}^{13})(i_0^1, i_{5t}^1, i_{5t}^1)(i_{2t}^1, i_{7t}^1) \n(i_t^5, i_{4t}^5)(i_{5t}^5, i_6^5)(i_0^5, ci_{4t}^{12})(i_{2t}^5, ci_{4t}^{12})(i_5^5, ci_{4t}^{12})(i_6^5, ci_{5t}^{12})(i_0^1, i_{3t}^{12})(i_{4t}^1, i_{7t}^{12}) \n(i_0^6, i_{4t}^6)(i_{3t}^6, i_{6t}^6)(i_0^6, ci_{2t}^{11})(i_{2t}^6, ci_{6t}^{11})(i_{5t}^6, ci_{4t}^{11})(i_{7t}^6, ci_{5t}^{12})(i_0^1, i_{3t}^{11})(i_{4t}^1, i_{7t}^{11}) \n(i_0^7, ci_{5t}^{10})(i_t^7, ci_{4t}^{10})(i_{2t}^7, ci_{4t}^{10})(i_{3t}^7, ci_{0}^{10})(i_{4t}^7, ci_{7t}^{10})(i_{5t}^7, ci_{6t}^{10
$$

Here, $(i+1)_{jt}^k = jt+16(i+1)+k$ for $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{16}-2$. For $0 \le i \le \frac{t}{16}-2$, b interchanges i_{jt}^{16} and $(i+1)_{jt}^1$, and also ci_{jt}^{16} and $c(i-1)_{jt}^1$. It is easy to see that a is fixed under conjugacy

of c, that is, $a^c = a$. It follows that $(ac)^2 = 1$. Furthermore

$$
ab = (0_0^1, 0_{2t}^1, 0_{6t}^1, 0_{4t}^1)(0_t^1, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{4t}^{16}, 0_{7t}^1, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{2t}^{16})
$$
\n
$$
(0_{3t}^1, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{6t}^{16}, 0_{5t}^1, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{6}^{16})((\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{t}^{16}, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{5t}^{16}, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{7t}^{16}, (\frac{t}{16} - 1)_{3t}^{16})
$$
\n
$$
\prod_{i=0}^{16-2}((i+1)_0^1, i_{4t}^{16}, (i+1)_0^1, i_{2t}^{16})(i+1)_1^1, i_{5t}^{16}, (i+1)_{7t}^1, i_{3t}^{16})
$$
\n
$$
((i+1)_{2t}^1, i_{6t}^{16}, (i+1)_{4t}^1, i_{0}^{16})(i+1)_3^1, i_{7t}^{16}, (i+1)_{5t}^1, i_{1}^{16})
$$
\n
$$
\prod_{i=0}^{16-1} (i_t^2, i_{5t}^3, i_{6t}^2, i_{2t}^3)(i_{2t}^2, i_{6t}^3, i_{5t}^2, i_{t}^3)(i_{6t}^2, i_{4t}^3, c_{6t}^{15}, c_{4t}^{14})(i_{3t}^2, i_{7t}^3, c_{3t}^{15}, c_{4t}^{14})
$$
\n
$$
(i_{4t}^2, i_0^3, c_{4t}^{11}, c_{4t}^{12}, (i_{7t}^2, i_{3t}^3, c_{4t}^{15}, c_{4t}^{13})(i_{4t}^4, i_{5t}^{15}, i_{4t}^{14}, i_{5t}^{16})(i_{4t}^4, i_{7t}^{12}, i_{5t}^{14}, i_{6t}^{16})
$$
\n
$$
(i_0^4, c_{4t}^{12}, c_{4t}^{13}, c_{4t}^{12
$$

The above computations imply $(ab)^4 = 1$, $(bc)^{2^{n-5}} = 1$, and $(bc)^{2^{n-6}} = (cb)^{2^{n-6}}$. Furthermore,

$$
(ab)2 = \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{16}-1} (i_0^1, i_0^1, (i_1^1, i_1^1)(i_2^1, i_4^1)(i_3^1, i_5^1)(i_0^1, i_0^1)(i_0^1, i_0^1)(i_2^1, i_0^
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} [(ab)^2,c]^b&=&\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{t}{16}-1}(i^1_0,ci^{16}_0,ci^{16}_t, i^1_t)(i^1_{2t},ci^{16}_{4t},ci^{16}_{5t},i^1_{3t})(i^1_{4t},i^1_{5t},ci^{16}_{3t},ci^{16}_{2t})(i^1_{6t},i^1_{7t},ci^{16}_{7t},ci^{16}_{6t})\\ &(i^2_0,i^2_t,ci^{15}_t,ci^{15}_0)(i^2_{2t},i^2_{3t},ci^{15}_5,ci^{14}_4)(i^2_{4t},ci^{15}_2,ci^{15}_3,i^2_{5t})(i^2_{6t},ci^{15}_6,ci^{17}_7,i^2_{7t})\\ &(i^3_0,ci^{14}_0,ci^{14}_4, i^3_t)(i^3_{2t},i^3_{3t},ci^{14}_5,ci^{14}_4)(i^3_{4t},ci^{14}_2,ci^{14}_3,i^3_{5t})(i^3_{6t},i^3_{7t},ci^{14}_7,ci^{14}_6)\\ &(i^4_0,i^4_t,ci^{13}_t,ci^{13}_0)(i^4_{2t},ci^{13}_4,ci^{13}_3,i^4_{3t})(i^4_{4t},i^4_{5t},ci^{13}_3,ci^{13}_3)(i^4_{6t},ci^{13}_6,ci^{12}_7,i^4_{7t})\\ &(i^5_0,ci^{12}_0,ci^{12}_t, i^5_t)(i^5_{2t},ci^{12}_4,ci^{12}_4,i^5_{3t})(i^5_{4t},i^5_{5t},ci^{12}_3,ci^{12}_2)(i^5_{6t},i^5_{7t},ci^{12}_7,ci^{12}_6)\\ &(i^6_0,i^6_1,ci^{11}_1,ci^{11}_0)(i^6_{2t},i^6_{3t},ci^{11}_3,ci^{11}_3)(i^6_{4t},ci^{11}_2,ci^{11}_3,i^6_{6t})(i^6_{6t},ci^{11}_6,ci^{11}_6,ci^{11}_6,ci^{11}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_6,ci^{10}_
$$

Let $A = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Now it is easy to see that $[(ab)^2, c]^c = [(ab)^2, c]^{-1}$ and $[(ab)^2, c]^{bc} =$ $([(ab)^2, c]^b)^{-1}$. Every 4-cycle in the product of distinct 4-cycles of $[(ab)^2, c]$ is either a 4-cycle or the inverse of a 4-cycle in $[(ab)^2, c]^b$, and $[(ab)^2, c][(ab)^2, c]^b$ is an involution, which fixes 2^{n-4} points including the point 1. Then $[(ab)^2, c] [(ab)^2, c]^b = [(ab)^2, c]^b [(ab)^2, c]$. It is clear that $(cb)^{2^{n-6}}$ interchanges i_0^j $\frac{d}{d}$ and ci_t^{16-j} for each $1 \leq j \leq 16$ because $i_0^j + ci_t^{16-j} = 2t+1$ (note that $1 \leq i_0^j \leq t$ and $t+1 \leq ci_t^{16-j} \leq 2t$, and similarly $(cb)^{2^{n-6}}$ also interchanges i_2^j t_{2t} and ci_{5t}^{16-j}, i_3^j j_t and ci_{4t}^{16-j} , and i_6^j ϵ_{6t}^{j} and ci_{7t}^{16-j} . It follows that $(cb)^{2^{n-6}} = [(ab)^{2}, c]^{2} = ([ab)^{2}, c]^{b})^{2}$. Since $[a, c] = 1$, by Proposition 2.5 we have $[a, (bc)^{2}]^{2} = ([(ab)^{2}, c]^{bc})^{2} = ([(ab)^{2}, c]^{b})^{-2} =$ $(cb)^{-2^{n-6}} = (bc)^{2^{n-6}}$ and $[a, (bc)^4] = [a, (bc)^2][a, (bc)^2]^{(bc)^2} = ([(ab)^2, c][(ab)^2, c]^{bcbc})^{bc} =$ $([(ab)^2, c] ([(ab)^2, c]^{-b})^{bc})^{bc} = ([(ab)^2, c]^2)^{bc} = ((cb)^{2^{n-6}})^{bc} = (bc)^{2^{n-6}}$. This implies that the generators a, b, c of A satisfy the same relations as do ρ_0 , ρ_1 , ρ_2 in G, and hence A is a quotient group of G. In particular, $o(cb) = 2^{n-5}$ in A, and hence $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-5}$ in G. It follows that $|G| = o(\rho_1 \rho_2)^8 \cdot |G/\langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^8 \rangle| = 2^{n-8} \cdot 256 = 2^n$.

Again let $L_1 = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_0^2, \rho_1^2, \rho_2^2, (\rho_0 \rho_1)^4, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, (\rho_0 \rho_2)^2 \rangle$. The generators ρ_0, ρ_1, ρ_2 in L_1 satisfy all relations in G. This means that $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$ in G, and by Proposition 2.3, $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ is a string C-group.

Now we prove the necessity. Let $(G, \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\})$ be a string C-group of rank three with type $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}\$ and $|G| = 2^n$. Then each of ρ_0, ρ_1 and ρ_2 has order 2, and we further have $o(\rho_0 \rho_1) = 4$, $o(\rho_0 \rho_2) = 2$ and $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-5}$. To finish the proof, we only need to prove $G \cong G_5, G_6, G_7$ or G_8 . Since G_5, G_6, G_7 and G_8 are C-groups of order 2^n of type $\{4, 2^{n-5}\}\$, it suffices to show that, in G, $[\rho_0, (\rho_2 \rho_1)^2]^2 = 1$ or $[\rho_0, (\rho_2 \rho_1)^2]^2 (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} = 1$, and $[\rho_0, (\rho_2 \rho_1)^4] = 1$ or $[\rho_0, (\rho_2 \rho_1)^4] (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} = 1$, which will be done by induction on n. This is true for $n = 10$ by MAGMA.

Assume $n \geq 11$. Take $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} \rangle$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $N \leq G$ and $(\overline{G} =$ $G/N, {\overline{\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}}}$ (with $\overline{\rho_i} = N \rho_i$) is a string C-group of rank three of type $\{4, 2^{n-6}\}$. Since $|\overline{G}| = 2^{n-1}$, by induction hypothesis we may assume $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_5, \overline{G}_6, \overline{G}_7$ or \overline{G}_8 , where

$$
\overline{G}_5 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^4, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-6}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2]^2, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^4] \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\overline{G}_6 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^4, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-6}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2]^2 (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-7}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^4] \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\overline{G}_7 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^4, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-6}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_1})^2]^2, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^4] (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-7}} \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\overline{G}_8 = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} | \overline{\rho_0}^2, \overline{\rho_1}^2, \overline{\rho_2}^2, (\overline{\rho_0 \rho_1})^4, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-6}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2]^2 (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-7}}, [\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^4] (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-7}} \rangle.
$$

Then $\left[\overline{\rho_0},\left(\overline{\rho_1\rho_2}\right)^4\right] = 1$, or $\left[\overline{\rho_0},\left(\overline{\rho_1\rho_2}\right)^4\right]\left(\overline{\rho_1\rho_2}\right)^{2^{n-7}} = 1$, and since $N = \langle(\rho_1\rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}\rangle \cong$ \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-7}}$, where $\delta = 0, \pm 1, 2$. It follows $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^8] =$ $[\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^4][\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^4]^{(\rho_1\rho_2)^4} = (\rho_1\rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-6}}$, and similarly $[\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^{16}] = (\rho_1\rho_2)^{\delta \cdot 2^{n-5}} = 1$. Since $n \ge 11$, we have $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-7}}] = 1$, that is, $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-7}})^{\rho_0} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-7}}$.

Suppose $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_7$ or \overline{G}_8 . Then $\left[\overline{\rho_0}, \overline{(\rho_1 \rho_2)}^4\right] \left(\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2}\right)^{2^{n-7}} = 1$, that is, $\left[\rho_0, \left(\rho_1 \rho_2\right)^4\right] =$ $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma_2 n - 7}$ for $\gamma = \pm 1$. It follows that $1 = [\rho_0^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4]^{\rho_0} [\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] =$ $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma \cdot 2^{n-7}})^{\rho_0} (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma \cdot 2^{n-7}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma \cdot 2^{n-6}}$, which contradicts $o(\rho_1 \rho_2) = 2^{n-5}$.

Suppose $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_6$. Then $[\overline{\rho_0}, (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^2]^2 (\overline{\rho_1 \rho_2})^{2^{n-7}} = 1$, that is, $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2 = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma 2^{n-7}}$ for $\gamma = \pm 1$. Recall that $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma 2^{n-7}})^{\rho_0} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma 2^{n-7}}$. On the other hand, $1 = [\rho_0^2, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2] =$ $[\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2][\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2]^{\rho_0}$, and hence $([\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2]^2)^{\rho_0} = ([\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2]^{\rho_0})^2 = ([\rho_0,(\rho_1\rho_2)^2]^2)^{-1}$, that is, $((\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma_2^{2n-7}})^{\rho_0} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{-\gamma_2^{2n-7}}$. It follows $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma_2^{2n-7}} = (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{-\gamma_2^{2n-7}}$ and $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{\gamma_2^{2n-6}} =$ 1, a contradiction.

Thus, $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_5$. Since $N = \langle (\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2]^2 = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}$ and $[\rho_0, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^4] = 1$ or $(\rho_1 \rho_2)^{2^{n-6}}$. It follows that $G \cong G_5, G_6, G_7$ or G_8 .

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571035, 11731002) and the 111 Project of China (B16002).

References

- [1] Y. Berkovich. Groups of Prime Power Order, vol. 1 (Walter de Gruyter, 2008).
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust. The Magma Algebra System. I: the user language. J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] P. J. Cameron, M. E. Fernandes, D. Leemans and M. Mixer. Highest rank of a polytope for A_n . Proc. London Math. Soc. 115 (2017), 135–176.
- [4] M. Conder. Regular polytopes with up to 2000 flags. Available at https://www. math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/RegularPolytopesWithFewFlags-ByOrder.txt.
- [5] M. Conder and D. Oliveros. The intersection condition for regular polytopes. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A. 120 (2013), 1291–1304.
- [6] M. Conder. The smallest regular polytopes of given rank. Adv. Math. 236 (2013), 92–110.
- [7] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser. Generators and Realitions for Discrete Groups (Springer-Verlag, 1972).
- [8] G. Cunningham and D. Pellicer. Classification of tight regular polyhedra. J. Algebraic *Combin.* 43 (2016), 665–691.
- [9] M. E. Fernandes and D. Leemans. Polytopes of high rank for the symmetric groups. Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 3207–3222.
- [10] M. E. Fernandes, D. Leemans and M. Mixer. Polytopes of high rank for the alternating groups. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A. 119 (2012), 42–56.
- [11] M. E. Fernandes, D. Leemans and M. Mixer. All alternating groups A_n with $n \geq 12$ have polytopes of rank $\frac{n-1}{2}$ $\frac{-1}{2}$]. SIAM J. Discrete Math. **26** (2012), 482–498.
- [12] M. E. Fernandes, D. Leemans and M. Mixer. Corrigendum to "Polytopes of high rank for the symmetric groups". Adv. Math. 238 (2013), 506–508.
- [13] M. E. Fernandes, D. Leemans and M. Mixer. Extension of the classification of high rank regular polytopes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear.
- [14] Y. Gomi, M. L. Loyola and M. L. A. N. De Las Peñas. String C-groups of order 1024, Contributions to Discrete Mathematics. 13 (2018), 1–22.
- [15] M. I. Hartley. An atlas of small regular abstract polytopes. Available at http://www. abstract-polytopes.com/atlas/index.html.
- [16] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer, 1967).
- [17] M. L. Loyola. String C-groups from groups of order 2^m and exponent at least 2^{m-3} . Preprint (2008). https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01457v1.
- [18] I. M. Isaacs. Finite Group Theory (American Mathematical Society, 2008).
- [19] A. M. McKelden. Groups of order 2^m that contain cyclic subgroups of order 2^{m-3} . Amer. Math. Monthly. 13 (1906), 121–136.
- [20] P. McMullen and E. Schulte. Abstract regular polytopes (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [21] D. Pellicer. CPR graphs and regular polytopes. European J. Combin. 29 (2008), 59– 71.
- [22] E. Schulte and A. I. Weiss. Problems on polytopes, their groups, and realizations. Periodica Math. Hungarica. 53 (2006), 231–255.