



Corrigendum to “Global sensitivity analysis of the climate–vegetation system to astronomical forcing: an emulator-based approach” published in Earth Syst. Dynam., 6, 205–224, 2015

N. Bounceur¹, M. Crucifix¹, and R. D. Wilkinson²

¹Université catholique de Louvain, Earth and Life Institute, Georges Lemaître Centre for Earth and Climate
 Research, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

²University of Nottingham, School of Mathematical Sciences, Nottingham, UK

Correspondence to: M. Crucifix (michel.crucifix@uclouvain.be)

Published: 27 October 2016

Dario Domingo (University of Leeds) kindly alerted us to a number of typographical inaccuracies in the equations of the manuscript. We list them below.

On p. 208, second column, line 10, one should read $\text{Var}(f(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x}_{-i})$ instead of $\text{Var}(f(\mathbf{x})|x_i)$.

On p. 209, Eq. (8) should read

$$\rho_i(x_i) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{-i}} \rho(x_i, \mathbf{x}_{-i}) d\mathbf{x}_{-i}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (10) should read:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{M}_{\text{tot}} \mathbf{M}'_{\text{tot}} + \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \rho(\mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x}', \quad (10)$$

and Eq. (11) should be

$$\mathbb{E}_f(\mathbf{V}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})' \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{S}_{\text{tot}} - \mathbf{C},$$

$$\text{with } \mathbf{S}_{\text{tot}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (11)$$

The synergy term is $\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}_{\{e\varpi\}} - \mathbf{T}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{T}_\varepsilon$.

On p. 209, column 2, one should read 1_n instead of 1_p .

The correlation function (Eq. 14) used by Andrianakis and Challenor (2012) is

$$c_k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \exp \left[-(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j) \right] + \nu_k \mathbb{1}_{i=j}. \quad (14)$$

On p. 210, left column, end of first paragraph of Sect. 2.4.3, one should have had

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})' \boldsymbol{\beta}_k = \beta_{k,0} + \beta_{k,1} x_1 + \beta_{k,2} x_2 + \beta_{k,3} x_3$$

instead of

$$1 + \beta_{k,1} x_1 + \dots$$

Still on p. 210, bottom of the left column, $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$ should be

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \frac{1}{n - q - 2} (\mathbf{e}'_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{e}_k).$$

Finally, p. 210, Eq. (15) should read

$$\log L_k(\nu_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\log \left(\left| \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \right| \left| \mathbf{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{H} \right| \right) + (n - q) \log \hat{\sigma}_k^2 \right) + K \quad (15)$$

(missing closing parenthesis and added K , which is an unspecified constant).

Fortunately, these are all typos and the above equations are consistent with the code used to do the numerical computations.