Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-203-SC7, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Carbon Emissions and Removals by Forests: New Estimates 1990–2020" by Francesco N. Tubiello

Jenny Wong

jwong@unfccc.int

Received and published: 29 October 2020

During the period 1991-2020, natural forests lost annually more than 2% of their biomass C stocks, corresponding to a mitigation potential of roughly 3 Gt CO2 yr-1 when future C stock losses from deforestation are avoided.

Let me see if I understood this final sentence correctly? You are saying that for the period 1991-2020, natural forests (what the difference between this with the naturally regenerating forest category that you began the article with?) lost 2% of their biomass stocks. And this is equivalent to about 3 Gt CO2/yr loss for this period? And if the biomass stocks were not lost in the first place, this 3 Gt could have been the mitigation potential. Then the sentence ends by saying: "when future C stock losses from D are

C1

avoided" Thus it is not clear how the ending phrase tie in to the first part of the sentence which talks about losses in the period 1991-2020. And what is the impact that you want to show in this final sentence? I think the article ought to conclude with findings that leave an impact, e.g. forest continuing to be a net sink (albeit small) and thus has a role in mitigating climate change.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-203, 2020.