1 Heat stored in the Earth system 1960-2020: Where does the energy go?

2

3 Authors: Karina von Schuckmann¹, Audrey Minère¹, Flora Gues^{2,1}, Francisco José Cuesta-4 Valero^{3,4}, Gottfried Kirchengast^{5,6}, Susheel Adusumilli⁷, Fiammetta Straneo⁷, Michael Ablain⁸, Richard P. Allan⁹, Chris Atkinson¹⁰, Paul M. Barker¹¹, Hugo Beltrami¹², Alejandro Blazquez¹³, 5 Tim Boyer¹⁴, Lijing Cheng^{15,16}, John Church¹⁷, Damien Desbruyeres¹⁸, Han Dolman¹⁹, Catia M. 6 Domingues²⁰, Almudena García-García^{3,4}, Donata Giglio²¹, John E. Gilson⁷, Maximilian 7 Gorfer^{5,22}, Leopold Haimberger²³, Maria Z. Hakuba²⁴, Stefan Hendricks²⁵, Shigeki Hosoda²⁶, 8 Gregory C. Johnson²⁷, Rachel Killick¹⁰, Brian King²⁸, Nicolas Kolodziejczyk²⁹, Anton Korosov³⁰, 9 Gerhard Krinner³¹, Mikael Kuusela³², Felix W. Landerer²⁴, Moritz Langer^{33,34}, Thomas 10 Lavergne³⁵, Isobel Lawrence³⁶, Yuehua Li³⁷, John Lyman²⁷, Florence Marti⁸, Ben Marzeion³⁸, 11 Michael Mayer^{23,39}, Andrew MacDougall⁴⁰, Trevor McDougall¹⁷, Didier Paolo Monselesan⁴¹, Jan 12 Nitzbon^{42,43}, Inès Otosaka⁴⁴, Jian Peng^{3,4}, Sarah Purkey^{7,45}, Dean Roemmich^{7,45}, Kanako Sato²⁶, Katsunari Sato⁴⁶, Abhishek Savita⁴⁷, Axel Schweiger⁴⁸, Andrew Shepherd⁴⁴, Sonia I. 13 14 Seneviratne⁴⁹, Leon Simons⁵⁰, Donald A. Slater⁵¹, Thomas Slater⁴⁴, Andrea Steiner⁵, Toshio 15 Suga^{52,26}, Tanguy Szekely⁵³, Wim Thiery⁵⁴, Mary-Louise Timmermans⁵⁵, Inne Vanderkelen⁵⁴, 16 Susan E. Wjiffels^{41,56}, Tonghua Wu⁵⁷, Michael Zemp⁵⁸ 17

18

19 Corresponding author: Karina von Schuckmann, karina.von.schuckmann@mercator-ocean.fr

- 20 21 ¹Mercator Ocean international, Toulouse, France
- 22 ²CELAD, Toulouse, France
- ³Department of Remote Sensing, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig, Germany
- ⁴Remote Sensing Centre for Earth System Research, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany
- ⁵Wegener Center for Climate and Global Change, University of Graz, Graz, Austria
- ⁶Institute of Physics, University of Graz, Graz, Austria
- 27 ⁷Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California, USA
- 28 ⁸MAGELLIUM, Ramonville St.-Agne, France
- ⁹Department of Meteorology and National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Reading, Reading, UK
- 30 ¹⁰Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
- 31 ¹¹University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
- 32 ¹²Climate & Atmospheric Sciences Institute and Department of Earth Sciences, St. Francis Xavier University,
- Antigonish, B2G 2W5, Canada
 ¹³LEGOS, Université de Toulous
- 34 ¹³LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, Toulouse, France
- 35 ¹⁴NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA
- ¹⁵Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ¹⁶Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, 266071, China
- 38 ¹⁷University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
- ¹⁸Ifremer, University of Brest, CNRS, IRD, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, Brest, France
- 40 ¹⁹Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Den Burg, Texel, Netherlands
- 41 ²⁰National Oceanographic Centre, Southampton, UK
- 42 ²¹University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 43 ²²Center for Climate Systems Modeling, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 44 ²³Department of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- 45 ²⁴Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 46 ²⁵Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
- 47 ²⁶Japan Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Japan
- 48 ²⁷NOAA, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle, USA
- 49 ²⁸National Oceanographic Centre, Southampton, UK
- 50 ²⁹University of Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, IUEM, Brest, France
- 51 ³⁰Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Bergen, Norway
- 52 ³¹Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

- 53 ³²Department of Statistics and Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 54 ³³Permafrost Research Section, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, 55
- Potsdam, Germany.
- 56 ³⁴Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 57 ³⁵Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway
- 58 ³⁶European Space Agency, ESRIN, Via Galileo Galilei, 1, 00044 Frascati RM, Italy
- 59 ³⁷School of Earth Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming, China
- 60 ³⁸Institute of Geography and MARUM - Center for Marine Environmental
- 61 Sciences, University of Bremen, Germany
- 62 ³⁹European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK
- 63 ⁴⁰Climate & Environment Program, St. Francis Xavier University Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada B2G 2W5
- 64 ⁴¹CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- 65 ⁴²Permafrost Research Section, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research,
- 66 Potsdam, Germany
- 67 ⁴³Paleoclimate Dynamics Section, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research,
- 68 Bremerhaven, Germany
- 69 ⁴⁴Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University of Leeds, UK
- 70 ⁴⁵University of California San Diego, San Diego, California, USA
- 71 ⁴⁶Japan Meteorological Agency, Japan
- ⁴⁷GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany
- 72 73 ⁴⁸Polar Science Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 74 ⁴⁹Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, 8092, Switzerland
- 75 ⁵⁰The Club of Rome, The Netherlands Association, 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands
- 76 ⁵¹Glaciology and Oceanography, Univ. of Edinburgh, UK
- 77 ⁵²Tohoku University, Japan
- 78 ⁵³Ocean Scope, Brest, France
- 79 ⁵⁴Department of Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, 1050, Belgium
- 80 ⁵⁵Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA
- 81 ⁵⁶Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Massachusetts, USA
- 82 ⁵⁷Cryosphere Research Station on Qinghai–Xizang Plateau, State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science,
- 83 Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources (NIEER), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Lanzhou, 84 730000, China
- 85 ⁵⁸Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Switzerland
- 86 87
- 88

89 Abstract. The Earth climate system is out of energy balance and heat has accumulated continuously over the past decades, warming the ocean, the land, the cryosphere and the 90 atmosphere. According to the 6th Assessment Working Group I Report of the Intergovernmental 91 92 Panel on Climate Change, this planetary warming over multiple decades is human-driven and 93 results in unprecedented and committed changes to the Earth system, with adverse impacts for 94 ecosystems and human systems. The Earth heat inventory provides a measure of the Earth energy 95 imbalance (EEI), and allows for quantifying how much heat has accumulated in the Earth system, and where the heat is stored. Here we show that the Earth's system has continued to accumulate 96 97 heat, with 381 ± 61 ZJ from 1971 to 2020. This is equivalent to a heating rate (i.e., the EEI) of 0.48 ± 0.1 W m⁻². The majority, about 89 %, of this heat is stored in the ocean, followed by about 98 99 6 % on land, 1 % in the atmosphere, and about 4 % is available for melting the cryosphere. Over 100 the most recent period 2006-2020, the EEI amounts to 0.76 ± 0.2 Wm⁻². The Earth Energy 101 Imbalance is the most fundamental global climate indicator that the scientific community and the 102 public can use as the measure of how well the world is doing in the task of bringing anthropogenic 103 climate change under control. Moreover, this indicator is highly complementary to other 104 established ones like global mean surface temperature as it represents a robust measure of the rate

105 of climate change, and its future commitment. We call for an implementation of the Earth energy 106 imbalance into the Paris agreement's global stocktake based on best available science. The Earth 107 heat inventory in this study, updated from von Schuckmann et al., 2020, is underpinned by 108 worldwide multidisciplinary collaboration and demonstrates the critical importance of concerted 109 international efforts for climate change monitoring and community-based recommendations and 110 we also call for urgently needed actions for enabling continuity, archiving, rescuing and calibrating 111 efforts to assure improved and long-term monitoring capacity of the global climate observing 112 system.

113

114

115 Introduction

116

117 The Earth energy imbalance (EEI) is the most fundamental indicator for climate change, as it tells 118 us if, how much, how fast and where the Earth climate is warming, and how this warming evolves 119 in the future (Hansen et al., 2011, 2005; von Schuckmann et al., 2016). The EEI is given by the 120 difference between incoming solar radiation and outgoing radiation, which determines the net 121 radiative flux at the Top Of the Atmosphere (TOA). Today, the Earth climate system is out of 122 energy balance, and consequently, heat has accumulated continuously over the past decades, 123 warming the ocean, the land, the cryosphere and the atmosphere, determining the Earth heat 124 inventory (Fig. 1, von Schuckmann et al., 2020). This planetary warming is human-driven and 125 results in unprecedented and committed changes to the Earth system (Fig. 1) (Forster et al., 2022), with adverse impacts for ecosystems and human systems (IPCC, 2022a). As long as this imbalance 126 127 persists, or even increases, planet Earth will keep gaining energy, increasing planetary warming (Hansen et al., 2005; 2017). Today the EEI can be best estimated from the quantification of the 128 129 Earth heat inventory, complemented by direct measurements from space (von Schuckmann et al., 130 2016; Loeb et al., 2021). In addition, the Earth heat inventory as derived from multiple sources of 131 measurements and models also allows to unravel where the energy – mostly in the form of heat – 132 is stored in the Earth system across all components (von Schuckmann et al., 2020). Results of the 133 first internationally driven initiative on the Earth heat inventory (von Schuckmann et al., 2020) do 134 not only show how much and where heat has accumulated in the Earth system, but have also shown 135 for the first time that the Earth energy imbalance has increased over the recent decade. This 136 increase is expected to have fundamental implications for Earth climate, and several potential 137 drivers have been discussed recently (Hakuba et al., 2021; Kramer et al., 2021; Loeb et al., 2021). 138

139 The Earth system responds to an imposed radiative forcing through a number of feedbacks, which 140 operate on various different timescales. Earth's radiative response is complex, comprising a variety 141 of climate feedbacks (e.g., water vapor feedback, cloud feedbacks, ice-albedo feedback) (Forster 142 et al., 2022). Conceptually, the relationships between EEI, radiative forcing and surface 143 temperature change can be expressed as (Gregory & Andrews, 2016):

144

145 $\Delta N_{TOA} = \Delta F_{ERF} - |\alpha_{FP}| \Delta T_s$,

(1)

146

147 where ΔN_{TOA} is the Earth's net energy imbalance at TOA (in W m⁻²), ΔF_{ERF} is the effective 148 radiative forcing (W m⁻²), ΔT_s is the global surface temperature anomaly (K) relative to the 149 equilibrium state and α_{FP} is the net total feedback parameter (W m⁻² K⁻¹), which represents the

150 combined effect of the various climate feedbacks. Essentially, α_{FP} in Eq. (1) can be viewed as a

151 measure of how efficient the system is at restoring radiative equilibrium for a unit surface 152 temperature rise. Thus, ΔN_{TOA} represents the difference between the applied radiative forcing and 153 Earth's radiative response through climate feedbacks associated with surface temperature increase 154 (e.g., Hansen et al., 2011). Observation-based estimates of ΔN_{TOA} are therefore crucial both to our 155 understanding of past climate change and for refining projections of future climate change 156 (Gregory & Andrews, 2016; Kuhlbrodt & Gregory, 2012). The long atmospheric lifetime of carbon 157 dioxide means that ΔN_{TOA} , ΔF_{ERF} and ΔT_{S} will remain positive for centuries, even with substantial 158 reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, and lead to substantial sea-level rise, ocean warming and 159 ice shelf loss (Cheng et al., 2019; Forster et al., 2022; Hansen et al., 2017; IPCC, 2021; Nauels et al., 2017). In other words, warming will continue even if atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) 160 161 amounts are stabilized at today's level, and the EEI defines additional global warming that will occur without further change in forcing (Hansen et al., 2017). The EEI is less subject to decadal 162 variations associated with internal climate variability than global surface temperature and therefore 163 164 represents a robust measure of the rate of climate change and its future commitment (Cheng et al., 165 2017; Forster et al., 2022; Loeb et al., 2018; Palmer & McNeall, 2014; von Schuckmann et al., 166 2016). 167



170 Fig. 1: Schematic overview on the central role of the Earth heat inventory and its linkage to 171 anthropogenic emissions, the Earth energy imbalance, change in the Earth system and 172 implications for ecosystems and human systems. The Earth heat inventory plays a central role for 173 climate change monitoring as it provides information on the absolute value of the Earth energy imbalance, the total Earth system heat gain, and how much and where heat is stored in the different 174 175 Earth system components. Examples of associated global-scale changes in the Earth system as 176 assessed in (Gulev et al., 2021) are drawn, together with major implications for the ecosystem and 177 human systems (IPCC, 2022b). Upward arrows indicate increasing change, downward arrows 178 indicate decreasing change, and turning arrows indicate change in both directions. The % for heat 179 stored in the Earth system are provided over the period 2006-2020 (see section 6). 180 181 The heat gain in the Earth system from a positive EEI results in directly and indirectly triggered changes in the climate system, with a variety of implications for the environment and human 182 systems (Fig. 1). One of the most direct implications from a positive EEI is the rise of Global Mean 183 184 Surface Temperature. The accumulation and storage of surplus anthropogenic heat leads to ocean

185 warming and thermal expansion of the water column, which together with terrestrial ice melt leads 186 to sea level rise (WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group, 2018). Moreover, there are various facets 187 of impacts from ocean warming such as on climate extremes, which are provided in more detail in 188 a recent review (Cheng et al., 2022a). The heat accumulation in the Earth system also leads to 189 warming of the atmosphere, particularly to a temperature increase in the troposphere, leading to 190 water vapor increase and changes in atmospheric circulation (Gulev et al., 2021).

191

192 On land, the heat accumulation leads to an increase in ground heat storage, which in turn triggers 193 an increase in ground surface temperatures that may increase soil respiration, and may lead to a 194 decrease in soil water, depending on the climatic and meteorological conditions and factors such 195 as land cover and soil characteristics (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022a; Gulev et al., 2021). Moreover, 196 inland water heat storage increases, leading to increases in lake water temperatures that may result 197 in algal blooms and lake stratification, and typically leads to a decrease in lake ice cover. Heat gain 198 in the Earth system also induces an increase in permafrost heat content, which in turn leads to 199 disruptive changes in ground morphology, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions, and a decrease in permafrost 200 extent and ground ice volume. More details are synthesized in (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022). In the 201 cryosphere associated changes include a loss of glaciers, ice sheets and Arctic sea ice (IPCC, 2019, 202 2021a). These human-induced changes have already impacted ecosystems, and have adverse 203 impacts on human systems (Fig.1). Particularly, they have emerged for ecosystem structure, 204 species ranges and phenology (timing of life cycles), and include adverse impacts such as for water 205 security and food production, health and wellbeing, cities, settlements and infrastructures (IPCC, 206 2022c, see their Fig. SPM.2).

207

Regularly assessing, quantifying and evaluating the Earth heat inventory creates a unique opportunity to support the call of action and solution pathways as assessed during the 6th assessment cycle of the IPCC. Moreover, the Earth heat inventory allows for a regular stock taking of the implementation of the Paris Agreement¹ while monitoring progress towards achieving the purpose of the agreement and its long-term goals based on best available science. These assessment outcomes further emphasize the need to extend the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS)

https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement

beyond the strict scientific observation of the climate state to also supporting policy and planning (GCOS, 2021). Science-driven studies driven by an Earth system view and backboned by concerted multidisciplinary and international collaborations play here a critical role to support these objectives (Crisp et al., 2022; Dorigo et al., 2021; von Schuckmann et al., 2020). With this second study we aim to contribute to a more frequent and regular science-driven update of the state of the Earth heat inventory as an important indicator of climate change.

220

221 Based on the quantification of the Earth heat inventory published in 2020 (von Schuckmann et al., 222 2020), we present the updated results of the Earth heat inventory over the period 1960-2020, along 223 with the long-term Earth's system heat gain over this period, and the partitions of where the heat 224 goes for the ocean, atmosphere, land and cryosphere. Section 2 provides the updates for ocean heat content, which is based on improved evaluations (e.g., trend evaluation method) and the addition 225 226 of further international data products of subsurface temperature. Updated estimates and 227 refinements for atmospheric heat content are discussed in Section 3. For the land component in 228 section 4, an improved uncertainty framework is proposed for the ground heat storage estimate, 229 and new evaluations for inland freshwater heat storage and thawing of permafrost have been 230 included (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022a). An update of the heat available to melt the cryosphere is 231 described in section 5 based on reenforced international collaboration. In section 6, the updated 232 Earth heat inventory is established and discussed based on the results of sections 2-5. In the final 233 section, challenges and recommendations for future improved estimates are discussed for each 234 Earth system component, with associated recommendations for future evolutions of the observing 235 system.

235

237 **2. Heat stored in the ocean**

238

239 Global Ocean Heat Content (OHC) can be estimated directly from subsurface temperature 240 measurements, which is one of the variables of the in situ component of the Global Ocean 241 Observing System (GOOS²), and which has continued to evolve during the past decades (Abraham 242 et al., 2013; Gould et al., 2013; Moltmann et al., 2019). The evolution of the ocean observing 243 system for subsurface temperature measurements is provided for example in Cheng et al. (2022a), 244 leveraging the transition from historical measures to modern autonomous techniques, which 245 achieved near-global coverage in the year 2006 (the so-called golden Argo era). Different research 246 groups have developed gridded products of subsurface temperature fields and ocean heat content 247 using different processing methodologies (Abraham et al., 2022; Boyer et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2022; Gulev et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; Savita et al., 2022). Additionally, specific Argo-based 248 249 products are listed on the Argo web page (http://www.argo.ucsd.edu/, last access: 12 July 2022). 250 Near-global OHC can also be indirectly estimated from spatial geodetic measurements by combining sea surface height from altimetry and ocean mass from gravimetry to solve the sea-251 level budget equation (Dieng et al., 2017; Llovel et al., 2014; Meyssignac et al., 2019). Spatial 252 253 geodetic OHC is available since 2002 and provides full depth OHC variations (Hakuba et al., 2021; 254 Marti et al., 2022). Ocean reanalysis systems have also been used to deliver estimates of near-255 global OHC (Trenberth et al., 2016; von Schuckmann et al., 2018), and their international 256 assessments show increased agreement with increasing in situ data availability for the assimilation,

² https://www.goosocean.org/

particularly when Argo had achieved nearly global scale data sampling (Fig. 2) (Palmer et al.,
2017; Storto et al., 2018, 2019; Meyssignac et al., 2019).

259

260 This initiative relies on the availability of regular updates of data products, their temporal extensions and direct interactions with the different research groups. A complete view of all 261 262 subsurface ocean temperature products can be only achieved through a concerted international 263 effort and over time, particularly accounting for the continued development of new or improved 264 OHC products. In this study, we do not achieve a holistic view of all available products but present 265 a starting point for future international regular assessments of global OHC. A first established international ensemble mean and standard deviation of near global OHC up to 2018 was 266 267 established in von Schuckmann et al. (2020), which has now been updated up to 2020, and further 268 extended with the addition of 5 new products (Fig. 3). The ensemble spread gives an indication of the agreement among products and can be used as a proxy for uncertainty. Compared to the results 269 270 in von Schuckmann et al. (2020), the spread has increased which can be referred back to the 271 additional use of data products, the impact of year-to-year variations, and the refined use of the 272 ensemble spread approach (see below).

273

274 Albeit the tremendous improvement of in situ subsurface temperature measurements over time, 275 estimates of global OHC remain an area of active research to minimize the major effects from 276 different data processing techniques of the irregular (in space and time) in situ database and 277 associated sampling characteristics, followed by the choice of the climatology used in the mapping 278 process, and data bias corrections, which today induce discrepancies between the different 279 estimates (Allison et al., 2019; Boyer et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2014, 2018; Good, 2017; Gouretski 280 & Cheng, 2020; Savita et al., 2022). Concerns about common errors in the products remain. Accurate understanding of the uncertainties of the product is an essential element in their use. So 281 282 far, a basic assumption is that the error distribution for the observations is Gaussian with a mean 283 of zero, which has been approximated by an ensemble of various products. However, a more complete understanding of any apparent trends requires determination of systematic errors (e.g., 284 285 systematic calibration errors), or the impacts of changing observation densities through a synthetic 286 profile approach (Allison et al., 2019), and of instrument technologies (Wong et al., 2020). These 287 elements can result in biases across the ensemble, or produce artificial changes in the energetics 288 of the system (Wunsch, 2020). For example, Li et al. (2022) estimated that assuming linear vertical 289 interpolation with sparse historical vertical profiles results is an underestimation of global ocean 290 heat content (and ocean thermal expansion) trends since the 1950s of order 14% compared with 291 more a sophisticated vertical interpolation scheme (Barker & McDougall, 2020; Li et al., 2022), 292 with the greatest systematic underestimates at latitudes 15-20°N and S. Li et al. (2022) also found 293 that interannual differences between various XBT corrections were similar to the differences when 294 only higher quality hydrographic data were included, implying the need for improved time 295 dependent XBT corrections. The uncertainty can also be estimated in other ways including some 296 purely statistical methods (Cheng et al., 2019; Levitus et al., 2012; MacIntosh et al., 2017) or 297 methods explicitly accounting for the error sources (Gaillard et al., 2016; Lyman & Johnson, 2014; 298 von Schuckmann & Le Traon, 2011). Each method has its caveats; for example, the error 299 covariances are mostly unknown, and must be estimated a priori. For this study, adopting a 300 straightforward method with a "data democracy" strategy (i.e., all OHC estimates have been given 301 equal weights) has been chosen as a starting point, differently from the ensemble approach adopted 302 in AR6 (Forster et al., 2022).



304

Figure 2. Ensemble mean time series and ensemble standard deviation (95%, shaded) of global ocean heat content (OHC) anomalies relative to the 2005–2020 climatology for the 0–300m (gray), 0–700m (blue), 0–2000m (yellow) and 700–2000m depth layer (green). The ensemble mean is an outcome of an international assessment initiative, and all products used are referenced in the legend of Fig. 3. The trends derived from the time series are given in Table 1. Note that values are given for the ocean surface area between 60°S and 60°N and are limited to the 300m bathymetry of each product.



313 314 Figure 3. Trends of global ocean heat content (OHC) as derived from different products (colors), 315 and using LOWESS (see text for more details). References are given in the figure legend, except, 316 **CMEMS** (CORA, *Copernicus* Marine Ocean Monitoring Indicator, 317 http://marine.copernicus.eu/science-learning/ocean-monitoring-indicators, last access: 28 June 318 2022), EN.4.2.2.c14 ((Good et al., 2013b) with (Cheng et al., 2015) XBT and (Gouretski & Cheng, 319 2020) MBT bias corrections, and the method of (Palmer et al., 2007)). CSIRO-GEOMAR-NOC 320 (Argo) (Domingues et al., 2008; Roemmich et al., 2015; Wijffels et al., 2016), CSIRO-GEOMAR-321 NOC (hist) (Church et al., 2011; Domingues et al., 2008), NOC (National Oceanographic 322 Institution) (Desbruyères et al., 2017) and the Argo dataset MOAA GPV (Hosoda et al., 2008). 323 Results from the Copernicus Marine reanalysis ensemble mean have been added as well (CMEMS, 324 2022) for comparison, but are not considered for the ensemble mean in Fig. 1. The ensemble mean 325 and standard deviation (95% confidence interval) are indicated in black. The shaded areas show 326 trends from different depth layer integrations, i.e., 0–300m (light turquoise), 0–700m (light blue), 327 0–2000m (purple) and 700–2000m (light purple). For each integration depth layer, trends are 328 evaluated over the three study periods, i.e., historical (1960–2020), altimeter era (1993–2020) 329 and golden Argo era (2006–2020). See text for more details on the international assessment 330 criteria. Note that values are given for the ocean surface area (see text for more details). 331 References as indicated in the legend include (Cheng et al., 2017; Gaillard et al., 2016; Good et 332 al., 2013a; Ishii et al., 2017; Kuusela & Giglio, 2022; Levitus et al., 2012; Li et al., 2017; Li et al., 333 2022; Lyman & Johnson, 2014; Roemmich & Gilson, 2009; von Schuckmann & Le Traon, 2011). 334

335 The continuity of this activity will help to further expand international collaboration and to unravel 336 uncertainties due to the community's collective efforts on data quality as well as on detecting and 337 reducing processing uncertainties. It also provides up-to-date scientific knowledge of ocean 338 warming. Products used for this assessment are referenced in the caption of Fig. 3. Estimates of 339 OHC have been provided by the different research groups under homogeneous criteria: all 340 estimates use a coherent ocean volume limited by the 300m isobath (700m for Li et al. 2022) of 341 each product and are limited to 60°S-60°N since most observational products exclude high latitude 342 ocean areas because of the low observational coverage, and only annual averages have been used. 343 The ocean areas within 60°S–60°N includes 91% of the global ocean surface area, and limiting to 344 the 300m isobath neglects the contributions from coastal and shallow waters, so the resultant OHC 345 trends will be underestimated if these ocean regions are warming. For example, neglecting shallow 346 waters is estimated to account for more than 10% for 0-2000m OHC trends (Savita et al., 2022; 347 von Schuckmann et al., 2014), and about 4% for the Arctic area (Mayer et al., 2021a). The 348 assessment is based on three distinct periods to account for the evolution of the observing system, 349 i.e., 1960-2020 (i.e., "historical"), 1993-2020 (i.e., "altimeter era") and 2006-2020 (i.e., "golden 350 Argo era"). All time series go up to 2020 – which was one of the principal limitations for the 351 inclusion of some products. Our final estimates of OHC for the 0-300m, 0-700m, 700-2000m and 352 0-2000 m depth layers are the ensemble average of all products, with the uncertainty range defined 353 by the standard deviation $(2\sigma, 95\%)$ confidence interval) of the corresponding ensemble used (Fig. 354 2).

355

356 For the trend evaluation we have followed the most recent study of (Cheng et al., 2022), and used 357 a Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOWESS) approach to reduce the effect of highfrequency variability (e.g., year-to-year variability), data noise or changes in the observing system 358 359 as it relies on a weighted regression (Cleveland, 1979) within a prescribed span width of 25 years 360 for the historical and altimeter era, and 15 years for the recent period 2006-2020. The change in 361 OHC(t) over a specific period, \triangle OHC, is then calculated by subtracting the first value to the last 362 value of the fitted time series, OHC_{LOWESS(t)}, to obtain the trend while dividing by the considered 363 period. To obtain an uncertainty range on the trend estimate, and take into account the sensitivity 364 of the calculation to interannual variability, we implement a Monte-Carlo simulation to generate 1000 surrogate series OHC_{random}(t), under the assumption of a given mean (our "true" time series 365 366 OHC(t)) (Cheng et al., 2022). Each surrogate OHCrandom(t) consists of the fitted "true" time serie 367 OHC(t) plus a randomly generated residual which follows a normal (Gaussian) distribution, and which is included in an envelope equal to 2 times the uncertainty associated to the time series. 368 369 Then, a LOWESS fitted line is estimated for each of the 1000 surrogates. The 95% confidence 370 interval for the trend is then calculated based on ± 2 times the standard deviation ($\pm 2-\sigma$) of all 371 1000 trends of the surrogates. However, the use of either trend estimates following a linear, or 372 LOWESS approach, or the approach discussed in (Palmer et al., 2021) lead to consistent results 373 within uncertainties (not shown).

374

In agreement with (Cheng et al., 2019; Gulev et al., 2021), our results confirm a continuous increase of ocean warming over the entire study period (Fig. 2). Moreover, rates of global ocean warming have increased over the 3 different study periods, i.e., historical up to the recent decadal change. The trend values are all given in Table 1. The major fraction of heat is stored in the upper ocean (0–300 m and 0–700 m depth). However, heat storage at intermediate depth (700–2000 m)

increases at a nearly comparable rate as reported for the 0-300 m depth layer (Table 1, Fig. 3).

381 There is a general agreement among the 16 international OHC estimates (Fig. 3). However, for 382 some periods and depth layers the standard deviation (95% confidence level) reaches maxima to 383 about 0.3 W m⁻². All products agree on the fact that global ocean warming rates have increased in 384 the past decades and doubled since the beginning of the altimeter era (1993–2020 compared with 385 1960–2020) (Fig. 3). Moreover, there is a clear indication that heat sequestration took place in the 386 700-2000m depth layer over the past 6 decades linked to an increase in OHC trends over time (Fig. 387 3). Ocean warming rates for the 0–2000 m depth layer reached record rates of 1.03 (0.62) \pm 388 0.2 W m⁻² over the period 2006-2020 for the ocean (global) area, consistent with what had been 389 reported in (Johnson et al., 2022). 390

391

	Ocean Heat Content linear trends (W/m ²)						
	0-300m	0-700m	0-2000m	700-2000m	0-bottom	0-bottom, Hakuba et al., 2021	0-bottom, Marti et al., 2022
1960-2020	0.14 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.1	0.32 ± 0.1	0.11 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.1		
1971-2020	0.18 ± 0.1	0.27 ± 0.1	0.40 ± 0.1	0.13 ± 0.03	0.43 ± 0.1		
1993-2020	0.24 ± 0.1	0.37 ± 0.1	0.55 ± 0.2	0.18 ± 0.04	0.61 ± 0.2		
2006-2020	0.27 ± 0.1	0.39 ± 0.1	0.62 ± 0.2	0.23 ± 0.1	0.68 ± 0.3	0.88 ± 0.24	0.87 ± 0.2

392

393 **Table 1:** OHC trends using LOWESS (Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing, see text for more 394 details) as derived from the ensemble mean (Fig. 2) for different time intervals, as well as different 395 integration depths. The regression was done for each time period (1960 - 2020, 1971 - 2020, 1993 396 - 2020, 2006 - 2020). A time window of 25 years was used for the periods that allowed it (1960 -2020, 1971 - 2020, 1993 - 2020). For the period 2006 - 2020, a time window of 15 years was used. 397 398 Note that values are given in Wm⁻² relative to the global surface. See also text and Fig. 2-3 for 399 more details. Additionally, values for satellite-derived estimates of OHC have been added for the 400 most recent period, updated after Hakuba et al., 2021 and Marti et al., 2022.

401 402

403 For the deep OHC changes below 2000 m, we adapted an updated estimate from (Purkey & Johnson, 2010) (PG10 hereinafter) from 1992 to 2020, which is a constant linear trend estimate 404 405 $(0.97 \pm 0.48 \text{ ZJ yr}^{-1}, 0.06 \pm 0.03 \text{ W m}^{-2})$ derived from a global integration of OHC below 2000 m 406 using basin scale deep ocean temperature trends from repeated hydrographic sections. Some recent 407 studies strengthened the results in PG10 (Desbruyères et al., 2016; Zanna et al., 2019). Desbruyères 408 et al. (2016) examined the decadal change of the deep and abyssal OHC trends below 2000 m in 409 the 1990s and 2000s, suggesting that there has not been a significant change in the rate of decadal 410 global deep/abyssal warming from the 1990s to the 2000s and the overall deep ocean warming rate is consistent with PG10. Using a Green's function method and ECCO reanalysis data, Zanna et al. 411 (2019) reported a deep ocean warming rate of ~ 0.06 W m⁻² during the 2000s, consistent with PG10 412 413 used in this study. Zanna et al. (2019) shows a fairly weak global trend during the 1990s, different 414 from observation-based estimates. This mismatch might come from how surface-deep connections 415 are represented in ECCO reanalysis data and the use of time-mean Green's functions in Zanna et 416 al. (2019), as well as from the sparse coverage of the observational network for relatively short 417 time spans. Furthermore, combining hydrographic and deep-Argo floats, a recent study (Johnson 418 et al., 2019) reported an accelerated warming in the South Pacific Ocean in recent years, but a 419 global estimate of the OHC rate of change over time is not available yet, and the rates of warming

420 may vary by ocean basin. Comparison of the results in table 1 with OHC estimates derived from

- the space geodetic approach (Hakuba, 2019; Marti et al., 2022) shows overall agreement withinuncertainties.
- 423

424 Before 1992, we assume zero OHC trend below 2000 m due to insufficient global observations 425 below 2000m, following the methodology in some studies (Cheng et al. 2017; 2022), IPCC-AR5 426 (Rhein et al., 2013) and IPCC-AR6 (Forster et al., 2022; Gulev et al. 2021). The deep warming is 427 likely driven by decadal variability in deep water formation rates, which could have been in a non-428 steady state mode prior to 1990, introducing additional uncertainty to the pre-1990 OHC estimates. 429 Using surface temperature observations and assuming the heat is advected by mean circulation, 430 Zanna et al. (2019) shows a near-zero (small cooling trend) OHC trend below 2000 m from the 431 1960s to 1980s, suggesting the trend before 1992 might be small. The derived time following PG10 432 series after 1991 and zero-trend before 1992 is used for the Earth energy inventory in Sect. 5. A 433 centralized (around the year 2006) uncertainty approach has been applied for the deep (>2000 m 434 depth) OHC estimate following the method of Cheng et al. (2017), which allows us to extract an 435 uncertainty range over the period 1993–2018 within the given [lower ($0.96-0.48 \text{ ZJ yr}^{-1}$), upper 436 (0.96+0.48 ZJ yr⁻¹)] range of the deep OHC trend estimate. We then extend the obtained 437 uncertainty estimate back from 1992 to 1960, with 0 OHC anomaly.

438 439

440 **3. Heat available to warm the atmosphere**

441

442 The heat content of the atmosphere is small compared to the one of the other Earth subsystems. 443 Yet it is by no means negligible, since in relative terms, the atmospheric heat gain is rapid over the 444 recent decades and has a high impact on human life (Fig. 1) (IPCC, 2021). Atmospheric 445 observations show a warming of the troposphere and a cooling and contraction of the stratosphere 446 since at least 1979 (Pisoft et al., 2021; Steiner et al., 2020a). In the tropics, the upper troposphere 447 has warmed faster than the near-surface atmosphere since at least 2001, as seen with the new 448 observation technique of GPS radio occultation (Gulev et al., 2021; Ladstädter et al., 2023; Steiner 449 et al., 2020a; Steiner et al., 2020b), while observations based on microwave soundings have likely underestimated tropospheric temperature trends in the past (Santer et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2021). 450

451 Recently, a continuous rise of the tropopause has been observed for 1980 to 2020 over the northern 452 hemisphere (Meng et al., 2022). The increase is equally due to tropospheric warming and 453 stratospheric cooling in the period 1980 to 2000 while the rise after 2000 resulted primarily from 454 enhanced tropospheric heat gain. Moreover, indications exist on a widening of the tropical belt (Fu 455 et al., 2019; Grise et al., 2019; Staten et al., 2020) as well as on changes in the seasonal cycle 456 (Santer et al., 2022). However, changes in atmospheric circulation and conditions for extreme 457 weather are still subject to uncertainty (Cohen et al., 2020) while the occurrence of heat-related 458 extreme weather events has clearly increased over the recent decades (Cohen et al., 2020; IPCC, 459 2021b), with high risks for society, economy, and the environment (Fischer et al., 2021).

460 A regular assessment of atmospheric heat content changes is hence critical for a complete overview

461 of energy and mass exchanges with other climate components and for a complete energy budgeting

462 of Earth's climate system.

463 **3.1 Atmospheric heat content**

In a globally averaged and vertically integrated sense, heat accumulation in the atmosphere arises from a small imbalance between net energy fluxes at the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) and the surface (denoted *s*). The heat energy budget of the vertically integrated and globally averaged atmosphere (indicated by the global averaging operator <.>) reads as follows (Mayer et al., 2017):

468
$$<\frac{\partial E_A}{\partial t} > = < N_{TOA} > - < F_s > - < F_{snow} > - < F_{PE} >, \qquad (1)$$

469 where the vertically integrated atmospheric energy content E_A per unit surface area [Jm⁻²] reads

470
$$E_A = \int_{z_s}^{z_{TOA}} \rho\left(c_v T + g(z - z_s) + L_e q + \frac{1}{2}V^2\right) dz.$$
(2)

In Equation (1), N_{TOA} is the net radiation at top of the atmosphere, F_s is the net surface energy flux defined as the sum of net surface radiation and latent and sensible heat fluxes, F_{snow} denotes the latent heat flux associated with snowfall, and F_{PE} additionally accounts for sensible heat of precipitation. See Mayer et al. (2017) or von Schuckmann et al. (2020) for a discussion of the latter two terms, which are small on a global scale and hence often neglected.

Equation (2), formulated in mean-sea-level altitude (*z*) coordinates used here for integrating over observational data, provides a decomposition of E_A into sensible heat energy (sum of the first two terms, internal heat energy and gravity potential energy), latent heat energy (third term), and kinetic energy (fourth term), where ρ is the air density, c_v the specific heat for moist air at constant volume, *T* the air temperature, *g* the acceleration of gravity, L_e the temperature-dependent effective latent heat of condensation L_v or sublimation L_s (the latter relevant below 0 °C), *q* the specific humidity of the moist air, and *V* the wind speed. We neglect atmospheric liquid water droplets and

483 ice particles as separate species, as their amounts and especially their trends are small.

- 484 In computing E_A for the purpose of this update to the von Schuckmann et al. (2020) heat storage 485 assessment, we continued to use the formulations described therein, including that we refer to the 486 (geographically aggregated) E_A as atmospheric heat content (AHC) in this context. This 487 acknowledges the dominance of the heat-related terms in Eq. (2). Briefly, in deriving the AHC 488 from observational datasets, we accounted for the intrinsic temperature-dependence of the latent 489 heat of water vapor in formulating L_e (for details see Gorfer, 2022) while the reanalysis derivations 490 approximated L_e by constant values of L_v , as this simplification is typically also made in the 491 assimilating models (e.g., ECMWF-IFS, 2015). As another small difference, the observational 492 estimations neglected the kinetic energy term in Eq. (2) while the reanalysis estimations accounted 493 for it. The resulting differences in AHC anomalies from any of these differences are negligibly
- 494 small, however, especially when considering trends over time.

495 **3.2 Datasets and heat content estimation**

496 Turning to the actual datasets used, the AHC and its changes and trends over time can be quantified 497 using various data sources. Reassessing possible data sources, we extended the high-quality

498 datasets that we used in the initial von Schuckmann et al. (2020) assessment. In particular, we

499 updated the time period from 2018 to 2020 and improved the back-extension from 1980 to 1960.

500 Specifically, the adopted datasets and the related AHC data record preparations can be summarized 501 as follows.

502 Atmospheric reanalyses combine observational information from various sources (radiosondes, 503 satellites, weather stations, etc.) and a dynamical model in a statistically optimal way. These data 504 have reached a high level of maturity, thanks to continuous improvement work since the early 505 1990s (Hersbach et al., 2018). Especially reanalyzed thermodynamic state variables, like 506 temperature and water vapor that are most relevant for AHC computation, are of high quality and 507 suitable for climate studies, although temporal discontinuities introduced from changing observing systems continue to deserve due attention (Berrisford et al., 2011; Chiodo & Haimberger, 2010; 508 509 Hersbach et al., 2020; Mayer et al., 2021b).

- 510 We use the latest generation of reanalyses, including ECMWF's Fifth generation reanalysis ERA5 511 (Bell et al., 2021; Hersbach et al., 2020), JMA's reanalysis JRA55 (Kobayashi et al., 2015), and
- 512 NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA2)
- 513 (Gelaro et al., 2017). ERA5 and JRA55 are both available over the full joint timeframe of this heat
- 514 storage assessment from 1960 to 2020, while MERRA2 complements these from 1980 to 2020.
- 515 The additional JRA55C reanalysis variant of JRA55, included for initial inter-comparison in von
- 516 Schuckmann et al. (2020), is no longer used since it is available to 2012 only and due to its
- 517 similarity to JRA55 is not adding appreciable complementary value.
- 518 In addition to these three reanalyses, the datasets from two climate-quality observation techniques
- 519 are used, for complementary observational AHC estimates. These include the Wegener Center
- 520 (WEGC) multi-satellite radio occultation (RO) data record, WEGC OPSv5.6 (Angerer et al., 2017;
- 521 Steiner et al., 2020b), over 2002-2020 and a radiosonde (RS) data record derived from the high-522 quality Vaisala sondes RS80/RS92/VS41, WEGC Vaisala (F Ladstädter et al., 2015), covering
- 523 1996-2020. These RO and RS data sets provide atmospheric profiles of temperature, specific
 524 humidity, and density that are vertically completed by collocated ERA5 profiles in domains not
- 525 fully covered by the data (e.g., in the lower troposphere for RO or at polar latitudes for RS). Similar
- 526 to dropping the JRA55C reanalysis variant for no longer adding appreciable further value, the
- 527 simplified AHC-proxy data based on microwave sounding unit (MSU) observational data, inter-
- 528 compared in von Schuckmann et al. (2020), are no longer used.
- From the observational data, the AHC is estimated by first evaluating Eq. (2) (using all terms for 529 530 total and the third term only for latent AHC) at each available profile location and subsequently 531 deriving it as volumetric heat content, for up to global scale, from vertical integration, temporal 532 averaging, and geographic aggregation according to the approach summarized in von Schuckmann 533 et al. (2020) and described in detail by (Gorfer, 2022). For the reanalyses, the estimation is based 534 on the full gridded fields. Applying the approach for crosscheck to reanalysis profiles sub-sampled 535 at observation locations only, confirms its validity as it accurately leads to the same AHC results 536 as from the full gridded fields.
- 537 Overall, the ensemble spread of all the atmospheric datasets used is deemed a reasonable proxy
- for the uncertainty in the ensemble-mean annual AHC anomaly data, in particular since 1980 during the "satellite observations era" (e.g., Hersbach et al., 2020; Steiner et al., 2020a). The
- 539 during the "satellite observations era" (e.g., Hersbach et al., 2020; Steiner et al., 2020a). The 540 uncertainties of the trend estimates, i.e., of the AHC increase rates ("AHC gain") obtained from

- 541 linear fitting to the anomaly data over periods of interest (see next Sect. 3.3), are weakly depending
- 542 on these data uncertainties anyway, however, since the trend uncertainties are dominated by the
- 543 inter-annual natural variability in the data, which is significantly larger than the data uncertainties
- 544 expressed by the ensemble spread (see Figure 4).

545 **3.3 Atmospheric heat content change since 1960 and its amplification**

546 Figure 4 shows the resulting global AHC change inventory over 1960 to 2020 (61 years record), 547 in terms of total AHC anomalies for each data type (Fig. 4a), and for the ensemble mean with 548 trends for selected periods and uncertainty estimates (Fig. 4c). The selected trend periods align with those for ocean data and with availability of atmospheric data sets (see subsection 3.2 above) 549 550 and represent a reference trend 1961-2000 plus recent trends of the last about 30, 20, and 15 years. 551 respectively. Latent AHC anomalies, a key component of the AHC (Matthews et al., 2022), are also shown (Fig. 4b and 4d). Compared to von Schuckmann et al. (2020), the AHC data have the 552 553 ENSO signal removed (with ENSO regressed out via the Nino 3.4 Index; and cross-check with 554 non-ENSO-corrected data showing that trend differences are reasonably small). Variability due to 555 volcanic eruptions is still included, however, and may somewhat influence the trends over 1993-

556 2020, which start in the cold anomaly after the Pinatubo eruption (Santer et al., 2001).

557 The latent AHC (Fig. 4b and 4d), which accounts for about one-quarter of the total AHC, exhibits 558 a qualitatively similar temporal evolution as total AHC, however with larger relative uncertainty 559 compared to the total AHC. The RO and RS data sets in Fig. 3b show some differences, particularly 560 the large latent AHC makes in the 1000s and each 2000s form the RS WECC Mainele data art likely

- the low latent AHC values in the 1990s and early 2000s from the RS WEGC Vaisala data set likely
- stem from known dry biases of the RS80/RS90/RS92 humidity sensors (Wang et al., 2002; Verver et al., 2006; Vömel et al., 2007). Estimated trends based on these RS data are thus likely too high,
- although the overall increase in latent AHC is substantial also in the other datasets.



Figure 4. Annual-mean global AHC anomalies from 1960 to 2020 of total AHC (left) and latentonly AHC (right), respectively, of three different reanalyses and two different observational datasets shown together with their mean (top), and the mean AHC anomaly shown together with four representative AHC trends and ensemble spread measures of its underlying datasets (bottom). The in-panel legends identify the individual datasets (top) and the selected trend periods together with the associated trend values (plus 90 % confidence range) and ensemble spread measures (bottom), the latter including the time-average standard deviation and minimum/maximum

573 *deviations of the individual datasets from the mean.*

574

565

The results clearly show that the AHC trends have increased from the earlier decades represented by the 1961-2000 trend of near 1.7 TW. We find the mean trend about 2.5 times higher over 1993-2020 (about 5.3 TW) and about four times higher in the most recent two decades (about 6-7 TW), a period that is already covered also by the RO and RS records. Latent AHC trends in the most recent periods are 3 times larger than the 1961-2000 reference period. Since 1971, the heat gain in the atmosphere amounts to 5 ± 1 ZJ (see also Fig. 8).

The remarkable amplification of total AHC and latent AHC trends is highlighted in Figure 5 and
summarized in Table 2 for the representative recent periods vs. the 1961-2000 reference period.
The 1961-2000 and 1993-2020 periods were covered by reanalysis only, while the WEGC Vaisalä

584 RS dataset additionally covers the 2001-2020 and 2006-2020 periods and the RO dataset the most 585 recent period (see dataset descriptions in subsection 3.2). The larger diversity of recent datasets 586 induces more spread; for example, the RS dataset shows an amplification factor of near 4.5 in the 587 global total AHC gain for 2001-2020, while the amplification factors from the reanalyses range 588 from 2.6 to 3.8. Amplifications are generally largest in the southern hemisphere extratropics, where 589 also the 1961-2000 reference gain is smallest, and weakest in the tropics. In the most recent period 590 2006-2020, the amplification factors are strongest, with the RS and RO data sets on the high end 591 of the spread (near factor 5 in global total AHC) and somewhat smaller but still high from the 592 reanalyses (around factor 4).



Climate change amplification of AHC gain vs Ref.1961-2000

594 Figure 5. Amplification of long-term trends in AHC anomalies ("AHC gain") for total AHC (left) 595 and latent-only AHC (right) in four geographic domains (global, northern-hemisphere 596 extratropics, tropics, southern-hemisphere extratropics) for three recent time periods (legend 597 upper-left) expressed as a ratio of the trend of each period relative to the trend in the previous-598 century reference period 1961-2000 (noted below the "amplification factor = 1" reference line). 599 The amplification factor for each recent-trend case (for the four domains of both total and latent 600 AHC) is depicted for the mean anomaly serving as best estimate (larger black circles), the related recent trends in the individual-dataset anomalies (colored circles as per upper-right legend). The 601 602 related 90 % uncertainty range (black "error bar") is estimated from the spread (standard 603 deviation) of the individual-dataset amplification factors. The trend in the mean anomaly over 604 1961-2000 is used as the reference AHC gain.

605 For the latent AHC amplification factors, we see moderate values in the 1993-2020 period in the 606 global mean and tropics. In the tropics, the lower uncertainty bound for amplification is slightly 607 below 1 during all three recent trend periods. The spread of the amplification factors increases for 608 the most recent periods, which is on the one hand due to the shorter period duration. The range 609 increase is also related to the introduction of the RS and RO data sets after 1993-2020 which 610 contribute the largest and smallest latent AHC gain amplification factors. For 2006-2020, the global mean amplification factor from RO is about 2, whereas from the RS data set it is near 5. 611 612 Regarding latitudinal bands, the amplification factors are again strongest in the extratropics, where also the 1961-2000 reference gains are smallest, exhibiting a large spread especially in the southern 613 614 extratropics. The relatively large amplification factors of the RS WEGC Vaisala data set are likely 615 exaggerated due to the well documented dry bias of the early RS humidity sensors as noted above

616 (Wang et al., 2022; Vömel et al., 2007; Verver et al., 2006).

617 Despite the uncertainties and spread described, the overall message from Figure 5 and Table 2 is

618 very clear and substantially reinforcing the evidence from the initial von Schuckmann et al. (2020)

619 assessment: the trends in the AHC, including in its latent heat component, show that atmospheric

620 heat gain has strongly increased over the recent decades.

		Total AH	C Gain	Latent AHC Gain		
Domain	Time range	Gain ZJ/decade (TW)	Amplification vs Ref.	Gain ZJ/decade (TW)	Amplification vs Ref.	
GLOBAL	1993-2020	1.68±0.24 (5.33±0.76)	3.19 [2.63 to 3.34]	0.50±0.06 (1.59±0.20)	2.51 [2.05 to 2.91]	
	2001-2020	1.91±0.34 (6.04±1.09)	3.62 [2.27 to 4.73]	0.60±0.09 (1.90±0.27)	3.39 [1.79 to 5.13]	
	2006-2020	2.29±0.54 (7.25±1.72)	4.35 [3.33 to 5.36]	0.65±0.13 (2.05±0.42)	3.37 [1.55 to 5.18]	
Ref.	1961-2000	0.53±0.18 (1.67±0.56)	1.0	0.19±0.06 (0.61±0.18)	1.0	
NH20-90N	1993-2020	0.62±0.11 (1.97±0.35)	5.44 [4.86 to 5.92]	0.16±0.02 (0.50±0.08)	4.57 [3.90 to 5.26]	
	2001-2020	0.64±0.15 (2.03±0.47)	5.62 [4.26 to 6.48]	0.18±0.03 (0.58±0.11)	5.50 [4.79 to 6.31]	
	2006-2020	0.79±0.25 (2.49±0.80)	6.89 [5.51 to 8.26]	0.22±0.05 (0.70±0.17)	6.32 [4.36 to 8.28]	
Ref.	1961-2000	0.11±0.08 (0.36±0.24)	1.0	0.03±0.02 (0.11±0.06)	1.0	
TROPICS	1993-2020	0.60±0.13 (1.90±0.41)	1.72 [1.05 to 1.98]	0.24±0.04 (0.75±0.12)	1.58 [0.71 to 2.36]	
	2001-2020	0.89±0.15 (2.82±0.47)	2.56 [1.20 to 3.77]	0.31±0.05 (1.00±0.16)	2.52 [0.70 to 4.49]	
	2006-2020	0.96±0.24 (3.04±0.77)	2.76 [1.86 to 3.67]	0.31±0.07 (0.99±0.22)	2.22 [0.48 to 3.96]	
Ref.	1961-2000	0.35±0.08 (1.10±0.25)	1.0	0.14±0.03 (0.45±0.11)	1.0	
SH20-90S	1993-2020	0.46±0.09 (1.46±0.29)	7.14 [5.49 to 7.86]	0.11±0.02 (0.33±0.05)	6.11 [3.02 to 9.02]	
	2001-2020	0.37±0.17 (1.18±0.52)	5.80 [3.76 to 7.58]	0.10±0.03 (0.32±0.08)	6.31 [2.81 to 9.95]	
	2006-2020	0.54±0.25 (1.71±0.79)	8.40 [6.99 to 9.81]	0.11±0.04 (0.36±0.12)	6.87 [3.52 to 10.22]	
Ref.	1961-2000	0.07±0.06 (0.21±0.18)	1.0	0.02±0.01 (0.05±0.03)	1.0	

621

622 Table 2. Long-term trend values in mean AHC anomalies (AHC gains; in units ZJ/decade and TW) 623 and amplification factors vs. the 1961-2000 reference gain (grey "Ref." lines), for total AHC (left 624 block) and latent-only AHC (right block) for the three recent time periods in four geographic 625 domains as illustrated in Figure 4. The AHC gain and amplification values are listed together with 626 their 90% confidence ranges.

627

628

629 **4. Heat available to warm land**

630

631 In previous studies the land term of the Earth heat inventory was considered as the heat used to 632 warm the continental subsurface (Hansen et al. 2011; Rhein et al. 2013; von Schuckmann et al. 633 2020). Temperature changes within the continental subsurface are typically retrieved by analyzing 634 the global network of temperature-depth profiles, measured mostly in the northern hemisphere, 635 southern Africa, and Australia. Each temperature profile records changes in subsurface 636 temperatures caused by the heat propagated through the ground due to alterations in the surface energy balance (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022b). Such perturbations in the subsurface temperature 637 638 profiles can be analyzed to recover the changes in past surface conditions that generated the 639 measured profile, allowing a reconstruction of the evolution of ground surface temperatures and 640 ground heat fluxes at decadal to centennial time scales (Beltrami et al., 2002; Beltrami & Mareschal, 1992; Demezhko & Gornostaeva, 2015; Hartmann & Rath, 2005; Hopcroft et al., 2007; 641 642 Jaume-Santero et al., 2016; Lane, 1923; Pickler et al., 2016; Shen et al., 1992). Although previous 643 estimates only considered changes in ground temperatures for representing the heat storage by 644 exposed land, ground heat storage has been found to be the second largest term of the Earth heat 645 inventory accounting for 4 % to 6 % of the total heat in the Earth System (von Schuckmann et al. 646 2020, section 6).

647

648 The ground heat is, nevertheless, not the only energy component of the continental landmasses. 649 Other processes with large thermodynamic coefficients, such as permafrost thawing and the 650 warming of inland water bodies, occur across large areas, leading to the exchange of large amounts 651 of heat with their surroundings over time. To account for those heat exchanges, a recent study 652 (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022a) has estimated the heat uptake by permafrost thawing and the warming 653 of inland water bodies, as well as ground heat storage from subsurface temperature profiles, 654 resulting in a comprehensive estimate of continental heat storage. Therefore, our estimate is 655 different to 'terrestrial' or 'land' estimates, as we take into account the subsurface and water bodies of the continental landmasses, thus not the land surface. The authors used the same global network 656 of subsurface temperature profiles as in von Schuckmann et al. (2020) to estimate ground heat 657 658 storage but applied an improved inversion technique to analyze the profiles. This new technique 659 is based on combining bootstrapping sampling with a widely-used Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) algorithm (e.g., Beltrami et al., 1992) to retrieve past changes in surface temperatures and 660 661 ground heat fluxes, which also resulted in smaller uncertainty estimates for global results (Cuesta-662 Valero et al., 2022b). Heat uptake from permafrost thawing was estimated using a large ensemble 663 of simulations performed with the CryoGridLite permafrost model (Nitzbon et al., 2022). Ground 664 stratigraphies required for this purpose, including ground ice distributions, were generated using 665 various global ground datasets. For soil properties, we used the datasets described in (Masson et al., 2003) and (Faroux et al., 2013); for soil organic carbon, the dataset described in (Hugelius et 666 al., 2013); and for excess ground ice content (Brown et al., 1997). Latent heat storage due to 667 melting of ground ice is evaluated to a depth of 550 m over the Arctic region. Uncertainty ranges 668 are evaluated using 100 parameter ensemble simulations with strongly varied soil properties and 669 soil ice distributions. The climate forcing at the surface is based on a paleoclimate simulation 670 671 performed by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) 672 providing the initialization of the permafrost model, and data from the ERA-Interim reanalysis 673 since 1979 onwards. Heat storage by inland water bodies was estimated by integrating water 674 temperature anomalies in natural lakes and reservoirs from a set of Earth System Model (ESM) 675 simulations participating in the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project phase 2b 676 (ISIMP2b) (Frieler et al., 2017; Golub et al., 2022; Grant et al., 2021). Heat storage is then 677 computed using simulations with four global lake models following the methodology presented in

678 (Vanderkelen et al., 2020), but replacing the cylindrical lake assumption in that study for a more679 detailed lake morphometry, which leads to a more realistic representation of lake volume.

680





Figure 6: Continental heat storage from Beltrami et al. (2002) (black), von Schuckmann et al. (2020) (gray), and Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a) (red). Gray and red shadows show the uncertainty range of the heat storage from von Schuckmann et al. (2020) and Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a), respectively.

686

687 Figure 6 shows the three main estimates of heat gain by the continental landmasses since 1960. The first global estimate of continental heat storage was provided by Beltrami et al. (2002), 688 689 consisting of changes in ground heat content for the period 1500-2000 as time steps of 50 years 690 (black line in Figure 6). These estimates were retrieved by inverting 616 subsurface temperature 691 profiles constituting the global network of subsurface temperature profiles in 2002, yielding a heat 692 gain of 9.1 ZJ during the second half of the 20th century. A comprehensive update was included 693 in von Schuckmann et al. (2020) using the results of (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2021) (gray line in 694 Figure 6), with the main difference consisting in the use of a larger dataset with 1079 subsurface 695 temperature profiles. Since many of these new profiles were measured at a later year than those in 696 Beltrami et al. (2002), the inversions from this new data set were able to include the recent 697 warming of the continental subsurface, yielding higher ground heat content than those from Beltrami et al. (2002). Concretely, the estimates in von Schuckmann et al. (2020) showed a heat 698 699 gain of 24 ± 5 ZJ from 1960 to 2018.

700

701 Recently, a new estimate of continental heat gain including the heat used in permafrost thawing 702 and in warming inland water bodies was presented in Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a) (red line in 703 Figure 6), achieving a heat gain of 24 ± 2 ZJ since 1960, and 21 ± 2 ZJ since 1971 (see also Fig. 704 8). Despite considering the heat stored in permafrost thawing, the warming of inland water bodies, 705 and the warming of the ground, the retrieved continental heat storage is similar to the values from 706 ground warming in von Schuckmann et al. (2020). There is a difference of ~ 3 ZJ between the 707 average ground heat storage in Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a) (21.6 ± 0.2 ZJ) and in von Schuckmann 708 et al. (2020) (24 \pm 5 ZJ), which is similar to the heat storage in inland water bodies and the heat

709 storage due to permafrost thawing together (see below). That is, the decrease in ground heat storage 710 in the new estimates is compensated by the heat storage in inland water bodies and permafrost 711 degradation. Another important result is the narrower confidence interval in estimates from 712 Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a), which is directly related to the new bootstrap technique used to invert 713 the subsurface temperature profiles (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2022b). This new bootstrap technique 714 offers a more adequate statistical framework than the technique used in von Schuckmann et al. 715 (2020) as demonstrated in Cuesta-Valero et al. (2022a), thus we are confident in the robustness of 716 the lower uncertainty estimate for ground heat storage presented here. Heat storage within inland 717 water bodies has reached 0.2 ± 0.4 ZJ since 1960, with permafrost thawing accounting for 2 ± 2 718 ZJ. Therefore, ground heat storage is the main contributor to continental heat storage (90 %), with 719 inland water bodies accounting for 0.7 % of the total heat, and permafrost thawing accounting for 720 9 %. Despite the smaller proportion of heat stored in inland water bodies and permafrost thawing, 721 several important processes affecting both society and ecosystems depend on the warming of lakes 722 and reservoirs, and on the thawing of ground ice (Gädeke et al., 2021). Therefore, it is important 723 to continue quantifying and monitoring the evolution of heat storage in all three components of 724 the continental landmasses.

725

726 **5. Heat utilized to melt ice**

727

728 Changes in Earth's cryosphere affect almost all other elements of the environment including the 729 global sea level, ocean currents, marine ecosystems, atmospheric circulation, weather patterns, 730 freshwater resources and the planetary albedo (Abram et al., 2019). The cryosphere includes frozen 731 components of the Earth system that are at or below the land and ocean surface: snow, glaciers, 732 ice sheets, ice shelves, icebergs, sea ice, inland water body ice (e.g., lake, river), permafrost and 733 seasonally frozen ground (IPCC, 2019). In this study, we estimate the heat uptake by the melting 734 of ice sheets (including both floating and grounded ice), glaciers and sea ice at global scale (Fig. 7). Notwithstanding the important role snow cover plays in the Earth's energy surface budget as a 735 736 result of changes in the albedo (de Vrese et al., 2021; Qu & Hall, 2007; Weihs et al., 2021), or its 737 influence on the temperature of underlying permafrost (Jan & Painter, 2020; Park et al., 2015), or on sea ice in the Arctic (Perovich et al., 2017; Webster et al., 2021) and Antarctica (Eicken et al., 738 739 1995; Nicolaus et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2022), estimates of changes in global snow cover are still 740 highly uncertain and not included in this inventory. However, they should be considered in future 741 estimates. Similarly, changes in lake ice cover (Grant et al., 2021) are not taken into account here 742 and warrant more attention in the future. Permafrost is accounted for in the land component (see 743 section 4).



745 746

Figure 7: Heat uptake (in ZJ) and Mass Loss (Trillions of tons) for the Antarctic Ice Sheet (grounded and floating ice, green), Glaciers (orange), Arctic sea ice (purple), Greenland Ice Sheet (grounded and floating ice, red) and Antarctic sea ice (blue), together with the sum of the energy uptake within each one of its components (total, black). Uncertainties are 95% confidence intervals provided as shaded areas, respectively. See text for more details.

752

We equate the energy uptake by the cryosphere (glaciers, grounded and floating ice of the Antarctic and Greenland Ice Sheets, and sea-ice) with the energy needed to drive the estimated mass loss. In doing so we assume that the energy change associated with the temperature change of the remaining ice is negligible. As a result, the energy uptake by the cryosphere is directly proportional to the mass of melted ice:

758

759
$$E = \Delta M^*(L+c^* \Delta T),$$

760

761 where, for any given component, ΔM is the mass of ice loss, L is the latent heat of fusion, c is the 762 specific heat capacity of the ice and ΔT is the rise in temperature needed to bring the ice to the 763 melting point. For consistency with previous estimates (Ciais et al., 2014; Slater et al., 2021; von Schuckmann et al., 2020), we use a constant latent heat of fusion of $3.34 \times 105 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$, a specific 764 heat capacity of 2.01×103 J/(kg °C) and, a density of ice of 917 kg/m³. Estimating the energy used 765 766 to warm the ice to its melting point requires knowledge of the mean ice temperature for each 767 component. Here we assume a temperature of -15 °C for floating ice in Greenland, -2 °C for the 768 floating ice in Antarctica, -20 ± 10 °C for grounded ice in Antarctica and Greenland and 0 °C for

sea-ice and glaciers. Although this assumption is poorly constrained, the energy required to melt ice is primarily associated with its phase transition and the fractional energy required for warming is a small percentage (< 1% °C⁻¹) of the total energy uptake (Slater et al., 2021). Nevertheless, we include an additional uncertainty of ± 10 °C on the assumed initial ice temperature within our estimate of the energy uptake. An overview of all datasets used and their availabilities are provided in Table 2, and are further described in the following.

775

Components	Data type and information	Periods covered	Other specifications:
Antarctic Ice	Grounded ice change from IMBIE	1992-2020;	Mean ice temperature for
Sheet	(Shepherd et al., 2018, 2019)		floating ice (basal
	Grounded ice change before 1992	1972-1991	melting): $-2^{\circ}C \pm 10^{\circ}C$
	combining satellite and regional climate		• floating ice (calving): -
	model data after Rignot et al., 2019		$16^{\circ}C \pm 10^{\circ}C$ (Clough &
	Floating ice change from satellite altimetry	1994-2020	Hansen, 1979)
	reconstructions (Adusumilli et al., 2020)	(extrapolated between	• grounded ice: -20 ± 10 °C
		2017-2020);	
		1979-1993: zero mass	
		loss assumed	
	Ice front retreat due to calving in the	1994-2020 (linear rate	
	Amundsen Sea using ERS-1 radar	of energy uptake	
	altimetry (Adusumilli et al. 2020)	assumed)	
	Antarctic Peninsula ice front retreat due to	1979-2020 (linear rate	
	calving from imagery and remotely sensed	of energy uptake	
	data (Cook & Vaughan, 2010; Adusumilli	assumed)	
	et al. 2020)		
Antarctic	Sea ice thickness from GIOMAS (Zhang	1979-2020	Mean ice temperature: $0^{\circ}C \pm$
Sea Ice	& Rothrock, 2003)		10 °C
Arctic Sea	Sea ice thickness from PIOMAS model	1979-2011	Mean ice temperature: $0^{\circ}C \pm$
Ice	data (Schweiger et al., 2019; Zhang &		10 °C
	Rothrock, 2003)		
	CryoSat-2 satellite radar altimeter	2011-2020	
	measurements (Slater et al., 2021; Tilling		
<u> </u>	et al., 2018)	1070 1000	N/ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Glaciers	Geodetic and in-situ glaciological	1979-1996	Mean ice temperature: $0^{\circ}C \pm$
(distinct	observations after Zemp et al., 2019	1005 2020	10 °C
from ice	In-situ glaciological observations after	1997-2020	
sheets)	Zemp et al., 2020 and WGMS, 2021	1000 0000	
Greenland	Grounded ice change from IMBIE	1992-2020;	Mean ice temperature for
Ice Sheet	(Shepherd et al., 2018, 2019)		• floating ice: $-15^{\circ}C \pm 10$
	Grounded ice change before 1992 from	1979-1991	$^{\circ}$ C
	satellite velocity (Mankoff et al., 2019)		• grounded ice: -20 ± 10 C
	and regional climate models (Mougino et		
	al., 2019)		
	Floating ice change (ice shelf	1979-1996: no loss	
	collapse/thinning & tidewater glacier	assumed	
	retreat) after (Moon & Joughin, 2008;		
	Motyka et al., 2011; Mouginot et al., 2015;		
	Münchow et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2017;		
	Carr et al., 2017)		

776

777 **Table 2:** Overview on data used and their availability for the estimate of heat available to melt the 778 cryosphere over the period 1979-2020. Backward extension to 1971 for the heat inventory is based on the

assumption of negligible contribution. General specification include constant values for latent heat of

fusion of $3.34 \times 105 J \text{ kg}-1$, specific heat capacity of $2.01 \times 103 J/(\text{kg °C})$; density of ice with 917 kg/m³ for first-year ice, and 882 kg/m³ for multi-year ice, see also Ciais et al., 2014; Slater et al., 2021; von

- 782 Schuckmann et al., 2020. Other component specification are provided in the table.
- 783

784

785 Grounded ice losses from the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets from 1992 to 2020 are estimated 786 from a combination of 50 satellite-based estimates of ice sheet mass balance produced from 787 observations of changes in ice sheet volume, flow and gravitational attraction, compiled by the Ice Sheet Mass Balance Intercomparison Exercise (IMBIE³) (Shepherd et al., 2018, 2019). To extend 788 789 those time-series further back in time, we use ice sheet mass balance estimates produced using the 790 input-output method, which combines estimates of solid ice discharge with surface mass balance 791 estimates. Satellite estimates of ice velocity are available from the Landsat historical archive from 792 1972 allowing the calculation of ice discharge before the 1990s while surface mass balance is 793 estimated from regional climate models. We extend the IMBIE mass balance time-series 794 backwards to 1979 for Greenland using (Mouginot et al., 2019) and (Mankoff et al., 2019) and for 795 Antarctica from 1972 to 1991 using (Rignot et al., 2019).

796

797 Changes in Antarctic floating ice shelves due to thinning between 1994 and 2017 are derived from 798 satellite altimetry reconstructions (Adusumilli et al., 2020). There were no estimates of ice shelf thinning between 1979 and 1993, therefore we assume zero mass loss from ice shelf thinning 799 800 during that period. Changes in Antarctic ice shelves due to increased calving in the Antarctic Peninsula and the Amundsen Sea sector are derived from ERS-1 radar altimetry (Adusumilli et al. 801 802 2020) for 1994-2017. For the 1979-1994 period, we only have data for changes in the extent of the 803 Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves from (Cook & Vaughan, 2010). These are converted to changes in 804 mass using an ice shelf thickness of 140 ± 110 m ice equivalent which represents the range of ice 805 thickness values for the portions of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves that have collapsed since 1994 806 (Adusumilli et al. 2020). Once icebergs calve off large Antarctic floating ice shelves, the timescales of dissolution of the icebergs are largely unknown; therefore, we assumed a linear rate 807 808 of energy uptake between 1979–2020. For icebergs, we use an initial temperature of -16°C, which 809 was the mean ice temperature in the Ross Ice Shelf J-9 ice core (Clough & Hansen, 1979). There 810 are no large-scale observations or manifestations of significant firm layer temperature change for 811 the Antarctic ice shelf; for example, there is no significant trend in the observationally-constrained 812 model outputs of surface melt described in (Smith et al., 2020). Therefore, the change in 813 temperature of any ice that does not melt is assumed to be negligible.

814

815 Changes in the floating portions of the Greenland Ice Sheet include ice shelf collapse, ice shelf 816 thinning and tidewater glacier retreat. As in von Schuckmann et al. 2020, we assume no ice shelf mass loss pre-1997 and estimate a loss of 13 Gt/yr post-1997 based on studies of Zacharie Isstrom, 817 818 C. H. Ostenfeld, Petermann, Jakobshavn, 79N and Ryder Glaciers (Moon & Joughin, 2008; 819 Motyka et al., 2011; Mouginot et al., 2015; Münchow et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2017). We assign a generous uncertainty of 50% to this value. For tidewater glacier retreat we note a mean retreat 820 821 rate of 37.6 m/yr during 1992-2000 and 141.7 m/yr during 2000-2010 (Carr et al., 2017). We 822 assume the former estimate is also valid for 1979-1991 and the latter estimate is valid for 2011-823 2020. Assuming a mean glacier width of 4 km and thickness of 400 m we estimate mass loss from 824 glacier retreat to be 9.3 Gt/yr during 1979-2000 and 35.1 Gt/yr during 2000-2020. Based on firm

³ https://imbie.org

modeling we assessed that warming of Greenland's firn has not yet contributed significantly to its
energy uptake (Ligtenberg et al., 2018).

827

The contributions from both the Antarctic and Greenland Ice Sheets to the EEI are obtained by summing the mass loss from the individual components (ice shelf mass, grounded ice mass, and ice shelf extent) for each ice sheet separately and, given that the datasets used for each component are independent, the uncertainties were summed in quadrature. This is then converted to an energy uptake according to the equation above.

833

834 Glaciers are another part of the land-based ice, and we here include glaciers found in the periphery 835 of Greenland and Antarctica, but distinct from the ice sheets, in our estimate. We build our estimate on the international efforts to compile and reconcile measurements of glacier mass balance, under 836 837 the lead of the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS⁴). Up to 2016, the results are based on (Zemp et al., 2019), who combine geodetic mass balance observations from DEM differencing on 838 839 long temporal and large spatial scales with in-situ glaciological observations, which are spatially 840 less representative, but provide information of higher temporal resolution. Through this 841 combination, they achieve coverage that is globally complete yet retains the interannual variability 842 well. For 2017 to 2021, the numbers are based on the ad-hoc method of (Zemp et al., 2020), which 843 corrects for the spatial bias of the limited number of recent in-situ glaciological observations that 844 are available with short delay (WGMS, 2021), to derive globally representative estimates. Error 845 bars include uncertainties related to the in-situ and spaceborne observations, extrapolation to 846 unmeasured glaciers, density conversion, as well as to glacier area and its changes. For the 847 conversion from mass loss to energy uptake, only the latent heat uptake is considered, which is 848 based on the assumption of ice at the melting point, due to lack of glacier temperature data at the 849 global scale. Moreover, since the absolute mass change estimates are based on geodetic mass 850 balances, mass loss of ice below floatation is neglected. While this is a reasonable approximation 851 concerning the glacier contribution to sea-level rise, it implies a systematic underestimation of the 852 glacier heat uptake. While to our knowledge there are no quantitative estimates available of glacier 853 mass loss below sea level on the global scale, it is reasonable to assume that this effect is minor, 854 based on the volume-altitude distribution of glacier mass (Farinotti et al., 2019; Millan et al., 2022). 855 Further efforts are under way within the Glacier Mass Balance Intercomparison Exercise 856 (GlaMBIE⁵), particularly to reconcile global glacier mass changes including also estimates from 857 gravimetry and altimetry, and to further assess related sources of uncertainties (Zemp et al., 2019). 858

859 Sea ice, formed from freezing ocean water, and further thickened by snow accumulation is not 860 only another important aspect of the albedo effect (Kashiwase et al., 2017; R. Zhang et al., 2019) 861 and water formation processes (Moore et al., 2022), but also provides essential services for polar ecosystems and human systems in the Arctic (Abram et al., 2019). Observations of sea-ice extent 862 863 are available over the satellite era, i.e., since the 1970s, but ice thickness data - required to obtain changes in volume - have only recently become available through the launch of CryoSat-2 and 864 ICESat-2. For the Arctic, we use a combination of sea ice thickness estimates from the Pan-Arctic 865 866 Ice Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (PIOMAS) between 1979 and 2011 (Schweiger et 867 al., 2019; Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) and CryoSat-2 satellite radar altimeter measurements between

⁴ https://wgms.ch

⁵ https://glambie.org

868 2011 and 2020 when they are available (Slater et al., 2021; Tilling et al., 2018). PIOMAS 869 assimilates ice concentration and sea surface temperature data and is validated with most available 870 thickness data (from submarines, oceanographic moorings, and remote sensing) and against 871 multidecadal records constructed from satellite (Labe et al., 2018; Laxon et al., 2013; X. Wang et 872 al., 2016). We note that the PIOMAS domain does not extend sufficiently far south to include all 873 regions covered by sea ice in winter (Perovich et al., 2017). Given that the entirety of the regions 874 that are unaccounted for (e.g., the Sea of Okhotsk and the Gulf of St. Lawrence) are only seasonally 875 ice covered since the start of the record, this should not influence the results. We convert monthly 876 estimates of sea ice volume from CryoSat-2 satellite altimetry to mass using densities of 882 and 877 916.7 kg/m³ in regions of multi- and first-year ice respectively (Tilling et al., 2018). During the 878 summer months (May to September) the presence of melt ponds on Arctic sea ice makes it difficult 879 to discriminate between radar returns from leads and sea ice floes, preventing the retrieval of 880 summer sea ice thickness from radar altimetry (Tilling et al., 2018). As a result, we use the wintermean (October to April) mass trend across the Arctic for both CryoSat-2 and PIOMAS estimates 881 882 for consistency. According to PIOMAS, winter Arctic sea ice mass estimates are 19 Gt/yr (6 %) 883 smaller than the annual mass trend between 1979 and 2011 (-324 Gt/yr) and so are a conservative 884 estimate of Arctic sea ice mass change (Slater et al., 2021). The uncertainty on monthly Arctic sea 885 ice volume measurements from CryoSat-2 ranges from 14.5 % in October to 13 % in April (Slater et al., 2021; Tilling et al., 2018), and is estimated as $\pm 1.8 \times 10^3$ km³ for PIOMAS (Schweiger et al., 886 887 2011).

888

889 Satellite radar altimeter retrievals of sea ice thickness in the Southern Ocean are complicated by 890 the presence of thick snow layers with unknown radar backscatter properties on Antarctic sea ice 891 floes. As a result, no remote sensing estimates are available for Antarctic sea ice and we use sea 892 ice volume anomalies from the Global Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (GIOMAS, 893 Zhang & Rothrock, 2003), the global equivalent to PIOMAS. GIOMAS output has been recently validated against in-situ and satellite data by (Liao et al., 2022). We compute Antarctic sea ice 894 895 trends as annual averages between January and December. In the absence of a detailed 896 characterization of uncertainties for these estimates, we use the uncertainty in GIOMAS sea-ice 897 thickness of 0.34 m (Liao et al., 2022) to estimate the uncertainty in GIOMAS sea-ice volume to 898 be $\pm 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ km⁻³, using an annual mean sea-ice extent of 11.9×10^{-6} km⁻² (Lavergne et al., 899 2019). One caveat to this is that the observational estimates have their own significant uncertainties 900 (Kern et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2022). For future updates of the Earth heat inventory, we also aim 901 to include observation-based (remote sensing) estimates in the Southern Ocean (Lavergne et al., 902 2019).

903

904 Our estimate of the total heat gain in the cryosphere amounts to 14 ± 4 ZJ over the period 1971-905 2020 (see also Fig. 8 and section 6), (assuming negligible contribution before 1979 according to 906 the data availability limitation), which is consistent with the estimate obtained in (von Schuckmann 907 et al., 2020) within uncertainties. Approximately half of the cryosphere's energy uptake is 908 associated with the melting of grounded ice, while the remaining half is associated with the melting 909 of floating ice (ice shelves in Antarctica and Greenland, Arctic sea ice). Compared to earlier 910 estimates, and in particular the 8.83 ZJ estimate from Ciais et al. (2013), this larger estimate is a 911 result both of the longer period of time considered and, also, the improved estimates of ice loss 912 across all components, especially the ice shelves in Antarctica. Contributions to the total 913 cryosphere heat gain are dominated by the Antarctic Ice Sheet (including the floating and grounded 914 ice, about 33 %) and Arctic Sea ice (about 26 %), directly followed by the heat utilized to melt 915 glaciers (about 25 %). The Greenland Ice Sheet amounts to about 17 %, whereas Antarctic sea ice

916 is accounted for with a non-significant contribution of about 0.2 %.

- 917
- 918

919 6. The Earth heat inventory: where does the energy go?

920

921 Evaluations of the heat storage in the different Earth system components as performed in section 922 2-5 allow now for the establishment of the Earth heat inventory. Estimates for all Earth system 923 components cover a core period of 1971-2020, except for the cryosphere where negligible contribution is assumed before 1979. Our results reconfirm a continuous accumulation of heat in 924 925 the Earth system since our estimate begins (Fig. 8). The total Earth system heat gain in this study 926 amounts to 380 ± 62 ZJ over the period 1971–2020. For comparison, IPCC AR6 obtained a total heat gain of 434.9 [324.5 to 545.5] ZJ for the period 1971-2018, and is hence consistent with our 927 928 estimate within uncertainties (Forster et al., 2021). However, it is important to note that our 929 estimate still excludes some aspects of Earth heat accumulation, such as for example the shallow 930 areas of the ocean, which are challenging to be quantified with respect to gaps in the observing 931 system.

932



Figure 8: Total Earth system heat gain in ZJ ($1 ZJ = 10^{21} J$) relative to 1960 and from 1960 to 2020. The upper ocean (0–300 m, light blue line, and 0–700 m, light blue shading) accounts for the largest amount of heat gain, together with the intermediate ocean (700–2000 m, blue shading)

937 and the deep ocean below 2000 m depth (dark blue shading). The second largest contributor is the 938 storage of heat on land (orange shading), followed by the gain of heat to melt grounded and 939 floating ice in the cryosphere (gray shading), and heating of the atmosphere (magenta shading). 940 Uncertainty in the ocean estimate also dominates the total uncertainty (dot-dashed lines derived 941 from the standard deviations (2σ) for the ocean, cryosphere, land and atmosphere). See sections 942 2-5 for more details of the different estimates. The dataset for the Earth heat inventory is published 943 at the German Climate Computing Centre (DKRZ, https://www.dkrz.de/) (see section 7). 944 Consistent with von Schuckmann et al. (2020), we obtain a total heat gain of 381±61 ZJ over the period 1971–2020, which is equivalent to a heating rate (i.e., the EEI) of 0.48 ± 0.1 W m⁻² applied 945 continuously over the surface area of the Earth $(5.10 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^2)$. The corresponding EEI over the 946 period 2006–2020 amounts to $0.76\pm0.2 W m^{-2}$. The LOWESS method and associated uncertainty 947 948 evaluations have been used as described in section 2.

949

950 The estimate of heat storage in all Earth system components not only allows for obtaining a 951 measure of how much and where heat is available for inducing changes in the Earth system (Fig. 952 1), but also to improve the accuracy of the Earth's system total heat gain. In 1971-2020 and for the 953 total heat gain, the ocean accounts for the largest contributor with an about 89 % fraction of the global inventory. The second largest component in the Earth heat inventory relies on heat stored 954 955 in land with a about 6 % contribution. The cryosphere component accounts for about 4 %, and the 956 atmosphere about 1 %. For the most recent era of best available GCOS data for the Earth heat 957 inventory since the year 2006, the fractions amount to about 89 % for the ocean, about 5 % for 958 land, about 4 % for the cryosphere, and about 2 % for the atmosphere.

959

960 The change of the Earth heat inventory over time allows for an estimate of the absolute value of the Earth energy imbalance. Our results of the total heat gain in the Earth system over the period 961 1971-2020 is equivalent to a heating rate of 0.48 ± 0.1 W m⁻², and is applied continuously over the 962 surface area of the Earth $(5.10 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^2)$. For comparison, the heat gain obtained in IPCC AR5 963 amounts to 274 ± 78 ZJ and 0.4 W m⁻² over the period 1971–2010 (Rhein et al., 2013). In IPCC 964 965 AR6, the total heat rate has been assessed by 0.57 [0.43 to 0.72] W m⁻² for the period 1971-2018, and 0.79 [0.52 to 1.06] Wm^{-2} for the period 2006-2018 (Forster et al., 2021). Consistently, we 966 further infer a total heating rate of 0.76 ± 0.2 W m⁻² for the most recent era 2006-2020. 967 968

969 Thus, the rate of heat accumulation across the Earth system has increased during the most recent 970 era as compared to the long-term estimate – an outcome which reconfirms the earlier finding in 971 von Schuckmann et al. (2020), and which had then been concurrently and independently confirmed 972 in Foster et al. (2021), Hakuba et al. (2021), Loeb et al. (2021), Liu et al. (2020) and Kramer et al. 973 (2021). The drivers of a larger EEI in the 2000s than in the long-term period since 1971 are still 974 unclear, and several mechanisms are discussed in literature. For example, Loeb et al. (2021) argue for a decreased reflection of energy back into space by clouds (including aerosol cloud 975 976 interactions) and sea-ice, and increases in well-mixed greenhouse gases (GHG) and water vapor 977 to account for this increase in EEI. (Kramer et al., 2021) refers to a combination of rising 978 concentrations of well-mixed GHG and recent reductions in aerosol emissions accounting for the 979 increase, and (Liu et al., 2020) addresses changes in surface heat flux together with planetary heat 980 re-distribution and changes in ocean heat storage. Future studies are needed to further explain the 981 drivers of this change, together with its implications for changes in the Earth system.

983 Besides heat, which is the focus of this study, Earth also stores energy chemically through 984 photosynthesis in living and dead biomass with plant growth. Recent studies (Crisp et al., 2022; 985 Denning, 2022; Friedlingstein et al., 2022) on the Global Carbon Budget and cycle show that 986 approximately 25% of the added anthropogenic CO2 is removed from the atmosphere by increased 987 plant growth, which is a result of fertilization by rising atmospheric CO2 and Nitrogen inputs and 988 of higher temperatures and longer growing seasons in northern temperate and boreal areas 989 (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). This significant increase in carbon uptake by the biosphere indicates 990 that more energy is stored inside biomass, together with the stored carbon. The quantification of 991 the additional amount of energy stored inside the biosphere is outside the scope of this study.

992

993 7. Data availability

994

The time series of the Earth heat inventory are published at DKRZ (<u>https://www.dkrz.de/</u>, last access: 24 January 2023) under <u>https://www.wdc-</u>
 <u>climate.de/ui/entry?acronym=GCOS_EHI_1960-2020</u>, more precisely for:

- (von Schuckmann et al., 2023) data for ocean heat content (section 2), and the total heat inventory as presented in section 6 are integrated.
- (Kirchengast et al., 2022); data for the atmospheric heat content are distributed (section 3).
 - (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2023) data for the ground heat storage, together with the total continental heat gain are provided (section 4)
 - (Vanderkelen et al., 2022); data for inland freshwater heat storage is included (section 4)
 - (Nitzbon et al., 2022b); data for permafrost are delivered (section 4).
 - (Adusumilli et al., 2022); data for the cryosphere heat inventory are provided.
- 1007 1008 1009

1003

1004

1005

1006

The Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) for data access are provided in Table 3.

1010

Earth heat inventory	DOI	Reference
component		
Ocean heat content; Total Earth heat inventory	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960- 2020_OHC_y2	von Schuckmann et
Atmospheric heat content	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960-2020_AHC	Kirchengast et al., 2022
Continental heat content	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960- 2020_CoHC_v2	Cuesta Valero et al., 2023
Inland water heat content	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960-2020_IWHC	Vanderkelen et al., 2022
Heat available to melt permafrost	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960-2020_PHC	Nitzbon et al., 2022b
Heat available to melt the cryosphere	https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960-2020_CrHC	Adusumilli et al., 2022

1011

1014

1015

1016 8. Conclusion

¹⁰¹² **Table 3:** Overview on Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for data access for the components of the Earth

¹⁰¹³ *heat inventory, and associated references. The results are presented in Fig. 8.*

1017

1018 The Earth heat inventory is a global climate indicator integrating fundamental aspects of the Earth 1019 system under global warming. Particularly, the Earth heat inventory provides the best available current estimate of the absolute value of the Earth Energy Imbalance (Cheng et al., 2017; Cheng 1020 1021 et al., 2019; Hakuba et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2011; Loeb et al., 2012, 2022; Trenberth et al., 1022 2016; von Schuckmann et al., 2020). Moreover, its evaluation enables an integrated view of the 1023 effective radiative climate forcing, Earth's surface temperature response and the climate sensitivity 1024 (Forster et al., 2022; Hansen et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2005; Palmer & McNeall, 2014; Smith et 1025 al., 2015). Additionally, its quantification informs about the status of global warming in the Earth 1026 system as it integrates the heat 'in the pipeline' that will ultimately warm the deep ocean and melt ice sheets in the long term (Hansen et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2005; IPCC, 2021). The Earth heat 1027 1028 inventory also reveals how much and where surplus anthropogenic heat is available for melting the cryosphere and warming the ocean, land and atmosphere, which in turn allows for an evaluation 1029 1030 of associated changes in the climate system and is essential to improve seasonal-to-decadal climate 1031 predictions and projections on century timescales to enable improved planning for and adaptation 1032 to climate change (Hansen et al., 2011; von Schuckmann et al., 2016, 2020). Regular international 1033 assessment on the Earth heat inventory enables concerted international and multidisciplinary 1034 collaboration and advancements in climate science, including to contribute to the development of 1035 recommendations for the status and evolution of the global climate observing system (GCOS, 1036 2021; von Schuckmann et al., 2020).

1037

1038 This study builds on the first internationally and multidisciplinary driven Earth heat inventory in 2020 (von Schuckmann et al., 2020) and provides an update on total Earth system heat 1039 1040 accumulation, heat storage in all Earth system components (ocean, land, cryosphere, atmosphere) 1041 and the Earth energy imbalance up to the year 2020. Moreover, this study improved earlier 1042 estimates, and further extended and fostered international collaboration, allowing to move towards 1043 a more complete view on where and how much heat is stored in the Earth system through the 1044 addition of new estimates such as for permafrost thawing, inland freshwater (section 4) and 1045 Antarctic sea ice (section 5). Results obtained reveal a total Earth system heat gain of 381±61 ZJ 1046 over the period 1971–2020, with an associated total heating rate of 0.48 ± 0.1 W m⁻². About 89 % 1047 of this heat stored in the ocean, about 6 % on land, about 4 % in the cryosphere and about 1 % in the atmosphere (Fig. 8, 9). The analysis additionally reconfirms an increased heating rate which 1048 1049 amounts to 0.76 ± 0.2 W/m⁻² for the most recent era 2006-2020. Albeit the drivers for this change 1050 still need to be elucidated and most likely reflect the interplay between natural variability and anthropogenic change (Kramer et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020; Loeb et al., 2021), their implications 1051 1052 for changes in the Earth system are reflected in the many record levels of change in the 2000s 1053 reported elsewhere, e.g., (Cheng et al., 2022; Forster et al., 2022; Gulev et al., 2021).

1054

1055 The Paris Agreement builds upon the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 1056 and for the first time all nations agreed to undertake ambitious efforts to combat climate change, 1057 with the central aim to keep global temperature rise this century well below 2 °C above pre 1058 industrial levels and to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 °C. Article 14 of the Paris 1059 Agreement requires the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris 1060 Agreement (CMA) to periodically take stock of the implementation of the Paris Agreement and to 1061 assess collective progress towards achieving the purpose of the agreement and its long-term goals

through the so-called Global Stocktake of the Paris Agreement (GST)⁶ based on best available 1062 1063 science. The Earth heat inventory provides information on how much and where heat is 1064 accumulated and stored in the Earth system. Moreover, it provides a measure of how much the 1065 Earth is out of energy balance, and when combined with directly measured net flux at the top of the atmosphere, enables also to understand the change of the EEI over time. This in turn allows 1066 for assessing the portion of the anthropogenic forcing that the Earth's climate system has not yet 1067 1068 responded to (Hansen et al., 2005) and defines additional global warming that will occur without 1069 further change in human-induced forcing (Hansen et al., 2017). The Earth heat inventory is thus one of the key critical global climate change indicators defining the prospects for continued global 1070 warming and climate change (Hansen et al., 2011; von Schuckmann et al., 2016; 2020). Hence, 1071 1072 we call for an implementation of the Earth heat inventory into the global stocktake.

1073 1074

1074



⁶ <u>https://unfccc.int/topics/global-stocktake/global-stocktake/global-stocktake#:~:text=The%20global%20stocktake%20of%20the,term%20goals%20(Article%2014)</u>. (Last access 01.02.2023)

1077

Figure 9: Schematic presentation on the Earth heat inventory for the current anthropogenically driven positive Earth energy imbalance at the top of the atmosphere (TOA). The relative partition (in %) of the Earth heat inventory presented in Fig. 8 for the different components is given for the ocean (upper: 0–700 m, intermediate: 700–2000 m, deep: >2000 m), land, cryosphere (grounded and floating ice) and atmosphere, for the periods 2006–2020 and 1971–2020 (for the latter period values are provided in parentheses), as well as for the EEI. The total heat gain (in red) over the period 1971–2020 is obtained from the Earth heat inventory as presented in Fig. 8.

1085

1086 The quantifications presented in this study are the result of multidisciplinary global-scale 1087 collaboration and demonstrate the critical importance of concerted international efforts for climate 1088 change monitoring and community-based recommendations for the global climate observing 1089 system. For the ocean observing system, the core Argo sampling needs to be sustained – which 1090 includes the maintenance of shipboard collection of reference data for validation - and 1091 complemented by remote sensing data. Extensions such as into the deep ocean layer need to be 1092 further fostered, and technical developments for the measurements under ice and in shallower areas 1093 need to be sustained and extended. Moreover, continued efforts are needed to further advance bias 1094 correction methodologies, uncertainty evaluations, data recovery and processing of the historical 1095 dataset. Spatial geodetic observations and the closure of the sea level budget serve as a valuable 1096 constraint for the full column OHC. Although the independent estimates agree within uncertainty, 1097 the geodetic approach suggest slightly larger OHC linear trends, especially since 2016. Though 1098 efforts are under way to investigate the emerging discrepancy (e.g., Barnoud et al., 2021), the 1099 causes are not yet fully understood and require further investigation.

1100 1101

1102 For the ground heat storage, the estimate had been hampered by a lack of subsurface temperature profiles in the southern hemisphere, as well as by the fact that most of the profiles were measured 1103 1104 before the 2000s. Subsurface temperature data are direct and independent (not proxy) 1105 measurements of temperature yielding information on the temporal variation of the ground surface 1106 temperature and ground heat flux at the land surface. A larger spatial scale dataset of the thermal state of the subsurface from the last millennium to the present will aid in the continuing monitoring 1107 1108 of continental heat storage, provide initial conditions for Land Surface Model (LSM) components 1109 of Earth System Models (ESMs) (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2019), and serve as a dataset for validation 1110 of climate models' simulations (Cuesta-Valero et al., 2021; Cuesta-Valero et al., 2016). Progress in understanding climate variability through the last millennium must lean on additional data 1111 1112 acquisition as the only way to reduce uncertainty in the paleoclimatic record and on changes to the 1113 current state of the continental energy reservoir. Remote sensing data are expected to be very 1114 valuable to retrieve recent past and future changes in ground heat flux at short-time scales with 1115 near global coverage. However, collecting subsurface temperature data is urgent as we must make 1116 a record of the present thermal state of the subsurface before the subsurface climate baseline is affected by the downward propagating thermal signal from current climate heating. Furthermore, 1117 1118 an international organization should take responsibility to gather and curate all measured 1119 subsurface temperature profiles currently available and those that will be measured in the future, as the current practices, in which individual researchers are responsible for measuring, storing and 1120 1121 distributing the data, have led to fragmented datasets, restrictions in the use of data, and loss of the

1122 original datasets. Support from GCOS for an international data acquisition and curating efforts 1123 would be extremely important in this context.

1124

1125 For the permafrost estimates, the primary sources of uncertainty arise from lacking information about the amount and distribution of ground ice in permafrost regions, as well as measurements of 1126 1127 liquid water content (Nitzbon et al., 2022). Permafrost heat storage is defined as the required heat 1128 to change the mass of ground ice at a certain location, thus monitoring changes in ground ice and 1129 water contents would be required to improve estimates of this component of the continental heat storage. Nevertheless, the current monitoring system for permafrost soils is focused on soil 1130 1131 temperature, and the distribution of stations is still relatively scarce in comparison with the vast 1132 areas that need to be surveyed (Biskaborn et al., 2015). Due to the current limitations in the observational data, a permafrost model was used to estimate the heat uptake by thawing of ground 1133 1134 ice. This approach retrieves latent heat fluxes in extensive areas and at depths relevant to analyze 1135 the long-term change in ground ice mass, but at the cost of ignoring other relevant processes, such 1136 as ground subsidence, to balance model performance with computational resources. Including 1137 permafrost heat storage in the Tibetan Plateau is a priority for the next iteration of this work, as 1138 well as to explore new methods to evaluate model simulations using the available observations in 1139 permafrost areas.

1140

1141 For inland water heat storage, a better representation of lake and reservoir volume would be 1142 possible by better accounting for lake bathymetry using the GLOBathy (Khazaei et al., 2022) 1143 dataset and results from the upcoming Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) mission. 1144 These improvements in the representation of lake volume, and an updated lake mask will be 1145 available in the upcoming ISIMIP3 simulation round, next to improved meteorological forcing 1146 data (Golub et al., 2022). In contrast to (Vanderkelen et al., 2020), the heat storage in rivers is not 1147 included in this analysis due to the high uncertainties in simulated river water volume. To reduce 1148 the uncertainty in river heat storage, the estimation of river water storage should be improved, 1149 together with an explicit representation of water temperature in the global hydrological models 1150 (Wanders et al., 2019). These improvements will be incorporated in ISIMIP3 and will lead to 1151 better estimates of inland water heat storage, thus enhancing future estimates of continental heat storage. In the long run, these model-based estimates could be supplemented or replaced by 1152 1153 observation-based estimates, which would however require a large, global-scale effort to monitor 1154 lake and river temperatures at high spatial resolution and over long time periods. Estimates for 1155 inland water heat storage and permafrost heat storage in this analysis depend heavily on model simulations, which is of particular challenge for analyzing and adding uncertainty ranges, as the 1156 1157 sources of uncertainty in model simulations differ from those in observational records (Cuesta-1158 Valero et al., 2022a). Future estimates should hence focus on a hybrid approach considering in situ 1159 measurements, reanalysis, remote sensing data and model simulations, consistent with the methods 1160 employed for deriving cryosphere and atmosphere heat storage for the Earth heat inventory.

1161

1162 For the cryosphere, sustained remote sensing for all of the cryosphere components is critical in 1163 quantifying future changes over these vast and inaccessible regions; in situ observations are also

1164 needed for process understanding and in order to properly calibrate and validate them. For sea ice, observations of the albedo, the area and ice thickness are all essential - the continuation of satellite 1165

1166

altimeter missions with high inclination, polar focused orbits is critical in our ability to monitor 1167 sea ice thickness in particular. Observations of snow thickness with multi-frequency altimeters and 1168 microwave radiometers are essential for further constraining sea ice thickness estimates. For ice 1169 sheets and glaciers, reliable gravimetric, geodetic, and ice velocity measurements, knowledge of 1170 ice thickness and extent, snow/firn thickness and density, and the continuation of the now three-1171 decade long satellite altimeter record are essential in understanding changes in the mass balance 1172 of grounded and floating ice. The recent failure of Sentinel-1b, which in tandem with Sentinel-1a 1173 could be used to systematically measure ice speed changes every 6 days, means that images are 1174 now being acquired every 12 days and thus an earlier launch of Sentinel-1c should be encouraged 1175 to regain the ability to monitor ice speed changes over short time-scales. The estimate of glacier heat uptake is particularly affected by lacking knowledge of ice melt below sea level, and to a 1176 1177 lesser degree, lacking knowledge of firn and ice temperatures. This lack of observations is likely related to most studies on glaciers focusing on their contribution to sea-level rise or seasonal water 1178 1179 availability, where melt below sea level and warming of ice do not matter much. However, it becomes obvious here that this gap introduces a systematic bias in the estimate of cryospheric 1180 1181 energy uptake, which is presumably small compared to the other components, but unconstrained. 1182 Although the Antarctic sea ice change and the warming of Greenland and Antarctic firn are poorly 1183 constrained or have not significantly contributed to this assessment, they may become increasingly 1184 important over the coming decades. Similarly, there exists the possibility for rapid change associated with positive ice dynamical feedbacks at the marine margins of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. 1185 1186 Sustained monitoring of each of these components will, therefore, serve the dual purpose of 1187 furthering the understanding of the dynamics and quantifying the contribution to Earth's energy budget. In addition to data collection, open access to the data and data synthesis products, as well 1188 1189 as coordinated international efforts, are key to the continued monitoring of the ice loss from the 1190 cryosphere and its related energy uptake.

1191

1192 For the atmosphere, there is a need to sustain and enhance a coherent operational long-term 1193 monitoring system for the provision of climate data records of essential climate variables. 1194 Observations from radiosonde stations within the GCOS reference upper air network (GRUAN) 1195 and from satellite-based GNSS radio occultation deliver thermodynamic profiling observations of 1196 benchmark quality and stability from surface to stratopause. For climate monitoring, it is of critical 1197 importance to ensure continuity of such observations with global coverage over all local times. This continuity of radio occultation observations in the future is not sufficiently guaranteed as we 1198 are facing an imminent observational gap in mid- to high latitudes for most local times(IROWG, 1199 2021), which is a major concern. Thus, there is an urgent need for satellite missions in high 1200 1201 inclination orbits to provide full global and local time coverage in order to ensure global climate 1202 monitoring. Operational radio occultation missions need to be maintained as backbone for a global 1203 climate observing system and long-term availability and archiving of measurement data, metadata 1204 and processing information needs to be ensured.

1205

In summary, we also call for urgently needed actions for enabling continuity, archiving, rescuing
and calibrating efforts to assure improved and long-term monitoring capacity of the global climate
observing system for the Earth heat inventory, and to complement with measurements from space
for assessing the changes of EEI (e.g., Loeb et al., 2021; von Schuckmann et al., 2016).
Particularly, the summarized recommendations include

1211

Need to sustain, reinforce or even to establish data repositories for historical climate data (archiving)

- Need to reinforce efforts for recovery projects for historical data and associated meta-data information (rescuing)
- Need to sustain and reinforce the global climate observing system for assuring the monitoring of the Earth heat inventory targets, such as for the polar, deep and shallow ocean, and of top-of-the-atmosphere radiation fluxes (continuity)
- Need to foster calibration measurements (in situ) for assuring quality and reliability of large-scale measurement techniques (e.g., remote sensing, autonomous components (eg argo) (calibrating)
- 1222 1223 A continuous effort to regularly update the Earth heat inventory is important as this global climate 1224 indicator crosses multidisciplinary boundaries and calls for the inclusion of new science 1225 knowledge from the different disciplines involved, including the evolution of climate observing 1226 systems and associated data products, uncertainty evaluations, and processing tools. The outcomes 1227 have further demonstrated how we are able to evolve our estimates for the Earth heat inventory 1228 while bringing together different expertise and major climate science advancements through a 1229 concerted international effort. All of these component estimates are at the leading edge of climate 1230 science. Their union has provided a new and unique insight on the inventory of heat in the Earth 1231 system, its evolution over time and the absolute values. The data product of this effort is made 1232 available and can be thus used for climate model validation purposes. The results also demonstrate 1233 that further efforts are needed for uncertainty evaluations, such as for example the use of synthetic 1234 profile analyses. Indeed, improving the climate observing system will allow for reduced 1235 uncertainties for estimating the Earth heat inventory. However, further evaluations are needed to 1236 unravel uncertainties of the different components of the Earth heat inventory, which rely for 1237 example on non-homogeneous data sampling and large data gaps, the use of different measurement 1238 types and statistical approaches, instrumental bias corrections, and their joint analysis of mode-1239 based quantifications.
- 1240

1241 This study has demonstrated the unique value of such a concerted international effort, and we thus 1242 call for a regular evaluation of the Earth heat inventory. This updated attempt presented here has been focused on the global area average only, and evolving into regional heat storage and 1243 1244 redistribution, the inclusion of various timescales (e.g., seasonal, year to year) and other climate 1245 study tools (e.g., indirect methods, ocean reanalyses) would be an important asset of this much 1246 needed regular international framework for the Earth heat inventory. This would also respond 1247 directly to the request of GCOS to establish the observational requirements needed to further 1248 monitor the Earth's cycles and the global energy budget (GCOS, 2021). The outcome of this study 1249 will therefore directly feed into GCOS assessments of the status of the global climate observing 1250 system, and the identified observation requirements will guide the development of the next 1251 generation of in situ and satellite global climate observations as specified by GCOS by all national 1252 meteorological services and space agencies and other oceanic and terrestrial networks.

- 1253
- 1254

1255 Acknowledgements.

Ocean: OHC estimate from the product ISAS (Gaillard et al., 2016) was provided by 'Service National d'Observation
 Argo France' (INSU/CNRS) at OSU IUEM (https://www.argo-france.fr/).

- 1258 Atmosphere: We acknowledge the WEGC EOPAC team for providing the OPSv5.6 RO data (available online at
- 1259 https://doi.org/10.25364/WEGC/OPS5.6:2021.1) as well as quality-processed Vaisala RS data, UCAR/CDAAC
- 1260 (Boulder, CO, USA) for access to RO phase and orbit data, ECMWF (Reading, UK) for access to operational analysis

and forecast data, ERA5 reanalysis data, and RS data from the ERA-Interim archive, JMA (Tokyo, Japan) for
 provision of the JRA55 and JRA55C reanalysis data, and NASA GMAO (Greenbelt, MD, USA) for access of the
 MERRA-2 reanalysis data.

- 1264
- 1265
- 1266

1267 **Financial support.**

Maximilian Gorfer was supported by WEGC atmospheric remote sensing and climate system research group young
 scientist funds. Michael Mayer was supported by Austrian Science Fund project P33177.

1271 Donata Giglio and Mikael Kuusela acknowledge support from NOAA (Awards NA21OAR4310261 and NA21OAR4310258).
1273

L.C. acknowledges financial supports from the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of
 Sciences (XDB42040402), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 42122046, 42076202).

J.C. and Y.L. were supported by the Centre for Southern Hemisphere Oceans Research (CSHOR), jointly funded by
the Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (QNLM, China) and the Commonwealth
Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO, Australia), and the Australian Research Council's Discovery
Project funding scheme (project DP190101173) and the Australian Research Council Special Research Initiative,
Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science (Project Number SR200100008). TMcD and PMB gratefully
acknowledge Australian Research Council support through grant FL150100090. This paper contributes to the tasks
of the Joint SCOR/IAPSO/IAPWS Committee on the Thermophysical Properties of Seawater.

Hugo Beltrami was supported by grants from the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
Discovery Grant (NSERC DG 140576948) and the Canada Research Chairs Program (CRC 230687). Hugo Beltrami
holds a Canada Research Chair in Climate Dynamics

Francisco José Cuesta-Valero is an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow at the Helmholtz Centre for
Environmental Research (UFZ).

Richard P. Allan is funded by the National Centre for Earth Observation RCUK grant NE/RO16518/1.

F. W. Landerer and M. Z. Hakuba were supported by Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
 under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004).

1297 **References**

1298

- Abraham, J., Cheng, L., Mann, M. E., Trenberth, K., & von Schuckmann, K. (2022). The ocean
 response to climate change guides both adaptation and mitigation efforts. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters*, 15(4), 100221.
- 1302 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aosl.2022.100221
- Abraham, J. P., Baringer, M., Bindoff, N. L., Boyer, T., Cheng, L. J., Church, J. A., Conroy, J.
 L., Domingues, C. M., Fasullo, J. T., Gilson, J., Goni, G., Good, S. A., Gorman, J. M.,
- 1305 Gouretski, V., Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., Kizu, S., Lyman, J. M., Macdonald, A. M., ...
- Willis, J. K. (2013). A review of global ocean temperature observations: Implications for
 ocean heat content estimates and climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *51*(3), 450–483.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rog.20022
- Abram, N., Gattuso, J.-P., Prakash, A., Cheng, L., Chidichimo, M. P., Crate, S., Enomoto, H.,
 Garschagen, M., Gruber, N., Harper, S., Holland, E., Kudela, R. M., Rice, J., Steffen, K., &
 von Schuckmann, K. (2019). Framing and Context of the Report. In H. O. Pörtner, D. C.
- 1312 Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A.

- Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, & N. M. Weyer (Eds.), *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate* (pp. 73–129). in press.
 https://www.ipcc.ch/srocc/
- Adusumilli, S., Fricker, H. A., Medley, B., Padman, L., & Siegfried, M. R. (2020). Interannual
 variations in meltwater input to the Southern Ocean from Antarctic ice shelves. *Nature Geoscience*, 13(9), 616–620. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0616-z
- Allison, L. C., Roberts, C. D., Palmer, M. D., Hermanson, L., Killick, R. E., Rayner, N. A.,
 Smith, D. M., & Andrews, M. B. (2019). Towards quantifying uncertainty in ocean heat
 content changes using synthetic profiles. *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(8), 084037.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab2b0b
- Angerer, B., Ladstädter, F., Scherllin-Pirscher, B., Schwärz, M., Steiner, A. K., Foelsche, U., &
 Kirchengast, G. (2017). Quality aspects of the Wegener Center multi-satellite GPS radio
 occultation record OPSv5.6. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, *10*(12), 4845–4863.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-4845-2017
- Barker, P. M., & McDougall, T. J. (2020). Two Interpolation Methods Using Multiply-Rotated
 Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomials. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, *37*(4), 605–619. https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-19-0211.1
- Barnoud, A., Pfeffer, J., Guérou, A., Frery, M.-L., Siméon, M., Cazenave, A., Chen, J., Llovel,
 W., Thierry, V., Legeais, J.-F., & Ablain, M. (2021). Contributions of Altimetry and Argo
 to Non-Closure of the Global Mean Sea Level Budget Since 2016. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(14), e2021GL092824. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092824
- Bell, B., Hersbach, H., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Dahlgren, P., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,
 J., Nicolas, J., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Soci, C., Villaume, S., Bidlot, J.-R., Haimberger, L.,
 Woollen, J., Buontempo, C., & Thépaut, J.-N. (2021). The ERA5 global reanalysis:
 Preliminary extension to 1950. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*,
 147(741), 4186–4227. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4174
- Beltrami, H., & Mareschal, J.-C. (1992). Ground temperature histories for central and eastern
 Canada from geothermal measurements: Little Ice Age signature. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 19(7), 689–692. https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL00671
- Beltrami, H., Smerdon, J. E., Pollack, H. N., & Huang, S. (2002). Continental heat gain in the
 global climate system. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(8), 8-1-8–3.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014310
- Berrisford, P., Kållberg, P., Kobayashi, S., Dee, D., Uppala, S., Simmons, A. J., Poli, P., & Sato,
 H. (2011). Atmospheric conservation properties in ERA-Interim. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *137*(659), 1381–1399. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.864
- Biskaborn, B. K., Lanckman, J.-P., Lantuit, H., Elger, K., Streletskiy, D. A., Cable, W. L., &
 Romanovsky, V. E. (2015). The new database of the Global Terrestrial Network for
 Permafrost (GTN-P). *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 7(2), 245–259. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-7245-2015
- Boyer, T., Domingues, C. M., Good, S. A., Johnson, G. C., Lyman, J. M., Ishii, M., Gouretski,
 V., Willis, J. K., Antonov, J., Wijffels, S., Church, J. A., Cowley, R., & Bindoff, N. L.
- 1354 (2016). Sensitivity of Global Upper-Ocean Heat Content Estimates to Mapping Methods, 1255 XBT Disc Corrections, and Baseline Climatelogias, Journal of Climate, 20(12), 4817, 4842
- 1355XBT Bias Corrections, and Baseline Climatologies. Journal of Climate, 29(13), 4817–4842.1356https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0801.1
- Brown, J., Ferrians Jr., O. J., Heginbottom, J. A., & Melnikov, E. S. (1997). Circum-Arctic map
 of permafrost and ground-ice conditions. In *Circum-Pacific Map*.

- 1359 https://doi.org/10.3133/cp45
- Carr, J. R., Stokes, C. R., & Vieli, A. (2017). Threefold increase in marine-terminating outlet
 glacier retreat rates across the Atlantic Arctic: 1992–2010. *Annals of Glaciology*, 58(74),
 72–91. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/aog.2017.3
- Cheng, L., Abraham, J., Goni, G., Boyer, T., Wijffels, S., Cowley, R., Gouretski, V., Reseghetti,
 F., Kizu, S., Dong, S., Bringas, F., Goes, M., Houpert, L., Sprintall, J., & Zhu, J. (2015).
 XBT Science: Assessment of Instrumental Biases and Errors. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97(6), 924–933. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00031.1
- 1367 Cheng, L., Abraham, J., Hausfather, Z., & Trenberth, K. E. (2019). How fast are the oceans 1368 warming? *Science*, *363*(6423), 128. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7619
- Cheng, L., Foster, G., Hausfather, Z., Trenberth, K. E., & Abraham, J. (2022). Improved
 Quantification of the Rate of Ocean Warming. *Journal of Climate*, *35*(14), 4827–4840.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0895.1
- 1372 Cheng, L., Luo, H., Boyer, T., Cowley, R., Abraham, J., Gouretski, V., Reseghetti, F., & Zhu, J.
 1373 (2018). How Well Can We Correct Systematic Errors in Historical XBT Data? *Journal of* 1374 *Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, *35*(5), 1103–1125. https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH1375 D-17-0122.1
- 1376 Cheng, L., Schuckmann, K. von, Abraham, J., Trenberth, K., Mann, M., Zanna, L., England, M.
 1377 H., Zika, J. D., Fasullo, J., Yu1, Y., Pan, Y., Zhu, J., Newsom, E., Bronselaer, B., & Lin, X.
 1378 (2022). Past and future ocean warming. *Nature, under revi*.
- 1379 Cheng, L., Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J., Boyer, T., Abraham, J., & Zhu, J. (2017). Improved
 1380 estimates of ocean heat content from 1960 to 2015. *Science Advances*, 3(3), e1601545.
 1381 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601545
- Cheng, L., Trenberth, K., Fasullo, J., Abraham, J., Boyer, T., von Schuckmann, K., & Zhu, J.
 (2017). Taking the Pulse of the Planet. *Eos.* https://doi.org/10.1029/2017EO081839
- Cheng, L., Zhu, J., Cowley, R., Boyer, T., & Wijffels, S. (2014). Time, Probe Type, and
 Temperature Variable Bias Corrections to Historical Expendable Bathythermograph
 Observations. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, *31*(8), 1793–1825.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-13-00197.1
- 1388 Chiodo, G., & Haimberger, L. (2010). Interannual changes in mass consistent energy budgets
 1389 from ERA-Interim and satellite data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
 1390 *115*(D2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012049
- Church, J. A., White, N. J., Konikow, L. F., Domingues, C. M., Cogley, J. G., Rignot, E.,
 Gregory, J. M., van den Broeke, M. R., Monaghan, A. J., & Velicogna, I. (2011). Revisiting
 the Earth's sea-level and energy budgets from 1961 to 2008. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *38*(18). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048794
- 1395 Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R.,
- 1396 Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Piao, S., & Thornton, P.
- 1397 (2014). Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In Climate Change 2013 The Physical
- 1398 Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
- *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.015
- Cleveland, W. S. (1979). Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots. J.
 Am.Stat.Assoc., 74, 829–836.
- Clough, W. J., & Hansen, L. B. (1979). The Ross Ice Shelf Project. *Science*, 203(4379), 433–
 434. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.203.4379.433

- 1405 CMEMS. (2022). Copernicus Marine Ocean Monitoring Indicator: Global ocean heat content.
 1406 https://marine.copernicus.eu/access-data/ocean-monitoring-indicators/global-ocean-heat-1407 content-0-2000m-time-series-and-trend
- Cohen, J., Zhang, X., Francis, J., Jung, T., Kwok, R., Overland, J., Ballinger, T., Bhatt, U. S.,
 Chen, H. W., Coumou, D., Feldstein, S., Handorf, D., Henderson, G., Ionita, M.,
- 1410 Kretschmer, M., Laliberte, F., Lee, S., Linderholm, H. W., Maslowski, W., ... Yoon, J.
- 1411 (2020). Divergent consensuses on Arctic amplification influence on midlatitude severe
- 1412 winter weather. *Nature Climate Change*, *10*, 20–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-0191413 0662-v
- 1414 Cook, A. J., & Vaughan, D. G. (2010). Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves on the
 1415 Antarctic Peninsula over the past 50 years. *The Cryosphere*, 4(1), 77–98.
 1416 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-77-2010
- 1417 Crisp, D., Dolman, H., Tanhua, T., McKinley, G. A., Hauck, J., Bastos, A., Sitch, S., Eggleston,
 1418 S., & Aich, V. (2022). How Well Do We Understand the Land-Ocean-Atmosphere Carbon
 1419 Cycle? *Reviews of Geophysics*, 60(2), e2021RG000736.
- 1420 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021RG000736
- 1421 Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Beltrami, H., Gruber, S., García-García, A., & González-Rouco, J. F.
 1422 (2022b). A new bootstrap technique to quantify uncertainty in estimates of ground surface
 1423 temperature and ground heat flux histories from geothermal data. Submitted. *Geoscientific*1424 *Model Development*, *15*, 7913–7932. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-79131425 2022
- Cuesta-Valero, F J, García-García, A., Beltrami, H., & Finnis, J. (2021). First assessment of the
 earth heat inventory within CMIP5 historical simulations. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, *12*(2), 581–600. https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-12-581-2021
- Cuesta-Valero, F J, Garcia-Garcia, A., Beltrami, H., González-Rouco, J. F., & GarciaBustamante, E. (2021). Long-Term Global Ground Heat Flux and Continental Heat Storage
 from Geothermal Data. *Climate of the Past*, *17*(1), 451–468. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17451-2021
- Cuesta-Valero, F J, García-García, A., Beltrami, H., Zorita, E., & Jaume-Santero, F. (2019).
 Long-term Surface Temperature (LoST) database as a complement for GCM preindustrial simulations. *Clim. Past*, *15*(3), 1099–1111. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-15-1099-2019
- 1436 Cuesta-Valero, Francisco José;, Beltrami, H., García-García, A., Krinner, G., Langer, M.,
- 1437 MacDougall, A., Nitzbon, J., Peng, J., von Schuckmann, K., Seneviratne, S. I. ., Thiery, W.,
- 1438 Vanderkelen, I., & Wu, T. (2023). GCOS EHI 1960-2020 Continental Heat Content
 1439 (Version 2). World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ.
- 1440 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS_EHI_1960-2020_CoHC_v2
- 1441 Cuesta-Valero, Francisco José, Beltrami, H., Burke, E., García-García, A., MacDougall, A.,
- 1442 Peng, J., Schuckmann, K. von, Seneviratne, S. I., Smith, N., Thiery, W., Vanderkelen, I., &
- Wu, T. (2022a). Continental Heat Storage: Contributions from the Ground, Inland Waters,
 and Permafrost Thawing. *Earth System Dynamics Discussions*, 1–33.
- 1445 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2022-32
- Cuesta-Valero, Francisco José, García-García, A., Beltrami, H., & Smerdon, J. E. (2016). First
 assessment of continental energy storage in CMIP5 simulations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(10), 5326–5335. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068496
- 1449 de Vrese, P., Stacke, T., Caves Rugenstein, J., Goodman, J., & Brovkin, V. (2021). Snowfall-
- albedo feedbacks could have led to deglaciation of snowball Earth starting from mid-

- 1451
 latitudes. Communications Earth & Environment, 2(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247

 1452
 021-00160-4
- 1453 Demezhko, D. Y., & Gornostaeva, A. A. (2015). Late Pleistocene–Holocene ground surface heat
 1454 flux changes reconstructed from borehole temperature data. *Climate of the Past*, *11*(4), 647–
 1455 652. https://doi.org/D O I: 10.5194/cp 11-647-2015
- 1456 Denning, A. S. (2022). Where Has All the Carbon Gone? *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary* 1457 *Sciences*, 50(1), 55–78. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-032320-092010
- Desbruyères, D. G., Purkey, S. G., McDonagh, E. L., Johnson, G. C., & King, B. A. (2016).
 Deep and abyssal ocean warming from 35 years of repeat hydrography. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(19), 10, 310–356, 365. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070413
- 1461 Desbruyères, D., McDonagh, E. L., King, B. A., & Thierry, V. (2017). Global and Full-Depth
 1462 Ocean Temperature Trends during the Early Twenty-First Century from Argo and Repeat
 1463 Hydrography. *Journal of Climate*, *30*(6), 1985–1997. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-161464 0396.1
- 1465 Dieng, H. B., Cazenave, A., Meyssignac, B., & Ablain, M. (2017). New estimate of the current
 1466 rate of sea level rise from a sea level budget approach. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(8),
 1467 3744–3751. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073308
- Domingues, C. M., Church, J. A., White, N. J., Gleckler, P. J., Wijffels, S. E., Barker, P. M., &
 Dunn, J. R. (2008). Improved estimates of upper-ocean warming and multi-decadal sealevel rise. *Nature*, 453(7198), 1090–1093. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07080
- 1471 Dorigo, W., Dietrich, S., Aires, F., Brocca, L., Carter, S., Cretaux, J.-F., Dunkerley, D.,
 1472 Enomoto, H., Forsberg, R., Güntner, A., Hegglin, M. I., Hollmann, R., Hurst, D. F.,
 1473 Johannessen, J. A., Kummerow, C., Lee, T., Luojus, K., Looser, U., Miralles, D. G., ...
 1474 Aich, V. (2021). Closing the Water Cycle from Observations across Scales: Where Do We
 1475 Stand? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *102*(10), E1897–E1935.
- 1476 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0316.1
- ECMWF-IFS. (2015). ECMWF-IFS: Part IV: Physical processes. IFS documentation-Cy41r1.
 https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elibrary/9211-partiv-physical-processes
- Eicken, H., Fischer, H., & Lemke, P. (1995). Effects of the snow cover on Antarctic sea ice and
 potential modulation of its response to climate change. *Annals of Glaciology*, *21*, 369–376.
 https://doi.org/DOI: 10.3189/S0260305500016086
- Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Fürst, J. J., Landmann, J., Machguth, H., Maussion, F., & Pandit, A.
 (2019). A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth. *Nature Geoscience*, *12*, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0300-3
- Faroux, S., Kaptué Tchuenté, A. T., Roujean, J.-L., Masson, V., Martin, E., & Le Moigne, P.
 (2013). ECOCLIMAP-II/Europe: a twofold database of ecosystems and surface parameters at 1 km resolution based on satellite information for use in land surface, meteorological and
- climate models. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6(2), 563–582. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-5632013
- Fischer, E. M., Sippel, S., & Knutti, R. (2021). Increasing probability of record-shattering
 climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, *11*(8), 689–695. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558021-01092-9
- 1493 Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D. J.,
- Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M. D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., & Zhang, H. (2022). The Earth's
- 1495 Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In Climate Change 2021: The
- 1496 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of

- 1497 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani,
- 1498 S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang,
- 1499 K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R.
- Yu, & B. Zhou (eds.)). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New
 York, NY, USA. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.009
- Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R. M., Bakker, D. C. E., Hauck, J., Le
 Quéré, C., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P.,
- Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Anthoni, P., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., ... Zeng, J.
 (2022). Global Carbon Budget 2021. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14(4), 1917–2005.
- 1506 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-1917-2022
- Frieler, K., Lange, S., Piontek, F., Reyer, C., Schewe, J., Warszawski, L., Zhao, F., Chini, L.,
 Denvil, S., Emanuel, K., Geiger, T., Halladay, K., Hurtt, G., Mengel, M., Murakami, D.,
 Ostberg, S., Popp, A., Riva, R., Stevanovic, M., & Yamagata, Y. (2017). Assessing the
 impacts of 1.5°C global warming Simulation protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model
 Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b). *Geoscientific Model Development*, *10*, 4321–4345.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-4321-2017
- Fu, Q., Solomon, S., Pahlavan, H. A., & Lin, P. (2019). Observed changes in Brewer–Dobson circulation for 1980–2018. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(11), 114026.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4de7
- Gädeke, A., Langer, M., Boike, J., Burke, E. J., Chang, J., Head, M., Reyer, C. P. O., Schaphoff,
 S., Thiery, W., & Thonicke, K. (2021). Climate change reduces winter overland travel
 across the Pan-Arctic even under low-end global warming scenarios. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(2), 24049. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abdcf2
- Gaillard, F., Reynaud, T., Thierry, V., Kolodziejczyk, N., & von Schuckmann, K. (2016). In
 Situ–Based Reanalysis of the Global Ocean Temperature and Salinity with ISAS:
 Variability of the Heat Content and Steric Height. *Journal of Climate*, 29(4), 1305–1323.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0028.1
- 1524 GCOS. (2021). The Status of the Global Climate Observing System 2021: Executive Summary. 1525 (GCOS-239).
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A.,
 Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper,
 C., Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., ... Zhao, B. (2017). The
 Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2)
 (I200, Trans.). *Journal of Climate*, *30*(14), 5419–5454. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-160758.1
- Golub, M., Thiery, W., Marcé, R., Pierson, D., Vanderkelen, I., Mercado-Bettín, D., Woolway,
 R., Grant, L., Jennings, E., Kraemer, B., Schewe, J., Zhao, F., Frieler, K., Mengel, M.,
- Bogomolov, V., Bouffard, D., Côté, M., Couture, R.-M., Debolskiy, A., & Zdorovennova,
 G. (2022). A framework for ensemble modelling of climate change impacts on lakes
 worldwide: the ISIMIP Lake Sector. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 15, 4597–4623.
- 1537 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-4597-2022
- Good, S. A. (2017). The impact of observational sampling on time series of global 0–700 m
 ocean average temperature: a case study. *International Journal of Climatology*, *37*(5),
 2260–2268. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4654
- 1541 Good, S. A., Martin, M. J., & Rayner, N. A. (2013a). EN4: Quality controlled ocean temperature 1542 and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates (I5197,

- 1543
 Trans.). Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 118(12), 6704–6716.

 1544
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009067
- Good, S. A., Martin, M. J., & Rayner, N. A. (2013b). EN4: Quality controlled ocean temperature
 and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(12), 6704–6716.
- 1548 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009067
- Gorfer, M. (2022). Monitoring of climate change and variability in atmospheric heat content
 based on climate records and reanalyses, Sci. Rep. 94-2022. Wegener Center Verlag.
 https://wegcenter.uni-graz.at/wegener-center-verlag/2022
- Gould, J., Sloyan, B., & Visbeck, M. (2013). Chapter 3 In Situ Ocean Observations: A Brief
 History, Present Status, and Future Directions. In G. Siedler, S. M. Griffies, J. Gould, & J.
 A. Church (Eds.), *Ocean Circulation and Climate* (Vol. 103, pp. 59–81). Academic Press.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-391851-2.00003-9
- Gouretski, V., & Cheng, L. (2020). Correction for Systematic Errors in the Global Dataset of
 Temperature Profiles from Mechanical Bathythermographs. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, *37*(5), 841–855. https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-19-0205.1
- Grant, L., Vanderkelen, I., Gudmundsson, L., Tan, Z., Perroud, M., Stepanenko, V. M.,
 Debolskiy, A. V, Droppers, B., Janssen, A. B. G., Woolway, R. I., Choulga, M., Balsamo,
 G., Kirillin, G., Schewe, J., Zhao, F., del Valle, I. V., Golub, M., Pierson, D., Marcé, R., ...
 Thiery, W. (2021). Attribution of global lake systems change to anthropogenic forcing. *Nature Geoscience*, *14*(11), 849–854. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00833-x
- Gregory, J. M., & Andrews, T. (2016). Variation in climate sensitivity and feedback parameters
 during the historical period. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(8), 3911–3920.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068406
- Grise, K. M., Davis, S. M., Simpson, I. R., Waugh, D. W., Fu, Q., Allen, R. J., Rosenlof, K. H.,
 Ummenhofer, C. C., Karnauskas, K. B., Maycock, A. C., Quan, X. W., Birner, T., & Staten,
 P. W. (2019). Recent tropical expansion: Natural variability or forced response? *Journal of*
- 1570 *Climate*, 32(5), 1551–1571. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0444.1
- Gulev, S. K., Thorne, P. W., Ahn, J., Dentener, F. J., Domingues, C. M., Gerland, S., Gong, D.,
 Kaufman, D. S., Nnamchi, H. C., Quaas, J., Rivera, J. A., Sathyendranath, S., Smith, S. L.,
 Trewin, B., Schuckmann, K. von, & Vose, R. S. (2021). *Changing State of the Climate*
- 1574 System Supplementary Material. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.
- 1575 Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
- *Panel on Climate Change* (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan,
 S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy,
- J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, & B. Zhou (eds.)).
- 1579 Cambridge University Press,. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.004
- Hakuba, M. Z., Frederikse, T., & Landerer, F. W. (2021). Earth's Energy Imbalance From the
 Ocean Perspective (2005–2019). *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(16), e2021GL093624.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093624
- Hansen, J, Sato, M., Kharecha, P., & von Schuckmann, K. (2011). Earth's energy imbalance and
 implications. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*(24), 13421–13449. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11 13421-2011
- Hansen, J, Sato, M., Kharecha, P., von Schuckmann, K., Beerling, D. J., Cao, J., Marcott, S.,
 Masson-Delmotte, V., Prather, M. J., Rohling, E. J., Shakun, J., Smith, P., Lacis, A.,
- 1588 Russell, G., & Ruedy, R. (2017). Young people's burden: requirement of negative CO2

- 1589 emissions. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 8(3), 577–616. https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-8-577-2017
- Hansen, James, Nazarenko, L., Ruedy, R., Sato, M., Willis, J., Del Genio, A., Koch, D., Lacis,
 A., Lo, K., Menon, S., Novakov, T., Perlwitz, J., Russell, G., Gavin A., S., & Tausnev, N.
 (2005). Earth's Energy Imbalance: Confirmation and Implications. *Science*, 308(5727),
- 1593 1431–1435. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110252
- Hartmann, A., & Rath, V. (2005). Uncertainties and shortcomings of ground surface temperature
 histories derived from inversion of temperature logs. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 2(4), 299–311. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-2132/2/4/S02
- Hersbach, H., de Rosnay, P., Bell, B., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., AlonsoBalmaseda, M., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Berrisford, P., Bidlot, J.-R., de Boisséson, E.,
 Bonavita, M., Browne, P., Buizza, R., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Dragani, R., ... Zuo, H.
 (2018). Operational global reanalysis: progress, future directions and synergies with NWP.
 https://www.ecmwf.int/node/18765
- Hersbach, Hans, Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas,
 J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X.,
 Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., ... Thépaut, J. N. (2020).
 The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *146*,
 1999–2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
- Hopcroft, P. O., Gallagher, K., & Pain, C. C. (2007). Inference of past climate from borehole
 temperature data using Bayesian Reversible Jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Geophysical Journal International*, 171(3), 1430–1439. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365246X.2007.03596.x
- Hosoda, S., Ohira, T., & Nakamura, T. (2008). A monthly mean dataset of global oceanic
 temperature and salinity derived from Argo float observations.
- 1613 http://www.jamstec.go.jp/ARGO/argo_web/ancient/MapQ/Hosoda_etal_MOAA_GPV.pdf 1614 Hugelius, G., Bockheim, J. G., Camill, P., Elberling, B., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Johnson, K.,
- Indgends, G., Bockhenn, J. G., Canni, T., Elberning, B., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Johnson, K. Jorgenson, T., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G., Mishra, U., Palmtag, J., Ping, C.L., O'Donnell, J., Schirrmeister, L., Schuur, E. A. G., Sheng, Y., Smith, L. C., ... Yu, Z.
 (2013). A new data set for estimating organic carbon storage to 3 m depth in soils of the northern circumpolar permafrost region. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5(2), 393–402.
 https://doi.org/10.5104/acad.5.202.2012
- 1619 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-393-2013
- IPCC. (2019). IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (H.-O.
 Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K.
- Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, & N. M. Weyer (eds.)).
 Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964
- 1624 IPCC. (2021a). Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
 1625 Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 1626 (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y.
- 1627 Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T.
- 1628 K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekci, R. Yu, & B. Zhou (eds.)). Cambridge University
- 1629 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 1630 https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896
- 1631 IPCC. (2021b). Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science
 1632 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 1633 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L.
- 1634 Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M.Huang, K.

1635	Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, &
1636	B. Zhou (eds.)). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.001
1637	IPCC. (2022a). Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working
1638	Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
1639	Change (P. R. Shukla, J. Skea, R. Slade, A. Al Khourdajie, R. van Diemen, D. McCollum,
1640	M. Pathak, S. Some, P. Vyas, R. Fradera, M. Belkacemi, A. Hasija, G. Lisboa, S. Luz, & J.
1641	Malley (eds.)). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.
1642	https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157926
1643	IPCC. (2022b). Summary for Policymakers, In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and
1644	Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
1645	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (HO. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.
1646	S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller,
1647	A. Okem, & B. Rama (eds.)). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/in press
1648	IROWG. (2021). Report of IROWG activities: Outcome and recommendations from the IROWG-
1649	8 Workshop, CGMS-49 IROWG-WP-01 V3, 28 April 2021, International Radio Occultation
1650	Working Group. https://irowg.org/wpcms/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/CGMS-49-IROWG-
1651	WP-01.pdf
1652	Ishii, M., Fukuda, Y., Hirahara, S., Yasui, S., Suzuki, T., & Sato, K. (2017). Accuracy of Global
1653	Upper Ocean Heat Content Estimation Expected from Present Observational Data Sets.
1654	SOLA, 13, 163–167. https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2017-030
1655	Jan, A., & Painter, S. L. (2020). Permafrost thermal conditions are sensitive to shifts in snow
1656	timing. Environmental Research Letters, 15(8), 084026. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-
1657	9326/ab8ec4
1658	Jaume-Santero, F., Pickler, C., Beltrami, H., & Mareschal, JC. (2016). North American
1659	regional climate reconstruction from ground surface temperature histories. Clim. Past,
1660	12(12), 2181–2194. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-2181-2016
1661	Johnson, G. C., Lumpkin, R., Boyer, T., Bringas, F., Cetinić, I., Chambers, D. P., Cheng, L.,
1662	Dong, S., Feely, R. A., Fox-Kemper, B., Frajka-Williams, E., Franz, B. A., Fu, Y., Gao, M.,
1663	Garg, J., Gilson, J., Goni, G., Hamlington, B. D., Hewitt, H. T., Zhang, HM. (2022).
1664	Global Oceans. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 103(8), S143–S192.
1665	https://doi.org/10.11/5/BAMS-D-22-00/2.1
1666	Johnson, G. C., Purkey, S. G., Zilberman, N. V, & Roemmich, D. (2019). Deep Argo Quantifies
1667	Bottom Water Warming Rates in the Southwest Pacific Basin. <i>Geophysical Research</i>
1668	Letters, 40(5), 2662–2669. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081685
1669	Kasniwase, H., Onsnima, K. I., Ninashi, S., & Eicken, H. (2017). Evidence for ice-ocean albedo
10/0	https://doi.org/10.1022/s41508.017.08467.5
10/1	nups://doi.org/10.1058/841598-01/-0840/-2 Korm S. Lovarona T. Notz D. Dodarson J. T. Torboo D. T. Salda D. & Saronson A. M.
1672	(2010) Satallita passiva microwaya san ion concentration data sat intercomparison, alogad
10/3	(2019). Satellite passive microwave sea-ice concentration data set intercomparison: closed
10/4	https://doi.org/10.5104/to.12.2261.2010
1073	Intps://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-5201-2019 Khazaoi P. Baad I. K. Casali M. Sampson K. M. & Vatas D. N. (2022). CLOPathy, the
1677	global lakes bathymatry datasat. Scientific Data 0(1) 26 https://doi.org/10.1029/s/1507
1077	2100ai iakes bailiymeny dalaset. Sciennijie Dana, 9(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.1058/841597-
1070	U22-U1132-7 Kohavashi S. Ota V. Harada V. Ehita A. Moriva M. Onoda U. Onogi K. Kamahari U.
1680	Kobayashi, S., Ola, T., Halada, T., Ebila, A., Moliya, M., Olloud, H., Olloui, K., Kalilalion, H., Kobayashi C. Endo H. Miyaokal K. & Takabashi K. (2015). The IDA 55 Decembraic
1000	Kobayashi, C., Lindo, II., Miyaokai, K., & Takanashi, K. (2015). The JKA-33 Kedilalysis.

- 1681 General Specifications and Basic Characteristics. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of* 1682 *Japan. Ser. II*, 93(1), 5–48. https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2015-001
- 1683 Kramer, R. J., He, H., Soden, B. J., Oreopoulos, L., Myhre, G., Forster, P. M., & Smith, C. J.
 1684 (2021). Observational Evidence of Increasing Global Radiative Forcing. *Geophysical*1685 *Research Letters*, 48(7), e2020GL091585.
- 1686 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091585
- 1687 Kuhlbrodt, T., & Gregory, J. M. (2012). Ocean heat uptake and its consequences for the
 1688 magnitude of sea level rise and climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *39*(18).
 1689 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052952
- Kuusela, M., & Giglio, D. (2022). Global Ocean Heat Content Anomalies based on Argo data.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.6131625
- Labe, Z., Magnusdottir, G., & Stern, H. (2018). Variability of Arctic Sea Ice Thickness Using
 PIOMAS and the CESM Large Ensemble. *Journal of Climate*, *31*(8), 3233–3247.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0436.1
- Ladstädter, F, Steiner, A. K., Schwärz, M., & Kirchengast, G. (2015). Climate intercomparison
 of GPS radio occultation, RS90/92 radiosondes and GRUAN from 2002 to 2013.
 Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8(4), 1819–1834. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-
- 1698 1819-2015
 1699 Ladstädter, Florian, Steiner, A. K., & Gleisner, H. (2023). Resolving the 21st century
- temperature trends of the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere with satellite observations.
 Scientific Reports, *13*(1), 1306. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28222-x
- 1702 Lane, A. C. (1923). Geotherms of Lake Superior Copper Country. *GSA Bulletin*, *34*(4), 703–720.
 1703 https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-34-703
- Lavergne, T., Macdonald Sørensen, A., Kern, S., Tonboe, R., Notz, D., Aaboe, S., Bell, L.,
 Dybkjær, G., Eastwood, S., Gabarro, C., Heygster, G., Anne Killie, M., Brandt Kreiner, M.,
 Lavelle, J., Saldo, R., Sandven, S., & Pedersen, L. T. (2019). Version 2 of the EUMETSAT
 OSI SAF and ESA CCI sea-ice concentration climate data records. *Cryosphere*, *13*(1), 49–
 78. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-49-2019
- Laxon, S. W., Giles, K. A., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., Willatt, R., Cullen, R., Kwok, R.,
 Schweiger, A., Zhang, J., Haas, C., Hendricks, S., Krishfield, R., Kurtz, N., Farrell, S., &
 Davidson, M. (2013). CryoSat-2 estimates of Arctic sea ice thickness and volume (I326,
 Trans.). *Geophysical Research Letters*. https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50193
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Baranova, O. K., Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A.,
 Mishonov, A. V, Reagan, J. R., Seidov, D., Yarosh, E. S., & Zweng, M. M. (2012). World
 ocean heat content and thermosteric sea level change (0–2000 m), 1955–2010. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(10). https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051106
- Li, H., Xu, F., Zhou, W., Wang, D., Wright, J. S., Liu, Z., & Lin, Y. (2017). Development of a
 global gridded Argo data set with Barnes successive corrections. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122(2), 866–889. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012285
- Li, Y., Church, J. A., McDougall, T. J., & Barker, P. M. (2022). Sensitivity of Observationally
 Based Estimates of Ocean Heat Content and Thermal Expansion to Vertical Interpolation
 Schemes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022G.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1020/2022GL 101070
- 1723 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101079
- Liao, S., Luo, H., Wang, J., Shi, Q., Zhang, J., & Yang, Q. (2022). An evaluation of Antarctic
 sea-ice thickness from the Global Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System based on in
 situ and satellite observations. *The Cryosphere*, *16*(5), 1807–1819.

- 1727 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-1807-2022
- Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2018).
 Brief communication: Improved simulation of the present-day Greenland firn layer (1960– 2016). *The Cryosphere*, *12*(5), 1643–1649. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1643-2018
- Liu, C., Allan, R. P., Mayer, M., Hyder, P., Desbruyères, D., Cheng, L., Xu, J., Xu, F., & Zhang,
 Y. (2020). Variability in the global energy budget and transports 1985–2017. *Climate Dynamics*, 55(11), 3381–3396. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05451-8
- Llovel, W., Willis, J. K., Landerer, F. W., & Fukumori, I. (2014). Deep-ocean contribution to sea
 level and energy budget not detectable over the past decade. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(11),
 1031–1035. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2387
- Loeb, N. G., Johnson, G. C., Thorsen, T. J., Lyman, J. M., Rose, F. G., & Kato, S. (2021).
 Satellite and Ocean Data Reveal Marked Increase in Earth's Heating Rate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(13), e2021GL093047.
- 1740 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093047
- Loeb, N. G., Lyman, J. M., Johnson, G. C., Allan, R. P., Doelling, D. R., Wong, T., Soden, B. J.,
 & Stephens, G. L. (2012). Observed changes in top-of-the-atmosphere radiation and upperocean heating consistent within uncertainty. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(2), 110–113.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1375
- Loeb, N. G., Mayer, M., Kato, S., Fasullo, J. T., Zuo, H., Senan, R., Lyman, J. M., Johnson, G.
 C., & Balmaseda, M. (2022). Evaluating Twenty-Year Trends in Earth's Energy Flows
 From Observations and Reanalyses. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *127*(12), e2022JD036686. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036686
- Loeb, N. G., Thorsen, T. J., Norris, J. R., Wang, H., & Su, W. (2018). Changes in Earth's energy
 budget during and after the "Pause" in global warming: An observational perspective
 (15948, Trans.). *Climate*, 6(3), 62. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli6030062
- Lyman, J. M., & Johnson, G. C. (2014). Estimating Global Ocean Heat Content Changes in the
 Upper 1800 m since 1950 and the Influence of Climatology Choice. *Journal of Climate*,
 27(5), 1945–1957. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00752.1
- MacIntosh, C. R., Merchant, C. J., & von Schuckmann, K. (2017). Uncertainties in Steric Sea
 Level Change Estimation During the Satellite Altimeter Era: Concepts and Practices. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 38(1), 59–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-016-9387-x
- Mankoff, K. D., Colgan, W., Solgaard, A., Karlsson, N. B., Ahlstrøm, A. P., van As, D., Box, J.
 E., Khan, S. A., Kjeldsen, K. K., Mouginot, J., & Fausto, R. S. (2019). Greenland Ice Sheet solid ice discharge from 1986 through 2017. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, *11*(2), 769–786.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-769-2019
- Marti, F., Blazquez, A., Meyssignac, B., Ablain, M., Barnoud, A., Fraudeau, R., Jugier, R.,
 Chenal, J., Larnicol, G., Pfeffer, J., Restano, M., & Benveniste, J. (2022). Monitoring the
 ocean heat content change and the Earth energy imbalance from space altimetry and space
 gravimetry. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, *14*(1), 229–249. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2292022
- Masson, V., Champeaux, J.-L., Chauvin, F., Meriguet, C., & Lacaze, R. (2003). A Global
 Database of Land Surface Parameters at 1-km Resolution in Meteorological and Climate
 Models. *Journal of Climate*, *16*(9), 1261–1282. https://doi.org/10.1175/15200442(2003)16<1261:AGDOLS>2.0.CO;2
- 1771 Matthews, T., Byrne, M., Horton, R., Murphy, C., Pielke Sr, R., Raymond, C., Thorne, P., &
- 1772 Wilby, R. L. (2022). Latent heat must be visible in climate communications. *WIREs Climate*

- 1773 *Change*, *13*(4), e779. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.779
- Mayer, J., Mayer, M., & Haimberger, L. (2021b). Consistency and Homogeneity of Atmospheric
 Energy, Moisture, and Mass Budgets in ERA5. *Journal of Climate*, *34*(10), 3955–3974.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0676.1
- Mayer, M., Lien, V. S., Mork, K. A., von Schuckmann, K., Monier, M., & Greiner, E. (2021a).
 Ocean heat content in the High North, in Copernicus Marine Service Ocean State Report,
 Issue 5. *Journal of Operational Oceanography*, *14:sup1*, 17–23.
- 1780 https://doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2021.1946240
- Mayer, Michael, Haimberger, L., Edwards, J. M., & Hyder, P. (2017). Toward Consistent
 Diagnostics of the Coupled Atmosphere and Ocean Energy Budgets. *Journal of Climate*, *30*(22), 9225–9246. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0137.1
- Meng, L., Liu, J., Tarasick, D. W., Randel, W. J., Steiner, A. K., Wilhelmsen, H., Wang, L., &
 Haimberger, L. (2022). Continuous rise of the tropopause in the Northern Hemisphere over
 1980–2020. *Science Advances*, 7(45), eabi8065. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi8065
- Meyssignac, B., Boyer, T., Zhao, Z., Hakuba, M. Z., Landerer, F. W., Stammer, D., Köhl, A.,
 Kato, S., L'Ecuyer, T., Ablain, M., Abraham, J. P., Blazquez, A., Cazenave, A., Church, J.
 A., Cowley, R., Cheng, L., Domingues, C. M., Giglio, D., Gouretski, V., ... Zilberman, N.
 (2019). Measuring Global Ocean Heat Content to Estimate the Earth Energy Imbalance. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *6*, 432.
- 1792 https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2019.00432
- Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., & Morlighem, M. (2022). Ice velocity and thickness of the
 world's glaciers. *Nature Geoscience*, *15*(2), 124–129. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-02100885-z
- Moltmann, T., Turton, J., Zhang, H.-M., Nolan, G., Gouldman, C., Griesbauer, L., Willis, Z.,
 Piniella, Á. M., Barrell, S., Andersson, E., Gallage, C., Charpentier, E., Belbeoch, M., Poli,
 P., Rea, A., Burger, E. F., Legler, D. M., Lumpkin, R., Meinig, C., ... Zhang, Y. (2019). A
 Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS), Delivered Through Enhanced Collaboration
- 1777Clobal Ocean Observing System (GOOS), Derivered Through Enhanced Conaboration1800Across Regions, Communities, and New Technologies. In Frontiers in Marine Science1801(Vol. 6, p. 291). https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2019.00291
- 1802 Moon, T., & Joughin, I. (2008). Changes in ice front position on Greenland's outlet glaciers from
 1803 1992 to 2007. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *113*(F2).
 1804 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000927
- Moore, G. W. K., Våge, K., Renfrew, I. A., & Pickart, R. S. (2022). Sea-ice retreat suggests reorganization of water mass transformation in the Nordic and Barents Seas. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), 67. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27641-6

Motyka, R. J., Truffer, M., Fahnestock, M., Mortensen, J., Rysgaard, S., & Howat, I. (2011).
Submarine melting of the 1985 Jakobshavn Isbræ floating tongue and the triggering of the
current retreat. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *116*(F1).

- 1811 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001632
- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A., van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Noël, B.,
 Scheuchl, B., & Wood, M. (2019). Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance
 from 1972 to 2018. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(19), 9239–9244.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116
- 1816 Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Scheuchl, B., Fenty, I., Khazendar, A., Morlighem, M., Buzzi, A., &
- Paden, J. (2015). Fast retreat of Zachariæ Isstrøm, northeast Greenland. *Science*, *350*(6266),
 1357–1361. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac7111

- 1819 Münchow, A., Padman, L., & Fricker, H. A. (2014). Interannual changes of the floating ice shelf
 1820 of Petermann Gletscher, North Greenland, from 2000 to 2012. *Journal of Glaciology*,
 1821 60(221), 489–499. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.3189/2014JoG13J135
- Nauels, A., Meinshausen, M., Mengel, M., Lorbacher, K., & Wigley, T. M. L. (2017).
 Synthesizing long-term sea level rise projections the MAGICC sea level model v2.0. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10(6), 2495–2524. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2495-2017
- 1825 Nicolaus, M., Hoppmann, M., Arndt, S., Hendricks, S., Katlein, C., Nicolaus, A., Rossmann, L.,
- 1826 Schiller, M., & Schwegmann, S. (2021). Snow Depth and Air Temperature Seasonality on
 1827 Sea Ice Derived From Snow Buoy Measurements . In *Frontiers in Marine Science* (Vol.
 1828 8). https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmars.2021.655446
- 1829 Nitzbon, J., Krinner, G., Schneider von Deimling, T., Werner, M., & Langer, M. (2022).
 1830 Quantifying the Permafrost Heat Sink in Earth's Climate System. Submitted. *Geophysical*1831 *Research Letters, under revi.* https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1002/essoar.10511600.1
- Palmer, M D, Haines, K., Tett, S. F. B., & Ansell, T. J. (2007). Isolating the signal of ocean
 global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(23).
- 1834 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031712
- Palmer, M D, & McNeall, D. J. (2014). Internal variability of Earth's energy budget simulated
 by CMIP5 climate models. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(3), 034016.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/034016
- Palmer, M D, Roberts, C. D., Balmaseda, M., Chang, Y.-S., Chepurin, G., Ferry, N., Fujii, Y.,
 Good, S. A., Guinehut, S., Haines, K., Hernandez, F., Köhl, A., Lee, T., Martin, M. J.,
 Masina, S., Masuda, S., Peterson, K. A., Storto, A., Toyoda, T., ... Xue, Y. (2017). Ocean
 heat content variability and change in an ensemble of ocean reanalyses. *Climate Dynamics*,
 49(3), 909–930. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2801-0
- Palmer, Matthew D, Domingues, C. M., Slangen, A. B. A., & Boeira Dias, F. (2021). An
 ensemble approach to quantify global mean sea-level rise over the 20th century from tide
 gauge reconstructions (I3507, Trans.). *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(4), 044043.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abdaec
- Park, H., Fedorov, A. N., Zheleznyak, M. N., Konstantinov, P. Y., & Walsh, J. E. (2015). Effect
 of snow cover on pan-Arctic permafrost thermal regimes. *Climate Dynamics*, 44(9), 2873–
 2895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2356-5
- Perovich, D., Polashenski, C., Arntsen, A., & Stwertka, C. (2017). Anatomy of a late spring
 snowfall on sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(6), 2802–2809.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071470
- Pickler, C., Beltrami, H., & Mareschal, jean-claude. (2016). Laurentide Ice Sheet basal
 temperatures during the last glacial cycle as inferred from borehole data. *Climate of the Past*, *12*, 115–127. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-115-2016
- 1856 Pisoft, P., Sacha, P., Polvani, L. M., Añel, J. A., de la Torre, L., Eichinger, R., Foelsche, U.,
- Huszar, P., Jacobi, C., Karlicky, J., Kuchar, A., Miksovsky, J., Zak, M., & Rieder, H. E.
 (2021). Stratospheric contraction caused by increasing greenhouse gases. *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(6), 64038. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abfe2b
- Purkey, S. G., & Johnson, G. C. (2010). Warming of Global Abyssal and Deep Southern Ocean
 Waters between the 1990s and 2000s: Contributions to Global Heat and Sea Level Rise
 Budgets. *Journal of Climate*, 23(23), 6336–6351. https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3682.1
- Qu, X., & Hall, A. (2007). What Controls the Strength of Snow-Albedo Feedback? *Journal of Climate*, 20(15), 3971–3981. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4186.1

- 1865 Rhein, M., RintoulS., Aoki, S., Campos, E., Chambers, D., `, Feely, R., Gulev, S., Johnson, G., 1866 Josey, S., Kostianoy, A., Mauritzen, C., Roemmich, D., Talley, L., & Wang, F. (2013). Chapter 3: Observations: Ocean. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. 1867 1868 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental 1869 Panel on Climate Change. (T. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. Allen, J. 1870 Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. Midgley (eds.)). Cambridge University Press. 1871 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., van den Broeke, M., van Wessem, M. J., & Morlighem, 1872 M. (2019). Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979-2017. Proceedings 1873 of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(4), 1095. 1874 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812883116 Roemmich, D., Church, J., Gilson, J., Monselesan, D., Sutton, P., & Wijffels, S. (2015). 1875 Unabated planetary warming and its ocean structure since 2006 (I3631, Trans.). Nature 1876 Climate Change, 5, 240. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2513 1877 Roemmich, D., & Gilson, J. (2009). The 2004–2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, 1878 1879 salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. Progress in 1880 Oceanography, 82(2), 81–100. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.03.004 Santer, B D, Wigley, T. M. L., Doutriaux, C., Boyle, J. S., Hansen, J. E., Jones, P. D., Meehl, G. 1881 A., Roeckner, E., Sengupta, S., & Taylor, K. E. (2001). Accounting for the effects of 1882 volcanoes and ENSO in comparisons of modeled and observed temperature trends. Journal 1883 1884 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106(D22), 28033–28059. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000189 1885 1886 Santer, Benjamin D, Po-Chedley, S., Feldl, N., Fyfe, J. C., Fu, O., Solomon, S., England, M., 1887 Rodgers, K. B., Stuecker, M. F., Mears, C., Zou, C.-Z., Bonfils, C. J. W., Pallotta, G., Zelinka, M. D., Rosenbloom, N., & Edwards, J. (2022). Robust anthropogenic signal 1888 1889 identified in the seasonal cycle of tropospheric temperature. Journal of Climate, 1-51. 1890 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0766.1 1891 Santer, Benjamin D, Po-Chedley, S., Mears, C., Fyfe, J. C., Gillett, N., Fu, Q., Painter, J. F., 1892 Solomon, S., Steiner, A. K., Wentz, F. J., Zelinka, M. D., & Zou, C.-Z. (2021). Using 1893 Climate Model Simulations to Constrain Observations. Journal of Climate, 34(15), 6281-1894 6301. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0768.1 Savita, A., Domingues, C. M., Boyer, T., Gouretski, V., Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., Lyman, J. M., 1895 1896 Willis, J. K., Marsland, S. J., Hobbs, W., Church, J. A., Monselesan, D. P., Dobrohotoff, P., Cowley, R., & Wijffels, S. E. (2022). Quantifying Spread in Spatiotemporal Changes of 1897 1898 Upper-Ocean Heat Content Estimates: An Internationally Coordinated Comparison. Journal 1899 of Climate, 35(2), 851-875. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0603.1 1900 Schweiger, A. J., Wood, K. R., & Zhang, J. (2019). Arctic Sea Ice Volume Variability over 1901 1901–2010: A Model-Based Reconstruction. Journal of Climate, 32(15), 4731–4752. 1902 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0008.1 1903 Schweiger, A., Lindsay, R., Zhang, J., Steele, M., Stern, H., & Kwok, R. (2011). Uncertainty in 1904 modeled Arctic sea ice volume. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116(C8). 1905 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007084 1906 Shen, P. Y., Wang, K., Beltrami, H., & Mareschal, J.-C. (1992). A comparative study of inverse 1907 methods for estimating climatic history from borehole temperature data. *Palaeogeography*, 1908 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 98(2), 113–127.
- 1909 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(92)90192-8
- 1910 Shen, X., Ke, C.-Q., & Li, H. (2022). Snow depth product over Antarctic sea ice from 2002 to

- 1911 2020 using multisource passive microwave radiometers. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14(2), 619–
- 1912 636. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-619-2022
- Shepherd, A., Fricker, H. A., & Farrell, S. L. (2018). Trends and connections across the
 Antarctic cryosphere. *Nature*, 558(7709), 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-0180171-6
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Rignot, E., Smith, B., van den Broeke, M., Velicogna, I., Whitehouse, P.,
 Briggs, K., Joughin, I., Krinner, G., Nowicki, S., Payne, T., Scambos, T., Schlegel, N.,
- 1918 Geruo, A., Agosta, C., Ahlstrøm, A., Babonis, G., Barletta, V. R., ... Team, T. I. (2019).
- 1919 Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018. *Nature*.
- 1920 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2
- Slater, T., Lawrence, I. R., Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Gourmelen, N., Jakob, L., Tepes, P.,
 Gilbert, L., & Nienow, P. (2021). Review article: Earth's ice imbalance. *The Cryosphere*,
 15(1), 233–246. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-233-2021
- Smith, B., Fricker, A. H., Gardner, S. A., Medley, B., Nilsson, J., Paolo, S. F., Holschuh, N.,
 Adusumilli, S., Brunt, K., Csatho, B., Harbeck, K., Markus, T., Neumann, T., Siegfried, M.,
 & Zwally, J. H. (2020). Pervasive ice sheet mass loss reflects competing ocean and
 atmosphere processes. *Science*, *368*(6496), 1239–1242.
- 1928 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5845
- Smith, D. M., Allan, R. P., Coward, A. C., Eade, R., Hyder, P., Liu, C., Loeb, N. G., Palmer, M.
 D., Roberts, C. D., & Scaife, A. A. (2015). Earth's energy imbalance since 1960 in
 observations and CMIP5 models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(4), 1205–1213.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062669
- Staten, P. W., Grise, K. M., Davis, S. M., Karnauskas, K. B., Waugh, D. W., Maycock, A. C.,
 Fu, Q., Cook, K., Adam, O., Simpson, I. R., Allen, R. J., Rosenlof, K., Chen, G.,
- 1935 Ummenhofer, C. C., Quan, X.-W., Kossin, J. P., Davis, N. A., & Son, S.-W. (2020).
- 1936Tropical Widening: From Global Variations to Regional Impacts. Bulletin of the American1937Meteorological Society, 101(6), E897–E904. https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-19-0047.1
- Steiner, A. K., Ladstädter, F., Ao, C. O., Gleisner, H., Ho, S.-P., Hunt, D., Schmidt, T., Foelsche,
 U., Kirchengast, G., Kuo, Y.-H., Lauritsen, K. B., Mannucci, A. J., Nielsen, J. K.,
- 1940 Schreiner, W., Schwärz, M., Sokolovskiy, S., Syndergaard, S., & Wickert, J. (2020b).
- 1941 Consistency and structural uncertainty of multi-mission GPS radio occultation records
- 1942 (I1695, Trans.). *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 13(5), 2547–2575.
- 1943 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-2547-2020
- Steiner, A. K., Ladstädter, F., Randel, W. J., Maycock, A. C., Fu, Q., Claud, C., Gleisner, H.,
 Haimberger, L., Ho, S.-P., Keckhut, P., Leblanc, T., Mears, C., Polvani, L. M., Santer, B.
- 1946 D., Schmidt, T., Sofieva, V., Wing, R., & Zou, C.-Z. (2020a). Observed Temperature
- 1947
 Changes in the Troposphere and Stratosphere from 1979 to 2018 (I3342, Trans.). Journal of

 1948
 Climate, 33(19), 8165–8194. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0998.1
- Storto, A., Alvera-Azcárate, A., Balmaseda, M. A., Barth, A., Chevallier, M., Counillon, F.,
 Domingues, C. M., Drevillon, M., Drillet, Y., Forget, G., Garric, G., Haines, K., Hernandez,
 F., Iovino, D., Jackson, L. C., Lellouche, J.-M., Masina, S., Mayer, M., Oke, P. R., ... Zuo,
 H. (2019). Ocean Reanalyses: Recent Advances and Unsolved Challenges. *Frontiers in*
- 1953 *Marine Science*, *6*, 418. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00418
- 1954 Storto, A., Masina, S., Simoncelli, S., Iovino, D., Cipollone, A., Drevillon, M., Drillet, Y.,
- 1955 Schuckman, K., Parent, L., Garric, G., Greiner, E., Desportes, C., Zuo, H., Balmaseda, M.,
- 1956 & Peterson, K. (2018). The added value of the multi-system spread information for ocean

- heat content and steric sea level investigations in the CMEMS GREP ensemble reanalysis
 product. *Climate Dynamics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4585-5
- Tilling, R. L., Ridout, A., & Shepherd, A. (2018). Estimating Arctic sea ice thickness and
 volume using CryoSat-2 radar altimeter data. *Advances in Space Research*, 62(6), 1203–
 1225. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017.10.051
- Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T., von Schuckmann, K., & Cheng, L. (2016). Insights into Earth's
 Energy Imbalance from Multiple Sources. *Journal of Climate*, 29(20), 7495–7505.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0339.1
- Vanderkelen, I., van Lipzig, N. P. M., Lawrence, D. M., Droppers, B., Golub, M., Gosling, S. N.,
 Janssen, A. B. G., Marcé, R., Schmied, H. M., Perroud, M., Pierson, D., Pokhrel, Y., Satoh,
 Y., Schewe, J., Seneviratne, S. I., Stepanenko, V. M., Tan, Z., Woolway, R. I., & Thiery,
 W. (2020). Global Heat Uptake by Inland Waters. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(12),
 e2020GL087867. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087867
- 1970 Verver, G., Fujiwara, M., Dolmans, P., Becker, C., Fortuin, P., & Miloshevich, L. (2006).
- Performance of the Vaisala RS80A/H and RS90 Humicap Sensors and the Meteolabor
 "Snow White" Chilled-Mirror Hygrometer in Paramaribo, Suriname. *Journal of*
- 1972 Show white "Chined-Minor Hygrometer in Paramanoo, Surmane. *Jou*. 1973 Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 23(11), 1506–1518.
- 1974 https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH1941.1
- 1975 Vömel, H., Selkirk, H., Miloshevich, L., Valverde-Canossa, J., Valdés, J., Kyrö, E., Kivi, R.,
 1976 Stolz, W., Peng, G., & Diaz, J. A. (2007). Radiation Dry Bias of the Vaisala RS92 Humidity
 1977 Sensor. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 24(6), 953–963.
 1978 https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH2019.1
- von Schuckmann, K., Palmer, M. D., Trenberth, K. E., Cazenave, A., Chambers, D.,
 Champollion, N., Hansen, J., Josey, S. A., Loeb, N., Mathieu, P.-P., Meyssignac, B., &
 Wild, M. (2016). An imperative to monitor Earth's energy imbalance. *Nature Climate*
- 1982 *Change*, 6(2), 138–144. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2876
- von Schuckmann, K, Cheng, L., Palmer, M. D., Hansen, J., Tassone, C., Aich, V., Adusumilli,
 S., Beltrami, H., Boyer, T., Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Desbruyères, D., Domingues, C., GarcíaGarcía, A., Gentine, P., Gilson, J., Gorfer, M., Haimberger, L., Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., ...
 Wijffels, S. E. (2020). Heat stored in the Earth system: where does the energy go? *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, *12*(3), 2013–2041. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2013-2020
- von Schuckmann, K, & Le Traon, P.-Y. (2011). How well can we derive Global Ocean
 Indicators from Argo data? *Ocean Sci.*, 7(6), 783–791. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-7-7832011
- von Schuckmann, Karina;, Minière, A., Gues, F., Cuesta-Valero, F. J., Kirchengast, G.,
 Adusumilli, S., Straneo, F., Allan, R., Barker, P. M., Beltrami, H., Boyer, T., Cheng, L.,
 Church, J., Desbruyeres, D., Dolman, H., Domingues, C. M., García-García, A., Gilson, J
- Church, J., Desbruyeres, D., Dolman, H., Domingues, C. M., García-García, A., Gilson, J.,
 Gorfer, M., ... Zemp, M. (2023). GCOS EHI 1960-2020 Earth Heat Inventory Ocean Heat
- 1995 *Content (Version 2).* World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ.
- 1996 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/GCOS EHI 1960-2020 OHC v2
- von Schuckmann, Karina, Le Traon, P.-Y., Smith, N., Pascual, A., Brasseur, P., Fennel, K.,
 Djavidnia, S., Aaboe, S., Fanjul, E. A., Autret, E., Axell, L., Aznar, R., Benincasa, M.,
 Bentamy, A., Boberg, F., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Nardelli, B. B., Brando, V. E., Bricaud, C.,
- 2000 ... Zuo, H. (2018). Copernicus Marine Service Ocean State Report. Journal of Operational
- 2001 *Oceanography*, *11*(sup1), S1–S142. https://doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2018.1489208
- 2002 Wanders, N., Thober, S., Kumar, R., Pan, M., Sheffield, J., Samaniego, L., & Wood, E. F.

- 2003 (2019). Development and Evaluation of a Pan-European Multimodel Seasonal Hydrological
- Forecasting System. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 20(1), 99–115.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-18-0040.1
- Wang, J., Cole, H. L., Carlson, D. J., Miller, E. R., Beierle, K., Paukkunen, A., & Laine, T. K.
 (2007) (2002). Corrections of Humidity Measurement Errors from the Vaisala RS80 Radiosonde—
 Application to TOGA COARE Data. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*,
 (2007) (2002). (2002
- 2009 *19*(7), 981–1002. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0981:COHMEF>2.0.CO;2
- Wang, X., Key, J., Kwok, R., & Zhang, J. (2016). Comparison of Arctic Sea Ice Thickness from
 Satellites, Aircraft, and PIOMAS Data. In *Remote Sensing* (Vol. 8, Issue 9).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8090713
- WCRP Global Sea Level Budget Group. (2018). Global sea-level budget 1993–present. *Earth* Syst. Sci. Data, 10(3), 1551–1590. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1551-2018
- Webster, M. A., DuVivier, A. K., Holland, M. M., & Bailey, D. A. (2021). Snow on Arctic Sea
 Ice in a Warming Climate as Simulated in CESM. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 126(1), e2020JC016308. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016308
- Weihs, P., Laimighofer, J., Formayer, H., & Olefs, M. (2021). Influence of snow making on
 albedo and local radiative forcing in an alpine area. *Atmospheric Research*, 255, 105448.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105448
- WGMS. (2021). Fluctuations of Glaciers Database. World Glacier Monitoring Service, Zurich,
 Switzerland. https://doi.org/DOI:10.5904/wgms-fog-2021-05
- Wijffels, S., Roemmich, D., Monselesan, D., Church, J., & Gilson, J. (2016). Ocean temperatures
 chronicle the ongoing warming of Earth. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), 116–118.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2924
- Wilson, N., Straneo, F., & Heimbach, P. (2017). Satellite-derived submarine melt rates and mass
 balance (2011–2015) for Greenland's largest remaining ice tongues. *The Cryosphere*, *11*,
 2773–2782. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2773-2017
- 2029 WMO. (2022). The State of the Global Climate 2021.
- 2030 https://library.wmo.int/index.php?lvl=notice_display&id=22080
- Wunsch, C. (2020). Is the Ocean Speeding Up? Ocean Surface Energy Trends. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 50, 3205–3217. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-20-0082.1
- Zanna, L., Khatiwala, S., Gregory, J. M., Ison, J., & Heimbach, P. (2019). Global reconstruction
 of historical ocean heat storage and transport. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(4), 1126. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808838115
- Zemp, M., Huss, M., Thibert, E., Eckert, N., McNabb, R., Huber, J., Barandun, M., Machguth,
 H., Nussbaumer, S. U., Gärtner-Roer, I., Thomson, L., Paul, F., Maussion, F., Kutuzov, S.,
 & Cogley, J. G. (2019). *Global and regional glacier mass changes from 1961 to 2016*.
 https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3557199
- Zemp, Michael, Huss, M., Eckert, N., Thibert, E., Paul, F., Nussbaumer, U. S., & Gärtner-Roer,
 I. (2020). Brief communication: Ad hoc estimation of glacier contributions to sea-level rise
 from the latest glaciological observations (I5946, Trans.). *Cryosphere*, *14*(3).
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1043-2020
- Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2003). Modeling Global Sea Ice with a Thickness and Enthalpy
 Distribution Model in Generalized Curvilinear Coordinates. *Monthly Weather Review*,
 Distribution Model in Generalized Curvilinear Coordinates. *Monthly Weather Review*,
- 2046 *131*(5), 845–861. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0845:MGSIWA>2.0.CO;2
- Zhang, R., Wang, H., Fu, Q., Rasch, J. P., & Wang, X. (2019). Unraveling driving forces
 explaining significant reduction in satellite-inferred Arctic surface albedo since the 1980s.

- 2049 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(48), 23947–23953.
- 2050 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915258116
- Zou, C.-Z., Xu, H., Hao, X., & Fu, Q. (2021). Post-Millennium Atmospheric Temperature
 Trends Observed From Satellites in Stable Orbits. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(13),
- 2053 e2021GL093291. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093291
- 2054