

2. *Mr Wilk is to bear all the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 179, 3.7.2010, p. 60.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 22 June 2011 — AD v Commission

(Case F-46/10) ⁽¹⁾

(No need to adjudicate)

(2012/C 138/61)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: AD (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: E. Boigelot, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the decision not to grant the applicant the household allowance on the ground that the applicant and his partner have access to legal marriage in a Member State

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the application.*
2. *The European Commission is ordered to pay all the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 246, 11/09/2010, p. 41.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 7 July 2011 — Pedferri and Others v Commission

(Case F-57/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil service — Officials — Action — Persons claiming the status of official or servant of the European Union — Inadmissibility — Failure to observe the pre-litigation procedure)

(2012/C 138/62)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicants: Stefano Pedferri (Mornago, Italy) and Others (represented by: G. Vistoli, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, agents, A. Dal Ferro, lawyer)

Subject-matter of the case

Application for recognition of the applicants' status as servants

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *The applicants are ordered to bear their own costs and to pay the costs of the European Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 55, 19.2.2011, p. 36.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 10 May 2011 — Barthel, Reiffers and Massez v Court of Justice

(Case F-59/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil Service — Preliminary issues — Plea of inadmissibility — Complaint out of time — Inadmissibility)

(2012/C 138/63)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Yvette Barthel (Arlon, Belgium), Marianne Reiffers (Olm, Luxembourg) and Lieven Massez (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis, É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: Court of Justice (represented by: A. V. Placco, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action for annulment of the decision of the Court of Justice rejecting the claim by the applicants for payment of the allowance for continuous work or shiftwork provided for in the first indent of Article 1(1) of Council Regulation (ECSC, EEC, Euratom) No 300/76 of 9 February 1976, determining the categories of officials entitled to allowances for shiftwork, and the rates and conditions thereof (OJ 1976 L 38, p. 1)

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *The Court of Justice of the European Union is ordered to pay its own costs as well as those incurred by the applicants.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 260, 25/09/2010, p. 28.