

## GENERAL COURT

**Judgment of the General Court of 27 February 2014 — InnoLux v Commission**

(Case T-91/11) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Worldwide market for liquid crystal display (LCD) panels — Agreements and concerted practices concerning prices and production capacity — Territorial jurisdiction — Internal sales — Sales of finished products incorporating cartelised products — Single and continuous infringement — Fines — Rounding method — Unlimited jurisdiction)**

(2014/C 112/34)

Language of the case: English

### Parties

**Applicant:** InnoLux Corp., formerly Chimei InnoLux Corp. (Zhunan, Taiwan) (represented by: J.-F. Bellis, lawyer, and R. Burton, Solicitor)

**Defendant:** European Commission (represented by: P. Van Nuffel, F. Ronkes Agerbeek and A. Biolan, acting as Agents)

### Re:

Application for partial annulment of Commission Decision C(2010) 8761 final of 8 December 2010 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 [TFEU] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39.309 — LCD — Liquid Crystal Displays), and for reduction of the fine imposed on the applicant by that decision.

### Operative part of the judgment

*The Court:*

1. Sets at EUR 288 000 000 the fine imposed on InnoLux Corp., formerly Chimei InnoLux Corp., in Article 2 of Commission Decision C(2010) 8761 final of 8 December 2010 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 [TFEU] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39.309 — LCD — Liquid Crystal Displays);
2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders InnoLux to pay the costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 113, 9.4.2011.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 28 February 2014 — Genebre v OHIM — General Electric (GE)**

(Case T-520/11) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark GE — Earlier national word mark GE — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)**

(2014/C 112/35)

Language of the case: Spanish

### Parties

**Applicant:** Genebre, SA (Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain) (represented by: D. Pellisé Urquiza, lawyer)

**Defendant:** Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, Agent)