

5. Fifth plea, alleging breach of the independence of a Member.
6. Sixth plea, alleging infringement of the provisions of the rules of the European Parliament relating to proceedings which might lead to the disqualification of a Member.
7. Seventh plea, alleging breach of the rule that the parties should be heard and of the applicant's rights of defence.

---

**Action brought on 7 July 2011 — Gollnisch v Parliament**

(Case T-347/11)

(2011/C 252/93)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Bruno Gollnisch (Limonest, France) (represented by: G. Dubois, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Parliament

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the decision of European Parliament of 10 May 2011 to waive the applicant's parliamentary immunity and to adopt Report No A7-0154/2011;
- award Mr GOLLNISCH the sum of EUR 8 000 in compensation for non-material damage;
- award Mr GOLLNISCH the sum of EUR 4 000 by way of costs incurred for legal advice and the preparation of this action.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

The applicant seeks, first, the annulment of the decision of the European Parliament of 10 May 2011 to adopt the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs (A7-0154/2011) and to reject the request for the defence of immunity and privileges of Bruno Gollnisch (2010/2097(IMM)) and, second, compensation for the non-material damage that it allegedly suffered in consequence of the adoption of the contested decision.

In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law:

1. First plea, alleging infringement of Article 9 of the Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Union of 8 April 1965.
2. Second plea, concerning the necessary application in the present case of Article 9 of the Protocol.

3. Third plea, alleging failure to follow the precedents set by previous decisions of the Committee on Legal Affairs of the European Parliament.
4. Fourth plea, alleging failure to respect the legal certainty of European Union law and breach of legitimate expectations.
5. Fifth plea, alleging breach of the independence of a Member.
6. Sixth plea, alleging infringement of the provisions of the rules of the European Parliament relating to proceedings which might lead to the disqualification of a Member.
7. Seventh plea, alleging breach of the rule that the parties should be heard and of the applicant's rights of defence.

---

**Action brought on 29 June 2011 — Event v OHIM — CBT Comunicación Multimedia (eventer EVENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS)**

(Case T-353/11)

(2011/C 252/94)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Event Holding GmbH & Co. KG (Köln, Germany) (represented by: G. Schoenen and V. Töbelmann, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* CBT Comunicación Multimedia, SL (Getxo, Spain)

**Form of order sought**

- Overturn the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 29 March 2011 in case R 939/2010-2;
- Order the defendant to pay its own costs as well as the costs of the Applicant; and
- Order the other party to the proceedings, if it becomes intervener before the Tribunal to bear its own costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

*Applicant for a Community trade mark:* The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

*Community trade mark concerned:* The figurative mark 'eventer EVENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS', for goods and services in classes 9, 35, 41 and 42 — Community trade mark application No 6483606