Mark or sign cited in opposition: Community trade mark registration No 1980242 of the figurative mark 'ENSTO', for goods in classes 7, 9 and 11; Community trade mark registration No 40600 of the word mark 'ENSTO', for goods in classes 7, 9, 11 and 16; Finish trade mark registration No 218071 of the word mark 'ENSTO', for goods in classes 7, 9 and 11

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejected the opposition in its entirety

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Annulled the contested decision and rejected the community trade mark application

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Council Regulation No 207/2009, as the Board of Appeal erroneously found that there exists a likelihood of confusion between the earlier mark and the Community trade mark application.

# Action brought on 2 December 2011 — BSH v OHIM (ecodoor)

(Case T-625/11)

(2012/C 32/77)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgeräte GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by S. Biagosch, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

## Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 22 September 2011 in Case R 340/2011-1;
- Order OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay the costs of the applicant.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

Community trade mark concerned: Word mark 'ecoDoor' for goods in Classes 7, 9 and 11

Decision of the Examiner: Refusal of registration.

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissal of the appeal.

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009, since the Community mark concerned is distinctive and not purely descriptive.

# Action brought on 6 December 2011 — Caventa v OHIM — Anson's Herrenhaus (B BERG)

(Case T-631/11)

(2012/C 32/78)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Caventa AG (Rekingen, Switzerland) (represented by: J. Krenzel, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Anson's Herrenhaus KG (Düsseldorf, Germany)

### Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 15 September 2011 in Case R 2014/2010-1;
- Order OHIM to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: Caventa AG.

Community trade mark concerned: Figurative mark containing the word element 'B BERG' for goods in Classes 25 and 28.

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Anson's Herrenhaus KG.

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Word mark 'Christian Berg' for goods and services in Classes 3, 18, 25 and 35.

Decision of the Opposition Division: The opposition was rejected.

Decision of the Board of Appeal: The appeal was upheld and registration refused.

Pleas in law: There is no similarity between the goods at issue and no likelihood of confusion of the signs at issue.