## Re:

First, an application for annulment of Council Decision 2012/635/CFSP of 15 October 2012 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 58) and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 945/2012 of 15 October 2012 implementing Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 16), in so far as those acts concern the applicants, and secondly, an application for a declaration of the inapplicability to the applicants of Article 20(1)(c) of Council Decision 2010/413/CFSP of 26 July 2010 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 195, p. 39), as amended by Decision 2012/635, and of Article 23(2)(d) of Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1).

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders National Iranian Oil Company PTE Ltd (NIOC), National Iranian Oil Company International Affairs Ltd (NIOC International Affairs), Iran Fuel Conservation Organization (IFCO), Karoon Oil & Gas Production Co., Petroleum Engineering & Development Co. (PEDEC), Khazar Exploration and Production Co. (KEPCO), National Iranian Drilling Co. (NIDC), South Zagros Oil & Gas Production Co., Maroun Oil & Gas Co., Masjed-Soleyman Oil & Gas Co. (MOGC), Gachsaran Oil & Gas Co., Aghajari Oil & Gas Production Co. (AOGPC), Arvandan Oil & Gas Co. (AOGC), West Oil & Gas Production Co., East Oil & Gas Production Co. (EOGPC), Iranian Oil Terminals Co. (IOTC) and Pars Special Economic Energy Zone (PSEEZ) to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union.

(1) OJ C 79, 16.3.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 9 September 2015 — Panasonic and MT Picture Display v Commission

(Case T-82/13) (1)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Global market for cathode ray tubes for television sets and computer monitors — Decision finding an infringement of Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement — Agreements and concerted practices on pricing, market sharing, capacity and production — Rights of the defence — Proof of participation in the cartel — Single and continuous infringement — 2006 Guidelines on the method of setting fines — Proportionality — Fines — Unlimited jurisdiction)

(2015/C 346/19)

Language of the case: English

# Parties

Applicants: Panasonic Corp. (Kadoma, Japan); and MT Picture Display Co. Ltd (Matsuocho, Japan) (represented by: R. Gerrits and A.-H. Bischke, lawyers, M. Hoskins QC, and S.K. Abram, Barrister)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Biolan, M. Kellerbauer and G. Koleva, acting as Agents)

## Re:

Application for, primarily, annulment of Commission Decision C(2012) 8839 final of 5 December 2012 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39.437 — TV and Computer Monitor Tubes), in so far as it concerns the applicants, or, in the alternative, a reduction of the amount of the fine imposed on the applicants.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Sets the amount of the fines imposed by Article 2(2)(f), (h) and (i) of Commission Decision C(2012) 8839 final of 5 December 2012 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39.437 TV and Computer Monitor Tubes) at EUR 128 866 000, so far as concerns Panasonic Corp., for its direct participation in the infringement concerning the colour picture tubes for television sets market; at EUR 82 826 000, so far as concerns Panasonic, Toshiba Corp. and MT Picture Display Co. Ltd, jointly and severally, and at EUR 7 530 000, so far as concerns Panasonic and MT Picture Display, jointly and severally;
- 2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
- 3. Orders each party to bear its own costs.
- (1) OJ C 101, 6.4.2013.

Judgment of the General Court of 9 September 2015 — Samsung SDI and Others v Commission (Case T-84/13)  $\binom{1}{2}$ 

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Global market for cathode ray tubes for television sets and computer monitors — Decision finding an infringement of Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement — Agreements and concerted practices on pricing, market sharing, capacity and production — Single and continuous infringement — Duration of the infringement — Cooperation during the administrative procedure — 2006 Leniency Notice — Reduction of the fine — Calculation of the fine — Taking account of undertakings' sales according to the place of delivery — Taking account of the average value of sales recorded during the infringement period)

(2015/C 346/20)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicants: Samsung SDI Co. Ltd (Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea); Samsung SDI Germany GmbH (Berlin, Germany); and Samsung SDI (Malaysia) Bhd (Negeri Sembilan Darul Khusus, Malaysia) (represented initially by G. Berrisch, lawyer, D. Hull, Solicitor, and L.-A. Grelier, lawyer, then by D. Hull and L.-A. Grelier, and subsequently by L.-A. Grelier, D. Geradin, J. Ysewyn, P. Camesasca, lawyers, and J. Flynn QC)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Biolan, G. Meessen and H. van Vliet, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Application for annulment in part of Commission Decision C(2012) 8839 final of 5 December 2012 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39.437 — TV and Computer Monitor Tubes) and for a reduction of the fines imposed on the applicants.