

**Judgment of the General Court of 14 January 2016 — Doux v Commission**(Case T-434/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Agriculture — Export refund — Poultry meat — Implementing Regulation fixing the refund at EUR 0 — Action for annulment — Regulatory act not entailing implementing measures — Direct concern — Admissibility — Article 3(3) of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 — Obligation to state reasons — Article 164(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 — Legitimate expectations)**

(2016/C 078/24)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Doux SA (Châteaulin, France) (represented by: J. Vogel, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: D. Bianchi and K. Skelly, acting as Agents)

*Intervener in support of the applicant:* Tilly-Sabco (Guerlesquin, France) (represented by: R. Milchior, F. Le Roquais and S. Charbonnel, lawyers)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 689/2013 of 18 July 2013 fixing the export refunds on poultry meat (OJ 2013 L 196, p. 13).

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

- 1) Dismisses the action;
- 2) Orders Doux SA to bear its own costs and those incurred by the European Commission;
- 3) Orders Tilly-Sabco to bear its own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 291, 5.10.2013.

**Judgment of the General Court of 14 January 2016 — France v Commission**(Case T-549/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Agriculture — Export refund — Poultry meat — Fixing the refund at EUR 0 — Obligation to state reasons — Possibility for the Commission to confine itself to a standard set of reasoning — Commission's standard practice in fixing refunds — Article 164(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 — Non-exhaustive nature of the criteria laid down)**

(2016/C 078/25)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* French Republic (represented by: G. de Bergues, D. Colas and C. Candat, acting as Agents)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: D. Bianchi and K. Skelly, acting as Agents)