

# GENERAL COURT

## Judgment of the General Court of 10 May 2016 — Izsák and Dabis v Commission

(Case T-529/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Law governing the institutions — European citizens' initiative — Cohesion policy — National minority regions — Refusal of registration — Manifest lack of powers of the Commission — Article 4(2)(b) and 4(3) of Regulation (EU) No 211/2011)**

(2016/C 222/12)

Language of the case: Hungarian

### Parties

**Applicants:** Balázs-Árpád Izsák (Târgu Mureş, Romania) and Attila Dabis (Budapest, Hungary) (represented initially by J. Tordáné dr. Petneházy and subsequently by D. Sobor, lawyers)

**Defendant:** European Commission (represented initially by H. Krämer, K. Talabér-Ritz, A. Steiblytė and P. Hetsch, and subsequently by K. Talabér-Ritz, K. Banks, H. Krämer and B.-R. Killman, acting as Agents)

**Intervener in support of the applicants:** Hungary (represented by: M. Fehér, G. Szima and G. Koós, acting as Agents)

**Intervener in support of the defendant:** Hellenic Republic (represented by: E.-M. Mamouna, acting as Agent), Romania (represented by: R. Radu, R. Hațieganu, D. Bulancea and M. Bejenar, acting as Agents) and Slovak Republic (represented by: B. Ricziová, acting as Agent)

### Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C(2013) 4975 final of 25 July 2013 rejecting the application for registration of the proposed European citizens' initiative.

### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Balázs-Árpád Izsák and Mr Attila Dabis to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission;
3. Orders Hungary, the Hellenic Republic, Romania and the Slovak Republic to bear their own costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 24, 25.1.2014.

---

## Judgment of the General Court of 10 May 2016 — Mikhalchanka v Council

(Case T-693/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Belarus — Freezing of funds and economic resources — Restrictions on entry into, and transit through, the territory of the European Union — Retention of the applicant's name on the list of persons concerned — Journalist — Rights of defence — Obligation to state reasons — Error of assessment)**

(2016/C 222/13)

Language of the case: French

### Parties

**Applicant:** Aliaksei Mikhalchanka (Minsk, Belarus) (represented by: M. Michalaukas, lawyer)