

## V

*(Announcements)*

## COURT PROCEEDINGS

## COURT OF JUSTICE

**Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 6 September 2017 — Intel Corp. v European Commission, Association for Competitive Technology Inc., Union fédérale des consommateurs — Que choisir (UFC — Que choisir)**

**(Case C-413/14 P) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Appeal — Article 102 TFEU — Abuse of a dominant position — Loyalty rebates — Commission's jurisdiction — Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 — Article 19)**

(2017/C 374/02)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Intel Corp. (represented by: D. Beard QC, and by A. Parr and R. Mackenzie, Solicitors)

*Other parties to the proceedings:* European Commission (represented by: T. Christoforou, V. Di Bucci, M. Kellerbauer and N. Khan, acting as Agents), Association for Competitive Technology Inc. (represented by: J.-F. Bellis, avocat), Union fédérale des consommateurs — Que choisir (UFC — Que choisir)

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Sets aside the judgment of the General Court of the European Union of 12 June 2014, *Intel v Commission* (T-286/09, EU: T:2014:547);
2. Refers the case back to the General Court of the European Union;
3. Orders that the costs be reserved.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 295, 10.11.2014.

---

**Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 7 September 2017 — French Republic v Carl Schlyter, European Commission, Republic of Finland, Kingdom of Sweden**

**(Case C-331/15 P) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Appeal — Right of public access to documents of the EU institutions — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Article 4(2), third indent — Exceptions to the right of access to documents — Protection of the purpose of investigations — Directive 98/34/EC — Articles 8 and 9 — Detailed opinion of the European Commission concerning a draft technical regulation — Refusal to grant access)**

(2017/C 374/03)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* French Republic (represented by: D. Colas, G. de Bergues, B. Fodda and F. Fize, acting as Agents)