Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Reisswolf Akten- und Datenvernichtung GmbH & Co. KG to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 200, 6.6.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 18 May 2017 — Panzeri v Parliament

(Case T-166/16) (1)

(Rules governing the payment of expenses and allowances to Members of the European Parliament —
Parliamentary assistance allowance — Recovery of sums unduly paid)

(2017/C 221/27)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Pier Antonio Panzeri (Calusco d'Adda, Italy) (represented by: C. Cerami, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz, A. Caiola, G. Corstens and S. Seyr, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of the General Secretariat of the Parliament of 11 February 2016 concerning the recovery from the applicant of the sum of EUR 83 764,34 and of the debit note relating thereto of the same date.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Mr Pier Antonio Panzeri to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 200, 6.6.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 16 May 2017 — Mühlbauer Technology v EUIPO (Magicrown)

(Case T-218/16) (1)

(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark Magicrown — Absolute grounds for refusal — Lack of distinctive character — Descriptiveness — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2017/C 221/28)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Mühlbauer Technology GmbH (Hamburg, Germany) (represented by: M. Zintler and A. Stolz, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: S. Hanne, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 7 March 2016 (Case R 1213/2015-4) concerning and application for registration of word sign Magicrown as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Mühlbauer Technology GmbH to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 232, 27.6.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 17 May 2017 — adp Gauselmann v EUIPO (MULTI FRUITS)

(Case T-355/16) (1)

(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark MULTI FRUITS — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2017/C 221/29)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: adp Gauselmann GmbH (Espelkamp, Germany) (represented by: P. Koch Moreno, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: A. Schifko, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 29 April 2016 (Case R 1043/2015-5) concerning an application for registration of the word sign MULTI FRUITS as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders adp Gauselmann GmbH to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 296, 16.8.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 11 May 2017 — Bammer v EUIPO — mydays (MÄNNERSPIELPLATZ)

(Case T-372/16) (1)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU word mark MÄNNERSPIELPLATZ — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 52(1)(a) and Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2017/C 221/30)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Alexander Bammer (Sindelfingen, Germany) (represented by: W. Riegger, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: S. Hanne, acting as Agent)