

**Pleas in law**

- The Board of Appeal wrongly assessed the goods for which proof of use had been established and, accordingly, failed to conduct the correct comparison of goods;
- The Board of Appeal wrongly assessed the similarity of the relevant goods and the similarity of the relevant marks and, accordingly, wrongly assessed the existence of the likelihood of confusion.

---

**Order of the General Court of 17 March 2017 — El Corte Inglés v EUIPO — AATC Trading (ALIA)****(Case T-299/13) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2017/C 178/48)

*Language of the case: Spanish*

The President of the First Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 215, 27.7.2013.

---

**Order of the General Court of 28 February 2017 — MPF Holdings v Commission****(Case T-788/14) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2017/C 178/49)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Third Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 65, 23.2.2015.

---

**Order of the General Court of 3 April 2017 — Corsini Santolaria v EUIPO — Molins Tura (biombo 13)****(Case T-145/16) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2017/C 178/50)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Fifth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 222, 20.6.2016.

---

**Order of the General Court of 17 March 2017 — Tri Ocean Energy v Council****(Case T-383/16) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2017/C 178/51)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Fifth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 350, 26.9.2016.

---