EN

Order of the General Court of 3 March 2017 — GX v Commission

(Case T-556/16) (¹)

(Civil service — Recruitment — Notice of competition — Open Competition EPSO/AD/248/13 — Decision not to include the applicant on the reserve list — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2017/C 144/63)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: GX (represented by: G.-M. Enache, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Gattinara and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 270 TFEU seeking, first, annulment of the decision of the selection board in Open Competition EPSO/AD/248/13 of 20 August 2014 not to include the applicant on the reserve list and, secondly, compensation in respect of the material and non-material harm allegedly suffered by the applicant on account of that decision.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed.

- 2. GX shall pay the costs.
- (¹) OJ C 279, 24.8.2015 (case initially registered before the European Union Civil Service Tribunal as Case F-89/15 and transferred to the General Court of the European Union on 1 September 2016).

Order of the President of the General Court of 10 March 2017 — Przedsiębiorstwo Energetyki Cieplnej v ECHA

(Case T-625/16 R)

(Interim measures — European Chemicals Agency — REACH — Fee payable for registration of a substance — Reduction granted to micro, small and medium-sized enterprises — Decision imposing an administrative charge and an additional fee — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)

(2017/C 144/64)

Language of the case: Polish

Parties

Applicant: Przedsiębiorstwo Energetyki Cieplnej sp. z o.o. (Grajewo, Poland) (represented by: T. Dobrzyński, lawyer)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: E. Maurage, J. Trnka and M. Heikkilä, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Articles 278 TFEU and 279 TFEU seeking the granting of interim measures (i) suspending implementation of Decision No SME (2016) 2851 of 23 June 2016 which found that the applicant was not entitled to the fee reductions applicable to medium-sized enterprises and (ii) ordering the defendant to cancel the invoices issued on the basis of that decision, namely ECHA invoices No 10058238 and No 10058239 of 23 June 2016.