2. Second plea in law: infringements of law and distortion of the decision-making process.

- 3. Third plea in law: infringement of the obligation to state reasons.
- 4. Fourth plea in law: infringement of the principle of sincere cooperation and of procedural guarantees.

## Action brought on 23 May 2016 — Globo Media v EUIPO — Globo Comunicação e Participações (GLOBO MEDIA)

(Case T-262/16)

(2016/C 260/57)

Language in which the application was lodged: Spanish

# Parties

Applicant: Globo Media, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: L. Estropá Navarro y J. Calderón Chavero, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Globo Comunicação e Participações S/A (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)

#### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'GLOBO MEDIA' - Application for registration No 8 957 169

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 14/03/2016 in Case R 561/2014-4

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- As a consequence of the above, reject opposition No B1697179 and grant registration of the contested mark as regards the services requested in classes 35, 38 and 41;
- Order EUIPO and the other interveners to pay the costs of these proceedings if they oppose them and reject their claims.

### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.