

2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders Dehtochema Bitumat s. r. o. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 402, 31.10.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 18 January 2018 — LG Electronics v EUIPO (Dual Edge)

(Case T-804/16) ⁽¹⁾

(European Union trade mark — Application for EU word mark Dual Edge — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU 2017/1001))

(2018/C 072/46)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: LG Electronics, Inc. (Seoul, South Korea) (represented by: M. Graf, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: M. Rajh, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 2 September 2016 (Case R 832/2016-2) concerning an application for registration of the word sign Dual Edge as a European Union trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders LG Electronics, Inc. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 22, 23.1.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 16 January 2018 — SE v Council

(Case T-231/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil service — Officials — Remuneration — Family allowances — Article 2(2), third sentence, of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations — Concept of ‘dependent child’ — Concept of ‘child whom the official has a responsibility to maintain under a judicial decision based on Member States’ legislation on the protection of minors’ — Refusal to grant dependent child status to the granddaughter of the official)

(2018/C 072/47)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: SE (represented by: N. de Montigny, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bauer and R. Meyer, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 270 TFEU and seeking annulment of the Council's decision of 22 June 2016, by which the appointing authority of that institution refused to recognise that the applicant's granddaughter was a 'dependent child' of the applicant within the meaning of the third sentence of Article 2(2) of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court hereby:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders SE to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 213, 3.7.2017.

Action brought on 7 December 2017 — Intercontact Budapest v CdT

(Case T-809/17)

(2018/C 072/48)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Parties

Applicant: Intercontact Budapest Fordító és Pénzügyi Tanácsadó Kft. (Budapest, Hungary) (represented by: É. Subasicz, lawyer)

Defendant: Translation Centre for the Bodies of the European Union (CdT)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Primarily, declare whether the points awarded to each tenderer are accurate on the basis of the comparison of the bids submitted and whether they comply with the principles of equal treatment, non-discrimination, proportionality and transparency.
- In the alternative, annul the defendant's decision of 10 July 2017 concerning the result of the public procurement procedures FL/GEN 16-01 and FL/GEN 16-02.
- In the further alternative, annul the public procurement procedures.
- Order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of the principles of equal treatment, non-discrimination, proportionality and transparency, inasmuch as the defendant applied different approaches to the tenderers in the public procurement procedures, in that it assessed identical activities differently in each procedure. ⁽¹⁾
2. Second plea in law, alleging that the defendant misused its powers by not sending the applicant the information required in the public procurement procedures. ⁽²⁾