

— Order the European Commission to pay the costs.

### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging that the contested decision is vitiated by manifest procedural errors;
2. Second plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of assessment, fails to state reasons and distorts evidence in dismissing Qualcomm's efficiency defence;
3. Third plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of law and of assessment in finding that the impugned agreements were capable of producing potential anticompetitive effects;
4. Fourth plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of assessment regarding the definition of the relevant product market and the finding of dominance;
5. Fifth plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of law and of assessment and fails to state reasons with regard to the duration of the alleged infringement;
6. Sixth plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of assessment in applying the fining guidelines and infringes the principle of proportionality; and
7. Seventh plea in law, alleging that the contested decision commits manifest errors of assessment in establishing the Commission's jurisdiction and effect on trade between Member States.

---

**Order of the General Court of 10 April 2018 — European Dynamics Luxembourg and Evropaiki  
Dynamiki v EIF**

**(Case T-320/17) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2018/C 190/67)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Ninth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 256, 7.8.2017.

---