

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 December 2017 in Case R 1244/2017-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs;
- order EUIPO and Charles Claire LLP to pay the costs incurred by the applicant in the administrative proceedings before EUIPO.

Plea in law

- Infringement of article 8(1)(b) of the Regulation No 2017/1001.

Action brought on 20 February 2018 — Aytekin v EUIPO — Dienne Salotti (Dienne)

(Case T-107/18)

(2018/C 134/54)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant(s): Erkan Aytekin (Ankara, Turkey) (represented by: V. Martín Santos, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Dienne Salotti SRL a socio unico (Altamura, Italy)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Application for EU figurative mark Dienne — Application for registration No 15 080 302

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 15 December 2017 in Case R 1444/2017-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order Applicant/Intervener and/or the EUIPO to pay the costs incurred by the Appellant in connection with this appeal, and all procedural costs generated by EUIPO decisions.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001.
-