

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: V. Di Bucci, L. Armati and B. Sasinowska, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/321 of 2 March 2018 amending Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/224 setting out the technical and operational specifications allowing the commercial service offered by the system established under the Galileo programme to fulfil the function referred to in Article 2(4)(c) of Regulation (EU) No 1285/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ 2018 L 62, p. 34), and, in the alternative, for annulment of Article 1(2) of that decision.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Fugro NV is ordered to pay the costs.*

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 240, 9.7.2018.

---

**Order of the General Court of 27 June 2019 — CJ v Court of Justice of the European Union**

**(Case T-1/19) (<sup>1</sup>)**

***(Law governing the institutions — Documents available to the public on the internet relating to closed General Court and Civil Service Tribunal cases — Request for anonymity ex post — Failure of the Court of Justice of the European Union to respond to the request — Action for failure to act — Position adopted in the course of the proceedings — Action which has become devoid of purpose — No need to adjudicate)***

(2019/C 295/49)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* CJ (represented by V. Koliás, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Court of Justice of the European Union (represented by J. Inghelram, Á. Almendros Manzano and V. Hanley-Emilsson, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action under Article 265 TFEU seeking a declaration that the Court of Justice of the European Union unlawfully failed to grant the applicant anonymity, ex post, as regards publicly available documents relating to closed General Court and Civil Service Tribunal cases or, in the alternative, to ensure that versions bearing his name ('nominal versions') are not accessible to internet search engine providers.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The Court of Justice of the European Union shall pay the costs.*

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 164, 13.5.2019.

---

**Action brought on 28 June 2019 — Lombardo v Parliament****(Case T-411/19)**

(2019/C 295/50)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties**

*Applicant:* Raffaele Lombardo (Catania, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Parliament

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare non-existent or annul in its entirety the measure of which the applicant was informed by means of the contested communication, in which the European Parliament re-determined retirement pension rights and ordered recovery of the amount paid on the basis of the earlier pension calculation;
- order the European Parliament to refund all the sums unduly withheld, and to pay statutory interest from the date of withholding to the date of payment and order the European Parliament to implement the judgment and undertake all the necessary initiatives, acts or measures to ensure the immediate, full re-establishment of the original pension amount;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those relied on in Case T-389/19, *Coppo Gavazzi v Parliament*.

---