

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2021 — Kanyama v Council(Case T-110/20) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures adopted in view of the situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo — Freezing of funds — Restriction on admission to the territory of the Member States — Retention of the applicant's name on the lists of persons subject to restrictive measures — Obligation to state reasons — Right to be heard — Proof that inclusion and retention on the lists is well founded — Manifest error of assessment — Continuation of the factual and legal circumstances which led to the adoption of the restrictive measures — Right to private and family life — Presumption of innocence — Proportionality — Plea of illegality)

(2021/C 452/36)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Célestin Kanyama (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, A. Giullerme and T. Payan, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: M.-C. Cadilhac and H. Marcos Fraile, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment, first, of Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/2109 of 9 December 2019 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2019 L 318, p. 134), and, second, Council Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/2101 of 9 December 2019 implementing Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005 imposing certain specific restrictive measures directed against persons acting in violation of the arms embargo with regard to the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2019 L 318, p. 1) in so far as those acts concern the applicant.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Célestin Kanyama to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 129, 20.4.2020.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2021 — France v ECHA(Case T-127/20) ⁽¹⁾

(REACH — Substance evaluation — Aluminium chloride — Aluminium chloride, basic — Aluminium sulphate — ECHA decisions requesting further information — Article 46(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 — Appeal brought before the Board of Appeal — Multiple grounds for the decision of the Board of Appeal — Grounds capable of justifying the decision — Inoperative nature of the pleas directed against the other grounds)

(2021/C 452/37)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: French Republic (represented by: T. Stehelin, W. Zemamta and A.-L. Desjonquères, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (represented by: M. Heikkilä, M. Goodacre and W. Broere, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the applicant: Federal Republic of Germany (represented by: D. Klebs, S. Heimerl and S. Costanzo, acting as Agents)