

Operative part of the order

Article 8(1)(c) of Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States, as amended by Council Framework Decision 2009/299/JHA of 26 February 2009, read in the light of Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the case-law of the Court of Justice, must be interpreted as meaning that the requirements inherent in the effective judicial protection that must be afforded to a person who is the subject of a European arrest warrant for the purposes of criminal prosecution are not satisfied where both the European arrest warrant and the judicial decision on which that warrant is based are issued by a public prosecutor — who may be classified as an ‘issuing judicial authority’ within the meaning of Article 6(1) of that framework decision, as amended by Framework Decision 2009/299 — but that European arrest warrant or judicial decision cannot be reviewed by a court in the issuing Member State prior to the surrender of the requested person by the executing Member State.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 262, 10.8.2020.

Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 1 July 2021 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Pécsi Törvényszék — Hungary) — Tolnatext Bt. v Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal Fellebbviteli Igazgatósága (Case C-636/20) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Union Customs Code — Article 22(6) — Article 29 — Right to be heard — Procedures initiated ex officio — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Insufficient information as to the reasons justifying the need for an answer to the questions referred for a preliminary ruling for the purposes of resolving the dispute in the main proceedings — Manifest inadmissibility of the request for a preliminary ruling)

(2021/C 382/07)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Referring court

Pécsi Törvényszék

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Tolnatext Bt.

Defendant: Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal Fellebbviteli Igazgatósága

Operative part of the order

The request for a preliminary ruling from the Pécsi Törvényszék (Pécs Court, Hungary), made by decision of 30 October 2020, is manifestly inadmissible.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 62, 22.2.2021.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Köln (Germany) lodged on 16 February 2021 — Deutsche Lufthansa AG v AirHelp Germany GmbH

(Case C-95/21)

(2021/C 382/08)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Köln

Parties to the main proceedings

Defendant and appellant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Applicant and respondent: AirHelp Germany GmbH

This case was removed from the Register of the Court of Justice by order of the President of the Court of 17 June 2021.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Köln (Germany) lodged on 5 March 2021 —
Deutsche Lufthansa AG v AirHelp Germany GmbH**

(Case C-142/21)

(2021/C 382/09)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Köln

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Defendant: AirHelp Germany GmbH

The case was removed from the register of the Court of Justice by order of the President of the Court of 18 June 2021.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Köln (Germany) lodged on 22 March 2021 —
Deutsche Lufthansa AG v GE**

(Case C-173/21)

(2021/C 382/10)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Köln

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Defendant: GE

The case was removed from the register of the Court of Justice by order of the President of the Court of 14 June 2021.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Juzgado de Primera Instancia n.º 4 de Castellón de la Plana
(Spain) lodged on 12 May 2021 — Casilda v Banco Cetelem SA**

(Case C-302/21)

(2021/C 382/11)

Language of the case: Spanish

Referring court

Juzgado de Primera Instancia n.º 4 de Castellón de la Plana