

Order of the President of the General Court of 12 July 2021 — Jalkh v Parliament**(Case T-230/21 R)*****(Interim measures — Law governing the institutions — Member of the European Parliament — Privileges and immunities — Waiver of parliamentary immunity — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)***

(2021/C 382/38)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Jean-François Jalkh (Gretz-Armainvilliers, France) (represented by: F. Wagner, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz and A.-M. Dumbrăvan, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Application under Article 278 TFEU for suspension of operation of European Parliament decision P9_TA(2021)0092 of 25 March 2021 on the request for waiver of the immunity of the applicant (2020/2110(IMM)).

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

Action brought on 30 July 2021 — Natixis v Commission**(Case T-449/21)**

(2021/C 382/39)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Natixis (Paris, France) (represented by: J. Stratford, Barrister-at-law, and J.-J. Lemonnier, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare Commission Decision C(2021) 3489 final adopted on 20 May 2021 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 of the TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement in Case AT.40324: European Government Bonds (the 'Contested Decision') to be void in its entirety, as concerns the applicant, and
- order the Commission to pay the applicant's legal and other costs and expenses in relation to this matter.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging that the Commission did not have a legitimate interest in adopting the Contested Decision within the meaning of the last sentence of Article 7(1) of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1/2003 ⁽¹⁾.