

Official Journal

of the European Union

C 227 E

Volume 49

21 September 2006

English edition

Information and Notices

Notice No

Contents

Page

I *Information***European Parliament**

2005 — 2006 SESSION

Sittings of 26 to 29 September 2005

Monday, 26 September 2005

2006/C 227 E/01

MINUTES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING	1
1. Resumption of session	1
2. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting	1
3. Documents received	1
4. Petitions	4
5. Written declarations (Rule 116)	5
6. Action taken on Parliament's positions and resolutions	5
7. Membership of committees and delegations	5
8. Signature of acts adopted under codecision	5
9. Welcome to the Bulgarian and Romanian Observers	6
10. Order of business	6
11. Priorities for Parliament's work (debate)	7
12. One-minute speeches on matters of political importance	7
13. 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (debate)	7



(Continued overleaf)

14.	1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ***I (debate)	8
15.	Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I (debate)	8
16.	Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing * (debate)	9
17.	Agenda for next sitting	9
18.	Closure of sitting	9
	ATTENDANCE REGISTER	10
	ANNEX	12

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

2006/C 227 E/02

MINUTES

	PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING	13
1.	Opening of sitting	13
2.	Documents received	13
3.	Debates on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law (announcement of motions for resolutions tabled)	15
4.	Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status * (debate)	16
5.	EU-US Wine Agreement (debate)	16
6.	Voting time	17
6.1.	Public procurement ***I (Rule 131) (vote)	17
6.2.	EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services * (Rule 131) (vote)	17
6.3.	EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services * (Rule 131) (vote)	17
6.4.	Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency * (Rule 131) (vote)	18
6.5.	Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing* (Rule 131) (vote)	18
6.6.	Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis (Rule 131) (vote)	18
6.7.	Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism (Rule 131) (vote final)	18
6.8.	Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status * (vote)	19



7.	Explanations of vote	19
8.	Corrections to votes	19
9.	Approval of Minutes of previous sitting	20
10.	Territorial cohesion in regional development — A stronger partnership for the outermost regions (debate)	20
11.	Screening of the legislative proposals pending before the Legislator (debate)	21
12.	Question Time (Commission)	21
13.	Rheumatic diseases (written declaration)	22
14.	Development of the Community's railways ***I — Certification of train crews ***I — International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I — Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services ***I — (debate)	22
15.	Agenda for next sitting	23
16.	Closure of sitting	23
	ATTENDANCE REGISTER	24
	ANNEX I	
	RESULTS OF VOTES	26
1.	Public procurement ***I	26
2.	EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services *	26
3.	EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services *	27
4.	Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency *	27
5.	Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing *	27
6.	Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis	27
7.	Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism *	27
8.	Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status *	28
	ANNEX II	
	RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES	30
1.	Report: Fraga Estévez A6-0260/2005 — Resolution	30
2.	Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005 — Amendment 166	31
3.	Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005 — Amendment 167	33
4.	Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005 — Amendment 168	35
5.	Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005 — Resolution	36



TEXTS ADOPTED

P6_TA(2005)0342

Public procurement ***I

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council correcting Directive 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (COM(2005)0214 — C6-0155/2005 — 2005/0100(COD)) 39

P6_TA(2005)0343

EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Bulgaria on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0158 — C6-0177/2005 — 2005/0060(CNS)) 39

P6_TA(2005)0344

EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Croatia on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0159 — C6-0173/2005 — 2005/0059(CNS)) 40

P6_TA(2005)0345

Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 297/95 on fees payable to the European Medicines Agency (COM(2005)0106 — C6-0137/2005 — 2005/0023(CNS)) 40

P6_TA(2005)0346

Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council regulation on the conclusion of the Protocol setting out the tuna fishing opportunities and financial contribution provided for in the Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Islamic Federal Republic of the Comoros on fishing off the Comoros for the period from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2010 (COM(2005)0187 — C6-0154/2005 — 2005/0092(CNS)) 42

P6_TA(2005)0347

Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis

European Parliament decision on the request for waiver of the immunity of Marios Matsakis (2004/2194(IMM)) 44

P6_TA(2005)0348

Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the initiative by the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom for a Draft Framework Decision on the retention of data processed and stored in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or data on public communications networks for the purpose of prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of crime and criminal offences including terrorism (8958/2004 — C6-0198/2004 — 2004/0813(CNS)) 45



P6_TA(2005)0349

Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the amended proposal for a Council directive on minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status (14203/2004 — C6-0200/2004 — 2000/0238(CNS))	46
---	----

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2006/C 227 E/03

MINUTES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING	83
1. Opening of sitting	83
2. Transfers of appropriations	83
3. Opening of negotiations with Turkey — Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement *** (debate)	83
4. Welcome	84
5. Voting time	84
5.1. Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement *** (Rule 131) (vote)	84
5.2. Opening of negotiations with Turkey (vote)	85
6. Welcome	85
7. Voting time (continuation)	85
7.1. 1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ***I (vote)	85
7.2. Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I (vote)	86
7.3. Development of the Community's railways ***I (vote)	86
7.4. Certification of train crews ***I (vote)	87
7.5. International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I (vote)	87
7.6. Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services ***I (vote)	87
7.7. 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (vote)	88
7.8. Territorial cohesion in regional development (vote)	88
7.9. A stronger partnership for the outermost regions (vote)	88
8. Explanations of vote	89
9. Corrections to votes	89
10. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting	89



Contents (continued)	Page
11. Defence of parliamentary immunity (developments)	89
12. Oil (debate)	90
13. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals (debate)	90
14. Question Time (Council)	91
15. Belarus (debate)	92
16. EU-India relations (debate)	93
17. Renewable energy in the EU (debate)	93
18. Human rights of minority groups in Kosovo (debate)	93
19. EU road-safety action programme (debate)	93
20. Agenda for next sitting	94
21. Closure of sitting	94
 ATTENDANCE REGISTER	 95
 ANNEX I	
RESULTS OF VOTES	97
1. Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement ***	97
2. Opening of negotiations with Turkey	98
3. 1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ***I	99
4. Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I	103
5. Development of the Community's railways ***I	104
6. Certification of train crews ***I	106
7. International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I	107
8. Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services ***I	109
9. The 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe	109
10. Territorial cohesion in regional development *	110
11. A stronger partnership for the outermost regions *	110
 ANNEX II	
RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES	114
1. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey — Amendment 2	114
2. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey — Amendment 1/1	115
3. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey — Amendment 1/2	117



4.	RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey — Amendment 3	119
5.	RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey — Resolution	121
6.	Report: Radwan A6-0257/2005 — Amendment 453	123
7.	Report: Radwan A6-0257/2005 — Amendment 785	124
8.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 14	126
9.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 2	128
10.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 8	129
11.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 9/1	131
12.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 9/2	133
13.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 9/3	134
14.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 12	136
15.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Commission proposal	138
16.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Amendment 15	139
17.	Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005 — Resolution	141
18.	Report: Savary A6-0133/2005 — Resolution	143
19.	Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005 — Amendment 11	144
20.	Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005 — Amendment 38	146
21.	Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005 — Amendment 103	148
22.	Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005 — Amendment 13	150
23.	Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005 — Amendment 138/rev.	151
24.	Report: Guellec A6-0251/2005 — Paragraph 12	153
25.	Report: Marques A6-0256/2005 — Amendment 5	155
26.	Report: Marques A6-0256/2005 — Amendment 6	156
27.	Report: Marques A6-0256/2005 — Amendment 7	158
28.	Report: Marques A6-0256/2005 — Amendment 3	159
29.	Report: Marques A6-0256/2005 — Amendment 4	160

TEXTS ADOPTED

P6_TA(2005)0350

Opening of negotiations with Turkey

European Parliament resolution on the opening of negotiations with Turkey 163



P6_TA(2005)0351

Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions ***I

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council re-casting Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions (COM(2004)0486 — C6-0141/2004 — 2004/0155(COD)) 166

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0155

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions (recast) 167

ANNEX I

LIST OF ACTIVITIES SUBJECT TO MUTUAL RECOGNITION 236

ANNEX II

CLASSIFICATION OF OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ITEMS 236

ANNEX III

THE TREATMENT OF COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS, REPURCHASE TRANSACTIONS, SECURITIES ORCOMMODITIES LENDING OR BORROWING TRANSACTIONS, LONG SETTLEMENT TRANSACTIONS AND MARGIN LENDING TRANSACTIONS 237

ANNEX IV

TYPES OF DERIVATIVES 255

ANNEX V

TECHNICAL CRITERIA CONCERNING THE ORGANISATION AND TREATMENT OF RISKS 256

ANNEX VI

STANDARDISED APPROACH 257

ANNEX VII

INTERNAL RATINGS BASED APPROACH 272

ANNEX VIII

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION 300

ANNEX IX

SECURITISATION 331

ANNEX X

OPERATIONAL RISK 349

ANNEX XI

TECHNICAL CRITERIA ON REVIEW AND EVALUATION BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITIES 357



ANNEX XII
 TECHNICAL CRITERIA ON DISCLOSURE 358

ANNEX XIII 365

ANNEX XIV 366

P6_TA(2005)0352
 Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ***I
 European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions (COM(2004)0486 — C6-0144/2004 — 2004/0159(COD)) 371

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0159
 Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions (recast) 371

ANNEX I
 CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR POSITION RISK 396

ANNEX II
 CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SETTLEMENT AND COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK 408

ANNEX III
 CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FOREIGN-EXCHANGE RISK 411

ANNEX IV
 CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITIES RISK 412

ANNEX V
 USE OF INTERNAL MODELS TO CALCULATE CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS 416

ANNEX VI
 CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE EXPOSURES 421

ANNEX VII
 TRADING 422

ANNEX VIII
 REPEALED DIRECTIVES 426



ANNEX IX	
CORRELATION TABLE	427
 P6_TA(2005)0353	
Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I	
European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (COM(2004)0177 — C6-0005/2004 — 2004/0065(COD))	432
 P6_TC1-COD(2004)0065	
Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC	432
 P6_TA(2005)0354	
Development of the Community's railways ***I	
European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways (COM(2004)0139 — C6-0001/2004 — 2004/0047(COD))	460
 P6_TC1-COD(2004)0047	
Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways <i>and amending Directive 2001/14/EC on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification</i>	460
 P6_TA(2005)0355	
Certification of train crews ***I	
European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network (COM(2004)0142 — C6-0002/2004 — 2004/0048(COD))	464
 P6_TC1-COD(2004)0048	
Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of <i>train drivers and train crews</i> operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network	465
 ANNEX I	
COMMUNITY MODEL LICENCE AND COMPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE	480
 ANNEX II	
DUTIES OF TRAIN DRIVERS	482



ANNEX III	
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	482
ANNEX IV	
PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS	485
ANNEX V	
EXAMINATION ON GENERAL PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE	486
ANNEX VI	
EXAMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ROLLING STOCK	486
ANNEX VII	
EXAMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE OF INFRASTRUCTURE	488
P6_TA(2005)0356	
International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I	
European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on International Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations (COM(2004)0143 — C6-0003/2004 — 2004/0049(COD))	490
P6_TC1-COD(2004)0049	
Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of European Parliament and Council Regulation (EC) No .../2005 on Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations	490
ANNEX I	
MINIMUM INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED BY RAILWAY UNDERTAKINGS	506
ANNEX II	
MINIMUM INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED ON THE TICKET	507
P6_TA(2005)0357	
25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe	
European Parliament resolution on the 25th Anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe	508
P6_TA(2005)0358	
Territorial cohesion in regional development	
European Parliament resolution on the role of territorial cohesion in regional development (2004/2256(INI))	509



P6_TA(2005)0359

Partnership for the outermost regions

European Parliament resolution on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions (2004/2253(INI)) 512

Thursday, 29 September 2005

2006/C 227 E/04

MINUTES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING	520
1. Opening of sitting	520
2. Documents received	520
3. Textile industry (debate)	521
4. Membership of committees and delegations	521
5. Prospects for EU-China trade relations (debate)	521
6. Voting time	522
6.1. EU-US Wine Agreement (vote)	522
6.2. Oil (vote)	523
6.3. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals (vote)	523
6.4. Belarus (vote)	524
6.5. EU-India relations (vote)	524
6.6. Renewable energy in the EU (vote)	524
6.7. EU road-safety action programme (vote)	525
7. Explanations of vote	525
8. Corrections to votes	525
9. Communication of Council common positions	525
10. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting	526
11. Request for the defence of parliamentary immunity	526
12. Agenda	526
13. Appointment of Bulgarian and Romanian observers to committees	526
14. Prospects for EU-China trade relations (continuation of debate)	526
15. Debate on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law (debate)	526
15.1. Nepal	527



Contents (continued)	Page
15.2. Tunisia	527
15.3. Vojvodina	527
16. Voting time	527
16.1. Nepal (vote)	527
16.2. Tunisia (vote)	528
16.3. Vojvodina (vote)	528
17. Written declarations included in the register (Rule 116)	529
18. Decisions concerning certain documents	530
19. Forwarding of texts adopted during the sitting	531
20. Dates for next sittings	531
21. Adjournment of session	531
ATTENDANCE REGISTER	532
ANNEX I	
LIST OF OBSERVERS APPOINTED TO PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES	534
ANNEX II	
RESULTS OF VOTES	537
1. EU-US Wine Agreement	537
2. Oil	538
3. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals	539
4. Belarus	540
5. EU-India relations	540
6. Renewable energy in the EU	543
7. EU road-safety action programme	547
8. Nepal	549
9. Tunisia	550
10. Vojvodina	550
ANNEX III	
RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES	552
1. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform — Paragraph 19/2	552
2. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform — Paragraph 21	553
3. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform — Amendment 1	555
4. Report: Menéndez Del Valle A6-0256/2005 — Paragraph 17/2	556



5.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Amendment 32	558
6.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Amendment 7	560
7.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Amendment 42/1	561
8.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Amendment 42/2	562
9.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Amendment 43	564
10.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Paragraph 51	565
11.	Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005 — Paragraph 53	567
12.	Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005 — Paragraph 7	568
13.	Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005 — Amendment 6/1	570
14.	Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005 — Amendment 6/2	571
15.	Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005 — Amendment 7	572
16.	Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005 — Resolution	574
17.	RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal — Paragraph 10/1	575
18.	RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal — Paragraph 10/2	576
19.	RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal — Recital D	576
20.	RC B6-0518/2005 — Vojvodina — Resolution	577

TEXTS ADOPTED

P6_TA(2005)0360

EU-US Wine Agreement

European Parliament resolution on the EU-US wine agreement	578
--	-----

P6_TA(2005)0361

Oil

European Parliament resolution on oil dependency	580
--	-----

P6_TA(2005)0362

Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals

European Parliament resolution on the outcome of the United Nations World Summit of 14-16 September 2005	582
--	-----

P6_TA(2005)0363

Belarus

European Parliament resolution on Belarus	585
---	-----



P6_TA(2005)0364	
EU-India relations	
European Parliament resolution on EU-India relations: A Strategic Partnership (2004/2169(INI))	589
P6_TA(2005)0365	
Renewable energy in the EU	
European Parliament resolution on the share of renewable energy in the EU and proposals for concrete actions (2004/2153(INI))	599
P6_TA(2005)0366	
EU road-safety action programme	
European Parliament resolution on the European Road Safety Action Programme: Halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: A shared responsibility (2004/2162(INI))	609
P6_TA(2005)0367	
Nepal	
European Parliament resolution on Nepal	616
P6_TA(2005)0368	
Tunisia	
European Parliament resolution on Tunisia	618
P6_TA(2005)0369	
Vojvodina	
European Parliament resolution on the defence of multi-ethnicity in Vojvodina	620



Key to symbols used

*	Consultation procedure
**I	Cooperation procedure: first reading
**II	Cooperation procedure: second reading
***	Assent procedure
***I	Codecision procedure: first reading
***II	Codecision procedure: second reading
***III	Codecision procedure: third reading

(The type of procedure is determined by the legal basis proposed by the Commission)

Information relating to voting time

Unless stated otherwise, the rapporteurs informed the Chair in writing, before the vote, of their position on the amendments.

Abbreviations used for Parliamentary Committees

AFET	Committee on Foreign Affairs
DEVE	Committee on Development
INTA	Committee on International Trade
BUDG	Committee on Budgets
CONT	Committee on Budgetary Control
ECON	Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs
EMPL	Committee on Employment and Social Affairs
ENVI	Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety
ITRE	Committee on Industry, Research and Energy
IMCO	Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection
TRAN	Committee on Transport and Tourism
REGI	Committee on Regional Development
AGRI	Committee on Agriculture
PECH	Committee on Fisheries
CULT	Committee on Culture and Education
JURI	Committee on Legal Affairs
LIBE	Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs
AFCO	Committee on Constitutional Affairs
FEMM	Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality
PETI	Committee on Petitions

Abbreviations used for Political Groups

PPE-DE	Group of the European People's Party (Christian Democrats) and European Democrats
PSE	Socialist Group in the European Parliament
ALDE	Group of the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe
Verts/ALE	Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance
GUE/NGL	Confederal Group of the European United Left – Nordic Green Left
IND/DEM	Independence and Democracy Group
UEN	Union for Europe of the Nations Group
NI	Non-attached Members

Monday, 26 September 2005

I

(Information)

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2005 — 2006 SESSION

Sittings of 26 to 29 September 2005

STRASBOURG

(2006/C 227 E/01)

MINUTES**PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING**

IN THE CHAIR: Josep BORRELL FONTELLES

*President***1. Resumption of session**

The sitting opened at 17.05.

2. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting

The Minutes of the previous sitting were approved.

3. Documents received

The following documents had been received:

1) *from committees*1.1) *reports:*

- * Report on the amended proposal for a Council directive on minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status (14203/2004 — C6-0200/2004 — 2000/0238(CNS)) — Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs
Rapporteur: Kreissl-Dörfler Wolfgang (A6-0222/2005)
- ***I Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (COM(2004)0177 — C6-0005/2004 — 2004/0065(COD)) — Committee on Legal Affairs
Rapporteur: Doorn Bert (A6-0224/2005)

Monday, 26 September 2005

- Report on the European Road Safety Action Programme: Halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: A shared responsibility (2004/2162(INI)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism
Rapporteur: Vatanen Ari (A6-0225/2005)
- Report on the share of renewable energy in the EU and proposals for concrete actions (2004/2153(INI)) — Committee on Industry, Research and Energy
Rapporteur: Turmes Claude (A6-0227/2005)
- * Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Azerbaijan on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0060 — C6-0130/2005 — 2005/0011(CNS)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism
Rapporteur: Costa Paolo (A6-0230/2005)
- Report on new challenges for the circus as part of European culture (2004/2266(INI)) — Committee on Culture and Education
Rapporteur: Pack Doris (A6-0237/2005)
- ***I Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council creating a European order for payment procedure (COM(2004)0173 — C6-0006/2004 — 2004/0055(COD)) — Committee on Legal Affairs
Rapporteur: McCarthy Arlene (A6-0240/2005)
- *** Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Additional Protocol to the Agreement establishing an Association between the European Economic Community and Turkey following the enlargement of the European Union (09617/2005 — C6-0194/2005 — 2005/0091(AVC)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs
Rapporteur: Brok Elmar (A6-0241/2005)
- ***I Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on compulsory licensing of patents relating to the manufacture of pharmaceutical products for export to countries with public health problems (COM(2004)0737 — C6-0168/2004 — 2004/0258(COD)) — Committee on International Trade
Rapporteur: Van Hecke Johan (A6-0242/2005)
- Report on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions (2004/2253(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development
Rapporteur: Marques Sérgio (A6-0246/2005)
- Report on the role of territorial cohesion in regional development (2004/2256(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development
Rapporteur: Guellec Ambroise (A6-0251/2005)
- Report on EU-India Relations: A Strategic Partnership (2004/2169(INI)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs
Rapporteur: Menéndez del Valle Emilio (A6-0256/2005)
- ***I Report
 1. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions and
 2. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions (COM(2004)0486 [01] — C6-0141/2004 — 2004/0155(COD)) — Committee on Economic and Monetary AffairsRapporteur: Radwan Alexander (A6-0257/2005)
- * Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Bulgaria on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0158 — C6-0177/2005 — 2005/0060(CNS)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism
Rapporteur: Costa Paolo (A6-0258/2005)

Monday, 26 September 2005

- * Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Croatia on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0159 — C6-0173/2005 — 2005/0059(CNS)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism
Rapporteur: Costa Paolo (A6-0259/2005)
- * Report on the proposal for a Council regulation on the conclusion of the Protocol setting out the tuna fishing opportunities and financial contribution provided for in the Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Islamic Federal Republic of the Comoros on fishing off the Comoros for the period from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2010 (COM(2005)0187 — C6-0154/2005 — 2005/0092(CNS)) — Committee on Fisheries
Rapporteur: Fraga Estévez Carmen (A6-0260/2005)
- Report on prospects for trade relations between the EU and China (2005/2015(INI)) — Committee on International Trade
Rapporteur: Lucas Caroline (A6-0262/2005)
- * Report on the proposal for a Council regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 297/95 on fees payable to the European Medicines Agency (COM(2005)0106 — C6-0137/2005 — 2005/0023(CNS)) — Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety
Rapporteur: Florenz Karl-Heinz (A6-0264/2005)
- Report on the request for waiver of the immunity of Marios Matsakis (2004/2194(IMM)) — Committee on Legal Affairs
Rapporteur: Lehne Klaus-Heiner (A6-0268/2005)
- ***I Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council correcting Directive 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (COM(2005)0214 — C6-0155/2005 — 2005/0100(COD)) — Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection
Rapporteur: Zappalà Stefano (A6-0270/2005)

2) from Members

2.1) oral questions for Question Time (Rule 109) (B6-0331/2005)

- Maat Albert Jan, Stihler Catherine, Pafilis Athanasios, Papadimoulis Dimitrios, Karatzaferis Georgios, Czarnecki Ryszard, Masip Hidalgo Antonio, Paleckis Justas Vincas, Toubon Jacques, Kacin Jelko, McGuinness Mairead, Bowles Sharon Margaret, Starkevičiūtė Margarita, Wuermeling Joachim, Lundgren Nils, Posselt Bernd, Schierhuber Agnes, Kuźmiuk Zbigniew Krzysztof, Van Hecke Johan, Higgins Jim, Batzeli Katerina, Salinas García María Isabel, Goudin Hélène, Papastamkos Georgios, Seeborg Gitte, Manolakou Diamanto, Andersson Jan, Evans Robert, Berger Maria, Allister James Hugh, De Rossa Proinsias, Kasoulides Ioannis, Medina Ortega Manuel, Lavarra Vincenzo, Matsis Yiannakis, Sjöstedt Jonas, Hennicot-Schoepges Erna, Hegyi Gyula, Karim Sajjad, Seppänen Esko, Belet Ivo, Westlund Åsa, Mavrommatis Manolis, Segelström Inger, Moraes Claude, Arnaoutakis Stavros, Geringer de Oedenberg Lidia Joanna, Szent-Iványi István, Jordan Cizelj Romana, Toussas Georgios, Gklavakis Ioannis, Demetriou Panayiotis, Lulling Astrid, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou Marie, Newton Dunn Bill, Morgantini Luisa, Trakatellis Antonios, Landsbergis Vytautas, Farage Nigel, Posselt Bernd, Papadimoulis Dimitrios, Allister James Hugh, De Rossa Proinsias, Bushill-Matthews Philip, Medina Ortega Manuel, Beglitis Panagiotis, Seppänen Esko, Trakatellis Antonios, Kamall Syed, Papastamkos Georgios, Sjöstedt Jonas, Guerreiro Pedro, Westlund Åsa, Mavrommatis Manolis, Czarnecki Ryszard, Heaton-Harris Christopher, Moraes Claude, Goudin Hélène, Hutchinson Alain, Stihler Catherine, Van Hecke Johan, Manolakou Diamanto, Tannock Charles, Pafilis Athanasios, Van Orden Geoffrey, Beazley Christopher, Newton Dunn Bill, Parish Neil, Toussas Georgios, Ashworth Richard James, Kirkhope Timothy, Rosati Dariusz

2.2) written declarations for entry in the Register (Rule 116)

- Elspeth Attwooll, Ian Hudghton, David Martin, Alyn Smith and Struan Stevenson on the rights of foreign-language assistants — 'lettori' — in Italian universities (46/2005),
- James Hugh Allister on international terrorists ('the Colombia 3') (47/2005),

Monday, 26 September 2005

- Richard Corbett on respiratory diseases (48/2005),
- Richard Corbett on European City Guides (49/2005),
- Lissy Gröner, Genowefa Grabowska, Karin Riis-Jørgensen, Gérard Onesta and Vasco Graça Moura, on child helplines in Europe (50/2005)
- Silvana Koch-Mehrin, on financial transparency of NGOs and social partners (51/2005),
- David Martin, Paulo Casaca, Peter Skinner, Terence Wynn and Robert Evans, on rising international concern over the farming of bear bile in China (52/2005)

4. Petitions

The following petitions, which had been entered in the register on the dates shown below, had been forwarded to the committee responsible pursuant to Rule 191(5):

23.09.2005

- M. György Bognár (734/2005)
- M. Konstantinos Proikakis (735/2005)
- Dimitra Pashalidou (736/2005)
- M. Petros Papalaios (737/2005)
- Olympia Tabourlou (738/2005)
- M. Ioannis Skountis (739/2005)
- Dafni Theodoraki (740/2005)
- M. Michael Niotis (741/2005)
- M. Miguel Blázquez López (742/2005)
- M. Jesús Lopez Vazquez (743/2005)
- M. Enrique González Blanco (744/2005)
- M. José López Rocamora (745/2005)
- U. Dimitri (Association l'Ulm de tout le Monde) (746/2005)
- M. Jean-Paul François Galibert (747/2005)
- M. Philippe Moulin (748/2005)
- M. Jean Pierre Baron (749/2005)
- Gisela Holy (750/2005)
- M. Fausto da Silva (751/2005)
- Maria Silva (752/2005)
- M. João Henrique Robalo Correia (753/2005)
- M. João da Cunha Barbosa (Associação Agentes Funerários de Portugal) (754/2005)
- M. Rolf Bossi (Rechtsanwälte Bossi Ufer Ziegert) (755/2005)
- M. Oliver Brandenburg (756/2005)
- M. Hartmut Haase (Siedlergemeinschaft Herreninsel) (757/2005)
- M. Thomas Lorentz (758/2005)
- M. Franz Swoboda (759/2005)
- M. Aleksandras Mininas (Kazlu Rudos Spaustuve) (760/2005)
- M. Wolfgang Drebitz (Elektrizitätsgenossenschaft Steimelhagen e.G.) (761/2005)
- Thaddea Brugger (Alpenverein, Verein zum Schutz der Erholungslandschaft Osttirols) (762/2005)
- M. Gerhard Eller (763/2005)
- M. Percy Malitte (764/2005)
- Katrin Weinstock-Aroldi (765/2005)
- Larisa Gubanova (766/2005)
- M. Mirko Brand (767/2005)
- Maryna Renz (768/2005)
- M. Hans Jürgen Stoj (769/2005)
- M. Viktor Merten (770/2005)
- M. Dietmar Domke (771/2005)
- M. Michael Berning (772/2005)
- M. Jürgen Sachansky (773/2005)
- M. Andre Tomasino (774/2005)

Monday, 26 September 2005

M. Manfred Bischof (775/2005)
M. Josef Sagerschnig (Körpersportverein Wörtersee) (776/2005)
M. Erhardt Fiebiger (Aktionsbündnis mittelständischer Unternehmen) (777/2005)
M. Michel Guillet (778/2005)
M. Adam Bohdan (Pracownia na rzecz Wszystkich Istot) (and 38 signatures) (779/2005)
M. Friedrich Kohle (780/2005)
M. Michael Sommer (781/2005)
M. Oisín Jones-Dillon (782/2005)
M. William G Loveland (783/2005)
Joy P. Henderson (784/2005)
J. S. McGregor (785/2005)
M. Jože Fergula (786/2005)
M. Jerzy Płókarz (Komitet Wyborczy Wyborców 'Społeczni Ratownicy') (787/2005)

5. Written declarations (Rule 116)

In accordance with Rule 116(5), written declarations Nos 35, 36 and 37/2005 lapsed as they had not obtained the required number of signatures.

6. Action taken on Parliament's positions and resolutions

The Commission communications on the action taken on the positions and resolutions adopted by Parliament during the April I and II and May II part-sessions had been distributed.

7. Membership of committees and delegations

At the request of the PPE-DE Group, Parliament ratified the following appointment:

LIBE Committee

— Antonio Tajani

8. Signature of acts adopted under codecision

The President announced that, on Wednesday, he and the President of the Council would sign the following acts adopted under the codecision procedure pursuant to Rule 68:

- a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on conditions for access to the gas transmission networks (3614/2005 — C6-0295/2005 — 2003/0302(COD))
- a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the measures to be taken against the emission of gaseous and particulate pollutants from compression-ignition engines for use in vehicles, and the emission of gaseous pollutants from positive-ignition engines fuelled with natural gas or liquefied petroleum gas for use in vehicles (3617/1/2005 — C6-0296/2005 — 2003/0205(COD))
- a Decision of the European Parliament and of the Council of amending Council Decision 2000/819/EC on a multiannual programme for enterprise and entrepreneurship, and in particular for small and medium-sized enterprises (2001-2005) (3635/1/2005 — C6-0292/2005 — 2004/0272(COD)).

In addition, the Council had informed Parliament that it approved:

- the position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 12 April 2005 with a view to the adoption of European Parliament and Council Recommendation to facilitate the issue by the Member States of uniform short-stay visas for researchers from third countries travelling within the European Community for the purpose of carrying out scientific research (3621/2/2005 — C6-0294/2005 — 2004/0063(COD)).

Monday, 26 September 2005

In the light of the modifications that had been made to the text by the Council, the President had consulted the LIBE Committee, as the committee responsible, in accordance with Rule 66(2). By letter of 23 June 2005, the Chairman of that committee had informed the President that the modifications did not affect the substance of the text. The President announced that he would thus also sign that act on Wednesday.

9. Welcome to the Bulgarian and Romanian Observers

On behalf of Parliament, the President welcomed the Bulgarian and Romanian Observers, who had taken their seats in the Chamber.

A list of the names of the Bulgarian and Romanian Observers is published as an annex to these Minutes.

10. Order of business

The next item was the order of business.

The final draft agenda for the September II and October I sittings (PE 361.877/PDOJ) had been distributed and a number of changes had been proposed (Rule 132):

Sittings of 26.09.2005 to 29.09.2005

Monday

— no changes

Tuesday

— no changes

Wednesday

— no changes

Thursday

Debate on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law (Rule 115):

- request from the PPE-DE Group to replace the Item on Tunisia *Item 62 on the final draft agenda*) with a new item on minorities in Vojvodina.
- request from the PSE Group to replace the Item on Uzbekistan *Item 63 on the final draft agenda*) with a new item on Vojvodina.

The following spoke: Martin Schulz, on behalf of the PSE Group, who pointed out that the PSE, PPE-DE and ALDE Groups had reached a consensus on the PSE Group's request, and Hans-Gert Poettering, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, who withdrew his group's request.

Parliament approved the PSE Group's request.

Sittings of 12.10.2005 and 13.10.2005

— no changes

The order of business was thus established.

Monday, 26 September 2005

11. Priorities for Parliament's work (debate)

Statement by the President: Priorities for Parliament's work

The President made a statement in which he touched upon the situation of the Union and the prospects for the future and emphasised the role that Parliament must play in the European sphere.

The following spoke: Hans-Gert Poettering, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Martin Schulz, on behalf of the PSE Group, Graham Watson, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Francis Wurtz, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Nigel Farage, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Brian Crowley, on behalf of the UEN Group, Jean-Marie Le Pen, Non-attached Member, and Margot Wallström (Vice-President of the Commission).

The debate closed.

Pervenche Berès spoke on the organisation of business.

IN THE CHAIR: Mario MAURO

Vice-President

12. One-minute speeches on matters of political importance

Pursuant to Rule 144, the following Members who wished to draw the attention of Parliament to matters of political importance spoke for one minute:

Marianne Thyssen, Catherine Stihler, Sophia in 't Veld, Mary Lou McDonald, Dariusz Maciej Grabowski, Ryszard Czarnecki, Zdzisław Zbigniew Podkański, Antonio Masip Hidalgo, Marian Harkin, Mirosław Mariusz Piotrowski, Zbigniew Zaleski, Panagiotis Beglitis, Marios Matsakis, Kathy Sinnott, Marie Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Alfredo Antoniozzi, Magda Kósáné Kovács, Nikolaos Sifunakis, Avril Doyle, Marta Vincenzi, Christopher Beazley, Peter Skinner, Tunne Kelam and Ljudmila Novak.

13. 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (debate)

Commission statement: 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe

The President made a brief statement to introduce the debate.

Charlie McCreevy (Member of the Commission) made the statement.

The following spoke: Jacek Emil Saryusz-Wolski, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Józef Pinior, on behalf of the PSE Group, Bronisław Geremek, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Milan Horáček, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Jonas Sjöstedt, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, and Wojciech Roszkowski, on behalf of the UEN Group.

IN THE CHAIR: Janusz ONYSZKIEWICZ

Vice-President

The following spoke: Ryszard Czarnecki, Non-attached Member, Alojz Peterle, Jan Marinus Wiersma, Erik Meijer, Jan Tadeusz Masiel, Timothy Kirkhope, Athanasios Pafilis, Zbigniew Zaleski, Anna Ibrisagic, Bogusław Sonik and Tunne Kelam.

Monday, 26 September 2005

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- Joost Lagendijk, Angelika Beer and Milan Horáček, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on the 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (B6-0485/2005),
- Martin Schulz, Józef Pinior and Jan Marinus Wiersma, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the 25th Anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (B6-0495/2005),
- Francis Wurtz, Helmuth Markov, Eva-Britt Svensson and Roberto Musacchio, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group on the 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (B6-0500/2005),
- Bronisław Geremek, Jerzy Buzek, Janusz Lewandowski, Janusz Onyszkiewicz, Dariusz Rosati, Wojciech Roszkowski, Jacek Emil Saryusz-Wolski, Brian Crowley, Guntars Krasts, Ģirts Valdis Kristovskis, Cristiana Muscardini, Grażyna Staniszevska and Jan Jerzy Kułakowski, on behalf of the PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE and UEN Groups, on the 25th Anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (B6-0504/2005).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.7.*

14. 1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions *I (debate)**

Report

1. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions [COM(2004)0486 — C6-0141/2004 — 2004/0155(COD)] and
2. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions [COM(2004)0486 — C6-0144/2004 - 2004/0159(COD)] — Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs.

Rapporteur: Alexander Radwan (A6-0257/2005)

Charlie McCreevy (Member of the Commission) spoke.

Alexander Radwan introduced report.

The following spoke: Harald Ettl (draftsman of the opinion of the JURI Committee) and José Manuel García-Margallo y Marfil, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group.

IN THE CHAIR: Manuel António dos SANTOS

Vice-President

The following spoke: Harald Ettl, on behalf of the PSE Group, Wolf Klinz, on behalf of the ALDE Group, John Whittaker, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Eoin Ryan, on behalf of the UEN Group, Hans-Peter Martin, Non-attached Member, John Purvis, Pervenche Berès, Nils Lundgren, Ieke van den Burg, Astrid Lulling, Gunnar Hökmark, Andreas Schwab, Jean-Paul Gauzès, Paul Rübig and Charlie McCreevy.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.1.*

15. Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts *I (debate)**

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (COM(2004)0177 — C6-0005/2004 - 2004/0065(COD)) — Committee on Legal Affairs.

Monday, 26 September 2005

Rapporteur: Bert Doorn (A6-0224/2005)

Charlie McCreevy (Member of the Commission) spoke.

Bert Doorn introduced report.

The following spoke: Andreas Schwab, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Antonio Masip Hidalgo, on behalf of the PSE Group, Wolf Klinz, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Giuseppe Gargani, Arlene McCarthy, Paul Rübig, Andrzej Jan Szejna and Charlie McCreevy.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.2.*

16. Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing * (debate)

Report on the proposal for a Council regulation on the conclusion of the Protocol setting out the tuna fishing opportunities and financial contribution provided for in the Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Islamic Federal Republic of the Comoros on fishing off the Comoros for the period from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2010 (COM(2005)0187 — C6-0154/2005 — 2005/0092(CNS)) — Committee on Fisheries.

Rapporteur: Carmen Fraga Estévez (A6-0260/2005)

Joe Borg (Member of the Commission) spoke.

Carmen Fraga Estévez introduced report.

The following spoke: Helga Trüpel (draftsman of the opinion of the BUDG Committee), Dorette Corbey, on behalf of the PSE Group, Carl Schlyter, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Hélène Goudin, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Manuel Medina Ortega and Joe Borg.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 27.09.2005, Item 6.5.*

17. Agenda for next sitting

The agenda for the next sitting had been established 'Agenda' PE 361.877/OJMA).

18. Closure of sitting

The sitting closed at 21.50.

Julian Priestley
Secretary-General

Luigi Cocilovo
Vice-President

Monday, 26 September 2005

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

The following signed:

Adamou, Agnoletto, Allister, Andersson, Andrejevs, Andria, Angelilli, Antoniozzi, Arif, Ashworth, Atkins, Attard-Montalto, Attwooll, Audy, Auken, Ayala Sender, Aylward, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Baco, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Barsi-Pataký, Batten, Battilocchio, Batzeli, Bauer, Beaupuy, Beazley, Becsey, Beer, Beglitis, Belder, Belet, Belohorská, Beňová, Berend, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlato, Berlinguer, Berman, Birutis, Blokland, Bobošíková, Bösch, Bonde, Bonino, Booth, Borrell Fontelles, Bourlanges, Bourzai, Bowis, Bowles, Bozkurt, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Breyer, Březina, Brie, Brunetta, Budreikaitė, Buitenweg, Bullmann, van den Burg, Bushill-Matthews, Busk, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Calabuig Rull, Callanan, Camre, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Carollo, Casa, Casaca, Cashman, Caspary, Castex, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Catania, Cavada, Cederschiöld, Cercas, Cesa, Chichester, Chiesa, Chmielewski, Christensen, Claeys, Clark, Cocilovo, Coelho, Cohn-Bendit, Corbett, Corbey, Cornillet, Correia, Costa, Cottigny, Coîteaux, Coveney, Cramer, Crowley, Marek Aleksander Czarnecki, Ryszard Czarnecki, Daul, Davies, de Brún, Degutis, De Keyser, Demetriou, De Michelis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, De Vits, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Didžiokas, Dillen, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dobolyi, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Drčar Murko, Duchoň, Dührkop Dührkop, Duff, Duin, Duka-Zólyomi, Duquesne, El Khadraoui, Elles, Esteves, Estrela, Ettl, Eurlings, Jillian Evans, Fajmon, Falbr, Farage, Fava, Fazakas, Ferber, Fernandes, Fernández Martín, Anne Ferreira, Elisa Ferreira, Figueiredo, Fjellner, Flasarová, Flautre, Florenz, Fotyga, Fourtou, Fraga Estévez, Frassoni, Friedrich, Fruteau, Gahler, Gál, Gała, García-Margallo y Marfil, García Pérez, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gebhardt, Gentvilas, Geremek, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gibault, Gierek, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goebbels, Goepel, Golik, Gollnisch, Gomes, Gomolka, Goudin, Grabowska, Grabowski, Graça Moura, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grech, Griesbeck, Gröner, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Grosch, Grossetête, Guardans Cambó, Guellec, Guerreiro, Gurmai, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Guy-Quint, Gyürk, Hänsch, Hammerstein Mintz, Hamon, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Harkin, Hasse Ferreira, Hassi, Hatzidakis, Haug, Heaton-Harris, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Helmer, Henin, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Honeyball, Horáček, Hudacký, Hutchinson, Ibrisagic, Ilves, in 't Veld, Isler Béguin, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jääteenmäki, Jałowicki, Janowski, Járóka, Jeggler, Jensen, Jöns, Jonckheer, Jordan Cizelj, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Kaczmarek, Kallenbach, Kamall, Karas, Karatzaferis, Karim, Kasoulides, Kaufmann, Tunne Kelam, Kilroy-Silk, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kirkhope, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kohlíček, Konrad, Korhola, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kozlík, Kraemer, Krarup, Krasts, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristovskis, Krupa, Kuc, Kudrycka, Kuhne, Kułakowski, Kuššis, Kusstatscher, Kuźmiuk, Lagendijk, Laignel, Lamassoure, Lambert, Lambrinidis, Lambsdorff, Lang, Langen, Langendries, Laperrouze, La Russa, Lavarra, Lax, Le Foll, Lehideux, Lehne, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Jean-Marie Le Pen, Marine Le Pen, Le Rachinel, Lévai, Lewandowski, Libicki, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Louis, Ludford, Lulling, Lundgren, Lynne, Maat, Maaten, McAvan, McCarthy, McDonald, McMillan-Scott, Madeira, Malmström, Manders, Maňka, Erika Mann, Thomas Mann, Markov, Marques, David Martin, Hans-Peter Martin, Martínez, Martínez Martínez, Masiel, Masip Hidalgo, Mašťálka, Mastenbroek, Mathieu, Matsakis, Matsis, Matsouka, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Medina Ortega, Meijer, Méndez de Vigo, Menéndez del Valle, Meyer Pleite, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Mölzer, Montoro Romero, Moraes, Morillon, Mote, Mulder, Musacchio, Muscat, Musotto, Mussolini, Myller, Napoletano, Nassauer, Natrass, Navarro, Newton Dunn, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Obiols i Germà, Özdemir, Olajos, Olbrycht, Ó Neachtain, Onesta, Onyszkiewicz, Oomen-Ruijten, Ortuondo Larrea, Óry, Ouzký, Oviir, Paasilinna, Pack, Pafilis, Pahor, Paleckis, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Pannella, Papadimoulis, Papastamkos, Parish, Patrie, Peillon, Peł, Alojz Peterle, Pflüger, Piecyk, Píks, Pinheiro, Pinior, Piotrowski, Piskorski, Pistelli, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Poignant, Poli Bortone, Pomés Ruiz, Portas, Posselt, Prets, Prodi, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Ransdorf, Rapkay, Resetarits, Reul, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Rocard, Rogalski, Roithová, Romagnoli, Rosati, Roszkowski, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Rühle, Rutowicz, Ryan, Sacconi, Saïfi, Sakalas, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Salinas García, Salvini, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Savary, Savi, Sbarbati, Schapira, Scheele, Schenardi, Schierhuber, Schlyter, Schmidt, Ingo Schmitt, Schmitt, Schnellhardt, Schöpfli, Schröder, Schroedter, Schulz, Schuth, Schwab, Seeber, Segelström, Seppänen, Siekierski, Sifunakis, Silva Peneda, Sinnott, Siwiec, Sjöstedt, Skinner, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Spautz, Speroni, Staes, Stanisewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Stevenson, Stihler, Stockmann, Strejček, Strož, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Svensson, Swoboda, Szájer, Szejna, Szent-Iványi, Szymański, Tabajdi, Tajani, Takkula, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Thyssen, Toia, Toubon, Trakatellis, Trautmann, Triantaphyllides, Trüpel, Turmes, Tzampazi, Ulmer, Väyrynen, Vaidere, Vakalis, Vanhecke, Van Hecke, Van Lancker, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vaugrenard, Verges, Vergnaud, Vidal-Quadras Roca, de Villiers, Vincenzi, Virrankoski, Vlasto, Voggenhuber, Wagenknecht, Walter, Watson, Henri Weber, Weiler, Weisgerber, Westlund, Whittaker, Wieland, Wiersma, Wijkman, Wise, von Wogau, Janusz Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Wurtz, Wynn, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zapałowski, Zappalà, Ždanoka, Železný, Žile, Zimmerling, Zingaretti, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Monday, 26 September 2005

Observers:

Abadjiev Dimitar, Ali Nedzhmi, Anastase Roberta Alma, Arabadjiev Alexander, Athanasiu Alexandru, Becşenescu Dumitru, Bliznashki Georgi, Buruiană Aprodu Daniela, Cappone Maria, Ciornei Silvia, Cioroianu Adrian Mihai, Corlăţean Titus, Coşea Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea, Creţu Corina, Creţu Gabriela, Dîncu Vasile, Dimitrov Martin, Duca Viorel Senior, Dumitrescu Cristian, Ganţ Ovidiu Victor, Hogeş Vlad Gabriel, Christova Christina Velcheva, Husmenova Filiz, Iacob Ridzi Monica Maria, Ilchev Stanimir, Ivanova Iglîka, Kazak Tchetin, Kelemen Atilla Béla Ladislau, Kirilov Evgeni, Kónya-Hamar Sándor, Marinescu Marian-Jean, Mihăescu Eugen, Morţun Alexandru Ioan, Muscă Monica Octavia, Nicolae Şerban, Păparizov Atanas Atanasov, Parvanova Antonia, Petre Maria, Podgorean Radu, Popa Nicolae Vlad, Popeangă Petre, Sârbu Daciana Octavia, Severin Adrian, Shouleva Lydia, Silaghi Ovidiu Ioan, Sofianski Stefan, Stoyanov Dimitar, Szabó Károly Ferenc, Tîrle Radu, Vigenin Kristian, Zgonea Valeriu Ştefan

Monday, 26 September 2005

ANNEX

LIST OF OBSERVERS FROM BULGARIA

Abadjiev Dimitar
Ali Nedzhmi Niyazi
Arabadjiev Alexander Stoyanov
Bliznashki Georgi Petkov
Cappone Maria Vassileva
Dimitrov Martin Dimitrov
Hristova Hristina Velcheva
Hysmenova Filiz Hakaeva
Ilchev Stanimir Yankov
Ivanova Iglia Dimitrova
Kazak Tchetin Hussein
Kirilov Evgeni Zahariev
Paparizov Atanas Atanassov
Parvanova Antonyia Stefanova
Shouleva Lydia Santova
Sofianski Stefan Antonov
Stoyanov Dimitar Kinov
Vigenin Kristian Ivanov

LIST OF OBSERVERS FROM ROMANIA

Anastase Roberta Alma
Athanasiu Alexandru
Bărbulețiu Tiberiu
Becșenescu Dumitru
Buruiană Aprodu Daniela
Ciornei Silvia
Cioroianu Adrian Mihai
Corlățean Titus
Coșea Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea
Crețu Corina
Crețu Gabriela
Dîncu Vasile
Duca Viorel Senior
Dumitrescu Cristian
Ganț Ovidiu Victor
Hogea Vlad Gabriel
Iacob Ridzi Monica Maria
Kelemen Atilla Béla Ladislau
Kónya Hamar Sándor
Marinescu Marian Jean
Mihăescu Eugen
Morțun Alexandru Ioan
Muscă Monica Octavia
Nicolae Șerban
Pașcu Ioan Mircea
Petre Maria
Podgorean Radu
Popa Nicolae Vlad
Popeangă Petre
Sârbu Daciana Octavia
Severin Adrian
Silaghi Ovidiu Ioan
Szabó Károly Ferenc
Tîrle Radu
Zgonea Valeriu Ștefan

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

(2006/C 227 E/02)

MINUTES**PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING**

IN THE CHAIR: Janusz ONYSZKIEWICZ

*Vice-President***1. Opening of sitting**

The sitting opened at 9.00.

2. Documents received

The following documents had been received:

1) *from the Council and Commission:*

- Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the European Union Solidarity Fund (COM(2005)0108 — C6-0093/2005 — 2005/0033(COD))
referred to responsible: REGI
opinion: BUDG
- Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the establishment, operation and use of the second generation Schengen information system (SIS II) (COM(2005)0236 — C6-0174/2005 — 2005/0106(COD))
referred to responsible: LIBE
opinion: BUDG
- Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council relating to measures envisaged to facilitate the procedures for applying for and issuing visas for members of the Olympic family taking part in the 2006 Olympic and/or Paralympic Winter Games in Torino (COM(2005)0412 — C6-0275/2005 — 2005/0169(COD))
referred to responsible: LIBE
opinion: CULT
- Proposal for transfer of appropriations DEC 29/2005 — Section III — Commission (SEC(2005)1048 — C6-0276/2005 — 2005/2170(GBD))
referred to responsible: BUDG
- Proposal for a decision of the European Parliament and of the Council on the mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund according to point 3 of the Interinstitutional Agreement of 7 November 2002 between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on the financing of the European Union Solidarity Fund, supplementing the Interinstitutional Agreement of 6 May 1999 on budgetary discipline and improvement of the budgetary procedure (COM(2005)0401 — C6-0277/2005 — 2005/2171(ACI))
referred to responsible: BUDG
opinion: REGI
- Proposal for transfer of appropriations DEC 30/2005 — Section III — Commission (SEC(2005)1094 — C6-0278/2005 — 2005/2173(GBD))
referred to responsible: BUDG

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

- Proposal for a Council decision on an Amendment to the Agreement Establishing the European Bank of Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), enabling the Bank to finance operations in Mongolia (COM(2005)0342 — C6-0280/2005 — 2005/0139(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: ECON
 - opinion: INTA, BUDG

- Proposal for a Council directive on animal health requirements for aquaculture animals and products thereof, and on the prevention and control of certain diseases in aquatic animals (COM(2005)0362 [01] — C6-0281/2005 — 2005/0153(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: PECH
 - opinion: BUDG, ENVI

- Proposal for a Council decision amending Decision 90/424/EEC on expenditure in the veterinary field (COM(2005)0362 [02] — C6-0282/2005 — 2005/0154(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: PECH
 - opinion: BUDG, ENVI

- Proposal for a Council decision enabling countries eligible for the future European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument (ENPI) to benefit from the Technical Assistance and Information Exchange Programme (TAIEX) (COM(2005)0321 — C6-0283/2005 — 2005/0133(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: AFET
 - opinion: INTA, BUDG

- Proposal for a Council framework decision to strengthen the criminal law framework to combat intellectual property offences (COM(2005)0276 [02] — C6-0284/2005 — 2005/0128(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: JURI
 - opinion: ITRE, IMCO, LIBE

- Proposal for a Council regulation on the common organisation of the seed market (COM(2005)0384 — C6-0285/2005 — 2005/0164(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: AGRI
 - opinion: JURI

- Proposal for a Council regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 1493/1999 on the common organisation of the market in wine (COM(2005)0395 — C6-0286/2005 — 2005/0160(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: AGRI

- Proposal for a Council regulation concerning the common organisation of the market in hops (COM(2005)0386 — C6-0287/2005 — 2005/0162(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: AGRI
 - opinion: JURI

2) *from Members, written declarations for entry in the Register (Rule 116)*

- Charles Tannock, Jana Hybášková, Marek Maciej Siwiec, André Brie and Frédérique Ries, on Israel's withdrawal from Gaza (53/2005);
- Den Dover and Kathy Sinnott, on calling for Member States to apply reduced VAT rates in the housing sector (54/2005);
- Den Dover and Kathy Sinnott, on promoting energy efficiency in buildings (55/2005).

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

3. Debates on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law (announcement of motions for resolutions tabled)

Pursuant to Rule 115, the following Members or political groups had requested that such a debate be held on the following motions for resolutions:

I. NEPAL

- Pasqualina Napoletano and Neena Gill, on behalf of the PSE Group, on Nepal (B6-0513/2005);
- Roberta Angelilli, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the human rights situation in Nepal (B6-0519/2005);
- Jean Lambert, Bart Staes, H el ene Flautre and G erard Onesta, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on Nepal (B6-0520/2005);
- Luisa Morgantini, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on Nepal (B6-0523/2005);
- Thomas Mann, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt, Doris Pack and Zsolt L aszl o Becsey, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on Nepal (B6-0526/2005);
- Elizabeth Lynne, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the situation in Nepal (B6-0530/2005).

II. TUNISIA

- Pasqualina Napoletano, V eronique De Keyser and Alain Hutchinson, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the human rights situation in Tunisia (B6-0512/2005);
- H el ene Flautre, Ra ul Romeva i Rueda and Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on Tunisia (B6-0522/2005);
- Francis Wurtz, Vittorio Agnoletto and Umberto Guidoni, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on freedom of expression in Tunisia (B6-0524/2005);
- Simon Busuttil, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt, Thomas Mann, Doris Pack and Zsolt L aszl o Becsey, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on Tunisia (B6-0525/2005);
- Cecilia Malmstr om, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the situation in Tunisia (B6-0529/2005);
- Girts Valdis Kristovskis, on behalf of the UEN Group, on freedom of expression and association in Tunisia (B6-0532/2005).

III. VOJVODINA

- Bastiaan Belder, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, on the defence of multi-ethnicity in Vojvodina (B6-0518/2005);
- Gisela Kallenbach, Joost Lagendijk and Angelika Beer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on the harassment of minorities in the province of Vojvodina, Serbia and Montenegro (B6-0521/2005);
- Doris Pack, Zsolt L aszl o Becsey, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt and Thomas Mann, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on the defence of multi-ethnicity in Vojvodina (B6-0527/2005);
- Istv an Szent-Iv anyi, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the continuing harassment of minorities in the province of Vojvodina (B6-0528/2005);
- Jonas Sj ostedt, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on the situation in Vojvodina (Serbia and Montenegro) (B6-0531/2005);
- Adriana Poli Bortone, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the defence of multi-ethnicity in Vojvodina (B6-0533/2005);
- Pasqualina Napoletano, Hannes Swoboda and Csaba S andor Tabajdi, on behalf of the PSE Group, on Vojvodina (B6-0534/2005).

Speaking time would be allocated in accordance with Rule 142.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

4. Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status * (debate)

Report on the amended proposal for a Council directive on minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status (14203/2004 — C6-0200/2004 — 2000/0238(CNS)) — Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs.

Rapporteur: Wolfgang Kreissl-Dörfler (A6-0222/2005)

Franco Frattini (Vice-President of the Commission) spoke.

Wolfgang Kreissl-Dörfler introduced report.

The following spoke: Felekna Uca (draftsman of the opinion of the DEVE Committee), Carlos Coelho, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Martine Roure, on behalf of the PSE Group, Jeanine Hennis-Plasschaert, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Jean Lambert, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Giusto Catania, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Johannes Blokland, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Romano Maria La Russa, on behalf of the UEN Group, Frank Vanhecke, Non-attached Member, Ewa Klamt, Stavros Lambrinidis, Johannes Voggenhuber, Athanasios Pafilis, Kathy Sinnott, Jan Tadeusz Masiel, Alexander Stubb, Giovanni Claudio Fava, Cem Özdemir, Andreas Mölzer, Simon Busuttill, Inger Segelström, Genowefa Grabowska and Franco Frattini.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 27.09.2005, Item 6.8.*

5. EU-US Wine Agreement (debate)

Commission statement: EU-US Wine Agreement

Mariann Fischer Boel (Member of the Commission) made the statement.

The following spoke: Christa Klauß, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, and Katerina Batzeli, on behalf of the PSE Group.

IN THE CHAIR: Ingo FRIEDRICH
Vice-President

The following spoke: Jorgo Chatzimarkakis, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Marie-Hélène Aubert, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Ilda Figueiredo, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Roberta Angelilli, on behalf of the UEN Group, Jean-Claude Martinez, Non-attached Member, María Esther Herranz García, María Isabel Salinas García, Anne Laperrouze, Astrid Lulling, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Jean Marie Beaupuy, Giuseppe Castiglione, Vincenzo Lavarra, Agnes Schierhuber, Duarte Freitas, María del Pilar Ayuso González and Mariann Fischer Boel.

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- María Esther Herranz García, Christa Klauß, Astrid Lulling, Giuseppe Castiglione, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on the wine agreement between the European Union and the United States (B6-0489/2005);
- Katerina Batzeli, María Isabel Salinas García and Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the EU-US wine agreement (B6-0511/2005);
- Anne Laperrouze, Jorgo Chatzimarkakis, Niels Busk, Ignasi Guardans Cambó and Willem Schuth, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the EU-US wine agreement (B6-0514/2005);
- Friedrich-Wilhelm Graefe zu Baringdorf, Marie-Hélène Aubert, Milan Horáček and David Hammerstein Mintz, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on the EU-US wine agreement (B6-0515/2005);
- Sergio Berlato, Roberta Angelilli and Sebastiano (Nello) Musumeci, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the EU-USA agreement on wine (B6-0516/2005);
- Ilda Figueiredo, Marco Rizzo and Diamanto Manolakou, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on the wine trade agreement between the European Union and the United States (B6-0517/2005).

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.1.*

(The sitting was suspended at 11.45 pending voting time and resumed at 12.05.)

IN THE CHAIR: Gérard ONESTA

Vice-President

6. Voting time

Details of voting (amendments, separate and split votes, etc.) appear in Annex 1 to the Minutes.

6.1. Public procurement ***I (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council correcting Directive 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (COM(2005)0214 — C6-0155/2005 — 2005/0100(COD)) — Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection.

Rapporteur: Stefano Zappalà (A6-0270/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 1)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Stefano Zappalà made a statement pursuant to Rule 131(4).

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0342)

6.2. EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services * (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Bulgaria on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0158 — C6-0177/2005 — 2005/0060(CNS)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Paolo Costa (A6-0258/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 2)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0343)

6.3. EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services * (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Croatia on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0159 — C6-0173/2005 — 2005/0059(CNS)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Paolo Costa (A6-0259/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 3)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0344)

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

6.4. Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency * (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the proposal for a Council regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 297/95 on fees payable to the European Medicines Agency (COM(2005)0106 — C6-0137/2005 — 2005/0023(CNS)) — Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety.

Rapporteur: Karl-Heinz Florenz (A6-0264/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 4)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL, AMENDMENTS and DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0345)

6.5. Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing* (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the proposal for a Council regulation on the conclusion of the Protocol setting out the tuna fishing opportunities and financial contribution provided for in the Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Islamic Federal Republic of the Comoros on fishing off the Comoros for the period from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2010 (COM(2005)0187 — C6-0154/2005 — 2005/0092(CNS)) — Committee on Fisheries.

Rapporteur: Carmen Fraga Estévez (A6-0260/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 5)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL, AMENDMENTS and DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0346)

6.6. Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis (Rule 131) (vote)

Report on the request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis (2004/2194(IMM)) — Committee on Legal Affairs.

Rapporteur: Klaus-Heiner Lehne (A6-0268/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 6)

PROPOSAL FOR A DECISION

The following spoke: Bronisław Geremek, Klaus-Heiner Lehne, the rapporteur, on Mr Geremek's remarks, and Christopher Heaton-Harris.

Adopted by single vote (P6_TA(2005)0347)

6.7. Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism (Rule 131) (vote final)

Report on the initiative by the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom for a Council framework decision on the retention of data processed and stored in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or data on public communications networks for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of crime and criminal offences including terrorism (08958/2004 — C6-0198/2004 — 2004/0813(CNS)) — Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs.

Rapporteur: Alexander Nuno Alvaro (A6-0174/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 7)

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

The text of the initiative had been rejected on 07.06.2005 (*Minutes of 07.06.2005, Item 6.8*) and the matter had been referred back to the committee responsible under Rule 52.

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0348)

Parliament's vote confirmed its rejection of the text of the initiative. The procedure was thus brought to a close.

6.8. Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status * (vote)

Report on the amended proposal for a Council directive on minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status (14203/2004 — C6-0200/2004 — 2000/0238(CNS)) — Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs.

Rapporteur: Wolfgang Kreissl-Dörfler (A6-0222/2005)

(*Simple majority*)

(*Voting record: Annex 1, Item 8*)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0349)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0349)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Giusto Catania, who asked that amendment 180, which the President announced had fallen, be put to the vote (the President pointed out that the amendment had fallen as amendment 99 had been adopted), and the rapporteur, who agreed with the President.

7. Explanations of vote

Written explanations of vote:

Explanations of vote submitted in writing under Rule 163(3) appear in the verbatim report of proceedings for the sitting.

Oral explanations of vote:

Report: Wolfgang Kreissl-Dörfler — A6-0222/2005

Philip Claeys

8. Corrections to votes

Corrections to votes appear on the 'Séance en direct' website under 'Votes'/ 'Results of votes'/ 'Roll-call votes'. They are published in hard copy in Annex 2 to the Minutes, 'Result of roll-call votes'.

The electronic version on Europarl will be regularly updated for a maximum of two weeks after the day of the vote concerned.

After the two-week deadline has passed, the list of corrections to votes will be finalised so that it can be translated and published in the Official Journal.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Members present but not voting:

Yiannakis Matsis had informed the Chair that he had been present but had not voted.

(The sitting was suspended at 12.25 and resumed at 15.05.)

IN THE CHAIR: Luigi COCILOVO

Vice-President

9. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting

The following spoke: Ursula Stenzel, who announced that written declaration 41/2005 on rheumatic diseases had been signed by the majority of Parliament's component Members.

*
* *

The Minutes of the previous sitting were approved.

10. Territorial cohesion in regional development — A stronger partnership for the outermost regions (debate)

Report on the role of territorial cohesion in regional development (2004/2256(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development.

Rapporteur: Ambroise Guellec (A6-0251/2005)

Report on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions (2004/2253(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development.

Rapporteur: Sérgio Marques (A6-0246/2005)

Ambroise Guellec introduced report (A6-0251/2005).

Sérgio Marques introduced report (A6-0246/2005).

Danuta Hübner (Member of the Commission) spoke.

The following spoke: Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos (draftsman of the opinion of the AGRI Committee), Duarte Freitas (draftsman of the opinion of the PECH Committee), Ewa Hedkvist Petersen, on behalf of the PSE Group, Konstantinos Hatzidakis, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Jean Marie Beaupuy, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Gisela Kallenbach, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Pedro Guerreiro, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Graham Booth, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Mieczysław Edmund Janowski, on behalf of the UEN Group, James Hugh Allister, Non-attached Member, Rolf Berend, Emanuel Jardim Fernandes and Alfonso Andria.

IN THE CHAIR: Miroslav OUZKÝ

Vice-President

The following spoke: Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Mirosław Mariusz Piotrowski, Salvatore Tatarella, Robert Kilroy-Silk, Margie Sudre, Bernadette Bourzai, Paul Verges, Ryszard Czarnecki, Jan Olbrycht, Catherine Stihler, Markus Pieper, Jamila Madeira, Ioannis Gklavakis, Lidia Joanna Geringer de Oedenberg, Guido Podestà, Zita Gurmai, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Stavros Arnaoutakis, Zbigniew Krzysztof Kuźmiuk, Manuel Medina Ortega, José Albino Silva Peneda, Paulo Casaca, Alexander Stubb, Richard Seeber, Francesco Musotto and Danuta Hübner.

The debate closed.

Vote: Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.8 and Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.9

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

11. Screening of the legislative proposals pending before the Legislator (debate)

Commission communication: Screening of the legislative proposals pending before the Legislator

Günter Verheugen (Vice-President of the Commission) made the communication.

The following put questions that Günter Verheugen answered: Alexander Stubb, Hannes Swoboda and Alexander Radwan.

IN THE CHAIR: Sylvia-Yvonne KAUFMANN

Vice-President

The following put questions that Günter Verheugen answered in groups of three: Elizabeth Lynne, Monica Frassoni, Françoise Grossetête, Jules Maaten, Stephen Hughes and Elisabeth Schroedter.

The item closed.

12. Question Time (Commission)

Parliament considered a number of questions to the Commission (B6-0331/2005).

Part one

Question 39 (Albert Jan Maat): Spreading of infectious animal diseases into Europe.

Jacques Barrot (Vice-President of the Commission) answered the question and a supplementary by Albert Jan Maat.

Question 40 (Catherine Stihler): Air passenger compensation.

Jacques Barrot answered the question and supplementaries by Catherine Stihler, Bill Newton Dunn and Josu Ortuondo Larrea.

Question 41 (Athanasios Pafilis): Serious problems with flight safety.

Jacques Barrot answered the question and supplementaries by Athanasios Pafilis, Georgios Karatzaferis and Georgios Toussas.

Part two

Question 42 (Dimitrios Papadimoulis): Completion of Greek national land registry.

Danuta Hübner (Member of the Commission) answered the question and supplementaries by Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Georgios Papastamkos and Georgios Karatzaferis.

Question 43 (Georgios Karatzaferis): Admission of failure by the Greek authorities in achieving the take-up targets for 2005.

Danuta Hübner answered the question and supplementaries by Georgios Karatzaferis, Paul Rübzig and Dimitrios Papadimoulis.

Question 44 (Ryszard Czarnecki): Funds for regional policy assistance.

Danuta Hübner answered the question.

Ryszard Czarnecki spoke.

Danuta Hübner answered supplementaries by David Martin and Justas Vincas Paleckis.

Questions 45 and 46 would receive written answers.

Question 47 (Jacques Toubon): Study on gambling services in the internal market.

Charlie McCreevy (Member of the Commission) answered the question and supplementaries by Jacques Toubon and David Martin.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Question 48 (Jelko Kacin): Difficulties experienced by gaming operators in advertising their activities in certain EU Member States.

Charlie McCreevy answered the question and a supplementary by Jelko Kacin.

Question 49 (Mairead McGuinness): Protection of consumers with regard to cross-border financial transactions.

Charlie McCreevy answered the question and supplementaries by Gay Mitchell (deputising for the author).

Questions 50 to 53 would receive written answers.

Question 54 (Bernd Posselt): Regulation (EEC) No 2081/92 on the protection of geographical indications and designations of origin — Karlsbader Oblaten (Karlsbad Wafers).

Mariann Fischer Boel (Member of the Commission) answered the question and a supplementary by Bernd Posselt.

Question 55 (Agnes Schierhuber): Protected geographical indication status for 'Olmützer Quargel' under Regulation (EEC) No 2081/92.

Mariann Fischer Boel answered the question and a supplementary by Agnes Schierhuber.

Question 56 (Zbigniew Krzysztof Kuźmiuk): The soft fruit market in Poland.

Mariann Fischer Boel answered the question and a supplementary by Zbigniew Krzysztof Kuźmiuk.

Question 57 (Johan Van Hecke): Payment of European farm subsidies to large land-owners belonging to the aristocracy.

Mariann Fischer Boel answered the question and supplementaries by Johan Van Hecke, Bart Staes and Agnes Schierhuber.

Questions 58 to 96 would receive written answers.

Commission Question Time closed.

Jim Higgins asked whether the full time allotted for questions to Mariann Fischer Boel had been used. The President replied that it had.

13. Rheumatic diseases (written declaration)

Written declaration 41/2005, tabled by Richard Howitt, David Hammerstein Mintz, Ursula Stenzel, Adamos Adamou and Grażyna Staniszevska on rheumatic diseases, had, on 27.09.2005, been signed by the majority of Parliament's component Members and would therefore, under Rule 116(4), be forwarded to the institutions named therein and published, along with a list of the signatories' names, in the Texts Adopted of the sitting of 13.10.2005 (P6_TA(2005)0389).

(The sitting was suspended at 19.40 and resumed at 21.00.)

IN THE CHAIR: Edward McMILLAN-SCOTT

Vice-President

14. Development of the Community's railways ***I — Certification of train crews ***I — International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I — Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services ***I — (debate)

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways (COM(2004)0139 — C6-0001/2004 — 2004/0047(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Georg Jarzembowski (A6-0143/2005)

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network (COM(2004)0142 — C6-0002/2004 — 2004/0048(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Gilles Savary (A6-0133/2005)

Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on International Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations (COM(2004)0143 — C6-0003/2004 — 2004/0049(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Dirk Sterckx (A6-0123/2005)

Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on compensation in cases of non-compliance with contractual quality requirements for rail freight services (COM(2004)0144 — C6-0004/2004 — 2004/0050(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Roberts Zīle (A6-0171/2005)

Jacques Barrot (Vice-President of the Commission) spoke.

After expressing his disappointment that the item in question had been scheduled for the night sitting, Georg Jarzembowski introduced report A6-0143/2005.

Gilles Savary introduced report (A6-0133/2005).

Dirk Sterckx introduced report (A6-0123/2005).

Roberts Zīle introduced report (A6-0171/2005).

The following spoke: Elisabeth Jeggle, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Willi Piecyk, on behalf of the PSE Group, Paolo Costa, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Michael Cramer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Francis Wurtz, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Patrick Louis, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Luca Romagnoli, Non-attached Member, Reinhard Rack, who began by mentioning the order of business and endorsing Georg Jarzembowski's introductory remarks, Bogusław Liberadzki, Anne E. Jensen, Hélène Flautre, Erik Meijer, Gerard Batten, Armando Dionisi, Saïd El Khadraoui, Josu Ortuondo Larrea, Jaromír Kohlíček, Sylwester Chruszcz, Corien Wortmann-Kool, Inés Ayala Sender, Bogusław Sonik, Ewa Hedkvist Petersen, Péter Olajos, Jörg Leichtfried, Luís Queiró, Emanuel Jardim Fernandes, Zsolt László Becsey, Ulrich Stockmann, Małgorzata Handzlik, Marta Vincenzi, Stanisław Jałowiecki, Nikolaos Sifunakis, Etelka Barsi-Pataky, and Jacques Barrot.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.3, Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.4, Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.5 and Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 7.6*

15. Agenda for next sitting

The agenda for the next sitting had been established ('Agenda' PE 361.877/OJME).

16. Closure of sitting

The sitting closed at 23.40.

Julian Priestley
Secretary-General

Jacek Emil Saryusz-Wolski
Vice-President

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

The following signed:

Adamou, Agnoletto, Albertini, Allister, Alvaro, Andersson, Andrejevs, Andria, Andrikienė, Angelilli, Antoniozzi, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ashworth, Atkins, Attard-Montalto, Attwooll, Aubert, Audy, Auken, Ayala Sender, Aylward, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Baco, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Barsi-Pataky, Batten, Battilocchio, Batzeli, Bauer, Beauvuy, Beazley, Becsey, Beer, Beglitis, Belder, Belet, Belohorská, Bennahmias, Beňová, Berend, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlato, Berlinguer, Berman, Birutis, Blokland, Bloom, Bobošíková, Böge, Bösch, Bono, Bonsignore, Booth, Borghezio, Borrell Fontelles, Bourlanges, Bourzai, Bowis, Bowles, Bozkurt, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Breyer, Březina, Brie, Brok, Brunetta, Budreikaitė, Buitenweg, Bullmann, van den Burg, Bushill-Matthews, Busk, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Calabuig Rull, Callanan, Camre, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Carollo, Casa, Casaca, Caspary, Castex, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Catania, Cavada, Cederschiöld, Cercas, Cesa, Chatzimakakis, Chichester, Chiesa, Chmielewski, Christensen, Chruszcz, Cirino Pomicino, Claeys, Clark, Cocilovo, Coelho, Cohn-Bendit, Corbett, Corbey, Cornillet, Correia, Costa, Cottigny, Coûteaux, Coveney, Cramer, Crowley, Marek Aleksander Czarnecki, Ryszard Czarnecki, D'Alema, Daul, Davies, de Brún, Degutis, Dehaene, De Keyser, Demetriou, De Michelis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Descamps, Désir, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, De Vits, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Didžiokas, Díez González, Dillen, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dobolyi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Douay, Dover, Doyle, Drčar Murko, Duchoň, Dührkop Dührkop, Duff, Duin, Duka-Zólyomi, Duquesne, Ebner, Ehler, El Khadraoui, Elles, Esteves, Estrela, Ettl, Eurlings, Jillian Evans, Jonathan Evans, Robert Evans, Fajmon, Falbr, Farage, Fatuzzo, Fava, Fazakas, Ferber, Fernandes, Fernández Martín, Anne Ferreira, Elisa Ferreira, Figueiredo, Fjellner, Flasarová, Flautre, Florenz, Fontaine, Ford, Fotyga, Fourtou, Fraga Estévez, Frassoni, Freitas, Friedrich, Fruteau, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, García Pérez, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gebhardt, Gentvilas, Geremek, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gibault, Gierak, Gill, Gklavakis, Glante, Glattfelder, Goebbels, Goepel, Golik, Gollnisch, Gomes, Gomolka, Goudin, Grabowska, Grabowski, Graça Moura, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grech, Griesbeck, Gröner, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Grosch, Grossetête, Gruber, Guardans Cambó, Guellec, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Gurmai, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Guy-Quint, Gyürk, Hänsch, Hall, Hammerstein Mintz, Hamon, Handzlik, Hannan, Harangozó, Harbour, Harkin, Harms, Hasse Ferreira, Hassi, Hatzidakis, Haug, Hazan, Heaton-Harris, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Helmer, Henin, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hennis-Plasschaert, Herczog, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Honeyball, Hoppenstedt, Horáček, Hudacký, Hughes, Hutchinson, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Ilves, in 't Veld, Isler Béguin, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jääteenmäki, Jałowiecki, Janowski, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jensen, Joan i Marí, Jöns, Jørgensen, Jonckheer, Jordan Cizelj, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Kaczmarek, Kallenbach, Kamall, Karas, Karatzaferis, Karim, Kasoulides, Kaufmann, Tunne Kelam, Kilroy-Silk, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kirkhope, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Klinz, Knapman, Koch, Koch-Mehrin, Kohlíček, Konrad, Korhola, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krahrmer, Krarup, Krasts, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kristovskis, Krupa, Kuc, Kudrycka, Kuhne, Kułakowski, Kušis, Kusstatscher, Kuźmiuk, Lagendijk, Laignel, Lamassoure, Lambert, Lambrinidis, Landsbergis, Lang, Langen, Langendries, Laperrouze, La Russa, Lauk, Lavarra, Lax, Lechner, Le Foll, Lehne, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Jean-Marie Le Pen, Marine Le Pen, Le Rachinel, Letta, Lévai, Lewandowski, Liberadzki, Libicki, Lichtenberger, Lienemann, Liotard, Lipietz, Lombardo, Louis, Lucas, Ludford, Lulling, Lundgren, Lynne, Maat, Maaten, McAvan, McCarthy, McDonald, McMillan-Scott, Madeira, Malmström, Manders, Maňka, Erika Mann, Thomas Mann, Markov, Marques, Martens, David Martin, Hans-Peter Martin, Martinez, Martínez Martínez, Masiel, Masip Hidalgo, Mašťálka, Mastenbroek, Mathieu, Matsakis, Matsis, Matsouka, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Medina Ortega, Meijer, Méndez de Vigo, Menéndez del Valle, Meyer Pleite, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Mölzer, Mohácsi, Montoro Romero, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Morgantini, Morillon, Moscovici, Mote, Mulder, Musacchio, Muscardini, Muscat, Musotto, Mussolini, Musumeci, Myller, Napoletano, Nassauer, Nattrass, Navarro, Newton Dunn, Annemie Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Özdemir, Olajos, Olbrycht, Ó Neachtain, Onesta, Onyszkiewicz, Oomen-Ruijten, Ortuondo Larrea, Óry, Ouzký, Oviir, Paasilinna, Pack, Pafilis, Pahor, Paleckis, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Pannella, Papadimoulis, Papastamkos, Parish, Peillon, Peł, Alojz Peterle, Pflüger, Piecyk, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Pinior, Piotrowski, Piskorski, Pistelli, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Poignant, Polfer, Poli Bortone, Pomés Ruiz, Portas, Posselt, Prets, Prodi, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Ransdorf, Rapkay, Remek, Resetarits, Reul, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Rivera, Rizzo, Rocard, Rogalski, Roithová, Romagnoli, Romeva i Rueda, Rosati, Roszkowski, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Rühle, Rutowicz, Ryan, Sacconi, Saïfi, Sakalas, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Salinas García, Salvini, Samuelson, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Savary, Savi, Sbarbati, Schapira, Scheele, Schenardi, Schierhuber, Schlyter, Schmidt, Ingo Schmitt, Schmitt, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schroedter, Schulz, Schuth, Schwab, Seeber, Segelström, Seppänen, Siekierski, Sifunakis, Silva Peneda, Sinnott, Siwicz, Sjöstedt, Skinner, Škottová, Smith, Sommer, Sonik, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Spautz, Speroni, Staes, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Štátný, Stenzel, Sterckx, Stevenson, Stihler, Stockmann, Strejček, Strož, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Svensson,

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Swoboda, Szájer, Szejna, Szent-Iványi, Szymański, Tabajdi, Tajani, Takkula, Tarabella, Tarand, Tatarella, Thomsen, Thyssen, Titford, Titley, Toia, Toubon, Toussas, Trakatellis, Trautmann, Triantaphyllides, Trüpel, Turmes, Tzampazi, Uca, Ulmer, Väyrynen, Vaidere, Vakalis, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Vanhecke, Van Hecke, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vaugrenard, Ventre, Verges, Vergnaud, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, de Villiers, Vincenzi, Virrankoski, Vlasto, Voggenhuber, Wagenknecht, Wallis, Walter, Watson, Henri Weber, Manfred Weber, Weiler, Weisgerber, Westlund, Whitehead, Whittaker, Wieland, Wiersma, Wijkman, Wise, von Wogau, Wohlin, Janusz Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Wurtz, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zani, Zapałowski, Zappalà, Ždanoka, Železný, Zieleniec, Zile, Zimmer, Zimmerling, Zingaretti, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Observers:

Ali Nedzhmi, Anastase Roberta Alma, Arabadjiev Alexander, Bărbulețiu Tiberiu, Becșenescu Dumitru, Buruiană Aprodu Daniela, Coșea Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea, Duca Viorel Senior, Gaņț Ovidiu Victor, Hogeia Vlad Gabriel, Christova Christina Velcheva, Husmenova Filiz, Iacob Ridzi Monica Maria, Kelemen Atilla Béla Ladislau, Kirilov Evgeni, Kónya-Hamar Sándor, Marinescu Marian-Jean, Mihăescu Eugen, Morțun Alexandru Ioan, Muscă Monica Octavia, Paparizov Atanas Atanassov, Petre Maria, Popeangă Petre, Severin Adrian, Shouleva Lydia, Silaghi Ovidiu Ioan, Sofianski Stefan, Stoyanov Dimitar, Szabó Károly Ferenc, Tîrle Radu

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

ANNEX I

RESULTS OF VOTES

Abbreviations and symbols

+	adopted
-	rejected
↓	lapsed
W	withdrawn
RCV (... , ... , ...)	roll-call vote (for, against, abstentions)
EV (... , ... , ...)	electronic vote (for, against, abstentions)
split	split vote
sep	separate vote
am	amendment
CA	compromise amendment
CP	corresponding part
D	deleting amendment
=	identical amendments
§	paragraph
art	article
rec	recital
MOT	motion for a resolution
JT MOT	joint motion for a resolution
SEC	secret ballot

1. Public procurement *I**

Report: Stefano ZAPPALÀ (A6-0270/2005)

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		+	

2. EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services *

Report: Paolo COSTA (A6-0258/2005)

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		+	

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

3. EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services **Report: Paolo COSTA (A6-0259/2005)*

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		+	

4. Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency **Report: Karl-Heinz FLORENZ (A6-0264/2005)*

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		+	

5. Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing **Report: Carmen FRAGA ESTÉVEZ (A6-0260/2005)*

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote	RCV	+	473, 54, 82

Request for roll-call vote

PPE-DE final vote

IND/DEM final vote

6. Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis*Report: Klaus-Heiner LEHNE (A6-0268/2005)*

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		+	

7. Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism **Report: Alexander Nuno ALVARO (A6-0174/2005)*

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Vote: legislative resolution				+	

Parliament had rejected the text of the initiative on 7 June 2005 and the matter had been referred back to the committee responsible (under Rule 52).

Through this vote, Parliament confirmed its rejection of the text of the initiative.

The procedure was thereby brought to a close.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

8. Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status *

Report: Wolfgang KREISSL-DÖRFLER (A6-0222/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Proposal for a directive					
Amendments by the committee responsible — block vote	1-11 14-17 20-35 37-44 46-55 57-98 100-109 111-122 130-131 145-147 149-156 158-163 169-174	Committee		+	
Amendments by the committee responsible — separate vote	18	Committee	sep/EV	+	330, 283, 12
	19	Committee	sep	+	
	36	Committee	sep	+	
	157	Committee	sep	+	
	164	Committee	sep	+	
	165	Committee	sep	+	
	166	Committee	RCV	+	511, 111, 20
	167	Committee	RCV	+	507, 103, 28
	168	Committee	RCV	+	505, 100, 29
Article 6, § 1	45	Committee	EV	+	335, 290, 16
	191	PPE-DE		↓	
Article 9a, §1	181	GUE/NGL	EV	+	323, 296, 13
Article 17, § 2	99	Committee	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	-	303, 337, 3
	180	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 23, § 4, point (c)	175= 186=	GUE/NGL Verts/ALE		-	
	110	Committee	EV	-	257, 369, 5

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Article 27	176= 187=	GUE/NGL Verts/ALE		-	
	123-129	Committee		+	
Article 30	177= 188=	GUE/NGL Verts/ALE		-	
	132-139	Committee		+	
Article 30a	140= 189=	Committee Verts/ALE		+	
Article 30c	178= 190=	GUE/NGL Verts/ALE		-	
	141	Committee	sep/EV	+	347, 268, 15
	143	Committee	sep	+	
	144	Committee	sep	+	
Annex B	179	GUE/NGL		-	
Rec 17	183	Verts/ALE		-	
Rec 18	184	Verts/ALE		-	
	12	Committee		+	
Rec 19	185	Verts/ALE		-	
	13	Committee		+	
Vote: amended proposal			EV	+	308, 300, 33
Draft legislative resolution					
After § 3	182	PSE	EV	+	321, 300, 15
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	305, 302, 33

Amendment 56 had been cancelled.

Requests for split votes

PSE

am 99

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'The period of detention shall not exceed 6 months' (= §3).

Second part: those words

Requests for roll-call votes

PSE: ams 166, 167 and 168

PPE-DE: final vote

Requests for separate votes

PPE-DE: ams 18, 19, 36, 141, 143, 144, 157, 164 and 165

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

ANNEX II

RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES

1. Report: Fraga Estévez A6-0260/2005

Resolution

For: 473

ALDE: Costa, De Sarnez, Morillon, Pistelli, Prodi, Takkula**GUE/NGL:** Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Catania, de Brún, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Uca, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Bonde, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, de Villiers, Zapalowski**NI:** Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Marek Aleksander, Czarnecki Ryszard, De Michelis, Dillen, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke**PPE-DE:** Albertini, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Brunetta, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Carollo, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Eurlings, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Heaton-Harris, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Kirkhope, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Montoro Romero, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Płks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Pomés Ruiz, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübiger, Saïfi, Salafraña Sánchez-Neyra, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka**PSE:** Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hegyi, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañika, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Stihler, Stockmann, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zingaretti

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

UEN: Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Janowski, Krasts, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere

Verts/ALE: Hammerstein Mintz

Against: 54

ALDE: Malmström

GUE/NGL: Meijer, Sjöstedt

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Lundgren, Natrass, Titford, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin

NI: Allister, Kilroy-Silk, Mote

UEN: Angelilli

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Abstention: 82

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Onyszkiewicz, Oviir, Polfer, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Krarup, Pafilis

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Coûteaux, Sinnott, Železný

NI: Baco, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kozlák

PSE: Bullmann, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen

UEN: Fotyga

Corrections to votes

For

Etelka Barsi-Pataky, Bárbara Dührkop Dührkop

Against

Kartika Tamara Liotard, Eva-Britt Svensson

2. Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005

Amendment 166

For: 511

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Oviir, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Catania, de Brún, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Uca, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Marek Aleksander, Czarnecki Ryszard, De Michelis, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Albertini, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Brunetta, Busutil, Buzek, Carollo, Casa, Caspary, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškiš, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lauk, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Montoro Romero, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pīks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Pomés Ruiz, Posselt, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübiger, Saïfi, Salafrañca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Penada, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Dührkop Dührkop, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Mikko, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Peillon, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Zingaretti

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 111

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Peł, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Chichester, Deva, Dover, Elles, Fajmon, Hannan, Harbour, Heaton-Harris, Jackson, Kamall, Kirkhope, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Ouzký, Parish, Pieper, Purvis, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Tannock, Van Orden, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Berlinguer, Calabuig Rull, Carnero González, Díez González, Douay, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Obiols i Germà, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Riera Madurell, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Sornosa Martínez, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere

Abstention: 20

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin

NI: Baco, Kilroy-Silk, Kozlík, Mote

PSE: Corbett, Gill, Honeyball, Hughes, McAvan, McCarthy, Martin David, Moraes, Skinner, Stihler, Whitehead, Wynn

UEN: Szymański

Corrections to votes

For

Bárbara Dührkop Dührkop

3. Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005

Amendment 167

For: 507

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beauvuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Oviir, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Catania, de Brún, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Uca, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Marek Aleksander, Czarnecki Ryszard, De Michelis, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Albertini, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Brunetta, Busuttil, Buzek, Carollo, Casa, Caspary, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz, Angulo,

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lauk, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Montoro Romero, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Pomés Ruiz, Posselt, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafraña Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štastný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Castex, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Dührkop Dührkop, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herzog, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Mikko, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 103

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Pȩk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Źelezný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Mölzer, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Chichester, Deva, Dover, Elles, Fajmon, Hannan, Harbour, Heaton-Harris, Jackson, Kamall, Kirkhope, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Ouzký, Parish, Purvis, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Tannock, Van Orden, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Calabuig Rull, Carnero González, Cercas, Díez González, García Pérez, Gruber, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Obiols i Germà, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Riera Madurell, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Sornosa Martínez, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere

Abstention: 28

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren

NI: Baco, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi

PSE: Corbett, Gill, Honeyball, Hughes, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Moraes, Skinner, Stihler, Whitehead, Wynn

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Corrections to votes**For**

Bárbara Dührkop Dührkop

4. Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005**Amendment 168****For: 505**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Oviir, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Catania, de Brún, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Uca, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Marek Aleksander, Czarnecki Ryszard, De Michelis, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Albertini, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Brunetta, Busuttil, Buzek, Carollo, Casa, Caspary, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrowskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Handzik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lauk, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Montoro Romero, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Płks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Pomés Ruiz, Posselt, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Castex, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka,

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Mikko, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Öger, Paasilinna, Paleckis, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 100

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Chichester, Deva, Dover, Elles, Fajmon, Hannan, Harbour, Heaton-Harris, Jackson, Kirkhope, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Ouzký, Parish, Purvis, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tannock, Van Orden, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Calabuig Rull, Carnero González, Cercas, Díez González, García Pérez, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Obiols i Germà, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Riera Madurell, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Sornosa Martínez, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere

Abstention: 29

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren

NI: Baco, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Martinez, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi

PSE: Corbett, Gill, Honeyball, Hughes, Kinnock, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Moraes, Skinner, Stihler, Whitehead, Wynn

Corrections to votes

For

Bárbara Dührkop Dührkop

5. Report: Kreissl-Dörfler A6-0222/2005

Resolution

For: 305

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Brie, Flasarová, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Markov, Maštálka, Ransdorf, Strož, Uca, Verges, Wurtz, Zimmer

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, De Michelis, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Cederschiöld, Fjellner, Hökmark, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Wijkman

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnautakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Dührkop Dührkop, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moscovic, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Óger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Trautmann, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zingaretti

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstascher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 302

ALDE: Birutis, Budreikaitė, Degutis, Deprez

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Nattrass, Peł, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Czarnecki Marek Aleksander, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Albertini, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Brunetta, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttill, Buzek, Cabrnach, Callanan, Carollo, Casa, Caspary, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Eurlings, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glatfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Heaton-Harris, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Kirkhope, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lauk, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Montoro Romero, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Pomés Ruiz, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 33

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Catania, de Brún, Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Krarup, Liotard, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Wagenknecht

IND/DEM: Bonde, Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin

NI: Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter

PPE-DE: Esteves, Gaubert

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Grech, Mann Erika, Muscat

Corrections to votes

Abstention

Patrick Gaubert

TEXTS ADOPTED**P6_TA(2005)0342****Public procurement ***I**

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council correcting Directive 2004/18/EC on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (COM(2005)0214 — C6-0155/2005 — 2005/0100(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2005)0214) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Article 251(2) and Articles 47(2), 55 and 95 of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0155/2005),
 - having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection (A6-0270/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend its proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TA(2005)0343**EC-Bulgaria Agreement on certain aspects of air services ***

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Bulgaria on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0158 — C6-0177/2005 — 2005/0060(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the proposal for a Council decision (COM(2005)0158) ⁽¹⁾,
- having regard to Articles 80(2) and 300(2), first subparagraph, first sentence, of the EC Treaty,
- having regard to Article 300(3), first subparagraph, of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0177/2005),

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

- having regard to Rules 51 and 83(7) of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0258/2005),
1. Approves conclusion of the agreement;
 2. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission, and the governments and parliaments of the Member States and the Republic of Bulgaria.

P6_TA(2005)0344

EC-Croatia Agreement on certain aspects of air services *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Agreement between the European Community and the Republic of Croatia on certain aspects of air services (COM(2005)0159 — C6-0173/2005 — 2005/0059(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the proposal for a Council decision (COM(2005)0159) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Articles 80(2) and 300(2), first subparagraph, first sentence, of the EC Treaty,
 - having regard to Article 300(3), first subparagraph, of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0173/2005),
 - having regard to Rules 51 and 83(7) of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0259/2005),
1. Approves the conclusion of the agreement;
 2. Instructs its President to forward this position to the Council and Commission, and the governments and parliaments of the Member States and the Republic of Croatia.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TA(2005)0345

Fees payable to the European Medicines Agency *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 297/95 on fees payable to the European Medicines Agency (COM(2005)0106 — C6-0137/2005 — 2005/0023(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the Council (COM(2005)0106) ⁽¹⁾,
- having regard to Article 12 of Council Regulation (EC) No 297/95 of 10 February 1995 ⁽²⁾, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0137/2005),

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 35, 15.2.1995, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 494/2003 (OJ L 73, 19.3.2003, p. 6).

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

- having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety and the opinion of the Committee on Budgets (A6-0264/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to alter its proposal accordingly, pursuant to Article 250(2) of the EC Treaty;
 3. Calls on the Council to notify Parliament if it intends to depart from the text approved by Parliament;
 4. Asks the Council to consult Parliament again if it intends to amend the Commission proposal substantially;
 5. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COMMISSION

AMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 1

Recital 4 a (new)

(4a) In order to respect the principle of proportionality, medicinal products in which the active substances have been in well-established medicinal use within the Community for at least ten years should benefit from a reduced annual fee.

Amendment 2

Article 1, point 2 (b) (II)

Article 3, paragraph 1, point (b), subparagraph 1 (Regulation (EC) No 297/95)

A reduced fee of 90 000 Euro shall apply to applications for a marketing authorisation pursuant to Articles 10(1), 10(3) and 10c of Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. That fee shall cover a single strength associated with one pharmaceutical form and one presentation.

A reduced fee of 90 000 Euro shall apply to applications for a marketing authorisation pursuant to Articles 10(1), 10(3), **10a** and 10c of Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. That fee shall cover a single strength associated with one pharmaceutical form and one presentation. ***In exceptional cases, where an extensive workload relating to the evaluation of an application for marketing authorisation pursuant to Article 10a of Directive 2001/83/EC can be demonstrated, a fee of up to 232 000 Euro may be determined in accordance with Article 11(2) of this Regulation.***

Amendment 3

Article 1, point 7

Article 8, paragraph 2 (Regulation (EC) No 297/95)

A scientific service fee shall apply where an application is made for any scientific advice or opinion by a scientific Committee, which is not covered by Articles 3 to 7 or by Article 8(1). This includes ***any evaluation of traditional herbal medicinal products***, any opinion on medicinal products for compassionate use, any consultation on ancillary substances, including blood derivatives, incorporated in medical devices, and any evaluation of plasma master files and vaccine antigen master files.

A scientific service fee shall apply where an application is made for any scientific advice or opinion by a scientific Committee, which is not covered by Articles 3 to 7 or by Article 8(1). This includes any opinion on medicinal products for compassionate use, any consultation on ancillary substances, including blood derivatives, incorporated in medical devices, and any evaluation of plasma master files and vaccine antigen master files.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COMMISSIONAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

When it concerns medicinal products for human use, the fee shall be 232 000 Euro.

When it concerns veterinary medicinal products, the fee shall be 116 000 Euro.

The provisions of Article 3 shall apply to any scientific opinion for the evaluation of medicinal products for human use intended exclusively for markets outside the Community pursuant to Article 58 of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004.

A reduced scientific service fee falling within the range of 2 500 Euro to 200 000 Euro shall apply for certain scientific opinions or services concerning medicinal products for human use.

A reduced scientific service fee falling within the range of 2 500 Euro to 100 000 Euro shall apply for certain scientific opinions or services concerning veterinary medicinal products.

The scientific opinions or services referred to in the *fifth and sixth* subparagraph shall be included in a list, which shall be drawn up in accordance with Article 11(2).

When it concerns medicinal products for human use, the fee shall be **not more than** 232 000 Euro.

When it concerns veterinary medicinal products, the fee shall be **not more than** 116 000 Euro.

When it concerns the evaluation of traditional herbal medicinal products, the fee shall be not more than 25 000 Euro.

The provisions of Article 3 shall apply to any scientific opinion for the evaluation of medicinal products for human use intended exclusively for markets outside the Community pursuant to Article 58 of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004.

A reduced scientific service fee falling within the range of 2 500 Euro to 200 000 Euro shall apply for certain scientific opinions or services concerning medicinal products for human use.

A reduced scientific service fee falling within the range of 2 500 Euro to 100 000 Euro shall apply for certain scientific opinions or services concerning veterinary medicinal products.

A reduced scientific service fee falling within the range of 2 500 Euro to 25 000 Euro shall apply for certain scientific opinions or services concerning traditional herbal medicinal products.

The scientific opinions or services referred to in the sixth, **seventh and eighth** subparagraph shall be included in a list, which shall be drawn up in accordance with Article 11(2).

P6_TA(2005)0346

Protocol to the EEC-Comoros Agreement on tuna fishing *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a Council regulation on the conclusion of the Protocol setting out the tuna fishing opportunities and financial contribution provided for in the Agreement between the European Economic Community and the Islamic Federal Republic of the Comoros on fishing off the Comoros for the period from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2010 (COM(2005)0187 — C6-0154/2005 — 2005/0092(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

— having regard to the proposal for a Council regulation (COM(2005)0187) ⁽¹⁾,

— having regard to Articles 37 and 300(2) of the EC Treaty,

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

- having regard to Article 300(3), first subparagraph, of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0154/2005),
 - having regard to Rules 51 and 83(7) of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Fisheries and the opinions of the Committee on Budgets and the Committee on Development (A6-0260/2005),
1. Approves the proposal for a Council regulation as amended and approves conclusion of the protocol;
 2. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission, and the governments and parliaments of the Member States and the Union of the Comoros.

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COMMISSION

AMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 1

Citation 3 a (new)

— ***Having regard to the Council Conclusions of 19 July 2004 on Fisheries Partnership Agreements,***

Amendment 2

Recital 2 a (new)

(2a) It is important to improve the information provided to the European Parliament. To this end, the Commission should draw up an annual report on the implementation of the agreement.

Amendment 3

Article 3 a (new)

Article 3a

During the final year of the Protocol's validity and before another agreement is concluded on its renewal, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and the Council a report on the application of the agreement.

Amendment 4

Article 3 b (new)

Article 3b

On the basis of the report referred to in Article 3a and after consulting the European Parliament, the Council shall, where appropriate, grant the Commission a negotiating mandate with a view to the adoption of a new protocol.

Amendment 5

Article 3 c (new)

Article 3c

The Commission shall forward to the European Parliament and the Council a copy of the multiannual sectoral programme and its implementing rules which the Comorian authorities are to provide in accordance with Article 7(2) of the Protocol.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COMMISSIONAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 6

*Article 3 d (new)***Article 3d**

When the first meeting of the Joint Committee provided for in Article 7(1) of the Agreement is held, the Commission shall inform the Comorian authorities of the attendance of shipowners' representatives at subsequent meetings of the Joint Committee.

P6_TA(2005)0347

Request for waiver of the immunity of Mr Marios Matsakis**European Parliament decision on the request for waiver of the immunity of Marios Matsakis (2004/2194(IMM))***The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the request for waiver of the immunity of Marios Matsakis, forwarded by the Attorney-General of the Republic of Cyprus on 20 September 2004 and announced in plenary sitting on 13 October 2004,
- having regard to the letter, announced at the plenary sitting of 14 October 2004, in which Marios Matsakis challenged the Attorney-General's authority to make such a request for waiver of his immunity,
- having regard to the two letters confirming the Attorney-General's authority to make a request for waiver of the immunity of a Cypriot MEP, sent by the Permanent Representative of the Republic of Cyprus on 13 October 2004 and on 10 February 2005 to the President of the European Parliament,
- having regard to the letter sent by the President of the Supreme Court of Cyprus on 16 June 2005,
- having heard Marios Matsakis in accordance with Rule 7(3) of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to Article 10 of the Protocol of 8 April 1965 on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Communities and Article 6(2) of the Act of 20 September 1976 concerning the election of the members of the European Parliament by direct universal suffrage,
- having regard to the judgments of 12 May 1964 and 10 July 1986 ⁽¹⁾ of the Court of Justice of the European Communities,
- having regard to Articles 83 and 113 of the Constitution of the Republic of Cyprus,
- having regard to Rules 6(2) and 7, in particular paragraph 4 thereof, of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs (A6-0268/2005),

1. Takes note that, according to Articles 83 and 113 of the Constitution of the Republic of Cyprus, the Attorney-General of the Republic of Cyprus is the competent authority to present a request for the waiver of a Member's immunity;

⁽¹⁾ Case 101/63 *Wagner v Fohrmann and Krier* [1964] ECR 195 and Case 149/85 *Wybot v Faure and others* [1986] ECR 2391.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

2. Decides to waive the immunity of Marios Matsakis subject to the condition that such waiver shall apply solely to the prosecution proceedings in hand and that, until such time as a final sentence is passed in those proceedings, Marios Matsakis shall be immune from any form of detention or remand or any other measure which might prevent him from performing the duties attaching to his mandate as a Member of the European Parliament;
3. Instructs its President to forward this decision and the report of its committee responsible to the Attorney-General of the Republic of Cyprus.

P6_TA(2005)0348

Data retention for combating crime, including terrorism *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the initiative by the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom for a Draft Framework Decision on the retention of data processed and stored in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or data on public communications networks for the purpose of prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of crime and criminal offences including terrorism (8958/2004 — C6-0198/2004 — 2004/0813(CNS))

(Consultation procedure)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the initiative by the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom (8958/2004) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Article 34(2)(b) of the EU Treaty,
 - having regard to Article 39(1) of the EU Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0198/2004),
 - having regard to the opinion of the Committee on Legal Affairs on the proposed legal basis,
 - having regard to Rules 93, 51 and 35 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (A6-0174/2005),
1. Rejects the initiative by the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom;
 2. Calls on the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom to withdraw their initiative;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission, and the governments of the French Republic, Ireland, the Kingdom of Sweden and the United Kingdom.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0349

Procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status *

European Parliament legislative resolution on the amended proposal for a Council directive on minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status (14203/2004 — C6-0200/2004 — 2000/0238(CNS))

(Consultation procedure — renewed consultation)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Council draft (14203/2004) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to the amended Commission proposal to the Council (COM(2002)0326) ⁽²⁾,
 - having regard to its position of 20 September 2001 ⁽³⁾,
 - having regard to Article 63(1)(1)(d) of the EC Treaty,
 - having regard to Article 67 of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Council consulted Parliament (C6-0200/2004),
 - having regard to Rules 51, 41(4) and 55(3) of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and the opinions of the Committee on Development and the Committee on Legal Affairs (A6-0222/2005),
1. Approves the Council proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to alter its proposal accordingly, pursuant to Article 250(2) of the EC Treaty;
 3. Calls on the Council to notify Parliament if it intends to depart from the text approved by Parliament;
 4. Reserves the right to bring an action before the Court of Justice in order to seek verification of the legality of the proposal and of the compatibility thereof with fundamental rights;
 5. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCIL

AMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 1

Recital 1 a (new)

(1a) Every Member State should have a comprehensive national legal framework on asylum that respects at least the basic protection provided under international asylum law.

Amendment 2

Recital 2

(2) The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as supplemented by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967 ('Geneva Convention'), thus affirming the principle of non-refoulement and ensuring that nobody is sent back to **persecution**.

(2) The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as supplemented by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967 ('Geneva Convention'), thus affirming the principle of non-refoulement and ensuring that nobody is sent back to **countries or territories where their life or freedom could be at risk**.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 291 E, 26.11.2002, p. 143.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 77 E, 28.3.2002, p. 115.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 3

Recital 3 a (new)

(3a) The European Council, at its meeting in Den Haag on 4 and 5 November 2004, confirmed the approach adopted in Tampere and agreed on the establishment by 2010 of a common asylum procedure and uniform status for those who are granted asylum or subsidiary protection.

Amendment 4

Recital 5

(5) The main objective of this Directive is to introduce a minimum framework in the European Community on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status.

(5) The main objective of this Directive is to introduce a minimum framework in the European Community on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status, **ensuring that no Member State expels or returns an applicant for asylum in any manner whatsoever to the border of a territory where his life or freedom would be threatened on account of his race, sex, religion, nationality, language, sexual orientation, membership of a particular social group or minority, or political opinion, in accordance with international standards, in particular the Geneva Convention and the Tampere Conclusions on asylum.**

Amendment 5

Recital 8

(8) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

(8) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, **as general principles of Community law, and by all existing international obligations, in particular the Geneva Convention.**

Amendment 6

Recital 9

(9) With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party and which prohibit discrimination.

(9) With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party and which prohibit **all forms of** discrimination.

Amendment 7

Recital 11

(11) It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for asylum to decide as soon as possible on applications for asylum. The organisation of the processing of applications for asylum is left to the discretion of Member States, so that they may, in accordance with their national needs, prioritise or accelerate the processing of any application, taking into account the standards in this Directive.

(11) It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for asylum to decide as soon as possible on applications for asylum, **and there therefore need to be prompt and efficient procedures which should not take longer than six months.** The organisation of the processing of applications for asylum is left to the discretion of Member States, so that they may, in accordance with their national needs, prioritise or accelerate the processing of any application, taking into account the standards in this Directive.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 8

Recital 13

(13) In the interests of a correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention, every applicant should, **subject to certain exceptions**, have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to co-operate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and **sufficient** procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case at and throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for asylum is examined should **normally** provide an applicant at least with a right to stay pending a decision by the determining authority, access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) or with any organisation working on its behalf, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at decisive moments in the course of the procedure, in a language he/she **can reasonably be supposed to understand**.

(13) In the interests of a correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention, every applicant should have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to co-operate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case at and throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for asylum is examined should provide an applicant at least with a right to stay pending a decision by the determining authority, access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) or with any organisation working on its behalf, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at decisive moments in the course of the procedure, in a language he/she **understands**.

(The replacement of 'can reasonably be supposed to understand' by 'understands' or 'understand' applies throughout the text.)

Amendment 9

Recital 14

(14) In addition, specific procedural guarantees for unaccompanied **minors** should be laid down, because of their vulnerability. In this context, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States.

(14) In addition, specific procedural guarantees for unaccompanied **children** should be laid down, because of their vulnerability. In this context, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States **throughout the whole asylum procedure, in accordance with Article 3 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)**.

(The replacement of 'minor' or 'minors' by 'child' or 'children' applies throughout the text.)

Amendment 10

Recital 16

(16) Many asylum applications are made at the border or in a transit zone of a Member State prior to a decision on the entry of the applicant. Member States should be able to keep existing procedures adapted to the specific situation of these applicants at the border. **Common rules should be defined on possible exceptions made in these circumstances to the guarantees normally enjoyed by applicants**. Border procedures should mainly apply to those applicants who do not meet the conditions for entry into the territory of the Member States.

(16) Many asylum applications are made at the border or in a transit zone of a Member State prior to a decision on the entry of the applicant. Member States should be able to keep existing procedures adapted to the specific situation of these applicants at the border. Border procedures should mainly apply to those applicants who do not meet the conditions for entry into the territory of the Member States.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENTAmendment 11
Recital 17 a (new)

(17a) Trafficking is one of the main ways for applicants to enter the Member States. Bearing in mind the need to take account of their best interests, asylum applicants should not be discriminated against in any way in their application for having entered the Member State in such a manner.

Amendment 12
Recital 18

(18) Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established.

(18) Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established, **and it must be ensured that evaluation and implementation are carried out correctly and efficiently.**

Amendment 13
Recital 19

(19) Where the Council has satisfied itself that those criteria are met in relation to a particular country of origin, and has consequently included it in the **minimum** common list of safe countries of origin to be adopted pursuant to this Directive, Member States **should be obliged to** consider applications of persons with the nationality of that country, or of stateless persons formerly habitually resident in that country, on the basis of the rebuttable presumption of the safety of that country. In the light of the political importance of the designation of safe countries of origin, in particular in view of the implications of an assessment of the human rights situation in a country of origin and its implications for the policies of the European Union in the field of external relations, the Council should take any decisions on the establishment or amendment of the list, **after consultation of the European Parliament.**

(19) Where the Council has satisfied itself that those criteria are met in relation to a particular country of origin, and has consequently included it in the common list of safe countries of origin to be adopted pursuant to this Directive, Member States **may** consider applications of persons with the nationality of that country, or of stateless persons formerly habitually resident in that country, on the basis of the rebuttable presumption of the safety of that country. In the light of the political importance of the designation of safe countries of origin, in particular in view of the implications of an assessment of the human rights situation in a country of origin and its implications for the policies of the European Union in the field of external relations, the Council, **in co-decision with the European Parliament,** should take any decisions on the establishment or amendment of the list.

Amendment 14
Recital 20

(20) It results from the status of Bulgaria and Romania as candidate countries for the accession to the European Union and the progress made by these countries for membership that they should be regarded as constituting safe countries of origin for the purposes of this Directive until the date of their accession to the European Union.

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 15

Recital 21

(21) The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant shows that there are serious reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.

(21) The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country, **including adherence to the rules of international law on human rights, fundamental freedoms and refugee protection**, and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant shows that there are serious reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.

Amendment 16

Recital 22

(22) Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies as a refugee in accordance with Council Directive 2004/83/EC on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as refugees or as persons who otherwise need international protection and the content of the protection granted, except where **this Directive provides otherwise, in particular where it can be reasonably assumed** that another country **would** do the examination **or** provide **sufficient** protection. Especially, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise **sufficient** protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country.

(22) Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies as a refugee in accordance with Council Directive 2004/83/EC on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as refugees or as persons who otherwise need international protection and the content of the protection granted, except where **it is established** that another country **is competent to** do the examination **and can** provide **effective, equivalent and adequate** protection **in accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 343/2003 of 18 February 2003 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an asylum application lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national** ⁽¹⁾. Especially, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise **effective** protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 50, 25.2.2003, p. 1.

(The replacement of 'sufficient protection' by 'effective protection' applies throughout the text.)

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 17

Recital 23

(23) Member States should also not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application where the applicant, due to a connection to a third country as defined by national law, can reasonably be expected to seek protection in that third country. Member States should only proceed on this basis where this particular applicant would be safe in the third country concerned. In the interest of avoiding secondary movements of applicants, common principles for the consideration or designation by Member States of third countries as safe should be established.

deleted

Amendment 18

Recital 24

(24) Furthermore, with respect to certain European third countries, which observe particularly high human rights and refugee protection standards, Member States should be allowed to carry out no or no full examination of asylum applications regarding applicants who enter their territory from such European third countries. Given the potential consequences for the applicant of a restricted or omitted examination, this application of the safe third country concept should be restricted to cases involving third countries with respect to which the Council has satisfied itself that the high standards for the safety of the third country concerned, as set out in this Directive, are fulfilled. The Council should take decisions in this matter after consultation of the European Parliament.

deleted

Amendment 19

Recital 25

(25) It follows from the nature of the common standards concerning **both** safe third country **concepts** as set out in this Directive, that the practical effect of the **concepts** depends on whether the third country in question permits the applicant in question to enter its territory.

(25) It follows from the nature of the common standards concerning **the** safe third country **concept** as set out in this Directive, that the practical effect of the **concept** depends on whether the third country in question permits the applicant in question to enter its territory.

Amendment 20

Recital 26

(26) With respect to the withdrawal of refugee status, Member States shall ensure that the persons benefiting from the refugee status are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can take a motivated decision to withdraw their status. **However, these guarantees can be dispensed with where the reasons for the cessation of the refugee status is not related to a change of the conditions on which the recognition was based.**

(26) With respect to the withdrawal of refugee status, Member States shall ensure that the persons benefiting from the refugee status are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can take a motivated decision to withdraw their status.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 21

Recital 27

(27) It reflects a basic principle of Community law that the decisions taken on an application for asylum and on the withdrawal of a refugee status must be subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal in the meaning of Article 234 of the Treaty establishing the European Community. ***The effectiveness of the remedy, also with regard to the examination of the relevant facts, depends on the administrative and judicial system of each Member State seen as a whole.***

(27) It reflects a basic principle of Community law that the decisions taken on an application for asylum and on the withdrawal of a refugee status must be subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal in the meaning of Article 234 of the Treaty establishing the European Community. ***Decisions taken on an application for asylum should be subject to an appeal consisting of an examination of both facts and points of law by a court of law. The applicant should be entitled not to be expelled until a court has ruled on the right to remain pending the outcome of that appeal.***

Amendment 22

Recital 28

(28) ***In accordance with Article 64 of the Treaty establishing the European Community, this Directive does not affect the exercise of the responsibilities incumbent upon Member States with regard to the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal security.***

deleted

Amendment 23

Recital 29 a (new)

(29a) Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data ⁽¹⁾ and on the free movement of such data should apply to personal data treated in application of this Directive. Directive 95/46/EC should also apply to the transmission of data from Member States to the UNHCR in the exercise of its mandate under the Geneva Convention. This transmission is subject to an adequate level of personal data protection in the UNHCR.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31.

Amendment 24

Recital 29 b (new)

(29b) Member States should provide for penalties in the event of infringement of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive.

Amendment 25

Article 1

The purpose of this Directive is to establish minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status.

The purpose of this Directive is to establish minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status ***which are in accordance with the Geneva Convention and Directive 2004/83/EC.***

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 26

Article 1 a (new)

Article 1a**Observance of international obligations and fundamental rights**

The Directive respects all the existing international obligations of Member States and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, especially Article 18, as general principles of Community law.

Amendment 27

Article 2, point (e)

(e) 'Determining authority' means any **quasi-judicial** or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for asylum and competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases, subject to Annex I;

(e) 'Determining authority' means any **judicial** or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for asylum and competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases, subject to Annex I;

Amendment 28

Article 2, point (g)

(g) 'Refugee Status' means the recognition by a Member State **of a third country national or stateless person** as a refugee;

(g) 'Refugee Status' means the recognition by a Member State **of such status granted to the applicant**;

Amendment 29

Article 2, point (h)

(h) 'Unaccompanied **minor**' means a person below the age of eighteen who arrives in the territory of the Member States unaccompanied by an adult responsible for him/her whether by law or by custom, and for as long as he/she is not effectively taken into the care of such a person; it includes a minor who is left unaccompanied after he/she has entered the territory of the Member States;

(h) 'Unaccompanied **child**' or '**separated child**' means a person below the age of eighteen who arrives in the territory of the Member States unaccompanied by an adult responsible for him/her whether by law or by custom, and for as long as he/she is not effectively taken into the care of such a person; it includes a minor who is left unaccompanied after he/she has entered the territory of the Member States; '**unaccompanied child**' refers to a child who has been separated from both parents and other relatives or legal or customary guardians; '**separated child**' refers to a child who is accompanied by an adult who is unwilling or unable to assume responsibility for long-term care of the child.

Amendment 30

Article 3, paragraph 1a (new)

1a. This Directive shall be implemented and transposed into national legislation with due respect for the fundamental human rights and principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union as general principles of Community law. International law and United Nations agreements shall be observed.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 31

Article 3, paragraph 1b (new)

1b. This Directive shall be implemented and transposed into national legislation with due respect for all the existing international obligations of the EU and its Member States and, in particular, the Geneva Convention and partnership and cooperation agreements concluded with third countries.

Amendment 32

Article 3, paragraph 1c (new)

1c. This Directive shall be applied without discrimination of any form in accordance with Article 13 of the Treaty and international conventions on human rights and refugee protection.

Amendment 33

Article 3A, paragraph 1, subparagraph 1

1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of the applications in accordance with the provisions of this Directive, in particular **Articles 7(2) and 8**.

1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of the applications in accordance with the provisions of this Directive, in particular **Articles 7(2), 8 and 10(1)**.

Amendment 34

Article 3A, paragraph 2, point (b)

(b) taking a decision on the application in the light of national security provisions, provided a determining authority is consulted prior to this decision as to whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC;

(b) taking a decision on the application in the light of national security provisions, **whilst respecting international conventions, the Charter of Fundamental Rights and personal freedoms**, provided a determining authority is consulted prior to this decision as to whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC;

Amendment 35

Article 3 a, paragraph 2, point (e)

(e) refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in **Article 35(2) to (5)**, subject to the conditions and as set out in **these paragraphs**;

(e) refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in **Article 35**, subject to the conditions and as set out in **that Article**;

Amendment 36

Article 3 a, paragraph 2, point (f)

(f) **establishing that an applicant is seeking to enter or has entered in the Member State from a safe third country pursuant to Article 35A, subject to the conditions and as set out in this Article.**

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 37

Article 3 a, paragraph 3

3. Member States shall ensure that where authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, the personnel of such authorities have the appropriate knowledge **or receive the necessary** training to fulfil their obligations when implementing this Directive.

3. Member States shall ensure that where authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, the personnel of such authorities have the appropriate knowledge **and** training to fulfil their obligations when implementing this Directive.

Amendment 38

*Article 4 a (new)***Article 4a****Protection from expulsion or return**

No Member State shall expel or return an applicant for asylum to a territory where his or her life or freedom would be threatened on account of his or her race, sex, religion, nationality, language, sexual orientation, membership of a particular social group or minority, or political opinion, or where he or she faces a real risk of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment.

Amendment 39

Article 5, paragraph 1

1. Member States may require that applications for asylum be made in person and/or at a designated place.

1. Member States may require that applications for asylum be made in person and/or at a designated place. **In specific circumstances, Member States shall allow an application to be submitted on behalf of an applicant by his legal representative.**

Amendment 40

Article 5, paragraph 3 a (new)

3a. Applications from unaccompanied children and other persons in a particularly vulnerable situation shall be considered and decided on a priority basis and in compliance with the relevant formal and material requirements. Priority shall also be given to the consideration and deciding of manifestly well-founded claims.

Amendment 41

Article 5, paragraph 3 b (new)

3b. Where dependent adults consent to an application's being lodged on their behalf, consistent with Article 3 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the best interests of the child shall be taken into account throughout the whole asylum procedure.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 42

Article 5, paragraph 4, introductory part

4. Member States may determine, in national legislation

4. Member States may determine, **provided they act in accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child**, in national legislation

Amendment 43

Article 5, paragraph 4, point (c)

(c) **the cases in which the lodging of an application for asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for asylum for any unmarried minor.**

deleted

Amendment 44

Article 5, paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Member States shall ensure that each person who wishes to make an asylum application promptly receives exhaustive information about the procedure and his/her rights and obligations, in his/her own language.

Amendment 45

Article 6, paragraph 1

1. Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State, **for the sole purpose of the procedure, until such time as the determining authority has made a decision in accordance with the procedures at first instance set out in Chapter III.** This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.1. Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State **in which the application for asylum has been made or is being examined until a final decision has been reached and the appeals procedure exhausted.** This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.

Amendment 46

Article 6, paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. Member States may derogate from paragraph 1 only when it has been established that the request is manifestly unfounded or clearly abusive. In such cases, a court of law or other independent authority shall review and confirm the denial of suspensive effect, based on a review of the facts and the likelihood of success on appeal.

Amendment 47

Article 7, paragraph 1

1. Without prejudice to Article 23(4)(i), Member States shall ensure that applications for asylum are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the **sole ground** that they have not been made as soon as possible.1. Without prejudice to Article 23(4)(i), Member States shall ensure that applications for asylum are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the **grounds** that they have not been made as soon as possible.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 48

Article 7, paragraph 2, point (a)

(a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially;

(a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially **in accordance with this Directive and international human rights and refugee law**;

Amendment 49

Article 7, paragraph 2, point (b)

(b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as information from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), as to the general situation prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants for asylum **and, where necessary, in countries through which they have transited**, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and taking decisions;

(b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as information from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) **and other civil society organisations working in the applicants' countries of origin**, as to the general **civil, legal and political** situation, **particularly with regard to respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms**, prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants for asylum, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and taking decisions;

Amendment 50

Article 7, paragraph 2, point (c)

(c) the personnel examining applications and taking the decisions have the knowledge with respect to relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law.

(c) the personnel examining applications and taking the decisions have the knowledge, **training and instructions** with respect to relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law.

Amendment 51

Article 7, paragraph 4

4. Member States **may** provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.

4. Member States **shall** provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.

Amendments 52 and 53

Article 8, paragraph 1

1. Member States shall ensure that decisions on applications for asylum are given in writing.

1. Member States shall ensure that **all** decisions on applications for asylum are given in writing, **in a language which the applicant understands**.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 54

Article 8, paragraph 2, subparagraph 2

Member States need not state the reasons for not granting the refugee status in the decision where the applicant is granted a status, which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC. In these cases, Member States shall ensure that the reasons for not granting the refugee status are stated in the applicant's file, and that the applicant has, upon request, access to his/her file.

Member States need not state the reasons for not granting the refugee status in the decision where the applicant is granted a status, which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC. In these cases, Member States shall ensure that the reasons for not granting the refugee status are stated in the applicant's file, and that the applicant **or his/her lawyer or legal representative** has, upon request, access to his/her file.

Amendment 55

Article 8, paragraph 2, subparagraph 3

Moreover, Member States **need not** provide information on how to challenge a negative decision in writing in conjunction with that decision **where the applicant has been informed at an earlier stage either in writing or by electronic means accessible to the applicant of how to challenge such a decision.**

Moreover, Member States **shall** provide information on how to challenge a negative decision in writing in conjunction with that decision.

Amendment 57

Article 9, paragraph 1, point (b)

(b) they must receive the services of **an** interpreter for submitting their case to the competent authorities whenever necessary. Member States shall **consider it necessary to give these services at least when the determining authority calls upon the applicant to be interviewed** as referred to in Articles 10 and 11 and appropriate communication cannot be ensured without such services. In **this case** and in other cases where the competent authorities call upon the applicant, the services shall be paid for out of public funds;

(b) they must receive the services of **a qualified and impartial** interpreter for submitting their case to the competent authorities whenever necessary. Member States shall **guarantee this service during all personal interviews, appeal hearings and other verbal communications with the competent authorities, in particular** as referred to in Articles 10 and 11 and **when** appropriate communication cannot be ensured without such services. In **these** and in other cases where the competent authorities call upon the applicant, the services shall be paid for out of public funds;

Amendment 58

Article 9, paragraph 1, point (c)

(c) they must **not be denied the** opportunity to communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation working on behalf of the UNHCR in the territory of the Member State **pursuant to an agreement with** that Member State;

(c) they must **be given an effective** opportunity to communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation working on behalf of the UNHCR **or independently with asylum seekers** in the territory of the Member State;

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 59

Article 9, paragraph 1, point (d)

(d) they must be given notice **in reasonable time** of the decision by the determining authority on their application for asylum. If a legal adviser or other counsellor is legally representing the applicant, Member States may choose to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for asylum;

(d) they must be given notice, **within a time-limit which shall not exceed six months**, of the decision by the determining authority on their application for asylum. If a legal adviser or other counsellor is legally representing the applicant, Member States may choose to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for asylum;

Amendment 181

Article 9 a, paragraph 1

1. Member States may impose upon applicants for asylum obligations to cooperate with the competent authorities insofar as these obligations are necessary for the processing of the application.

1. Member States may impose upon applicants for asylum obligations to cooperate with the competent authorities insofar as these obligations are necessary for the processing of the application. **However, under no circumstances shall it be permitted to make use of consulates or diplomatic missions representing the authorities of third countries of which applicants for asylum say they are or are established to be nationals for purposes of verifying the applicants' nationality.**

Amendment 60

Article 9 a, paragraph 2, point (d)

(d) the competent authorities may **search** the applicant and the items he/she carries with him/her;

(d) the competent authorities may **ascertain that** the applicant **does not constitute a danger** and **check** the items he/she carries with him/her;

Amendment 61

Article 10, paragraph 1, subparagraph 1

1. Before a decision is taken by the determining authority, the applicant for asylum shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview on his/her application for asylum with a person **competent** under national law to conduct such an interview.

1. Before a decision is taken by the determining authority, the applicant for asylum shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview, **if necessary in the presence of an interpreter and the applicant's lawyer or legal representative**, on his/her application for asylum with a person **professionally appropriate and qualified** under national law **governing the procedures concerning right of asylum and refugees** to conduct such an interview. **In the case of children or persons with physical or mental limitations and pregnant women or victims of sexual violence, specific procedural guarantees shall be provided and, if necessary, use shall be made of specifically qualified professionals.**

Amendment 62

Article 10, paragraph 1, subparagraph 2

Member States may also give the opportunity of a personal interview to each adult among the dependants referred to in Article 5(3).

The dependants referred to in Article 5(3) **shall also have the right to a personal interview.**

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 63

Article 10, paragraph 1, subparagraph 3

Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a **minor** shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.

Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a **child** shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview, **taking into account the individual's level of maturity and any psychological trauma he/she has endured. The interviewer shall bear in mind that the child's knowledge of conditions in the country of origin may, owing to his/her age, be limited.**

Amendment 64

Article 10, paragraph 2, point (a a) (new)

(aa) the competent authority is not able to conduct the interview, because the applicant has, without good reasons, not complied with invitations to appear;

Amendment 65

Article 10, paragraph 2, point (a b) (new)

(ab) the person has a mental or emotional disturbance which impedes a normal examination of his/her case;

Amendment 66

Article 10, paragraph 2, point (b)

(b) the competent authority has already had a meeting with the applicant for the purpose of assisting him/her with filling his/her application and submitting the essential information regarding the application, in terms of Article 4(2) of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; or

deleted

Amendment 67

Article 10, paragraph 2, point (c)

(c) the determining authority, on the basis of a complete examination of information provided by the applicant, considers the application as unfounded in the cases where the circumstances mentioned in Article 23(4)(a), (c), (g), (h) and (j) apply.

deleted

Amendment 68

Article 10, paragraph 3

3. The personal interview may also be omitted, where it is not reasonably practicable, in particular where the competent authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, Member States may require a medical or psychological certificate.

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Where the Member State does not provide the opportunity for a personal interview pursuant to this paragraph, or where applicable, to the dependant, reasonable efforts must be made to allow the applicant or the dependant to submit further information.

Amendment 69

Article 10, paragraph 3 a (new)

3a. Member States shall ensure that an applicant who cannot attend or complete a personal interview owing to the state of his/her physical and/or psychological health, physical or mental disability, or particular emotional disturbance, is given specific attention in order to safeguard the fairness of the proceedings.

Amendment 70

Article 10, paragraph 4

4. The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for asylum.

4. The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for asylum **if the absence is for reasons connected with paragraphs 2(aa) and (ab) and 3a of this Article and Articles 20(1) and 23(4)(a), (c), (h), and (j).**

Amendment 71

Article 10, paragraph 5

5. The absence of a personal interview **pursuant to paragraph 2(b) and (c) and paragraph 3** shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.

5. The absence of a personal interview shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority. **In such cases, each person must be given the opportunity to be represented, by a guardian or a legal representative in the case of children, or a counsellor or legal adviser as appropriate.**

Amendment 72

Article 10, paragraph 6

6. Irrespective of Article 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the personal interview, unless he or she had good reasons for the failure to appear.

6. Irrespective of Article 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the personal interview, unless he or she had good reasons for the failure to appear, **or that the interview did not take place or was terminated on account of the state of the applicant's psychological and/or physical health.**

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 73

Article 11, paragraph 3, point (a)

(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview **is sufficiently competent to take account** of the personal or general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin or vulnerability, **insofar as it is possible to do so**, and

(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview **and the interpreter have received the appropriate training and have appropriate professional competence and the ability to make a fair and accurate assessment** of the personal or general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin or vulnerability, and

Amendment 74

Article 11, paragraph 3, point (b)

(b) select an interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication need not necessarily take place in the language preferred by the applicant for asylum if there is another language which he/she **may reasonably be supposed to understand** and in **which he/she** is able to communicate in.

(b) select an interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication need not necessarily take place in the language preferred by the applicant for asylum if there is another language which he/she **understands** and is able to communicate in.

Amendment 75

Article 11, paragraph 4

4. Member States **may** provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at the personal interview.

4. Member States **shall** provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at the personal interview, **provided such rules are in accordance with international standards**.

Amendment 76

Article 12, paragraph 1

1. Member States shall ensure that a written report is made of every personal interview, containing **at least the essential** information regarding the application, as presented by the applicant, in terms of Article 4(2) of Council Directive 2004/83/EC.

1. Member States shall ensure that a written report is made of every personal interview, containing the information regarding the application as presented by the applicant, in terms of Article 4(2) of Council Directive 2004/83/EC.

Amendment 77

Article 12, paragraph 2

2. Member States shall ensure that applicants have timely access to the report of the personal interview. Where access is only granted after the decision of the determining authority, Member States shall ensure that access is possible as soon as necessary for allowing an appeal to be prepared and lodged in due time.

2. Member States shall ensure that applicants have timely access to the report of the personal interview **in a language they understand or in another form considered appropriate**. Where access is only granted after the decision of the determining authority, Member States shall ensure that access is possible as soon as necessary for allowing an appeal to be prepared and lodged in due time.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 78

Article 12, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1

3. Member states **may** request **the applicant's approval on** the contents of the report of the personal interview.

3. Member States **shall** request **that the applicant verify** the contents of the report of the personal interview, **in order to avoid misunderstandings or contradictions or invalidation of the application at a later date.**

Amendment 79

Article 12, paragraph 3, subparagraph 2

Where an applicant refuses to **approve** the contents of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.

Where an applicant refuses to **verify** the contents of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.

Amendments 80 and 81

Article 12, paragraph 3, subparagraph 3

The refusal of an applicant to **approve** the contents of the report of the personal interview **shall** not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on his/her application.

Approval of the asylum applicant shall be requested. The refusal of an applicant to **verify** the contents of the report of the personal interview **may** not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on his/her application. **However, the applicant's refusal to verify the contents of the report shall be taken into account when those contents are considered.**

Amendment 82

Article 13, paragraph 1

1. **Member States shall allow** applicants for asylum **at their own cost** the opportunity to consult in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications.

1. Applicants for asylum **shall be given** the opportunity to consult in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications **at all stages of the procedure, including following a negative decision.**

Amendment 83

Article 13, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1, introductory part

3. **Member States may provide in their national legislation that free legal assistance and/or representation be granted:**

3. **Where the applicant has insufficient resources to pay for assistance provided by a legal advisor or other counsellor, as referred to in paragraph 1, Member States shall ensure that such assistance is provided free of charge or at least in accordance with national rules on legal aid or financial assistance. Member States shall also ensure that any such assistance is equivalent to that which is available to their own nationals in legal or administrative procedures.**

Amendment 84

Article 13, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1, point (a)

(a) **only for the procedures before a court or tribunal in accordance with Chapter V and not to any onward appeals or reviews provided for under national law, including a rehearing of an appeal following an onward appeal or review; and/or**

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 85

Article 13, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1, point (b)

(b) *only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or* **deleted**

Amendment 86

Article 13, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1, point (c)

(c) *only to legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for asylum; and/or* **deleted**

Amendment 87

Article 13, paragraph 3, subparagraph 1, point (d), and subparagraph 2

(d) *only if the appeal or review is likely to succeed.* **deleted**

Member States shall ensure that legal assistance and/or representation granted under subparagraph (d) is not arbitrarily restricted

Amendment 88

Article 13, paragraph 4

4. *Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing such requests may be provided by Member States.* **deleted**

Amendment 89

Article 13, paragraph 5, point (a)

(a) *impose monetary and/or time limits on the provision of free legal assistance and/or representation* provided that such limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to legal assistance and/or representation. **deleted**

(a) **limit the amount** of legal assistance **to the average costs of legal assistance for each relevant step in the asylum procedure** provided that such limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to legal assistance and/or representation.

Amendment 90

Article 14, paragraph 1, subparagraph 1

1. Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law who assists or represents an applicant for asylum under the terms of national law shall enjoy access to such information in the applicant's file **as is liable to be examined by the authorities referred to in Chapter V, insofar as the information is relevant to the examination of the application.**

1. Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law who assists or represents an applicant for asylum under the terms of national law shall enjoy access to information in the applicant's file.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 91

Article 14, paragraph 1, subparagraph 2

Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or persons providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications of asylum by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, access to the information or sources in question must be available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V, except where such access is precluded in national security cases.

Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or persons providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications of asylum by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, access to the information or sources in question must be available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V, except where such access is precluded in **clearly defined** national security cases.

Amendment 92

Article 14, paragraph 2

2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant. Member States may only limit the possibility to visit applicants in closed areas where such limitation is, by virtue of national legislation, objectively necessary for **the** security, public order **or administrative management of the area or to ensure an efficient examination of the application**, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible.

2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has **full** access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant. Member States may only limit the possibility to visit applicants in closed areas where such limitation is, by virtue of national legislation, objectively necessary for security **and** public order, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible **and that, in any event, the letter and case-law of the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms are fully respected.**

Amendment 93

Article 15, paragraph 1, point (b)

(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied **minor** about the meaning and possible consequences of the personal interview and, where appropriate, how to prepare himself/herself for the personal interview. Member States shall allow the representative to be present at that interview and to ask questions or make comments, **within the framework set by the person who conducts the interview.**

(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied **child** about the meaning and possible consequences of the personal interview and, where appropriate, how to prepare himself/herself for the personal interview. Member States shall allow the representative to be present at that interview and to ask questions or make comments.

Amendment 94

Article 15, paragraph 2, point (a)

(a) **will in all likelihood reach the age of maturity before a decision at first instance is taken; or**

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 95

Article 15, paragraph 2, point (c)

*(c) is married or has been married.***deleted**

Amendment 96

Article 15, paragraph 3

3. Member States may, in accordance with laws and regulations in force at the time of the adoption of this Directive, also refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor is 16 years old or older, unless he/she is unable to pursue his/her application without a representative.

deleted

Amendment 97

Article 15, paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Persons claiming to be children shall be provisionally treated as such, until their age has been determined.

Amendment 98

Article 15, paragraph 6

6. The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for Member States when implementing the provisions of this **Article**.

6. The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for Member States when implementing the provisions of this **Directive**.

Amendment 99

Article 17

1. Member States shall not hold **a person** in detention **for the sole reason that he/she is an applicant for asylum**.

1. **In principle**, Member States shall not hold **applicants for asylum** in detention **or in a closed reception centre**. **Alternatives to detention and non-custodial measures must always be considered before resorting to detention**.

2. **Where an applicant for asylum is held in detention, Member States shall ensure that there is the possibility of speedy judicial review**.

2. **No applicant for asylum may be detained unless it has been established that the detention is necessary, lawful and justified on one of the grounds recognised as legitimate by international standards**. **Applicants for asylum may only be detained in facilities clearly distinct from prisons**.

2a. **Access to effective legal assistance, the services of competent, qualified and impartial interpreters and to qualified medical personnel shall be granted to applicants for asylum on a systematic basis**.

2b. **Persons deprived of their liberty shall be given an adequate opportunity to have their detention reviewed as regards both its legality and its necessity, by means of a prompt, fair, individual hearing before a judicial or other similar authority the status and tenure of which afford the strongest possible guarantees of competence, impartiality and independence**.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

2c. Unaccompanied children may not be detained on the ground of their immigration status. Alternative measures to detention must be considered in the case of persons belonging to vulnerable categories, such as unaccompanied elderly persons, victims of torture or trauma, and persons with a mental or physical disability. As a general rule, Member States shall ensure that pregnant women in the final months of pregnancy and women who are breast-feeding are not detained.

Amendment 100

Article 20, paragraph 1, subparagraph 1

1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for asylum has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision **either to discontinue the examination or to reject the application on the basis that the applicant has not established an entitlement to refugee status in accordance with Council Directive 2004/83/EC.**

1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for asylum has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to discontinue the examination **and, as a result, to close the file on the applicant.**

Amendment 101

Article 20, paragraph 2, subparagraph 2

Member States may provide for a time limit after which the applicant's case can no longer be reopened.

deleted

Amendment 102

Article 21, paragraph 1

1. Member States **shall** allow the UNHCR:
- (a) to have access to applicants for asylum, including those in detention and in airport or port transit zones;
 - (b) to have access to information on individual applications for asylum, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant for asylum agrees thereto;
 - (c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for asylum at any stage of the procedure.

1. Member States **are obliged to** allow the UNHCR:
- (a) to have access to applicants for asylum, including those in detention and in airport or port transit zones;
 - (b) to have access to information on individual applications for asylum, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant for asylum agrees thereto;
 - (c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for asylum at any stage of the procedure.

Amendment 103

Article 21, paragraph 2

2. Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working **in the territory of the Member State** on behalf of the UNHCR **pursuant to an agreement with that Member State.**

2. Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working on behalf of the UNHCR, **subject to the agreement of the Member State.**

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 104

Article 22, point (a)

(a) **directly** disclose the information regarding individual applications for asylum, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution of the applicant for asylum.

(a) disclose the information regarding individual applications for asylum, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution of the applicant for asylum.

Amendment 105

Article 22, point (b)

(b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution **in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being directly informed of the fact that an application has been made by the applicant in question, and would jeopardise the physical integrity of the applicant and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.**

(b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution.

Amendment 106

Article 23, paragraph 2, subparagraph 1

2. Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination.

2. Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible **and within no later than six months**, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination.

Amendment 107

Article 23, paragraph 2, point (b)

(b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected. **Such information shall not constitute an obligation for the Member State towards the applicant concerned to take a decision within that time frame.**

(b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected, **which shall not exceed three months.**

Amendment 108

Article 23, paragraph 3 a (new)

3a. Member States shall apply the regular procedure to particularly vulnerable persons, including separated children and persons who may have experienced trauma or sexual violence.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 109

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (a)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>(a) the applicant in submitting his/her application and presenting the fact, has only raised issues that are not relevant or of minimal relevance to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; or</p> | <p>(a) the applicant in submitting his/her application and presenting the fact, has only raised issues that are not relevant to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; or</p> |
|---|--|

Amendment 111

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (d)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>(d) the applicant has misled the authorities by presenting false information or documents or by withholding relevant information or documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality that could have had a negative impact on the decision; or</p> | <p>(d) the applicant, with fraudulent intent, has misled the authorities by presenting false documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality; or</p> |
|--|---|

Amendment 112

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (e)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>(e) the applicant has filed another application for asylum stating other personal data; or</p> | <p>(e) the applicant, with fraudulent intent, has filed another application for asylum stating other personal data; or</p> |
|---|---|

Amendment 113

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (f)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>(f) the applicant has not produced information to establish with a reasonable degree of certainty his/her identity or nationality, or, it is likely that, in bad faith, he/she has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or</p> | <p>(f) the applicant, with fraudulent intent, has not produced information to establish with a reasonable degree of certainty his/her identity or nationality, or, it is likely that, in bad faith, he/she has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or</p> |
|--|--|

Amendment 114

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (g)

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| <p>(g) the applicant has made inconsistent, contradictory, unlikely or insufficient representations which make his/her claim clearly unconvincing in relation to his/her having being the object of persecution under Council Directive 2004/83/EC; or</p> | <p>deleted</p> |
|---|-----------------------|

Amendment 115

Article 23, paragraph 4, point (o)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>(o) the application was made by an unmarried minor to whom Article 5(4)(c) applies after the application of the parents or parent responsible for the minor has been rejected by a decision and no relevant new elements were raised with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin.</p> | <p>(o) the application was made by a child to whom Article 5(4)(c) applies after the application of the parents or parent responsible for the child has been rejected by a decision and no relevant new elements were raised with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin.</p> |
|--|---|

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 116

Article 23, paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Member States shall take into consideration complementary/subsidiary protection needs when the procedure has been prioritised or accelerated in accordance with paragraph 4(a) to (o).

Amendment 117

Article 24

*Specific procedures**deleted*

1. Member States may moreover provide for the following specific procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II:

- (a) a preliminary examination for the purpose of processing cases considered within the framework of the provisions set out in Section IV;**
- (b) procedures for the purpose of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section V.**

2. Member States may also provide a derogation in respect of Section VI.

Amendment 118

Article 25, paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. All applications for international protection shall first be assessed on the basis of the definition of refugee contained in the Geneva Convention and, only if those criteria are not fulfilled, on the basis of the requirements for subsidiary protection.

Amendment 119

Article 25, paragraph 2, introductory part

2. Member States may consider an application for asylum as inadmissible pursuant to this Article if:

2. Without prejudice to paragraph 1a, Member States may consider an application for asylum as inadmissible pursuant to this Article if:

Amendment 120

Article 25, paragraph 2, point (c)

(c) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a safe third country for the applicant, pursuant to Article 27;

deleted

Amendment 121

Article 25, paragraph 2, point (f)

(f) the applicant has lodged an identical application after a final decision;

deleted

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 122

Article 25, paragraph 2, point (f a) (new)

(fa) the applicant, when about to be expelled from the territory in which he or she is residing illegally, appeals to be granted asylum.

Amendment 123

Article 27, paragraph 1, introductory part

1. Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where **the competent authorities are satisfied that a person seeking asylum will be treated in accordance with the following principles in the third country concerned:**

1. Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where **the third country fulfils the following criteria:**

Amendment 124

Article 27, paragraph 1, point (a)

(a) **life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;** and

(a) **ratification and implementation in practice of the Geneva Convention and other international human rights treaties, in particular with reference to the principle of non-refoulement;** and

Amendment 125

Article 27, paragraph 1, point (b)

(b) the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is respected; and

(b) the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is **in particular** respected; and

Amendment 126

Article 27, paragraph 2, point (a)

(a) rules requiring a **connection** between the person seeking asylum and the third country concerned based on which it would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;

(a) rules requiring a **meaningful link** between the person seeking asylum and the third country concerned based on which it would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;

Amendment 127

Article 27, paragraph 2, point (c)

(c) rules, in accordance with international law, allowing an individual examination of whether the third country concerned is safe for a particular applicant **which, as a minimum, shall permit the applicant to challenge the application of the safe third country concept on the grounds that he/she would be subjected to torture, cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.**

(c) rules, in accordance with international law **and, specifically, the Geneva Convention**, allowing an individual examination of whether the third country concerned is safe for a particular applicant.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 128

Article 27, paragraph 2, point (c a) (new)

(ca) rules allowing applicants for asylum to rebut the presumption of safety, including in the first instance, even if the asylum application is subject to a prioritised or accelerated procedure.

Amendment 129

Article 27, paragraph 4

4. Where the third country does not permit the applicant for asylum in question to enter its territory, Member States shall ensure that access to **a** procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.

4. Where the third country does not permit the applicant for asylum in question to enter its territory, Member States shall ensure that access to **an asylum** procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.

Amendment 130

Article 29, paragraph -1 (new)

-1. Member States may reject an application for asylum as manifestly unfounded if the competent authority has established that the applicant, in submitting his application and presenting the facts, has only raised issues that are obviously not relevant to the Geneva Convention.

Amendment 131

Article 29, paragraph 2

2. **In the cases mentioned in Article 23(4)(b) and in cases of unfounded applications for asylum in which any of the circumstances listed in Article 23(4)(a) and (c) to (o) apply, Member States may also consider an application, if it is so defined in the national legislation, as manifestly unfounded.**

deleted

Amendment 132

Article 30, title

Minimum common list of third countries as safe countries of origin

Common list of third countries as safe countries of origin

Amendment 133

Article 30, paragraph 1

1. The Council shall, acting **by a qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament**, adopt a **minimum** common list of third countries that **shall** be regarded by Member States as safe countries of origin in accordance with **Annex II**.

1. The Council shall, acting **in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 251 of the Treaty**, adopt a common list of third countries that **may** be regarded by Member States as safe countries of origin in accordance with **Annex B**.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 134

Article 30, paragraph 2

2. The Council may, acting **by a qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament**, amend the **minimum** common list by adding or removing third countries, in accordance with **Annex II**. The Commission shall examine any request made by the Council or **by** a Member State that it submits a proposal to amend **minimum** common list.

2. The Council may, acting **in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 251 of the Treaty**, amend the common list by adding or removing third countries, in accordance with **Annex B**. The Commission shall examine any request made by the **European Parliament, the Council** or a Member State that it submit a proposal to amend the common list.

Amendment 135

Article 30, paragraph 3

3. When making its proposal under paragraphs 1 or 2, the Commission shall make use of information from the Member States, its own information and, **where necessary**, information from UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.

3. When making its proposal under paragraphs 1 or 2, the Commission shall make use of information from the **European Parliament and the** Member States, its own information and information from UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.

Amendment 136

Article 30, paragraph 4

4. Where the Council requests the Commission to submit a proposal for removing a third country from the **minimum** common list, the **obligation** of Member States pursuant to Article 30B(2) shall be suspended with regard to this third country as of the day following the Council decision requesting such a submission.

4. Where **the European Parliament or the** Council requests the Commission to submit a proposal for removing a third country from the common list, the **right** of Member States pursuant to Article 30B(2) shall be suspended with regard to this third country as of the day following the **European Parliament or** Council decision requesting such a submission.

Amendment 137

Article 30, paragraph 5

5. Where a Member State requests the Commission to submit a proposal to the Council for removing a third country from the **minimum** common list, that Member State shall notify the Council in writing of the request made to the Commission. The **obligation** of this Member State pursuant to Article 30B(2) shall be suspended with regard to the third country as of the day following the notification of the request to the Council.

5. Where **the European Parliament or** a Member State requests the Commission to submit a proposal to the Council for removing a third country from the common list, **the European Parliament or** that Member State shall notify the Council in writing of the request made to the Commission. The **right** of this Member State pursuant to Article 30B(2) shall be suspended with regard to the third country as of the day following the notification of the request to the Council.

Amendment 138

Article 30, paragraph 7

7. The suspensions under paragraphs 4 and 5 shall end after three months, unless the Commission makes a proposal, before the end of this period, to withdraw the third country from the **minimum** common list. The suspensions shall end in any case where the Council rejects, a proposal by the Commission to withdraw the third country from the list.

7. The suspensions under paragraphs 4 and 5 shall end after three months, unless the Commission makes a proposal, before the end of this period, to withdraw the third country from the common list. The suspensions shall end in any case where the **European Parliament or the** Council rejects a proposal by the Commission to withdraw the third country from the list.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENTAmendment 139
Article 30, paragraph 8

8. Upon request by the Council, the Commission shall report to the Council and the European Parliament on whether the situation of a country on the **minimum** common list is still in conformity with **Annex II**. When presenting its report to the Council and the European Parliament, the Commission may make such recommendations or proposals as it deems appropriate.

8. Upon request by the **European Parliament or the Council**, the Commission shall report to the Council and the European Parliament on whether the situation of a country on the common list is still in conformity with **Annex B**. When presenting its report to the Council and the European Parliament, the Commission may make such recommendations or proposals as it deems appropriate.

Amendments 140 and 189
*Article 30 a**Article 30a****National designation of third countries as safe countries of origin****deleted*

1. *Without prejudice to Article 30, Member States may retain or introduce legislation that allows, in accordance with Annex II, for the national designation of third countries other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purpose of examining applications for asylum. This may include designation of part of a country as safe where the conditions in Annex II are fulfilled in relation to that part.*

2. *By derogation to paragraph 1, Member States may retain legislation in force at the time of adoption of this Directive that allows for the national designation of third countries, other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for asylum where they are satisfied that persons in the third countries concerned are generally neither subject to:*

(a) persecution as defined in Article 9 of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; nor

(b) torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

3. *Member States may also retain legislation in force at the time of the adoption of this Directive that allows for the national designation of part of a country as safe or a country or part of a country as safe for a specified group of persons in that country where the conditions in paragraph 2 are fulfilled in relation to that part or group.*

4. *In assessing whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3, Member States shall have regard to the legal situation, the application of the law and the general political circumstances in the third country concerned.*

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

5. *The assessment of whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with this Article shall be based on a range of sources of information, including in particular information from other Member States, the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.*

6. *Member States shall notify to the Commission the countries that are designated as safe countries of origin in accordance with the provisions of this Article.*

Amendment 141

Article 30 b, paragraph 1, introductory part

1. A third country designated as a safe country of origin **either** in accordance with the provisions of **Article 30 or 30A** can, after an individual examination of the application, be considered as a safe country of origin for a particular applicant for asylum only if:

1. A third country designated as a safe country of origin in accordance with the provisions of **Article 30** can, after an individual examination of the application, be considered as a safe country of origin for a particular applicant for asylum only if:

Amendment 143

Article 30 b, paragraph 2

2. Member States **shall**, in accordance with paragraph 1, consider the application for asylum as unfounded where the third country is designated as safe **pursuant to Article 30**.

2. Member States **may**, in accordance with paragraph 1, consider the application for asylum as unfounded where the third country is designated as safe **for the particular applicant**.

Amendment 144

Article 30 b, paragraph 3

3. Member States shall lay down in national legislation further rules and modalities for the application of the safe country of origin concept.

3. Member States shall lay down in national legislation further rules and modalities for the application of the safe country of origin concept, **in accordance with international law, and shall duly notify the Commission of any further rules and modalities**.

Amendment 145

Article 33, paragraph 1

1. Where a person who has applied for asylum in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State **may** examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal insofar as the competent authorities can take into account and consider all the elements underlying the further representations or subsequent application within this framework.

1. Where a person who has applied for asylum in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State **shall** examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal insofar as the competent authorities can take into account and consider all the elements underlying the further representations or subsequent application within this framework.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 146

Article 33, paragraph 2, introductory part

2. Moreover, Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3, where a person makes a subsequent application for asylum:

2. Moreover, Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3, where a person makes a subsequent application for asylum, **provided that the initial application is not currently open to appeal:**

Amendment 147

Article 34, paragraph 1

1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for asylum whose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 33 enjoy the guarantees listed in Article 9(1).

1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for asylum whose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 33 enjoy the guarantees listed in Article 9(1) **and that that examination is, in principle, subject to the minimum procedural standards laid down in this Directive.**

Amendment 149

Article 35, paragraph 1

1. Member States may provide for procedures, in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II, in order to decide, at the border or transit zones of the Member State, on the applications made at such locations.

1. Member States may provide for procedures, **in compliance with international conventions and** in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II, in order to decide, at the border or transit zones of the Member State, on the applications made at such locations.

Amendment 150

Article 35, paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. Member States shall ensure that a decision to refuse entry to the territory of a Member State for a reason arising from the application for asylum is taken within two weeks, subject to an extension of the time limit for no more than two weeks agreed upon by a competent judicial body in a procedure prescribed by law.

Amendment 151

Article 35, paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Non-compliance with the time limits provided for in paragraph 1a shall result in the applicant for asylum being granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his application to be processed in accordance with the relevant provisions of this Directive. Member States shall ensure that applicants for asylum who are refused entry in accordance with this procedure enjoy the guarantees laid down in Chapter V.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 152

Article 35, paragraph 1 c (new)

1c. A refusal of entry into the territory may not override the decision on the application for asylum after an examination based on the facts of the case by authorities competent in the field of asylum and refugee law.

Amendment 153

Article 35, paragraph 2

2. However, when procedures as set out in paragraph 1 do not exist, Member States may maintain, subject to the provisions of this Article and in accordance with the laws or regulations in force at the time of the adoption of this Directive, procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II, in order to decide, at the border or in transit zones, on the permission to enter their territory of applicants for asylum who have arrived and made an application for asylum at such locations.

deleted

Amendment 154

Article 35, paragraph 3

3. The procedures referred to in paragraph 2 shall ensure in particular that the persons concerned:

deleted

- shall be allowed to remain at the border or transit zones of the Member State, without prejudice to Article 6; and
- must be immediately informed of their rights and obligations, as described in Article 9 (1) (a); and
- have access, if necessary, to the services of an interpreter, as described in Article 9 (1) (b); and
- are interviewed, before the competent authority takes a decision in such procedures, in relation to their application for asylum by persons with appropriate knowledge of the relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law, as described in Articles 10 to 12; and
- can consult a legal adviser or counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, as described in Article 13 (1); and
- have a representative appointed in the case of unaccompanied minors, as described in Article 15 (1), unless Article 15(2) or (3) applies.

Moreover, in case permission to enter is refused by a competent authority, this competent authority shall state the reasons in fact and in law why his/her application for asylum is considered as unfounded or as inadmissible.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 155

Article 35, paragraph 4

4. Member States shall ensure that a decision in the framework of the procedures provided for in paragraph 2 is taken within a reasonable time. When a decision has not been taken within four weeks, the applicant for asylum shall be granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his/her application to be processed in accordance with the other provisions of this Directive. *deleted*

Amendment 156

Article 35, paragraph 5

5. In the event of particular types of arrivals or arrivals involving a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons lodging applications for asylum at the border or in a transit zone, which makes it practically impossible to apply there the provisions of paragraph 1 or the specific procedure set out in paragraphs 2 and 3, those procedures may also be applied where and for as long as these third country nationals or stateless persons are accommodated normally at locations in proximity to the border or transit zone. *deleted*

Amendment 157

Article 35 a

Article 35 a *deleted*

1. Member States may provide that no, or no full, examination of the asylum application and of the safety of the applicant in his/her particular circumstances as described in Chapter II takes place in cases where a competent authority has established, on the basis of the facts, that the applicant for asylum is seeking to enter or has entered illegally into its territory from a safe third country according to paragraph 2.

2. A third country can only be considered as a safe third country for the purpose of paragraph 1 where:

- (a) it has ratified and observes the provisions of the Geneva Convention without any geographical limitations; and
- (b) it has in place an asylum procedure prescribed by law; and
- (c) it has ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and it observes its provisions, including the standards relating to effective remedies; and
- (d) it has been so designated by the Council in accordance with paragraph 3.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

3. The Council shall, acting by qualified majority on the proposal of the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament, adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.

4. Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph 1 and the consequences of decisions pursuant to those provisions in accordance with the principle of non-refoulement under the Geneva Convention including providing for exceptions from the application of this Article for humanitarian or political reasons or for reasons of public international law.

5. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, Member States concerned shall:

- (a) inform the applicant accordingly; and
- (b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.

6. Where the safe third country does not readmit the applicant for asylum in question, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.

7. Member States which have designated third countries as safe countries in accordance with national legislation in force at the date of the adoption of this Directive and on the basis of the criteria in paragraph 2(a) to (c), may apply paragraph 1 to these third countries until such time as the Council has adopted the common list pursuant to paragraph 3.

Amendment 158

Article 36

Member States **shall ensure that an examination may be started** to withdraw the refugee status of a particular person **when new elements or findings arise indicating that there are reasons to reconsider the validity of his/her refugee status.**

Member States **may begin** to withdraw the refugee status of a particular person **if:**

Amendment 159

Article 36, point (a) (new)

- (a) **the applicant has voluntarily re-availed himself/herself of the protection of the country of his/her nationality; or**

Amendment 160

Article 36, point (b) (new)

- (b) **having lost his or her nationality, the applicant has voluntarily reacquired it; or**

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 161

Article 36, point (c) (new)

- (c) **the applicant has acquired a new nationality, and enjoys the protection of the country of the new nationality; or**

Amendment 162

Article 36, point (d) (new)

- (d) **the applicant has voluntarily re-established residence in the country which he left or outside which he remained owing to fear of persecution.**

Amendment 163

Article 37, paragraph 4

4. **By derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, Member States may decide that the refugee status lapses by law in case of cessation in accordance with Article 11(1), subparagraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) of Council Directive 2004/83/EC or if the refugee has unequivocally renounced his/her recognition as a refugee.** **deleted**

Amendment 164

Article 38, paragraph 1, point (a), point (iii)

- (iii) **not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 35A;** **deleted**

Amendment 165

Article 38, paragraph 1, point (d)

- (d) a decision refusing entry within the framework of the procedures provided for under **Article 35(2);** (d) a decision refusing entry within the framework of the procedures provided for under **Article 35;**

Amendment 166

Article 38, paragraph 3, introductory part

3. Member States shall, **where appropriate, provide for rules in accordance with their international obligations dealing with:** 3. Member States shall **ensure that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 shall have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State pending its outcome.**

Amendment 167

Article 38, paragraph 3, point (a)

- (a) **the question of whether the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 shall have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome; and** **deleted**

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCILAMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 168

Article 38, paragraph 3, point (b)

- (b) *the possibility of legal remedy or protective measures where the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 does not have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome. Member States may also provide for an ex officio remedy; and* **deleted**

Amendment 169

Article 38, paragraph 3, point (c)

- (c) *the grounds of challenge to a decision under Article 25(2)(c) in accordance with the methodology applied under Article 27(2)(b) and (c).* **deleted**

Amendment 170

Article 38, paragraph 5

5. *Where an applicant has been granted a status, which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Council Directive 2004/83/EC, the applicant may be considered to have an effective remedy where a court or tribunal decides that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 is inadmissible or unlikely to succeed on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.* **deleted**

Amendment 171

Article 38, paragraph 6

6. *Member States may also lay down in national legislation the conditions under which it can be assumed that an applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her remedy pursuant to paragraph 1, together with the rules on the procedure to be followed.* **deleted**

Amendment 172

Article 43, paragraph 1

Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [24 months after the date of its adoption]. **Concerning Article 13, Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [36 months after the date of its adoption].** They shall forthwith inform the Commission thereof.

Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [24 months after the date of its adoption]. They shall forthwith inform the Commission thereof.

Tuesday, 27 September 2005

TEXT PROPOSED
BY THE COUNCIL

AMENDMENTS
BY PARLIAMENT

Amendment 173

Annex B, paragraph 1

A country is considered as a safe country of origin where, on the basis of the legal situation, the application of the law within a democratic system and the general political circumstances, it can be shown that there is generally and consistently no persecution as defined in Article 9 of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; no torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; **and** no threat by reason of indiscriminate violence in situations of international or internal armed conflict.

A country is considered as a safe country of origin where, on the basis of the legal situation, the application of the law within a democratic system and the general political circumstances, it can be shown that there is generally and consistently no persecution as defined in Article 9 of Council Directive 2004/83/EC; no torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; no threat by reason of indiscriminate violence in situations of international or internal armed conflict; **and no evidence of discrimination against individuals on account of race, sex, religion, nationality, language, sexual orientation, membership of a particular social group or minority or political opinion.**

Amendment 174

Annex B, paragraph 2, point (d a) (new)

(da) available and up-to-date reports by the UNHCR and other organisations working in the field of human rights and the protection of individual rights.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(2006/C 227 E/03)

MINUTES**PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING**

IN THE CHAIR: Josep BORRELL FONTELLES

*President***1. Opening of sitting**

The sitting opened at 9.05.

2. Transfers of appropriations

The Committee on Budgets had considered the Commission's proposal for transfer of appropriations DEC 27/2005 (C6-0231/2005 — SEC(2005)0923).

After noting the Council's opinion, the committee agreed — in accordance with Article 24(3) of the Financial Regulation of 25 June 2002 — to mobilise the emergency reserve for an amount of 70 million Euro and transfer it to budget line 23 02 01 of the 2005 budget, in order to finance rehabilitation and reconstruction assistance to the tsunami-affected countries.

3. Opening of negotiations with Turkey — Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement * (debate)**

Council and Commission statements: Opening of negotiations with Turkey

Recommendation on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Additional Protocol to the Agreement establishing an Association between the European Economic Community and Turkey following the enlargement of the European Union (9617/2005 — COM(2005)0191 — C6-0194/2005 — 2005/0091(AVC)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Rapporteur: Elmar Brok (A6-0241/2005)

Douglas Alexander (President-in-Office of the Council) and Olli Rehn (Member of the Commission) made the statements.

Elmar Brok introduced the recommendation.

The following spoke: Hans-Gert Poettering, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Martin Schulz, on behalf of the PSE Group (with several off-microphone interruptions from Werner Langen), Emma Bonino, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Elmar Brok, who made a personal statement in response to Mr Cohn-Bendit's remarks, Philippe de Villiers, also on those remarks, Francis Wurtz, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Roger Knapman, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Konrad Szymański, on behalf of the UEN Group, Philip Claeys, Non-attached Member, Camiel Eurlings, Jan Marinus Wiersma, Andrew Duff, Joost Lagendijk, Adamos Adamou, Bastiaan Belder, Roberta Angelilli, Jan Tadeusz Masiel, Ioannis Kasoulides, Hannes Swoboda, Marielle De Sarnez, Cem Özdemir, Vittorio Agnoletto and Georgios Karatzaferis.

IN THE CHAIR: Pierre MOSCOVICI

Vice-President

The following spoke: Sebastiano (Nello) Musumeci, Hans-Peter Martin, Renate Sommer, Véronique De Keyser, Silvana Koch-Mehrin, Mirosław Mariusz Piotrowski, Mogens N.J. Camre, Andreas Mölzer, Jacques Toubon, Michel Rocard, Karin Riis-Jørgensen, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Roger Helmer, Geoffrey Van Orden, Vural Öger, Marios Matsakis, Philippe de Villiers, Ville Itälä, Emine Bozkurt, Karin Resetarits, Françoise Grossetête, Stavros Lambrinidis, Giorgos Dimitrakopoulos, Panagiotis Beglitis, Ursula Stenzel, Libor Rouček, Zbigniew Zaleski, Nicola Zingaretti, György Schöpflin, Douglas Alexander and Olli Rehn.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The following spoke: Bernd Posselt, who made a personal statement in response to Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit's remarks, and Werner Langen, who made a personal statement in response to Martin Schulz's remarks.

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, Monica Frassoni, Joost Lagendijk and Cem Özdemir, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0484/2005),
- Andrew Duff, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0487/2005),
- Martin Schulz, Jan Marinus Wiersma and Hannes Swoboda, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0496/2005),
- Cristiana Muscardini, Anna Elzbieta Fotyga, Konrad Szymański and Inese Vaidere, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0498/2005),
- Francis Wurtz, André Brie, Adamos Adamou, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Feleknas Uca and Jonas Sjöstedt, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0502/2005),
- Elmar Brok, João de Deus Pinheiro and Camiel Eurlings, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on the opening of negotiations with Turkey (B6-0505/2005).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 5.1 and Minutes of 28.09.2005, Item 5.2.*
(*The sitting was suspended at 11.50 pending voting time and resumed at 12.05.*)

IN THE CHAIR: Josep BORRELL FONTELLES

President

4. Welcome

On behalf of Parliament, the President welcomed members of a delegation from the Mexican Congress — led by Fernando Margáin, Chairman of the Mexican Senate's Committee on Foreign Affairs — who had taken their seats in the official gallery.

5. Voting time

Details of voting (amendments, separate and split votes, etc.) appear in Annex I to the Minutes.

5.1. Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement *** (Rule 131) (vote)

Recommendation on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion of the Additional Protocol to the Agreement establishing an Association between the European Economic Community and Turkey following the enlargement of the European Union (9617/2005 — COM(2005)0191 — C6-0194/2005 — 2005/0091(AVC)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Rapporteur: Elmar Brok (A6-0241/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 1)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

The following spoke: Hans-Gert Poettering, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, who requested that the vote be postponed under Rule 170(4), and Martin Schulz, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the request.

Parliament approved the request by electronic vote (311 for, 285 against, 63 abstentions).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5.2. Opening of negotiations with Turkey (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0484/2005, B6-0487/2005, B6-0496/2005, B6-0498/2005, B6-0502/2005 and B6-0505/2005.

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 2)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0484/2005

(replacing B6-0484/2005, B6-0487/2005, B6-0496/2005, B6-0498/2005, B6-0502/2005 and B6-0505/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Hans-Gert Poettering, Camiel Eurlings, Elmar Brok and João de Deus Pinheiro, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group

Martin Schulz, Jan Marinus Wiersma and Hannes Swoboda, on behalf of the PSE Group

Graham Watson, Andrew Duff and Emma Bonino, on behalf of the ALDE Group

Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, Joost Lagendijk and Cem Özdemir, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Francis Wurtz, Adamos Adamou, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Tobias Pflüger and Vittorio Agnoletto, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Brian Crowley and Inese Vaidere, on behalf of the UEN Group.

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0350)

The following spoke on the vote:

- Giorgos Dimitrakopoulos, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, who moved an oral amendment to amendment 5, which was incorporated;
- Jan Marinus Wiersma, on behalf of the PSE Group, who moved an oral amendment to paragraphs 6 and 16, which was not incorporated as more than 37 Members objected;
- Andrew Duff, on the conduct of the vote.

IN THE CHAIR: Alejo VIDAL-QUADRAS ROCA

Vice-President

6. Welcome

On behalf of Parliament, the President welcomed Gustavo Pacheco, Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the Peruvian Congress, who had taken his seat in the official gallery.

7. Voting time (continuation)

7.1. 1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ***I (vote)

Report

1. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions [COM(2004)0486 — C6-0141/2004 — 2004/0155(COD)] and
2. on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions [COM(2004)0486 — C6-0144/2004 — 2004/0159(COD)] — Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs.

Rapporteur: Alexander Radwan (A6-0257/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 3)

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1. COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0351)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0351)

2. COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0352)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0352)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Alexander Radwan, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, who moved an oral amendment to amendments 778 and 782, and another oral amendment to amendments 781 and 785 (both were incorporated).

7.2. Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I (vote)

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (COM(2004)0177 — C6-0005/2004 — 2004/0065(COD)) — Committee on Legal Affairs.

Rapporteur: Bert Doorn (A6-0224/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 4)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0353)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0353)

The following spoke:

— Bert Doorn (rapporteur) before the vote.

7.3. Development of the Community's railways ***I (vote)

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways (COM(2004)0139 — C6-0001/2004 — 2004/0047(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Georg Jarzembowski (A6-0143/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 5)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0354)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0354)

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

7.4. Certification of train crews ***I (vote)

Report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network (COM(2004)0142 — C6-0002/2004 — 2004/0048(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Gilles Savary (A6-0133/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 6)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0355)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0355)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Erik Meijer, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, who moved an oral amendment to amendment 50.

7.5. International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I (vote)

Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on International Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations (COM(2004)0143 — C6-0003/2004 — 2004/0049(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Dirk Sterckx (A6-0123/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 7)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Approved as amended (P6_TA(2005)0356)

DRAFT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0356)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Michael Cramer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, who moved an oral amendment to amendment 138/rev, which was incorporated. He also proposed that amendment 138/rev as amended be put to the vote before amendment 32 (Parliament agreed to the proposal).

7.6. Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services ***I (vote)

Report on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on compensation in cases of non-compliance with contractual quality requirements for rail freight services (COM(2004)0144 — C6-0004/2004 — 2004/0050(COD)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Roberts Zile (A6-0171/2005).

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 8)

COMMISSION PROPOSAL

Rejected.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Olli Rehn (Member of the Commission) announced that the Commission had taken note of Parliament's position.

The matter was referred back to the committee responsible under Rule 52(3).

7.7. 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0485/2005, B6-0495/2005, B6-0500/2005 and B6-0504/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 9)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0485/2005

(replacing B6-0485/2005, B6-0495/2005 and B6-0504/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Jerzy Buzek, Janusz Lewandowski and Jacek Emil Saryusz-Wolski, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group
Dariusz Rosati, Józef Pinior and Jan Marinus Wiersma, on behalf of the PSE Group
Bronisław Geremek and Janusz Onyszkiewicz, on behalf of the ALDE Group
Milan Horáček, Joost Lagendijk and Angelika Beer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Wojciech Roszkowski, Brian Crowley, Guntars Krasts, Ģirts Valdis Kristovskis and Cristiana Muscardini, on behalf of the UEN Group.

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0357)

(Motion for a resolution B6-0500/2005 fell.)

7.8. Territorial cohesion in regional development (vote)

Report on the role of territorial cohesion in regional development (2004/2256(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development.

Rapporteur: Ambroise Guellec (A6-0251/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 10)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0358)

7.9. A stronger partnership for the outermost regions (vote)

Report on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions (2004/2253(INI)) — Committee on Regional Development.

Rapporteur: Sérgio Marques (A6-0246/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex I, Item 11)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0359)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Carl Schlyter, who pointed out an error in the Swedish version of amendment 5.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

8. Explanations of vote

Written explanations of vote:

Explanations of vote submitted in writing under Rule 163(3) appear in the verbatim report of proceedings for the sitting.

Oral explanations of vote:

Opening of negotiations with Turkey — RC-B6-0484/2005

— Bernat Joan i Marí, Carlo Fatuzzo, Richard Seeber, Bernd Posselt, Mario Borghezio, Luca Romagnoli, Frank Vanhecke, Albert Deß

Report: Roberts Zile — A6-0171/2005

— Richard Seeber

Report: Ambroise Guellec — A6-0251/2005

— Richard Seeber

Report: Sérgio Marques — A6-0246/2005

— Richard Seeber

9. Corrections to votes

Corrections to votes appear on the 'Séance en direct' website under 'Votes'/Results of votes'/Roll-call votes'. They are published in hard copy in Annex II to the Minutes, 'Result of roll-call votes'.

The electronic version on Europarl will be regularly updated for a maximum of two weeks after the day of the vote concerned.

After the two-week deadline has passed, the list of corrections to votes will be finalised so that it can be translated and published in the Official Journal.

Members present but not voting:

Daniel Caspary had informed the Chair that he had been present but had not taken part in all of the votes.

(The sitting was suspended at 13.40 and resumed at 15.05.)

IN THE CHAIR: Jacek Emil SARYUSZ-WOLSKI

Vice-President

10. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting

The Minutes of the previous sitting were approved.

11. Defence of parliamentary immunity (developments)

Following the European Parliament's decision of 5 July 2005 to uphold Umberto Bossi's parliamentary immunity in the context of criminal proceedings pending before a court in Brescia, the President had, in accordance with Rule 7(9), been notified by the Italian authorities of the judicial ruling on the case, handed down by the Brescia Court of Appeal on 6 June 2005.

The notification would be sent to the Committee on Legal Affairs for information.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

12. Oil (debate)

Council and Commission statements: Oil price rises and dependence on oil

Douglas Alexander (President-in-Office of the Council) and Andris Piebalgs (Member of the Commission) made the statements.

The following spoke: Giles Chichester, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Hannes Swoboda, on behalf of the PSE Group, Fiona Hall, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Claude Turmes, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Umberto Guidoni, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Guntars Krasts, on behalf of the UEN Group, Sergej Kozlík, Non-attached Member, Robert Goebbels, Vittorio Prodi, Satu Hassi, Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Liam Aylward, Luca Romagnoli, Christoph Konrad, Reino Paasilinna, Roberto Musacchio, Luis de Grandes Pascual, Ewa Hedkvist Petersen, Carmen Fraga Estévez, Mechtild Rothe, Paul Rübig, Riitta Myller, Ján Hudacký, Mia De Vits, Alejo Vidal-Quadras Roca, Antolín Sánchez Presedo, Peter Liese, Péter Olajos, Ivo Belet, Ivo Strejček and Douglas Alexander.

IN THE CHAIR: Antonios TRAKATELLIS

Vice-President

Andris Piebalgs spoke.

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- Fiona Hall, Sophia in 't Veld and Vittorio Prodi, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on oil prices and energy dependency (B6-0481/2005),
- Claude Turmes, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on oil price rises and dependence on oil (B6-0482/2005),
- Umberto Pirilli, Roberta Angelilli, Guntars Krasts and Roberts Zile, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the increase in the price of oil and oil dependency (B6-0491/2005),
- Umberto Guidoni and Ilda Figueiredo, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on oil (B6-0499/2005),
- Alexander Radwan, Giles Chichester and Paul Rübig, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on oil price rises and dependence on oil (B6-0506/2005),
- Hannes Swoboda, Robert Goebbels, Mechtild Rothe and Mia De Vits, on behalf of the PSE Group, on the oil price rises and dependence on oil (B6-0509/2005).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.2*

13. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals (debate)

Council and Commission statements: Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals

Douglas Alexander (President-in-Office of the Council) and Benita Ferrero-Waldner (Member of the Commission) made the statements.

The following spoke: Francisco José Millán Mon, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Glenys Kinnock, on behalf of the PSE Group, Alexander Lambsdorff, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Frithjof Schmidt, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Miguel Portas, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Hélène Goudin, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Inese Vaidere, on behalf of the UEN Group, Irena Belohorská, Non-attached Member, Nirj Deva, Jo Leinen, Lapo Pistelli, Raúl Romeva i Rueda, Tobias Pflüger, Kathy Sinnott, Koenraad Dillen, Enrique Barón Crespo, Paul Marie Coûteaux, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez, Inger Segelström, Manuel António dos Santos, Douglas Alexander, Benita Ferrero-Waldner and Alexander Lambsdorff, who put a question to the Commission that Benita Ferrero-Waldner answered.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- Raül Romeva i Rueda, Frithjof Schmidt, H el ene Flautre and Marie Anne Isler B egu in, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on reform of the UN (B6-0483/2005),
- Brian Crowley, Inese Vaidere, Ģirts Valdis Kristovskis and Guntars Krasts, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the reform of the UN (B6-0492/2005),
- Jos e Ignacio Salafranca S anchez-Neyra, Elmar Brok, Nirj Deva, Francisco Jos e Mill an Mon and Simon Coveney, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on the outcome of the United Nations World Summit and the Millennium Development Goals (14-16 September 2005) (B6-0493/2005),
- Andr e Brie and Luisa Morgantini, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on the results of the 2005 World Summit of the UN (B6-0501/2005),
- Alexander Lambsdorff and Lapo Pistelli, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the UN Summit (B6-0507/2005),
- Glenys Kinnock, Michel Rocard, Pasqualina Napoletano, Jo Leinen and Miguel Angel Mart inez Mart inez, on behalf of the PSE Group, on reform of the UN (B6-0510/2005).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.3*

(The sitting was suspended at 18.10 pending Question Time and resumed at 18.25.)

IN THE CHAIR: Manuel Ant onio dos SANTOS

Vice-President

14. Question Time (Council)

Parliament considered a number of questions to the Council (B6-0331/2005).

Question 1 (Marie Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou): The problem of waste and how to deal with it.

Douglas Alexander (President-in-Office of the Council) answered the question and a supplementary by Marie Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou.

Question 2 (Sajjad Karim): Harmonisation of the Member States' approach to combating terrorism.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and supplementaries by Sajjad Karim and David Martin.

Question 3 (Chris Davies): Council of Ministers' website.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and supplementaries by Chris Davies, David Martin and Bill Newton Dunn.

Question 4 (Sarah Ludford): Obstacles to the right of access to Council documents.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and a supplementary by Sarah Ludford.

Question 5 (Nigel Farage): Fisheries Partnership Agreements.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and supplementaries by Nigel Farage, Christopher Beazley and Catherine Stihler.

Question 6 (Bernd Posselt): Minority rights in Serbia.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and supplementaries by Bernd Posselt, Zsolt L aszl o Becsey and Paul R ubig.

Question 7 (Dimitrios Papadimoulis): Property rights of religious minorities in Turkey.

Douglas Alexander answered the question and supplementaries by Dimitrios Papadimoulis and Catherine Stihler.

Question 8 (James Hugh Allister): IRA terrorists.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Douglas Alexander (President-in-Office of the Council) answered the question and supplementaries by James Hugh Allister, James Nicholson and Proinsias De Rossa.

Christopher Beazley spoke.

Questions which had not been answered for lack of time would receive written answers.

Council Question Time closed.

(The sitting was suspended at 19.05 and resumed at 21.05.)

IN THE CHAIR: Pierre MOSCOVICI

Vice-President

15. Belarus (debate)

Commission statement: Belarus

Olli Rehn (Member of the Commission) made the statement.

The following spoke: Bogdan Klich, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Joseph Muscat, on behalf of the PSE Group, Janusz Onyszkiewicz, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Elisabeth Schroedter, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Jonas Sjöstedt, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Bastiaan Belder, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Konrad Szymański, on behalf of the UEN Group, Bernd Posselt, Józef Pinior, Věra Flasarová, Mirosław Mariusz Piotrowski, Inese Vaidere, Barbara Kudrycka, Bogusław Sonik and Olli Rehn.

Motions for resolutions to wind up the debate tabled pursuant to Rule 103(2):

- Janusz Onyszkiewicz, on behalf of the ALDE Group, on the situation in Belarus (B6-0486/2005),
- Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Árpád Duka-Zólyomi, James Elles, Alfred Gomolka, Tunne Kelam, Bogdan Klich, Barbara Kudrycka, Aldis Kuškis, Rihards Pīks, Bernd Posselt, Jacek Emil Saryusz-Wolski, Charles Tannock and Karl von Wogau, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, on the situation of minorities in Belarus (B6-0488/2005),
- Jan Marinus Wiersma and Joseph Muscat, on behalf of the PSE Group, on Belarus (B6-0490/2005),
- Bastiaan Belder, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, on the situation of minorities in Belarus (B6-0494/2005),
- Anna Elzbieta Fotyga, Konrad Szymański and Inese Vaidere, on behalf of the UEN Group, on the political situation in Belarus (B6-0497/2005),
- Eva-Britt Svensson, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, on Belarus (B6-0503/2005),
- Elisabeth Schroedter, Milan Horáček and Marie Anne Isler Béguin, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, on independent media and the political situation in Belarus (B6-0508/2005).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.4*

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

16. EU-India relations (debate)

Report on EU-India relations: a strategic partnership (2004/2169(INI)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs.
Rapporteur: Emilio Menéndez del Valle (A6-0256/2005)

Emilio Menéndez del Valle introduced report.

Olli Rehn (Member of the Commission) spoke.

The following spoke: Georgios Papastamkos (draftsman of the opinion of the INTA Committee), Marcello Vernola, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Neena Gill, on behalf of the PSE Group, Sajjad Karim, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Derek Roland Clark, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Ryszard Czarnecki, Non-attached Member, Charles Tannock, Jo Leinen, Eija-Riitta Korhola and Libor Rouček.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.5*

17. Renewable energy in the EU (debate)

Report on the share of renewable energy in the EU and proposals for concrete actions (2004/2153(INI)) — Committee on Industry, Research and Energy.
Rapporteur: Claude Turmes (A6-0227/2005)

Claude Turmes introduced report.

Andris Piebalgs (Member of the Commission) spoke.

The following spoke: Dimitrios Papadimoulis (draftsman of the opinion of the ENVI Committee), Mairead McGuinness (draftsman of the opinion of the AGRI Committee), Peter Liese, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Mechtild Rothe, on behalf of the PSE Group, Vittorio Prodi, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Umberto Guidoni, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Mieczysław Edmund Janowski, on behalf of the UEN Group, Sergej Kozlík, Non-attached Member, Nikolaos Vakalis, Adam Gierek, Esko Seppänen, Avril Doyle, Andres Tarand and Andris Piebalgs.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.6*

18. Human rights of minority groups in Kosovo (debate)

Commission statement: Human rights of minority groups in Kosovo

Olli Rehn (Member of the Commission) made the statement.

The following spoke: Bernd Posselt, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Panagiotis Beglitis, on behalf of the PSE Group, Viktória Mohácsi, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Elly de Groen-Kouwenhoven, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Gisela Kallenbach and Olli Rehn.

The debate closed.

19. EU road-safety action programme (debate)

Report on the European road safety action programme: halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: a shared responsibility (2004/2162(INI)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Ari Vatanen (A6-0225/2005)

Ari Vatanen introduced report.

Jacques Barrot (Vice-President of the Commission) spoke.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The following spoke: Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Inés Ayala Sender, on behalf of the PSE Group, Hannu Takkula, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Margrete Auken, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Ewa Hedkvist Petersen and Jacques Barrot.

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.09.2005, Item 6.7*

20. Agenda for next sitting

The agenda for the next sitting had been established ('Agenda' PE 361.877/OJJE).

21. Closure of sitting

The sitting closed at 23.50.

Julian Priestley
Secretary-General

Manuel António dos Santos
Vice-President

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

The following signed:

Adamou, Agnoletto, Allister, Alvaro, Andersson, Andrejevs, Andria, Andriksen, Angelilli, Antoniozzi, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ashworth, Atkins, Attard-Montalto, Aubert, Audy, Auken, Ayala Sender, Aylward, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Baco, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Barsi-Pataky, Batten, Battilocchio, Batzeli, Bauer, Beaupuy, Beazley, Becsey, Beer, Beglitis, Belder, Belet, Belohorská, Bennahmias, Beňová, Berend, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlato, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Birutis, Blokland, Bloom, Bobošíková, Böge, Bösch, Bonde, Bonino, Bono, Bonsignore, Booth, Borghezio, Borrell Fontelles, Bourlanges, Bourzai, Bowis, Bowles, Bozkurt, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Breyer, Březina, Brie, Brok, Budreikaitė, Buitengeweg, Bullmann, van den Burg, Bushill-Matthews, Busk, Busquin, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Calabuig Rull, Callanan, Camre, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Carollo, Casa, Casaca, Cashman, Caspary, Castex, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cavada, Cederschiöld, Cercas, Cesa, Chatzimarkakis, Chichester, Chiesa, Chmielewski, Christensen, Chruszcz, Cirino Pomicino, Claeys, Clark, Cocilovo, Coelho, Cohn-Bendit, Corbett, Corbey, Cornillet, Correia, Costa, Cottigny, Coûteaux, Coveney, Cramer, Crowley, Ryszard Czarnecki, D'Alema, Daul, Davies, Degutis, Dehaene, De Keyser, Demetriou, Deprez, De Rosa, De Sarnez, Descamps, Désir, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, De Vits, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Didziokas, Díez González, Dillen, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dobolyi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Douay, Dover, Doyle, Drčar Murko, Duchoň, Dührkop Dührkop, Duff, Duka-Zólyomi, Duquesne, Ebner, Ehler, Ek, El Khadraoui, Elles, Esteves, Estrela, Ettl, Eurlings, Jillian Evans, Jonathan Evans, Robert Evans, Fajmon, Falbr, Farage, Fatuzzo, Fava, Fazakas, Ferber, Fernandes, Anne Ferreira, Elisa Ferreira, Figueiredo, Fjellner, Flasarová, Flautre, Florenz, Foglietta, Fontaine, Ford, Fotyga, Fourtou, Fraga Estévez, Frassoni, Freitas, Friedrich, Fruteau, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, García Pérez, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gebhardt, Gentvilas, Geremek, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gibault, Gierek, Gill, Gklavakis, Glante, Glattfelder, Goebbels, Goepel, Golik, Gollnisch, Gomes, Gomolka, Goudin, Grabowska, Grabowski, Graça Moura, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grech, Griesbeck, Gröner, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Grosch, Grossetête, Gruber, Guardans Cambó, Guellec, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Gurmai, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Guy-Quint, Gyürk, Hänsch, Hall, Hammerstein Mintz, Hamon, Handzlik, Hannan, Harangozó, Harbour, Harkin, Harms, Hasse Ferreira, Hassi, Hatzidakis, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Helmer, Henin, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hennis-Plasschaert, Herczog, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Honeyball, Hoppenstedt, Horáček, Howitt, Hudacký, Hughes, Hutchinson, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Ilves, in 't Veld, Isler Béguin, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jäätteenmäki, Jałowiecki, Janowski, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jensen, Joan i Mari, Jöns, Jørgensen, Jonckheer, Jordan Cizelj, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Kaczmarek, Kallenbach, Kamall, Karas, Karatzaferis, Karim, Kasoulides, Kaufmann, Tunne Kelam, Kilroy-Silk, Kindermann, Kinnoek, Kirkhope, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Klinz, Knapman, Koch, Koch-Mehrin, Kohlíček, Konrad, Korhola, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kozlík, Krahmer, Krarup, Krasts, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kristovskis, Krupa, Kuc, Kudrycka, Kuhne, Kułakowski, Kuškis, Kusstatscher, Kuźmiuk, Lagendijk, Laiguel, Lamassoure, Lambert, Lambrinidis, Landsdorff, Landsbergis, Lang, Langen, Langendries, Laperrouze, La Russa, Lavarra, Lax, Lechner, Le Foll, Lehne, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Jean-Marie Le Pen, Marine Le Pen, Le Rachinel, Letta, Lévai, Lewandowski, Liberadzki, Libicki, Lichtenberger, Lienemann, Liese, Liotard, Lipietz, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Louis, Lucas, Ludford, Lulling, Lundgren, Lynne, Maat, Maaten, McAvan, McCarthy, McDonald, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Madeira, Malmström, Manders, Mañka, Erika Mann, Thomas Mann, Mantovani, Markov, Marques, Martens, David Martin, Hans-Peter Martin, Martinez, Martínez Martínez, Masiel, Masip Hidalgo, Maštálka, Mastenbroek, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsakis, Matsis, Matsouka, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Medina Ortega, Meijer, Méndez de Vigo, Menéndez del Valle, Meyer Pleite, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Mölzer, Mohácsi, Montoro Romero, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Morgantini, Morillon, Moscovici, Mote, Mulder, Musacchio, Muscardini, Muscat, Musotto, Mussolini, Musumeci, Myller, Napoletano, Nassauer, Nattrass, Navarro, Newton Dunn, Annemie Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Özdemir, Olajos, Olbrycht, Ó Neachtain, Onesta, Onyszkiewicz, Oomen-Ruijten, Ortuondo Larrea, Ouzký, Oviir, Paasilinna, Pack, Pafilis, Pahor, Paleckis, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Pannella, Panzeri, Papadimoulis, Papastamkos, Parish, Patrie, Peillon, Peç, Alojz Peterle, Pflüger, Piecyk, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Pinior, Piotrowski, Piskorski, Pistelli, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Poignant, Polfer, Poli Bortone, Portas, Posselt, Prets, Prodi, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Ransdorf, Rapkay, Remek, Resetarits, Reul, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Rivera, Rizzo, Rocard, Rogalski, Roithová, Romagnoli, Romeva i Rueda, Rosati, Roszkowski, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Rudi Ubeda, Rübzig, Rühle, Rutowicz, Ryan, Sacconi, Saifi, Sakalas, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Salinas García, Salvini, Samuelsen, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Savary, Savi, Sbarbati, Schapira, Scheele, Schenardi, Schierhuber, Schlyter, Schmidt, Ingo Schmitt, Schmitt, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schroedter, Schulz, Schuth, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Segelström, Seppänen, Siekierski, Sifunakis, Silva Peneda, Sinnott, Siwiec, Sjøstedt, Skinner, Škottová, Smith, Sommer, Sonik, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Spautz, Speroni, Staes, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Štátný, Stenzel, Sterckx, Stevenson, Stihler, Stockmann, Strejček, Strož, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Surján, Svensson, Swoboda, Szájer, Szejna, Szent-Iványi, Szymański, Tabajdi, Tajani, Takkula, Tannock, Tarabella, Tarand, Tatarella, Thomsen, Thyssen, Titford, Titley, Toia, Toubon, Toussas, Trakatellis, Trautmann, Triantaphyllides, Trüpel, Turmes, Tzampazi, Ulmer, Väyrynen, Vaidere, Vakalis, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Vanhecke, Van Hecke, Van Lancker, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vaugrenard, Ventre, Verges, Vergnaud, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, de Villiers, Vincenzi, Virrankoski, Vlasák, Vlasto, Voggenhuber, Wagenknecht, Wallis, Walter, Watson, Henri Weber, Manfred Weber, Weiler, Weisgerber, Westlund, Whitehead, Whittaker, Wieland, Wiersma, Wijkman, Wise, von Wogau, Wohlin, Janusz Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Wurtz, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zani, Zapałowski, Zappalà, Ždanoka, Železný, Zieleniec, Zile, Zimmer, Zimmerling, Zingaretti, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Observers:

Abadjiev Dimitar, Ali Nedzhmi, Anastase Roberta Alma, Arabadjiev Alexander, Athanasiu Alexandru, Bărbulețiu Tiberiu, Becșenescu Dumitru, Bliznashki Georgi, Buruiană Aprodu Daniela, Cappone Maria, Ciornei Silvia, Cioroianu Adrian Mihai, Corlățean Titus, Coșea Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea, Crețu Corina, Crețu Gabriela, Dîncu Vasile, Dimitrov Martin, Duca Viorel Senior, Dumitrescu Cristian, Gașcu Ovidiu Victor, Hoge Vlad Gabriel, Christova Christina Velcheva, Husmenova Filiz, Iacob Ridzi Monica Maria, Ilchev Stanimir, Ivanova Iglia, Kazak Tchetin, Kelemen Atilla Béla Ladislau, Kirilov Evgeni, Kónya-Hamar Sándor, Marinescu Marian-Jean, Mihăescu Eugen, Morțun Alexandru Ioan, Muscă Monica Octavia, Nicolae Șerban, Papanizov Atanas Atanassov, Parvanova Antonyia, Pașcu Ioan Mircea, Petre Maria, Podgorean Radu, Popa Nicolae Vlad, Popeangă Petre, Sârbu Daciana Octavia, Severin Adrian, Shouleva Lydia, Silaghi Ovidiu Ioan, Sofianski Ștefan, Stoyanov Dimitar, Szabó Károly Ferenc, Tîrle Radu, Vigenin Kristian, Zgonea Valeriu Ștefan

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX I

RESULTS OF VOTES

Abbreviations and symbols

+	adopted
-	rejected
↓	lapsed
W	withdrawn
RCV (... , ... , ...)	roll-call vote (for, against, abstentions)
EV (... , ... , ...)	electronic vote (for, against, abstentions)
split	split vote
sep	separate vote
am	amendment
CA	compromise amendment
CP	corresponding part
D	deleting amendment
=	identical amendments
§	paragraph
art	article
rec	recital
MOT	motion for a resolution
JT MOT	joint motion for a resolution
SEC	secret ballot

1. Additional Protocol to the EEC-Turkey Association Agreement ****Report: Elmar BROK (A6-0241/2005)*

Subject	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Single vote		Postponed	

The vote had been postponed under Rule 170(4).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Opening of negotiations with Turkey

Motions for resolutions: B6-0484/2005, B6-0487/2005, B6-0496/2005, B6-0498/2005, B6-0502/2005, B6-0505/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks	
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0484/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL+UEN)						
§ 1	2	PPE-DE	RCV	+	322, 282, 61	
	§	original text		↓		
After § 2	5	Lambrinidis et al		+	oral amendment	
After § 3	1	Moscovici et al	split/RCV			
			1	+	542, 74, 46	
			2	+	304, 294, 57	
After § 4	6	Lambrinidis et al	EV	-	184, 272, 213	
§ 5	7	Lambrinidis et al	EV	-	175, 273, 217	
			§	original text	split	
			1	+		
			2	+		
After § 10	3	PPE-DE	RCV	-	235, 291, 129	
§ 15		original text	split			
			1	+		
			2	+		
§ 16		original text	sep	+		
Recital D		original text	split			
			1	+		
			2	+		
After recital I	4	Moscovici et al		+		
Vote: resolution (as a whole)			RCV	+	356, 181, 125	
Motions for resolutions by political groups						
B6-0484/2005		Verts/ALE		↓		
B6-0487/2005		ALDE		↓		
B6-0496/2005		PSE		↓		
B6-0498/2005		UEN		↓		
B6-0502/2005		GUE/NGL		↓		
B6-0505/2005		PPE-DE		↓		

Requests for roll-call votes

IND/DEM am 2 and final vote

PPE-DE ams 1, 3

Verts/ALE am 1

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Requests for separate votes

UEN § 16

PPE-DE § 16

Requests for split votes

Verts/ALE

am 1

First part: up to 'the Armenian genocide;'

Second part: remainder

PSE

§ 15

First part: up to 'automatically to accession;'

Second part: remainder

PPE-DE

§ 5

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'on the basis of the Annan Plan'

Second part: those words

Recital D

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'on the basis of the Annan Plan'

Second part: those words

Miscellaneous

Jan Marinus Wiersma moved an oral amendment on behalf of the PSE Group to §§ 6 and 16.

Giorgos Dimitrakopoulos, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, moved the following oral amendment to amendment 5:

- 2a. Stresses that this unilateral declaration by Turkey does not form part of the Protocol and has no legal effect on Turkey's obligations under the Protocol; **and should not be sent to the Grand National Assembly for ratification;**

3. 1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, 2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions *I**

Report: Alexander RADWAN (A6-0257/2005)

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
1. Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions					
Block 1A	1-2 4-5 8 10-11 15-17 19-22 24-26 28-29 31-39 41-49 50 52-53 54-57 60-64 67, 69, 71 73-74 76-78 81-86 88-92 94-100 102-107 109 111-115 117 119-126 128 130-139 141-151 154-159 161-165 168-170 172 174-208 211-218 223 227-248 250-255 256-262 264 266-269 271-275 277, 278 280-285 288-290 292-295 297-298 300-305 307-309 312-313 315-316 319-320 322-338 340-347 349-369 373-383 385-386 390-392 394-401 403-407 409-414 416-426 428 430-431 433-434 436-448 523-673 675-691 727	Committee PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE		+	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Block 1B	13	Committee		-	
	18				
	27				
	30				
	65				
	77				
	93				
	101				
	110				
	118				
	129				
	167				
	222				
	249				
	265				
	270				
	286-287				
	291				
	306				
	310-311				
	314				
	317				
	321				
	348				
	370-372				
	384				
	387-389				
393					
402					
427					
429					
435					
Annex VII	674	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
	276	Committee		↓	
Block 2	728-748	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
	750-772				
	779				
	780				
Block 3	3	Committee		↓	
	6-7				
	9				
	12				
	23				
	40				
	51				
	58-59				
	66				
	68				
	70				
	72				
	75				
	79-80				
	87				
	108				
	116				
	127				
	152				
	160				
	166				
	171				
	173				
	209-210				
	219-221				
	224-226				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
	263 279 296 299 318 339 408 415 432				
Article 145, after § 3	749	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
	140	Committee		↓	
After Article 150	781	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	<i>oral amendment</i>
	153	Committee		↓	
After recital 57	778	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	<i>oral amendment</i>
	14	Committee		↓	
Vote: amended proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution				+	
2. Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions					
Block 1A	449-451 455-488 491-492 496-497 499-511 515-520 522 692 695-712 714-726	Committee PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE		+	
Block 1B	498 521	Committee		-	
Block 1B	453	Committee	RCV	-	43, 546, 78
Annex I, § 14	693	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
	512	Committee		↓	
	513	Committee		↓	
Annex I, § 15	694	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
	514	Committee		↓	
Block 2	773-777 783-784	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE		+	
Block 3	452 489 493-495	Committee		↓	
Article 43, after § 2	785	PPE-DE, PSE + ALDE	RCV	+	<i>oral amendment</i> 613, 35, 11
	490	Committee		↓	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
After recital 32	782	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE		+	oral amendment
	454	Committee		↓	
Vote: amended proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution				+	

Amendments 753 to 777 inclusive had been signed on behalf of the PSE Group by Ieke van den Burg, not Margrietus van den Berg.

On behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Alexander Radwan moved an oral amendment to add 'In the view of the European Parliament,' to the beginning of the last sentence of amendments 778 and 782.

On behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Alexander Radwan moved an oral amendment to replace amendments 781 and 785 by the following text:

'2b. Without prejudice to the implementing measures already adopted, upon expiry of a two-year period following the adoption of this Directive and on 1 April 2008 at latest, the application of its provisions requiring the adoption of technical rules, amendments and decisions in accordance with paragraph 2 shall be suspended. Acting on a proposal from the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council may renew the provisions concerned in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty and, to that end, they shall review them prior to the expiry of the period or date referred to above.'

Requests for roll-call votes

IND/DEM: ams 453 and 785

Requests for separate votes

IND/DEM: am 140

4. Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts ***I

Report: Bert DOORN (A6-0224/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible — block vote	1-4 6-14 16-17 19 20 24-41 43-54 56-64 66-78 80-87 89-91 97-98	Committee		+	
Amendments by the committee responsible	92	Committee	vs	-	
Article 23	104/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE+ Verts/ALE		+	
	55	Committee		↓	
After Article 30	105/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	65	Committee		↓	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Article 39, §§ 1-4	79cp	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE+Verts/ALE		+	
Article 39 § 5	106/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE+Verts/ALE		+	
	79cp	Committee		↓	
Article 39 § 6	79cp	Committee		+	
Article 47, § 1, after point (d)	88	Committee		-	
	107/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
Article 49, after § 2	110	PPE-DE, PSE+ Verts/ALE		+	
Article 50	108/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	93-96	Committee		↓	
Recital 10	99/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	5	Committee		↓	
Recital 20	100/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	15	Committee		↓	
After recital 20	101/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	18	Committee		↓	
After recital 22	102/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	21	Committee		↓	
After recital 23	109	PPE-DE+PSE		+	
	22	Committee		↓	
After recital 27	103/rev	PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + Verts/ALE		+	
	23	Committee		↓	
Vote: amended proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution				+	

Amendment 42 did not concern all language versions and was therefore not put to the vote (Rule 151(1)).

Requests for separate votes

PPE-DE am 92

5. Development of the Community's railways ***I

Report: Georg JARZEMBOWSKI (A6-0143/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Proposal for a directive					
Proposal to reject the Commission proposal	14	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	135, 491, 35

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks	
Amendments by the committee responsible	2	Committee	RCV	+	352, 291, 19	
	3	Committee	sep	+		
	4	Committee	sep	+		
	5	Committee	sep	+		
	6	Committee	sep	+		
	7	Committee	sep	+		
	8	Committee	RCV	+	368, 258, 38	
	9	Committee	split/RCV			
			1	+	393, 255, 14	
			2	+	347, 290, 15	
		3	+	350, 298, 10		
	10	Committee	sep	+		
	11	Committee	sep	+		
	12	Committee	RCV	+	553, 79, 32	
13	Committee	sep	+			
Vote: amended proposal			RCV	+	402, 203, 60	
Draft legislative resolution						
After § 1	15	Verts/ALE	RCV	-	157, 483, 23	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	401, 211, 51	

Requests for roll-call votes

GUE/NGL ams 2, 9, 12, 14, amended proposal and final vote

Verts/ALE am 15

PSE ams 2, 8, 9

Requests for separate votes

GUE/NGL ams 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11

PPE-DE ams 2, 9

Verts/ALE ams 2 -13

PSE am 10

Requests for split votes

PSE

§ 9*First part:* Text as a whole without the words 'by 1 January 2008' and 'by 1 January 2012'*Second part:* 'by 1 January 2008'*Third part:* 'by 1 January 2012'

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Miscellaneous

Amendment 1 had been withdrawn.

6. Certification of train crews ***I

Report: Gilles SAVARY (A6-0133/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible — block vote	1-13	Committee		+	
	18-19				
	22-34				
	36				
	38-44				
Amendments by the committee responsible	15	Committee	sep	+	
	17	Committee	sep	+	
	21	Committee	sep	+	
Article 10	50	GUE/NGL		-	
	14	Committee		+	
	45	PSE		+	
Article 14 § 1	16	Committee	EV	-	265, 375, 10
	46	PSE	EV	+	347, 299, 10
	47	PSE		+	
Article 16 § 1	20	Committee		-	
	48	PSE		-	
Article 25	49/rev	IND/DEM		-	
	35	Committee		+	
Article 29	37	Committee	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
	51	GUE/NGL		↓	
Vote: amended proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	603, 24, 40

Requests for roll-call votes

PPE-DE: final vote

Requests for split votes

ALDE

am 37

First part: up to '12 and 17 thereof.'

Second part: remainder

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Requests for separate votes

ALDE: am 21

PSE ams 15, 17

Miscellaneous

Erik Meijer, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, moved an oral amendment to paragraph 2 of amendment 50.

7. International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I

Report: Dirk STERCKX (A6-0123/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible — block vote	1	Committee		+	
	3-4				
	6-8				
	10				
	12				
	14-20				
	24-27				
	30-31				
	33				
	35-36				
	39-40				
	42-53				
	55-62				
	64-65				
	68-70				
	72-78				
	80-87				
90					
92					
95-100					
102					
104-107					
110-121					
Amendments by the committee responsible	2	Committee	sep	+	
	5	Committee	sep	+	
	9	Committee	sep	+	
	11	Committee	RCV	+	502, 146, 9
	23	Committee	sep	+	
	28	Committee	sep	+	
	29	Committee	sep	+	
	34	Committee	sep	+	
	38	Committee	RCV	+	530, 106, 17
	66	Committee	sep	+	
	67	Committee	sep	+	
	71	Committee	sep	+	
	79	Committee	sep	+	
	91	Committee	sep	+	
103	Committee	RCV	+	533, 116, 9	
Article 1, § 2, sub-para 1	13	Committee	RCV	+	519, 119, 6
	122	Bradbourne et al		↓	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Article 2, point 15	131	GUE/NGL		-	
	21	Committee		+	
Article 2, point 16	132	GUE/NGL		-	
	22	Committee		+	
Article 3, after sub-para 3	133	GUE/NGL		-	
	138/rev	Verts/ALE El Hadraoui	RCV	+	oral amendment 550, 87, 16
	32	Committee		+	
Article 6 § 1	37	Committee		+	
	134	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 6 § 4	123	ALDE	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
	41	Committee		↓	
Article 11, sub-para 2	54	Committee		+	
	135	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 15 § 2	124	ALDE		+	
	63	Committee		↓	
After Article 27	88	Committee		-	
	89	Committee		-	
	125	ALDE		+	
Article 28, after § 3	127	PSE		+	
Article 29	93	Committee		-	
	128	PSE		+	
Article 30	94	Committee		-	
	126	ALDE		+	
Article 33 § 2	101	Committee		+	
	136	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 36	108	Committee	EV	+	416, 199, 21
	129	PSE		↓	
Article 37	109	Committee		+	
	137	GUE/NGL		↓	
After recital 2	130	GUE/NGL		-	
Vote: amended proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution				+	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Requests for separate votes

PPE-DE ams 2, 5, 9, 22, 41, 66, 67, 79

PSE ams 23, 28, 29, 34, 54, 71, 91

Requests for roll-call votes

PPE-DE ams 11, 13, 38, 103

Verts/ALE am 138/rev

Requests for split votes

PSE

am 123*First part:* text as a whole without the words 'anti-fraud policy'*Second part:* those words*Miscellaneous*

Michael Cramer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, moved the following oral amendment to amendment 138/rev:

- '1. By the transport contract the railway undertaking or railway undertakings shall undertake to transport the passenger as well as hand luggage and luggage to the place of destination. **They shall transport the bicycle of the passenger in all trains, including transborder and high speed trains, possibly on payment of a charge.** The contract must be confirmed by one or more tickets issued to the passenger. The tickets shall be considered prima facie of the conclusion of the contract.'

8. Contractual quality requirements for rail freight services *I***Report: Roberts ZILE (A6-0171/2005)*

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Vote: proposal for a regulation				-	

As the Commission did not withdraw its position, the matter was referred back to the committee responsible (TRANS), under Rule 52(3).

9. The 25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe*Motions for resolutions: B6-0485/2005, 0495/2005, 0500/2005 and 0504/2005*

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0485/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE and UEN)					
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0485/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0495/2005		PSE		↓	
B6-0500/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0504/2005		PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE + UEN		↓	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

10. Territorial cohesion in regional development *

Report: Ambroise GUELLEC (A6-0251/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 3	5	GUE/NGL		-	
§ 4	6	GUE/NGL		-	
§ 8	7	GUE/NGL		-	
§ 12	§	original text	RCV	+	487, 83, 38
§ 13	1	PPE-DE		+	
§ 14	2	PPE-DE	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Recital A	3	GUE/NGL		-	
Recital D	4	GUE/NGL		-	
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	

Requests for split votes

PSE

am 2

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'by means of EPSON'

Second part: remainder

Requests for roll-call votes

PPE-DE § 12

11. A stronger partnership for the outermost regions *

Report: Sérgio MARQUES (A6-0246/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 6	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 7	1	Verts/ALE		-	
§ 8	§	original text	sep	+	
After § 8	5	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	44, 547, 10
§ 9	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 10	2	Verts/ALE		-	
§ 17	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	+	300, 285, 15
			3	+	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
After § 21	6	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	52, 547, 5
§ 22	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 23	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 24	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 25	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 27	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 29	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 30	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 33	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 34	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3/EV	-	237, 333, 13
			4	+	
§ 36	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
			3	+	
§ 39	7	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	84, 490, 3
§ 40	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 41	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
§ 42	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 49	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Recital A	3	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	165, 391, 9
Recital M	§	original text	sep	-	
After recital N	4	GUE/NGL	RCV	-	46, 500, 5
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	

Requests for roll-call votes

GUE/NGL: ams 5, 6, 7, 3, 4

Requests for separate votes

PPE-DE: recital M and § 41

Verts/ALE: 6, 8, 9, 17, 24, 27, 29, 30, 33, 40, 41, 42

Requests for split votes

PPE-DE:

§ 17

First part: up to 'sugar sector'

Second part: 'calls for the restoration ... by the outermost regions'

Third part: remainder

§ 36

First part: up to 'due attention'

Second part: 'in both sectoral ... framework legislation'

Third part: remainder

Verts/ALE

§ 22

First part: up to 'practices permitted'

Second part: remainder

§ 23

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'and the principle of relative stability'

Second part: those words

§ 25

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'or higher than'

Second part: those words

§ 49

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'for co-financing to meet additional transport costs and'

Second part: those words

ALDE

§ 41

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'a priority and make them'

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Second part: those words

PPE-DE, Verts/ALE

§ 34

First part: up to 'businesses in those regions'

Second part: 'whether via specific measures to ... competitiveness' without the words 'offset the additional costs ... their activities and to'

Third part: 'offset the additional costs ... their activities and'

Fourth part: remainder

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX II

RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES

1. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey

Amendment 2

For: 322

ALDE: Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chiesa, Cornillet, Costa, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Manders, Matsakis, Morillon, Mulder, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Ries, Starkevičiūtė, Takkula

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antonozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Bonsignore, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Caspary, del Castillo Vera, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Hybášková, Itälä, Jackson, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušks, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, Lulling, Maat, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Mikolášik, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Pač, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Berger, Bösch, Bono, Dührkop Dührkop, Ettl, Hänsch, Haug, Leichtfried, Scheele

UEN: Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Against: 282

ALDE: Alvaro, Andria, Attwooll, Bonino, Bowles, Busk, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Davies, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Mohácsi, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Watson

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Knapman, Louis, Natrass, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Mote

PPE-DE: Bowis, Buzek, Cederschiöld, Chmielewski, Deß, De Veyrac, Fjellner, Handzlik, Hökmark, Ibrisagic, Jałowiecki, Kaczmarek, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, Olbrycht, Podkański, Saryusz-Wolski, Seeberg, Siekierski, Sonik, Spautz, Ventre, Wijkman, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, van den Berg, Berlinguer, Berman, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Gröner, Gruber, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañga, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Prets, Rapkay, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes

Abstention: 61

ALDE: Savi, Toia

GUE/NGL: Krarup

IND/DEM: Bonde, Goudin, Krupa, Lundgren, Peçk, Wohlin

NI: Allister, Baco, Belohorská, Kozlík

PPE-DE: Ayuso González, Busuttil, Casa, Fraga Estévez, Galeote Quecedo, de Grandes Pascual, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hoppenstedt, Iturgaiz Angulo, Landsbergis, López-Istúriz White, Millán Mon, Rudi Ubeda, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vidal-Quadras Roca

PSE: Berès, Bourzai, Carlotti, Cottigny, Douay, Ferreira Anne, Fruteau, Grech, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Ilves, Laignel, Lienemann, Moscovici, Navarro, Pahor, Patrie, Peillon, Poignant, Reynaud, Rocard, Roth-Behrendt, Roure, Savary, Schapira, Vergnaud

Verts/ALE: Lichtenberger, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Corrections to votes

For

Françoise Castex

Against

Catherine Guy-Quint

Abstention

Guy Bono

2. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey

Amendment 1/1

For: 542

ALDE: Alvaro, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cornillet, Costa, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Juknevičienė, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahrmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Matsakis, Morillon, Mulder, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Ries, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Takkula, Toia

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Coûteaux, Goudin, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Louis, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, de Villiers, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Battilocchio, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Becsey, Belet, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Buzek, Caspary, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gaía, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hudacký, Íbrisagic, Itälä, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Lewandowski, Maat, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Mikolášik, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübzig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Peillon, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roue, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Against: 74

ALDE: Andria, Attwooll, Bonino, Bowles, Busk, Davies, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Kacin, Karim, Kułakowski, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Mohácsi, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Staniszewska, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Watson

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Ayuso González, Cabrnich, Duchoň, Fajmon, Fraga Estévez, Galeote Quecedo, Garriga Polledo, de Grandes Pascual, Hannan, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hybášková, Iturgaiz Angulo, López-Istúriz White, Millán Mon, Ouzký, Rudi Ubeda, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Strejček, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Andersson, Hedkvist Petersen, Segelström, Westlund

Verts/ALE: Lagendijk

Abstention: 46

GUE/NGL: Krarup

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Farage, Knapman, Natrass, Rogalski, Titford, Whittaker, Wise

NI: Baco, Bobošíková, Helmer, Kozlík, Rivera

PPE-DE: Atkins, Bauer, Berend, Bradbourn, Brejc, Busuttil, Callanan, Casa, Chichester, Coveney, Deß, De Veyrac, Evans Jonathan, Goepel, Hoppenstedt, Kamall, McMillan-Scott, Matsis, Parish, Schröder, Thyssen, Van Orden, Ventre, Zieleniec

PSE: Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Ilves, Jöns, Kuhne

Corrections to votes**For**

Claude Turmes, Othmar Karas

Against

Robert Sturdy

3. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey**Amendment 1/2****For: 304**

ALDE: Birutis, Budreikaitė, Chiesa, Costa, Degutis, Deprez, Duquesne, Guardans Cambó, Harkin, Juknevičienė, Lax, Matsakis, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Ries, Starkevičiūtė, Takkula, Toia

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Borghezio, Goudin, Karatzaferis, Lundgren, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Allister, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriekienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Audy, Barsi-Pataky, Becsey, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Březina, Brok, Buzek, Caspary, del Castillo Vera, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glatfelder, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, Grosch, Guellec, Gutiérrez-Cortines, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kacmarek, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klab, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Langen,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Martens, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Piskorski, Pleštinová, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, Bono, Bourzai, Carlotti, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Cottigny, De Keyser, Désir, Douay, Falbr, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, Gomes, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hutchinson, Lambrinidis, Lienemann, Matsouka, Moscovici, Muscat, Navarro, Patrie, Peillon, Poignant, Reynaud, Roure, Savary, Schapira, Sifunakis, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Whitehead, Xenogiannakopoulou

UEN: Angelilli, Berlatto, Camre, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Bennaahmias, Jonckheer, Ždanoka

Against: 294

ALDE: Alvaro, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cornillet, Davies, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Hall, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uytebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Watson

IND/DEM: Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Demetriou, Descamps, Duchoň, Fjellner, Fraga Estévez, Galeote Quecedo, Garriga Polledo, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Hannan, Harbour, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hökmark, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Kamall, Karas, Lamassoure, López-Istúriz White, McMillan-Scott, Marques, Mathieu, Mauro, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Ouzký, Parish, Pinheiro, Purvis, Rudi Ubeda, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Schnellhardt, Seeberg, Strejček, Stubb, Thyssen, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Záborská, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Andersson, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carnero González, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, De Rossa, De Vits, Díez González, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Grabowska, Gruber, Hänsch, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Myller, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Prets, Riera Madurell, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Segelström, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Titley, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Wynn, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Aylward, Crowley, Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Roszkowski, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Hassi, Horáček, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach, Kustatscher, Legendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroeder, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Abstention: 57**GUE/NGL:** Krarup, Portas**IND/DEM:** Batten, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Knapman, Louis, Natrass, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise**NI:** Helmer, Rivera**PPE-DE:** Atkins, Bauer, Belet, Berend, Brejc, Brepoels, Busuttil, Callanan, Casa, Chichester, Coveney, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Doyle, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Goepel, Hoppenstedt, Landsbergis, Lombardo, Matsis, Schröder, Ventre, Wijkman, Zieleniec**PSE:** D'Alema, Dobolyi, Golik, Grech, Hegyi, Ilves, Pahor, Rocard, Rosati, Scheele**UEN:** Didžiokas**Verts/ALE:** Isler Béguin, Voggenhuber**Corrections to votes****For**

Othmar Karas, Claude Turmes, Gérard Onesta, Marie Anne Isler Béguin

Against

Robert Sturdy, Britta Thomsen

4. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey**Amendment 3****For: 235****ALDE:** Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Cavada, Cornillet, Costa, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Laperrouze, Lax, Matsakis, Mulder, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pistelli, Starkevičiūtė, Takkula, Toia, Virrankoski**IND/DEM:** Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Coûteaux, Goudin, Karatzaferis, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin, Železný**NI:** Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke**PPE-DE:** Andrikiénė, Antoniozzi, Barsi-Pataky, Becsey, Belet, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Březina, Brok, Cesa, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Dehaene, Demetriou, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glatfelder, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, Grosch, Gyürk, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Surján, Szájer, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Zieleniec, Zimmerling**PSE:** Berger, Bösch, Ettl, Ferreira Anne, Gebhardt, Hänsch, Haug, Krehl, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Patrie, Piecyk, Prets, Scheele**UEN:** Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Zile**Verts/ALE:** Voggenhuber

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Against: 291

ALDE: Alvaro, Andria, Attwooll, Busk, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Davies, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Koch-Mehrin, Lambsdorff, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Mohácsi, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Pannella, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Natrass

NI: Allister, Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Kilroy-Silk, Mote

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Buzek, Cederschiöld, Daul, Descamps, Doyle, Fjellner, Fontaine, Gaubert, Grossetête, Guellec, Hökmark, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Jałowiecki, Mathieu, Saïfi, Seeberg, Siekierski, Stubb, Sudre, Toubon, Vlasto, Wijkman, Záborská

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, van den Berg, Berlinguer, Berman, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Myller, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Rapkay, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 129

ALDE: Kraemer, Manders

GUE/NGL: Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Krarup, Pafilis, Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Helmer, Kozlík, Rivera

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Bauer, Berend, Bowis, Bradbourn, Brejc, Brepoels, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttill, Cabrnach, Callanan, Casa, del Castillo Vera, Chichester, Chmielewski, Deva, Dover, Duchoň, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fraga Estévez, Galeote Quecedo, Garriga Polledo, Goepel, de Grandes Pascual, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hoppenstedt, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Kudrycka, Langendries, López-Istúriz White, McMillan-Scott, Mantovani, Millán Mon, Nicholson, Ouzký, Parish, Podestà, Podkański, Purvis, Rudi Ubeda, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Sonik, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tajani, Tannock, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Wojciechowski, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Beňová, Bono, Carlotti, Castex, Dobolyi, Douay, Fruteau, Guy-Quint, Ilves, Laignel, Le Foll, Muscat, Navarro, Peillon, Poignant, Reynaud, Roure, Savary, Schapira, Vergnaud, Weber Henri

UEN: Vaidere

Verts/ALE: Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Corrections to votes**For**

Alexander Lambsdorff

Against

Simon Coveney

5. RC B6-0484/2005 Turkey**Resolution****For: 356**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Bonino, Bowles, Busk, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Hall, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Kacin, Karim, Koch-Mehrin, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Ortuondo Larrea, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Portas, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wurtz, Zimmer

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Rivera, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Antoniozzi, Ayuso González, Bauer, Belet, Bonsignore, Bowis, Brok, Buzek, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Dehaene, Demetriou, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Esteves, Eurlings, Fjellner, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, de Grandes Pascual, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hökmark, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowicki, Jarzembowski, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McMillan-Scott, Mantovani, Martens, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, van Nistelrooij, Olbrycht, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Purvis, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schöpflin, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Penada, Sonik, Spautz, Stubb, Tajani, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Wijkman, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Zappalà, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, van den Berg, Berlinguer, Berman, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Peillon, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Crowley, Kristovskis, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Bennahmias

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Against: 181

ALDE: Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chiesa, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Harkin, Juknevičienė, Laperrouze, Lax, Matsakis, Morillon, Onyszkiewicz, Virrankoski

GUE/NGL: Henin

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Lundgren, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Železný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Becsey, Berend, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Cesa, Daul, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Dionisi, Ebner, Elles, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Friedrich, Gähler, Gál, Gala, Gaubert, Gauzès, Glattfelder, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Hennicot-Schoepges, Hieronymi, Itälä, Járóka, Jeggler, Klamt, Klab, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Mathieu, Mauro, Mayer, Mikolášik, Nassauer, Niebler, Olajos, Pack, Pálfi, Pieper, Píks, Pleštinská, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rübiger, Saïfi, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schwab, Seeber, Sommer, Stenzel, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Toubon, Ulmer, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Záborská, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

PSE: Berger, Bösch, Ettl, Hänsch, Haug, Jöns, Kuhne, Laignel, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Piecyk, Prets, Scheele

UEN: Camre, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Roszkowski

Verts/ALE: Staes

Abstention: 125

ALDE: Degutis, Hennis-Plasschaert, Klinz, Kraemer, Manders, Oviir, Resetarits, Takkula

GUE/NGL: Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Krarup, Pafilis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Strož, Toussas, Wagenknecht

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Kozlík

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Casa, Chichester, Deva, Dombrovskis, Dover, Duchoň, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Hannan, Harbour, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Kamall, Klich, Landsbergis, Lombardo, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Marques, Nicholson, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Parish, Schröder, Škottová, Šťastný, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, von Wogau, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zvěřina

PSE: Dobolyi, Gebhardt, Ilves, Krehl, Muscat, Patrie, Poignant, Roth-Behrendt, Sakalas, Savary, Weber Henri

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Foglietta, Krasts, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Corrections to votes

For

Mairead McGuinness, Joseph Muscat, Ria Oomen-Ruijten

Abstention

Claude Turmes, Henri Weber

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

6. Report: Radwan A6-0257/2005**Amendment 453****For: 43****IND/DEM:** Borghezio, Salvini, Speroni**NI:** Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke**PPE-DE:** Atkins, Cirino Pomicino, Jałowiecki**UEN:** Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile**Against: 546****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beauvuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimakakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Watson**GUE/NGL:** Krarup, Morgantini, Sjøstedt**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Bonde, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Lundgren, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Titford, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelazny**NI:** Allister, Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Mote**PPE-DE:** Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Callanan, Casa, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Ŏry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka**PSE:** Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Almeida, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

Abstention: 78

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Coûteaux, Louis, de Villiers

NI: Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Rivera

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Corrections to votes

For

Claude Turmes

Against

Rainer Wieland

7. Report: Radwan A6-0257/2005

Amendment 785

For: 613

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beauvuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Brežina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttill, Buzek, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Płks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafraña Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sunberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Peillon, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poinant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Berlatto, Camre, Didžiokas, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstascher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 35

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Nattrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Mote

UEN: Aylward, Crowley, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Abstention: 11

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Pafilis, Toussas

NI: Allister, Baco, Belohorská, Kozlík

UEN: Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Corrections to votes

For

Claude Turmes

8. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 14

For: 135

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Železný

NI: Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mölzer, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Cirino Pomicino, Mikolášik, Varvitsiotis, Wijkman, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling

PSE: Arif, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Busquin, Carlotti, Castex, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Douay, El Khadraoui, Ettl, Ferreira Anne, Fruteau, Golik, Hamon, Hutchinson, Laiguel, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Lienemann, Maňka, Moscovici, Navarro, Patrie, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Rocard, Roure, Savary, Scheele, Siwec, Tarabella, Trautmann, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri

Verts/ALE: Beer, Bennahmias, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Schlyter, Smith, Staes, Turmes

Against: 491

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beauvuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Coûteaux, Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Mote, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnach, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Coelho, Coveney, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Hannan, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Oomen-Ruijten, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájér, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Andersson, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzéli, Beglitis, Berlinguer, Berman, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carnero González, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, D'Alema, Díez González, Dobolyi, Estrela, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Rapkay, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Skinner, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Jonckheer, Lichtenberger, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Trüpel, Ždanoka

Abstention: 35

ALDE: Chiesa

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Salvini, Speroni

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Kozlík, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Chmielewski, Handzlik, Harbour, Jałowiecki, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kudrycka, Lewandowski, Olbrycht, Ŏry, Saryusz-Wolski, Siekierski, Sonik, Wojciechowski, Zaleski, Zwiefka

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Breyer, Joan i Marí, Lagendijk, Özdemir, Voggenhuber

Corrections to votes

For

Kathalijne Maria Buitenweg, Joost Lagendijk

Against

Othmar Karas, Anders Wijkman, Malcolm Harbour, Luís Queiró, Monica Frassoni

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

9. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 2

For: 352

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Koch-Mehrin, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Malmström, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin

NI: Belohorská, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Helmer, Rutowicz, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrowskis, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klafß, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kuşis, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafraña Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Tajani, Tannock, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Bullmann, Corbett, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haug, Hegyi, Herczog, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Krehl, Kuc, Kuhne, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McCarthy, Martin David, Mastenbroek, Mikko, Moraes, Muscat, Napolitano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Rapkay, Roth-Behrendt, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Schulz, Siwec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tarand, Titley, Vincenzi, Walter, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Horáček, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Trüpel, Voggenhuber

Against: 291

ALDE: Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Duquesne, Fournou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Hennis-Plasschaert, Laperrouze, Maaten, Manders, Morillon, Mulder, Polfer, Ries

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Bonde, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Battilocchio, Kilroy-Silk, Le Pen Marine, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Brepoels, Buzek, Chmielewski, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, De Veyrac, Dimitrakopoulos, Doorn, Duka-Zólyomi, Eurlings, Fontaine, Gál, Gaubert, Glattfelder, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hudacký, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Langendries, Lulling, Maat, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Mikolášik, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Saïfi, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Siekierski, Sonik, Sudre, Szájer, Toubon, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vlasto, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Glante, Goebbels, Gomes, Gruber, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Honeyball, Howitt, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Koterec, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, McAvan, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Myller, Navarro, Paasilinna, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rothe, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Sifunakis, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Weiler, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 19

IND/DEM: Karatzaferis, Železný

NI: Allister, Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli

PPE-DE: Klich, Roithová, Thyssen

PSE: Andersson, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Segelström, Westlund, Whitehead

Verts/ALE: Joan i Marí

Corrections to votes

For

Othmar Karas, Marilisa Xenogiannakopoulou

Against

Edith Mastenbroek

10. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 8

For: 368

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnach, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Dehaene, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gauzès, Gawronski, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Oomen-Ruijten, Ouzký, Pack, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Bullmann, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Fava, Fazakas, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Krehl, Kuc, Kuhne, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Siwiec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Titley, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Wynn, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Horáček, Kusstascher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 258

ALDE: Beaupuy, Bonino, Bourlanges, Cavada, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Laperrouze, Morillon, Pannella, Polfer, Ries

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Bonde, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, Whittaker, Wise, Zapalowski

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Mote

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Buzek, Chmielewski, Daul, Descamps, De Veyrac, Dimitrakopoulos, Fontaine, Gaubert, Gklavakis, Grossetête, Guellec, Handzlik, Hudacký, Jałowiecki, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Landsbergis, Lewandowski, Lulling, Mathieu, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Mikolášik, Olbrycht, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Piskorski, Saïfi, Saryusz-Wolski, Siekierski, Sonik, Sudre, Toubon, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vlasto, Wojciechowski, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Gomes, Gruber, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hegyi, Herczog, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Koterec, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Laïgnel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Moscovici, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Sifunakis, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Swoboda, Tarabella, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes

Abstention: 38

IND/DEM: Karatzaferis, Železný

NI: Allister, Baco, Gollnisch, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mussolini, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Duka-Zólyomi, Gál, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Járóka, Olajos, Óry, Pálfi, Roithová, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Surján, Szájer

PSE: Andersson, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Kósáné Kovács, Segelström, Westlund

UEN: Musumeci

Verts/ALE: Joan i Marí

Corrections to votes

Against

Katerina Batzeli

11. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 9/1

For: 393

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Bonino, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio, Goudin, Lundgren, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wohlin

NI: Claeys, Helmer, Rivera

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Coelho, Coveney, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Gyürk, Hannan, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggel, Jordan Cizelj, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Lechner, Lehne, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Olajos, Óry, Ouzký, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumbeg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PSE: Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Beglitis, Bullmann, Christensen, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haug, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Matsouka, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Tzampazi, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Whitehead, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Trüpel, Voggenhuber

Against: 255

ALDE: Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Hennis-Plasschaert, Laperrouze, Maaten, Morillon, Polfer

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaféris, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapalowski, Żelezný

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Mussolini, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Belet, Brepoels, Buzek, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, De Veyrac, Dimitrakopoulos, Doorn, Eurlings, Fontaine, Gaubert, Gauzès, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Handzlik, Jałowicki, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kudrycka, Langen, Langendries, Lewandowski, Lulling, Maat, Martens, Mathieu, Mikolášik, van Nistelrooij, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Pack, Pîks, Piskorski, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Saïfi, Saryusz-Wolski, Siekierski, Sonik, Sudre, Thyssen, Toubon, Vlasto, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Gomes, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Hegyi, Hutchinson, Koterec, Laiguel, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Moscovici, Navarro, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Tarabella, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 14

NI: Allister, Baco, Dillen, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Romagnoli, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Roithová

PSE: Andersson, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Segelström, Westlund

Verts/ALE: Joan i Mari

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Corrections to votes**For**

Othmar Karas, Richard Corbett

Against

Claude Turmes

12. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005**Amendment 9/2****For: 347**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bonino, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

NI: Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Mölzer, Rivera, Romagnoli, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gawronski, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klab, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kušiš, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Ouzký, Pack, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Tajani, Ulmer, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Andersson, Attard-Montalto, Bullmann, Corbett, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Grabowska, Gröner, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haut, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kuc, Kuhne, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Pahor, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Schulz, Segelström, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Titley, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wynn, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Horáček, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 290

ALDE: Beaupuy, Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Laperrouze, Manders, Morillon, Mulder, Onyszkiewicz, Polfer, Ries, Staniszevska

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Bonde, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Mussolini, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Brepoels, Buzek, del Castillo Vera, Chmielewski, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, De Veyrac, Dimitrakopoulos, Doorn, Duka-Zólyomi, Eurlings, Fontaine, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Langendries, Lulling, Maat, Martens, Mathieu, Matisis, Mavrommatis, Mikolášik, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peks, Piskorski, Roithová, Saifi, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Siekierski, Sonik, Sudre, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vlasto, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Koterec, Kristensen, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Liberadzki, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Moscovici, Navarro, Paasilinna, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Tarabella, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Bennaïmas, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Hammerstein Mintz, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes

Abstention: 15

ALDE: Kułakowski

NI: Allister, Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter

PPE-DE: Lewandowski, Podkański, Szájer

PSE: Gruber, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Kósáné Kovács, Swoboda

Verts/ALE: Frassoni, Joan i Marí

Corrections to votes

Against

Claude Turmes, Pedro Guerreiro

13. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 9/3

For: 350

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bonino, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraher, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin**NI:** Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Mölzer, Rivera, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnich, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gawronski, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jęggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kuškis, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Lechner, Lehne, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Ouzký, Pack, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Penada, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tajani, Tannock, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Bullmann, Corbett, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Grabowska, Gröner, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haug, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kuc, Kuhne, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, McAvan, McCarthy, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Napolitano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Schulz, Siwiec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Titley, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Whitehead, Wynn, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Horáček, Kusstascher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Schmidt, Schroedter, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 298

ALDE: Beaupuy, Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Laperrouze, Maaten, Morillon, Polfer, Ries

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Bonde, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapłowski, Zelezný

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Brepoels, Buzek, Chmielewski, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, De Veyrac, Doorn, Duka-Zólyomi, Eurlings, Fontaine, Gál, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glatfelder, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hudacký, Jałowicki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Langendries, Lewandowski, Maat, Martens, Mathieu, Mavrommatis, Mikolášik, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Paks, Piskorski, Podkański, Roithová, Saïfi, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Siekierski, Sonik, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis, Vlasto, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rosa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hutchinson, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kristensen, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Leichtfried,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Liberadzki, Lienemann, Madeira, Maňka, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Moscovici, Myller, Navarro, Paasilinna, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sifunakis, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Swoboda, Tarabella, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Westlund, Wiersma, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Hammerstein Mintz, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Smith, Staes, Turmes

Abstention: 10

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Speroni

NI: Allister, Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter

PSE: Grech, Gruber

Verts/ALE: Frassoni, Joan i Mari

Corrections to votes

Against

Claude Turmes

14. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Amendment 12

For: 553

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Wohlin, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Masiel, Rivera, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Dehaene, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gähler, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Jarzembowski, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Kłaf, Klich, Koch, Konrad,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Ouzký, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnautakis, Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Castex, Corbett, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, Gebhardt, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Gröner, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hegyi, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mastenbroek, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Óger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rocard, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zīle

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 79

GUE/NGL: Kohlíček

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Clark, Coúteaux, Farage, Knapman, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Daul, Descamps, De Veyrac, Fontaine, Gaubert, Grossetête, Guellec, Lulling, Mathieu, Saïfi, Sudre, Toubon, Vlasto

PSE: Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Calabuig Rull, Carnero González, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Díez González, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Jørgensen, Kristensen, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Riera Madurell, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Sornosa Martínez, Thomsen, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Roszkowski, Szymański

Abstention: 32

IND/DEM: Karatzaferis, Železný

NI: Allister, Baco, Claeys, Dillen, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Romagnoli, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Duka-Zólyomi, Gál, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Járóka, Landsbergis, Olajos, Óry, Pálfi, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Szájer

PSE: Andersson, Grech, Gruber, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Segelström, Westlund

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Corrections to votes

For

Othmar Karas

15. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Commission proposal

For: 402

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bonino, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Rivera

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antonozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnach, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauß, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kuškis, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Ouzký, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeborg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Berman, Bozkurt, Bullmann, Casaca, Corbett, Correia, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gröner, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haug, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Schulz, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Tittley, Tzampazi, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Whitehead, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Horáček, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Against: 203**ALDE:** Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Gibault, Griesbeck, Laperrouze**GUE/NGL:** Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Peł, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapalowski**NI:** Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Schenardi**PPE-DE:** Bachelot-Narquin, Buzek, Chmielewski, Handzlik, Jałowiecki, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, Olbrycht, Piskorski, Podkański, Roithová, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Siekierski, Sonik, Wojciechowski, Zaleski, Zwiefka**PSE:** Arif, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Calabuig Rull, Carlotti, Carnero González, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Gomes, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Kristensen, Laignel, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Moscovici, Paasilinna, Pahor, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roue, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Sornosa Martínez, Swoboda, Tarabella, Thomsen, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García**UEN:** Fotyga, Janowski, Roszkowski, Szymański**Verts/ALE:** Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Smith, Staes, Turmes**Abstention: 60****ALDE:** Fourtou, Hennis-Plasschaert, Ries, Staniszewska**IND/DEM:** Bonde, Borghezio, Karatzaferis, Salvini, Speroni, Železný**NI:** Allister, Baco, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Romagnoli, Vanhecke**PPE-DE:** Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Brepoels, Doorn, Duka-Zólyomi, Eurlings, Gál, Glattfelder, Grosch, Gyürk, Járóka, Lulling, Maat, Martens, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pálfi, Schöpflin, Surján, Szájer, Ventre, Wortmann-Kool**PSE:** Andersson, van den Burg, Busquin, Grech, Gruber, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Kósáné Kovács, Sousa Pinto, Westlund**Verts/ALE:** Aubert, Frassoni, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach, Schroedter**Corrections to votes****Against**

Edith Mastenbroek, Emine Bozkurt

16. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005**Amendment 15****For: 157****ALDE:** Beaupuy, Bourlanges, Budreikaitė, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Gibault, Griesbeck, Laperrouze, Morillon, Polfer

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio, Louis, Salvini, Speroni, de Villiers

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková

PPE-DE: Bonsignore, Brepoels, Hudacký, Korhola, Mikolášik, Schierhuber, Ventre

PSE: Arif, Beňová, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Carlotti, Castex, Corbey, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Douay, El Khadraoui, Ettl, Ferreira Anne, Fruteau, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hutchinson, Koterec, Laignel, Lavarra, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Maňka, Mastenbroek, Moscovici, Navarro, Paasilinna, Patrie, Piecyk, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Rocard, Roure, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Swoboda, Tarabella, Trautmann, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 483

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bonino, Bowles, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszweska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

IND/DEM: Batten, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Lundgren, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin, Zapalowski

NI: Allister, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Uboda, Rübige, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PSE: Andersson, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Berlinguer, Bullmann, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Correia, D'Alema, Díez González, Dobolyi, Falbr, Fava, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Gröner, Hänsch, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedkvist Petersen, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnoek, Kósáné Kovács, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Napoletano, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Rapkay, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Abstention: 23

ALDE: Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Hennis-Plasschaert, Manders, Toia

GUE/NGL: Pafilis, Toussas

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Karatzaferis, Železný

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Rivera, Romagnoli

PSE: Estrela, Fernandes, Grech, Gruber, Hegyi, Myller

17. Report: Jarzembowski A6-0143/2005

Resolution

For: 401

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Birutis, Bonino, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Gentvilas, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Sinnott, Wohlin

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Helmer, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daub, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušis, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Ouzký, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumburg, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PSE: Arnautakis, Attard-Montalto, Batzeli, Berlinguer, Bozkurt, Bullmann, Casaca, Corbett, Correia, D'Alema, Dobolyi, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Ford, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Gröner, Gurmai, Hänsch, Harangozó, Haug, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Ilves, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Mikko, Moraes, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Pahor, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Rapkay, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, dos Santos, Schulz, Siwiec, Skinner, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Titley, Tzampazi, Vincenzi, Walter, Weiler, Whitehead, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Auken, Beer, Breyer, Cramer, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Horáček, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 211

ALDE: Beaupuy, Bourlanges, Cornillet, Deprez, De Sarnez, Fourtou, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Laperrouze, Onyszkiewicz, Staniszevska

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Peł, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Bachelot-Narquin, Buzek, Chmielewski, Handzlik, Jałowiecki, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, Olajos, Olbrycht, Piskorski, Podkański, Roithová, Saryusz-Wolski, Siekierski, Sonik, Wijkman, Wojciechowski, Zaleski, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Castex, Cercas, Corbey, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Douay, El Khadraoui, Ettl, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Goebbels, Gomes, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hassé Ferreira, Hutchinson, Koterec, Laignel, Le Foll, Leichtfried, Lienemann, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moreno Sánchez, Moscovici, Patrie, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Roure, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Swoboda, Tarabella, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Weber Henri, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Bennahmias, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Hammerstein Mintz, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Turmes

Abstention: 51

ALDE: Cavada, Hennis-Plasschaert, Ries

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio

NI: Allister, Baco, Claeys, Dillen, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Brepoels, Duka-Zólyomi, Gál, Glattfelder, Grosch, Gyürk, Járóka, Lulling, Martens, Oomen-Ruijten, Őry, Pálfi, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Surján, Szájer

PSE: Andersson, van den Burg, Christensen, Estrela, Grech, Gruber, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Jørgensen, Kósáné Kovács, Kristensen, Paasilinna, Segelström, Thomsen, Westlund

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Frassoni, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Corrections to votes**For**

Anders Wijkman

Against

Edith Mastenbroek, Emine Bozkurt, Thijs Berman

Abstention

Janelly Fourtou

18. Report: Savary A6-0133/2005**Resolution****For: 603**

ALDE: Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Coûteaux, Karatzaferis, Louis, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, de Villiers, Železný

NI: Belohorská, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikiienė, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttil, Cabrnock, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrowskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškiš, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeborg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 24

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Knapman, Krupa, Lundgren, Nattrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Mote

PPE-DE: Podkański

Abstention: 40

GUE/NGL: Pafilis, Toussas

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Allister, Baco, Battilocchio, Helmer, Kozlák

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Buzek, Callanan, Chichester, Deva, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Hannan, Harbour, Kamall, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Parish, Purvis, Reul, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Zahradil, Zvěřina

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

19. Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005

Amendment 11

For: 502

ALDE: Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Markov, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Rizzo, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Martin Hans-Peter, Rivera, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttil, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Coelho, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Itälä, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kušks, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Oomen-Ruijten, Pack, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Pleštinšká, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeborg, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Spautz, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Sumberg, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Ulmer, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Míkko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Krasts, Kristovskis, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Ryan, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 146

GUE/NGL: Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Meijer, Remek, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Louis, Lundgren, Natrass, Sinnott, Titford, de Villiers, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Masiel, Mölzer, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Buzek, Cabrnoc, Callanan, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coveney, Deva, Dimitrakopoulos, Dover, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Elles, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fjellner, Gál, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Higgins, Hökmark, Ibrisagic, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Klich, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Nicholson, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Piskorski, Purvis, Roithová, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Siekierski, Škottová, Sonik, Šťastný, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Surján, Szájer, Tannock, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Vlasák, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Falbr, Paasilinna, Rouček

UEN: Berlato, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 9

GUE/NGL: Flasarová, Maštálka

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Salvini, Speroni

NI: Baco, Kozlík

PPE-DE: Wojciechowski

UEN: Tatarella

Corrections to votes

Against

Gitte Seeberg

20. Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005

Amendment 38

For: 530

ALDE: Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtoul, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahrmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Chruszcz, Grabowski, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mólzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikiénė, Antoniozzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Busuttil, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušis, Lamassoure,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Oomen-Ruijten, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Pleštinšká, Podestà, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Spautz, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 106

GUE/NGL: Kohlíček, Remek, Strož

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Clark, Farage, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Natrass, Sinnott, Titford, Wise, Železný

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Mote, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Bradbourn, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Buzek, Cabrnock, Callanan, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coveney, Deva, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Gál, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Higgins, Hökmark, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Klich, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mitchell, Nicholson, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pálfi, Parish, Piskorski, Podkański, Purvis, Roithová, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Siekierski, Škottová, Sonik, Štastný, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Wojciechowski, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Falbr, Paasilinna, Pahor, Rouček

UEN: Foglietta, Muscardini, Musumeci, Poli Bortone

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Abstention: 17

GUE/NGL: Flasarová, Maštálka

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio, Coûteaux, Goudin, Krupa, Louis, Lundgren, Salvini, Speroni, de Villiers, Wohlin

NI: Kozlík, Rivera

UEN: Berlato, Didžiokas

Corrections to votes

Against

Gitte Seeberg

21. Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005

Amendment 103

For: 533

ALDE: Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonifazi, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttil, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cesa, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrowski, Doorn, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gähler, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Gkavakis, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Itälä, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Koch, Konrad, Kušis, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Oomen-Ruijten, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rosa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstascher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Zdanoka

Against: 116

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Louis, Lundgren, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Allister, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Mote, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Barsi-Patak, Bauer, Becsey, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Buzek, Cabrnock, Callanan, Cederschiöld, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coveney, Deva, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fjellner, Gál, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Higgins, Hökmark, Ibrisagic, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Klich, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuźmiuk, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mayor Oreja, Mitchell, Nicholson, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pálfi, Parish, Piskorski, Purvis, Roithová, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Siekierski, Škottová, Sonik, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Wojciechowski, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Falbr, Paasilinna, Rouček

UEN: Angelilli, Didziokas, Musumeci, Poli Bortone

Abstention: 9

IND/DEM: Borghesio, Krupa

NI: Bobošíková, Kozlík, Rivera

UEN: Berlato, Muscardini, Tatarella

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Corrections to votes

For

Rodi Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou

Against

Gitte Seeberg

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

22. Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005**Amendment 13****For: 519**

ALDE: Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Kraemer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Prodi, Reserits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Markov, Maštálka, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttil, Buzek, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cesa, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gawronski, Goepel, Gomolka, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Grossetête, Guellec, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Jarzembowski, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Pack, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schnellhardt, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Ulmer, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

UEN: Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Krasts, Kristovskis, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Ryan, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 119

GUE/NGL: Kohlíček, Krarup, Liotard, McDonald, Meijer, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Sjöstedt, Strož, Svensson

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Borghezio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Louis, Lundgren, Natrass, Salvini, Speroni, Titford, de Villiers, Whittaker, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mölzer, Mote, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Ashworth, Atkins, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Cederschiöld, Chichester, Deva, Dimitrakopoulos, Dover, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Evans Jonathan, Fjellner, Gál, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Gyürk, Hannan, Harbour, Hökmark, Ibrisagic, Jackson, Járóka, Kamall, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, McMillan-Scott, Matsis, Mavrommatis, Nicholson, Olajos, Őry, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Purvis, Schmitt Pál, Schöpflin, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tannock, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Vlasák, Zahradil, Zvěřina

PSE: Paasilinna

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, La Russa, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 6

GUE/NGL: Flasarová

IND/DEM: Krupa

NI: Baco, Rivera

UEN: Muscardini, Tatarella

Corrections to votes

Against

Gitte Seeberg

23. Report: Sterckx A6-0123/2005

Amendment 138/rev.

For: 550

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Cornillet, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Koch-Mehrin, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pannella, Pistelli, Polfer, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Zapałowski

NI: Battilocchio, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Mölzer, Rutowicz, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Antoniozzi, Ayuso González, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttil, Buzek, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Deß, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrowskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Friedrich, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gawronski, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, de Grandes Pascual, Grosch, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hennicot-Schoepges, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Oomen-Ruijten, Öry, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Pits, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Spautz, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laigñel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Paleckis, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poinant, Prets, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rocard, Rosati, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Berlato, Camre, Didžiokas, Foglietta, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański, Tatarella

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cohn-Bendit, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Against: 87**ALDE:** Morillon, Virrankoski**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bloom, Booth, Clark, Karatzaferis, Knapman, Natrass, Sinnott, Titford, Železný**NI:** Allister, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Pen Marine, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi**PPE-DE:** Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Callanan, Chichester, Daul, Descamps, Deva, De Veyrac, Dimitrakopoulos, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Florenz, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gomolka, Gräßle, Grossetête, Guellec, Hannan, Harbour, Jeggle, Kamall, Klamt, Lehne, McMillan-Scott, Mathieu, Nicholson, Pack, Parish, Purvis, Reul, Roithová, Saifi, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Tannock, Toubon, Van Orden, Vlasák, Vlasto, Zahradil, Zvěřina**PSE:** Stockmann**UEN:** Aylward, Crowley, Ó Neachtain, Ryan**Abstention: 16****ALDE:** Lambsdorff**GUE/NGL:** Krarup, Portas, Sjöstedt**IND/DEM:** Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin**NI:** Baco, Kozlík, Rivera**PPE-DE:** Niebler, Wortmann-Kool**PSE:** Falbr, Rouček**UEN:** Vaidere, Zīle**24. Report: Guellec A6-0251/2005****Paragraph 12****For: 487****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Krahmer, Kułakowski, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelson, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis**GUE/NGL:** Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Borghezio, Karatzaferis, Sinnott, Speroni, Železný**NI:** Battilocchio, Romagnoli**PPE-DE:** Andriksen, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Cirino Pomicino, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Ehler, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Oomen-Ruijten, Ouzký, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podestà, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wortmann-Kool, Záborská, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Anne, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wynn, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Graefe zu Baringdorf, Harms, Smith, Staes

Against: 83

ALDE: Ek, Geremek, Onyszkiewicz, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė

IND/DEM: Batten, Booth, Chruszcz, Clark, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Kilroy-Silk, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Barsi-Pataky, Becsey, Březina, Buzek, Cabrnich, Fajmon, Gyürk, Handzlik, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Kaczmarek, Klich, Kudrycka, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pálfi, Saryusz-Wolski, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Strejček, Szájer, Vlasák, Wojciechowski, Wuermeling, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Jöns, Pinior, Rosati, Siwec, Szejna, Tabajdi

UEN: Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Roszkowski, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Flautre, Hammerstein Mintz, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Schlyter, Turmes

Abstention: 38

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Rachinel, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mólzer, Mussolini, Rivera, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Callanan, Landsbergis

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Foglietta, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Frassoni, Jonckheer, Rühle, Schmidt

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

25. Report: Marques A6-0256/2005**Amendment 5****For: 44****ALDE:** Chiesa**GUE/NGL:** Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Strož, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Karatzaferis**NI:** Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Le Rachinel, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Vanhecke**PSE:** Berlinguer, Castex**Against: 547****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bonino, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uytbroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezný**NI:** Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz**PPE-DE:** Andriksen, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gähler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guelléc, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka**PSE:** Andersson, Arif, Arnautakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gomes, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Foglietta, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zīle

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Ždanoka

Abstention: 10

GUE/NGL: Liotard, McDonald, Seppänen, Svensson

NI: Baco, Rivera

Verts/ALE: Bennahmias, Joan i Mari, Jonckheer, Turmes

26. Report: Marques A6-0256/2005

Amendment 6

For: 52

ALDE: Chiesa

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Karatzaferis

NI: Belohorská, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini

PPE-DE: Pinheiro

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Berlinguer, Castex, Herczog, Paasilinna

UEN: Aylward, Crowley, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Against: 547

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimakakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtoul, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Klinz, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Pistelli, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezny

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Battilocchio, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Kilroy-Silk, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Romagnoli, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnoc, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Liese, Lombardo, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gomes, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Moscovici, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Piniór, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Schulz, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Camre, Foglietta, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kustatscher, Legendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 5

NI: Claeys, Dillen, Rivera, Vanhecke

PSE: Ferreira Anne

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

27. Report: Marques A6-0256/2005

Amendment 7

For: 84

ALDE: Chiesa

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Kohlčček, Liotard, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Karatzaferis

NI: Bobošíková, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi

PSE: Castex, Ferreira Anne

UEN: Aylward, Crowley, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Against: 490

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelson, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Battilocchio, Czarnecki Ryszard, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Antoniazzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Brejc, Brepoels, Brežina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Callanan, Casa, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Míkolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnautakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Gomes, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Liberadzki, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Stihler, Swoboda, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Camre, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Abstention: 3

NI: Belohorská, Rivera

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

28. Report: Marques A6-0256/2005

Amendment 3

For: 165

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Stroj, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Chruszcz, Grabowski, Karatzaferis, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski, Železný

NI: Lang, Martinez, Mussolini, Romagnoli

PPE-DE: Audy, Bachelot-Narquin, Daul, Descamps, De Veyrac, Fontaine, Gaubert, Grossetête, Guellec, Mathieu, Saïfi, Sudre, Vlasto

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Castex, Fruteau, Golik, Grech, Ilves, Muscat, Paasilinna

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Lucas, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Against: 391

ALDE: Krahmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Booth, Borghezio, Clark, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, Wise

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

NI: Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Antoniozzi, Ashworth, Atkins, Ayuso González, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Callanan, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Dehaene, Demetriou, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Herrero-Tejedor, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jeggel, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Lehne, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sumberg, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Gomes, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Lienemann, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Stihler, Swoboda, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Crowley, Foglietta, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella, Vaidere, Žile

Abstention: 9

IND/DEM: Goudin, Lundgren, Wohlin

NI: Battilocchio, Martin Hans-Peter, Rivera

PSE: Bullmann, Ferreira Anne

Verts/ALE: Joan i Marí

29. Report: Marques A6-0256/2005

Amendment 4

For: 46

ALDE: Chiesa

GUE/NGL: Adamou, Agnoletto, Brie, Figueiredo, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Guidoni, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Pafilis, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Ransdorf, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Triantaphyllides, Verges, Wagenknecht, Wurtz, Zimmer

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

IND/DEM: Karatzaferis**NI:** Claeys, Dillen, Lang, Martinez, Mölzer, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke**PSE:** Attard-Montalto, Grech, Muscat, Paasilinna**Against: 500**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Degutis, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Ek, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kacin, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Malmström, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Riis-Jørgensen, Savi, Sbarbati, Schuth, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Booth, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Lundgren, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Speroni, Titford, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Antoniozzi, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Barsi-Pataky, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bonsignore, Bowis, Braghetto, Brepoels, Březina, Brok, Busuttill, Buzek, Cabrnach, Callanan, Castiglione, del Castillo Vera, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Coveney, Daul, Dehaene, Demetriou, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dimitrakopoulos, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Evans Jonathan, Fajmon, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Florenz, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Gargani, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Higgins, Hökmark, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Landsbergis, Langen, Langendries, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Méndez de Vigo, Mikolášik, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Ouzký, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Queiró, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Salafraña Sánchez-Neyra, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Pál, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Seeber, Seeborg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sonik, Spautz, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varvitsiotis, Ventre, Vernola, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Wieland, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zahradil, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Andersson, Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Badia I Cutchet, Barón Crespo, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Christensen, Corbett, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hänsch, Hamon, Harangozó, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Herczog, Honeyball, Hughes, Hutchinson, Ilves, Jøns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Lévai, Lienemann, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Morgan, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Piniór, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rosati, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Segelström, Sifunakis, Siwiec, Skinner, Sornosa Martínez, Stihler, Swoboda, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Xenogiannakopoulou, Yañez-Barnuevo García

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Musumeci, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Tatarella

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Flautre, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Isler Béguin, Joan i Mari, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lipietz, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Smith, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 5

IND/DEM: Bonde

NI: Battilocchio

PSE: Ferreira Anne

UEN: Vaidere, Zile

TEXTS ADOPTED**P6_TA(2005)0350****Opening of negotiations with Turkey****European Parliament resolution on the opening of negotiations with Turkey***The European Parliament,*

- having regard to its resolution of 15 December 2004 on the 2004 regular report and the recommendation of the Commission on Turkey's progress towards accession ⁽¹⁾ and its previous resolutions on this subject adopted between 18 June 1987 and 15 December 2004,
 - having regard to its resolution of 6 July 2005 on the role of women in Turkey in social, economic and political life ⁽²⁾,
 - having regard to the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 17 December 2004,
 - having regard to the draft framework for the accession negotiations with Turkey, as presented by the Commission on 29 June 2005,
 - having regard to the decisions taken by the European Council on the start of the accession negotiations with Turkey,
 - having regard to its resolution of 21 April 2004 on Cyprus ⁽³⁾,
 - having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas the Commission has concluded that Turkey has sufficiently fulfilled the Copenhagen political criteria and has recommended that accession negotiations be opened,
- B. whereas the European Council decided in 2002 that if Turkey fulfilled the Copenhagen political criteria the European Union would open accession negotiations without delay,
- C. whereas the European Parliament considered on 15 December 2004 that the opening of accession negotiations is to be recommended so long as it is agreed that in the first phase of the negotiations priority is given to the full implementation of the political criteria; that therefore the agenda of negotiations at ministerial level will start with the assessment of the fulfilment of the political criteria, especially in the area of human rights and full fundamental freedoms in both theory and practice, in the meantime opening up the opportunity to put other chapters on the agenda of the negotiations,
- D. whereas on that occasion the European Parliament, whilst respecting the democratic will of the Greek Cypriot community, expressed its regret that it had not been able to reach a solution, and called on the Turkish authorities to maintain their constructive attitude in finding a settlement of the Cyprus question leading to an equitable solution, to be negotiated on the basis of the Annan plan and the principles upon which the EU is founded, and to effect an early withdrawal, pursuant to the relevant UN resolutions, of their forces in accordance with a specific timetable; whereas it expressed its belief that such a withdrawal of Turkish forces is a necessary step forward on the way to further easing tension, resuming dialogue between the parties and preparing for a lasting solution; whereas it called on the Turkish authorities to recognise the Republic of Cyprus; whereas it drew the attention of the Turkish authorities to the fact that the negotiations concerned are intergovernmental negotiations between Turkey on the one hand and the 25 Member States of the EU on the other, the Republic of Cyprus being one of those Member States; whereas it noted that the opening of negotiations obviously implies the recognition of Cyprus by Turkey,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 226 E, 15.9.2005, p. 189.

⁽²⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0287.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 104 E, 30.4.2004, p. 720.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- E. whereas the European Parliament also invited the Turkish authorities to abolish all existing restrictions applying to ships flying the Cypriot flag and involved in trade relating to a Member State of the EU,
- F. whereas the European Council concluded on 17 December 2004 that Turkey fulfilled the Copenhagen criteria sufficiently well to start the accession negotiations on 3 October 2005, provided that it brought into force six outstanding pieces of legislation and that it signed, in accordance with its own commitment, the protocol extending the Ankara Agreement to the ten new Member States, and whereas the European Union must abide by previous commitments,
- G. whereas on 1 June 2005 Turkey brought into force the six outstanding pieces of legislation it was required to do,
- H. whereas on 29 July 2005 Turkey signed the Protocol extending the Ankara Agreement to the ten new Member States but at the same time, along with the Protocol, released a statement saying that the signing, ratification and implementation of this protocol did not amount to any form of recognition of the Republic of Cyprus referred to in the Protocol,
- I. whereas at the same time Turkey is continuing the embargo on vessels flying the Cypriot flag as well as vessels approaching from harbours in the Republic of Cyprus, denying them access to Turkish ports, and on Cypriot airplanes, denying them overflight rights and landing rights at Turkish airports,
- J. whereas the Turkish authorities have also still not complied with demands regarding Armenian issues, as expressed by the European Parliament in its resolution of 18 June 1987 ⁽¹⁾,
- K. whereas a democratic and economically stable Turkey would substantially benefit the whole of Europe,
- L. whereas only by demonstrating readiness to embrace EU values through determined implementation and continued reform will Turkey be able to ensure the irreversibility of the process of reform and to gather the necessary support amongst the body of EU public opinion,
- M. whereas the European Union's capacity to cope with enlargement is considered a prerequisite, as part of the Copenhagen criteria, and whereas, therefore, the European Union, for its part, must demonstrate that it is capable of political and institutional reform,
1. Notes that the Commission and the Council take the view that Turkey has formally fulfilled the last conditions for starting the accession negotiations on 3 October 2005, namely the bringing into force of the six outstanding pieces of legislation and the signing, in accordance with its own undertaking, of the protocol extending the Ankara Agreement to the ten new Member States; is of the opinion that, on these and other points, the implementation still has to be fulfilled;
 2. Sincerely deplores the fact that Turkey has cast serious doubt on its willingness fully to implement all provisions of the Protocol by releasing at the same time, together with the Protocol, a statement saying that the signing, ratification and implementation of that Protocol does not amount to any form of recognition of the Republic of Cyprus referred to in the Protocol; reminds the Commission to provide Parliament with an answer from the Turkish Government as to whether the unilateral declaration is part of the ratification process in the Turkish Parliament;
 3. Stresses that this unilateral declaration by Turkey does not form part of the Protocol and has no legal effect on Turkey's obligations under the Protocol, and should not be sent to the Grand National Assembly for ratification;
 4. Reminds Turkey that by maintaining restrictions against vessels flying the Cypriot flag and vessels approaching from harbours in the Republic of Cyprus, in the form of denial of access to Turkish ports, and against Cypriot aircraft, by denying them overflight rights and landing rights at Turkish airports, Turkey is in breach of the Ankara Agreement and the related Customs Union irrespective of the Protocol, as this practice infringes the principle of the free movement of goods; calls, therefore, on Turkey fully to implement all the provisions of the Protocol;

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 190, 20.7.1987, p. 119.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5. Calls on Turkey to recognise the Armenian genocide; considers this recognition to be a prerequisite for accession to the European Union;
6. Calls on the Commission to make, by the end of 2006, a full assessment of the implementation of the extended Ankara Agreement, and stresses that failure in the implementation of this agreement will have serious implications for the negotiation process and could even lead to a halting of the negotiation process; demands, therefore, that the implementation of the Customs Union be amongst the first chapters to be dealt with in the accession negotiations in 2006;
7. Expresses once again, whilst respecting the democratic will of the Greek Cypriot community, its regret that it has not been able to reach a solution, and calls on the Turkish authorities to maintain their constructive attitude in finding a settlement of the Cyprus question leading to an equitable solution, to be negotiated on the basis of the Annan plan and the principles upon which the EU is founded, and to effect an early withdrawal, pursuant to the relevant UN resolutions, of their forces in accordance with a specific timetable; believes that such a withdrawal of Turkish forces is a necessary step forward on the way to further easing tension, resuming dialogue between the parties and preparing for a lasting solution; calls once again on all parties in Cyprus to resume the UN-led talks on a comprehensive settlement to the conflict;
8. Emphasises that a rapid normalisation of relations between Turkey and all EU Member States, including Turkey's recognition of the Republic of Cyprus, is a necessary component of the accession process; stresses that Turkey's recognition of the Republic of Cyprus can in no way be the subject of the negotiations; calls on the Turkish authorities to normalise relations between Turkey and all EU Member States and recognise the Republic of Cyprus as soon as possible, and stresses that failure to do so will have serious implications for the negotiation process and could even lead to a halting of the negotiation process;
9. Calls on the Council also to deliver on promises and to put an end to the isolation of the Turkish Cypriot community; calls on the Council, under the current UK Presidency, to make renewed efforts to reach agreement on the financial aid package and on trade facilitation regulations concerning the northern part of Cyprus in order that the EU honour its own commitments with respect to the Turkish Cypriot community;
10. Welcomes the adoption and entry into force on 1 June 2005 of six important pieces of legislation, a step which was established by the European Council in December 2004 as a condition for the opening of the negotiations; notes that concerns remain about certain elements of the laws adopted; is in particular concerned about the complaints made by the public prosecutor against Orhan Pamuk which are in breach of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and calls on the Turkish Government to guarantee freedom of opinion and to further reform the penal code with regard, in particular, to Article 301/1 thereof; also expresses its concern about Article 305 of the Turkish Penal Code, which criminalises 'acts against the fundamental national interest', and a regulation implementing the law on associations, which retains a number of restrictions, including a priori authorisation of foreign funding; notes that serious concerns also remain regarding the insufficient legal proposals regarding the functioning of religious communities (Law on Foundations);
11. Insists that the negotiating framework should reflect the political priorities referred to by the European Parliament in its various resolutions calling on Turkey to satisfy fully the following political criteria: stability of institutions guaranteeing democracy, the rule of law, human rights and respect for, and protection of, minorities; asks therefore for each session of the negotiations at ministerial level to be preceded by an assessment of the political criteria in both theory and practice, thus exerting permanent pressure on the Turkish authorities to maintain the pace of the necessary reforms; considers, furthermore, that a full programme of clear targets, timeframes and deadlines should be fixed for the fulfilment of political criteria;
12. Calls on the Council to respect fully all elements of the framework for negotiations as laid down in the conclusions of the meeting of the European Council of 17 December 2004; emphasises in particular in this framework that the shared objective of the negotiations is accession, that these negotiations are an open-ended process, the outcome of which cannot be guaranteed in advance, and that, while taking account of all Copenhagen criteria, if the candidate State is not in a position to assume in full all the obligations of membership, it must be ensured that the candidate State concerned is fully anchored in the European structures through the strongest possible bond;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

13. Calls on the Council and the Commission in this context to report annually to the European Parliament and the national parliaments of the EU Member States on the progress made by Turkey in fulfilling the political criteria, and to include in this report all verified cases of torture reported in that year and the number of Turkish asylum seekers accepted by the EU Member States during that year;
14. Urges the Commission, once the negotiations on the various chapters have started, to recommend, in the event of a serious and persistent breach of the principles of liberty, democracy, respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, the rights of minorities and the rule of law, and after consultation of the European Parliament, the suspension of negotiations, in line with the Treaty on European Union;
15. Notes that the budgetary impact of Turkey's accession to the EU can only be fully assessed once the parameters for the financial negotiations with Turkey have been defined in the context of the financial perspective from 2014 onwards;
16. Stresses that the Commission's recommendation to negotiate long transition periods, specific arrangements in areas such as structural policies and agriculture and permanent safeguards for the free movement of workers in the accession agreement should not have a negative impact on Turkey's efforts to align with the *acquis*;
17. Stresses that the opening of negotiations will be the starting point for a long-lasting process, which by its very nature is an open-ended process and does not lead 'a priori' and automatically to accession; emphasises, however, that the objective of the negotiations is Turkish EU membership, but that the realisation of this ambition will depend on the efforts of both sides; accession is thus not the automatic consequence of the start of the negotiations;
18. Emphasises that the Nice Treaty is not an acceptable basis for further decisions on the accession of any further new Member States and therefore insists that the necessary reforms be brought into force within the framework of the constitutional process;
19. Recalls that, in accordance with the conclusions of the Copenhagen European Council in 1993, the Union's capacity to absorb Turkey while maintaining the momentum of European integration is an important consideration in the general interest of both the Union and Turkey; supports the Commission in monitoring during the negotiations the Union's capacity to absorb Turkey, and therefore reminds the Commission of its demand, included in the last European Parliament resolution on Turkey's progress towards accession, adopted on 15 December 2004, to receive the follow-up to the impact study in 2005, which would provide useful information about this important aspect of the question;
20. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, the President of the European Court of Human Rights and the Government and Parliament of Turkey.

P6_TA(2005)0351

Taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions *I**

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council re-casting Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions (COM(2004)0486 — C6-0141/2004 — 2004/0155(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0486) ⁽¹⁾,
- having regard to Article 251(2) and Article 47(2) of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0141/2004),

(¹) Not yet published in OJ.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs and the opinion of the Committee on Legal Affairs (A6-0257/2005),
- 1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
- 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
- 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0155

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions (recast)

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular the first and third sentences of Article 47(2) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Central Bank ⁽²⁾,

Acting in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽³⁾,

Whereas:

- (1) Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions ⁽⁴⁾ has been significantly amended on several occasions. Now that new amendments are being made to the said Directive, it is desirable, in order to clarify matters, that it should be recast.
- (2) In order to make it easier to take up and pursue the business of credit institutions, it is necessary to eliminate the most obstructive differences between the laws of the Member States as regards the rules to which these institutions are subject.
- (3) This Directive constitutes the essential instrument for the achievement of the internal market from the point of view of both the freedom of establishment and the freedom to provide financial services, in the field of credit institutions.
- (4) The Commission Communication of 11 May 1999 entitled 'Implementing the framework for financial markets: Action plan', listed a number of goals that need to be achieved in order to complete the internal market in financial services. The Lisbon European Council of 23 and 24 March 2000 set the goal of implementing the action plan by 2005. Recasting of the provisions on own funds is a key element of the action plan.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 234, 22.9.2005, p. 8.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 52, 2.3.2005, p. 37.

⁽³⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28 September 2005.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 126, 26.5.2000, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2006/29/EC (OJ L 70, 9.3.2006, p. 50).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (5) Measures to coordinate credit institutions should, both in order to protect savings and to create equal conditions of competition between these institutions, apply to all of them. Due regard should however be had to the objective differences in their statutes and their proper aims as laid down by national laws.
- (6) The scope of those measures should therefore be as broad as possible, covering all institutions whose business is to receive repayable funds from the public, whether in the form of deposits or in other forms such as the continuing issue of bonds and other comparable securities and to grant credits for their own account. Exceptions should be provided for in the case of certain credit institutions to which this Directive cannot apply. The provisions of this Directive should not prejudice the application of national laws which provide for special supplementary authorisations permitting credit institutions to carry on specific activities or undertake specific kinds of operations.
- (7) It is appropriate to effect only the essential harmonisation necessary and sufficient to secure the mutual recognition of authorisation and of prudential supervision systems, making possible the granting of a single licence recognised throughout the Community and the application of the principle of home Member State prudential supervision. Therefore, the requirement that a programme of operations be produced should be seen merely as a factor enabling the competent authorities to decide on the basis of more precise information using objective criteria. A measure of flexibility should nonetheless be possible as regards the requirements on the legal form of credit institutions concerning the protection of banking names.
- (8) Since the objectives of this Directive, namely the introduction of rules concerning the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, and their prudential supervision, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and the effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at Community level, the Community may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.
- (9) Equivalent financial requirements for credit institutions are necessary to ensure similar safeguards for savers and fair conditions of competition between comparable groups of credit institutions. Pending further coordination, appropriate structural ratios should be formulated making it possible within the framework of cooperation between national authorities to observe, in accordance with standard methods, the position of comparable types of credit institutions. This procedure should help to bring about the gradual approximation of the systems of coefficients established and applied by the Member States. It is necessary, however to make a distinction between coefficients intended to ensure the sound management of credit institutions and those established for the purposes of economic and monetary policy.
- (10) The principles of mutual recognition and home Member State supervision require that Member States' competent authorities should not grant or should withdraw an authorisation where factors such as the content of the activities programmes, the geographical distribution of activities or the activities actually carried on indicate clearly that a credit institution has opted for the legal system of one Member State for the purpose of evading the stricter standards in force in another Member State within whose territory it carries on or intends to carry on the greater Part of its activities. Where there is no such clear indication, but the majority of the total assets of the entities in a banking group are located in another Member State the competent authorities of which are responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis, in the context of Articles 125 and 126 responsibility for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis should be changed only with the agreement of those competent authorities. A credit institution which is a legal person should be authorised in the Member State in which it has its registered office. A credit institution which is not a legal person should have its head office in the Member State in which it has been authorised. In addition, Member States should require that a credit institution's head office always be situated in its home Member State and that it actually operates there.
- (11) The competent authorities should not authorise or continue the authorisation of a credit institution where they are liable to be prevented from effectively exercising their supervisory functions by the close links between that institution and other natural or legal persons. Credit institutions already authorised should also satisfy the competent authorities in that respect.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (12) The reference to the supervisory authorities' effective exercise of their supervisory functions covers supervision on a consolidated basis which should be exercised over a credit institution where the provisions of Community law so provide. In such cases, the authorities applied to for authorisation should be able to identify the authorities competent to exercise supervision on a consolidated basis over that credit institution.
- (13) This Directive enables Member States and/or competent authorities to apply capital requirements on a solo and consolidated basis, and to disapply solo where they deem this appropriate. Solo, consolidated and cross-border consolidated supervision are useful tools in overseeing credit institutions. This Directive enables competent authorities to support cross border institutions by facilitating cooperation between them. In particular, the competent authorities should continue to make use of Articles 42, 131 and 141 to coordinate their activities and information requests.
- (14) Credit institutions authorised in their home Member States should be allowed to carry on, throughout the Community, any or all of the activities listed in Annex I by establishing branches or by providing services.
- (15) The Member States may also establish stricter rules than those laid down in Article 9(1), first subparagraph, Article 9(2) and Articles 12, 19 to 21, 44 to 52, 75 and 120 to 122 for credit institutions authorised by their competent authorities. The Member States may also require that Article 123 be complied with on an individual or other basis, and that the sub-consolidation described in Article 73(2) be applied to other levels within a group.
- (16) It is appropriate to extend mutual recognition to the activities listed in Annex I when they are carried on by financial institutions which are subsidiaries of credit institutions, provided that such subsidiaries are covered by the consolidated supervision of their parent undertakings and meet certain strict conditions.
- (17) The host Member State should be able, in connection with the exercise of the right of establishment and the freedom to provide services, to require compliance with specific provisions of its own national laws or regulations on the Part of institutions not authorised as credit institutions in their home Member States and with regard to activities not listed in Annex I provided that, on the one hand, such provisions are compatible with Community law and are intended to protect the general good and that, on the other hand, such institutions or such activities are not subject to equivalent rules under this legislation or regulations of their home Member States.
- (18) The Member States should ensure that there are no obstacles to carrying on activities receiving mutual recognition in the same manner as in the home Member State, as long as the latter do not conflict with legal provisions protecting the general good in the host Member State.
- (19) The rules governing branches of credit institutions having their head office outside the Community should be analogous in all Member States. It is important to provide that such rules may not be more favourable than those for branches of institutions from another Member State. The Community should be able to conclude agreements with third countries providing for the application of rules which accord such branches the same treatment throughout its territory. The branches of credit institutions authorised in third countries should not enjoy the freedom to provide services under the second paragraph of Article 49 of the Treaty or the freedom of establishment in Member States other than those in which they are established.
- (20) Agreement should be reached, on the basis of reciprocity, between the Community and third countries with a view to allowing the practical exercise of consolidated supervision over the largest possible geographical area.
- (21) Responsibility for supervising the financial soundness of a credit institution, and in particular its solvency, should lay with its home Member State. The host Member State's competent authorities should be responsible for the supervision of the liquidity of the branches and monetary policies. The supervision of market risk should be the subject of close cooperation between the competent authorities of the home and host Member States.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (22) The smooth operation of the internal banking market requires not only legal rules but also close and regular cooperation and significantly enhanced convergence of regulatory and supervisory practices between the competent authorities of the Member States. To this end, in particular, consideration of problems concerning individual credit institutions and the mutual exchange of information should take place in the Committee of European Banking Supervisors set up by Commission Decision 2004/5/EC⁽¹⁾. That mutual information procedure should not in any case replace bilateral cooperation. Without prejudice to their own powers of control, the competent authorities of the host Member States should be able, in an emergency, on their own initiative or following the initiative of the competent authorities of home Member State, to verify that the activities of a credit institution established within their territories comply with the relevant laws and with the principles of sound administrative and accounting procedures and adequate internal control.
- (23) It is appropriate to allow the exchange of information between the competent authorities and authorities or bodies which, by virtue of their function, help to strengthen the stability of the financial system. In order to preserve the confidential nature of the information forwarded, the list of addressees should remain within strict limits.
- (24) Certain behaviour, such as fraud and insider offences, is liable to affect the stability, including the integrity, of the financial system, even when involving institutions other than credit institutions. It is necessary to specify the conditions under which exchange of information in such cases is authorised.
- (25) Where it is stipulated that information may be disclosed only with the express agreement of the competent authorities, these should be able, where appropriate, to make their agreement subject to compliance with strict conditions.
- (26) Exchanges of information between, on the one hand, the competent authorities and, on the other, central banks and other bodies with a similar function in their capacity as monetary authorities and, where appropriate, other public authorities responsible for supervising payment systems should also be authorised.
- (27) For the purpose of strengthening the prudential supervision of credit institutions and the protection of clients of credit institutions, auditors should have a duty to report promptly to the competent authorities, wherever, during the performance of their tasks, they become aware of certain facts which are liable to have a serious effect on the financial situation or the administrative and accounting organisation of a credit institution. For the same reason Member States should also provide that such a duty applies in all circumstances where such facts are discovered by an auditor during the performance of his tasks in an undertaking which has close links with a credit institution. The duty of auditors to communicate, where appropriate, to the competent authorities certain facts and decisions concerning a credit institution which they discover during the performance of their tasks in a non-financial undertaking should not in itself change the nature of their tasks in that undertaking nor the manner in which they should perform those tasks in that undertaking.
- (28) This Directive specifies that for certain own funds items qualifying criteria should be specified, without prejudice to the possibility of Member States to apply more stringent provisions.
- (29) According to the nature of the items constituting own funds, this Directive distinguishes between on the one hand, items constituting original own funds and, on the other, those constituting additional own funds.
- (30) To reflect the fact that items constituting additional own funds are not of the same nature as those constituting original own funds, the amount of the former included in own funds should not exceed the original own funds. Moreover, the amount of certain items of additional own funds included should not exceed one half of the original own funds.
- (31) In order to avoid distortions of competition, public credit institutions should not include in their own funds guarantees granted them by the Member States or local authorities.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 3, 7.1.2004, p. 28.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (32) Whenever in the course of supervision it is necessary to determine the amount of the consolidated own funds of a group of credit institutions, the calculation should be effected in accordance with this Directive.
- (33) The precise accounting technique to be used for the calculation of own funds, their adequacy for the risk to which a credit institution is exposed, and for the assessment of the concentration of exposures should take account of the provisions of Council Directive 86/635/EEC of 8 December 1986 on the annual accounts and consolidated accounts of banks and other financial institutions⁽¹⁾, which incorporates certain adaptations of the provisions of Seventh Council Directive 83/349/EEC of 13 June 1983 on consolidated accounts⁽²⁾ or of Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 July 2002 on the application of international accounting standards⁽³⁾, whichever governs the accounting of the credit institutions under national law.
- (34) Minimum capital requirements play a central role in the supervision of credit institutions and in the mutual recognition of supervisory techniques. In that respect, the provisions on minimum capital requirements should be considered in conjunction with other specific instruments also harmonising the fundamental techniques for the supervision of credit institutions.
- (35) In order to prevent distortions of competition and to strengthen the banking system in the internal market, it is appropriate to lay down common minimum capital requirements.
- (36) For the purposes of ensuring adequate solvency it is important to lay down minimum capital requirements which weight assets and off-balance-sheet items according to the degree of risk.
- (37) On this point, on 26 June 2004 the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision adopted a framework agreement on the international convergence of capital measurement and capital requirements. The provisions in this Directive on the minimum capital requirements of credit institutions, and the minimum capital provisions in Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of ... on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions⁽⁴⁾, form an equivalent to the provisions of the Basel framework agreement.
- (38) It is essential to take account of the diversity of credit institutions in the Community by providing alternative approaches to the calculation of minimum capital requirements for credit risk incorporating different levels of risk-sensitivity and requiring different degrees of sophistication. Use of external ratings and credit institutions' own estimates of individual credit risk parameters represents a significant enhancement in the risk-sensitivity and prudential soundness of the credit risk rules. There should be appropriate incentives for credit institutions to move towards the more risk-sensitive approaches. In producing the estimates needed to apply the approaches to credit risk of this Directive, credit institutions will have to adjust their data processing needs to their clients' legitimate data protection interests as governed by the existing Community legislation on data protection, while enhancing credit risk measurement and management processes of credit institutions to make methods for determining credit institutions' regulatory own funds requirements available that reflect the sophistication of individual credit institutions' processes. The processing of data should be in accordance with the rules on transfer of personal data laid down in Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data⁽⁵⁾. In this regard, the processing of data in connection with the incurring and management of exposures to customers should be considered to include the development and validation of credit risk management and measurement systems. That serves not only to fulfil the legitimate interest of credit institutions but also the purpose of this Directive, to use better methods for risk measurement and management and also use them for regulatory own funds purposes.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 372, 31.12.1986, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 178, 17.7.2003, p. 16).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 18.7.1983, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 243, 11.9.2002, p. 1.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L ...

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31. Directive as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1882/2003 (OJ L 284, 31.10.2003, p. 1).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (39) With regard to the use of both external and an institution's own estimates or internal ratings, account should be taken of the fact that, at present, only the latter are drawn up by an entity — the financial institution itself — which is subject to a Community authorisation process. In the case of external ratings use is made of the products of what are known as recognised rating agencies, which in the Community are not currently subject to an authorisation process. In view of the importance of external ratings in connection with the calculation of capital requirements under this Directive, appropriate future authorisation and supervisory process for rating agencies need to be kept under review.
- (40) The minimum capital requirements should be proportionate to the risks addressed. In particular the reduction in risk levels deriving from having a large number of relatively small exposures should be reflected in the requirements.
- (41) The provisions of this Directive respect the principle of proportionality, having regard in particular to the diversity in size and scale of operations and to the range of activities of credit institutions. Respect of the principle of proportionality also means that the simplest possible rating procedures, even in the Internal Ratings Based Approach ('IRB Approach'), are recognised for retail exposures.
- (42) The 'evolutionary' nature of this Directive enables credit institutions to choose amongst three approaches of varying complexity. In order to allow especially small credit institutions to opt for the more risk-sensitive IRB Approach, the competent authorities should implement the provisions of Article 89(1)(a) and (b) whenever appropriate. Those provisions should be read as such that exposure classes referred to in Article 86(1)(a) and (b) include all exposures that are, directly or indirectly, put on a par with them throughout this Directive. As a general rule, the competent authorities should not discriminate between the three approaches with regard to the Supervisory Review Process, i.e. credit institutions operating according to the provisions of the Standardised Approach should not for that reason alone be supervised on a stricter basis.
- (43) Increased recognition should be given to techniques of credit risk mitigation within a framework of rules designed to ensure that solvency is not undermined by undue recognition. The relevant Member States' current customary banking collateral for mitigating credit risks should wherever possible be recognised in the Standardised Approach, but also in the other approaches.
- (44) In order to ensure that the risks and risk reductions arising from credit institutions' securitisation activities and investments are appropriately reflected in the minimum capital requirements of credit institutions it is necessary to include rules providing for a risk-sensitive and prudentially sound treatment of such activities and investments.
- (45) Operational risk is a significant risk faced by credit institutions requiring coverage by own funds. It is essential to take account of the diversity of credit institutions in the Community by providing alternative approaches to the calculation of operational risk requirements incorporating different levels of risk-sensitivity and requiring different degrees of sophistication. There should be appropriate incentives for credit institutions to move towards the more risk-sensitive approaches. In view of the emerging state of the art for the measurement and management of operational risk the rules should be kept under review and updated as appropriate including in relation to the charges for different business lines and the recognition of risk mitigation techniques. Particular attention should be paid in this regard to taking insurance into account in the simple approaches to calculating capital requirements for operational risk.
- (46) In order to ensure adequate solvency of credit institutions within a group it is essential that the minimum capital requirements apply on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of the group. In order to ensure that own funds are appropriately distributed within the group and available to protect savings where needed, the minimum capital requirements should apply to individual credit institutions within a group, unless this objective can be effectively otherwise achieved.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (47) The essential rules for monitoring large exposures of credit institutions should be harmonised. Member States should still be able to adopt provisions more stringent than those provided for by this Directive.
- (48) The monitoring and control of a credit institution's exposures should be an integral Part of its supervision. Therefore, excessive concentration of exposures to a single client or group of connected clients may result in an unacceptable risk of loss. Such a situation can be considered prejudicial to the solvency of a credit institution.
- (49) Since credit institutions in the internal market are engaged in direct competition, monitoring requirements should be equivalent throughout the Community.
- (50) While it is appropriate to base the definition of exposures for the purposes of limits to large exposures on that provided for the purposes of minimum own funds requirements for credit risk, it is not appropriate to refer on principle to the weightings or degrees of risk. Those weightings and degrees of risk were devised for the purpose of establishing a general solvency requirement to cover the credit risk of credit institutions. In order to limit the maximum loss that a credit institution may incur through any single client or group of connected clients it is appropriate to adopt rules for the determination of large exposures which take account of the nominal value of the exposure without applying weightings or degrees of risk.
- (51) While it is desirable, pending further review of the large exposures provisions, to permit the recognition of the effects of credit risk mitigation in a manner similar to that permitted for minimum capital requirement purposes in order to limit the calculation requirements, the rules on credit risk mitigation were designed in the context of the general diversified credit risk arising from exposures to a large number of counterparties. Accordingly, recognition of the effects of such techniques for the purposes of limits to large exposures designed to limit the maximum loss that may be incurred through any single client or group of connected clients should be subject to prudential safeguards.
- (52) When a credit institution incurs an exposure to its own parent undertaking or to other subsidiaries of its parent undertaking, particular prudence is necessary. The management of exposures incurred by credit institutions should be carried out in a fully autonomous manner, in accordance with the principles of sound banking management, without regard to any other considerations. Where the influence exercised by persons directly or indirectly holding a qualifying participation in a credit institution is likely to operate to the detriment of the sound and prudent management of that institution, the competent authorities should take appropriate measures to put an end to that situation. In the field of large exposures, specific standards, including more stringent restrictions, should be laid down for exposures incurred by a credit institution to its own group. Such standards need not, however be applied where the parent undertaking is a financial holding company or a credit institution or where the other subsidiaries are either credit or financial institutions or undertakings offering ancillary services, provided that all such undertakings are covered by the supervision of the credit institution on a consolidated basis.
- (53) Credit institutions should ensure that they have internal capital that, having regard to the risks to which they are or may be exposed, is adequate in quantity, quality and distribution. Accordingly, credit institutions should have strategies and processes in place for assessing and maintaining the adequacy of their internal capital.
- (54) Competent authorities have responsibility to be satisfied that credit institutions have good organisation and adequate own funds, having regard to the risks to which the credit institutions are or might be exposed.
- (55) In order for the internal banking market to operate effectively the Committee of European Banking Supervisors should contribute to the consistent application of this Directive and to the convergence of supervisory practices throughout the Community, and should report on a yearly basis to the Community institutions on progress made.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (56) For the same reason, and to ensure that Community credit institutions which are active in several Member States are not disproportionately burdened as a result of the continued responsibilities of individual Member State competent authorities for authorisation and supervision, it is essential to significantly enhance the cooperation between competent authorities. In this context, the role of the consolidating supervisor should be strengthened. The Committee of European Banking Supervisors should support and enhance such cooperation.
- (57) Supervision of credit institutions on a consolidated basis aims at, in particular, protecting the interests of the depositors of credit institutions and at ensuring the stability of the financial system.
- (58) In order to be effective, supervision on a consolidated basis should therefore be applied to all banking groups, including those the parent undertakings of which are not credit institutions. The competent authorities should hold the necessary legal instruments to be able to exercise such supervision.
- (59) In the case of groups with diversified activities where parent undertakings control at least one credit institution subsidiary, the competent authorities should be able to assess the financial situation of a credit institution in such a group. The competent authorities should at least have the means of obtaining from all undertakings within a group the information necessary for the performance of their function. Cooperation between the authorities responsible for the supervision of different financial sectors should be established in the case of groups of undertakings carrying on a range of financial activities. Pending subsequent coordination, the Member States should be able to lay down appropriate methods of consolidation for the achievement of the objective of this Directive.
- (60) The Member States should be able to refuse or withdraw banking authorisation in the case of certain group structures considered inappropriate for carrying on banking activities, in particular because such structures could not be supervised effectively. In this respect the competent authorities should have the necessary powers to ensure the sound and prudent management of credit institutions.
- (61) In order for the internal banking market to operate with increasing effectiveness and for citizens of the Community to be afforded adequate levels of transparency, it is necessary that competent authorities disclose publicly and in a way which allows for meaningful comparison the manner in which this Directive is implemented.
- (62) In order to strengthen market discipline and stimulate credit institutions to improve their market strategy, risk control and internal management organization, appropriate public disclosure by credit institutions should be provided for.
- (63) The examination of problems connected with matters covered by this Directive, as well as by other Directives on the business of credit institutions, requires cooperation between the competent authorities and the Commission, particularly when conducted with a view to closer coordination.
- (64) The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in accordance with Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission ⁽¹⁾.
- (65) In its resolution of 5 February 2002 on the implementation of financial services legislation ⁽²⁾ the Parliament requested that it and the Council should have an equal role in supervising the way in which the Commission exercises its executive role in order to reflect the legislative powers of Parliament under Article 251 of the Treaty. In the solemn declaration made before the Parliament the same day by its President, the Commission supported this request. On 11 December 2002 the Commission proposed amendments to Decision 1999/468/EC, and then submitted an amended proposal on 22 April 2004. The Parliament does not consider that this proposal preserves its legislative prerogatives. In the view of the Parliament, it and the Council should have the opportunity of evaluating the conferral of implementing powers on the Commission within a determined period. It is therefore appropriate to limit the period during which the Commission may adopt implementing measures.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 284 E, 21.11.2002, p. 115.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (66) The Parliament should be given a period of three months from the first transmission of draft amendments and implementing measures to allow it to examine them and to give its opinion. However, in urgent and duly justified cases, it should be possible to shorten this period. If, within that period, a resolution is adopted by the Parliament, the Commission should re-examine the draft amendments or measures.
- (67) In order to avoid disruption to markets and to ensure continuity in overall levels of own funds it is appropriate to provide for specific transitional arrangements.
- (68) In view of the risk-sensitivity of the rules relating to minimum capital requirements, it is desirable to keep under review whether these have significant effects on the economic cycle. The Commission, taking into account the contribution of the European Central Bank should report on these aspects to the European Parliament and to the Council.
- (69) The arrangements necessary for the supervision of liquidity risks should also be harmonised.
- (70) This Directive respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union as general principles of Community law.
- (71) The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions which represent a substantive change as compared with earlier directives. The obligation to transpose the provisions which are unchanged exists under the earlier directives.
- (72) This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limits for transposition into national law of the Directives set out in Annex XIII, Part B,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

TITLE I

SUBJECT MATTER, SCOPE AND DEFINITIONS

Article 1

1. This Directive lays down rules concerning the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, and their prudential supervision.
2. Article 39 and Title V, Chapter 4, Section 1 shall apply to financial holding companies and mixed-activity holding companies which have their head offices in the Community.
3. The institutions permanently excluded pursuant to Article 2, with the exception, however, of the central banks of the Member States, shall be treated as financial institutions for the purposes of Article 39 and Title V, Chapter 4, Section 1.

Article 2

This Directive shall not apply to the following:

- the central banks of Member States,
- post office giro institutions,
- in Belgium, the 'Institut de Réescompte et de Garantie/Herdiscontering- en Waarborginstituut',
- in Denmark, the 'Dansk Eksportfinansieringsfond', the 'Danmarks Skibskreditfond', the 'Dansk Landbrugs Realkreditfond', and the 'KommuneKredit',
- in Germany, the 'Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau', undertakings which are recognised under the 'Wohnungsgemeinnützigkeitsgesetz' as bodies of State housing policy and are not mainly engaged in banking transactions, and undertakings recognised under that law as non-profit housing undertakings,
- in Greece, the 'Ταμείο Παρακαταθηκών και Δανείων' (Tamio Parakatathikon kai Danion),

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- in Spain, the 'Instituto de Crédito Oficial',
- in France, the 'Caisse des dépôts et consignations',
- in Ireland, credit unions and the friendly societies,
- in Italy, the 'Cassa depositi e prestiti',
- in Latvia, the 'krājaizdevu sabiedrības', undertakings that are recognised under the 'krājaizdevu sabiedrību likums' as cooperative undertakings rendering financial services solely to their members,
- in Lithuania, the 'kredito unijos' other than the 'Centrine kredito unija',
- in Hungary, the 'Magyar Fejlesztési Bank Rt.' and the 'Magyar Export-Import Bank Rt.',
- in the Netherlands, the 'Nederlandse Investeringsbank voor Ontwikkelingslanden NV', the 'NV Noordelijke Ontwikkelingsmaatschappij', the 'NV Industriebank Limburgs Instituut voor Ontwikkeling en Financiering' and the 'Overijsselse Ontwikkelingsmaatschappij NV',
- in Austria, undertakings recognised as housing associations in the public interest and the 'Österreichische Kontrollbank AG',
- in Poland, the 'Spółdzielcze Kasy Oszczędnościowo — Kredytowe' and the 'Bank Gospodarstwa Krajowego',
- in Portugal, 'Caixas Económicas' existing on 1 January 1986 with the exception of those incorporated as limited companies and of the 'Caixa Económica Montepio Geral',
- in Finland, the 'Teollisen yhteistyön rahasto Oy/Fonden för industriellt samarbete AB', and the 'Finnvera Oyj/Finnvera Abp',
- in Sweden, the 'Svenska Skeppshypotekskassan',
- in the United Kingdom, the National Savings Bank, the Commonwealth Development Finance Company Ltd, the Agricultural Mortgage Corporation Ltd, the Scottish Agricultural Securities Corporation Ltd, the Crown Agents for overseas governments and administrations, credit unions and municipal banks.

Article 3

1. One or more credit institutions situated in the same Member State and which are permanently affiliated, on 15 December 1977, to a central body which supervises them and which is established in the same Member State, may be exempted from the requirements of Articles 7 and 11(1) if, no later than 15 December 1979, national law provides that:

- a) the commitments of the central body and affiliated institutions are joint and several liabilities or the commitments of its affiliated institutions are entirely guaranteed by the central body;
- b) the solvency and liquidity of the central body and of all the affiliated institutions are monitored as a whole on the basis of consolidated accounts; and
- c) the management of the central body is empowered to issue instructions to the management of the affiliated institutions.

Credit institutions operating locally which are permanently affiliated, subsequent to 15 December 1977, to a central body within the meaning of the first subparagraph, may benefit from the conditions laid down therein if they constitute normal additions to the network belonging to that central body.

In the case of credit institutions other than those which are set up in areas newly reclaimed from the sea or have resulted from scission or mergers of existing institutions dependent or answerable to the central body, the Commission, pursuant to the procedure referred to in Article 151(2) may lay down additional rules for the application of the second subparagraph including the repeal of exemptions provided for in the first subparagraph, where it is of the opinion that the affiliation of new institutions benefiting from the arrangements laid down in the second subparagraph might have an adverse effect on competition.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. A credit institution referred to in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1, may also be exempted from the provisions of Articles 9 and 10, and also Title V, Chapter 2, Sections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 and Chapter 3 provided that, without prejudice to the application of those provisions to the central body, the whole as constituted by the central body together with its affiliated institutions is subject to those provisions on a consolidated basis.

In case of exemption, Articles 16, 23, 24, 25, 26(1) to (3) and 28 to 37 shall apply to the whole as constituted by the central body together with its affiliated institutions.

Article 4

For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:

- 1) 'credit institution' means:
 - a) an undertaking whose business is to receive deposits or other repayable funds from the public and to grant credits for its own account; or
 - b) an electronic money institution within the meaning of Directive 2000/46/EC ⁽¹⁾;
- 2) 'authorisation' means an instrument issued in any form by the authorities by which the right to carry on the business of a credit institution is granted;
- 3) 'branch' means a place of business which forms a legally dependent Part of a credit institution and which carries out directly all or some of the transactions inherent in the business of credit institutions;
- 4) 'competent authorities' means the national authorities which are empowered by law or regulation to supervise credit institutions;
- 5) 'financial institution' means an undertaking other than a credit institution, the principal activity of which is to acquire holdings or to carry on one or more of the activities listed in points 2 to 12 of Annex I;
- 6) 'institutions', for the purposes of Sections 2 and 3 of Title V, Chapter 2, means institutions as defined in Article 3(1)(c) of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- 7) 'home Member State' means the Member State in which a credit institution has been authorised in accordance with Articles 6 to 9 and 11 to 14;
- 8) 'host Member State' means the Member State in which a credit institution has a branch or in which it provides services;
- 9) 'control' means the relationship between a parent undertaking and a subsidiary, as defined in Article 1 of Directive 83/349/EEC, or a similar relationship between any natural or legal person and an undertaking;
- 10) 'participation' for the purposes of points (o) and (p) of Article 57, Articles 71 to 73 and Title V, Chapter 4 means participation within the meaning of the first sentence of Article 17 of Fourth Council Directive 78/660/EEC of 25 July 1978 on the annual accounts of certain types of companies ⁽²⁾, or the ownership, direct or indirect, of 20 % or more of the voting rights or capital of an undertaking;
- 11) 'qualifying holding' means a direct or indirect holding in an undertaking which represents 10 % or more of the capital or of the voting rights or which makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of that undertaking;
- 12) 'parent undertaking' means:
 - a) a parent undertaking as defined in Articles 1 and 2 of Directive 83/349/EEC; or
 - b) for the purposes of Articles 71 to 73, Title V, Chapter 2, Section 5 and Chapter 4, a parent undertaking within the meaning of Article 1(1) of Directive 83/349/EEC and any undertaking which, in the opinion of the competent authorities, effectively exercises a dominant influence over another undertaking;

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2000/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 September 2000 on the taking up, pursuit of and prudential supervision of the business of electronic money institutions (OJ L 275, 27.10.2000, p. 39).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 222, 14.8.1978, p. 11. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 13) 'subsidiary' means:
- a) a subsidiary undertaking as defined in Articles 1 and 2 of Directive 83/349/EEC; or
 - b) for the purposes of Articles 71 to 73, Title V, Chapter 2, Section 5, and Chapter 4 a subsidiary undertaking within the meaning of Article 1(1) of Directive 83/349/EEC and any undertaking over which, in the opinion of the competent authorities, a parent undertaking effectively exercises a dominant influence.
- All subsidiaries of subsidiary undertakings shall also be considered subsidiaries of the undertaking that is their original parent;
- 14) 'parent credit institution in a Member State' means a credit institution which has a credit institution or a financial institution as a subsidiary or which holds a participation in such an institution, and which is not itself a subsidiary of another credit institution authorised in the same Member State, or of a financial holding company set up in the same Member State;
- 15) 'parent financial holding company in a Member State' means a financial holding company which is not itself a subsidiary of a credit institution authorised in the same Member State, or of a financial holding company set up in the same Member State;
- 16) 'EU parent credit institution' means a parent credit institution in a Member State which is not a subsidiary of another credit institution authorised in any Member State, or of a financial holding company set up in any Member State;
- 17) 'EU parent financial holding company' means a parent financial holding company in a Member State which is not a subsidiary of a credit institution authorised in any Member State or of another financial holding company set up in any Member State;
- 18) 'public sector entities' means non-commercial administrative bodies responsible to central governments, regional governments or local authorities, or authorities that in the view of the competent authorities exercise the same responsibilities as regional and local authorities, or non-commercial undertakings owned by central governments that have explicit guarantee arrangements, and may include self administered bodies governed by law that are under public supervision;
- 19) 'financial holding company' means a financial institution, the subsidiary undertakings of which are either exclusively or mainly credit institutions or financial institutions, at least one of such subsidiaries being a credit institution, and which is not a mixed financial holding company within the meaning of Article 2(15) of Directive 2002/87/EC⁽¹⁾;
- 20) 'mixed-activity holding company' means a parent undertaking, other than a financial holding company or a credit institution or a mixed financial holding company within the meaning of Article 2(15) of Directive 2002/87/EC, the subsidiaries of which include at least one credit institution;
- 21) 'ancillary services undertaking' means an undertaking the principal activity of which consists in owning or managing property, managing data-processing services, or any other similar activity which is ancillary to the principal activity of one or more credit institutions;
- 22) 'operational risk' means the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, and includes legal risk;
- 23) 'central banks' include the European Central Bank unless otherwise indicated;
- 24) 'dilution risk' means the risk that an amount receivable is reduced through cash or non-cash credits to the obligor;
- 25) 'probability of default' means the probability of default of a counterparty over a one year period;
- 26) 'loss', for the purposes of Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, means economic loss, including material discount effects, and material direct and indirect costs associated with collecting on the instrument;
- 27) 'loss given default (LGD)' means the ratio of the loss on an exposure due to the default of a counterparty to the amount outstanding at default;

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2002/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the supplementary supervision of credit institutions, insurance undertakings and investment firms in a financial conglomerate (OJ L 35, 11.2.2003, p. 1). Directive as amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 28) 'conversion factor' means the ratio of the currently undrawn amount of a commitment that will be drawn and outstanding at default to the currently undrawn amount of the commitment, the extent of the commitment shall be determined by the advised limit, unless the unadvised limit is higher;
- 29) 'expected loss (EL)', for the purposes of Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, shall mean the ratio of the amount expected to be lost on an exposure from a potential default of a counterparty or dilution over a one year period to the amount outstanding at default;
- 30) 'credit risk mitigation' means a technique used by a credit institution to reduce the credit risk associated with an exposure or exposures which the credit institution continues to hold;
- 31) 'funded credit protection' means a technique of credit risk mitigation where the reduction of the credit risk on the exposure of a credit institution derives from the right of the credit institution — in the event of the default of the counterparty or on the occurrence of other specified credit events relating to the counterparty — to liquidate, or to obtain transfer or appropriation of, or to retain certain assets or amounts, or to reduce the amount of the exposure to, or to replace it with, the amount of the difference between the amount of the exposure and the amount of a claim on the credit institution;
- 32) 'unfunded credit protection' means a technique of credit risk mitigation where the reduction of the credit risk on the exposure of a credit institution derives from the undertaking of a third party to pay an amount in the event of the default of the borrower or on the occurrence of other specified credit events;
- 33) 'repurchase transaction' means any transaction governed by an agreement falling within the definition of 'repurchase agreement' or 'reverse repurchase agreement' as defined in Article 3(1)(m) of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- 34) 'securities or commodities lending or borrowing transaction' means any transaction falling within the definition of 'securities or commodities lending' or 'securities or commodities borrowing' as defined in Article 3(1)(n) of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- 35) 'cash assimilated instrument' means a certificate of deposit or other similar instrument issued by the lending credit institution;
- 36) 'securitisation' means a transaction or scheme, whereby the credit risk associated with an exposure or pool of exposures is tranching, having the following characteristics:
 - a) payments in the transaction or scheme are dependent upon the performance of the exposure or pool of exposures; and
 - b) the subordination of tranches determines the distribution of losses during the ongoing life of the transaction or scheme;
- 37) 'traditional securitisation' means a securitisation involving the economic transfer of the exposures being securitised to a securitisation special purpose entity which issues securities. This shall be accomplished by the transfer of ownership of the securitised exposures from the originator credit institution or through sub-participation. The securities issued do not represent payment obligations of the originator credit institution;
- 38) 'synthetic securitisation' means a securitisation where the tranching is achieved by the use of credit derivatives or guarantees, and the pool of exposures is not removed from the balance sheet of the originator credit institution;
- 39) 'tranche' means a contractually established segment of the credit risk associated with an exposure or number of exposures, where a position in the segment entails a risk of credit loss greater than or less than a position of the same amount in each other such segment, without taking account of credit protection provided by third parties directly to the holders of positions in the segment or in other segments;
- 40) 'securitisation position' shall mean an exposure to a securitisation;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 41) 'originator' means either of the following:
- a) an entity which, either itself or through related entities, directly or indirectly, was involved in the original agreement which created the obligations or potential obligations of the debtor or potential debtor giving rise to the exposure being securitised; or
 - b) an entity which purchases a third party's exposures onto its balance sheet and then securitises them;
- 42) 'sponsor' means a credit institution other than an originator credit institution that establishes and manages an asset-backed commercial paper programme or other securitisation scheme that purchases exposures from third party entities;
- 43) 'credit enhancement' means a contractual arrangement whereby the credit quality of a position in a securitisation is improved in relation to what it would have been if the enhancement had not been provided, including the enhancement provided by more junior tranches in the securitisation and other types of credit protection;
- 44) 'securitisation special purpose entity (SSPE)' means a corporation trust or other entity, other than a credit institution, organised for carrying on a securitisation or securitisations, the activities of which are limited to those appropriate to accomplishing that objective, the structure of which is intended to isolate the obligations of the SSPE from those of the originator credit institution, and the holders of the beneficial interests in which have the right to pledge or exchange those interests without restriction;
- 45) 'group of connected clients' means:
- a) two or more natural or legal persons who, unless it is shown otherwise, constitute a single risk because one of them, directly or indirectly, has control over the other or others; or
 - b) two or more natural or legal persons between whom there is no relationship of control as set out in point (a) but who are to be regarded as constituting a single risk because they are so interconnected that, if one of them were to experience financial problems, the other or all of the others would be likely to encounter repayment difficulties;
- 46) 'close links' means a situation in which two or more natural or legal persons are linked in any of the following ways:
- a) participation in the form of ownership, direct or by way of control, of 20 % or more of the voting rights or capital of an undertaking;
 - b) control; or
 - c) the fact that both or all are permanently linked to one and the same third person by a control relationship;
- 47) 'recognised exchanges' means exchanges which are recognised as such by the competent authorities and which meet the following conditions:
- a) they function regularly;
 - b) they have rules, issued or approved by the appropriate authorities of the home country of the exchange, defining the conditions for the operation of the exchange, the conditions of access to the exchange as well as the conditions that shall be satisfied by a contract before it can effectively be dealt on the exchange; and
 - c) they have a clearing mechanism whereby contracts listed in Annex IV are subject to daily margin requirements which, in the opinion of the competent authorities, provide appropriate protection.

Article 5

Member States shall prohibit persons or undertakings that are not credit institutions from carrying on the business of taking deposits or other repayable funds from the public.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The first paragraph shall not apply to the taking of deposits or other funds repayable by a Member State or by a Member State's regional or local authorities or by public international bodies of which one or more Member States are members or to cases expressly covered by national or Community legislation, provided that those activities are subject to regulations and controls intended to protect depositors and investors and applicable to those cases.

TITLE II

REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCESS TO THE TAKING UP AND PURSUIT OF THE BUSINESS OF CREDIT INSTITUTIONS*Article 6*

Member States shall require credit institutions to obtain authorisation before commencing their activities. Without prejudice to Articles 7 to 12, they shall lay down the requirements for such authorisation and notify them to the Commission.

Article 7

Member States shall require applications for authorisation to be accompanied by a programme of operations setting out, inter alia, the types of business envisaged and the structural organisation of the credit institution.

Article 8

Member States may not require the application for authorisation to be examined in terms of the economic needs of the market.

Article 9

1. Without prejudice to other general conditions laid down by national law, the competent authorities shall not grant authorisation when the credit institution does not possess separate own funds or in cases where initial capital is less than 5 million Euro.

'Initial capital' shall comprise capital and reserves as referred to in Article 57(a) and (b).

Member States may decide that credit institutions which do not fulfil the requirement of separate own funds and which were in existence on 15 December 1979 may continue to carry on their business. They may exempt such credit institutions from complying with the requirement contained in the first subparagraph of Article 11(1).

2. Member States may, subject to the following conditions, grant authorisation to particular categories of credit institutions the initial capital of which is less than that specified in paragraph 1:

- a) the initial capital shall be no less than 1 million Euro;
- b) the Member States concerned shall notify the Commission of their reasons for exercising this option; and
- c) the name of each credit institution that does not have the minimum capital specified in paragraph 1 shall be annotated to that effect in the list referred to in Article 14.

Article 10

1. A credit institution's own funds may not fall below the amount of initial capital required under Article 9 at the time of its authorisation.

2. Member States may decide that credit institutions already in existence on 1 January 1993, the own funds of which do not attain the levels specified for initial capital in Article 9, may continue to carry on their activities. In that event, their own funds may not fall below the highest level reached with effect from 22 December 1989.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. If control of a credit institution falling within the category referred to in paragraph 2 is taken by a natural or legal person other than the person who controlled the institution previously, the own funds of that credit institution shall attain at least the level specified for initial capital in Article 9.

4. In certain specific circumstances and with the consent of the competent authorities, where there is a merger of two or more credit institutions falling within the category referred to in paragraph 2, the own funds of the credit institution resulting from the merger may not fall below the total own funds of the merged credit institutions at the time of the merger, as long as the appropriate levels specified in Article 9 have not been attained.

5. If, in the cases referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 4, the own funds should be reduced, the competent authorities may, where the circumstances justify it, allow a credit institution a limited period in which to rectify its situation or cease its activities.

Article 11

1. The competent authorities shall grant an authorisation to the credit institution only when there are at least two persons who effectively direct the business of the credit institution.

They shall not grant authorisation if these persons are not of sufficiently good repute or lack sufficient experience to perform such duties.

2. Each Member State shall require that:

- a) any credit institution which is a legal person and which, under its national law, has a registered office shall have its head office in the same Member State as its registered office; and
- b) any other credit institution shall have its head office in the Member State which granted its authorisation and in which it actually carries on its business.

Article 12

1. The competent authorities shall not grant authorisation for the taking-up of the business of credit institutions unless they have been informed of the identities of the shareholders or members, whether direct or indirect, natural or legal persons, that have qualifying holdings, and of the amounts of those holdings.

In determining a qualifying holding in the context of this Article, the voting rights referred to in Article 92 of Directive 2001/34/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 May 2001 on the admission of securities to official stock exchange listing and on information to be published on those securities⁽¹⁾ shall be taken into consideration.

2. The competent authorities shall not grant authorisation if, taking into account the need to ensure the sound and prudent management of a credit institution, they are not satisfied as to the suitability of the shareholders or members.

3. Where close links exist between the credit institution and other natural or legal persons, the competent authorities shall grant authorisation only if those links do not prevent the effective exercise of their supervisory functions.

The competent authorities shall also not grant authorisation if the laws, regulations or administrative provisions of a third country governing one or more natural or legal persons with which the credit institution has close links, or difficulties involved in the enforcement of those laws, regulations or administrative provisions, prevent the effective exercise of their supervisory functions.

The competent authorities shall require credit institutions to provide them with the information they require to monitor compliance with the conditions referred to in this paragraph on a continuous basis.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 184, 6.7.2001, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 13

Reasons shall be given whenever a decision not to grant an authorisation is taken and the applicant shall be notified thereof within six months of receipt of the application or, should the latter be incomplete, within six months of the applicant's sending the information required for the decision. A decision shall, in any case, be taken within 12 months of the receipt of the application.

Article 14

Every authorisation shall be notified to the Commission.

The name of each credit institution to which authorisation has been granted shall be entered in a list. The Commission shall publish that list in the Official Journal of the European Union and shall keep it up to date.

Article 15

1. The competent authority shall, before granting authorisation to a credit institution, consult the competent authorities of the other Member State involved in the following cases:

- a) the credit institution concerned is a subsidiary of a credit institution authorised in another Member State;
- b) the credit institution concerned is a subsidiary of the parent undertaking of a credit institution authorised in another Member State; or
- c) the credit institution concerned is controlled by the same persons, whether natural or legal, as control a credit institution authorised in another Member State.

2. The competent authority shall, before granting authorisation to a credit institution, consult the competent authority of a Member State involved, responsible for the supervision of insurance undertakings or investment firms in the following cases:

- a) the credit institution concerned is a subsidiary of an insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in the Community;
- b) the credit institution concerned is a subsidiary of the parent undertaking of an insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in the Community; or
- c) the credit institution concerned is controlled by the same person, whether natural or legal, as controls an insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in the Community.

3. The relevant competent authorities referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall in particular consult each other when assessing the suitability of the shareholders and the reputation and experience of directors involved in the management of another entity of the same group. They shall exchange any information regarding the suitability of shareholders and the reputation and experience of directors which is of relevance for the granting of an authorisation as well as for the ongoing assessment of compliance with operating conditions.

Article 16

Host Member States may not require authorisation or endowment capital for branches of credit institutions authorised in other Member States. The establishment and supervision of such branches shall be effected in accordance with Articles 22, 25, 26(1) to (3), 29 to 37 and 40.

Article 17

1. The competent authorities may withdraw the authorisation granted to a credit institution only where such an institution:

- a) does not make use of the authorisation within 12 months, expressly renounces the authorisation or has ceased to engage in business for more than six months, if the Member State concerned has made no provision for the authorisation to lapse in such cases;
- b) has obtained the authorisation through false statements or any other irregular means;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) no longer fulfils the conditions under which authorisation was granted;
 - d) no longer possesses sufficient own funds or can no longer be relied on to fulfil its obligations towards its creditors, and in particular no longer provides security for the assets entrusted to it; or
 - e) falls within one of the other cases where national law provides for withdrawal of authorisation.
2. Reasons shall be given for any withdrawal of authorisation and those concerned informed thereof. Such withdrawal shall be notified to the Commission.

Article 18

For the purposes of exercising their activities, credit institutions may, notwithstanding any provisions in the host Member State concerning the use of the words 'bank', 'savings bank' or other banking names, use throughout the territory of the Community the same name as they use in the Member State in which their head office is situated. In the event of there being any danger of confusion, the host Member State may, for the purposes of clarification, require that the name be accompanied by certain explanatory particulars.

Article 19

1. The Member States shall require any natural or legal person who proposes to hold, directly or indirectly, a qualifying holding in a credit institution first to inform the competent authorities, telling them of the size of the intended holding. Such a person shall likewise inform the competent authorities if he proposes to increase his qualifying holding so that the proportion of the voting rights or of the capital held by him would reach or exceed 20 %, 33 % or 50 % or so that the credit institution would become his subsidiary.

Without prejudice to paragraph 2, the competent authorities shall have a maximum of three months from the date of the notification provided for in the first and second subparagraphs to oppose such a plan if, in view of the need to ensure sound and prudent management of the credit institution, they are not satisfied as to the suitability of the person concerned. If they do not oppose the plan, they may fix a maximum period for its implementation.

2. If the person proposing to acquire the holdings referred to in paragraph 1 is a credit institution, insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in another Member State or the parent undertaking of a credit institution, insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in another Member State or a natural or legal person controlling a credit institution, insurance undertaking or investment firm authorised in another Member State, and if, as a result of that acquisition, the credit institution in which the acquirer proposes to hold a holding would become a subsidiary or subject to the control of the acquirer, the assessment of the acquisition shall be subject to the prior consultation provided for in Article 15.

Article 20

The Member States shall require any natural or legal person who proposes to dispose, directly or indirectly, of a qualifying holding in a credit institution first to inform the competent authorities, telling them of the size of his intended holding. Such a person shall likewise inform the competent authorities if he proposes to reduce his qualifying holding so that the proportion of the voting rights or of the capital held by him would fall below 20 %, 33 % or 50 % or so that the credit institution would cease to be his subsidiary.

Article 21

1. Credit institutions shall, on becoming aware of any acquisitions or disposals of holdings in their capital that cause holdings to exceed or fall below one of the thresholds referred to in Article 19(1) and Article 20, inform the competent authorities of those acquisitions or disposals.

They shall also, at least once a year, inform the competent authorities of the names of shareholders and members possessing qualifying holdings and the sizes of such holdings as shown, for example, by the information received at the annual general meetings of shareholders and members or as a result of compliance with the regulations relating to companies listed on stock exchanges.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. The Member States shall require that, where the influence exercised by the persons referred to in Article 19(1) is likely to operate to the detriment of the prudent and sound management of the institution, the competent authorities shall take appropriate measures to put an end to that situation. Such measures may consist in injunctions, sanctions against directors and managers, or the suspension of the exercise of the voting rights attaching to the shares held by the shareholders or members in question.

Similar measures shall apply to natural or legal persons who fail to comply with the obligation to provide prior information, as laid down in Article 19(1).

If a holding is acquired despite the opposition of the competent authorities, the Member States shall, regardless of any other sanctions to be adopted, provide either for exercise of the corresponding voting rights to be suspended, or for the nullity of votes cast or for the possibility of their annulment.

3. In determining a qualifying holding and other levels of holding referred to in this Article, the voting rights referred to in Article 92 of Directive 2001/34/EC shall be taken into consideration.

Article 22

1. Home Member State competent authorities shall require that every credit institution have robust governance arrangements, which include a clear organisational structure with well defined, transparent and consistent lines of responsibility, effective processes to identify, manage, monitor and report the risks it is or might be exposed to, and adequate internal control mechanisms, including sound administrative and accounting procedures.

2. The arrangements, processes and mechanisms referred to in paragraph 1 shall be comprehensive and proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the credit institution's activities. The technical criteria laid down in Annex V shall be taken into account.

TITLE III

PROVISIONS CONCERNING THE FREEDOM OF ESTABLISHMENT AND THE FREEDOM TO PROVIDE SERVICES

Section 1

Credit institutions

Article 23

The Member States shall provide that the activities listed in Annex I may be carried on within their territories, in accordance with Articles 25, 26(1) to (3), 28(1) and (2) and 29 to 37 either by the establishment of a branch or by way of the provision of services, by any credit institution authorised and supervised by the competent authorities of another Member State, provided that such activities are covered by the authorisation.

Section 2

Financial institutions

Article 24

1. The Member States shall provide that the activities listed in Annex I may be carried on within their territories, in accordance with Articles 25, 26(1) to (3), 28(1) and (2) and 29 to 37, either by the establishment of a branch or by way of the provision of services, by any financial institution from another Member State, whether a subsidiary of a credit institution or the jointly-owned subsidiary of two or more credit institutions, the memorandum and Articles of association of which permit the carrying on of those activities and which fulfils each of the following conditions:

- a) the parent undertaking or undertakings shall be authorised as credit institutions in the Member State by the law of which the financial institution is governed;
- b) the activities in question shall actually be carried on within the territory of the same Member State;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the parent undertaking or undertakings shall hold 90 % or more of the voting rights attaching to shares in the capital of the financial institution;
- d) the parent undertaking or undertakings shall satisfy the competent authorities regarding the prudent management of the financial institution and shall have declared, with the consent of the relevant home Member State competent authorities, that they jointly and severally guarantee the commitments entered into by the financial institution; and
- e) the financial institution shall be effectively included, for the activities in question in particular, in the consolidated supervision of the parent undertaking, or of each of the parent undertakings, in accordance with Title V, Chapter 4, Section 1, in particular for the purposes of the minimum own funds requirements set out in Article 75 for the control of large exposures and for purposes of the limitation of holdings provided for in Articles 120 to 122.

Compliance with these conditions shall be verified by the competent authorities of the home Member State and the latter shall supply the financial institution with a certificate of compliance which shall form Part of the notification referred to in Articles 25 and 28.

The competent authorities of the home Member State shall ensure the supervision of the financial institution in accordance with Articles 10(1), 19 to 22, 40, 42 to 52 and 54.

2. If a financial institution as referred to in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 ceases to fulfil any of the conditions imposed, the home Member State shall notify the competent authorities of the host Member State and the activities carried on by that financial institution in the host Member State shall become subject to the legislation of the host Member State.

3. Paragraphs 1 and 2 shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to subsidiaries of a financial institution as referred to in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1.

Section 3

Exercise of the right of establishment

Article 25

1. A credit institution wishing to establish a branch within the territory of another Member State shall notify the competent authorities of its home Member State.

2. Member States shall require every credit institution wishing to establish a branch in another Member State to provide the following information when effecting the notification referred to in paragraph 1:

- a) the Member State within the territory of which it plans to establish a branch;
- b) a programme of operations setting out, *inter alia*, the types of business envisaged and the structural organisation of the branch;
- c) the address in the host Member State from which documents may be obtained; and
- d) the names of those to be responsible for the management of the branch.

3. Unless the competent authorities of the home Member State have reason to doubt the adequacy of the administrative structure or the financial situation of the credit institution, taking into account the activities envisaged, they shall within three months of receipt of the information referred to in paragraph 2 communicate that information to the competent authorities of the host Member State and shall inform the credit institution accordingly.

The home Member State's competent authorities shall also communicate the amount of own funds and the sum of the capital requirements under Article 75 of the credit institution.

By way of derogation from the second subparagraph, in the case referred to in Article 24, the home Member State's competent authorities shall communicate the amount of own funds of the financial institution and the sum of the consolidated own funds and consolidated capital requirements under Article 75 of the credit institution which is its parent undertaking.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. Where the competent authorities of the home Member State refuse to communicate the information referred to in paragraph 2 to the competent authorities of the host Member State, they shall give reasons for their refusal to the credit institution concerned within three months of receipt of all the information.

That refusal or a failure to reply, shall be subject to a right to apply to the courts in the home Member State.

Article 26

1. Before the branch of a credit institution commences its activities the competent authorities of the host Member State shall, within two months of receiving the information referred to in Article 25, prepare for the supervision of the credit institution in accordance with Section 5 and if necessary indicate the conditions under which, in the interest of the general good, those activities shall be carried on in the host Member State.

2. On receipt of a communication from the competent authorities of the host Member State, or in the event of the expiry of the period provided for in paragraph 1 without receipt of any communication from the latter, the branch may be established and may commence its activities.

3. In the event of a change in any of the particulars communicated pursuant to points (b), (c) or (d) of Article 25(2), a credit institution shall give written notice of the change in question to the competent authorities of the home and host Member States at least one month before making the change so as to enable the competent authorities of the home Member State to take a decision pursuant to Article 25 and the competent authorities of the host Member State to take a decision on the change pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article.

4. Branches which have commenced their activities, in accordance with the provisions in force in their host Member States, before 1 January 1993, shall be presumed to have been subject to the procedure laid down in Article 25 and in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Article. They shall be governed, from 1 January 1993, by paragraph 3 of this Article and by Articles 23 and 43 as well as Sections 2 and 5.

Article 27

Any number of places of business set up in the same Member State by a credit institution with headquarters in another Member State shall be regarded as a single branch.

Section 4

Exercise of the freedom to provide services

Article 28

1. Any credit institution wishing to exercise the freedom to provide services by carrying on its activities within the territory of another Member State for the first time shall notify the competent authorities of the home Member State, of the activities on the list in Annex I which it intends to carry on.

2. The competent authorities of the home Member State shall, within one month of receipt of the notification provided for in paragraph 1, send that notification to the competent authorities of the host Member State.

3. This Article shall not affect rights acquired by credit institutions providing services before 1 January 1993.

Section 5

Powers of the competent authorities of the host Member State

Article 29

Host Member States may, for statistical purposes, require that all credit institutions having branches within their territories shall report periodically on their activities in those host Member States to the competent authorities of those host Member States.

In discharging the responsibilities imposed on them in Article 41, host Member States may require that branches of credit institutions from other Member States provide the same information as they require from national credit institutions for that purpose.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 30

1. Where the competent authorities of a host Member State ascertain that a credit institution having a branch or providing services within its territory is not complying with the legal provisions adopted in that State pursuant to the provisions of this Directive involving powers of the host Member State's competent authorities, those authorities shall require the credit institution concerned to put an end to that irregular situation.
2. If the credit institution concerned fails to take the necessary steps, the competent authorities of the host Member State shall inform the competent authorities of the home Member State accordingly.

The competent authorities of the home Member State shall, at the earliest opportunity, take all appropriate measures to ensure that the credit institution concerned puts an end to that irregular situation. The nature of those measures shall be communicated to the competent authorities of the host Member State.

3. If, despite the measures taken by the home Member State or because such measures prove inadequate or are not available in the Member State in question, the credit institution persists in violating the legal rules referred to in paragraph 1 in force in the host Member State, the latter State may, after informing the competent authorities of the home Member State, take appropriate measures to prevent or to punish further irregularities and, in so far as is necessary, to prevent that credit institution from initiating further transactions within its territory. The Member States shall ensure that within their territories it is possible to serve the legal documents necessary for these measures on credit institutions.

Article 31

Articles 29 and 30 shall not affect the power of host Member States to take appropriate measures to prevent or to punish irregularities committed within their territories which are contrary to the legal rules they have adopted in the interests of the general good. This shall include the possibility of preventing offending credit institutions from initiating further transactions within their territories.

Article 32

Any measure taken pursuant to Article 30(2) and (3), or Article 31 involving penalties or restrictions on the exercise of the freedom to provide services shall be properly justified and communicated to the credit institution concerned. Every such measure shall be subject to a right of appeal to the courts in the Member State in which it was taken.

Article 33

Before following the procedure provided for in Article 30, the competent authorities of the host Member State may, in emergencies, take any precautionary measures necessary to protect the interests of depositors, investors and others to whom services are provided. The Commission and the competent authorities of the other Member States concerned shall be informed of such measures at the earliest opportunity.

The Commission may, after consulting the competent authorities of the Member States concerned, decide that the Member State in question shall amend or abolish those measures.

Article 34

Host Member States may exercise the powers conferred on them under this Directive by taking appropriate measures to prevent or to punish irregularities committed within their territories. This shall include the possibility of preventing offending credit institutions from initiating further transactions within their territories.

Article 35

In the event of the withdrawal of authorisation, the competent authorities of the host Member State shall be informed and shall take appropriate measures to prevent the credit institution concerned from initiating further transactions within its territory and to safeguard the interests of depositors.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 36

The Member States shall inform the Commission of the number and type of cases in which there has been a refusal pursuant to Articles 25 and 26(1) to (3) or in which measures have been taken in accordance with Article 30(3).

Article 37

This Section shall not prevent credit institutions with head offices in other Member States from advertising their services through all available means of communication in the host Member State, subject to any rules governing the form and the content of such advertising adopted in the interests of the general good.

TITLE IV

RELATIONS WITH THIRD COUNTRIES*Section 1***Notification in relation to third countries' undertakings and conditions of access to the markets of these countries***Article 38*

1. Member States shall not apply to branches of credit institutions having their head office outside the Community, when commencing or carrying on their business, provisions which result in more favourable treatment than that accorded to branches of credit institutions having their head office in the Community.
2. The competent authorities shall notify the Commission and the European Banking Committee of all authorisations for branches granted to credit institutions having their head office outside the Community.
3. Without prejudice to paragraph 1, the Community may, through agreements concluded with one or more third countries, agree to apply provisions which accord to branches of a credit institution having its head office outside the Community identical treatment throughout the territory of the Community.

*Section 2***Cooperation with third countries' competent authorities regarding supervision on a consolidated basis***Article 39*

1. The Commission may submit proposals to the Council, either at the request of a Member State or on its own initiative, for the negotiation of agreements with one or more third countries regarding the means of exercising supervision on a consolidated basis over the following:
 - a) credit institutions the parent undertakings of which have their head offices in a third country; or
 - b) credit institutions situated in third countries the parent undertakings of which, whether credit institutions or financial holding companies, have their head offices in the Community.
2. The agreements referred to in paragraph 1 shall, in particular, seek to ensure the following:
 - a) that the competent authorities of the Member States are able to obtain the information necessary for the supervision, on the basis of their consolidated financial situations, of credit institutions or financial holding companies situated in the Community and which have as subsidiaries credit institutions or financial institutions situated outside the Community, or holding participation in such institutions; and
 - b) that the competent authorities of third countries are able to obtain the information necessary for the supervision of parent undertakings the head offices of which are situated within their territories and which have as subsidiaries credit institutions or financial institutions situated in one or more Member States or holding participation in such institutions.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. Without prejudice to Article 300(1) and (2) of the Treaty, the Commission shall, with the assistance of the European Banking Committee, examine the outcome of the negotiations referred to in paragraph 1 and the resulting situation.

TITLE V

PRINCIPLES AND TECHNICAL INSTRUMENTS FOR PRUDENTIAL SUPERVISION AND DISCLOSURE

Chapter 1

Principles of prudential supervision

Section 1

Competence of home and host Member State

Article 40

1. The prudential supervision of a credit institution, including that of the activities it carries on accordance with Articles 23 and 24, shall be the responsibility of the competent authorities of the home Member State, without prejudice to those provisions of this Directive which give responsibility to the competent authorities of the host Member State.
2. Paragraph 1 shall not prevent supervision on a consolidated basis pursuant to this Directive.

Article 41

Host Member States shall, pending further coordination, retain responsibility in cooperation with the competent authorities of the home Member State for the supervision of the liquidity of the branches of credit institutions.

Without prejudice to the measures necessary for the reinforcement of the European Monetary System, host Member States shall retain complete responsibility for the measures resulting from the implementation of their monetary policies.

Such measures may not provide for discriminatory or restrictive treatment based on the fact that a credit institution is authorised in another Member State.

Article 42

The competent authorities of the Member States concerned shall collaborate closely in order to supervise the activities of credit institutions operating, in particular through a branch, in one or more Member States other than that in which their head offices are situated. They shall supply one another with all information concerning the management and ownership of such credit institutions that is likely to facilitate their supervision and the examination of the conditions for their authorisation, and all information likely to facilitate the monitoring of such institutions, in particular with regard to liquidity, solvency, deposit guarantees, the limiting of large exposures, administrative and accounting procedures and internal control mechanisms.

Article 43

1. Host Member States shall provide that, where a credit institution authorised in another Member State carries on its activities through a branch, the competent authorities of the home Member State may, after having first informed the competent authorities of the host Member State, carry out themselves or through the intermediary of persons they appoint for that purpose on-the-spot verification of the information referred to in Article 42.
2. The competent authorities of the home Member State may also, for purposes of the verification of branches, have recourse to one of the other procedures laid down in Article 141.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. Paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not affect the right of the competent authorities of the host Member State to carry out, in the discharge of their responsibilities under this Directive, on-the-spot verifications of branches established within their territory.

Section 2

Exchange of information and professional secrecy

Article 44

1. Member States shall provide that all persons working for or who have worked for the competent authorities, as well as auditors or experts acting on behalf of the competent authorities, shall be bound by the obligation of professional secrecy.

No confidential information which they may receive in the course of their duties may be divulged to any person or authority whatsoever, except in summary or collective form, such that individual credit institutions cannot be identified, without prejudice to cases covered by criminal law.

Nevertheless, where a credit institution has been declared bankrupt or is being compulsorily wound up, confidential information which does not concern third parties involved in attempts to rescue that credit institution may be divulged in civil or commercial proceedings.

2. Paragraph 1 shall not prevent the competent authorities of the various Member States from exchanging information in accordance with this Directive and with other Directives applicable to credit institutions. That information shall be subject to the conditions of professional secrecy indicated in paragraph 1.

Article 45

Competent authorities receiving confidential information under Article 44 may use it only in the course of their duties and only for the following purposes:

- a) to check that the conditions governing the taking-up of the business of credit institutions are met and to facilitate monitoring, on a non-consolidated or consolidated basis, of the conduct of such business, especially with regard to the monitoring of liquidity, solvency, large exposures, and administrative and accounting procedures and internal control mechanisms;
- b) to impose penalties;
- c) in an administrative appeal against a decision of the competent authority; or
- d) in court proceedings initiated pursuant to Article 55 or to special provisions provided for in this in other Directives adopted in the field of credit institutions.

Article 46

Member States may conclude cooperation agreements, providing for exchanges of information, with the competent authorities of third countries or with authorities or bodies of third countries as defined in Articles 47 and 48(1) only if the information disclosed is subject to guarantees of professional secrecy at least equivalent to those referred to in Article 44(1). Such exchange of information shall be for the purpose of performing the supervisory task of the authorities or bodies mentioned.

Where the information originates in another Member State, it may not be disclosed without the express agreement of the competent authorities which have disclosed it and, where appropriate, solely for the purposes for which those authorities gave their agreement.

Article 47

Articles 44(1) and 45 shall not preclude the exchange of information within a Member State, where there are two or more competent authorities in the same Member State, or between Member States, between competent authorities and the following:

- a) authorities entrusted with the public duty of supervising other financial organisations and insurance companies and the authorities responsible for the supervision of financial markets;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) bodies involved in the liquidation and bankruptcy of credit institutions and in other similar procedures; and
 - c) persons responsible for carrying out statutory audits of the accounts of credit institutions and other financial institutions;
- in the discharge of their supervisory functions.

Articles 44(1) and 45 shall not preclude the disclosure to bodies which administer deposit-guarantee schemes of information necessary to the exercise of their functions.

In both cases, the information received shall be subject to the conditions of professional secrecy specified in Article 44(1).

Article 48

1. Notwithstanding Articles 44 to 46, Member States may authorise exchange of information between the competent authorities and the following:

- a) the authorities responsible for overseeing the bodies involved in the liquidation and bankruptcy of credit institutions and in other similar procedures; and
- b) the authorities responsible for overseeing persons charged with carrying out statutory audits of the accounts of insurance undertakings, credit institutions, investment firms and other financial institutions.

In such cases, Member States shall require fulfilment of at least the following conditions:

- a) the information shall be for the purpose of performing the supervisory task referred to in the first subparagraph;
- b) information received in this context shall be subject to the conditions of professional secrecy specified in Article 44(1); and
- c) where the information originates in another Member State, it may not be disclosed without the express agreement of the competent authorities which have disclosed it and, where appropriate, solely for the purposes for which those authorities gave their agreement.

Member States shall communicate to the Commission and to the other Member States the names of the authorities which may receive information pursuant to this paragraph.

2. Notwithstanding Articles 44 to 46, Member States may, with the aim of strengthening the stability, including integrity, of the financial system, authorise the exchange of information between the competent authorities and the authorities or bodies responsible under law for the detection and investigation of breaches of company law.

In such cases Member States shall require fulfilment of at least the following conditions:

- a) the information is for the purpose of performing the task referred to in the first subparagraph;
- b) information received in this context is subject to the conditions of professional secrecy specified in Article 44(1); and
- c) where the information originates in another Member State, it may not be disclosed without the express agreement of the competent authorities which have disclosed it and, where appropriate, solely for the purposes for which those authorities gave their agreement.

Where, in a Member State, the authorities or bodies referred to in the first subparagraph perform their task of detection or investigation with the aid, in view of their specific competence, of persons appointed for that purpose and not employed in the public sector, the possibility of exchanging information provided for in the first subparagraph may be extended to such persons under the conditions specified in the second subparagraph.

In order to implement the third subparagraph, the authorities or bodies referred to in the first subparagraph shall communicate to the competent authorities which have disclosed the information, the names and precise responsibilities of the persons to whom it is to be sent.

Member States shall communicate to the Commission and to the other Member States the names of the authorities or bodies which may receive information pursuant to this Article.

The Commission shall draw up a report on the application of the provisions of this Article.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 49

This Section shall not prevent a competent authority from transmitting information to the following for the purposes of their tasks:

- a) central banks and other bodies with a similar function in their capacity as monetary authorities; and
- b) where appropriate, to other public authorities responsible for overseeing payment systems.

This Section shall not prevent such authorities or bodies from communicating to the competent authorities such information as they may need for the purposes of Article 45.

Information received in this context shall be subject to the conditions of professional secrecy specified in Article 44(1).

Article 50

Notwithstanding Articles 44(1) and 45, the Member States may, by virtue of provisions laid down by law, authorise the disclosure of certain information to other departments of their central government administrations responsible for legislation on the supervision of credit institutions, financial institutions, investment services and insurance companies and to inspectors acting on behalf of those departments.

However, such disclosures may be made only where necessary for reasons of prudential control.

Article 51

The Member States shall provide that information received under Articles 44(2) and 47 and information obtained by means of the on-the-spot verification referred to in Article 43(1) and (2) may never be disclosed in the cases referred to in Article 50 except with the express consent of the competent authorities which disclosed the information or of the competent authorities of the Member State in which on-the-spot verification was carried out.

Article 52

This Section shall not prevent the competent authorities of a Member State from communicating the information referred to in Articles 44 to 46 to a clearing house or other similar body recognised under national law for the provision of clearing or settlement services for one of their national markets if they consider that it is necessary to communicate the information in order to ensure the proper functioning of those bodies in relation to defaults or potential defaults by market participants. The information received in this context shall be subject to the conditions of professional secrecy specified in Article 44(1).

The Member States shall, however, ensure that information received under Article 44(2) may not be disclosed in the circumstances referred to in this Article without the express consent of the competent authorities which disclosed it.

*Section 3***Duty of persons responsible for the legal control of annual and consolidated accounts***Article 53*

1. Member States shall provide at least that any person authorised within the meaning of Directive 84/253/EEC⁽¹⁾ performing in a credit institution the task described in Article 51 of Directive 78/660/EEC, Article 37 of Directive 83/349/EEC or Article 31 of Directive 85/611/EEC⁽²⁾, or any other statutory task, shall have a duty to report promptly to the competent authorities any fact or decision concerning that credit institution of which he has become aware while carrying out that task which is liable to:

- a) constitute a material breach of the laws, regulations or administrative provisions which lay down the conditions governing authorisation or which specifically govern pursuit of the activities of credit institutions;

⁽¹⁾ Eighth Council Directive 84/253/EEC of 10 April 1984 on the approval of persons responsible for carrying out the statutory audits of accounting documents (OJ L 126, 12.5.1984, p. 20).

⁽²⁾ Council Directive 85/611/EEC of 20 December 1985 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (OJ L 375, 31.12.1985, p. 3). Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) affect the continuous functioning of the credit institution; or
- c) lead to refusal to certify the accounts or to the expression of reservations.

Member States shall provide at least that that person shall likewise have a duty to report any fact or decision of which he becomes aware in the course of carrying out a task as described in the first subparagraph in an undertaking having close links resulting from a control relationship with the credit institution within which he is carrying out that task.

2. The disclosure in good faith to the competent authorities, by persons authorised within the meaning of Directive 84/253/EEC, of any fact or decision referred to in paragraph 1 shall not constitute a breach of any restriction on disclosure of information imposed by contract or by any legislative, regulatory or administrative provision and shall not involve such persons in liability of any kind.

Section 4

Power of sanction and right to apply to the courts

Article 54

Without prejudice to the procedures for the withdrawal of authorisations and the provisions of criminal law, the Member States shall provide that their respective competent authorities may, as against credit institutions, or those who effectively control the business of credit institutions, which breach laws, regulations or administrative provisions concerning the supervision or pursuit of their activities, adopt or impose penalties or measures aimed specifically at ending the observed breaches or the causes of such breaches.

Article 55

Member States shall ensure that decisions taken in respect of a credit institution in pursuance of laws, regulations and administrative provisions adopted in accordance with this Directive may be subject to the right to apply to the courts. The same shall apply where no decision is taken, within six months of its submission, in respect of an application for authorisation which contains all the information required under the provisions in force.

Chapter 2

Technical instruments of prudential supervision

Section 1

Own funds

Article 56

Wherever a Member State lays down by law, regulation or administrative action a provision in implementation of Community legislation concerning the prudential supervision of an operative credit institution which uses the term or refers to the concept of own funds, it shall bring this term or concept into line with the definition given in Articles 57 to 61 and Articles 63 to 66.

Article 57

Subject to the limits imposed in Article 66, the unconsolidated own funds of credit institutions shall consist of the following items:

- a) capital within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive 86/635/EEC, in so far as it has been paid up, plus share premium accounts but excluding cumulative preferential shares;
- b) reserves within the meaning of Article 23 of Directive 86/635/EEC and profits and losses brought forward as a result of the application of the final profit or loss;
- c) funds for general banking risks within the meaning of Article 38 of Directive 86/635/EEC;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) revaluation reserves within the meaning of Article 33 of Directive 78/660/EEC;
- e) value adjustments within the meaning of Article 37(2) of Directive 86/635/EEC;
- f) other items within the meaning of Article 63;
- g) the commitments of the members of credit institutions set up as cooperative societies and the joint and several commitments of the borrowers of certain institutions organised as funds, as referred to in Article 64(1); and
- h) fixed-term cumulative preferential shares and subordinated loan capital as referred to in Article 64(3).

The following items shall be deducted in accordance with Article 66:

- i) own shares at book value held by a credit institution;
- j) intangible assets within the meaning of Article 4(9) ('Assets') of Directive 86/635/EEC;
- k) material losses of the current financial year;
- l) holdings in other credit and financial institutions amounting to more than 10 % of their capital;
- m) subordinated claims and instruments referred to in Article 63 and Article 64(3) which a credit institution holds in respect of credit and financial institutions in which it has holdings exceeding 10 % of the capital in each case;
- n) holdings in other credit and financial institutions of up to 10 % of their capital, the subordinated claims and the instruments referred to in Article 63 and Article 64(3) which a credit institution holds in respect of credit and financial institutions other than those referred to in points (l) and (m) in respect of the amount of the total of such holdings, subordinated claims and instruments which exceed 10 % of that credit institution's own funds calculated before the deduction of items in points (l) to (p);
- o) participations within the meaning of Article 4(10) which a credit institution holds in:
 - i) insurance undertakings within the meaning of Article 6 of Directive 73/239/EEC ⁽¹⁾, Article 4 of Directive 2002/83/EC ⁽²⁾ or Article 1(b) of Directive 98/78/EC ⁽³⁾,
 - ii) reinsurance undertakings within the meaning of Article 1(c) of Directive 98/78/EC, or
 - iii) insurance holding companies within the meaning of Article 1(i) of Directive 98/78/EC;
- p) each of the following items which the credit institution holds in respect of the entities defined in point (o) in which it holds a participation:
 - i) instruments referred to in Article 16(3) of Directive 73/239/EEC, and
 - ii) instruments referred to in Article 27(3) of Directive 2002/83/EC;
- q) for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Section 3, Subsection 2, negative amounts resulting from the calculation in Annex VII, Part 1, point 36 and expected loss amounts calculated in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1 points 32 and 33; and
- r) the exposure amount of securitisation positions which receive a risk weight of 1 250 % under Annex IX, Part 4, calculated in the manner there specified.

For the purposes of point (b), the Member States may permit inclusion of interim profits before a formal decision has been taken only if these profits have been verified by persons responsible for the auditing of the accounts and if it is proved to the satisfaction of the competent authorities that the amount thereof has been evaluated in accordance with the principles set out in Directive 86/635/EEC and is net of any foreseeable charge or dividend.

⁽¹⁾ First Council Directive 73/239/EEC of 24 July 1973 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the taking-up and pursuit of the business of direct insurance other than life assurance (OJ L 228, 16.8.1973, p. 3). Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

⁽²⁾ Directive 2002/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 November 2002 concerning life assurance (OJ L 345, 19.12.2002, p. 1). Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

⁽³⁾ Directive 98/78/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 October 1998 on the supplementary supervision of insurance undertakings in an insurance group (OJ L 330, 5.12.1998, p. 1). Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

In the case of a credit institution which is the originator of a securitisation, net gains arising from the capitalisation of future income from the securitised assets and providing credit enhancement to positions in the securitisation shall be excluded from the item specified in point (b).

Article 58

Where shares in another credit institution, financial institution, insurance or reinsurance undertaking or insurance holding company are held temporarily for the purposes of a financial assistance operation designed to reorganise and save that entity, the competent authority may waive the provisions on deduction referred to in points (l) to (p) of Article 57.

Article 59

As an alternative to the deduction of the items referred to in points (o) and (p) of Article 57, Member States may allow their credit institutions to apply mutatis mutandis methods 1, 2 or 3 of Annex I to Directive 2002/87/EC. Method 1 (accounting consolidation) may be applied only if the competent authority is confident about the level of integrated management and internal control regarding the entities which would be included in the scope of consolidation. The method chosen shall be applied in a consistent manner over time.

Article 60

Member States may provide that for the calculation of own funds on a stand-alone basis, credit institutions subject to supervision on a consolidated basis in accordance with Chapter 4, Section 1, or to supplementary supervision in accordance with Directive 2002/87/EC, need not deduct the items referred to in points (l) to (p) of Article 57 which are held in credit institutions, financial institutions, insurance or reinsurance undertakings or insurance holding companies, which are included in the scope of consolidated or supplementary supervision.

This provision shall apply to all the prudential rules harmonised by Community acts.

Article 61

The concept of own funds as defined in points (a) to (h) of Article 57 embodies a maximum number of items and amounts. The use of those items and the fixing of lower ceilings, and the deduction of items other than those listed in points (i) to (r) of Article 57 shall be left to the discretion of the Member States.

The items listed in points (a) to (e) of Article 57 shall be available to a credit institution for unrestricted and immediate use to cover risks or losses as soon as these occur. The amount shall be net of any foreseeable tax charge at the moment of its calculation or be suitably adjusted in so far as such tax charges reduce the amount up to which these items may be applied to cover risks or losses.

Article 62

Member States may report to the Commission on the progress achieved in convergence with a view to a common definition of own funds. On the basis of these reports the Commission shall, if appropriate, by 1 January 2009, submit a proposal to the European Parliament and to the Council for amendment of this Section.

Article 63

1. The concept of own funds used by a Member State may include other items provided that, whatever their legal or accounting designations might be, they have the following characteristics:

- a) they are freely available to the credit institution to cover normal banking risks where revenue or capital losses have not yet been identified;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) their existence is disclosed in internal accounting records; and
 - c) their amount is determined by the management of the credit institution, verified by independent auditors, made known to the competent authorities and placed under the supervision of the latter.
2. Securities of indeterminate duration and other instruments that fulfil the following conditions may also be accepted as other items:
- a) they may not be reimbursed on the bearer's initiative or without the prior agreement of the competent authority;
 - b) the debt agreement shall provide for the credit institution to have the option of deferring the payment of interest on the debt;
 - c) the lender's claims on the credit institution shall be wholly subordinated to those of all non-subordinated creditors;
 - d) the documents governing the issue of the securities shall provide for debt and unpaid interest to be such as to absorb losses, whilst leaving the credit institution in a position to continue trading; and
 - e) only fully paid-up amounts shall be taken into account.

To these securities and other instruments may be added cumulative preferential shares other than those referred to in point (h) of Article 57.

3. For credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Section 3, Subsection 2, positive amounts resulting from the calculation in Annex VII, Part 1, point 36, may, up to 0,6 % of risk weighted exposure amounts calculated under Subsection 2, be accepted as other items. For these credit institutions value adjustments and provisions included in the calculation referred to in Annex VII, Part 1, point 36 and value adjustments and provisions for exposures referred to in point (e) of Article 57 shall not be included in own funds other than in accordance with this paragraph. For these purposes, risk-weighted exposure amounts shall not include those calculated in respect of securitisation positions which have a risk weight of 1 250 %.

Article 64

1. The commitments of the members of credit institutions set up as cooperative societies referred to in point (g) of Article 57, shall comprise those societies' uncalled capital, together with the legal commitments of the members of those cooperative societies to make additional non-refundable payments should the credit institution incur a loss, in which case it shall be possible to demand those payments without delay.

The joint and several commitments of borrowers in the case of credit institutions organised as funds shall be treated in the same way as the preceding items.

All such items may be included in own funds in so far as they are counted as the own funds of institutions of this category under national law.

2. Member States shall not include in the own funds of public credit institutions guarantees which they or their local authorities extend to such entities.

3. Member States or the competent authorities may include fixed-term cumulative preferential shares referred to in point (h) of Article 57 and subordinated loan capital referred to in that provision in own funds, if binding agreements exist under which, in the event of the bankruptcy or liquidation of the credit institution, they rank after the claims of all other creditors and are not to be repaid until all other debts outstanding at the time have been settled.

Subordinated loan capital shall fulfil the following additional criteria:

- a) only fully paid-up funds may be taken into account;
- b) the loans involved shall have an original maturity of at least five years, after which they may be repaid;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the extent to which they may rank as own funds shall be gradually reduced during at least the last five years before the repayment date; and
- d) the loan agreement shall not include any clause providing that in specified circumstances, other than the winding-up of the credit institution, the debt shall become repayable before the agreed repayment date.

For the purposes of point (b) of the second subparagraph, if the maturity of the debt is not fixed, the loans involved shall be repayable only subject to five years' notice unless the loans are no longer considered as own funds or unless the prior consent of the competent authorities is specifically required for early repayment. The competent authorities may grant permission for the early repayment of such loans provided the request is made at the initiative of the issuer and the solvency of the credit institution in question is not affected.

4. Credit institutions shall not include in own funds either the fair value reserves related to gains or losses on cash flow hedges of financial instruments measured at amortised cost, or any gains or losses on their liabilities valued at fair value that are due to changes in the credit institutions' own credit standing.

Article 65

1. Where the calculation is to be made on a consolidated basis, the consolidated amounts relating to the items listed under Article 57 shall be used in accordance with the rules laid down in Chapter 4, Section 1. Moreover, the following may, when they are credit ('negative') items, be regarded as consolidated reserves for the calculation of own funds:

- a) any minority interests within the meaning of Article 21 of Directive 83/349/EEC, where the global integration method is used;
- b) the first consolidation difference within the meaning of Articles 19, 30 and 31 of Directive 83/349/EEC;
- c) the translation differences included in consolidated reserves in accordance with Article 39(6) of Directive 86/635/EEC; and
- d) any difference resulting from the inclusion of certain participating interests in accordance with the method prescribed in Article 33 of Directive 83/349/EEC.

2. Where the items referred to in points (a) to (d) of paragraph 1 are debit ('positive') items, they shall be deducted in the calculation of consolidated own funds.

Article 66

1. The items referred to in points (d) to (h) of Article 57, shall be subject to the following limits:

- a) the total of the items in points (d) to (h) may not exceed a maximum of 100 % of the items in points (a) plus (b) and (c) minus (i) to (k); and
- b) the total of the items in points (g) to (h) may not exceed a maximum of 50 % of the items in points (a) plus (b) and (c) minus (i) to (k).

2. The total of the items in points (l) to (r) of Article 57 shall be deducted half from the total of the items (a) to (c) minus (i) to (k), and half from the total of the items (d) to (h) of Article 57, after application of the limits laid down in paragraph 1 of this Article. To the extent that half of the total of the items (l) to (r) exceeds the total of the items (d) to (h) of Article 57, the excess shall be deducted from the total of the items (a) to (c) minus (i) to (k) of Article 57. Items in point (r) of Article 57 shall not be deducted if they have been included in the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Article 75 as specified in Annex IX, Part 4.

3. For the purposes of Sections 5 and 6, the provisions laid down in this Section shall be read without taking into account the items referred to in points (q) and (r) of Article 57 and Article 63(3).

4. The competent authorities may authorise credit institutions to exceed the limits laid down in paragraph 1 in temporary and exceptional circumstances.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 67

Compliance with the conditions laid down in this Section shall be proved to the satisfaction of the competent authorities.

*Section 2***Provision against risks***Subsection 1***Level of application***Article 68*

1. Credit institutions shall comply with the obligations laid down in Articles 22 and 75 and Section 5 on an individual basis.
2. Every credit institution which is neither a subsidiary in the Member State where it is authorised and supervised, nor a parent undertaking, and every credit institution not included in the consolidation pursuant to Article 73, shall comply with the obligations laid down in Articles 120 and 123 on an individual basis.
3. Every credit institution which is neither a parent undertaking, nor a subsidiary, and every credit institution not included in the consolidation pursuant to Article 73, shall comply with the obligations laid down in Chapter 5 on an individual basis.

Article 69

1. The Member States may choose not to apply Article 68(1) to any subsidiary of a credit institution, where both the subsidiary and the credit institution are subject to authorisation and supervision by the Member State concerned, and the subsidiary is included in the supervision on a consolidated basis of the credit institution which is the parent undertaking, and all of the following conditions are satisfied, in order to ensure that own funds are distributed adequately among the parent undertaking and the subsidiaries:
 - a) there is no current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities by its parent undertaking;
 - b) either the parent undertaking satisfies the competent authority regarding the prudent management of the subsidiary and has declared, with the consent of the competent authority, that it guarantees the commitments entered into by the subsidiary, or the risks in the subsidiary are of negligible interest;
 - c) the risk evaluation, measurement and control procedures of the parent undertaking cover the subsidiary; and
 - d) the parent undertaking holds more than 50 % of the voting rights attaching to shares in the capital of the subsidiary and/or has the right to appoint or remove a majority of the members of the management body of the subsidiary described in Article 11.
2. The Member States may exercise the option provided for in paragraph 1 where the parent undertaking is a financial holding company set up in the same Member State as the credit institution, provided that it is subject to the same supervision as that exercised over credit institutions, and in particular to the standards laid down in Article 71(1).
3. The Member States may choose not to apply Article 68(1) to a parent credit institution in a Member State where that credit institution is subject to authorisation and supervision by the Member State concerned, and it is included in the supervision on a consolidated basis, and all the following conditions are satisfied, in order to ensure that own funds are distributed adequately among the parent undertaking and the subsidiaries:
 - a) there is no current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities to the parent credit institution in a Member State; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) the risk evaluation, measurement and control procedures relevant for consolidated supervision cover the parent credit institution in a Member State.

The competent authority which makes use of this paragraph shall inform the competent authorities of all other Member States.

4. Without prejudice to the generality of Article 144, the competent authority of the Member States exercising the discretion laid down in paragraph 3 shall publicly disclose, in the manner indicated in Article 144:

- a) criteria it applies to determine that there is no current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities;
- b) the number of parent credit institutions which benefit from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 3 and the number of these which incorporate subsidiaries in a third country; and
- c) on an aggregate basis for the Member State:
 - i) the total amount of own funds on the consolidated basis of the parent credit institution in a Member State, which benefits from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 3, which are held in subsidiaries in a third country;
 - ii) the percentage of total own funds on the consolidated basis of parent credit institutions in a Member State which benefits from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 3, represented by own funds which are held in subsidiaries in a third country; and
 - iii) the percentage of total minimum own funds required under Article 75 on the consolidated basis of parent credit institutions in a Member State, which benefits from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 3, represented by own funds which are held in subsidiaries in a third country.

Article 70

1. Subject to paragraphs 2 to 4 of this Article, the competent authorities may allow on a case by case basis parent credit institutions to incorporate in the calculation of their requirement under Article 68(1) subsidiaries which meet the conditions laid down in points (c) and (d) of Article 69(1), and whose material exposures or material liabilities are to that parent credit institution.

2. The treatment in paragraph 1 shall be allowed only where the parent credit institution demonstrates fully to the competent authorities the circumstances and arrangements, including legal arrangements, by virtue of which there is no material practical or legal impediment, and none are foreseen, to the prompt transfer of own funds, or repayment of liabilities when due by the subsidiary to its parent undertaking.

3. Where a competent authority exercises the discretion laid down in paragraph 1, it shall on a regular basis and not less than once a year inform the competent authorities of all the other Member States of the use made of paragraph 1 and of the circumstances and arrangements referred to in paragraph 2. Where the subsidiary is in a third country, the competent authorities shall provide the same information to the competent authorities of that third country as well.

4. Without prejudice to the generality of Article 144, a competent authority which exercises the discretion laid down in paragraph 1 shall publicly disclose, in the manner indicated in Article 144:

- a) the criteria it applies to determine that there is no current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities;
- b) the number of parent credit institutions which benefit from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 1 and the number of these which incorporate subsidiaries in a third country; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) on an aggregate basis for the Member State:
- i) the total amount of own funds of parent credit institutions which benefit from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 1 which are held in subsidiaries in a third country;
 - ii) the percentage of total own funds of parent credit institutions which benefit from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 1 represented by own funds which are held in subsidiaries in a third country; and
 - iii) the percentage of total minimum own funds required under Article 75 of parent credit institutions which benefit from the exercise of the discretion laid down in paragraph 1 represented by own funds which are held in subsidiaries in a third country.

Article 71

1. Without prejudice to Articles 68 to 70, parent credit institutions in a Member State shall comply, to the extent and in the manner prescribed in Article 133, with the obligations laid down in Articles 75, 120, 123 and Section 5 on the basis of their consolidated financial situation.

2. Without prejudice to Articles 68 to 70, credit institutions controlled by a parent financial holding company in a Member State shall comply, to the extent and in the manner prescribed in Article 133, with the obligations laid down in Articles 75, 120, 123 and Section 5 on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of that financial holding company.

Where more than one credit institution is controlled by a parent financial holding company in a Member State, the first subparagraph shall apply only to the credit institution to which supervision on a consolidated basis applies in accordance with Articles 125 and 126.

Article 72

1. EU parent credit institutions shall comply with the obligations laid down in Chapter 5 on the basis of their consolidated financial situation.

Significant subsidiaries of EU parent credit institutions shall disclose the information specified in Annex XII, Part 1, point 5, on an individual or sub-consolidated basis.

2. Credit institutions controlled by an EU parent financial holding company shall comply with the obligations laid down in Chapter 5 on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of that financial holding company.

Significant subsidiaries of EU parent financial holding companies shall disclose the information specified in Annex XII, Part 1, point 5, on an individual or sub-consolidated basis.

3. The competent authorities responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis pursuant to Articles 125 and 126 may decide not to apply in full or in part paragraphs 1 and 2 to the credit institutions which are included within comparable disclosures provided on a consolidated basis by a parent undertaking established in a third country.

Article 73

1. The Member States or the competent authorities responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis pursuant to Articles 125 and 126 may decide in the following cases that a credit institution, financial institution or ancillary services undertaking which is a subsidiary or in which a participation is held need not be included in the consolidation:

- a) where the undertaking concerned is situated in a third country where there are legal impediments to the transfer of the necessary information;
- b) where, in the opinion of the competent authorities, the undertaking concerned is of negligible interest only with respect to the objectives of monitoring credit institutions and in any event where the balance-sheet total of the undertaking concerned is less than the smaller of the following two amounts:
 - (i) 10 million Euro, or
 - ii) 1 % of the balance-sheet total of the parent undertaking or the undertaking that holds the participation,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) where, in the opinion of the competent authorities responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis, the consolidation of the financial situation of the undertaking concerned would be inappropriate or misleading as far as the objectives of the supervision of credit institutions are concerned.

If, in the cases referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph, several undertakings meet the above criteria set out therein, they shall nevertheless be included in the consolidation where collectively they are of non-negligible interest with respect to the specified objectives.

2. Competent authorities shall require subsidiary credit institutions to apply the requirements laid down in Articles 75, 120 and 123 and Section 5 on a sub-consolidated basis if those credit institutions, or the parent undertaking where it is a financial holding company, have a credit institution or a financial institution or an asset management company as defined in Article 2(5) of Directive 2002/87/EC as a subsidiary in a third country, or hold a participation in such an undertaking.

3. Competent authorities shall require the parent undertakings and subsidiaries subject to this Directive to meet the obligations laid down in Article 22 on a consolidated or sub-consolidated basis, to ensure that their arrangements, processes and mechanisms are consistent and well-integrated and that any data and information relevant to the purpose of supervision can be produced.

Subsection 2

Calculation of requirements

Article 74

1. Save where otherwise provided, the valuation of assets and off-balance-sheet items shall be effected in accordance with the accounting framework to which the credit institution is subject under Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and Directive 86/635/EEC.

2. Notwithstanding the requirements laid down in Articles 68 to 72, the calculations to verify the compliance of credit institutions with the obligations laid down in Article 75 shall be carried out not less than twice each year.

The credit institutions shall communicate the results and any component data required to the competent authorities.

Subsection 3

Minimum Level of Own Funds

Article 75

Without prejudice to Article 136, Member States shall require credit institutions to provide own funds which are at all times more than or equal to the sum of the following capital requirements:

- a) for credit risk and dilution risk in respect of all of their business activities with the exception of their trading book business and illiquid assets if deducted from own funds under Article 13(2)(d) of Directive 2006/.../EC, 8 % of the total of their risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in accordance with Section 3;
- b) in respect of their trading-book business, for position risk, settlement and counter-party risk and, in so far as the limits laid down in Articles 111 to 117 are authorised to be exceeded, for large exposures exceeding such limits, the capital requirements determined in accordance with Article 18 and Chapter V, Section 4 of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- c) in respect of all of their business activities, for foreign-exchange risk and for commodities risk, the capital requirements determined according to Article 18 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
- d) in respect of all of their business activities, for operational risk, the capital requirements determined in accordance with Section 4.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

*Section 3***Minimum own funds requirements for credit risk***Article 76*

Credit institutions shall apply either the Standardised Approach provided for in Articles 78 to 83 or, if permitted by the competent authorities in accordance with Article 84, the Internal Ratings Based Approach provided for in Articles 84 to 89 to calculate their risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Article 75(a).

Article 77

'Exposure' for the purposes of this Section means an asset or off-balance sheet item.

*Subsection 1***Standardised Approach***Article 78*

1. Subject to paragraph 2, the exposure value of an asset item shall be its balance-sheet value and the exposure value of an off-balance sheet item listed in Annex II shall be the following percentage of its value: 100 % if it is a full-risk item, 50 % if it is a medium-risk item, 20 % if it is a medium/low-risk item, 0 % if it is a low-risk item. The off-balance sheet items referred to in the first sentence of this paragraph shall be assigned to risk categories as indicated in Annex II. In the case of a credit institution using the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method under Annex VIII, Part 3, where an exposure takes the form of securities or commodities sold, posted or lent under a repurchase transaction or under a securities or commodities lending or borrowing transaction, and margin lending transactions the exposure value shall be increased by the volatility adjustment appropriate to such securities or commodities as prescribed in Annex VIII, Part 3, points 34 to 59.

2. The exposure value of a derivative instrument listed in Annex IV shall be determined in accordance with Annex III with the effects of contracts of novation and other netting agreements taken into account for the purposes of those methods in accordance with Annex III. The exposure value of repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions may be determined either in accordance with Annex III or Annex VIII.

3. Where an exposure is subject to funded credit protection, the exposure value applicable to that item may be modified in accordance with Subsection 3.

4. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, the exposure value of credit risk exposures outstanding, as determined by the competent authorities, with a central counterparty shall be determined in accordance with Annex III, Part 2, point 6, provided that the central counterparty's counterparty credit risk exposures with all participants in its arrangements are fully collateralised on a daily basis.

Article 79

1. Each exposure shall be assigned to one of the following exposure classes:
 - a) claims or contingent claims on central governments or central banks;
 - b) claims or contingent claims on regional governments or local authorities;
 - c) claims or contingent claims on administrative bodies and non-commercial undertakings;
 - d) claims or contingent claims on multilateral development banks;
 - e) claims or contingent claims on international organisations;
 - f) claims or contingent claims on institutions;
 - g) claims or contingent claims on corporates;
 - h) retail claims or contingent retail claims;
 - i) claims or contingent claims secured on real estate property;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- j) past due items;
 - k) items belonging to regulatory high-risk categories;
 - l) claims in the form of covered bonds;
 - m) securitisation positions;
 - n) short-term claims on institutions and corporate;
 - o) claims in the form of collective investment undertakings ('CIU'); or
 - p) other items.
2. To be eligible for the retail exposure class referred to in point (h) of paragraph 1, an exposure shall meet the following conditions:
- a) the exposure shall be either to an individual person or persons, or to a small or medium sized entity;
 - b) the exposure shall be one of a significant number of exposures with similar characteristics such that the risks associated with such lending are substantially reduced; and
 - c) the total amount owed to the credit institution and parent undertakings and its subsidiaries, including any past due exposure, by the obligor client or group of connected clients, but excluding claims or contingent claims secured on residential real estate collateral, shall not, to the knowledge of the credit institution, exceed 1 million Euro. The credit institution shall take reasonable steps to acquire this knowledge.
- Securities shall not be eligible for the retail exposure class.

- (3) The present value of retail minimum lease payments is eligible for the retail exposure class.

Article 80

1. To calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts, risk weights shall be applied to all exposures, unless deducted from own funds, in accordance with the provisions of Annex VI, Part 1. The application of risk weights shall be based on the exposure class to which the exposure is assigned and, to the extent specified in Annex VI, Part 1, its credit quality. Credit quality may be determined by reference to the credit assessments of External Credit Assessment Institutions ('ECAIs') in accordance with the provisions of Articles 81 to 83 or the credit assessments of Export Credit Agencies as described in Annex VI, Part 1.
2. For the purposes of applying a risk weight, as referred to in paragraph 1, the exposure value shall be multiplied by the risk weight specified or determined in accordance with this Subsection.
3. For the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts for exposures to institutions, Member States shall decide whether to adopt the method based on the credit quality of the central government of the jurisdiction in which the institution is incorporated or the method based on the credit quality of the counterparty institution in accordance with Annex VI.
4. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, where an exposure is subject to credit protection the risk weight applicable to that item may be modified in accordance with Subsection 3.
5. Risk-weighted exposure amounts for securitised exposures shall be calculated in accordance with Subsection 4.
6. Exposures the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for which is not otherwise provided for under this Subsection shall be assigned a risk-weight of 100 %.
7. With the exception of exposures giving rise to liabilities in the form of the items referred to in paragraphs (a) to (h) of Article 57, competent authorities may exempt from the requirements of paragraph 1 of this Article the exposures of a credit institution to a counterparty which is its parent undertaking, its subsidiary, a subsidiary of its parent undertaking or an undertaking linked by a relationship within the meaning of Article 12(1) of Directive 83/349/EEC, provided that the following conditions are met:
- a) the counterparty is an institution or a financial holding company, financial institution, asset management company or ancillary services undertaking subject to appropriate prudential requirements;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) the counterparty is included in the same consolidation as the credit institution on a full basis;
- c) the counterparty is subject to the same risk evaluation, measurement and control procedures as the credit institution;
- d) the counterparty is established in the same Member State as the credit institution; and
- e) there is no current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities from the counterparty to the credit institution.

In such a case, a risk weight of 0 % shall be assigned.

8. With the exception of exposures giving rise to liabilities in the form of the items referred to in points (a) to (h) of Article 57, competent authorities may exempt from the requirements of paragraph 1 of this Article the exposures to counterparties which are members of the same institutional protection scheme as the lending credit institution, provided that the following conditions are met:

- a) the requirements set out in points (a), (d) and (e) of paragraph 7;
- b) the credit institution and the counterparty have entered into a contractual or statutory liability arrangement which protects those institutions and in particular ensures their liquidity and solvency to avoid bankruptcy in case it becomes necessary (referred to below as an institutional protection scheme);
- c) the arrangements ensure that the institutional protection scheme will be able to grant support necessary under its commitment from funds readily available to it;
- d) the institutional protection scheme disposes of suitable and uniformly stipulated systems for the monitoring and classification of risk (which gives a complete overview of the risk situations of all the individual members and the institutional protection scheme as a whole) with corresponding possibilities to take influence; those systems shall suitably monitor defaulted exposures in accordance with Annex VII, Part 4, point 44;
- e) the institutional protection scheme conducts its own risk review which is communicated to the individual members;
- f) the institutional protection scheme draws up and publishes once in a year either, a consolidated report comprising the balance sheet, the profit-and-loss account, the situation report and the risk report, concerning the institutional protection scheme as a whole, or a report comprising the aggregated balance sheet, the aggregated profit-and-loss account, the situation report and the risk report, concerning the institutional protection scheme as a whole;
- g) members of the institutional protection scheme are obliged to give advance notice of at least 24 months if they wish to end the arrangements;
- h) the multiple use of elements eligible for the calculation of own funds ('multiple gearing') as well as any inappropriate creation of own funds between the members of the institutional protection scheme shall be eliminated;
- i) the institutional protection scheme shall be based on a broad membership of credit institutions of a predominantly homogeneous business profile; and
- j) the adequacy of the systems referred to in point (d) is approved and monitored at regular intervals by the relevant competent authorities.

In such a case, a risk weight of 0 % shall be assigned.

Article 81

1. An external credit assessment may be used to determine the risk weight of an exposure in accordance with Article 80 only if the ECAI which provides it has been recognised as eligible for those purposes by the competent authorities ('an eligible ECAI' for the purposes of this Subsection).

2. Competent authorities shall recognise an ECAI as eligible for the purposes of Article 80 only if they are satisfied that its assessment methodology complies with the requirements of objectivity, independence, ongoing review and transparency, and that the resulting credit assessments meet the requirements of credibility and transparency. For those purposes, the competent authorities shall take into account the technical criteria set out in Annex VI, Part 2.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. If an ECAI has been recognised as eligible by the competent authorities of a Member State, the competent authorities of other Member States may recognise that ECAI as eligible without carrying out their own evaluation process.

4. Competent authorities shall make publicly available an explanation of the recognition process, and a list of eligible ECAIs.

Article 82

1. The competent authorities shall determine, taking into account the technical criteria set out in Annex VI, Part 2, with which of the credit quality steps set out in Part 1 of that Annex the relevant credit assessments of an eligible ECAI are to be associated. Those determinations shall be objective and consistent.

2. When the competent authorities of a Member State have made a determination under paragraph 1, the competent authorities of other Member States may recognise that determination without carrying out their own determination process.

Article 83

1. The use of ECAI credit assessments for the calculation of a credit institution's risk-weighted exposure amounts shall be consistent and in accordance with Annex VI, Part 3. Credit assessments shall not be used selectively.

2. Credit institutions shall use solicited credit assessments. However, with the permission of the relevant competent authority, they may use unsolicited assessments.

Subsection 2

Internal Ratings Based Approach

Article 84

1. In accordance with this Subsection, the competent authorities may permit credit institutions to calculate their risk-weighted exposure amounts using the Internal Ratings Based Approach ('IRB Approach'). Explicit permission shall be required in the case of each credit institution.

2. Permission shall be given only if the competent authority is satisfied that the credit institution's systems for the management and rating of credit risk exposures are sound and implemented with integrity and, in particular, that they meet the following standards in accordance with Annex VII, Part 4:

- a) the credit institution's rating systems provide for a meaningful assessment of obligor and transaction characteristics, a meaningful differentiation of risk and accurate and consistent quantitative estimates of risk;
- b) internal ratings and default and loss estimates used in the calculation of capital requirements and associated systems and processes play an essential role in the risk management and decision-making process, and in the credit approval, internal capital allocation and corporate governance functions of the credit institution;
- c) the credit institution has a credit risk control unit responsible for its rating systems that is appropriately independent and free from undue influence;
- d) the credit institution collects and stores all relevant data to provide effective support to its credit risk measurement and management process; and
- e) the credit institution documents its rating systems and the rationale for their design and validates its rating systems.

Where an EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries or an EU parent financial holding company and its subsidiaries use the IRB Approach on a unified basis, the competent authorities may allow minimum requirements of Annex VII, Part 4 to be met by the parent and its subsidiaries considered together.

3. A credit institution applying for the use of the IRB Approach shall demonstrate that it has been using for the IRB exposure classes in question rating systems that were broadly in line with the minimum requirements set out in Annex VII, Part 4 for internal risk measurement and management purposes for at least three years prior to its qualification to use the IRB Approach.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. A credit institution applying for the use of own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors shall demonstrate that it has been estimating and employing own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors in a manner that was broadly consistent with the minimum requirements for use of own estimates of those parameters set out in Annex VII, Part 4 for at least three years prior to qualification to use own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors.

5. If a credit institution ceases to comply with the requirements set out in this Subsection, it shall either present to the competent authority a plan for a timely return to compliance or demonstrate that the effect of non-compliance is immaterial.

6. When the IRB Approach is intended to be used by the EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries, or by the EU parent financial holding company and its subsidiaries, the competent authorities of the different legal entities shall cooperate closely as provided for in Articles 129 to 132.

Article 85

1. Without prejudice to Article 89, credit institutions and any parent undertaking and its subsidiaries shall implement the IRB Approach for all exposures.

Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, implementation may be carried out sequentially across the different exposure classes, referred to in Article 86, within the same business unit, across different business units in the same group or for the use of own estimates of LGDs or conversion factors for the calculation of risk weights for exposures to corporates, institutions, and central governments and central banks.

In the case of the retail exposure class referred to in Article 86, implementation may be carried out sequentially across the categories of exposures to which the different correlations in Annex VII, Part 1, points 10 to 13 correspond.

2. Implementation as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be carried out within a reasonable period of time to be agreed with the competent authorities. The implementation shall be carried out subject to strict conditions determined by the competent authorities. Those conditions shall be designed to ensure that the flexibility under paragraph 1 is not used selectively with the purpose of achieving reduced minimum capital requirements in respect of those exposure classes or business units that are yet to be included in the IRB Approach or in the use of own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors.

3. Credit institutions using the IRB Approach for any exposure class shall at the same time use the IRB Approach for the equity exposure class.

4. Subject to paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Article and Article 89, credit institutions which have obtained permission under Article 84 to use the IRB Approach shall not revert to the use of Subsection 1 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts except for demonstrated good cause and subject to the approval of the competent authorities.

5. Subject to paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Article and Article 89, credit institutions which have obtained permission under Article 87(9) to use own estimates of LGDs and conversion factors, shall not revert to the use of LGD values and conversion factors referred to in Article 87(8) except for demonstrated good cause and subject to the approval of the competent authorities.

Article 86

1. Each exposure shall be assigned to one of the following exposure classes:

- a) claims or contingent claims on central governments and central banks;
- b) claims or contingent claims on institutions;
- c) claims or contingent claims on corporates;
- d) retail claims or contingent retail claims;
- e) equity claims;
- f) securitisation positions; or
- g) other non credit-obligation assets.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. The following exposures shall be treated as exposures to central governments and central banks:
 - a) exposures to regional governments, local authorities or public sector entities which are treated as exposures to central governments under Subsection 1; and
 - b) exposures to Multilateral Development Banks and International Organisations which attract a risk weight of 0 % under Subsection 1.
3. The following exposures shall be treated as exposures to institutions:
 - a) exposures to regional governments and local authorities which are not treated as exposures to central governments under Subsection 1;
 - b) exposures to Public Sector Entities which are treated as exposures to institutions under the Subsection 1; and
 - c) exposures to Multilateral Development Banks which do not attract a 0 % risk weight under Subsection 1.
4. To be eligible for the retail exposure class referred to in point (d) of paragraph 1, exposures shall meet the following criteria:
 - a) they shall be either to an individual person or persons, or to a small or medium sized entity, provided in the latter case that the total amount owed to the credit institution and parent undertakings and its subsidiaries, including any past due exposure, by the obligor client or group of connected clients, but excluding claims or contingent claims secured on residential real estate collateral, shall not, to the knowledge of the credit institution, which shall have taken reasonable steps to confirm the situation, exceed 1 million Euro;
 - b) they are treated by the credit institution in its risk management consistently over time and in a similar manner;
 - c) they are not managed just as individually as exposures in the corporate exposure class; and
 - d) they each represent one of a significant number of similarly managed exposures.

The present value of retail minimum lease payments is eligible for the retail exposure class.
5. The following exposures shall be classed as equity exposures:
 - a) non-debt exposures conveying a subordinated, residual claim on the assets or income of the issuer; and
 - b) debt exposures the economic substance of which is similar to the exposures specified in point (a).
6. Within the corporate exposure class, credit institutions shall separately identify as specialised lending exposures, exposures which possess the following characteristics:
 - a) the exposure is to an entity which was created specifically to finance and/or operate physical assets;
 - b) the contractual arrangements give the lender a substantial degree of control over the assets and the income that they generate; and
 - c) the primary source of repayment of the obligation is the income generated by the assets being financed, rather than the independent capacity of a broader commercial enterprise.
7. Any credit obligation not assigned to the exposure classes referred to in points (a), (b) and (d) to (f) of paragraph 1 shall be assigned to the exposure class referred to in point (c) of that paragraph.
8. The exposure class referred to in point (g) of paragraph 1 shall include the residual value of leased properties if not included in the lease exposure as defined in Annex VII, Part 3, paragraph 4.
9. The methodology used by the credit institution for assigning exposures to different exposure classes shall be appropriate and consistent over time.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 87

1. The risk-weighted exposure amounts for credit risk for exposures belonging to one of the exposure classes referred to in points (a) to (e) or (g) of Article 86(1) shall, unless deducted from own funds, be calculated in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, points 1 to 27.
2. The risk-weighted exposure amounts for dilution risk for purchased receivables shall be calculated according to Annex VII, Part 1, point 28. Where a credit institution has full recourse in respect of purchased receivables for default risk and for dilution risk, to the seller of the purchased receivables, the provisions of Articles 87 and 88 in relation to purchased receivables need not be applied. The exposure may instead be treated as a collateralised exposure.
3. The calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for credit risk and dilution risk shall be based on the relevant parameters associated with the exposure in question. These shall include probability of default (PD), LGD, maturity (M) and exposure value of the exposure. PD and LGD may be considered separately or jointly, in accordance with Annex VII, Part 2.
4. Notwithstanding paragraph 3, the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for credit risk for all exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (e) of Article 86(1) shall be calculated in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, points 17 to 26 subject to approval of the competent authorities. Competent authorities shall only allow a credit institution to use the approach set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 25 and 26 if the credit institution meets the minimum requirements set out in Annex VII, Part 4, points 115 to 123.
5. Notwithstanding paragraph 3, the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts for credit risk for specialised lending exposures may be calculated in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, point 6. Competent authorities shall publish guidance on how credit institutions should assign risk weights to specialised lending exposures under Annex VII, Part 1, point 6 and shall approve credit institution assignment methodologies.
6. For exposures belonging to the exposure classes referred to in points (a) to (d) of Article 86(1), credit institutions shall provide their own estimates of PDs in accordance with Article 84 and Annex VII, Part 4.
7. For exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (d) of Article 86(1), credit institutions shall provide own estimates of LGDs and conversion factors in accordance with Article 84 and Annex VII, Part 4.
8. For exposures belonging to the exposure classes referred to in points (a) to (c) of Article 86(1), credit institutions shall apply the LGD values set out in Annex VII, Part 2, point 8, and the conversion factors set out in Annex VII, Part 3, point 9(a) to (d).
9. Notwithstanding paragraph 8, for all exposures belonging to the exposure classes referred to in points (a) to (c) of Article 86(1), competent authorities may permit credit institutions to use own estimates of LGDs and conversion factors in accordance with Article 84 and Annex VII, Part 4.
10. The risk-weighted exposure amounts for securitised exposures and for exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (f) of Article 86(1) shall be calculated in accordance with Subsection 4.
11. Where exposures in the form of a collective investment undertaking (CIU) meet the criteria set out in Annex VI, Part 1, points 77 and 78 and the credit institution is aware of all of the underlying exposures of the CIU, the credit institution shall look through to those underlying exposures in order to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts in accordance with the methods set out in this Subsection.

Where the credit institution does not meet the conditions for using the methods set out in this Subsection, risk weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts shall be calculated in accordance with the following approaches:

- a) for exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (e) of Article 86(1), the approach set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 19 to 21. If, for those purposes, the credit institution is unable to differentiate between private equity, exchange-traded and other equity exposures, it shall treat the exposures concerned as other equity exposures;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) for all other underlying exposures, the approach set out in Subsection 1, subject to the following modifications:
 - i) the exposures are assigned to the appropriate exposure class and attributed the risk weight of the credit quality step immediately above the credit quality step that would normally be assigned to the exposure, and
 - ii) exposures assigned to the higher credit quality steps, to which a risk weight of 150 % would normally be attributed, are assigned a risk weight of 200 %.

12. Where exposures in the form of a CIU do not meet the criteria set out in Annex VI, Part 1, points 77 and 78, or the credit institution is not aware of all of the underlying exposures of the CIU, the credit institution shall look through to the underlying exposures and calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts in accordance with the approach set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 19 to 21. If, for those purposes, the credit institution is unable to differentiate between private equity, exchange-traded and other equity exposures, it shall treat the exposures concerned as other equity exposures. For these purposes, non equity exposures are assigned to one of the classes (private equity, exchange traded equity or other equity) set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 19 and unknown exposures are assigned to other equity class.

Alternatively to the method described above, credit institutions may calculate themselves or may rely on a third party to calculate and report the average risk weighted exposure amounts based on the CIU's underlying exposures in accordance with the following approaches, provided that the correctness of the calculation and the report is adequately ensured:

- a) for exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (e) of Article 86(1), the approach set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 19 to 21. If, for those purposes, the credit institution is unable to differentiate between private equity, exchange-traded and other equity exposures, it shall treat the exposures concerned as other equity exposures; or
- b) for all other underlying exposures, the approach set out in Subsection 1, subject to the following modifications:
 - i) the exposures are assigned to the appropriate exposure class and attributed the risk weight of the credit quality step immediately above the credit quality step that would normally be assigned to the exposure, and
 - ii) exposures assigned to the higher credit quality steps, to which a risk weight of 150 % would normally be attributed, are assigned a risk weight of 200 %.

Article 88

1. The expected loss amounts for exposures belonging to one of the exposure classes referred to in points (a) to (e) of Article 86(1) shall be calculated in accordance with the methods set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 29 to 35.

2. The calculation of expected loss amounts in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, points 29 to 35 shall be based on the same input figures of PD, LGD and the exposure value for each exposure as being used for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Article 87. For defaulted exposures, where credit institutions use own estimates of LGDs, expected loss ('EL') shall be the credit institution's best estimate of EL ('ELBE,') for the defaulted exposure, in accordance with Annex VII, Part 4, point 80.

3. The expected loss amounts for securitised exposures shall be calculated in accordance with Subsection 4.

4. The expected loss amount for exposures belonging to the exposure class referred to in point (g) of Article 86(1) shall be zero.

5. The expected loss amounts for dilution risk of purchased receivables shall be calculated in accordance with the methods set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 35.

6. The expected loss amounts for exposures referred to in Article 87(11) and (12) shall be calculated in accordance with the methods set out in Annex VII, Part 1, points 29 to 35.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 89

1. Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, credit institutions permitted to use the IRB Approach in the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts for one or more exposure classes may apply Subsection 1 for the following:

- a) the exposure class referred to in point (a) of Article 86(1), where the number of material counterparties is limited and it would be unduly burdensome for the credit institution to implement a rating system for these counterparties;
- b) the exposure class referred to in point (b) of Article 86(1), where the number of material counterparties is limited and it would be unduly burdensome for the credit institution to implement a rating system for these counterparties;
- c) exposures in non-significant business units as well as exposure classes that are immaterial in terms of size and perceived risk profile;
- d) exposures to central governments of the home Member State and to their regional governments, local authorities and administrative bodies, provided that:
 - i) there is no difference in risk between the exposures to that central government and those other exposures because of specific public arrangements, and
 - ii) exposures to the central government are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Subsection 1;
- e) exposures of a credit institution to a counterparty which is its parent undertaking, its subsidiary or a subsidiary of its parent undertaking provided that the counterparty is an institution or a financial holding company, financial institution, asset management company or ancillary services undertaking subject to appropriate prudential requirements or an undertaking linked by a relationship within the meaning of Article 12(1) of Directive 83/349/EEC and exposures between credit institutions which meet the requirements set out in Article 80(8);
- f) equity exposures to entities whose credit obligations qualify for a 0 % risk weight under Subsection 1 (including those publicly sponsored entities where a zero risk weight can be applied);
- g) equity exposures incurred under legislative programmes to promote specified sectors of the economy that provide significant subsidies for the investment to the credit institution and involve some form of government oversight and restrictions on the equity investments. This exclusion is limited to an aggregate of 10 % of original own funds plus additional own funds;
- h) the exposures identified in Annex VI, Part 1, point 40 meeting the conditions specified therein; or
- (i) State and State-reinsured guarantees pursuant to Annex VIII, Part 2, point 19.

This paragraph shall not prevent the competent authorities of other Member States to allow the application of the rules of Subsection 1 for equity exposures which have been allowed for this treatment in other Member States.

2. For the purposes of paragraph 1, the equity exposure class of a credit institution shall be considered material if their aggregate value, excluding equity exposures incurred under legislative programmes as referred to in paragraph 1, point (g), exceeds, on average over the preceding year, 10 % of the credit institution's own funds. If the number of those equity exposures is less than 10 individual holdings, that threshold shall be 5 % of the credit institution's own funds.

*Subsection 3***Credit risk mitigation***Article 90*

For the purposes of this Subsection, 'lending credit institution' shall mean the credit institution which has the exposure in question, whether or not deriving from a loan.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 91

Credit institutions using the Standardised Approach under Articles 78 to 83 or using the IRB Approach under Articles 84 to 89, but not using their own estimates of LGD and conversion factors under Articles 87 and 88, may recognise credit risk mitigation in accordance with this Subsection in the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Article 75 point (a) or as relevant expected loss amounts for the purposes of the calculation referred to in point (q) of Article 57, and Article 63(3).

Article 92

1. The technique used to provide the credit protection together with the actions and steps taken and procedures and policies implemented by the lending credit institution shall be such as to result in credit protection arrangements which are legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions.
2. The lending credit institution shall take all appropriate steps to ensure the effectiveness of the credit protection arrangement and to address related risks.
3. In the case of funded credit protection, to be eligible for recognition the assets relied upon shall be sufficiently liquid and their value over time sufficiently stable to provide appropriate certainty as to the credit protection achieved having regard to the approach used to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts and to the degree of recognition allowed. Eligibility shall be limited to the assets set out in Annex VIII, Part 1.
4. In the case of funded credit protection, the lending credit institution shall have the right to liquidate or retain, in a timely manner, the assets from which the protection derives in the event of the default, insolvency or bankruptcy of the obligor – or other credit event set out in the transaction documentation – and, where applicable, of the custodian holding the collateral. The degree of correlation between the value of the assets relied upon for protection and the credit quality of the obligor shall not be undue.
5. In the case of unfunded credit protection, to be eligible for recognition the party giving the undertaking shall be sufficiently reliable, and the protection agreement legally effective and enforceable in the relevant jurisdictions, to provide appropriate certainty as to the credit protection achieved having regard to the approach used to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts and to the degree of recognition allowed. Eligibility shall be limited to the protection providers and types of protection agreement set out in Annex VIII, Part 1.
6. The minimum requirements set out in Annex VIII, Part 2 shall be complied with.

Article 93

1. Where the requirements of Article 92 are met the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, and, as relevant, expected loss amounts, may be modified in accordance with Annex VIII, Parts 3 to 6.
2. No exposure in respect of which credit risk mitigation is obtained shall produce a higher risk-weighted exposure amount or expected loss amount than an otherwise identical exposure in respect of which there is no credit risk mitigation.
3. Where the risk-weighted exposure amount already takes account of credit protection under Articles 78 to 83 or Articles 84 to 89, as relevant, the calculation of the credit protection shall not be further recognised under this Subsection.

Subsection 4

Securitisation

Article 94

Where a credit institution uses the Standardised Approach set out in Articles 78 to 83 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for the exposure class to which the securitised exposures would be assigned under Article 79, it shall calculate the risk-weighted exposure amount for a securitisation position in accordance with Annex IX, Part 4, points 1 to 36.

In all other cases, it shall calculate the risk-weighted exposure amount in accordance with Annex IX, Part 4, points 1 to 5 and 37 to 76.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 95

1. Where significant credit risk associated with securitised exposures has been transferred from the originator credit institution in accordance with the terms of Annex IX, Part 2, that credit institution may:
 - a) in the case of a traditional securitisation, exclude from its calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, and, as relevant, expected loss amounts, the exposures which it has securitised; and
 - b) in the case of a synthetic securitisation, calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts, and, as relevant, expected loss amounts, in respect of the securitised exposures in accordance with Annex IX, Part 2.
2. Where paragraph 1 applies, the originator credit institution shall calculate the risk-weighted exposure amounts prescribed in Annex IX for the positions that it may hold in the securitisation.

Where the originator credit institution fails to transfer significant credit risk in accordance with paragraph 1, it need not calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts for any positions it may have in the securitisation in question.

Article 96

1. To calculate the risk-weighted exposure amount of a securitisation position, risk weights shall be assigned to the exposure value of the position in accordance with Annex IX, based on the credit quality of the position, which may be determined by reference to an ECAI credit assessment or otherwise, as set out in Annex IX.
2. Where there is an exposure to different tranches in a securitisation, the exposure to each tranche shall be considered a separate securitisation position. The providers of credit protection to securitisation positions shall be considered to hold positions in the securitisation. Securitisation positions shall include exposures to a securitisation arising from interest rate or currency derivative contracts.
3. Where a securitisation position is subject to funded or unfunded credit protection the risk-weight to be applied to that position may be modified in accordance with Articles 90 to 93, read in conjunction with Annex IX.
4. Subject to point (r) of Article 57 and Article 66(2), the risk-weighted exposure amount shall be included in the credit institution's total of risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Article 75(a).

Article 97

1. An ECAI credit assessment may be used to determine the risk weight of a securitisation position in accordance with Article 96 only if the ECAI has been recognised as eligible by the competent authorities for this purpose (hereinafter 'an eligible ECAI').
2. The competent authorities shall recognise an ECAI as eligible for the purposes of paragraph 1 only if they are satisfied as to its compliance with the requirements laid down in Article 81, taking into account the technical criteria in Annex VI, Part 2, and that it has a demonstrated ability in the area of securitisation, which may be evidenced by a strong market acceptance.
3. If an ECAI has been recognised as eligible by the competent authorities of a Member State for the purposes of paragraph 1, the competent authorities of other Member States may recognise that ECAI as eligible for those purposes without carrying out their own evaluation process.
4. The competent authorities shall make publicly available an explanation of the recognition process and a list of eligible ECAs.
5. To be used for the purposes of paragraph 1, a credit assessment of an eligible ECAI shall comply with the principles of credibility and transparency as elaborated in Annex IX, Part 3.

Article 98

1. For the purposes of applying risk weights to securitisation positions, the competent authorities shall determine with which of the credit quality steps set out in Annex IX the relevant credit assessments of an eligible ECAI are to be associated. Those determinations shall be objective and consistent.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. When the competent authorities of a Member State have made a determination under paragraph 1, the competent authorities of other Member States may recognise that determination without carrying out their own determination process.

Article 99

The use of ECAI credit assessments for the calculation of a credit institution's risk-weighted exposure amounts under Article 96 shall be consistent and in accordance with Annex IX, Part 3. Credit assessments shall not be used selectively.

Article 100

1. Where there is a securitisation of revolving exposures subject to an early amortisation provision, the originator credit institution shall calculate, in accordance with Annex IX, an additional risk-weighted exposure amount in respect of the risk that the levels of credit risk to which it is exposed may increase following the operation of the early amortisation provision.

2. For those purposes, a 'revolving exposure' shall be an exposure whereby customers' outstanding balances are permitted to fluctuate based on their decisions to borrow and repay, up to an agreed limit, and an early amortisation provision shall be a contractual clause which requires, on the occurrence of defined events, investors' positions to be redeemed before the originally stated maturity of the securities issued.

Article 101

1. An originator credit institution which, in respect of a securitisation, has made use of Article 95 in the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts or a sponsor credit institution shall not, with a view to reducing potential or actual losses to investors, provide support to the securitisation beyond its contractual obligations.

2. If an originator credit institution or a sponsor credit institution fails to comply with paragraph 1 in respect of a securitisation, the competent authority shall require it at a minimum, to hold capital against all of the securitised exposures as if they had not been securitised. The credit institution shall disclose publicly that it has provided non-contractual support and the regulatory capital impact of having done so.

Section 4

Minimum own funds requirements for operational risk

Article 102

1. Competent authorities shall require credit institutions to hold own funds against operational risk in accordance with the approaches set out in Articles 103, 104 and 105.

2. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, credit institutions that use the approach set out in Article 104 shall not revert to the use of the approach set out in Article 103, except for demonstrated good cause and subject to approval by the competent authorities.

3. Without prejudice to paragraph 4, credit institutions that use the approach set out in Article 105 shall not revert to the use of the approaches set out in Articles 103 or 104 except for demonstrated good cause and subject to approval by the competent authorities.

4. Competent authorities may allow credit institutions to use a combination of approaches in accordance with Annex X, Part 4.

Article 103

The capital requirement for operational risk under the Basic Indicator Approach shall be a certain percentage of a relevant indicator, in accordance with the parameters set out in Annex X, Part 1.

Article 104

1. Under the Standardised Approach, credit institutions shall divide their activities into a number of business lines as set out in Annex X, Part 2.

2. For each business line, credit institutions shall calculate a capital requirement for operational risk as a certain percentage of a relevant indicator, in accordance with the parameters set out in Annex X, Part 2.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. For certain business lines, the competent authorities may under certain conditions authorise a credit institution to use an alternative relevant indicator for determining its capital requirement for operational risk as set out in Annex X, Part 2, points 5 to 11.
4. The capital requirement for operational risk under the Standardised Approach shall be the sum of the capital requirements for operational risk across all individual business lines.
5. The parameters for the Standardised Approach are set out in Annex X, Part 2.
6. To qualify for use of the Standardised Approach, credit institutions shall meet the criteria set out in Annex X, Part 2.

Article 105

1. Credit institutions may use Advanced Measurement Approaches based on their own operational risk measurement systems, provided that the competent authority expressly approves the use of the models concerned for calculating the own funds requirement.
2. Credit institutions shall satisfy their competent authorities that they meet the qualifying criteria set out in Annex X, Part 3.
3. When an Advanced Measurement Approach is intended to be used by an EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries or by the subsidiaries of an EU parent financial holding company, the competent authorities of the different legal entities shall cooperate closely as provided for in Articles 129 to 132. The application shall include the elements listed in Annex X, Part 3.
4. Where an EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries or the subsidiaries of an EU parent financial holding company use an Advanced Measurement Approach on a unified basis, the competent authorities may allow the qualifying criteria set out in Annex X, Part 3 to be met by the parent and its subsidiaries considered together.

Section 5

Large exposures

Article 106

1. 'Exposures', for the purposes of this Section, shall mean any asset or off-balance-sheet item referred to in Section 3, Subsection 1, without application of the risk weights or degrees of risk there provided for.

Exposures arising from the items referred to in Annex IV shall be calculated in accordance with one of the methods set out in Annex III. For the purposes of this Section, Annex III, Part 2, point 2 shall also apply.

All elements entirely covered by own funds may, with the agreement of the competent authorities, be excluded from the determination of exposures, provided that such own funds are not included in the credit institution's own funds for the purposes of Article 75 or in the calculation of other monitoring ratios provided for in this Directive and in other Community acts.

2. Exposures shall not include either of the following:
 - a) in the case of foreign exchange transactions, exposures incurred in the ordinary course of settlement during the 48 hours following payment; or
 - b) in the case of transactions for the purchase or sale of securities, exposures incurred in the ordinary course of settlement during the five working days following payment or delivery of the securities, whichever is the earlier.

Article 107

For the purposes of applying this Section, the term 'credit institution' shall cover the following:

- a) a credit institution, including its branches in third countries; and
- b) any private or public undertaking, including its branches, which meets the definition of 'credit institution' and has been authorised in a third country.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 108

A credit institution's exposure to a client or group of connected clients shall be considered a large exposure where its value is equal to or exceeds 10 % of its own funds.

Article 109

The competent authorities shall require that every credit institution have sound administrative and accounting procedures and adequate internal control mechanisms for the purposes of identifying and recording all large exposures and subsequent changes to them, in accordance with this Directive, and for that of monitoring those exposures in the light of each credit institution's own exposure policies.

Article 110

1. A credit institution shall report every large exposure to the competent authorities.

Member States shall provide that reporting is to be carried out, at their discretion, in accordance with one of the following two methods:

- a) reporting of all large exposures at least once a year, combined with reporting during the year of all new large exposures and any increases in existing large exposures of at least 20 % with respect to the previous communication; or
- b) reporting of all large exposures at least four times a year.

2. Except in the case of credit institutions relying on Article 114 for the recognition of collateral in calculating the value of exposures for the purposes of paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of Article 111, exposures exempted under Article 113(3)(a) to (d) and (f) to (h) need not be reported as laid down in paragraph 1 and the reporting frequency laid down in point (b) of paragraph 1 of this Article may be reduced to twice a year for the exposures referred to in Article 113(3)(e) and (i), and in Articles 115 and 116.

Where a credit institution invokes this paragraph, it shall keep a record of the grounds advanced for at least one year after the event giving rise to the dispensation, so that the competent authorities may establish whether it is justified.

3. Member States may require credit institutions to analyse their exposures to collateral issuers for possible concentrations and where appropriate take action or report any significant findings to their competent authority.

Article 111

1. A credit institution may not incur an exposure to a client or group of connected clients the value of which exceed 25 % of its own funds.

2. Where that client or group of connected clients is the parent undertaking or subsidiary of the credit institution and/or one or more subsidiaries of that parent undertaking, the percentage laid down in paragraph 1 shall be reduced to 20 %. Member States may, however, exempt the exposures incurred to such clients from the 20 % limit if they provide for specific monitoring of such exposures by other measures or procedures. They shall inform the Commission and the European Banking Committee of the content of such measures or procedures.

3. A credit institution may not incur large exposures which in total exceed 800 % of its own funds.

4. A credit institution shall at all times comply with the limits laid down in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 in respect of its exposures. If in an exceptional case exposures exceed those limits, that fact shall be reported without delay to the competent authorities which may, where the circumstances warrant it, allow the credit institution a limited period of time in which to comply with the limits.

Article 112

1. For the purposes of Articles 113 to 117, the term 'guarantee' shall include credit derivatives recognised under Articles 90 to 93 other than credit linked notes.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Subject to paragraph 3, where, under Articles 113 to 117, the recognition of funded or unfunded credit protection may be permitted, this shall be subject to compliance with the eligibility requirements and other minimum requirements, set out under Articles 90 to 93 for the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83.

3. Where a credit institution relies upon Article 114(2), the recognition of funded credit protection shall be subject to the relevant requirements under Articles 84 to 89.

Article 113

1. Member States may impose limits more stringent than those laid down in Article 111.
2. Member States may fully or partially exempt from the application of Article 111(1), (2) and (3) exposures incurred by a credit institution to its parent undertaking, to other subsidiaries of that parent undertaking or to its own subsidiaries, in so far as those undertakings are covered by the supervision on a consolidated basis to which the credit institution itself is subject, in accordance with this Directive or with equivalent standards in force in a third country.
3. Member States may fully or partially exempt the following exposures from the application of Article 111:
 - a) asset items constituting claims on central governments or central banks which, unsecured, would be assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83;
 - b) asset items constituting claims on international organisations or multilateral development banks which, unsecured, would be assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83;
 - c) asset items constituting claims carrying the explicit guarantees of central governments, central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or public sector entities, where unsecured claims on the entity providing the guarantee would be assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83;
 - d) other exposures attributable to, or guaranteed by, central governments, central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or public sector entities, where unsecured claims on the entity to which the exposure is attributable or by which it is guaranteed would be assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83;
 - e) asset items constituting claims on and other exposures to central governments or central banks not mentioned in point (a) which are denominated and, where applicable, funded in the national currencies of the borrowers;
 - f) asset items and other exposures secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by collateral in the form of debt securities issued by central governments or central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks, Member States' regional governments, local authorities or public sector entities, which securities constitute claims on their issuer which would be assigned a 0 % risk weighting under Articles 78 to 83;
 - g) asset items and other exposures secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by collateral in the form of cash deposits placed with the lending credit institution or with a credit institution which is the parent undertaking or a subsidiary of the lending institution;
 - h) asset items and other exposures secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by collateral in the form of certificates of deposit issued by the lending credit institution or by a credit institution which is the parent undertaking or a subsidiary of the lending credit institution and lodged with either of them;
 - i) asset items constituting claims on and other exposures to institutions, with a maturity of one year or less, but not constituting such institutions' own funds;
 - j) asset items constituting claims on and other exposures to those institutions which are not credit institutions but which fulfil the conditions referred to in Annex VI, Part 1, point 85, with a maturity of one year or less, and secured in accordance with the same point;
 - k) bills of trade and other similar bills, with a maturity of one year or less, bearing the signatures of other credit institutions;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- l) covered bonds falling within the terms of Annex VI, Part 1, points 68 to 70;
- m) pending subsequent coordination, holdings in the insurance companies referred to in Article 122(1) up to 40 % of the own funds of the credit institution acquiring such a holding;
- n) asset items constituting claims on regional or central credit institutions with which the lending credit institution is associated in a network in accordance with legal or statutory provisions and which are responsible, under those provisions, for cash-clearing operations within the network;
- o) exposures secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by collateral in the form of securities other than those referred to in point (f);
- p) loans secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by mortgages on residential property or by shares in Finnish residential housing companies, operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation and leasing transactions under which the lessor retains full ownership of the residential property leased for as long as the lessee has not exercised his option to purchase, in all cases up to 50 % of the value of the residential property concerned;
- q) the following, where they would receive a 50 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83, and only up to 50 % of the value of the property concerned:
 - i) exposures secured by mortgages on offices or other commercial premises, or by shares in Finnish housing companies, operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation, in respect of offices or other commercial premises; and
 - ii) exposures related to property leasing transactions concerning offices or other commercial premises;for the purposes of point (ii), until 31 December 2011, the competent authorities of each Member State may allow credit institutions to recognise 100 % of the value of the property concerned. At the end of this period, this treatment shall be reviewed. Member States shall inform the Commission of the use they make of this preferential treatment;
- r) 50 % of the medium/low-risk off-balance-sheet items referred to in Annex II;
- s) subject to the competent authorities' agreement, guarantees other than loan guarantees which have a legal or regulatory basis and are given for their members by mutual guarantee schemes possessing the status of credit institutions, subject to a weighting of 20 % of their amount; and
- t) the low-risk off-balance-sheet items referred to in Annex II, to the extent that an agreement has been concluded with the client or group of connected clients under which the exposure may be incurred only if it has been ascertained that it will not cause the limits applicable under Article 111(1) to (3) to be exceeded.

Cash received under a credit linked note issued by the credit institution and loans and deposits of a counterparty to or with the credit institution which are subject to an on-balance sheet netting agreement recognised under Articles 90 to 93 shall be deemed to fall under point (g).

For the purposes of point (o), the securities used as collateral shall be valued at market price, have a value that exceeds the exposures guaranteed and be either traded on a stock exchange or effectively negotiable and regularly quoted on a market operated under the auspices of recognised professional operators and allowing, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities of the Member State of origin of the credit institution, for the establishment of an objective price such that the excess value of the securities may be verified at any time. The excess value required shall be 100 %. It shall, however, be 150 % in the case of shares and 50 % in the case of debt securities issued by institutions, Member State regional governments or local authorities other than those referred to in sub-point (f), and in the case of debt securities issued by multilateral development banks other than those assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83. Where there is a mismatch between the maturity of the exposure and the maturity of the credit protection, the collateral shall not be recognised. Securities used as collateral may not constitute credit institutions' own funds.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

For the purposes of point (p), the value of the property shall be calculated, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, on the basis of strict valuation standards laid down by law, regulation or administrative provisions. Valuation shall be carried out at least once a year. For the purposes of point (p), residential property shall mean a residence to be occupied or let by the borrower.

Member States shall inform the Commission of any exemption granted under point (s) in order to ensure that it does not result in a distortion of competition.

Article 114

1. Subject to paragraph 3, for the purposes of calculating the value of exposures for the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3) Member States may, in respect of credit institutions using the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method under Articles 90 to 93, in the alternative to availing of the full or partial exemptions permitted under points (f), (g), (h), and (o) of Article 113(3), permit such credit institutions to use a value lower than the value of the exposure, but no lower than the total of the fully-adjusted exposure values of their exposures to the client or group of connected clients.

For these purposes, 'fully adjusted exposure value' means that calculated under Articles 90 to 93 taking into account the credit risk mitigation, volatility adjustments, and any maturity mismatch (E*).

Where this paragraph is applied to a credit institution, points (f), (g), (h), and (o) of Article 113(3) shall not apply to the credit institution in question.

2. Subject to paragraph 3, a credit institution permitted to use own estimates of LGDs and conversion factors for an exposure class under Articles 84 to 89 may be permitted, where it is able to the satisfaction of the competent authorities to estimate the effects of financial collateral on their exposures separately from other LGD-relevant aspects, to recognise such effects in calculating the value of exposures for the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3).

Competent authorities shall be satisfied as to the suitability of the estimates produced by the credit institution for use for the reduction of the exposure value for the purposes of compliance with the provisions of Article 111.

Where a credit institution is permitted to use its own estimates of the effects of financial collateral, it shall do so on a basis consistent with the approach adopted in the calculation of capital requirements.

Credit institutions permitted to use own estimates of LGDs and conversion factors for an exposure class under Articles 84 to 89 which do not calculate the value of their exposures using the method referred to in the first subparagraph may be permitted to use the approach set out in paragraph 1 or the exemption set out in Article 113(3)(o) for calculating the value of exposures. A credit institution shall use only one of these two methods.

3. A credit institution that is permitted to use the methods described in paragraphs 1 and 2 in calculating the value of exposures for the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3), shall conduct periodic stress tests of their credit-risk concentrations, including in relation to the realisable value of any collateral taken.

These periodic stress tests shall address risks arising from potential changes in market conditions that could adversely impact the credit institutions' adequacy of own funds and risks arising from the realisation of collateral in stressed situations.

The credit institution shall satisfy the competent authorities that the stress tests carried out are adequate and appropriate for the assessment of such risks.

In the event that such a stress test indicates a lower realisable value of collateral taken than would be permitted to be taken into account under paragraphs 1 and 2 as appropriate, the value of collateral permitted to be recognised in calculating the value of exposures for the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3) shall be reduced accordingly.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Such credit institutions shall include the following in their strategies to address concentration risk:

- a) policies and procedures to address risks arising from maturity mismatches between exposures and any credit protection on those exposures;
 - b) policies and procedures in the event that a stress test indicates a lower realisable value of collateral than taken into account under paragraphs 1 and 2; and
 - c) policies and procedures relating to concentration risk arising from the application of credit risk mitigation techniques, and in particular large indirect credit exposures, for example to a single issuer of securities taken as collateral.
4. Where the effects of collateral are recognised under the terms of paragraphs 1 or 2, Member States may treat any covered Part of the exposure as having been incurred to the collateral issuer rather than to the client.

Article 115

1. For the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3), Member States may assign a weighting of 20 % to asset items constituting claims on Member States' regional governments and local authorities where those claims would be assigned a 20 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83 and to other exposures to or guaranteed by such governments and authorities claims on which are assigned a 20 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83. However, Member States may reduce that rate to 0 % in respect of asset items constituting claims on Member States' regional governments and local authorities where those claims would be assigned a 0 % risk weight under Article 78 to 83 and to other exposures to or guaranteed by such governments and authorities claims on which are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83.

2. For the purposes of Article 111(1) to (3), Member States may assign a weighting of 20 % to asset items constituting claims on and other exposures to institutions with a maturity of more than one but not more than three years and a weighting of 50 % to asset items constituting claims on institutions with a maturity of more than three years, provided that the latter are represented by debt instruments that were issued by a institution and that those debt instruments are, in the opinion of the competent authorities, effectively negotiable on a market made up of professional operators and are subject to daily quotation on that market, or the issue of which was authorised by the competent authorities of the Member State of origin of the issuing institutions. In no case may any of these items constitute own funds.

Article 116

By way of derogation from Article 113(3)(i) and Article 115(2), Member States may assign a weighting of 20 % to asset items constituting claims on and other exposures to institutions, regardless of their maturity.

Article 117

1. Where an exposure to a client is guaranteed by a third party, or by collateral in the form of securities issued by a third party under the conditions laid down in Article 113(3)(o), Member States may:

- a) treat the exposure as having been incurred to the guarantor rather than to the client; or
- b) treat the exposure as having been incurred to the third party rather than to the client, if the exposure defined in Article 113(3)(o) is guaranteed by collateral under the conditions there laid down.

2. Where Member States apply the treatment provided for in point (a) of paragraph 1:

- a) where the guarantee is denominated in a currency different from that in which the exposure is denominated the amount of the exposure deemed to be covered will be calculated in accordance with the provisions on the treatment of currency mismatch for unfunded credit protection in Annex VIII;
- b) a mismatch between the maturity of the exposure and the maturity of the protection will be treated in accordance with the provisions on the treatment of maturity mismatch in Annex VIII; and
- c) partial coverage may be recognised in accordance with the treatment set out in Annex VIII.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 118

Where compliance by a credit institution on an individual or sub-consolidated basis with the obligations imposed in this Section is disapplied under Article 69(1), or the provisions of Article 70 are applied in the case of parent credit institutions in a Member State, measures must be taken to ensure the satisfactory allocation of risks within the group.

Article 119

By 31 December 2007, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the functioning of this Section, together with any appropriate proposals.

*Section 6***Qualifying holdings outside the financial sector***Article 120*

1. No credit institution may have a qualifying holding the amount of which exceeds 15 % of its own funds in an undertaking which is neither a credit institution, nor a financial institution, nor an undertaking carrying on activities which are a direct extension of banking or concern services ancillary to banking, such as leasing, factoring, the management of unit trusts, the management of data processing services or any other similar activity.
2. The total amount of a credit institution's qualifying holdings in undertakings other than credit institutions, financial institutions or undertakings carrying on activities which are a direct extension of banking or concern services ancillary to banking, such as leasing, factoring, the management of unit trusts, the management of data processing services, or any other similar activity may not exceed 60 % of its own funds.
3. The limits laid down in paragraphs 1 and 2 may be exceeded only in exceptional circumstances. In such cases, however, the competent authorities shall require a credit institution either to increase its own funds or to take other equivalent measures.

Article 121

Shares held temporarily during a financial reconstruction or rescue operation or during the normal course of underwriting or in an institution's own name on behalf of others shall not be counted as qualifying holdings for the purpose of calculating the limits laid down in Articles 120(1) and (2). Shares which are not financial fixed assets as defined in Article 35(2) of Directive 86/635/EEC shall not be included in the calculation.

Article 122

1. The Member States need not apply the limits laid down in Articles 120(1) and (2) to holdings in insurance companies as defined in Directives 73/239/EEC and 2002/83/EC, or in reinsurance companies as defined in Directive 98/78/EC.
2. The Member States may provide that the competent authorities are not to apply the limits laid down in Article 120(1) and (2) if they provide that 100 % of the amounts by which a credit institution's qualifying holdings exceed those limits shall be covered by own funds and that the latter shall not be included in the calculation required under Article 75. If both the limits laid down in Article 120(1) and (2) are exceeded, the amount to be covered by own funds shall be the greater of the excess amounts.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Chapter 3

Credit institutions' assessment process

Article 123

Credit institutions shall have in place sound, effective and complete strategies and processes to assess and maintain on an ongoing basis the amounts, types and distribution of internal capital that they consider adequate to cover the nature and level of the risks to which they are or might be exposed.

These strategies and processes shall be subject to regular internal review to ensure that they remain comprehensive and proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the activities of the credit institution concerned.

Chapter 4

Supervision and disclosure by competent authorities

Section 1

Supervision

Article 124

1. Taking into account the technical criteria set out in Annex XI, the competent authorities shall review the arrangements, strategies, processes and mechanisms implemented by the credit institutions to comply with this Directive and evaluate the risks to which the credit institutions are or might be exposed.
2. The scope of the review and evaluation referred to in paragraph 1 shall be that of the requirements of this Directive.
3. On the basis of the review and evaluation referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities shall determine whether the arrangements, strategies, processes and mechanisms implemented by the credit institutions and the own funds held by these ensure a sound management and coverage of their risks.
4. Competent authorities shall establish the frequency and intensity of the review and evaluation referred to in paragraph 1 having regard to the size, systemic importance, nature, scale and complexity of the activities of the credit institution concerned and taking into account the principle of proportionality. The review and evaluation shall be updated at least on an annual basis.
5. The review and evaluation performed by competent authorities shall include the exposure of credit institutions to the interest rate risk arising from non-trading activities. Measures shall be required in the case of institutions whose economic value declines by more than 20 % of their own funds as a result of a sudden and unexpected change in interest rates the size of which shall be prescribed by the competent authorities and shall not differ between credit institutions.

Article 125

1. Where a parent undertaking is a parent credit institution in a Member State or an EU parent credit institution, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authorities that authorised it under Article 6.
2. Where the parent of a credit institution is a parent financial holding company in a Member State or an EU parent financial holding company, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authorities that authorised that credit institution under Article 6.

Article 126

1. Where credit institutions authorised in two or more Member States have as their parent the same parent financial holding company in a Member State or the same EU parent financial holding company, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authorities of the credit institution authorised in the Member State in which the financial holding company was set up.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Where the parents of credit institutions authorised in two or more Member States comprise more than one financial holding company with head offices in different Member States and there is a credit institution in each of these States, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authority of the credit institution with the largest balance sheet total.

2. Where more than one credit institution authorised in the Community has as its parent the same financial holding company and none of these credit institutions has been authorised in the Member State in which the financial holding company was set up, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authority that authorised the credit institution with the largest balance sheet total, which shall be considered, for the purposes of this Directive, as the credit institution controlled by an EU parent financial holding company.

3. In particular cases, the competent authorities may by common agreement waive the criteria referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 if their application would be inappropriate, taking into account the credit institutions and the relative importance of their activities in different countries, and appoint a different competent authority to exercise supervision on a consolidated basis. In these cases, before taking their decision, the competent authorities shall give the EU parent credit institution, or EU parent financial holding company, or credit institution with the largest balance sheet total, as appropriate, an opportunity to state its opinion on that decision.

4. The competent authorities shall notify the Commission of any agreement falling within paragraph 3.

Article 127

1. Member States shall adopt any measures necessary, where appropriate, to include financial holding companies in consolidated supervision. Without prejudice to Article 135, the consolidation of the financial situation of the financial holding company shall not in any way imply that the competent authorities are required to play a supervisory role in relation to the financial holding company on a stand-alone basis.

2. When the competent authorities of a Member State do not include a credit institution subsidiary in supervision on a consolidated basis under one of the cases provided for in points (b) and (c) of Article 73(1), the competent authorities of the Member State in which that credit institution subsidiary is situated may ask the parent undertaking for information which may facilitate their supervision of that credit institution.

3. Member States shall provide that their competent authorities responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis may ask the subsidiaries of a credit institution or a financial holding company, which are not included within the scope of supervision on a consolidated basis for the information referred to in Article 137. In such a case, the procedures for transmitting and verifying the information laid down in that Article shall apply.

Article 128

Where Member States have more than one competent authority for the prudential supervision of credit institutions and financial institutions, Member States shall take the requisite measures to organise coordination between such authorities.

Article 129

1. In addition to the obligations imposed by the provisions of this Directive, the competent authority responsible for the exercise of supervision on a consolidated basis of EU parent credit institutions and credit institutions controlled by EU parent financial holding companies shall carry out the following tasks:

- a) coordination of the gathering and dissemination of relevant or essential information in going concern and emergency situations; and
- b) planning and coordination of supervisory activities in going concern as well as in emergency situations, including in relation to the activities in Article 124, in cooperation with the competent authorities involved.

2. In the case of applications for the permissions referred to in Articles 84(1), 87(9) and 105 and in Annex III, Part 6, respectively, submitted by an EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries, or jointly by the subsidiaries of an EU parent financial holding company, the competent authorities shall work together, in full consultation, to decide whether or not to grant the permission sought and to determine the terms and conditions, if any, to which such permission should be subject.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

An application as referred to in the first subparagraph shall be submitted only to the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1.

The competent authorities shall do everything within their power to reach a joint decision on the application within six months. This joint decision shall be set out in a document containing the fully reasoned decision which shall be provided to the applicant by the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1.

The period referred to in subparagraph 3 shall begin on the date of receipt of the complete application by the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall forward the complete application to the other competent authorities without delay.

In the absence of a joint decision between the competent authorities within six months, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall make its own decision on the application. The decision shall be set out in a document containing the fully reasoned decision and shall take into account the views and reservations of the other competent authorities expressed during the six months period. The decision shall be provided to the applicant and the other competent authorities by the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1.

The decisions referred to in the third and fifth subparagraphs shall be recognised as determinative and applied by the competent authorities in the Member States concerned.

Article 130

1. Where an emergency situation arises within a banking group which potentially jeopardises the stability of the financial system in any of the Member States where entities of a group have been authorised, the competent authority responsible for the exercise of supervision on a consolidated basis shall alert as soon as is practicable, subject to Chapter 1, Section 2, the authorities referred to in Article 49(a) and Article 50. This obligation shall apply to all competent authorities identified under Articles 125 and 126 in relation to a particular group, and to the competent authority identified under Article 129(1). Where possible, the competent authority shall use existing defined channels of communication.

2. The competent authority responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis shall, when it needs information which has already been given to another competent authority, contact this authority whenever possible in order to prevent duplication of reporting to the various authorities involved in supervision.

Article 131

In order to facilitate and establish effective supervision, the competent authority responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis and the other competent authorities shall have written coordination and cooperation arrangements in place.

Under these arrangements additional tasks may be entrusted to the competent authority responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis and procedures for the decision-making process and for cooperation with other competent authorities, may be specified.

The competent authorities responsible for authorising the subsidiary of a parent undertaking which is a credit institution may, by bilateral agreement, delegate their responsibility for supervision to the competent authorities which authorised and supervise the parent undertaking so that they assume responsibility for supervising the subsidiary in accordance with this Directive. The Commission shall be kept informed of the existence and content of such agreements. It shall forward such information to the competent authorities of the other Member States and to the European Banking Committee.

Article 132

1. The competent authorities shall cooperate closely with each other. They shall provide one another with any information which is essential or relevant for the exercise of the other authorities' supervisory tasks under this Directive. In this regard, the competent authorities shall communicate on request all relevant information and shall communicate on their own initiative all essential information.

Information referred to in the first subparagraph shall be regarded as essential if it could materially influence the assessment of the financial soundness of a credit institution or financial institution in another Member State.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

In particular, competent authorities responsible for consolidated supervision of EU parent credit institutions and credit institutions controlled by EU parent financial holding companies shall provide the competent authorities in other Member States who supervise subsidiaries of these parents with all relevant information. In determining the extent of relevant information, the importance of these subsidiaries within the financial system in those Member States shall be taken into account.

The essential information referred to in the first subparagraph shall include, in particular, the following items:

- a) identification of the group structure of all major credit institutions in a group, as well as of the competent authorities of the credit institutions in the group;
- b) procedures for the collection of information from the credit institutions in a group, and the verification of that information;
- c) adverse developments in credit institutions or in other entities of a group, which could seriously affect the credit institutions; and
- d) major sanctions and exceptional measures taken by competent authorities in accordance with this Directive, including the imposition of an additional capital charge under Article 136 and the imposition of any limitation on the use of the Advanced Measurement Approach for the calculation of the own funds requirements under Article 105.

2. The competent authorities responsible for the supervision of credit institutions controlled by an EU parent credit institution shall whenever possible contact the competent authority referred to in Article 129(1) when they need information regarding the implementation of approaches and methodologies set out in this Directive that may already be available to that competent authority.

3. The competent authorities concerned shall, prior to their decision, consult each other with regard to the following items, where these decisions are of importance for other competent authorities' supervisory tasks:

- a) changes in the shareholder, organisational or management structure of credit institutions in a group, which require the approval or authorisation of competent authorities; and
- b) major sanctions or exceptional measures taken by competent authorities, including the imposition of an additional capital charge under Article 136 and the imposition of any limitation on the use of the Advances Measurement Approaches for the calculation of the own funds requirements under Article 105.

For the purposes of point (b), the competent authority responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis shall always be consulted.

However, a competent authority may decide not to consult in cases of urgency or where such consultation may jeopardise the effectiveness of the decisions. In this case, the competent authority shall, without delay, inform the other competent authorities.

Article 133

1. The competent authorities responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis shall, for the purposes of supervision, require full consolidation of all the credit institutions and financial institutions which are subsidiaries of a parent undertaking.

However, the competent authorities may require only proportional consolidation where, in their opinion, the liability of a parent undertaking holding a share of the capital is limited to that share of the capital in view of the liability of the other shareholders or members whose solvency is satisfactory. The liability of the other shareholders and members shall be clearly established, if necessary by means of formal signed commitments.

In the case where undertakings are linked by a relationship within the meaning of Article 12(1) of Directive 83/349/EEC, the competent authorities shall determine how consolidation is to be carried out.

2. The competent authorities responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis shall require the proportional consolidation of participations in credit institutions and financial institutions managed by an undertaking included in the consolidation together with one or more undertakings not included in the consolidation, where those undertakings' liability is limited to the share of the capital they hold.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. In the case of participations or capital ties other than those referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2, the competent authorities shall determine whether and how consolidation is to be carried out. In particular, they may permit or require use of the equity method. That method shall not, however, constitute inclusion of the undertakings concerned in supervision on a consolidated basis.

Article 134

1. Without prejudice to Article 133, the competent authorities shall determine whether and how consolidation is to be carried out in the following cases:

- a) where, in the opinion of the competent authorities, a credit institution exercises a significant influence over one or more credit institutions or financial institutions, but without holding a participation or other capital ties in these institutions; and
- b) where two or more credit institutions or financial institutions are placed under single management other than pursuant to a contract or clauses of their memoranda or Articles of association.

In particular, the competent authorities may permit, or require use of, the method provided for in Article 12 of Directive 83/349/EEC. That method shall not, however, constitute inclusion of the undertakings concerned in consolidated supervision.

2. Where consolidated supervision is required pursuant to Articles 125 and 126, ancillary services undertakings and asset management companies as defined in Directive 2002/87/EC shall be included in consolidations in the cases, and in accordance with the methods, laid down in Article 133 and paragraph 1 of this Article.

Article 135

The Member States shall require that persons who effectively direct the business of a financial holding company be of sufficiently good repute and have sufficient experience to perform those duties.

Article 136

1. Competent authorities shall require any credit institution that does not meet the requirements of this Directive to take the necessary actions or steps at an early stage to address the situation.

For those purposes, the measures available to the competent authorities shall include the following:

- a) obliging credit institutions to hold own funds in excess of the minimum level laid down in Article 75;
- b) requiring the reinforcement of the arrangements, processes, mechanisms and strategies implemented to comply with Articles 22 and 123;
- c) requiring credit institutions to apply a specific provisioning policy or treatment of assets in terms of own funds requirements;
- d) restricting or limiting the business, operations or network of credit institutions; and
- e) requiring the reduction of the risk inherent in the activities, products and systems of credit institutions.

The adoption of these measures shall be subject to Chapter 1, Section 2.

2. A specific own funds requirement in excess of the minimum level laid down in Article 75 shall be imposed by the competent authorities at least on the credit institutions which do not meet the requirements laid down in Articles 22, 109 and 123, or in respect of which a negative determination has been made on the issue described in Article 124, paragraph 3, if the sole application of other measures is unlikely to improve the arrangements, processes, mechanisms and strategies sufficiently within an appropriate timeframe.

Article 137

1. Pending further coordination of consolidation methods, Member States shall provide that, where the parent undertaking of one or more credit institutions is a mixed-activity holding company, the competent authorities responsible for the authorisation and supervision of those credit institutions shall, by approaching the mixed-activity holding company and its subsidiaries either directly or via credit institution subsidiaries, require them to supply any information which would be relevant for the purpose of supervising the credit institution subsidiaries.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Member States shall provide that their competent authorities may carry out, or have carried out by external inspectors, on-the-spot inspections to verify information received from mixed-activity holding companies and their subsidiaries. If the mixed-activity holding company or one of its subsidiaries is an insurance undertaking, the procedure laid down in Article 140(1) may also be used. If a mixed-activity holding company or one of its subsidiaries is situated in a Member State other than that in which the credit institution subsidiary is situated, on-the-spot verification of information shall be carried out in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 141.

Article 138

1. Without prejudice to Chapter 2, Section 5, Member States shall provide that, where the parent undertaking of one or more credit institutions is a mixed-activity holding company, the competent authorities responsible for the supervision of these credit institutions shall exercise general supervision over transactions between the credit institution and the mixed-activity holding company and its subsidiaries.

2. Competent authorities shall require credit institutions to have in place adequate risk management processes and internal control mechanisms, including sound reporting and accounting procedures, in order to identify, measure, monitor and control transactions with their parent mixed-activity holding company and its subsidiaries appropriately. Competent authorities shall require the reporting by the credit institution of any significant transaction with these entities other than the one referred to in Article 110. These procedures and significant transactions shall be subject to overview by the competent authorities.

Where these intra-group transactions are a threat to a credit institution's financial position, the competent authority responsible for the supervision of the institution shall take appropriate measures.

Article 139

1. Member States shall take the necessary steps to ensure that there are no legal impediments preventing the exchange, as between undertakings included within the scope of supervision on a consolidated basis, mixed-activity holding companies and their subsidiaries, or subsidiaries of the kind covered in Article 127(3), of any information which would be relevant for the purposes of supervision in accordance with Articles 124 to 138 and this Article.

2. Where a parent undertaking and any of its subsidiaries that are credit institutions are situated in different Member States, the competent authorities of each Member State shall communicate to each other all relevant information which may allow or aid the exercise of supervision on a consolidated basis.

Where the competent authorities of the Member State in which a parent undertaking is situated do not themselves exercise supervision on a consolidated basis pursuant to Articles 125 and 126, they may be invited by the competent authorities responsible for exercising such supervision to ask the parent undertaking for any information which would be relevant for the purposes of supervision on a consolidated basis and to transmit it to these authorities.

3. Member States shall authorise the exchange between their competent authorities of the information referred to in paragraph 2, on the understanding that, in the case of financial holding companies, financial institutions or ancillary services undertakings, the collection or possession of information shall not in any way imply that the competent authorities are required to play a supervisory role in relation to those institutions or undertakings standing alone.

Similarly, Member States shall authorise their competent authorities to exchange the information referred to in Article 137 on the understanding that the collection or possession of information does not in any way imply that the competent authorities play a supervisory role in relation to the mixed-activity holding company and those of its subsidiaries which are not credit institutions, or to subsidiaries of the kind covered in Article 127(3).

Article 140

1. Where a credit institution, financial holding company or a mixed-activity holding company controls one or more subsidiaries which are insurance companies or other undertakings providing investment services which are subject to authorisation, the competent authorities and the authorities entrusted with the public task of supervising insurance undertakings or those other undertakings providing investment services shall cooperate closely. Without prejudice to their respective responsibilities, those authorities shall provide one another with any information likely to simplify their task and to allow supervision of the activity and overall financial situation of the undertakings they supervise.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Information received, in the framework of supervision on a consolidated basis, and in particular any exchange of information between competent authorities which is provided for in this Directive, shall be subject to the obligation of professional secrecy defined in Chapter 1, Section 2.

3. The competent authorities responsible for supervision on a consolidated basis shall establish lists of the financial holding companies referred to in Article 71(2). Those lists shall be communicated to the competent authorities of the other Member States and to the Commission.

Article 141

Where, in applying this Directive, the competent authorities of one Member State wish in specific cases to verify the information concerning a credit institution, a financial holding company, a financial institution, an ancillary services undertaking, a mixed-activity holding company, a subsidiary of the kind covered in Article 137 or a subsidiary of the kind covered in Article 127(3), situated in another Member State, they shall ask the competent authorities of that other Member State to have that verification carried out. The authorities which receive such a request shall, within the framework of their competence, act upon it either by carrying out the verification themselves, by allowing the authorities who made the request to carry it out, or by allowing an auditor or expert to carry it out.¹ The competent authority which made the request may, if it so wishes, participate in the verification when it does not carry out the verification itself.

Article 142

Without prejudice to their criminal law provisions, Member States shall ensure that penalties or measures aimed at ending observed breaches or the causes of such breaches may be imposed on financial holding companies and mixed-activity holding companies, or their effective managers, that infringe laws, regulation or administrative provisions enacted to implement Articles 124 to 141 and this Article. The competent authorities shall cooperate closely to ensure that those penalties or measures produce the desired results, especially when the central administration or main establishment of a financial holding company or of a mixed-activity holding company is not located at its head office.

Article 143

1. Where a credit institution, the parent undertaking of which is a credit institution or a financial holding company, the head office of which is in a third country, is not subject to consolidated supervision under Articles 125 and 126, the competent authorities shall verify whether the credit institution is subject to consolidated supervision by a third-country competent authority which is equivalent to that governed by the principles laid down in this Directive.

The verification shall be carried out by the competent authority which would be responsible for consolidated supervision if paragraph 3 were to apply, at the request of the parent undertaking or of any of the regulated entities authorised in the Community or on its own initiative. That competent authority shall consult the other competent authorities involved.

2. The Commission may request the European Banking Committee to give general guidance as to whether the consolidated supervision arrangements of competent authorities in third countries are likely to achieve the objectives of consolidated supervision as defined in this Chapter, in relation to credit institutions, the parent undertaking of which has its head office in a third country. The Committee shall keep any such guidance under review and take into account any changes to the consolidated supervision arrangements applied by such competent authorities.

The competent authority carrying out the verification specified in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 shall take into account any such guidance. For this purpose the competent authority shall consult the Committee before taking a decision.

3. In the absence of such equivalent supervision, Member States shall apply the provisions of this Directive to the credit institution by analogy or shall allow their competent authorities to apply other appropriate supervisory techniques which achieve the objectives of supervision on a consolidated basis of credit institutions.

Those supervisory techniques shall, after consultation with the other competent authorities involved, be agreed upon by the competent authority which would be responsible for consolidated supervision.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Competent authorities may in particular require the establishment of a financial holding company which has its head office in the Community, and apply the provisions on consolidated supervision to the consolidated position of that financial holding company.

The supervisory techniques shall be designed to achieve the objectives of consolidated supervision as defined in this Chapter and shall be notified to the other competent authorities involved and the Commission.

Section 2

Disclosure by competent authorities

Article 144

Competent authorities shall disclose the following information:

- a) the texts of laws, regulations, administrative rules and general guidance adopted in their Member State in the field of prudential regulation;
- b) the manner of exercise of the options and discretions available in Community legislation;
- c) the general criteria and methodologies they use in the review and evaluation referred to in Article 124; and
- d) without prejudice to the provisions laid down in Chapter 1, Section 2, aggregate statistical data on key aspects of the implementation of the prudential framework in each Member State.

The disclosures provided for in the first subparagraph shall be sufficient to enable a meaningful comparison of the approaches adopted by the competent authorities of the different Member States. The disclosures shall be published with a common format, and updated regularly. The disclosures shall be accessible at a single electronic location.

Chapter 5

Disclosure by credit institutions

Article 145

1. For the purposes of this Directive, credit institutions shall publicly disclose the information laid down in Annex XII, Part 2, subject to the provisions laid down in Article 146.
2. Recognition by the competent authorities under Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsections 2 and 3 and Article 105 of the instruments and methodologies referred to in Annex XII, Part 3 shall be subject to the public disclosure by credit institutions of the information laid down therein.
3. Credit institutions shall adopt a formal policy to comply with the disclosure requirements laid down in paragraphs 1 and 2, and have policies for assessing the appropriateness of their disclosures, including their verification and frequency.
4. Credit institutions should, if requested, explain their rating decisions to SMEs and other corporate applicants for loans, providing an explanation in writing when asked. Should a voluntary undertaking by the sector in this regard prove inadequate, national measures shall be adopted. The administrative costs of the explanation have to be at an appropriate rate to the size of the loan.

Article 146

1. Notwithstanding Article 145, credit institutions may omit one or more of the disclosures listed in Annex XII, Part 2 if the information provided by such disclosures is not, in the light of the criterion specified in Annex XII, Part 1, point 1, regarded as material.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Notwithstanding Article 145, credit institutions may omit one or more items of information included in the disclosures listed in Annex XII, Parts 2 and 3 if those items include information which, in the light of the criteria specified in Annex XII, Part 1, points 2 and 3, is regarded as proprietary or confidential.

3. In the exceptional cases referred to in paragraph 2, the credit institution concerned shall state in its disclosures the fact that the specific items of information are not disclosed, the reason for non-disclosure, and publish more general information about the subject matter of the disclosure requirement, except where these are to be classified as proprietary or confidential under the criteria set out in Annex XII, Part 1, points 2 and 3.

Article 147

1. Credit institutions shall publish the disclosures required under Article 145 on an annual basis at a minimum. Disclosures shall be published as soon as practicable.

2. Credit institutions shall also determine whether more frequent publication than is provided for in paragraph 1 is necessary in the light of the criteria set out in Annex XII, Part 1, point 4.

Article 148

1. Credit institutions may determine the appropriate medium, location and means of verification to comply effectively with the disclosure requirements laid down in Article 145. To the degree feasible, all disclosures shall be provided in one medium or location.

2. Equivalent disclosures made by credit institutions under accounting, listing or other requirements may be deemed to constitute compliance with Article 145. If disclosures are not included in the financial statements, credit institutions shall indicate where they can be found.

Article 149

Notwithstanding Articles 146 to 148, Member States shall empower the competent authorities to require credit institutions:

- a) to make one or more of the disclosures referred to in Annex XII, Parts 2 and 3;
- b) to publish one or more disclosures more frequently than annually, and to set deadlines for publication;
- c) to use specific media and locations for disclosures other than the financial statements; and
- d) to use specific means of verification for the disclosures not covered by statutory audit.

TITLE VI

POWERS OF EXECUTION

Article 150

1. Without prejudice, regarding own funds, to the proposal that the Commission is to submit pursuant to Article 62, the technical adjustments in the following areas shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 151(2):

- a) clarification of the definitions in order to take account, in the application of this Directive, of developments on financial markets;
- b) clarification of the definitions to ensure uniform application of this Directive;
- c) the alignment of terminology on, and the framing of definitions in accordance with, subsequent acts on credit institutions and related matters;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) technical adjustments to the list in Article 2;
- e) alteration of the amount of initial capital prescribed in Article 9 to take account of developments in the economic and monetary field;
- f) expansion of the content of the list referred to in Articles 23 and 24 and set out in Annex I or adaptation of the terminology used in that list to take account of developments on financial markets;
- g) the areas in which the competent authorities shall exchange information as listed in Article 42;
- h) technical adjustments in Articles 56 to 67 and in Article 74 as a result of developments in accounting standards or requirements which take account of Community legislation or with regard to convergence of supervisory practices;
- i) amendment of the list of exposure classes in Articles 79 and 86 in order to take account of developments on financial markets;
- j) the amount specified in Article 79(2)(c), Article 86(4)(a), Annex VII, Part 1, point 5 and Annex VII, Part 2, point 15 to take into account the effects of inflation;
- k) the list and classification of off-balance-sheet items in Annexes II and IV and their treatment in the determination of exposure values for the purposes of Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3; or
- l) adjustment of the provisions in Annexes V to XII in order to take account of developments on financial markets (in particular new financial products) or in accounting standards or requirements which take account of Community legislation, or with regard to convergence of supervisory practice.

2. The Commission may adopt the following implementing measures in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 151(2):

- a) specification of the size of sudden and unexpected changes in the interest rates referred to in Article 124(5);
- b) a temporary reduction in the minimum level of own funds laid down in Article 75 and/or the risk weights laid down in Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3 in order to take account of specific circumstances;
- c) without prejudice to the report referred to in Article 119, clarification of exemptions provided for in Articles 111(4), 113, 115 and 116;
- d) specification of the key aspects on which aggregate statistical data are to be disclosed under Article 144(1)(d); or
- e) specification of the format, structure, contents list and annual publication date of the disclosures provided for in Article 144.

3. None of the implementing measures enacted may change the essential provisions of this Directive.

4. Without prejudice to the implementing measures already adopted, upon expiry of a two-year period following the adoption of this Directive, and by 1 April 2008 at the latest, the application of the provisions of this Directive requiring the adoption of technical rules, amendments and decisions in accordance with paragraph 2 shall be suspended. Acting on a proposal from the Commission and in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty, the Parliament and the Council may renew those provisions and, to that end, shall review them prior to the expiry of the period or by the date referred to in this paragraph, whichever the earlier.

Article 151

1. The Commission shall be assisted by the European Banking Committee established by Commission Decision 2004/10/EC⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 3, 7.1.2004, p. 36.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, the procedure laid down in Article 5 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, having regard to the provisions of Article 7(3) and Article 8 thereof.

The period laid down in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be three months.

3. The Committee shall adopt its Rules of Procedure.

TITLE VII

TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Chapter 1

Transitional provisions

Article 152

1. Credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 84 to 89 shall during the first, second and third twelve-month periods after 31 December 2006 provide own funds which are at all times more than or equal to the amounts indicated in paragraphs 3, 4 and 5.

2. Credit institutions using the Advanced Measurement Approaches as specified in Article 105 for the calculation of their capital requirements for operational risk shall, during the second and third twelve-month periods after 31 December 2006, provide own funds which are at all times more than or equal to the amounts indicated in paragraphs 4 and 5.

3. For the first twelve-month period referred to in paragraph 1, the amount of own funds shall be 95 % of the total minimum amount of own funds that would be required to be held during that period by the credit institution under Article 4 of Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions⁽¹⁾ as that Directive and Directive 2000/12/EC stood prior to 1 January 2007.

4. For the second twelve-month period referred to in paragraph 1, the amount of own funds shall be 90 % of the total minimum amount of own funds that would be required to be held during that period by the credit institution under Article 4 of Directive 93/6/EEC as that Directive and Directive 2000/12/EC stood prior to 1 January 2007.

5. For the third twelve-month period referred to in paragraph 1, the amount of own funds shall be 80 % of the total minimum amount of own funds that would be required to be held during that period by the credit institution under Article 4 of Directive 93/6/EEC as that Directive and Directive 2000/12/EC stood prior to 1 January 2007.

6. Compliance with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 5 shall be on the basis of amounts of own funds fully adjusted to reflect differences in the calculation of own funds under Directive 2000/12/EC and Directive 93/6/EEC as those Directives stood prior to 1 January 2007 and the calculation of own funds under this Directive deriving from the separate treatments of expected loss and unexpected loss under Articles 84 to 89 of this Directive.

7. For the purposes of paragraphs 1 to 6 of this Article, Articles 68 to 73 shall apply.

8. Until 1 January 2008 credit institutions may treat the Articles constituting the Standardised Approach set out in Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 1 as being replaced by Articles 42 to 46 of Directive 2000/12/EC as those Articles stood prior to 1 January 2007.

9. Where the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 is exercised, the following shall apply concerning the provisions of Directive 2000/12/EC:

- a) the provisions of that Directive referred to in Articles 42 to 46 shall apply as they stood prior to 1 January 2007;
- b) 'risk-adjusted value' as referred to in Article 42(1) of that Directive shall mean 'risk-weighted exposure amount';

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 141, 11.6.1993, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the figures produced by Article 42(2) of that Directive shall be considered risk-weighted exposure amounts;
 - d) 'credit derivatives' shall be included in the list of 'Full risk' items in Annex II of that Directive; and
 - e) the treatment set out in Article 43(3) of that Directive shall apply to derivative instruments listed in Annex IV of that Directive whether on- or off-balance sheet and the figures produced by the treatment set out in Annex III shall be considered risk-weighted exposure amounts.
10. Where the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 is exercised, the following shall apply in relation to the treatment of exposures for which the Standardised Approach is used:
- (a) Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 3 relating to the recognition of credit risk mitigation shall not apply;
 - (b) Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 4 concerning the treatment of securitisation may be disapplied by competent authorities.
11. Where the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 is exercised, the capital requirement for operational risk under Article 75(d) shall be reduced by the percentage representing the ratio of the value of the credit institution's exposures for which risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated in accordance with the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 to the total value of its exposures.
12. Where a credit institution calculates risk-weighted exposure amounts for all of its exposures in accordance with the discretion referred to in paragraph 8, Articles 48 to 50 of Directive 2000/12/EC relating to large exposures may apply as they stood prior to 1 January 2007.
13. Where the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 is exercised, references to Articles 78 to 83 of this Directive shall be read as references to Articles 42 to 46 of Directive 2000/12/EC as those Articles stood prior to 1 January 2007.
14. If the discretion referred to in paragraph 8 is exercised, Articles 123, 124, 145 and 149 shall not apply before the date referred to therein.

Article 153

In the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for exposures arising from property leasing transactions concerning offices or other commercial premises situated in their territory and meeting the criteria set out in Annex VI, Part 1, point 54, the competent authorities may, until 31 December 2012 allow a 50 % risk weight to be assigned without the application of Annex VI, Part 1, points 55 and 56.

Until 31 December 2010, competent authorities may, for the purpose of defining the secured portion of a past due loan for the purposes of Annex VI, recognise collateral other than eligible collateral as set out under Articles 90 to 93.

In the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Annex VI, Part 1, point 4, until 31 December 2012 the same risk weight shall be assigned in relation to exposures to Member States' central governments or central banks denominated and funded in the domestic currency of any Member State as would be applied to such exposures denominated and funded in their domestic currency.

Article 154

1. Until 31 December 2011, the competent authorities of each Member State may, for the purposes of Annex VI, Part 1, point 61, set the number of days past due up to a figure of 180 for exposures indicated in Annex VI, Part 1, points 12 to 17 and 41 to 43, to counterparties situated in their territory, if local conditions make it appropriate. The specific number may differ across product lines.

Competent authorities which do not exercise the discretion provided for in the first subparagraph in relation to exposures to counterparties situated in their territory may set a higher number of days for exposures to counterparties situated in the territories of other Member States, the competent authorities of which have exercised that discretion. The specific number shall fall within 90 days and such figures as the other competent authorities have set for exposures to such counterparties within their territory.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. For credit institutions applying for the use of the IRB Approach before 2010, subject to the approval of the competent authorities, the three-years' use requirement prescribed in Article 84(3) may be reduced to a period no shorter than one year until 31 December 2009.
3. For credit institutions applying for the use of own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors, the three year use requirement prescribed in Article 84(4) may be reduced to two years until 31 December 2008.
4. Until 31 December 2012, the competent authorities of each Member State may allow credit institutions to continue to apply to participations of the type set out in Article 57(o) acquired before (*) the treatment set out in Article 38 of Directive 2000/12/EC as that article stood prior to 1 January 2007.
5. Until 31 December 2010 the exposure weighted average LGD for all retail exposures secured by residential properties and not benefiting from guarantees from central governments shall not be lower than 10 %.
6. Until 31 December 2017, the competent authorities of the Member States may exempt from the IRB treatment certain equity exposures held by credit institutions and EU subsidiaries of credit institutions in that Member State at 31 December 2007.

The exempted position shall be measured as the number of shares as of 31 December 2007 and any additional share arising directly as a result of owning those holdings, as long as they do not increase the proportional share of ownership in a portfolio company.

If an acquisition increases the proportional share of ownership in a specific holding the exceeding Part of the holding shall not be subject to the exemption. Nor shall the exemption apply to holdings that were originally subject to the exemption, but have been sold and then bought back.

Equity exposures covered by this transitional provision shall be subject to the capital requirements calculated in accordance with Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 1.

7. Until 31 December 2011, for corporate exposures, the competent authorities of each Member State may set the number of days past due that all credit institutions in its jurisdiction shall abide by under the definition of 'default' set out in Annex VII, Part 4, point 44 for exposures to such counterparts situated within this Member State. The specific number shall fall within 90- up to a figure of 180 days if local conditions make it appropriate. For exposures to such counterparts situated in the territories of other Member States, the competent authorities shall set a number of days past due which is not higher than the number set by the competent authority of the respective Member State.

Article 155

Until 31 December 2012, for credit institutions the relevant indicator for the trading and sales business line of which represents at least 50 % of the total of the relevant indicators for all of its business lines accordance with Annex X, Part 2, points 1 to 4, Member States may apply a percentage of 15 % to the business line 'trading and sales'.

Chapter 2

Final provisions

Article 156

The Commission, in cooperation with Member States, and taking into account the contribution of the European Central Bank, shall periodically monitor whether this Directive taken as a whole, together with Directive 2006/.../EC, has significant effects on the economic cycle and, in the light of that examination, shall consider whether any remedial measures are justified.

(*) date of the entry into force of this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Based on that analysis and taking into account the contribution of the European Central Bank, the Commission shall draw up a biennial report and submit it to the European Parliament and to the Council, together with any appropriate proposals. Contributions from credit taking and credit lending parties shall be adequately acknowledged when the report is drawn up.

By 1 January 2012 the Commission shall, review and report on the application of this Directive with particular attention to all aspects of Articles 68 to 73, 80(7), 80(8) and 129, and shall submit this report to the Parliament and the Council together with any appropriate proposals.

Article 157

1. By 31 December 2006 Member States shall adopt and publish, , the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Articles 4, 22, 57, 61 to 64, 66, 68 to 106, 108, 110 to 115, 117 to 119, 123 to 127, 129 to 132, 133, 136, 144 to 149 and 152 to 155, and Annexes II, III and V to XII. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

Notwithstanding paragraph 3, Member States shall apply those provisions from 1 January 2007.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directives repealed by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

3. Member States shall apply, from 1 January 2008, and no earlier, the laws regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Articles 87(9) and 105.

Article 158

1. Directive 2000/12/EC as amended by the Directives set out in Annex XIII, Part A, is hereby repealed without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States concerning the deadlines for transposition of the said Directives listed in Annex XIII, Part B.

2. References to the repealed Directives shall be construed as being made to this Directive and should be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex XIV.

Article 159

This Directive shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Article 160

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at ...

For the European Parliament
The President

For the Council
The President

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX I

LIST OF ACTIVITIES SUBJECT TO MUTUAL RECOGNITION

1. Acceptance of deposits and other repayable funds
2. Lending including, inter alia: consumer credit, mortgage credit, factoring, with or without recourse, financing of commercial transactions (including forfeiting)
3. Financial leasing
4. Money transmission services
5. Issuing and administering means of payment (e.g. credit cards, travellers' cheques and bankers' drafts)
6. Guarantees and commitments
7. Trading for own account or for account of customers in:
 - a) money market instruments (cheques, bills, certificates of deposit, etc.);
 - b) foreign exchange;
 - c) financial futures and options;
 - d) exchange and interest-rate instruments; or
 - e) transferable securities.
8. Participation in securities issues and the provision of services related to such issues
9. Advice to undertakings on capital structure, industrial strategy and related questions and advice as well as services relating to mergers and the purchase of undertakings
10. Money broking
11. Portfolio management and advice
12. Safekeeping and administration of securities
13. Credit reference services
14. Safe custody services

The services and activities provided for in Sections A and B of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments ⁽¹⁾, when referring to the financial instruments provided for in Section C of Annex I of that Directive, are subject to mutual recognition according to this Directive.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 145, 30.4.2004, p. 1. Directive as amended by Directive 2006/31/EC (OJ L 114, 27.4.2006, p. 60).

ANNEX II

CLASSIFICATION OF OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ITEMS

Full risk:

- Guarantees having the character of credit substitutes,
- Credit derivatives,
- Acceptances,
- Endorsements on bills not bearing the name of another credit institution,
- Transactions with recourse,
- Irrevocable standby letters of credit having the character of credit substitutes,
- Assets purchased under outright forward purchase agreements,
- Forward forward deposits,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- The unpaid portion of partly-paid shares and securities,
- Asset sale and repurchase agreements as defined in Article 12(3) and (5) of Directive 86/635/EEC, and
- Other items also carrying full risk.

Medium risk:

- Documentary credits issued and confirmed (see also 'Medium/low risk'),
- Warranties and indemnities (including tender, performance, customs and tax bonds) and guarantees not having the character of credit substitutes,
- Irrevocable standby letters of credit not having the character of credit substitutes,
- Undrawn credit facilities (agreements to lend, purchase securities, provide guarantees or acceptance facilities) with an original maturity of more than one year,
- Note issuance facilities (NIFs) and revolving underwriting facilities (RUFs), and
- Other items also carrying medium risk and as communicated to the Commission.

Medium/low risk:

- Documentary credits in which underlying shipment acts as collateral and other self-liquidating transactions,
- Undrawn credit facilities (agreements to lend, purchase securities, provide guarantees or acceptance facilities) with an original maturity of up to and including one year which may not be cancelled unconditionally at any time without notice or that do not effectively provide for automatic cancellation due to deterioration in a borrower's creditworthiness, and
- Other items also carrying medium/low risk and as communicated to the Commission.

Low risk:

- Undrawn credit facilities (agreements to lend, purchase securities, provide guarantees or acceptance facilities) which may be cancelled unconditionally at any time without notice, or that do effectively provide for automatic cancellation due to deterioration in a borrower's creditworthiness. Retail credit lines may be considered as unconditionally cancellable if the terms permit the credit institution to cancel them to the full extent allowable under consumer protection and related legislation, and
- Other items also carrying low risk and as communicated to the Commission.

ANNEX III

THE TREATMENT OF COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS, REPURCHASE TRANSACTIONS, SECURITIES OR COMMODITIES LENDING OR BORROWING TRANSACTIONS, LONG SETTLEMENT TRANSACTIONS AND MARGIN LENDING TRANSACTIONS

Part 1: Definitions

For the purposes of this Annex the following definitions shall apply:

General terms

1. 'Counterparty Credit Risk (CCR)' means the risk that the counterparty to a transaction could default before the final settlement of the transaction's cash flows.
2. 'Central counterparty' means an entity that legally interposes itself between counterparties to contracts traded within one or more financial markets, becoming the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Transaction types

3. 'Long Settlement Transactions' mean transactions where a counterparty undertakes to deliver a security, a commodity, or a foreign exchange amount against cash, other financial instruments, or commodities, or vice versa, at a settlement or delivery date that is contractually specified as more than the lower of the market standard for this particular transaction and five business days after the date on which the credit institution enters into the transaction.
4. 'Margin Lending Transactions' mean transactions in which a credit institution extends credit in connection with the purchase, sale, carrying or trading of securities. Margin lending transactions do not include other loans that happen to be secured by securities collateral.

Netting sets, hedging sets, and related terms

5. 'Netting Set' means a group of transactions with a single counterparty that are subject to a legally enforceable bilateral netting arrangement and for which netting is recognised under Part 7 of this Annex and Articles 90 to 93. Each transaction that is not subject to a legally enforceable bilateral netting arrangement, which is recognised under Part 7 of this Annex, should be interpreted as its own netting set for the purpose of this Annex.
6. 'Risk Position' means a risk number that is assigned to a transaction under the Standardised Method set out in Part 5 following a predetermined algorithm.
7. 'Hedging Set' means a group of risk positions from the transactions within a single netting set for which only their balance is relevant for determining the exposure value under the Standardised Method set out in Part 5.
8. 'Margin Agreement' means a contractual agreement or provisions of an agreement under which one counterparty shall supply collateral to a second counterparty when an exposure of that second counterparty to the first counterparty exceeds a specified level.
9. 'Margin Threshold' means the largest amount of an exposure that remains outstanding until one party has the right to call for collateral.
10. 'Margin Period of Risk' means the time period from the last exchange of collateral covering a netting set of transactions with a defaulting counterpart until that counterpart is closed out and the resulting market risk is re-hedged.
11. 'Effective Maturity under the Internal Model Method, for a netting set with maturity greater than one year' means the ratio of the sum of expected exposure over the life of the transactions in the netting set discounted at the risk-free rate of return divided by the sum of expected exposure over one year in a netting set discounted at the risk-free rate. This effective maturity may be adjusted to reflect rollover risk by replacing expected exposure with effective expected exposure for forecasting horizons under one year.
12. 'Cross-Product Netting' means the inclusion of transactions of different product categories within the same netting set pursuant to the Cross-Product Netting rules set out in this Annex.
13. For the purposes of Part 5, 'Current Market Value (CMV)' refers to the net market value of the portfolio of transactions within the netting set with the counterparty. Both positive and negative market values are used in computing CMV.

Distributions

14. 'Distribution of Market Values' means the forecast of the probability distribution of net market values of transactions within a netting set for some future date (the forecasting horizon), given the realised market value of those transactions up to the present time.
15. 'Distribution of Exposures' means the forecast of the probability distribution of market values that is generated by setting forecast instances of negative net market values equal to zero.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

16. 'Risk-Neutral Distribution' means a distribution of market values or exposures at a future time period where the distribution is calculated using market implied values such as implied volatilities.
17. 'Actual Distribution' means a distribution of market values or exposures at a future time period where the distribution is calculated using historic or realised values such as volatilities calculated using past price or rate changes.

Exposure measures and adjustments

18. 'Current Exposure' means the larger of zero or the market value of a transaction or portfolio of transactions within a netting set with a counterparty that would be lost upon the default of the counterparty, assuming no recovery on the value of those transactions in bankruptcy.
19. 'Peak Exposure' means a high percentile of the distribution of exposures at any particular future date before the maturity date of the longest transaction in the netting set.
20. 'Expected Exposure (EE)' means the average of the distribution of exposures at any particular future date before the longest maturity transaction in the netting set matures.
21. 'Effective Expected Exposure (Effective EE) at a specific date' means the maximum expected exposure that occurs at that date or any prior date. Alternatively, it may be defined for a specific date as the greater of the expected exposure at that date, or the effective exposure at the previous date.
22. 'Expected Positive Exposure (EPE)' means the weighted average over time of expected exposures where the weights are the proportion that an individual expected exposure represents of the entire time interval. When calculating the minimum capital requirement, the average is taken over the first year or, if all the contracts within the netting set mature within less than one year, over the time period of the longest maturity contract in the netting set.
23. 'Effective Expected Positive Exposure (Effective EPE)' means the weighted average over time of effective expected exposure over the first year, or, if all the contracts within the netting set mature within less than one year, over the time period of the longest maturity contract in the netting set, where the weights are the proportion that an individual expected exposure represents of the entire time interval.
24. 'Credit Valuation Adjustment' means an adjustment to the mid-market valuation of the portfolio of transactions with a counterparty. This adjustment reflects the market value of the credit risk due to any failure to perform on contractual agreements with a counterparty. This adjustment may reflect the market value of the credit risk of the counterparty or the market value of the credit risk of both the credit institution and the counterparty.
25. 'One-Sided Credit Valuation Adjustment' means a credit valuation adjustment that reflects the market value of the credit risk of the counterparty to the credit institution, but does not reflect the market value of the credit risk of the credit institution to the counterparty.

CCR related risks

26. 'Rollover Risk' means the amount by which expected positive exposure is understated when future transactions with a counterpart are expected to be conducted on an ongoing basis. The additional exposure generated by those future transactions is not included in calculation of EPE.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

27. 'General Wrong-Way Risk' arises when the PD of counterparties is positively correlated with general market risk factors.
28. 'Specific Wrong-Way Risk' arises when the exposure to a particular counterparty is positively correlated with the PD of the counterparty due to the nature of the transactions with the counterparty. A credit institution shall be considered to be exposed to Specific Wrong-Way Risk if the future exposure to a specific counterparty is expected to be high when the counterparty's PD is also high.

Part 2: Choice of the method

1. Subject to paragraphs 2 to 7, credit institutions shall determine the exposure value for the contracts listed in Annex IV with one of the methods set out in Parts 3 to 6. Credit institutions which are not eligible for the treatment set out in Article 18(2) of Directive 2006/.../EC are not permitted to use the method set out in Part 4. To determine the exposure value for the contracts listed in point 3 of Annex IV, credit institutions are not permitted to use the method set out in Part 4.

The combined use of the methods set out in Parts 3 to 6 shall be permitted on a permanent basis within a group, but not within a single legal entity. Combined use of the methods set out in Parts 3 and 5 within a legal entity shall be permitted where one of the methods is used for the cases set out in Part 5, point 19.

2. Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, credit institutions may determine the exposure value for:
 - i) the contracts listed in Annex IV,
 - ii) repurchase transactions,
 - iii) securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions,
 - iv) margin lending transactions, and
 - v) long settlement transactionsusing the Internal Model Method as set out in Part 6.
3. When a credit institution purchases credit derivative protection against a non-trading book exposure, or against a CCR exposure, it may compute its capital requirement for the hedged asset in accordance with Annex VIII, Part 3, points 83 to 92, or subject to the approval of the competent authorities, in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, point 4 or Annex VII, Part 4, points 96 to 104. In these cases, the exposure value for CCR for these credit derivatives is set to zero.
4. The exposure value for CCR from sold credit default swaps in the non-trading book, where they are treated as credit protection provided by the credit institution and subject to a capital requirement for credit risk for the full notional amount, is set to zero.
5. Under all methods set out in Parts 3 to 6, the exposure value for a given counterparty is equal to the sum of the exposure values calculated for each netting set with that counterparty.
6. An exposure value of zero for CCR can be attributed to derivative contracts, or repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions outstanding with a central counterparty and that have not been rejected by the central counterparty. Furthermore, an exposure value of zero can be attributed to credit risk exposures to central counterparties that result from the derivative contracts, repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions or other exposures, as determined by the competent authorities, that the credit institution has outstanding with the central counterparty. The central counterparty CCR exposures with all participants in its arrangements shall be fully collateralised on a daily basis.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

7. Exposures arising from long settlement transactions can be determined using any of the methods set out in Parts 3 to 6, regardless of the methods chosen for treating OTC derivatives and repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, and margin lending transactions. In calculating capital requirements for long settlement transactions, credit institutions that use the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89 may assign the risk weights under the approach set out in Articles 78 to 83 on a permanent basis and irrespective of the materiality of such positions.
8. For the methods set out in Parts 3 and 4 the competent authorities must ensure that the notional amount to be taken into account is an appropriate yardstick for the risk inherent in the contract. Where, for instance, the contract provides for a multiplication of cash flows, the notional amount must be adjusted in order to take into account the effects of the multiplication on the risk structure of that contract.

Part 3: Mark-to-Market Method

Step (a): by attaching current market values to contracts (mark-to-market), the current replacement cost of all contracts with positive values is obtained.

Step (b): to obtain a figure for potential future credit exposure, except in the case of single-currency 'floating/floating' interest rate swaps in which only the current replacement cost will be calculated, the notional principal amounts or underlying values are multiplied by the percentages in Table 1:

Table 1 ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾

Residual maturity ⁽³⁾	Interest-rate contracts	Contracts concerning foreign-exchange rates and gold	Contracts concerning equities	Contracts concerning precious metals except gold	Contracts concerning commodities other than precious metals
One year or less	0 %	1 %	6 %	7 %	10 %
Over one year, not exceeding five years	0,5 %	5 %	8 %	7 %	12 %
Over five years	1,5 %	7,5 %	10 %	8 %	15 %

⁽¹⁾ Contracts which do not fall within one of the five categories indicated in this table shall be treated as contracts concerning commodities other than precious metals.

⁽²⁾ For contracts with multiple exchanges of principal, the percentages have to be multiplied by the number of remaining payments still to be made according to the contract.

⁽³⁾ For contracts that are structured to settle outstanding exposure following specified payment dates and where the terms are reset such that the market value of the contract is zero on these specified dates, the residual maturity would be equal to the time until the next reset date. In the case of interest-rate contracts that meet these criteria and have a remaining maturity of over one year, the percentage shall be no lower than 0,5 %.

For the purpose of calculating the potential future credit exposure in accordance with step (b) the competent authorities may allow credit institutions to apply the percentages in Table 2 instead of those prescribed in Table 1 provided that the institutions make use of the option set out in Annex IV, point 21 to Directive 2006/.../EC for contracts relating to commodities other than gold within the meaning of paragraph 3 of Annex IV, to this Directive:

Table 2

Residual maturity	Precious metals (except gold)	Base metals	Agricultural products (softs)	Other, including energy products
One year or less	2 %	2,5 %	3 %	4 %
Over one year, not exceeding five years	5 %	4 %	5 %	6 %
Over five years	7,5 %	8 %	9 %	10 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Step (c): the sum of current replacement cost and potential future credit exposure is the exposure value.

Part 4: Original Exposure Method

Step (a): the notional principal amount of each instrument is multiplied by the percentages given in Table 3.

Table 3

Original maturity ⁽¹⁾	Interest-rate contracts	Contracts concerning foreign-exchange rates and gold
One year or less	0,5 %	2 %
Over one year, not exceeding two years	1 %	5 %
Additional allowance for each additional year	1 %	3 %

⁽¹⁾ In the case of interest-rate contracts, credit institutions may, subject to the consent of their competent authorities, choose either original or residual maturity.

Step (b): the original exposure thus obtained shall be the exposure value.

Part 5: Standardised Method

- The Standardised Method (SM) can be used only for OTC derivatives and long settlement transactions. The exposure value shall be calculated separately for each netting set. It shall be determined net of collateral, as follows:

exposure value =

$$\beta^* \max \left(CMV - CMC; \sum_j \left| \sum_i RPT_{ij} - \sum_l RPC_{lj} \right| * CCRM_i \right)$$

where:

CMV = current market value of the portfolio of transactions within the netting set with a counterparty gross of collateral, that is, where:

$$CMV = \sum_i CMV_i$$

where:

CMV_i = the current market value of transaction i;

CMC = the current market value of the collateral assigned to the netting set, that is, where:

$$CMC = \sum_l CMCl$$

where CMCl = the current market value of collateral l;

i = index designating transaction;

l = index designating collateral;

j = index designating hedging set category. These hedging sets correspond to risk factors for which risk positions of opposite sign can be offset to yield a net risk position on which the exposure measure is then based;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

RPT_{ij} = risk position from transaction i with respect to hedging set j ;

$RPCl_j$ = risk position from collateral l with respect to hedging set j ;

$CCRM_j$ = CCR Multiplier set out in Table 5 with respect to hedging set j ;

$\beta = 1.4$.

Collateral received from a counterparty has a positive sign and collateral posted to a counterparty has a negative sign.

Collateral that is recognised for this method is confined to the collateral that is eligible under point 11 of Part I of Annex VIII to this Directive and point 9 of Annex II to Directive 2006/.../EC.

2. When an OTC derivative transaction with a linear risk profile stipulates the exchange of a financial instrument for a payment, the payment Part is referred to as the payment leg. Transactions that stipulate the exchange of payment against payment consist of two payment legs. The payment legs consist of the contractually agreed gross payments, including the notional amount of the transaction. Credit institutions may disregard the interest rate risk from payment legs with a remaining maturity of less than one year for the purposes of the following calculations. Credit institutions may treat transactions that consist of two payment legs that are denominated in the same currency, such as interest rate swaps, as a single aggregate transaction. The treatment for payment legs applies to the aggregate transaction.
3. Transactions with a linear risk profile with equities (including equity indices), gold, other precious metals or other commodities as the underlying financial instruments are mapped to a risk position in the respective equity (or equity index) or commodity (including gold and other precious metals) and an interest rate risk position for the payment leg. If the payment leg is denominated in a foreign currency, it is additionally mapped to a risk position in the respective currency.
4. Transactions with a linear risk profile with a debt instrument as the underlying instrument are mapped to an interest rate risk position for the debt instrument and another interest rate risk position for the payment leg. Transactions with a linear risk profile that stipulate the exchange of payment against payment, including foreign exchange forwards, are mapped to an interest rate risk position for each of the payment legs. If the underlying debt instrument is denominated in a foreign currency, the debt instrument is mapped to a risk position in this currency. If a payment leg is denominated in foreign currency, the payment leg is again mapped to a risk position in this currency. The exposure value assigned to a foreign exchange basis swap transaction is zero.
5. The size of a risk position from a transaction with linear risk profile is the effective notional value (market price multiplied by quantity) of the underlying financial instruments (including commodities) converted to the credit institution's domestic currency, except for debt instruments.
6. For debt instruments and for payment legs, the size of the risk position is the effective notional value of the outstanding gross payments (including the notional amount) converted to the credit institution's domestic currency, multiplied by the modified duration of the debt instrument, or payment leg, respectively.
7. The size of a risk position from a credit default swap is the notional value of the reference debt instrument multiplied by the remaining maturity of the credit default swap.
8. The size of a risk position from an OTC derivative with a non-linear risk profile, including options and swaptions, is equal to the delta equivalent effective notional value of the financial instrument that underlies the transaction, except in the case of an underlying debt instrument.
9. The size of a risk position from an OTC derivative with a non-linear risk profile, including options and swaptions, of which the underlying is a debt instrument or a payment leg, is equal to the delta equivalent effective notional value of the financial instrument or payment leg multiplied by the modified duration of the debt instrument, or payment leg, respectively.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

10. For the determination of risk positions, collateral received from a counterparty is to be treated as a claim on the counterparty under a derivative contract (long position) that is due today, while collateral posted is to be treated like an obligation to the counterparty (short position) that is due today.

11. Credit institutions may use the following formulae to determine the size and sign of a risk position:

for all instruments other than debt instruments:

effective notional value, or

delta equivalent

$$\text{notional value} = P_{\text{ref}} \frac{\delta V}{\delta p}$$

where:

P_{ref} = price of the underlying instrument, expressed in the reference currency;

V = value of the financial instrument (in the case of an option this is the option price and in the case of a transaction with a linear risk profile this is the value of the underlying instrument itself);

p = price of the underlying instrument, expressed in the same currency as V ;

for debt instruments and the payment legs of all transactions:

effective notional value multiplied by the modified duration, or

delta equivalent in notional value multiplied by the modified duration

$$\frac{\delta V}{\delta r}$$

where:

V = value of the financial instrument (in the case of an option this is the option price and in the case of a transaction with a linear risk profile this is the value of the underlying instrument itself or of the payment leg, respectively);

r = interest rate level.

If V is denominated in a currency other than the reference currency, the derivative must be converted into the reference currency by multiplication with the relevant exchange rate.

12. The risk positions are to be grouped into hedging sets. For each hedging set, the absolute value amount of the sum of the resulting risk positions is computed. This sum is termed the 'net risk position' and is represented by:

$$\left| \sum_i RPT_{ij} - \sum_i RPC_{ij} \right|$$

in the formulae set out in paragraph 1.

13. For interest rate risk positions from money deposits received from the counterparty as collateral, from payment legs and from underlying debt instruments, to which according to Table 1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/.../EC a capital charge of 1,60% or less applies, there are six hedging sets for each currency, as set out in Table 4 below. Hedging sets are defined by a combination of the criteria 'maturity' and 'referenced interest rates'.

Table 4

	Government referenced interest rates	Non-government referenced interest rates
Maturity	≤ 1 year	≤ 1 year
Maturity	> 1 - ≤ 5 years	> 1 - ≤ 5 years
Maturity	> 5 years	> 5 years

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

14. For interest rate risk positions from underlying debt instruments or payment legs for which the interest rate is linked to a reference interest rate that represents a general market interest level, the remaining maturity is the length of the time interval up to the next re-adjustment of the interest rate. In all other cases, it is the remaining life of the underlying debt instrument or in the case of a payment leg, the remaining life of the transaction.
15. There is one hedging set for each issuer of a reference debt instrument that underlies a credit default swap.
16. For interest rate risk positions from money deposits that are posted with a counterparty as collateral when that counterparty does not have debt obligations of low specific risk outstanding and from underlying debt instruments, to which according to Table 1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/.../EC a capital charge of more than 1,60% applies, there is one hedging set for each issuer. When a payment leg emulates such a debt instrument, there is also one hedging set for each issuer of the reference debt instrument. Credit institutions may assign risk positions that arise from debt instruments of a certain issuer, or from reference debt instruments of the same issuer that are emulated by payment legs, or that underlie a credit default swap, to the same hedging set.
17. Underlying financial instruments other than debt instruments shall be assigned to the same respective hedging sets only if they are identical or similar instruments. In all other cases they shall be assigned to separate hedging sets.

The similarity of instruments is established as follows:

- for equities, similar instruments are those of the same issuer. An equity index is treated as a separate issuer;
- for precious metals, similar instruments are those of the same metal. A precious metal index is treated as a separate precious metal;
- for electric power, similar instruments are those delivery rights and obligations that refer to the same peak or off-peak load time interval within any 24-hour interval; and
- for commodities, similar instruments are those of the same commodity. A commodity index is treated as a separate commodity.

18. The CCR multipliers (CCRM) for the different hedging set categories are set out in Table 5 below:

Table 5

Hedging set categories		CCRM
1.	Interest Rates	0,2 %
2.	Interest Rates for risk positions from a reference debt instrument that underlies a credit default swap and to which a capital charge of 1,60 %, or less, applies under Table 1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/.../EC	0,3 %
3.	Interest Rates for risk positions from a debt instrument or reference debt instrument to which a capital charge of more than 1,60 % applies under Table 1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/.../EC	0,6 %
4.	Exchange Rates	2,5 %
5.	Electric Power	4 %
6.	Gold	5 %
7.	Equity	7 %
8.	Precious Metals (except gold)	8,5 %
9.	Other Commodities (excluding precious metals and electricity power)	10 %
10.	Underlying instruments of OTC derivatives that are not in any of the above categories	10 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Underlying instruments of OTC derivatives, as referred to in point 10 of Table 5, shall be assigned to separate individual hedging sets for each category of underlying instrument.

19. For transactions with a non-linear risk profile or for payment legs and transactions with debt instruments as underlying for which the credit institution cannot determine the delta or the modified duration, respectively, with an instrument model that the competent authority has approved for the purposes of determining the minimum capital requirements for market risk, the competent authority shall determine the size of the risk positions and the applicable CCRMjs conservatively. Alternatively, competent authorities may require the use of the method set out in Part 3. Netting shall not be recognised (that is, the exposure value shall be determined as if there were a netting set that comprises just the individual transaction).
20. A credit institution shall have internal procedures to verify that, prior to including a transaction in a hedging set, the transaction is covered by a legally enforceable netting contract that meets the requirements set out in Part 7.
21. A credit institution that makes use of collateral to mitigate its CCR shall have internal procedures to verify that, prior to recognising the effect of collateral in its calculations, the collateral meets the legal certainty standards set out in Annex VIII.

Part 6: Internal Model Method

1. Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, a credit institution may use the Internal Model Method (IMM) to calculate the exposure value for the transactions in Part 2, paragraph 2(i), or for the transactions in Part 2, point 2(ii), (iii) and (iv), or for the transactions in Part 2, point 2(i) to (iv). In each of these cases the transactions in Part 2, point 2(v) may be included as well. Notwithstanding Part 2, point 1, second paragraph, credit institutions may choose not to apply this method to exposures that are immaterial in size and risk. To apply the IMM, a credit institution shall meet the requirements set out in this Part.
2. Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, implementation of the IMM may be carried out sequentially across different transaction types, and during this period a credit institution may use the methods set out in Part 3 or Part 5. Notwithstanding the remainder of this Part, credit institutions shall not be required to use a specific type of model.
3. For all OTC derivative transactions and for long settlement transactions for which a credit institution has not received approval to use the IMM, the credit institution shall use the methods set out in Part 3 or Part 5. Combined use of these two methods is permitted on a permanent basis within a group. Combined use of these two methods within a legal entity is only permitted where one of the methods is used for the cases set out in Part 5, point 19.
4. Credit institutions which have obtained permission to use the IMM shall not revert to the use of the methods set out in Part 3 or Part 5 except for demonstrated good cause and subject to approval of the competent authorities. If a credit institution ceases to comply with the requirements set out in this Part, it shall either present to the competent authority a plan for a timely return to compliance or demonstrate that the effect of non-compliance is immaterial.

Exposure value

5. The exposure value shall be measured at the level of the netting set. The model shall specify the forecasting distribution for changes in the market value of the netting set attributable to changes in market variables, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates. The model shall then compute the exposure value for the netting set at each future date given the changes in the market variables. For margined counterparties, the model may also capture future collateral movements.
6. Credit institutions may include eligible financial collateral as defined in point 11 of Part 1 of Annex VIII to this Directive and point 9 of Annex II to Directive 2006/.../EC in their forecasting distributions for changes in the market value of the netting set, if the quantitative, qualitative and data requirements for the IMM are met for the collateral.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

7. The exposure value shall be calculated as the product of α times Effective EPE, as follows:

$$\text{Exposure value} = \alpha \times \text{Effective EPE}$$

where:

α shall be 1.4, but competent authorities may require a higher α , and Effective EPE shall be computed by estimating expected exposure (EE_t) as the average exposure at future date t , where the average is taken across possible future values of relevant market risk factors. The model estimates EE at a series of future dates t_1, t_2, t_3 , etc.

8. Effective EE shall be computed recursively as:

$$\text{Effective } EE_{t_k} = \max(\text{Effective } EE_{t_{k-1}}; EE_{t_k})$$

where:

the current date is denoted as t_0 and Effective EE_{t_0} equals current exposure.

9. In this regard, Effective EPE is the average Effective EE during the first year of future exposure. If all contracts in the netting set mature within less than one year, EPE is the average of EE until all contracts in the netting set mature. Effective EPE is computed as a weighted average of Effective EE :

$$\text{Effective EPE} = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(1\text{year}; \text{maturity})} \text{Effective } EE_{t_k} * \Delta_{t_k}$$

where:

the weights $\Delta_{t_k} = t_k - t_{k-1}$ allow for the case when future exposure is calculated at dates that are not equally spaced over time.

10. EE or peak exposure measures shall be calculated based on a distribution of exposures that accounts for the possible non-normality of the distribution of exposures.
11. Credit institutions may use a measure that is more conservative than α multiplied by Effective EPE as calculated according to the equation above for every counterparty.
12. Notwithstanding point 7, competent authorities may permit credit institutions to use their own estimates of α , subject to a floor of 1.2, where α shall equal the ratio of internal capital from a full simulation of CCR exposure across counterparties (numerator) and internal capital based on EPE (denominator). In the denominator, EPE shall be used as if it were a fixed outstanding amount. Credit institutions shall demonstrate that their internal estimates of α capture in the numerator material sources of stochastic dependency of distribution of market values of transactions or of portfolios of transactions across counterparties. Internal estimates of α shall take account of the granularity of portfolios.
13. A credit institution shall ensure that the numerator and denominator of α are computed in a consistent fashion with respect to the modelling methodology, parameter specifications and portfolio composition. The approach used shall be based on the credit institution's internal capital approach, be well documented and be subject to independent validation. In addition, credit institutions shall review their estimates on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when the composition of the portfolio varies over time. Credit institutions shall also assess the model risk.
14. Where appropriate, volatilities and correlations of market risk factors used in the joint simulation of market and credit risk should be conditioned on the credit risk factor to reflect potential increases in volatility or correlation in an economic downturn.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

15. If the netting set is subject to a margin agreement, credit institutions shall use one of the following EPE measures:
- (a) Effective EPE without taking into account the margin agreement;
 - b) the threshold, if positive, under the margin agreement plus an add-on that reflects the potential increase in exposure over the margin period of risk. The add-on is computed as the expected increase in the netting set's exposure beginning from a current exposure of zero over the margin period of risk. A floor of five business days for netting sets consisting only of repo-style transactions subject to daily remargining and daily mark-to-market, and ten business days for all other netting sets is imposed on the margin period of risk used for this purpose; or
 - c) if the model captures the effects of margining when estimating EE, the model's EE measure may be used directly in the equation in point 8 subject to the approval of the competent authorities.

Minimum requirements for EPE models

16. A credit institution's EPE model shall meet the operational requirements set out in points 17 to 41.

CCR control

17. The credit institution shall have a control unit that is responsible for the design and implementation of its CCR management system, including the initial and on-going validation of the model. This unit shall control input data integrity and produce and analyse reports on the output of the credit institution's risk measurement model, including an evaluation of the relationship between measures of risk exposure and credit and trading limits. This unit shall be independent from units responsible for originating, renewing or trading exposures and free from undue influence; it shall be adequately staffed; it shall report directly to the senior management of the credit institution. The work of this unit shall be closely integrated into the day-to-day credit risk management process of the credit institution. Its output shall, accordingly, be an integral part of the process of planning, monitoring and controlling the credit institution's credit and overall risk profile.
18. A credit institution shall have CCR management policies, processes and systems that are conceptually sound and implemented with integrity. A sound CCR management framework shall include the identification, measurement, management, approval and internal reporting of CCR.
19. A credit institution's risk management policies shall take account of market, liquidity, and legal and operational risks that can be associated with CCR. The credit institution shall not undertake business with a counterparty without assessing its creditworthiness and shall take due account of settlement and pre-settlement credit risk. These risks shall be managed as comprehensively as practicable at the counterparty level (aggregating CCR exposures with other credit exposures) and at the firm-wide level.
20. A credit institution's board of directors and senior management shall be actively involved in the CCR control process and shall regard this as an essential aspect of the business to which significant resources need to be devoted. Senior management shall be aware of the limitations and assumptions of the model used and the impact these can have on the reliability of the output. Senior management shall also consider the uncertainties of the market environment and operational issues and be aware of how these are reflected in the model.
21. The daily reports prepared on a credit institution's exposures to CCR shall be reviewed by a level of management with sufficient seniority and authority to enforce both reductions of positions taken by individual credit managers or traders and reductions in the credit institution's overall CCR exposure.
22. A credit institution's CCR management system shall be used in conjunction with internal credit and trading limits. Credit and trading limits shall be related to the credit institution's risk measurement model in a manner that is consistent over time and that is well understood by credit managers, traders and senior management.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

23. A credit institution's measurement of CCR shall include measuring daily and intra-day usage of credit lines. The credit institution shall measure current exposure gross and net of collateral. At portfolio and counterparty level, the credit institution shall calculate and monitor peak exposure or PFE at the confidence interval chosen by the credit institution. The credit institution shall take account of large or concentrated positions, including by groups of related counterparties, by industry, by market, etc.
24. A credit institution shall have a routine and rigorous program of stress testing in place as a supplement to the CCR analysis based on the day-to-day output of the credit institution's risk measurement model. The results of this stress testing shall be reviewed periodically by senior management and shall be reflected in the CCR policies and limits set by management and the board of directors. Where stress tests reveal particular vulnerability to a given set of circumstances, prompt steps shall be taken to manage those risks appropriately.
25. A credit institution shall have a routine in place for ensuring compliance with a documented set of internal policies, controls and procedures concerning the operation of the CCR management system. The credit institution's CCR management system shall be well documented and shall provide an explanation of the empirical techniques used to measure CCR.
26. A credit institution shall conduct an independent review of its CCR management system regularly through its own internal auditing process. This review shall include both the activities of the business units referred to in point 17 and of the independent CCR control unit. A review of the overall CCR management process shall take place at regular intervals and shall specifically address, at a minimum:
 - a) the adequacy of the documentation of the CCR management system and process;
 - b) the organisation of the CCR control unit;
 - c) the integration of CCR measures into daily risk management;
 - d) the approval process for risk pricing models and valuation systems used by front and back-office personnel;
 - e) the validation of any significant change in the CCR measurement process;
 - f) the scope of CCR captured by the risk measurement model;
 - g) the integrity of the management information system;
 - h) the accuracy and completeness of CCR data;
 - i) the verification of the consistency, timeliness and reliability of data sources used to run models, including the independence of such data sources;
 - j) the accuracy and appropriateness of volatility and correlation assumptions;
 - k) the accuracy of valuation and risk transformation calculations; and
 - l) the verification of the model's accuracy through frequent back-testing.

Use test

27. The distribution of exposures generated by the model used to calculate effective EPE shall be closely integrated into the day-to-day CCR management process of the credit institution. The model's output shall accordingly play an essential role in the credit approval, CCR management, internal capital allocation and corporate governance of the credit institution.
28. A credit institution shall have a track record in the use of models that generate a distribution of exposures to CCR. Thus, the credit institution shall demonstrate that it has been using a model to calculate the distributions of exposures upon which the EPE calculation is based that meets, broadly, the minimum requirements set out in this Part for at least one year prior to approval by the competent authorities.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

29. The model used to generate a distribution of exposures to CCR shall be Part of a CCR management framework that includes the identification, measurement, management, approval and internal reporting of CCR. This framework shall include the measurement of usage of credit lines (aggregating CCR exposures with other credit exposures) and internal capital allocation. In addition to EPE, a credit institution shall measure and manage current exposures. Where appropriate, the credit institution shall measure current exposure gross and net of collateral. The use test is satisfied if a credit institution uses other CCR measures, such as peak exposure or (PFE), based on the distribution of exposures generated by the same model to compute EPE.
30. A credit institution shall have the systems capability to estimate EE daily if necessary, unless it demonstrates to its competent authorities that its exposures to CCR warrant less frequent calculation. The credit institution shall compute EE along a time profile of forecasting horizons that adequately reflects the time structure of future cash flows and maturity of the contracts and in a manner that is consistent with the materiality and composition of the exposures.
31. Exposure shall be measured, monitored and controlled over the life of all contracts in the netting set (not just to the one year horizon). The credit institution shall have procedures in place to identify and control the risks for counterparties where the exposure rises beyond the one-year horizon. The forecast increase in exposure shall be an input into the credit institution's internal capital model.

Stress testing

32. A credit institution shall have in place sound stress testing processes for use in the assessment of capital adequacy for CCR. These stress measures shall be compared with the measure of EPE and considered by the credit institution as Part of the process set out in Article 123. Stress testing shall also involve identifying possible events or future changes in economic conditions that could have unfavourable effects on a credit institution's credit exposures and an assessment of the credit institution's ability to withstand such changes.
33. The credit institution shall stress test its CCR exposures, including jointly stressing market and credit risk factors. Stress tests of CCR shall consider concentration risk (to a single counterparty or groups of counterparties), correlation risk across market and credit risk, and the risk that liquidating the counterparty's positions could move the market. Stress tests shall also consider the impact on the credit institution's own positions of such market moves and integrate that impact in its assessment of CCR.

Wrong-Way Risk

34. Credit institutions shall give due consideration to exposures that give rise to a significant degree of General Wrong-Way Risk.
35. Credit institutions shall have procedures in place to identify, monitor and control cases of Specific Wrong-Way Risk, beginning at the inception of a transaction and continuing through the life of the transaction.

Integrity of the modelling process

36. The model shall reflect transaction terms and specifications in a timely, complete, and conservative fashion. Such terms shall include at least contract notional amounts, maturity, reference assets, margining arrangements, netting arrangements. The terms and specifications shall be maintained in a database that is subject to formal and periodic audit. The process for recognising netting arrangements shall require signoff by legal staff to verify the legal enforceability of netting and be input into the database by an independent unit. The transmission of transaction terms and specifications data to the model shall also be subject to internal audit and formal reconciliation processes shall be in place between the model and source data systems to verify on an ongoing basis that transaction terms and specifications are being reflected in EPE correctly or at least conservatively.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

37. The model shall employ current market data to compute current exposures. When using historical data to estimate volatility and correlations, at least three years of historical data shall be used and shall be updated quarterly or more frequently if market conditions warrant. The data shall cover a full range of economic conditions, such as a full business cycle. A unit independent from the business unit shall validate the price supplied by the business unit. The data shall be acquired independently of the lines of business, fed into the model in a timely and complete fashion, and maintained in a database subject to formal and periodic audit. A credit institution shall also have a well-developed data integrity process to clean the data of erroneous and/or anomalous observations. To the extent that the model relies on proxy market data, including, for new products, where three years of historical data may not be available, internal policies shall identify suitable proxies and the credit institution shall demonstrate empirically that the proxy provides a conservative representation of the underlying risk under adverse market conditions. If the model includes the effect of collateral on changes in the market value of the netting set, the credit institution shall have adequate historical data to model the volatility of the collateral.
38. The model shall be subject to a validation process. The process shall be clearly articulated in credit institutions' policies and procedures. The validation process shall specify the kind of testing needed to ensure model integrity and identify conditions under which assumptions are violated and may result in an understatement of EPE. The validation process shall include a review of the comprehensiveness of the model.
39. A credit institution shall monitor the appropriate risks and have processes in place to adjust its estimation of EPE when those risks become significant. This includes the following:
- a) the credit institution shall identify and manage its exposures to specific wrong-way risk;
 - b) for exposures with a rising risk profile after one year, the credit institution shall compare on a regular basis the estimate of EPE over one year with EPE over the life of the exposure; and
 - c) for exposures with a residual maturity below one year, the credit institution shall compare on a regular basis the replacement cost (current exposure) and the realised exposure profile, and/or store data that would allow such a comparison.
40. A credit institution shall have internal procedures to verify that, prior to including a transaction in a netting set, the transaction is covered by a legally enforceable netting contract that meets the requirements set out in Part 7.
41. A credit institution that makes use of collateral to mitigate its CCR shall have internal procedures to verify that, prior to recognising the effect of collateral in its calculations, the collateral meets the legal certainty standards set out in Annex VIII.

Validation requirements for EPE models

42. A credit institution's EPE model shall meet the following validation requirements:
- a) the qualitative validation requirements set out in Annex V to Directive 2006/.../EC;
 - b) interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodities, and other market risk factors shall be forecast over long time horizons for measuring CCR exposure. The performance of the forecasting model for market risk factors shall be validated over a long time horizon;
 - c) the pricing models used to calculate CCR exposure for a given scenario of future shocks to market risk factors shall be tested as Part of the model validation process. Pricing models for options shall account for the nonlinearity of option value with respect to market risk factors;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) the EPE model shall capture transaction-specific information in order to aggregate exposures at the level of the netting set. A credit institution shall verify that transactions are assigned to the appropriate netting set within the model;
- e) the EPE model shall also include transaction-specific information to capture the effects of margining. It shall take into account both the current amount of margin and margin that would be passed between counterparties in the future. Such a model shall account for the nature of margin agreements (unilateral or bilateral), the frequency of margin calls, the margin period of risk, the minimum threshold of unmarginated exposure the credit institution is willing to accept, and the minimum transfer amount. Such a model shall either model the mark-to-market change in the value of collateral posted or apply the rules set out in Annex VIII; and
- f) static, historical back-testing on representative counterparty portfolios shall be Part of the model validation process. At regular intervals, a credit institution shall conduct such back-testing on a number of representative counterparty portfolios (actual or hypothetical). These representative portfolios shall be chosen based on their sensitivity to the material risk factors and correlations to which the credit institution is exposed.

If back-testing indicates that the model is not sufficiently accurate, the competent authorities shall revoke the model approval or impose appropriate measures to ensure that the model is improved promptly. They may also require additional own funds to be held by credit institutions pursuant to Article 136.

Part 7: Contractual netting (contracts for novation and other netting agreements)

(a) Types of netting that competent authorities may recognise

For the purpose of this Part, 'counterparty' means any entity (including natural persons) that has the power to conclude a contractual netting agreement and 'contractual cross product netting agreement' means a written bilateral agreement between a credit institution and a counterparty which creates a single legal obligation covering all included bilateral master agreements and transactions belonging to different product categories. Contractual cross product netting agreements do not cover netting other than on a bilateral basis.

For the purposes of cross product netting, the following are considered different product categories:

- i) repurchase transactions, reverse repurchase transactions, securities and commodities lending and borrowing transactions,
- ii) margin lending transactions, and
- iii) the contracts listed in Annex IV.

The competent authorities may recognise as risk-reducing the following types of contractual netting:

- i) bilateral contracts for novation between a credit institution and its counterparty under which mutual claims and obligations are automatically amalgamated in such a way that this novation fixes one single net amount each time novation applies and thus creates a legally binding, single new contract extinguishing former contracts,
- ii) other bilateral agreements between a credit institution and its counterparty, and
- iii) contractual cross product netting agreements for credit institutions that have received approval by their competent authorities to use the method set out in Part 6, for transactions falling under the scope of that method. Netting across transactions entered by members of a group is not recognised for the purposes of calculating capital requirements.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(b) *Conditions for recognition*

The competent authorities may recognise contractual netting as risk-reducing only under the following conditions:

- i) a credit institution must have a contractual netting agreement with its counterparty which creates a single legal obligation, covering all included transactions, such that, in the event of a counterparty's failure to perform owing to default, bankruptcy, liquidation or any other similar circumstance, the credit institution would have a claim to receive or an obligation to pay only the net sum of the positive and negative mark-to-market values of included individual transactions,
- ii) a credit institution must have made available to the competent authorities written and reasoned legal opinions to the effect that, in the event of a legal challenge, the relevant courts and administrative authorities would, in the cases described under (i), find that the credit institution's claims and obligations would be limited to the net sum, as described in (i), under:
 - the law of the jurisdiction in which the counterparty is incorporated and, if a foreign branch of an undertaking is involved, also under the law of the jurisdiction in which the branch is located,
 - the law that governs the individual transactions included, and
 - the law that governs any contract or agreement necessary to effect the contractual netting,
- iii) a credit institution must have procedures in place to ensure that the legal validity of its contractual netting is kept under review in the light of possible changes in the relevant laws,
- iv) the credit institution maintains all required documentation in its files,
- v) the effects of netting shall be factored into the credit institution's measurement of each counterparty's aggregate credit risk exposure and the credit institution manages its CCR on such a basis, and
- vi) credit risk to each counterparty is aggregated to arrive at a single legal exposure across transactions. This aggregation shall be factored into credit limit purposes and internal capital purposes.

The competent authorities must be satisfied, if necessary after consulting the other competent authorities concerned, that the contractual netting is legally valid under the law of each of the relevant jurisdictions. If any of the competent authorities are not satisfied in that respect, the contractual netting agreement will not be recognised as risk-reducing for either of the counterparties.

The competent authorities may accept reasoned legal opinions drawn up by types of contractual netting.

No contract containing a provision which permits a non-defaulting counterparty to make limited payments only, or no payments at all, to the estate of the defaulter, even if the defaulter is a net creditor (a 'walkaway' clause), may be recognised as risk-reducing.

In addition, for contractual cross-product netting agreements the following criteria shall be met:

- a) the net sum referred to in subpoint (b)(i) of this Part shall be the net sum of the positive and negative close out values of any included individual bilateral master agreement and of the positive and negative mark-to-market value of the individual transactions (the 'Cross-Product Net Amount');
- b) the written and reasoned legal opinions referred to in subpoint (b)(ii) of this Part shall address the validity and enforceability of the entire contractual cross-product netting agreement under its terms and the impact of the netting arrangement on the material provisions of any included individual bilateral master agreement. A legal opinion shall be generally recognised as such by the legal community in the Member State in which the credit institution is authorised or a memorandum of law that addresses all relevant issues in a reasoned manner;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the credit institution shall have procedures in place under subpoint (b)(iii) of this Part to verify that any transaction which is to be included in a netting set is covered by a legal opinion; and
- d) taking into account the contractual cross product netting agreement, the credit institution shall continue to comply with the requirements for the recognition of bilateral netting and the requirements of Articles 90 to 93 for the recognition of credit risk mitigation, as applicable, with respect to each included individual bilateral master agreement and transaction.

(c) *Effects of recognition*

Netting for the purposes of Parts 5 and 6 shall be recognised as set out therein.

(i) *Contracts for novation*

The single net amounts fixed by contracts for novation, rather than the gross amounts involved, may be weighted. Thus, in the application of Part 3, in:

- step (a): the current replacement cost, and in
- step (b): the notional principal amounts or underlying values

may be obtained taking account of the contract for novation. In the application of Part 4, in step (a) the notional principal amount may be calculated taking account of the contract for novation; the percentages of Table 3 must apply.

(ii) *Other netting agreements*

In application of Part 3:

- in step (a) the current replacement cost for the contracts included in a netting agreement may be obtained by taking account of the actual hypothetical net replacement cost which results from the agreement; in the case where netting leads to a net obligation for the credit institution calculating the net replacement cost, the current replacement cost is calculated as '0', and
- in step (b) the figure for potential future credit exposure for all contracts included in a netting agreement may be reduced according to the following formula:

$$PCE_{red} = 0,4 * PCE_{gross} + 0,6 * NGR * PCE_{gross}$$

where:			
—	PCE_{red}	=	the reduced figure for potential future credit exposure for all contracts with a given counterparty included in a legally valid bilateral netting agreement
—	PCE_{gross}	=	the sum of the figures for potential future credit exposure for all contracts with a given counterparty which are included in a legally valid bilateral netting agreement and are calculated by multiplying their notional principal amounts by the percentages set out in Table 1
—	NGR	=	'net-to-gross ratio': at the discretion of the competent authorities either: (i) separate calculation: the quotient of the net replacement cost for all contracts included in a legally valid bilateral netting agreement with a given counterparty (numerator) and the gross replacement cost for all contracts included in a legally valid bilateral netting agreement with that counterparty (denominator), or (ii) aggregate calculation: the quotient of the sum of the net replacement cost calculated on a bilateral basis for all counterparties taking into account the contracts included in legally valid netting agreements (numerator) and the gross replacement cost for all contracts included in legally valid netting agreements (denominator). If Member States permit credit institutions a choice of methods, the method chosen is to be used consistently.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

For the calculation of the potential future credit exposure according to the above formula perfectly matching contracts included in the netting agreement may be taken into account as a single contract with a notional principal equivalent to the net receipts. Perfectly matching contracts are forward foreign-exchange contracts or similar contracts in which a notional principal is equivalent to cash flows if the cash flows fall due on the same value date and fully or partly in the same currency.

In the application of Part 4, in step (a)

- perfectly matching contracts included in the netting agreement may be taken into account as a single contract with a notional principal equivalent to the net receipts, the notional principal amounts are multiplied by the percentages given in Table 3, and
- for all other contracts included in a netting agreement, the percentages applicable may be reduced as indicated in Table 6:

Table 6

Original maturity ⁽¹⁾	Interest-rate contracts	Foreign-exchange contracts
One year or less	0,35 %	1,50 %
More than one year but not more than two years	0,75 %	3,75 %
Additional allowance for each additional year	0,75 %	2,25 %

⁽¹⁾ In the case of interest-rate contracts, credit institutions may, subject to the consent of their competent authorities, choose either original or residual maturity.

ANNEX IV

TYPES OF DERIVATIVES

1. Interest-rate contracts:
 - (a) single-currency interest rate swaps;
 - (b) basis-swaps;
 - (c) forward rate agreements;
 - (d) interest-rate futures;
 - (e) interest-rate options purchased; and
 - (f) other contracts of similar nature.
 2. Foreign-exchange contracts and contracts concerning gold:
 - (a) cross-currency interest-rate swaps;
 - (b) forward foreign-exchange contracts;
 - (c) currency futures;
 - (d) currency options purchased;
 - (e) other contracts of a similar nature; and
 - (f) contracts concerning gold of a nature similar to (a) to (e).
 3. Contracts of a nature similar to those in points 1(a) to (e) and 2(a) to (d) concerning other reference items or indices. This includes as a minimum all instruments specified in points 4 to 7, 9 and 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC not otherwise included in points 1 or 2.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX V

**TECHNICAL CRITERIA CONCERNING THE ORGANISATION AND
TREATMENT OF RISKS**

1. GOVERNANCE
 1. Arrangements shall be defined by the management body described in Article 11 concerning the segregation of duties in the organisation and the prevention of conflicts of interest.
2. TREATMENT OF RISKS
 2. The management body described in Article 11 shall approve and periodically review the strategies and policies for taking up, managing, monitoring and mitigating the risks the credit institution is or might be exposed to, including those posed by the macroeconomic environment in which it operates in relation to the status of the business cycle.
3. CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK
 3. Credit-granting shall be based on sound and well-defined criteria. The process for approving, amending, renewing, and re-financing credits shall be clearly established.
 4. The ongoing administration and monitoring of their various credit risk-bearing portfolios and exposures, including for identifying and managing problem credits and for making adequate value adjustments and provisions, shall be operated through effective systems.
 5. Diversification of credit portfolios shall be adequate given the credit institution's target markets and overall credit strategy.
4. RESIDUAL RISK
 6. The risk that recognised credit risk mitigation techniques used by the credit institution prove less effective than expected shall be addressed and controlled by means of written policies and procedures.
5. CONCENTRATION RISK
 7. The concentration risk arising from exposures to counterparties, groups of connected counterparties, and counterparties in the same economic sector, geographic region or from the same activity or commodity, the application of credit risk mitigation techniques, and including in particular risks associated with large indirect credit exposures (e.g. to a single collateral issuer), shall be addressed and controlled by means of written policies and procedures.
6. SECURITISATION RISK
 8. The risks arising from securitisation transactions in relation to which the credit institutions are originator or sponsor shall be evaluated and addressed through appropriate policies and procedures, to ensure in particular that the economic substance of the transaction is fully reflected in the risk assessment and management decisions.
 9. Liquidity plans to address the implications of both scheduled and early amortization shall exist at credit institutions which are originators of revolving securitisation transactions involving early amortisation provisions.
7. MARKET RISK
 10. Policies and processes for the measurement and management of all material sources and effects of market risks shall be implemented.
8. INTEREST RATE RISK ARISING FROM NON-TRADING ACTIVITIES
 11. Systems shall be implemented to evaluate and manage the risk arising from potential changes in interest rates as they affect a credit institution's non-trading activities.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

9. OPERATIONAL RISK
12. Policies and processes to evaluate and manage the exposure to operational risk, including to low-frequency high-severity events, shall be implemented. Without prejudice to the definition laid down in Article 4(22), credit institutions shall articulate what constitutes operational risk for the purposes of those policies and procedures.
13. Contingency and business continuity plans shall be in place to ensure a credit institution's ability to operate on an ongoing basis and limit losses in the event of severe business disruption.
10. LIQUIDITY RISK
14. Policies and processes for the measurement and management of their net funding position and requirements on an ongoing and forward-looking basis shall exist. Alternative scenarios shall be considered and the assumptions underpinning decisions concerning the net funding position shall be reviewed regularly.
15. Contingency plans to deal with liquidity crises shall be in place.

ANNEX VI

STANDARDISED APPROACH

Part 1 — Risk weights

1. EXPOSURES TO CENTRAL GOVERNMENTS OR CENTRAL BANKS
 - 1.1. *Treatment*
 1. Without prejudice to points 2 to 7, exposures to central governments and central banks shall be assigned a 100 % risk weight.
 2. Subject to point 3, exposures to central governments and central banks for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 1 in accordance with the assignment by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale.

Table 1

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	0 %	20 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	150 %

3. Exposures to the European Central Bank shall be assigned a 0 % risk weight.
 - 1.2. *Exposures in the national currency of the borrower*
 4. Exposures to Member States' central governments and central banks denominated and funded in the domestic currency of that central government and central bank shall be assigned a risk weight of 0 %.
 5. When the competent authorities of a third country which apply supervisory and regulatory arrangements at least equivalent to those applied in the Community assign a risk weight which is lower than that indicated in point 1 to 2 to exposures to their central government and central bank denominated and funded in the domestic currency, Member States may allow their credit institutions to risk weight such exposures in the same manner.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 1.3. *Use of credit assessments by Export Credit Agencies*
6. Export Credit Agency credit assessments shall be recognised by the competent authorities if either of the following conditions is met:
- a) it is a consensus risk score from Export Credit Agencies participating in the OECD 'Arrangement on Guidelines for Officially Supported Export Credits'; or
 - b) the Export Credit Agency publishes its credit assessments, and the Export Credit Agency subscribes to the OECD agreed methodology, and the credit assessment is associated with one of the eight minimum export insurance premiums (MEIP) that the OECD agreed methodology establishes.
7. Exposures for which a credit assessment by an Export Credit Agency is recognised for risk weighting purposes shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 2.

Table 2

MEIP	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Risk weight	0 %	0 %	20 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	150 %

2. EXPOSURES TO REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS OR LOCAL AUTHORITIES

8. Without prejudice to points 9 to 11, exposures to regional governments and local authorities shall be risk weighted as exposures to institutions. This treatment is independent of the exercise of discretion as specified in Article 80(3). The preferential treatment for short-term exposures specified in points 31, 32 and 37 shall not be applied.
9. Exposures to regional governments and local authorities shall be treated as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established where there is no difference in risk between such exposures because of the specific revenue-raising powers of the former, and the existence of specific institutional arrangements the effect of which is to reduce their risk of default.

Competent authorities shall draw up and make public the list of the regional governments and local authorities to be risk-weighted like central governments.

10. Exposures to churches and religious communities constituted in the form of a legal person under public law shall, in so far as they raise taxes in accordance with legislation conferring on them the right to do so, be treated as exposures to regional governments and local authorities, except that point 9 shall not apply. In this case for the purposes of Article 89(1)(a), permission to apply Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, subsection 1 shall not be excluded.
11. When competent authorities of a third country jurisdiction which apply supervisory and regulatory arrangements at least equivalent to those applied in the Community treat exposures to regional governments and local authorities as exposures to their central government, Member States may allow their credit institutions to risk weight exposures to such regional governments and local authorities in the same manner.

3. EXPOSURES TO ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES AND NON-COMMERCIAL UNDERTAKINGS

3.1. *Treatment*

12. Without prejudice to points 13 to 17, exposures to administrative bodies and non-commercial undertakings shall be assigned a 100 % risk weight.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3.2. *Public Sector Entities*

13. Without prejudice to points 14 to 17, exposures to public sector entities shall be assigned a 100 % risk weight.
14. Subject to the discretion of competent authorities, exposures to public sector entities may be treated as exposures to institutions. Exercise of this discretion by competent authorities is independent of the exercise of discretion as specified in Article 80(3). The preferential treatment for short-term exposures specified in points 31, 32 and 37 shall not be applied.
15. In exceptional circumstances, exposures to public-sector entities may be treated as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established where in the opinion of the competent authorities there is no difference in risk between such exposures because of the existence of an appropriate guarantee by the central government.
16. When the discretion to treat exposures to public-sector entities as exposures to institutions or as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established is exercised by the competent authorities of one Member State, the competent authorities of another Member State shall allow their credit institutions to risk-weight exposures to such public-sector entities in the same manner.
17. When competent authorities of a third country jurisdiction, which apply supervisory and regulatory arrangements at least equivalent to those applied in the Community, treat exposures to public sector entities as exposures to institutions, Member States may allow their credit institutions to risk weight exposures to such public sector entities in the same manner.

4. EXPOSURES TO MULTILATERAL DEVELOPMENT BANKS

4.1. *Scope*

18. For the purposes of Articles 78 to 83, the Inter-American Investment Corporation, the Black Sea Trade and Development Bank and the Central American Bank for Economic Integration are considered to be Multilateral Development Banks (MDB).

4.2. *Treatment*

19. Without prejudice to points 20 and 21, exposures to multilateral development banks shall be treated in the same manner as exposures to institutions in accordance with points 29 to 32. The preferential treatment for short-term exposures as specified in points 31, 32 and 37 shall not apply.
20. Exposures to the following multilateral development banks shall be assigned a 0 % risk weight:
 - a) the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development;
 - b) the International Finance Corporation;
 - c) the Inter-American Development Bank;
 - d) the Asian Development Bank;
 - e) the African Development Bank;
 - f) the Council of Europe Development Bank
 - g) the Nordic Investment Bank;
 - h) the Caribbean Development Bank;
 - i) the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development;
 - j) the European Investment Bank;
 - k) the European Investment Fund; and
 - l) the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

21. A risk weight of 20 % shall be assigned to the portion of unpaid capital subscribed to the European Investment Fund.
5. EXPOSURES TO INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS
22. Exposures to the following international organisations shall be assigned a 0 % risk weight:
- a) the European Community;
 - b) the International Monetary Fund;
 - c) the Bank for International Settlements.
6. EXPOSURES TO INSTITUTIONS
- 6.1. *Treatment*
23. One of the two methods described in points 26 to 27 and 29 to 32 shall apply in determining the risk weights for exposures to institutions.
24. Without prejudice to the other provisions of points 23 to 39, exposures to financial institutions authorised and supervised by the competent authorities responsible for the authorisation and supervision of credit institutions and subject to prudential requirements equivalent to those applied to credit institutions shall be risk-weighted as exposures to institutions.
- 6.2. *Risk-weight floor on exposures to unrated institutions*
25. Exposures to an unrated institution shall not be assigned a risk weight lower than that applied to exposures to its central government.
- 6.3. *Central government risk weight based method*
26. Exposures to institutions shall be assigned a risk weight according to the credit quality step to which exposures to the central government of the jurisdiction in which the institution is incorporated are assigned in accordance with Table 3.

Table 3

Credit quality step to which central government is assigned	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight of exposure	20 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	150 %

27. For exposures to institutions incorporated in countries where the central government is unrated, the risk weight shall be not more than 100 %.
28. For exposures to institutions with an original effective maturity of three months or less, the risk weight shall be 20 %.
- 6.4. *Credit assessment based method*
29. Exposures to institutions with an original effective maturity of more than three months for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 4 in accordance with the assignment by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Table 4

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	150 %

30. Exposures to unrated institutions shall be assigned a risk weight of 50 %.
31. Exposures to an institution with an original effective maturity of three months or less for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 5 in accordance with the assignment by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale:

Table 5

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	20 %	20 %	20 %	50 %	50 %	150 %

32. Exposures to unrated institutions having an original effective maturity of three months or less shall be assigned a 20 % risk weight.

6.5. *Interaction with short-term credit assessments*

33. If the method specified in points 29 to 32 is applied to exposures to institutions, then the interaction with specific short-term assessments shall be as follows.
34. If there is no short-term exposure assessment, the general preferential treatment for short-term exposures as specified in point 31 shall apply to all exposures to institutions of up to three months residual maturity.
35. If there is a short-term assessment and such an assessment determines the application of a more favourable or identical risk weight than the use of the general preferential treatment for short-term exposures, as specified in point 31, then the short-term assessment shall be used for that specific exposure only. Other short-term exposures shall follow the general preferential treatment for short-term exposures, as specified in point 31.
36. If there is a short-term assessment and such an assessment determines a less favourable risk weight than the use of the general preferential treatment for short-term exposures, as specified in point 31, then the general preferential treatment for short-term exposures shall not be used and all unrated short-term claims shall be assigned the same risk weight as that applied by the specific short-term assessment.

6.6. *Short-term exposures in the national currency of the borrower*

37. Exposures to institutions of a residual maturity of 3 months or less denominated and funded in the national currency may, subject to the discretion of the competent authority, be assigned, under both methods described in points 26 to 27 and 29 to 32, a risk weight that is one category less favourable than the preferential risk weight, as described in points 4 and 5, assigned to exposures to its central government.
38. No exposures of a residual maturity of 3 months or less denominated and funded in the national currency of the borrower shall be assigned a risk weight less than 20 %.

6.7. *Investments in regulatory capital instruments*

39. Investments in equity or regulatory capital instruments issued by institutions shall be risk weighted at 100 %, unless deducted from the own funds.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 6.8 *Minimum reserves required by the ECB*
40. Where an exposure to an institution is in the form of minimum reserves required by the ECB or by the central bank of a Member State to be held by the credit institution, Member States may permit the assignment of the risk weight that would be assigned to exposures to the central bank of the Member State in question provided:
- a) the reserves are held in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1745/2003 of the European Central Bank of 12 September 2003 on the application of minimum reserves ⁽¹⁾ or a subsequent replacement regulation or in accordance with national requirements in all material respects equivalent to that Regulation; and
 - b) in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the institution where the reserves are held, the reserves are fully repaid to the credit institution in a timely manner and are not made available to meet other liabilities of the institution.
7. EXPOSURES TO CORPORATES
- 7.1. *Treatment*
41. Exposures for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 6 in accordance with the assignment by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale.

Table 6

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	150 %	150 %

42. Exposures for which such a credit assessment is not available shall be assigned a 100 % risk weight or the risk weight of its central government, whichever is the higher.
8. RETAIL EXPOSURES
43. Exposures that comply with the criteria listed in Article 79(2) shall be assigned a risk weight of 75 %.
9. EXPOSURES SECURED BY REAL ESTATE PROPERTY
44. Without prejudice to points 45 to 60, exposures fully secured by real estate property shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 %.
- 9.1. *Exposures secured by mortgages on residential property*
45. Exposures or any part of an exposure fully and completely secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by mortgages on residential property which is or shall be occupied or let by the owner, or the beneficial owner in the case of personal investment companies, shall be assigned a risk weight of 35 %.
46. Exposures fully and completely secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by shares in Finnish residential housing companies, operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation, in respect of residential property which is or shall be occupied or let by the owner shall be assigned a risk weight of 35 %.
47. Exposures to a tenant under a property leasing transaction concerning residential property under which the credit institution is the lessor and the tenant has an option to purchase, shall be assigned a risk weight of 35 % provided that the competent authorities are satisfied that the exposure of the credit institution is fully and completely secured by its ownership of the property.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 250, 2.10.2003, p. 10.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

48. In the exercise of their judgement for the purposes of points 45 to 47, competent authorities shall be satisfied only if the following conditions are met:
- a) the value of the property does not materially depend upon the credit quality of the obligor. This requirement does not preclude situations where purely macro-economic factors affect both the value of the property and the performance of the borrower;
 - b) the risk of the borrower does not materially depend upon the performance of the underlying property or project, but rather on the underlying capacity of the borrower to repay the debt from other sources. As such, repayment of the facility does not materially depend on any cash flow generated by the underlying property serving as collateral;
 - c) the minimum requirements set out in Annex VIII, Part 2, point 8 and the valuation rules set out in Annex VIII, Part 3, points 62 to 65 are met; and
 - d) the value of the property exceeds the exposures by a substantial margin.
49. Competent authorities may dispense with the condition contained in point 48(b) for exposures fully and completely secured by mortgages on residential property which is situated within their territory, if they have evidence that a well-developed and long-established residential real estate market is present in their territory with loss rates which are sufficiently low to justify such treatment.
50. When the discretion contained in point 49 is exercised by the competent authorities of a Member State, the competent authorities of another Member State may allow their credit institutions to assign a risk weight of 35 % to such exposures fully and completely secured by mortgages on residential property.
- 9.2. *Exposures secured by mortgages on commercial real estate*
51. Subject to the discretion of the competent authorities, exposures or any part of an exposure fully and completely secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by mortgages on offices or other commercial premises situated within their territory may be assigned a risk weight of 50 %.
52. Subject to the discretion of the competent authorities, exposures fully and completely secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities, by shares in Finnish housing companies, operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation, in respect of offices or other commercial premises may be assigned a risk weight of 50 %.
53. Subject to the discretion of the competent authorities, exposures related to property leasing transactions concerning offices or other commercial premises situated in their territories under which the credit institution is the lessor and the tenant has an option to purchase may be assigned a risk weight of 50 % provided that the exposure of the credit institution is fully and completely secured to the satisfaction of the competent authorities by its ownership of the property.
54. The application of points 51 to 53 is subject to the following conditions:
- a) the value of the property must not materially depend upon the credit quality of the obligor. This requirement does not preclude situations where purely macro-economic factors affect both the value of the property and the performance of the borrower;
 - b) the risk of the borrower must not materially depend upon the performance of the underlying property or project, but rather on the underlying capacity of the borrower to repay the debt from other sources. As such, repayment of the facility must not materially depend on any cash flow generated by the underlying property serving as collateral; and
 - c) the minimum requirements set out in Annex VIII, Part 2, point 8, and the valuation rules set out in Annex VIII, Part 3, points 62 to 65 are met.
55. The 50 % risk weight shall be assigned to the Part of the loan that does not exceed a limit calculated according to either of the following conditions:
- a) 50 % of the market value of the property in question;
 - b) 50 % of the market value of the property or 60 % of the mortgage lending value, whichever is lower, in those Member States that have laid down rigorous criteria for the assessment of the mortgage lending value in statutory or regulatory provisions.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

56. A 100 % risk weight shall be assigned to the Part of the loan that exceeds the limits set out in point 55.
57. When the discretion contained in points 51 to 53 is exercised by the competent authorities of one Member State, the competent authorities of another Member State may allow their credit institutions to risk weight at 50 % such exposures fully and completely secured by mortgages on commercial property.
58. Competent authorities may dispense with the condition contained in point 54(b) for exposures fully and completely secured by mortgages on commercial property which is situated within their territory, if they have evidence that a well-developed and long-established commercial real estate market is present in their territory with loss-rates which do not exceed the following limits:
 - a) losses stemming from lending collateralised by commercial real estate property up to 50 % of the market value (or where applicable and if lower 60 % of the mortgage lending value (MLV)) do not exceed 0,3 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by commercial real estate property in any given year; and
 - b) overall losses stemming from lending collateralised by commercial real estate property must not exceed 0,5 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by commercial real estate property in any given year.
59. If either of the limits referred to in point 58 is not satisfied in a given year, the eligibility to use point 58 shall cease and the condition contained in point 54(b) shall apply until the conditions in point 58 are satisfied in a subsequent year.
60. When the discretion contained in point 58 is exercised by the competent authorities of a Member State, the competent authorities of another Member State may allow their credit institutions to assign a risk weight of 50 % to such exposures fully and completely secured by mortgages on commercial property.
10. PAST DUE ITEMS
61. Without prejudice to the provisions contained in points 62 to 65, the unsecured part of any item that is past due for more than 90 days and which is above a threshold defined by the competent authorities and which reflects a reasonable level of risk shall be assigned a risk weight of:
 - a) 150 %, if value adjustments are less than 20 % of the unsecured part of the exposure gross of value adjustments; and
 - b) 100 %, if value adjustments are no less than 20 % of the unsecured part of the exposure gross of value adjustments.
62. For the purpose of defining the secured part of the past due item, eligible collateral and guarantees shall be those eligible for credit risk mitigation purposes.
63. Nonetheless, where a past due item is fully secured by forms of collateral other than those eligible for credit risk mitigation purposes, a 100 % risk weight may be assigned subject to the discretion of competent authorities based upon strict operational criteria to ensure the good quality of the collateral when value adjustments reach 15 % of the exposure gross of value adjustments.
64. Exposures indicated in points 45 to 50 shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 % net of value adjustments if they are past due for more than 90 days. If value adjustments are no less than 20 % of the exposure gross of value adjustments, the risk weight to be assigned to the remainder of the exposure may be reduced to 50 % at the discretion of competent authorities.
65. Exposures indicated in points 51 to 60 shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 % if they are past due for more than 90 days.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

11. ITEMS BELONGING TO REGULATORY HIGH-RISK CATEGORIES

66. Subject to the discretion of competent authorities, exposures associated with particularly high risks such as investments in venture capital firms and private equity investments shall be assigned a risk weight of 150 %.
67. Competent authorities may permit non past due items to be assigned a 150 % risk weight according to the provisions of this Part and for which value adjustments have been established to be assigned a risk weight of:
- a) 100 %, if value adjustments are no less than 20 % of the exposure value gross of value adjustments; and
 - b) 50 %, if value adjustments are no less than 50 % of the exposure value gross of value adjustments.

12. EXPOSURES IN THE FORM OF COVERED BONDS

68. 'Covered bonds', shall mean bonds as defined in Article 22(4) of Directive 85/611/EEC and collateralised by any of the following eligible assets:
- a) exposures to or guaranteed by central governments, central banks, public sector entities, regional governments and local authorities in the EU;
 - b) exposures to or guaranteed by non-EU central governments, non-EU central banks, multilateral development banks, international organisations that qualify for the credit quality step 1 as set out in this Annex, and exposures to or guaranteed by non-EU public sector entities, non-EU regional governments and non-EU local authorities that are risk weighted as exposures to institutions or central governments and central banks according to points 8, 9, 14 or 15 respectively and that qualify for the credit quality step 1 as set out in this Annex, and exposures in the sense of this point that qualify as a minimum for the credit quality step 2 as set out in this Annex, provided that they do not exceed 20 % of the nominal amount of outstanding covered bonds of issuing institutions;
 - c) exposures to institutions that qualify for the credit quality step 1 as set out in this Annex. The total exposure of this kind shall not exceed 15 % of the nominal amount of outstanding covered bonds of the issuing credit institution. Exposures caused by transmission and management of payments of the obligors of, or liquidation proceeds in respect of, loans secured by real estate to the holders of covered bonds shall not be comprised by the 15 % limit. Exposures to institutions in the EU with a maturity not exceeding 100 days shall not be comprised by the step 1 requirement but those institutions must as a minimum qualify for credit quality step 2 as set out in this Annex;
 - d) loans secured by residential real estate or shares in Finnish residential housing companies as referred to in point 46 up to the lesser of the principal amount of the liens that are combined with any prior liens and 80 % of the value of the pledged properties or by senior units issued by French Fonds Communs de Créances or by equivalent securitisation entities governed by the laws of a Member State securitising residential real estate exposures provided that at least 90 % of the assets of such Fonds Communs de Créances or of equivalent securitisation entities governed by the laws of a Member State are composed of mortgages that are combined with any prior liens up to the lesser of the principal amounts due under the units, the principal amounts of the liens, and 80 % of the value of the pledged properties and the units qualify for the credit quality step 1 as set out in this Annex where such units do not exceed 20 % of the nominal amount of the outstanding issue.

Exposures caused by transmission and management of payments of the obligors of, or liquidation proceeds in respect of, loans secured by pledged properties of the senior units or debt securities shall not be comprised in calculating the 90 % limit;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- e) loans secured by commercial real estate or shares in Finnish housing companies as referred to in point 52 up to the lesser of the principal amount of the liens that are combined with any prior liens and 60 % of the value of the pledged properties or by senior units issued by French Fonds Communs de Créances or by equivalent securitisation entities governed by the laws of a Member State securitising commercial real estate exposures provided that, at least, 90 % of the assets of such Fonds Communs de Créances or of equivalent securitisation entities governed by the laws of a Member State are composed of mortgages that are combined with any prior liens up to the lesser of the principal amounts due under the units, the principal amounts of the liens, and 60 % of the value of the pledged properties and the units qualify for the credit quality step 1 as set out in this Annex where such units do not exceed 20 % of the nominal amount of the outstanding issue. The competent authorities may recognise loans secured by commercial real estate as eligible where the Loan to Value ratio of 60 % is exceeded up to a maximum level of 70 % if the value of the total assets pledged as collateral for the covered bonds exceed the nominal amount outstanding on the covered bond by at least 10 %, and the bondholders' claim meets the legal certainty requirements set out in Annex VIII. The bondholders' claim must take priority over all other claims on the collateral.

Exposures caused by transmission and management of payments of the obligors of, or liquidation proceeds in respect of, loans secured by pledged properties of the senior units or debt securities shall not be comprised in calculating the 90 % limit; or

- f) loans secured by ships where only liens that are combined with any prior liens within 60 % of the value of the pledged ship.

For these purposes 'collateralised' includes situations where the assets as described in subpoints (a) to (f) are exclusively dedicated in law to the protection of the bond-holders against losses.

Until 31 December 2010 the 20 % limit for senior units issued by French Fonds Communs de Créances or by equivalent securitisation entities as specified in subpoints (d) and (e) does not apply, provided that those senior units have a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI which is the most favourable category of credit assessment made by the ECAI in respect of covered bonds. Before the end of this period this derogation shall be reviewed and consequent to such review the Commission may as appropriate extend this period in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 151(2) with or without a further review clause.

Until 31 December 2010 the figure of 60 % indicated in subpoint (f) can be replaced with a figure of 70 %. Before the end of this period this derogation shall be reviewed and consequent to such review the Commission may as appropriate extend this period in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 151(2) with or without a further review clause.

69. Credit institutions shall for real estate collateralising covered bonds meet the minimum requirements set out in Annex VIII Part 2, point 8 and the valuation rules set out in Annex VIII, Part 3, points 62 to 65.
70. Notwithstanding points 68 and 69, covered bonds meeting the definition of Article 22(4) of Directive 85/611/EEC and issued before 31 December 2007 are also eligible for the preferential treatment until their maturity.
71. Covered bonds shall be assigned a risk weight on the basis of the risk weight assigned to senior unsecured exposures to the credit institution which issues them. The following correspondence between risk weights shall apply:
- a) if the exposures to the institution are assigned a risk weight of 20 %, the covered bond shall be assigned a risk weight of 10 %;
- b) if the exposures to the institution are assigned a risk weight of 50 %, the covered bond shall be assigned a risk weight of 20 %;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) if the exposures to the institution are assigned a risk weight of 100 %, the covered bond shall be assigned a risk weight of 50 %; and
- d) if the exposures to the institution are assigned a risk weight of 150 %, the covered bond shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 %.

13. ITEMS REPRESENTING SECURITISATION POSITIONS

72. Risk weight exposure amounts for securitisation positions shall be determined in accordance with Articles 94 to 101.

14. SHORT-TERM EXPOSURES TO INSTITUTIONS AND CORPORATES

73. Short-term exposures to an institution or corporate for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 7 as follows, in accordance with the mapping by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale:

Table 7

Credit Quality Step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	100 %	150 %	150 %	150 %

15. EXPOSURES IN THE FORM OF COLLECTIVE INVESTMENT UNDERTAKINGS (CIUS)

74. Without prejudice to points 75 to 81, exposures in collective investment undertakings (CIUs) shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 %.
75. Exposures in the form of CIUs for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is available shall be assigned a risk weight according to Table 8, in accordance with the assignment by the competent authorities of the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs to six steps in a credit quality assessment scale.

Table 8

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	150 %	150 %

76. Where competent authorities consider that a position in a CIU is associated with particularly high risks they shall require that that position is assigned a risk weight of 150 %.
77. Credit institutions may determine the risk weight for a CIU as set out in points 79 to 81, if the following eligibility criteria are met:
- a) the CIU is managed by a company which is subject to supervision in a Member State or, subject to approval of the credit institution's competent authority, if:
 - i) the CIU is managed by a company which is subject to supervision that is considered equivalent to that laid down in Community law; and
 - ii) cooperation between competent authorities is sufficiently ensured;
 - b) the CIU's prospectus or equivalent document includes:
 - i) the categories of assets in which the CIU is authorised to invest; and
 - ii) if investment limits apply, the relative limits and the methodologies to calculate them; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the business of the CIU is reported on at least an annual basis to enable an assessment to be made of the assets and liabilities, income and operations over the reporting period.
78. If a competent authority approves a third country CIU as eligible, as set out in point 77(a), then a competent authority in another Member State may make use of this recognition without conducting its own assessment.
79. Where the credit institution is aware of the underlying exposures of a CIU, it may look through to those underlying exposures in order to calculate an average risk weight for the CIU in accordance with the methods set out in Article 78 to 83.
80. Where the credit institution is not aware of the underlying exposures of a CIU, it may calculate an average risk weight for the CIU in accordance with the methods set out in Articles 78 to 83 subject to the following rules: it will be assumed that the CIU first invests, to the maximum extent allowed under its mandate, in the exposure classes attracting the highest capital requirement, and then continues making investments in descending order until the maximum total investment limit is reached.
81. Credit institutions may rely on a third party to calculate and report, in accordance with the methods set out in points 79 and 80, a risk weight for the CIU provided that the correctness of the calculation and report shall be adequately ensured.
16. OTHER ITEMS
- 16.1. *Treatment*
82. Tangible assets within the meaning of Article 4(10) of Directive 86/635/EEC shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 %.
83. Prepayments and accrued income for which an institution is unable to determine the counterparty in accordance with Directive 86/635/EEC, shall be assigned a risk weight of 100 %.
84. Cash items in the process of collection shall be assigned a 20 % risk weight. Cash in hand and equivalent cash items shall be assigned a 0 % risk weight.
85. Member States may allow a risk weight of 10 % for exposures to institutions specialising in the inter-bank and public-debt markets in their home Member States and subject to close supervision by the competent authorities where those asset items are fully and completely secured, to the satisfaction of the competent authorities of the home Member States, by a items assigned a 0 % or a 20 % risk weight and recognised by the latter as constituting adequate collateral.
86. Holdings of equity and other participations, except where deducted from own funds, shall be assigned a risk weight of at least 100 %.
87. Gold bullion held in own vaults or on an allocated basis to the extent backed by bullion liabilities shall be assigned a 0 % risk weight.
88. In the case of asset sale and repurchase agreements and outright forward purchases, the risk weight shall be that assigned to the assets in question and not to the counterparties to the transactions.
89. Where a credit institution provides credit protection for a number of exposures under terms that the nth default among the exposures shall trigger payment and that this credit event shall terminate the contract, and where the product has an external credit assessment from an eligible ECAI, the risk weights prescribed in Articles 94 to 101 shall be assigned. If the product is not rated by an eligible ECAI, the risk weights of the exposures included in the basket will be aggregated, excluding n-1 exposures, up to a maximum of 1 250 % and multiplied by the nominal amount of the protection provided by the credit derivative to obtain the risk weighted asset amount. The n-1 exposures to be excluded from the aggregation shall be determined on the basis that they shall include those exposures each of which produces a lower risk-weighted exposure amount than the risk-weighted exposure amount of any of the exposures included in the aggregation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part 2 — Recognition of ECAIs and mapping of their credit assessments

1. METHODOLOGY

1.1. Objectivity

1. Competent authorities shall verify that the methodology for assigning credit assessments is rigorous, systematic, continuous and subject to validation based on historical experience.

1.2. Independence

2. Competent authorities shall verify that the methodology is free from external political influences or constraints, and from economic pressures that may influence the credit assessment.
3. Independence of the ECAI's methodology shall be assessed by competent authorities according to factors such as the following:
 - a) ownership and organisation structure of the ECAI;
 - b) financial resources of the ECAI;
 - c) staffing and expertise of the ECAI; and
 - d) corporate governance of the ECAI.

1.3. Ongoing review

4. Competent authorities shall verify that ECAI's credit assessments are subject to ongoing review and shall be responsive to changes in the financial conditions. Such review shall take place after all significant events and at least annually.
5. Before any recognition, competent authorities shall verify that the assessment methodology for each market segment is established according to standards such as the following:
 - a) the back-testing must be established for at least one year;
 - b) the regularity of the review process by the ECAI must be monitored by the competent authorities; and
 - c) the competent authorities must be able to receive from the ECAI the extent of its contacts with the senior management of the entities which it rates.
6. Competent authorities shall take the necessary measures to be promptly informed by ECAIs of any material changes in the methodology they use for assigning credit assessments.

1.4. Transparency and disclosure

7. Competent authorities shall take the necessary measures to assure that the principles of the methodology employed by the ECAI for the formulation of its credit assessments are publicly available as to allow all potential users to decide whether they are derived in a reasonable way.

2. INDIVIDUAL CREDIT ASSESSMENTS

2.1. *Credibility and market acceptance*

8. Competent authorities shall verify that ECAIs' individual credit assessments are recognised in the market as credible and reliable by the users of such credit assessments.
9. Credibility shall be assessed by competent authorities according to factors such as the following:
 - a) market share of the ECAI;
 - b) revenues generated by the ECAI, and more in general financial resources of the ECAI;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) whether there is any pricing on the basis of the rating; and
- d) at least two credit institutions use the ECAI's individual credit assessment for bond issuing and/or assessing credit risks.

2.2. *Transparency and Disclosure*

10. Competent authorities shall verify that individual credit assessments are accessible at equivalent terms at least to all credit institutions having a legitimate interest in these individual credit assessments.
 11. In particular, competent authorities shall verify that individual credit assessments are available to non-domestic parties on equivalent terms as to domestic credit institutions having a legitimate interest in these individual credit assessments.
3. 'MAPPING'
12. In order to differentiate between the relative degrees of risk expressed by each credit assessment, competent authorities shall consider quantitative factors such as the long-term default rate associated with all items assigned the same credit assessment. For recently established ECAIs and for those that have compiled only a short record of default data, competent authorities shall ask the ECAI what it believes to be the long-term default rate associated with all items assigned the same credit assessment.
 13. In order to differentiate between the relative degrees of risk expressed by each credit assessment, competent authorities shall consider qualitative factors such as the pool of issuers that the ECAI covers, the range of credit assessments that the ECAI assigns, each credit assessment meaning and the ECAI's definition of default.
 14. Competent authorities shall compare default rates experienced for each credit assessment of a particular ECAI and compare them with a benchmark built on the basis of default rates experienced by other ECAIs on a population of issuers that the competent authorities believes to present an equivalent level of credit risk.
 15. When competent authorities believe that the default rates experienced for the credit assessment of a particular ECAI are materially and systematically higher than the benchmark, competent authorities shall assign a higher credit quality step in the credit quality assessment scale to the ECAI credit assessment.
 16. When competent authorities have increased the associated risk weight for a specific credit assessment of a particular ECAI, if the ECAI demonstrates that the default rates experienced for its credit assessment are no longer materially and systematically higher than the benchmark, competent authorities may decide to restore the original credit quality step in the credit quality assessment scale for the ECAI credit assessment.

Part 3 — Use of ECAIs' credit assessments for the determination of risk weights

1. TREATMENT
 1. A credit institution may nominate one or more eligible ECAIs to be used for the determination of risk weights to be assigned to asset and off-balance sheet items.
 2. A credit institution which decides to use the credit assessments produced by an eligible ECAI for a certain class of items must use those credit assessments consistently for all exposures belonging to that class.
 3. A credit institution which decides to use the credit assessments produced by an eligible ECAI must use them in a continuous and consistent way over time.
 4. A credit institution can only use ECAIs credit assessments that take into account all amounts both in principal and in interest owed to it.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5. If only one credit assessment is available from a nominated ECAI for a rated item, that credit assessment shall be used to determine the risk weight for that item.
 6. If two credit assessments are available from nominated ECAIs and the two correspond to different risk weights for a rated item, the higher risk weight shall be assigned.
 7. If more than two credit assessments are available from nominated ECAIs for a rated item, the two assessments generating the two lowest risk weights shall be referred to. If the two lowest risk weights are different, the higher risk weight shall be assigned. If the two lowest risk weights are the same, that risk weight shall be assigned.
2. ISSUER AND ISSUE CREDIT ASSESSMENT
8. Where a credit assessment exists for a specific issuing program or facility to which the item constituting the exposure belongs, this credit assessment shall be used to determine the risk weight to be assigned to that item.
 9. Where no directly applicable credit assessment exists for a certain item, but a credit assessment exists for a specific issuing program or facility to which the item constituting the exposure does not belong or a general credit assessment exists for the issuer, then that credit assessment shall be used if it produces a higher risk weight than would otherwise be the case or if it produces a lower risk weight and the exposure in question ranks *pari passu* or senior in all respects to the specific issuing program or facility or to senior unsecured exposures of that issuer, as relevant.
 10. Points 8 and 9 are not to prevent the application of points 68 to 71 of Part 1.
 11. Credit assessments for issuers within a corporate group cannot be used as credit assessment of another issuer within the same corporate group.
3. LONG-TERM AND SHORT-TERM CREDIT ASSESSMENTS
12. Short-term credit assessments may only be used for short-term asset and off-balance sheet items constituting exposures to institutions and corporates.
 13. Any short-term credit assessment shall only apply to the item the short-term credit assessment refers to, and it shall not be used to derive risk weights for any other item.
 14. Notwithstanding point 13, if a short-term rated facility is assigned a 150 % risk weight, then all unrated unsecured exposures on that obligor whether short-term or long-term shall also be assigned a 150 % risk weight.
 15. Notwithstanding point 13, if a short-term rated facility is assigned a 50 % risk-weight, no unrated short-term exposure shall be assigned a risk weight lower than 100 %.
4. DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN CURRENCY ITEMS
16. A credit assessment that refers to an item denominated in the obligor's domestic currency cannot be used to derive a risk weight for another exposure on that same obligor that is denominated in a foreign currency.
 17. Notwithstanding point 16, when an exposure arises through a credit institution's participation in a loan that has been extended by a Multilateral Development Bank whose preferred creditor status is recognised in the market, competent authorities may allow the credit assessment on the obligors' domestic currency item to be used for risk weighting purposes.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX VII

INTERNAL RATINGS BASED APPROACH

Part 1 — Risk weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts

1. CALCULATION OF RISK WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS FOR CREDIT RISK

1. Unless noted otherwise, the input parameters PD, LGD, and maturity value (M) shall be determined as set out in Part 2 and the exposure value shall be determined as set out in Part 3.
2. The risk weighted exposure amount for each exposure shall be calculated in accordance with the following formulae.
 - 1.1. *Risk weighted exposure amounts for exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks.*
3. Subject to points 5 to 9, the risk weighted exposure amounts for exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks shall be calculated according to the following formulae:

$$\text{Correlation (R)} = 0,12 \times (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 * \text{PD})) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50)) + 0,24 * [1 - (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 * \text{PD})) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50))]$$

$$\text{Maturity factor (b)} = (0,11852 - 0,05478 * \ln(\text{PD}))^2$$

$$\text{Risk weight (RW)} = (\text{LGD} * \text{N}[(1 - \text{R})^{0,5} * \text{G}(\text{PD}) + (\text{R}/(1 -))^{0,5} * \text{G}(0,999)] - \text{PD} * \text{LGD} * (1 - 1,5 * \text{b})^{-1} * (1 + (\text{M} - 2,5) * \text{b}) * 12,5 * 1,06$$

N(x) denotes the cumulative distribution function for a standard normal random variable (i.e. the probability that a normal random variable with mean zero and variance of one is less than or equal to x). denotes the inverse cumulative distribution function for a standard normal random variable (i.e. the value x such that = z).

For PD = 0, RW shall be 0.

For PD = 1:

- for defaulted exposures where credit institutions apply the LGD values set out in Part 2, point 8, RW shall be 0; and
- for defaulted exposures where credit institutions use own estimates of LGDs, RW shall be Max{0, 12,5 *(LGD-EL_{BE})};

where EL_{BE} shall be the credit institution's best estimate of expected loss for the defaulted exposure according to point 80 of Part 4.

Risk-weighted exposure amount = RW * exposure value.

4. The risk weighted exposure amount for each exposure which meets the requirements set out in Annex VIII, Part 1, point 29 and Annex VIII, Part 2, point 22 may be adjusted according to the following formula:

$$\text{Risk-weighted exposure amount} = \text{RW} * \text{exposure value} * (0,15 + 160 * \text{PD}_{\text{pp}})]$$

where:

PD_{pp} = PD of the protection provider.

RW shall be calculated using the relevant risk weight formula set out in point 3 for the exposure, the PD of the obligor and the LGD of a comparable direct exposure to the protection provider. The maturity factor (b) shall be calculated using the lower of the PD of the protection provider and the PD of the obligor.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5. For exposures to companies where the total annual sales for the consolidated group of which the firm is a Part is less than 50 million Euro, credit institutions may use the following correlation formula for the calculation of risk weights for corporate exposures. In this formula S is expressed as total annual sales in millions of Euros with $5 \text{ million Euro} \leq S \leq 50 \text{ million Euro}$. Reported sales of less than 5 million Euro shall be treated as if they were equivalent to 5 million Euro. For purchased receivables the total annual sales shall be the weighted average by individual exposures of the pool.

$$\text{Correlation (R)} = 0,12 \times (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 * PD)) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50)) + 0,24 * [1 - (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 * PD)) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50))] - 0,04 * (1 - (S - 5) / 45)$$

Credit institutions shall substitute total assets of the consolidated group for total annual sales when total annual sales are not a meaningful indicator of firm size and total assets are a more meaningful indicator than total annual sales.

6. For specialised lending exposures in respect of which a credit institution cannot demonstrate that its PD estimates meet the minimum requirements set out in Part 4 it shall assign risk weights to these exposures according to Table 1, as follows:

Table 1

Remaining Maturity	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5
Less than 2,5 years	50 %	70 %	115 %	250 %	0 %
Equal or more than 2,5 years	70 %	90 %	115 %	250 %	0 %

The competent authorities may authorise a credit institution generally to assign preferential risk weights of 50 % to exposures in category 1, and a 70 % risk weight to exposures in category 2, provided the credit institution's underwriting characteristics and other risk characteristics are substantially strong for the relevant category.

In assigning risk weights to specialised lending exposures credit institutions shall take into account the following factors: financial strength, political and legal environment, transaction and/or asset characteristics, strength of the sponsor and developer, including any public private partnership income stream, and security package.

7. For their purchased corporate receivables credit institutions shall comply with the minimum requirements set out in points 105 to 109 of Part 4. For purchased corporate receivables that comply in addition with the conditions set out in point 14, and where it would be unduly burdensome for a credit institution to use the risk quantification standards for corporate exposures as set out in Part 4 for these receivables, the risk quantification standards for retail exposures as set out in Part 4 may be used.
8. For purchased corporate receivables, refundable purchase discounts, collateral or partial guarantees that provide first-loss protection for default losses, dilution losses, or both, may be treated as first-loss positions under the IRB securitisation framework.
9. Where an institution provides credit protection for a number of exposures under terms that the nth default among the exposures shall trigger payment and that this credit event shall terminate the contract, if the product has an external credit assessment from an eligible ECAI the risk weights set out in Articles 94 to 101 will be applied. If the product is not rated by an eligible ECAI, the risk weights of the exposures included in the basket will be aggregated, excluding n-1 exposures where the sum of the expected loss amount multiplied by 12,5 and the risk weighted exposure amount shall not exceed the nominal amount of the protection provided by the credit derivative multiplied by 12,5. The n-1 exposures to be excluded from the aggregation shall be determined on the basis that they shall include those exposures each of which produces a lower risk-weighted exposure amount than the risk-weighted exposure amount of any of the exposures included in the aggregation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 1.2. Risk weighted exposure amounts for retail exposures
10. Subject to points 12 and 13, the risk weighted exposure amounts for retail exposures shall be calculated according to the following formulae:

$$\text{Correlation (R)} = 0,03 \times (1 - \text{EXP}(-35 * \text{PD})) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-35)) + 0,16 * [1 - (1 - \text{EXP}(-35 * \text{PD})) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-35))]$$

Risk weight (RW):

$$(\text{LGD} * \text{N}[(1 - \text{R})^{0,5} * \text{G}(\text{PD}) + (\text{R}/(1 - \text{R}))^{0,5} * \text{G}(0,999)] - \text{PD} * \text{LGD}) * 12,5 * 1,06$$

N(x) denotes the cumulative distribution function for a standard normal random variable (i.e. the probability that a normal random variable with mean zero and variance of one is less than or equal to x). denotes the inverse cumulative distribution function for a standard normal random variable (i.e. the value x such that = z).

For PD = 1 (defaulted exposure), RW shall be Max {0, 12,5 * (LGD-EL_{BE})},

where EL_{BE} shall be the credit institution's best estimate of expected loss for the defaulted exposure according to point 80 of Part 4.

Risk-weighted exposure amount = RW * exposure value.

11. The risk weighted exposure amount for each exposure to small and medium sized entities as defined in Article 86(4) which meets the requirements set out in Annex VIII, Part 1, point 29 and Annex VIII, Part 2, point 22 may be calculated according to point 4.
12. For retail exposures secured by real estate collateral a correlation (R) of 0,15 shall replace the figure produced by the correlation formula in point 10.
13. For qualifying revolving retail exposures as defined in points (a) to (e), a correlation (R) of 0,04 shall replace the figure produced by the correlation formula in point 10.

Exposures shall qualify as qualifying revolving retail exposures if they meet the following conditions:

- (a) The exposures are to individuals;
- (b) The exposures are revolving, unsecured, and to the extent they are not drawn immediately and unconditionally, cancellable by the credit institution. (In this context revolving exposures are defined as those where customers' outstanding balances are permitted to fluctuate based on their decisions to borrow and repay, up to a limit established by the credit institution.) Undrawn commitments may be considered as unconditionally cancellable if the terms permit the credit institution to cancel them to the full extent allowable under consumer protection and related legislation;
- (c) The maximum exposure to a single individual in the sub-portfolio is 100 000 Euro or less;
- (d) The credit institution can demonstrate that the use of the correlation of this point is limited to portfolios that have exhibited low volatility of loss rates, relative to their average level of loss rates, especially within the low PD bands. Competent authorities shall review the relative volatility of loss rates across the qualifying revolving retail sub-portfolios, as well the aggregate qualifying revolving retail portfolio, and intend to share information on the typical characteristics of qualifying revolving retail loss rates across jurisdictions; and
- (e) The competent authority concurs that treatment as a qualifying revolving retail exposure is consistent with the underlying risk characteristics of the sub-portfolio.

By way of derogation from point (b), competent authorities may waive the requirement that the exposure be unsecured in respect of collateralised credit facilities linked to a wage account. In this case amounts recovered from the collateral shall not be taken into account in the LGD estimate.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

14. To be eligible for the retail treatment, purchased receivables shall comply with the minimum requirements set out in Part 4, points 105 to 109 and the following conditions:
 - (a) The credit institution has purchased the receivables from unrelated, third party sellers, and its exposure to the obligor of the receivable does not include any exposures that are directly or indirectly originated by the credit institution itself;
 - (b) The purchased receivables shall be generated on an arm's-length basis between the seller and the obligor. As such, inter-company accounts receivables and receivables subject to contra-accounts between firms that buy and sell to each other are ineligible;
 - (c) The purchasing credit institution has a claim on all proceeds from the purchased receivables or a pro-rata interest in the proceeds; and
 - (d) The portfolio of purchased receivables is sufficiently diversified.
15. For purchased receivables, refundable purchase discounts, collateral or partial guarantees that provide first-loss protection for default losses, dilution losses, or both, may be treated as first-loss positions under the IRB securitisation framework.
16. For hybrid pools of purchased retail receivables where purchasing credit institutions cannot separate exposures secured by real estate collateral and qualifying revolving retail exposures from other retail exposures, the retail risk weight function producing the highest capital requirements for those exposures shall apply.

1.3. *Risk weighted exposure amounts for equity exposures*

17. A credit institution may employ different approaches to different portfolios where the credit institution itself uses different approaches internally. Where a credit institution uses different approaches, the credit institution shall demonstrate to the competent authorities that the choice is made consistently and is not determined by regulatory arbitrage considerations.
18. Notwithstanding point 17, competent authorities may allow the attribution of risk weighted exposure amounts for equity exposures to ancillary services undertakings according to the treatment of other non credit-obligation assets.

1.3.1. Simple risk weight approach

19. The risk weighted exposure amount shall be calculated according to the following formula:

Risk weight (RW) = 190 % for private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios.

Risk weight (RW) = 290 % for exchange traded equity exposures.

Risk weight (RW) = 370 % for all other equity exposures.

Risk-weighted exposure amount = RW * exposure value.

20. Short cash positions and derivative instruments held in the non-trading book are permitted to offset long positions in the same individual stocks provided that these instruments have been explicitly designated as hedges of specific equity exposures and that they provide a hedge for at least another year. Other short positions are to be treated as if they are long positions with the relevant risk weight assigned to the absolute value of each position. In the context of maturity mismatched positions, the method is that for corporate exposures as set out in point 16 of Annex VII, Part 2.
21. Credit institutions may recognise unfunded credit protection obtained on an equity exposure in accordance with the methods set out in Articles 90 to 93.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1.3.2. PD/LGD approach

22. The risk weighted exposure amounts shall be calculated according to the formulas in point 3. If credit institutions do not have sufficient information to use the definition of default set out in points 44 to 48 of Part 4, a scaling factor of 1,5 shall be assigned to the risk weights.
23. At the individual exposure level the sum of the expected loss amount multiplied by 12,5 and the risk weighted exposure amount shall not exceed the exposure value multiplied by 12,5.
24. Credit institutions may recognise unfunded credit protection obtained on an equity exposure in accordance with the methods set out in Articles 90 to 93. This shall be subject to an LGD of 90 % on the exposure to the provider of the hedge. For private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios an LGD of 65 % may be used. For these purposes M shall be 5 years.

1.3.3. Internal models approach

25. The risk weighted exposure amount shall be the potential loss on the credit institution's equity exposures as derived using internal value-at-risk models subject to the 99th percentile, one-tailed confidence interval of the difference between quarterly returns and an appropriate risk-free rate computed over a long-term sample period, multiplied by 12,5. The risk weighted exposure amounts at the individual exposure level shall not be less than the sum of minimum risk weighted exposure amounts required under the PD/LGD Approach and the corresponding expected loss amounts multiplied by 12,5 and calculated on the basis of the PD values set out in Part 2, point 24(a) and the corresponding LGD values set out in Part 2, points 25 and 26.
26. Credit institutions may recognise unfunded credit protection obtained on an equity position.

1.4. *Risk weighted exposure amounts for other non credit-obligation assets*

27. The risk weighted exposure amounts shall be calculated according to the formula:

Risk-weighted exposure amount = 100 % * exposure value,

except for when the exposure is a residual value in which case it should be provisioned for each year and will be calculated as follows:

$1/t * 100 \% * \text{exposure value,}$

where t is the number of years of the lease contract term.

2. CALCULATION OF RISK WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS FOR DILUTION RISK OF PURCHASED RECEIVABLES

28. Risk weights for dilution risk of purchased corporate and retail receivables:

The risk weights shall be calculated according to the formula in point 3. The input parameters PD and LGD shall be determined as set out in Part 2, the exposure value shall be determined as set out in Part 3 and M shall be 1 year. If credit institutions can demonstrate to the competent authorities that dilution risk is immaterial, it need not be recognised.

3. CALCULATION OF EXPECTED LOSS AMOUNTS

29. Unless noted otherwise, the input parameters PD and LGD shall be determined as set out in Part 2 and the exposure value shall be determined as set out in Part 3.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

30. The expected loss amounts for exposures to corporates, institutions, central governments and central banks and retail exposures shall be calculated according to the following formulae:

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = \text{PD} \times \text{LGD}.$$

$$\text{Expected loss amount} = \text{EL} \times \text{exposure value}.$$

For defaulted exposures (PD =1) where credit institutions use own estimates of LGDs, EL shall be EL_{BE} , the credit institution's best estimate of expected loss for the defaulted exposure according to Part 4, point 80.

For exposures subject to the treatment set out in Part 1, point 4, EL shall be 0.

31. The EL values for specialised lending exposures where credit institutions use the methods set out in point 6 for assigning risk weights shall be assigned according to Table 2.

Table 2

Remaining Maturity	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5
Less than 2,5 years	0 %	0,4 %	2,8 %	8 %	50 %
Equal to or more than 2,5 years	0,4 %	0,8 %	2,8 %	8 %	50 %

Where competent authorities have authorised a credit institution generally to assign preferential risk weights of 50 % to exposures in category 1, and 70 % to exposures in category 2, the EL value for exposures in category 1 shall be 0 %, and for exposures in category 2 shall be 0,4 %.

32. The expected loss amounts for equity exposures where the risk weighted exposure amounts are calculated according to the methods set out in points 19 to 21, shall be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Expected loss amount} = \text{EL} \times \text{exposure value}$$

The EL values shall be the following:

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = 0,8 \% \text{ for private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios}$$

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = 0,8 \% \text{ for exchange traded equity exposures}$$

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = 2,4 \% \text{ for all other equity exposures.}$$

33. The expected loss amounts for equity exposures where the risk weighted exposure amounts are calculated according to the methods set out in points 22 to 24 shall be calculated according to the following formulae:

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = \text{PD} \times \text{LGD} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Expected loss amount} = \text{EL} \times \text{exposure value}$$

34. The expected loss amounts for equity exposures where the risk weighted exposure amounts are calculated according to the methods set out in points 25 to 26 shall be 0 %.

35. The expected loss amounts for dilution risk of purchased receivables shall be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Expected loss (EL)} = \text{PD} \times \text{LGD} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Expected loss amount} = \text{EL} \times \text{exposure value}$$

4. TREATMENT OF EXPECTED LOSS AMOUNTS

36. The expected loss amounts calculated in accordance with points 30, 31 and 35 shall be subtracted from the sum of value adjustments and provisions related to these exposures. Discounts on balance sheet exposures purchased when in default according to Part 3, point 1 shall be treated in the same manner as value adjustments. Expected loss amounts for securitised exposures and value adjustments and provisions related to these exposures shall not be included in this calculation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part 2 — PD, LGD and Maturity

1. The input parameters PD, LGD and maturity value (M) into the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts specified in Part 1 shall be those estimated by the credit institution in accordance with Part 4, subject to the following provisions.
1. EXPOSURES TO CORPORATES, INSTITUTIONS AND CENTRAL GOVERNMENTS AND CENTRAL BANKS
 - 1.1. PD
 2. The PD of an exposure to a corporate or an institution shall be at least 0,03 %.
 3. For purchased corporate receivables in respect of which a credit institution cannot demonstrate that its PD estimates meet the minimum requirements set out in Part 4, the PDs for these exposures shall be determined according to the following methods: for senior claims on purchased corporate receivables PD shall be the credit institutions estimate of EL divided by LGD for these receivables. For subordinated claims on purchased corporate receivables PD shall be the credit institution's estimate of EL. If a credit institution is permitted to use own LGD estimates for corporate exposures and it can decompose its EL estimates for purchased corporate receivables into PDs and LGDs in a reliable manner, the PD estimate may be used
 4. The PD of obligors in default shall be 100 %.
 5. Credit institutions may recognise unfunded credit protection in the PD in accordance with the provisions of Articles 90 to 93. For dilution risk, however, competent authorities may recognise as eligible unfunded credit protection providers other than those indicated in Annex VIII, Part 1.
 6. Credit institutions using own LGD estimates may recognise unfunded credit protection by adjusting PDs subject to point 10.
 7. For dilution risk of purchased corporate receivables, PD shall be set equal to EL estimate for dilution risk. If a credit institution is permitted to use own LGD estimates for corporate exposures and it can decompose its EL estimates for dilution risk of purchased corporate receivables into PDs and LGDs in a reliable manner, the PD estimate may be used. Credit institutions may recognise unfunded credit protection in the PD in accordance with the provisions of Articles 90 to 93. Competent authorities may recognise as eligible unfunded credit protection providers other than those indicated in Annex VIII, Part 1. If a credit institution is permitted to use own LGD estimates for dilution risk of purchased corporate receivables, it may recognise unfunded credit protection by adjusting PDs subject of point 10.
 - 1.2. LGD
 8. Credit institutions shall use the following LGD values:
 - (a) Senior exposures without eligible collateral: 45 %;
 - (b) Subordinated exposures without eligible collateral: 75 %;
 - (c) Credit institutions may recognise funded and unfunded credit protection in the LGD in accordance with Articles 90 to 93;
 - (d) Covered bonds as defined in Annex VI, Part 1, points 68 to 70 may be assigned an LGD value of 12,5 %;
 - (e) For senior purchased corporate receivables exposures where a credit institution cannot demonstrate that its PD estimates meet the minimum requirements set out in Part 4: 45 %;
 - (f) For subordinated purchased corporate receivables exposures where a credit institution cannot demonstrate that its PD estimates meet the minimum requirements set out in Part 4: 100 %; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(g) For dilution risk of purchased corporate receivables: 75 %.

Until 31 December 2010, covered bonds as defined in Annex VI, Part 1, points 68 to 70 may be assigned an LGD value of 11,25 % if:

- assets as set out in Annex VI, Part 1, point 68(a) to (c) collateralising the bonds all qualify for credit quality step 1 as set out in that Annex;
- where assets set out in Annex VI, Part 1, point 68(d) and (e) are used as collateral, the respective upper limits laid down in each of those points is 10 % of the nominal amount of the outstanding issue;
- assets as set out in Annex VI, Part 1, point 68(f) are not used as collateral; or
- the covered bonds are the subject of a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI, and the ECAI places them in the most favourable category of credit assessment that the ECAI could make in respect of covered bonds.

By 31 December 2010, this derogation shall be reviewed and consequent to such review the Commission may make proposals in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 151(2).

9. Notwithstanding point 8, for dilution and default risk if a credit institution is permitted to use own LGD estimates for corporate exposures and it can decompose its EL estimates for purchased corporate receivables into PDs and LGDs in a reliable manner, the LGD estimate for purchased corporate receivables may be used.
10. Notwithstanding point 8, if a credit institution is permitted to use own LGD estimates for exposures to corporates, institutions, central governments and central banks, unfunded credit protection may be recognised by adjusting PD and/or LGD subject to minimum requirements as specified in Part 4 and approval of competent authorities. A credit institution shall not assign guaranteed exposures an adjusted PD or LGD such that the adjusted risk weight would be lower than that of a comparable, direct exposure to the guarantor.
11. Notwithstanding points 8 and 10, for the purposes of Part 1, point 4, the LGD of a comparable direct exposure to the protection provider shall either be the LGD associated with an unhedged facility to the guarantor or the unhedged facility of the obligor, depending upon whether in the event both the guarantor and obligor default during the life of the hedged transaction, available evidence and the structure of the guarantee indicate that the amount recovered would depend on the financial condition of the guarantor or obligor, respectively.

1.3. Maturity

12. Subject to point 13, credit institutions shall assign to exposures arising from repurchase transactions or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions a maturity value (M) of 0,5 years and to all other exposures an M of 2,5 years. Competent authorities may require all credit institutions in their jurisdiction to use M for each exposure as set out under point 13.
13. Credit institutions permitted to use own LGDs and/or own conversion factors for exposures to corporates, institutions or central governments and central banks shall calculate M for each of these exposures as set out in (a) to (e) and subject to points 14 to 16. In all cases, M shall be no greater than 5 years:
 - (a) For an instrument subject to a cash flow schedule, M shall be calculated according to the following formula:

$$M = \text{MAX}\{1; \text{MIN}\{\sum_t t * CF_t / \sum_t CF_t; 5\}\}$$

where CF_t denotes the cash flows (principal, interest payments and fees) contractually payable by the obligor in period t ;

- (b) For derivatives subject to a master netting agreement, M shall be the weighted average remaining maturity of the exposure, where M shall be at least 1 year. The notional amount of each exposure shall be used for weighting the maturity;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (c) For exposures arising from fully or nearly-fully collateralised derivative instruments (listed in Annex IV) transactions and fully or nearly-fully collateralised margin lending transactions which are subject to a master netting agreement, M shall be the weighted average remaining maturity of the transactions where M shall be at least 10 days. The notional amount of each transaction shall be used for weighting the maturity;
- (d) If a credit institution is permitted to use own PD estimates for purchased corporate receivables, for drawn amounts M shall equal the purchased receivables exposure weighted average maturity, where M shall be at least 90 days. This same value of M shall also be used for undrawn amounts under a committed purchase facility provided the facility contains effective covenants, early amortisation triggers, or other features that protect the purchasing credit institution against a significant deterioration in the quality of the future receivables it is required to purchase over the facility's term. Absent such effective protections, M for undrawn amounts shall be calculated as the sum of the longest-dated potential receivable under the purchase agreement and the remaining maturity of the purchase facility, where M shall be at least 90 days;
- (e) For any other instrument than those mentioned in this point or when a credit institution is not in a position to calculate M as set out in (a), M shall be the maximum remaining time (in years) that the obligor is permitted to take to fully discharge its contractual obligations, where M shall be at least 1 year ;
- f) for credit institutions using the Internal Model Method set out in Annex III, Part 6 to calculate the exposure values, M shall be calculated for exposures to which they apply this method and for which the maturity of the longest-dated contract contained in the netting set is greater than one year according to the following formula:

$$M = \text{MIN} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t_k \leq 1 \text{ year}} \text{EffectiveEE}_k * \Delta t_k * df_k + \sum_{\substack{\text{maturity} \\ t_k \leq 1 \text{ year}}} \text{EE}_k * \Delta t_k * df_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{t_k \leq 1 \text{ year}} \text{EffectiveEE}_k * \Delta t_k * df_k}; 5 \right)$$

where:

df = the risk-free discount factor for future time period t_k and the remaining symbols are defined in Annex III, Part 6.

Notwithstanding the first paragraph of point 13(f), a credit institution that uses an internal model to calculate a one-sided credit valuation adjustment (CVA) may use, subject to the approval of the competent authorities, the effective credit duration estimated by the internal model as M.

Subject to paragraph 14, for netting sets in which all contracts have an original maturity of less than one year the formula in point (a) shall apply; and

- g) for the purposes of Part 1, point 4, M shall be the effective maturity of the credit protection but at least 1 year.
14. Notwithstanding point 13(a), (b), (d) and (e), M shall be at least one-day for:
- fully or nearly-fully collateralised derivative instruments listed in Annex IV;
 - fully or nearly-fully collateralised margin lending transactions; and
 - repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions

provided the documentation requires daily re-margining and daily revaluation and includes provisions that allow for the prompt liquidation or setoff of collateral in the event of default or failure to re-margin.

In addition, for other short-term exposures specified by the competent authorities which are not Part of the credit institution's ongoing financing of the obligor, M shall be at least one-day. A careful review of the particular circumstances shall be made in each case.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

15. The competent authorities may allow for exposures to corporates situated in the Community and having consolidated sales and consolidated assets of less than 500 million Euro the use of M as set out in point 12. Competent authorities may replace 500 million Euro total assets with 1 000 million Euro total assets for corporates which primarily invest in real estate.
 16. Maturity mismatches shall be treated as specified in Articles 90 to 93.
2. RETAIL EXPOSURES
 - 2.1. PD
 17. The PD of an exposure shall be at least 0,03 %.
 18. The PD of obligors or, where an obligation approach is used, of exposures in default shall be 100 %.
 19. For dilution risk of purchased receivables PD shall be set equal to EL estimates for dilution risk. If a credit institution can decompose its EL estimates for dilution risk of purchased receivables into PDs and LGDs in a reliable manner, the PD estimate may be used.
 20. Unfunded credit protection may be recognised as eligible by adjusting PDs subject to point 22. For dilution risk, where credit institutions do not use own estimates of LGDs, this shall be subject to compliance with Articles 90 to 93; for this purpose competent authorities may recognise as eligible unfunded protection providers other than those indicated in Annex VIII, Part 1.
 - 2.2. LGD
 21. Credit institutions shall provide own estimates of LGDs subject to minimum requirements as specified in Part 4 and approval of competent authorities. For dilution risk of purchased receivables, an LGD value of 75 % shall be used. If a credit institution can decompose its EL estimates for dilution risk of purchased receivables into PDs and LGDs in a reliable manner, the LGD estimate may be used.
 22. Unfunded credit protection may be recognised as eligible by adjusting PD or LGD estimates subject to minimum requirements as specified in Part 4, points 99 to 104 and approval of competent authorities either in support of an individual exposure or a pool of exposures. A credit institution shall not assign guaranteed exposures an adjusted PD or LGD such that the adjusted risk weight would be lower than that of a comparable, direct exposure to the guarantor.
 23. Notwithstanding point 22, for the purposes of Part 1, point 11 the LGD of a comparable direct exposure to the protection provider shall either be the LGD associated with an unhedged facility to the guarantor or the unhedged facility of the obligor, depending upon whether, in the event both the guarantor and obligor default during the life of the hedged transaction, available evidence and the structure of the guarantee indicate that the amount recovered would depend on the financial condition of the guarantor or obligor, respectively.
3. EQUITY EXPOSURES SUBJECT TO PD/LGD METHOD
 - 3.1. PD
 24. PDs shall be determined according to the methods for corporate exposures.

The following minimum PDs shall apply:

 - a) 0,09 % for exchange traded equity exposures where the investment is part of a long-term customer relationship;
 - b) 0,09 % for non-exchange traded equity exposures where the returns on the investment are based on regular and periodic cash flows not derived from capital gains;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) 0,40 % for exchange traded equity exposures including other short positions as set out in part 1, point 20; and
 - d) 1,25 % for all other equity exposures including other short positions as set out in Part 1, point 20.
- 3.2. *LGD*
25. Private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios may be assigned an LGD of 65 %.
 26. All other exposures shall be assigned an LGD of 90 %.
- 3.3. *Maturity*
27. M assigned to all exposures shall be 5 years.

Part 3 — Exposure value

1. EXPOSURES TO CORPORATES, INSTITUTIONS, CENTRAL GOVERNMENTS AND CENTRAL BANKS AND RETAIL EXPOSURES.
1. Unless noted otherwise, the exposure value of on-balance sheet exposures shall be measured gross of value adjustments. This rule also applies to assets purchased at a price different than the amount owed. For purchased assets, the difference between the amount owed and the net value recorded on the balance-sheet of credit institutions is denoted discount if the amount owed is larger, and premium if it is smaller.
2. Where credit institutions use Master netting agreements in relation to repurchase transactions or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, the exposure value shall be calculated in accordance with Articles 90 to 93.
3. For on-balance sheet netting of loans and deposits, credit institutions shall apply for the calculation of the exposure value the methods set out in Articles 90 to 93.
4. The exposure value for leases shall be the discounted minimum lease payments.

'Minimum lease payments' are the payments over the lease term that the lessee is or can be required to make and any bargain option (i.e. option the exercise of which is reasonably certain). Any guaranteed residual value fulfilling the set of conditions in Annex VIII, Part 1, points 26 to 28 regarding the eligibility of protection providers as well as the minimum requirements for recognising other types of guarantees provided in Annex VIII, Part 2, points 14 to 19 should also be included in the minimum lease payments.
5. In the case of any item listed in Annex IV, the exposure value shall be determined by the methods set out in Annex III.
6. The exposure value for the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts of purchased receivables shall be the outstanding amount minus the capital requirements for dilution risk prior to credit risk mitigation.
7. Where an exposure takes the form of securities or commodities sold, posted or lent under repurchase transactions or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions, the exposure value shall be the value of the securities or commodities determined in accordance with Article 74. Where the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method as set out under Annex VIII, Part 3 is used, the exposure value shall be increased by the volatility adjustment appropriate to such securities or commodities, as set out therein. The exposure value of repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, long settlement transactions and margin lending transactions may be determined either in accordance with Annex III or Annex VIII, Part 3, points 12 to 21.
8. Notwithstanding point 7, the exposure value of credit risk exposures outstanding, as determined by the competent authorities, with a central counterparty shall be determined in accordance with Annex III, Part 2, point 6, provided that the central counterparty's counterparty credit risk exposures with all participants in its arrangements are fully collateralised on a daily basis.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

9. The exposure value for the following items shall be calculated as the committed but undrawn amount multiplied by a conversion factor.

Credit institutions shall use the following conversion factors:

- a) for credit lines which are uncommitted, that are unconditionally cancellable at any time by the credit institution without prior notice, or that effectively provide for automatic cancellation due to deterioration in a borrower's credit worthiness, a conversion factor of 0 % shall apply. To apply a conversion factor of 0 %, credit institutions shall actively monitor the financial condition of the obligor, and their internal control systems shall enable them to immediately detect a deterioration in the credit quality of the obligor. Undrawn retail credit lines may be considered as unconditionally cancellable if the terms permit the credit institution to cancel them to the full extent allowable under consumer protection and related legislation;
 - b) for short-term letters of credit arising from the movement of goods, a conversion factor of 20 % shall apply for both the issuing and confirming institutions;
 - c) for undrawn purchase commitments for revolving purchased receivables that are unconditionally cancellable or that effectively provide for automatic cancellation at any time by the institution without prior notice, a conversion factor of 0 % shall apply. To apply a conversion factor of 0 %, credit institutions shall actively monitor the financial condition of the obligor, and their internal control systems shall enable them to immediately detect a deterioration in the credit quality of the obligor;
 - d) for other credit lines, note issuance facilities (NIFs), and revolving underwriting facilities (RUFs), a conversion factor of 75 % shall apply; and
 - e) credit institutions which meet the minimum requirements for the use of own estimates of conversion factors as specified in Part 4 may use their own estimates of conversion factors across different product types as mentioned in points (a) to (d), subject to approval of the competent authorities.
10. Where a commitment refers to the extension of another commitment, the lower of the two conversion factors associated with the individual commitment shall be used.
11. For all off-balance sheet items other than those mentioned in points 1 to 9, the exposure value shall be the following percentage of its value:
- 100 % if it is a full risk item,
 - 50 % if it is a medium-risk item,
 - 20 % if it is a medium/low-risk item, and
 - 0 % if it is a low-risk item.

For the purposes of this point the off-balance sheet items shall be assigned to risk categories as indicated in Annex II.

2. EQUITY EXPOSURES

12. The exposure value shall be the value presented in the financial statements. Admissible equity exposure measures are the following:
- (a) For investments held at fair value with changes in value flowing directly through income and into own funds, the exposure value is the fair value presented in the balance sheet;
 - (b) For investments held at fair value with changes in value not flowing through income but into a tax-adjusted separate component of equity, the exposure value is the fair value presented in the balance sheet; and
 - (c) For investments held at cost or at the lower of cost or market, the exposure value is the cost or market value presented in the balance sheet.

3. OTHER NON CREDIT-OBLIGATION ASSETS

13. The exposure value of other non credit-obligation assets shall be the value presented in the financial statements.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part 4 — Minimum Requirements for IRB Approach

1. RATING SYSTEMS
 1. A 'rating system' shall comprise all of the methods, processes, controls, data collection and IT systems that support the assessment of credit risk, the assignment of exposures to grades or pools (rating), and the quantification of default and loss estimates for a certain type of exposure.
 2. If a credit institution uses multiple rating systems, the rationale for assigning an obligor or a transaction to a rating system shall be documented and applied in a manner that appropriately reflects the level of risk.
 3. Assignment criteria and processes shall be periodically reviewed to determine whether they remain appropriate for the current portfolio and external conditions.
- 1.1. *Structure of rating systems*
 4. Where a credit institution uses direct estimates of risk parameters these may be seen as the outputs of grades on a continuous rating scale.
 - 1.1.1. Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks
 5. A rating system shall take into account obligor and transaction risk characteristics.
 6. A rating system shall have an obligor rating scale which reflects exclusively quantification of the risk of obligor default. The obligor rating scale shall have a minimum of 7 grades for non-defaulted obligors and one for defaulted obligors.
 7. An 'obligor grade' shall mean a risk category within a rating system's obligor rating scale, to which obligors are assigned on the basis of a specified and distinct set of rating criteria, from which estimates of PD are derived. A credit institution shall document the relationship between obligor grades in terms of the level of default risk each grade implies and the criteria used to distinguish that level of default risk.
 8. Credit institutions with portfolios concentrated in a particular market segment and range of default risk shall have enough obligor grades within that range to avoid undue concentrations of obligors in a particular grade. Significant concentrations within a single grade shall be supported by convincing empirical evidence that the obligor grade covers a reasonably narrow PD band and that the default risk posed by all obligors in the grade falls within that band.
 9. To qualify for recognition by the competent authorities of the use for capital requirement calculation of own estimates of LGDs, a rating system shall incorporate a distinct facility rating scale which exclusively reflects LGD-related transaction characteristics.
 10. A 'facility grade' shall mean a risk category within a rating system's facility scale, to which exposures are assigned on the basis of a specified and distinct set of rating criteria from which own estimates of LGDs are derived. The grade definition shall include both a description of how exposures are assigned to the grade and of the criteria used to distinguish the level of risk across grades.
 11. Significant concentrations within a single facility grade shall be supported by convincing empirical evidence that the facility grade covers a reasonably narrow LGD band, respectively, and that the risk posed by all exposures in the grade falls within that band.
 12. Credit institutions using the methods set out in Part 1, point 6 for assigning risk weights for specialised lending exposures are exempt from the requirement to have an obligor rating scale which reflects exclusively quantification of the risk of obligor default for these exposures. Notwithstanding point 6, these institutions shall have for these exposures at least 4 grades for non-defaulted obligors and at least one grade for defaulted obligors.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1.1.2. Retail exposures

13. Rating systems shall reflect both obligor and transaction risk, and shall capture all relevant obligor and transaction characteristics.
14. The level of risk differentiation shall ensure that the number of exposures in a given grade or pool is sufficient to allow for meaningful quantification and validation of the loss characteristics at the grade or pool level. The distribution of exposures and obligors across grades or pools shall be such as to avoid excessive concentrations.
15. Credit institutions shall demonstrate that the process of assigning exposures to grades or pools provides for a meaningful differentiation of risk, provides for a grouping of sufficiently homogenous exposures, and allows for accurate and consistent estimation of loss characteristics at grade or pool level. For purchased receivables the grouping shall reflect the seller's underwriting practices and the heterogeneity of its customers.
16. Credit institutions shall consider the following risk drivers when assigning exposures to grades or pools.
 - (a) Obligor risk characteristics;
 - (b) Transaction risk characteristics, including product or collateral types or both. Credit institutions shall explicitly address cases where several exposures benefit from the same collateral; and
 - (c) Delinquency, unless the credit institution demonstrates to its competent authority that delinquency is not a material risk drivers for the exposure;

1.2. *Assignment to grades or pools.*

17. A credit institution shall have specific definitions, processes and criteria for assigning exposures to grades or pools within a rating system.
 - (a) The grade or pool definitions and criteria shall be sufficiently detailed to allow those charged with assigning ratings to consistently assign obligors or facilities posing similar risk to the same grade or pool. This consistency shall exist across lines of business, departments and geographic locations;
 - (b) The documentation of the rating process shall allow third parties to understand the assignments of exposures to grades or pools, to replicate grade and pool assignments and to evaluate the appropriateness of the assignments to a grade or a pool; and
 - (c) The criteria shall also be consistent with the credit institution's internal lending standards and its policies for handling troubled obligors and facilities.
18. A credit institution shall take all relevant information into account in assigning obligors and facilities to grades or pools. Information shall be current and shall enable the credit institution to forecast the future performance of the exposure. The less information a credit institution has, the more conservative shall be its assignments of exposures to obligor and facility grades or pools. If a credit institution uses an external rating as a primary factor determining an internal rating assignment, the credit institution shall ensure that it considers other relevant information.

1.3. *Assignment of exposures*

1.3.1. Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks

19. Each obligor shall be assigned to an obligor grade as Part of the credit approval process.
20. For those credit institutions permitted to use own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors, each exposure shall also be assigned to a facility grade as Part of the credit approval process.
21. Credit institutions using the methods set out in Part 1, point 6 for assigning risk weights for specialised lending exposures shall assign each of these exposures to a grade in accordance with point 12.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

22. Each separate legal entity to which the credit institution is exposed shall be separately rated. A credit institution shall demonstrate to its competent authority that it has acceptable policies regarding the treatment of individual obligor clients and groups of connected clients.
 23. Separate exposures to the same obligor shall be assigned to the same obligor grade, irrespective of any differences in the nature of each specific transaction. Exceptions, where separate exposures are allowed to result in multiple grades for the same obligor are:
 - a) country transfer risk, this being dependent on whether the exposures are denominated in local or foreign currency;
 - b) where the treatment of associated guarantees to an exposure may be reflected in an adjusted assignment to an obligor grade; and
 - c) where consumer protection, bank secrecy or other legislation prohibit the exchange of client data.
- 1.3.2. Retail exposures
24. Each exposure shall be assigned to a grade or a pool as part of the credit approval process.
- 1.3.3. Overrides
25. For grade and pool assignments credit institutions shall document the situations in which human judgement may override the inputs or outputs of the assignment process and the personnel responsible for approving these overrides. Credit institutions shall document these overrides and the personnel responsible. Credit institutions shall analyse the performance of the exposures whose assignments have been overridden. This analysis shall include assessment of the performance of exposures whose rating has been overridden by a particular person, accounting for all the responsible personnel.
- 1.4. *Integrity of assignment process*
- 1.4.1. Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks
26. Assignments and periodic reviews of assignments shall be completed or approved by an independent party that does not directly benefit from decisions to extend the credit.
 27. Credit institutions shall update assignments at least annually. High risk obligors and problem exposures shall be subject to more frequent review. Credit institutions shall undertake a new assignment if material information on the obligor or exposure becomes available.
 28. A credit institution shall have an effective process to obtain and update relevant information on obligor characteristics that affect PDs, and on transaction characteristics that affect LGDs and/or conversion factors.
- 1.4.2. Retail exposures
29. A credit institution shall at least annually update obligor and facility assignments or review the loss characteristics and delinquency status of each identified risk pool, whichever applicable. A credit institution shall also at least annually review in a representative sample the status of individual exposures within each pool as a means of ensuring that exposures continue to be assigned to the correct pool.
- 1.5. *Use of models*
30. If a credit institution uses statistical models and other mechanical methods to assign exposures to obligors or facilities grades or pools, then:
 - a) the credit institution shall demonstrate to its competent authority that the model has good predictive power and that capital requirements are not distorted as a result of its use. The input variables shall form a reasonable and effective basis for the resulting predictions. The model shall not have material biases;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) the credit institution shall have in place a process for vetting data inputs into the model, which includes an assessment of the accuracy, completeness and appropriateness of the data;
- c) the credit institution shall demonstrate that the data used to build the model is representative of the population of the credit institution's actual obligors or exposures;
- d) the credit institution shall have a regular cycle of model validation that includes monitoring of model performance and stability; review of model specification; and testing of model outputs against outcomes; and
- e) the credit institution shall complement the statistical model by human judgement and human oversight to review model-based assignments and to ensure that the models are used appropriately. Review procedures shall aim at finding and limiting errors associated with model weaknesses. Human judgements shall take into account all relevant information not considered by the model. The credit institution shall document how human judgement and model results are to be combined.

1.6. *Documentation of rating systems*

- 31. The credit institutions shall document the design and operational details of its rating systems. The documentation shall evidence compliance with the minimum requirements in this part, and address topics including portfolio differentiation, rating criteria, responsibilities of parties that rate obligors and exposures, frequency of assignment reviews, and management oversight of the rating process.
- 32. The credit institution shall document the rationale for and analysis supporting its choice of rating criteria. A credit institution shall document all major changes in the risk rating process, and such documentation shall support identification of changes made to the risk rating process subsequent to the last review by the competent authorities. The organisation of rating assignment including the rating assignment process and the internal control structure shall also be documented.
- 33. The credit institutions shall document the specific definitions of default and loss used internally and demonstrate consistency with the definitions set out in this Directive.
- 34. If the credit institution employs statistical models in the rating process, the credit institution shall document their methodologies. This material shall:
 - a) provide a detailed outline of the theory, assumptions and/or mathematical and empirical basis of the assignment of estimates to grades, individual obligors, exposures, or pools, and the data source(s) used to estimate the model;
 - b) establish a rigorous statistical process (including out-of-time and out-of-sample performance tests) for validating the model; and
 - c) indicate any circumstances under which the model does not work effectively.
- 35. Use of a model obtained from a third-party vendor that claims proprietary technology is not a justification for exemption from documentation or any other of the requirements for rating systems. The burden is on the credit institution to satisfy competent authorities.

1.7. *Data maintenance*

- 36. Credit institutions shall collect and store data on aspects of their internal ratings as required under Articles 145 to 149.

1.7.1. *Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks*

- 37. Credit institutions shall collect and store:
 - a) complete rating histories on obligors and recognised guarantors;
 - b) the dates the ratings were assigned;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the key data and methodology used to derive the rating;
- d) the person responsible for the rating assignment;
- e) the identity of obligors and exposures that defaulted;
- f) the date and circumstances of such defaults; and
- g) data on the PDs and realised default rates associated with rating grades and ratings migration;

Credit institutions not using own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors shall collect and store data on comparisons of realised LGDs to the values as set out in Part 2, point 8 and realised conversion factors to the values as set out in Part 3, point 9.

38. Credit institutions using own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors shall collect and store:
- a) complete histories of data on the facility ratings and LGD and conversion factor estimates associated with each rating scale;
 - b) the dates the ratings were assigned and the estimates were done;
 - c) the key data and methodology used to derive the facility ratings and LGD and conversion factor estimates;
 - d) the person who assigned the facility rating and the person who provided LGD and conversion factor estimates;
 - e) data on the estimated and realised LGDs and conversion factors associated with each defaulted exposure;
 - f) data on the LGD of the exposure before and after evaluation of the effects of a guarantee/ or credit derivative, for those credit institutions that reflect the credit risk mitigating effects of guarantees or credit derivatives through LGD; and
 - g) data on the components of loss for each defaulted exposure.

1.7.2. Retail exposures

39. Credit institutions shall collect and store:
- a) data used in the process of allocating exposures to grades or pools;
 - b) data on the estimated PDs, LGDs and conversion factors associated with grades or pools of exposures;
 - c) the identity of obligors and exposures that defaulted;
 - d) for defaulted exposures, data on the grades or pools to which the exposure was assigned over the year prior to default and the realised outcomes on LGD and conversion factor; and
 - e) data on loss rates for qualifying revolving retail exposures.

1.8. *Stress tests used in assessment of capital adequacy*

40. A credit institution shall have in place sound stress testing processes for use in the assessment of its capital adequacy. Stress testing shall involve identifying possible events or future changes in economic conditions that could have unfavourable effects on a credit institution's credit exposures and assessment of the credit institution's ability to withstand such changes.
41. A credit institution shall regularly perform a credit risk stress test to assess the effect of certain specific conditions on its total capital requirements for credit risk. The test shall be one chosen by the credit institution, subject to supervisory review. The test to be employed shall be meaningful and reasonably conservative, considering at least the effect of mild recession scenarios. A credit institution shall assess migration in its ratings under the stress test scenarios. Stressed portfolios shall contain the vast majority of a credit institution's total exposure.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

42. Credit institutions using the treatment set out in Part 1, point 4 shall consider as Part of their stress testing framework the impact of a deterioration in the credit quality of protection providers, in particular the impact of protection providers falling outside the eligibility criteria.

2. RISK QUANTIFICATION

43. In determining the risk parameters to be associated with rating grades or pools, credit institutions shall apply the following requirements.

2.1. *Definition of default*

44. A 'default' shall be considered to have occurred with regard to a particular obligor when either or both of the two following events has taken place:
- a) the credit institution considers that the obligor is unlikely to pay its credit obligations to the credit institution, the parent undertaking or any of its subsidiaries in full, without recourse by the credit institution to actions such as realising security (if held);
 - b) the obligor is past due more than 90 days on any material credit obligation to the credit institution, the parent undertaking or any of its subsidiaries.

For overdrafts, days past due commence once an obligor has breached an advised limit, has been advised a limit smaller than current outstandings, or has drawn credit without authorisation and the underlying amount is material.

An 'advised limit' shall mean a limit which has been brought to the knowledge of the obligor.

Days past due for credit cards commence on the minimum payment due date.

In the case of retail exposures and exposures to public sector entities (PSE) the competent authorities shall set a number of days past due as specified in point 48.

In the case of corporate exposures the competent authorities may set a number of days past due as specified in Article 154(7).

In the case of retail exposures credit institutions may apply the definition of default at a facility level.

In all cases, the exposure past due shall be above a threshold defined by the competent authorities and which reflects a reasonable level of risk.

45. Elements to be taken as indications of unlikeliness to pay shall include:
- (a) The credit institution puts the credit obligation on non-accrued status,
 - (b) The credit institution makes a value adjustment resulting from a significant perceived decline in credit quality subsequent to the credit institution taking on the exposure,
 - (c) The credit institution sells the credit obligation at a material credit-related economic loss,
 - (d) The credit institution consents to a distressed restructuring of the credit obligation where this is likely to result in a diminished financial obligation caused by the material forgiveness, or postponement, of principal, interest or (where relevant) fees. This includes, in the case of equity exposures assessed under a PD/LGD Approach, distressed restructuring of the equity itself,
 - (e) The credit institution has filed for the obligor's bankruptcy or a similar order in respect of an obligor's credit obligation to the credit institution, the parent undertaking or any of its subsidiaries, and
 - (f) The obligor has sought or has been placed in bankruptcy or similar protection where this would avoid or delay repayment of a credit obligation to the credit institution, the parent undertaking or any of its subsidiaries.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

46. Credit institutions that use external data that is not itself consistent with the definition of default, shall demonstrate to their competent authorities that appropriate adjustments have been made to achieve broad equivalence with the definition of default.
 47. If the credit institution considers that a previously defaulted exposure is such that no trigger of default continues to apply, the credit institution shall rate the obligor or facility as they would for a non-defaulted exposure. Should the definition of default subsequently be triggered, another default would be deemed to have occurred.
 48. For retail and PSE exposures, the competent authorities of each Member State shall set the exact number of days past due that all credit institutions in its jurisdiction shall abide by under the definition of default set out in point 44, for exposures to such counterparts situated within this Member State. The specific number shall fall within 90-180 days and may differ across product lines. For exposures to such counterparts situated in the territories of other Member States, the competent authorities shall set a number of days past due which is not higher than the number set by the competent authority of the respective Member State.
- 2.2. *Overall requirements for estimation*
49. A credit institution's own estimates of the risk parameters PD, LGD, conversion factor and EL shall incorporate all relevant data, information and methods. The estimates shall be derived using both historical experience and empirical evidence, and not based purely on judgemental considerations. The estimates shall be plausible and intuitive and shall be based on the material drivers of the respective risk parameters. The less data a credit institution has, the more conservative it shall be in its estimation.
 50. The credit institution shall be able to provide a breakdown of its loss experience in terms of default frequency, LGD, conversion factor, or loss where EL estimates are used, by the factors it sees as the drivers of the respective risk parameters. The credit institution shall demonstrate that its estimates are representative of long run experience.
 51. Any changes in lending practice or the process for pursuing recoveries over the observation periods referred to in points 66, 71, 82, 86, 93 and 95 shall be taken into account. A credit institution's estimates shall reflect the implications of technical advances and new data and other information, as it becomes available. Credit institutions shall review their estimates when new information comes to light but at least on an annual basis.
 52. The population of exposures represented in the data used for estimation, the lending standards used when the data was generated and other relevant characteristics shall be comparable with those of the credit institution's exposures and standards. The credit institution shall also demonstrate that the economic or market conditions that underlie the data are relevant to current and foreseeable conditions. The number of exposures in the sample and the data period used for quantification shall be sufficient to provide the credit institution with confidence in the accuracy and robustness of its estimates.
 53. For purchased receivables the estimates shall reflect all relevant information available to the purchasing credit institution regarding the quality of the underlying receivables, including data for similar pools provided by the seller, by the purchasing credit institution, or by external sources. The purchasing credit institution shall evaluate any data relied upon which is provided by the seller.
 54. A credit institution shall add to its estimates a margin of conservatism that is related to the expected range of estimation errors. Where methods and data are less satisfactory and the expected range of errors is larger, the margin of conservatism shall be larger.
 55. If credit institutions use different estimates for the calculation of risk weights and for internal purposes, it shall be documented and their reasonableness shall be demonstrated to the competent authority.
 56. If credit institutions can demonstrate to their competent authorities that for data that have been collected prior to the date of implementation of this Directive appropriate adjustments have been made to achieve broad equivalence with the definitions of default or loss, competent authorities may allow the credit institutions some flexibility in the application of the required standards for data.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

57. If a credit institution uses data that is pooled across credit institutions it shall demonstrate that:
- the rating systems and criteria of other credit institutions in the pool are similar with its own;
 - the pool is representative of the portfolio for which the pooled data is used; and
 - the pooled data is used consistently over time by the credit institution for its estimates.
58. If a credit institution uses data that is pooled across credit institutions, it shall remain responsible for the integrity of its rating systems. The credit institution shall demonstrate to the competent authority that it has sufficient in-house understanding of its rating systems, including effective ability to monitor and audit the rating process.

2.2.1. Requirements specific to PD estimation

Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks

59. Credit institutions shall estimate PDs by obligor grade from long run averages of one-year default rates.
60. For purchased corporate receivables credit institutions may estimate ELs by obligor grade from long run averages of one-year realised default rates.
61. If a credit institution derives long run average estimates of PDs and LGDs for purchased corporate receivables from an estimate of EL, and an appropriate estimate of PD or LGD, the process for estimating total losses shall meet the overall standards for estimation of PD and LGD set out in this part, and the outcome shall be consistent with the concept of LGD as set out in point 73.
62. Credit institutions shall use PD estimation techniques only with supporting analysis. Credit institutions shall recognise the importance of judgmental considerations in combining results of techniques and in making adjustments for limitations of techniques and information.
63. To the extent that a credit institution uses data on internal default experience for the estimation of PDs, it shall demonstrate in its analysis that the estimates are reflective of underwriting standards and of any differences in the rating system that generated the data and the current rating system. Where underwriting standards or rating systems have changed, the credit institution shall add a greater margin of conservatism in its estimate of PD.
64. To the extent that a credit institution associates or maps its internal grades to the scale used by an ECAI or similar organisations and then attributes the default rate observed for the external organisation's grades to the credit institution's grades, mappings shall be based on a comparison of internal rating criteria to the criteria used by the external organisation and on a comparison of the internal and external ratings of any common obligors. Biases or inconsistencies in the mapping approach or underlying data shall be avoided. The external organisation's criteria underlying the data used for quantification shall be oriented to default risk only and not reflect transaction characteristics. The credit institution's analysis shall include a comparison of the default definitions used, subject to the requirements in points 44 to 48. The credit institution shall document the basis for the mapping.
65. To the extent that a credit institution uses statistical default prediction models it is allowed to estimate PDs as the simple average of default-probability estimates for individual obligors in a given grade. The credit institution's use of default probability models for this purpose shall meet the standards specified in point 30.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

66. Irrespective of whether a credit institution is using external, internal, or pooled data sources, or a combination of the three, for its PD estimation, the length of the underlying historical observation period used shall be at least five years for at least one source. If the available observation period spans a longer period for any source, and this data is relevant, this longer period shall be used. This point also applies to the PD/LGD Approach to equity. Member States may allow credit institutions which are not permitted to use own estimates of LGDs or conversion factors to have, when they implement the IRB Approach, relevant data covering a period of two years. The period to be covered shall increase by one year each year until relevant data cover a period of five years.

Retail exposures

67. Credit institutions shall estimate PDs by obligor grade or pool from long run averages of one-year default rates.
68. Notwithstanding point 67, PD estimates may also be derived from realised losses and appropriate estimates of LGDs.
69. Credit institutions shall regard internal data for assigning exposures to grades or pools as the primary source of information for estimating loss characteristics. Credit institutions are permitted to use external data (including pooled data) or statistical models for quantification provided a strong link can be demonstrated between:
- a) the credit institution's process of assigning exposures to grades or pools and the process used by the external data source; and
 - b) the credit institution's internal risk profile and the composition of the external data.

For purchased retail receivables, credit institutions may use external and internal reference data. Credit institutions shall use all relevant data sources as points of comparison.

70. If a credit institution derives long run average estimates of PD and LGD for retail from an estimate of total losses and an appropriate estimate of PD or LGD, the process for estimating total losses shall meet the overall standards for estimation of PD and LGD set out in this part, and the outcome shall be consistent with the concept of LGD as set out in point 73.
71. Irrespective of whether a credit institution is using external, internal or pooled data sources or a combination of the three, for their estimation of loss characteristics, the length of the underlying historical observation period used shall be at least five years for at least one source. If the available observation spans a longer period for any source, and these data are relevant, this longer period shall be used. A credit institution need not give equal importance to historic data if it can convince its competent authority that more recent data is a better predictor of loss rates. Member States may allow credit institutions to have, when they implement the IRB Approach, relevant data covering a period of two years. The period to be covered shall increase by one year each year until relevant data cover a period of five years.
72. Credit institutions shall identify and analyse expected changes of risk parameters over the life of credit exposures (seasoning effects).

2.2.2. Requirements specific to own-LGD estimates

73. Credit institutions shall estimate LGDs by facility grade or pool on the basis of the average realised LGDs by facility grade or pool using all observed defaults within the data sources (default weighted average).
74. Credit institutions shall use LGD estimates that are appropriate for an economic downturn if those are more conservative than the long-run average. To the extent a rating system is expected to deliver realised LGDs at a constant level by grade or pool over time, credit institutions shall make adjustments to their estimates of risk parameters by grade or pool to limit the capital impact of an economic downturn.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

75. A credit institution shall consider the extent of any dependence between the risk of the obligor with that of the collateral or collateral provider. Cases where there is a significant degree of dependence shall be addressed in a conservative manner.
76. Currency mismatches between the underlying obligation and the collateral shall be treated conservatively in the credit institution's assessment of LGD.
77. To the extent that LGD estimates take into account the existence of collateral, these estimates shall not solely be based on the collateral's estimated market value. LGD estimates shall take into account the effect of the potential inability of credit institutions to expeditiously gain control of their collateral and liquidate it.
78. To the extent that LGD estimates take into account the existence of collateral, credit institutions must establish internal requirements for collateral management, legal certainty and risk management that are generally consistent with those set out in Annex VIII, Part 2.
79. To the extent that a credit institution recognises collateral for determining the exposure value for counterparty credit risk according to Annex III, Part 5 or 6, any amount expected to be recovered from the collateral shall not be taken into account in the LGD estimates.
80. For the specific case of exposures already in default, the credit institution shall use the sum of its best estimate of expected loss for each exposure given current economic circumstances and exposure status and the possibility of additional unexpected losses during the recovery period.
81. To the extent that unpaid late fees have been capitalised in the credit institution's income statement, they shall be added to the credit institution's measure of exposure and loss.

Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks

82. Estimates of LGD shall be based on data over a minimum of five years, increasing by one year each year after implementation until a minimum of seven years is reached, for at least one data source. If the available observation period spans a longer period for any source, and the data is relevant, this longer period shall be used.

Retail exposures

83. Notwithstanding point 73, LGD estimates may be derived from realised losses and appropriate estimates of PDs.
84. Notwithstanding point 89, credit institutions may reflect future drawings either in their conversion factors or in their LGD estimates.
85. For purchased retail receivables credit institutions may use external and internal reference data to estimate LGDs.
86. Estimates of LGD shall be based on data over a minimum of five years. Notwithstanding point 73, a credit institution needs not give equal importance to historic data if it can demonstrate to its competent authority that more recent data is a better predictor of loss rates. Member States may allow credit institutions to have, when they implement the IRB Approach, relevant data covering a period of two years. The period to be covered shall increase by one year each year until relevant data cover a period of five years.

2.2.3. Requirements specific to own-conversion factor estimates

87. Credit institutions shall estimate conversion factors by facility grade or pool on the basis of the average realised conversion factors by facility grade or pool using all observed defaults within the data sources (default weighted average).
88. Credit institutions shall use conversion factor estimates that are appropriate for an economic downturn if those are more conservative than the long-run average. To the extent a rating system is expected to deliver realised conversion factors at a constant level by grade or pool over time, credit institutions shall make adjustments to their estimates of risk parameters by grade or pool to limit the capital impact of an economic downturn.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

89. Credit institutions' estimates of conversion factors shall reflect the possibility of additional drawings by the obligor up to and after the time a default event is triggered.

The conversion factor estimate shall incorporate a larger margin of conservatism where a stronger positive correlation can reasonably be expected between the default frequency and the magnitude of conversion factor.

90. In arriving at estimates of conversion factors credit institutions shall consider their specific policies and strategies adopted in respect of account monitoring and payment processing. Credit institutions shall also consider their ability and willingness to prevent further drawings in circumstances short of payment default, such as covenant violations or other technical default events.
91. Credit institutions shall have adequate systems and procedures in place to monitor facility amounts, current outstandings against committed lines and changes in outstandings per obligor and per grade. The credit institution shall be able to monitor outstanding balances on a daily basis.
92. If credit institutions use different estimates of conversion factors for the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts and internal purposes it shall be documented and their reasonableness shall be demonstrated to the competent authority.

Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks

93. Estimates of conversion factors shall be based on data over a minimum of five years, increasing by one year each year after implementation until a minimum of seven years is reached, for at least one data source. If the available observation period spans a longer period for any source, and the data is relevant, this longer period shall be used.

Retail exposures

94. Notwithstanding point 89, credit institutions may reflect future drawings either in their conversion factors or in their LGD estimates.
95. Estimates of conversion factors shall be based on data over a minimum of five years. Notwithstanding point 87, a credit institution need not give equal importance to historic data if it can demonstrate to its competent authority that more recent data is a better predictor of draw downs. Member States may allow credit institutions to have, when they implement the IRB Approach, relevant data covering a period of two years. The period to be covered shall increase by one year each year until relevant data cover a period of five years.

2.2.4. Minimum requirements for assessing the effect of guarantees and credit derivatives

Exposures to corporates, institutions and central governments and central banks where own estimates of LGD are used and retail exposures

96. The requirements in points 97 to 104 shall not apply for guarantees provided by institutions and central governments and central banks if the credit institution has received approval to apply the rules of Articles 78 to 83 for exposures to such entities. In this case the requirements of Articles 90 to 93 shall apply.
97. For retail guarantees, these requirements also apply to the assignment of exposures to grades or pools, and the estimation of PD.

Eligible guarantors and guarantees

98. Credit institutions shall have clearly specified criteria for the types of guarantors they recognise for the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts.
99. For recognised guarantors the same rules as for obligors as set out in points 17 to 29 shall apply.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

100. The guarantee shall be evidenced in writing, non-cancellable on the part of the guarantor, in force until the obligation is satisfied in full (to the extent of the amount and tenor of the guarantee) and legally enforceable against the guarantor in a jurisdiction where the guarantor has assets to attach and enforce a judgement. Guarantees prescribing conditions under which the guarantor may not be obliged to perform (conditional guarantees) may be recognised subject to approval of competent authorities. The credit institution shall demonstrate that the assignment criteria adequately address any potential reduction in the risk mitigation effect.

Adjustment criteria

101. A credit institution shall have clearly specified criteria for adjusting grades, pools or LGD estimates, and, in the case of retail and eligible purchased receivables, the process of allocating exposures to grades or pools, to reflect the impact of guarantees for the calculation of risk weighted exposure amounts. These criteria shall comply with the minimum requirements set out in points 17 to 29.
102. The criteria shall be plausible and intuitive. They shall address the guarantor's ability and willingness to perform under the guarantee, the likely timing of any payments from the guarantor, the degree to which the guarantor's ability to perform under the guarantee is correlated with the obligor's ability to repay, and the extent to which residual risk to the obligor remains.

Credit derivatives

103. The minimum requirements for guarantees in this part shall apply also for single-name credit derivatives. In relation to a mismatch between the underlying obligation and the reference obligation of the credit derivative or the obligation used for determining whether a credit event has occurred, the requirements set out under Annex VIII Part 2, point 21 shall apply. For retail exposures and eligible purchased receivables, this point applies to the process of allocating exposures to grades or pools.
104. The criteria shall address the payout structure of the credit derivative and conservatively assess the impact this has on the level and timing of recoveries. The credit institution shall consider the extent to which other forms of residual risk remain.

2.2.5. Minimum requirements for purchased receivables

Legal certainty

105. The structure of the facility shall ensure that under all foreseeable circumstances the credit institution has effective ownership and control of all cash remittances from the receivables. When the obligor makes payments directly to a seller or servicer, the credit institution shall verify regularly that payments are forwarded completely and within the contractually agreed terms. 'Servicer' shall mean an entity that manages a pool of purchased receivables or the underlying credit exposures on a day-to-day basis. Credit institutions shall have procedures to ensure that ownership over the receivables and cash receipts is protected against bankruptcy stays or legal challenges that could materially delay the lender's ability to liquidate or assign the receivables or retain control over cash receipts.

Effectiveness of monitoring systems

106. The credit institution shall monitor both the quality of the purchased receivables and the financial condition of the seller and servicer. In particular:
 - a) the credit institution shall assess the correlation among the quality of the purchased receivables and the financial condition of both the seller and servicer, and have in place internal policies and procedures that provide adequate safeguards to protect against any contingencies, including the assignment of an internal risk rating for each seller and servicer;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) the credit institution shall have clear and effective policies and procedures for determining seller and servicer eligibility. The credit institution or its agent shall conduct periodic reviews of sellers and servicers in order to verify the accuracy of reports from the seller or servicer, detect fraud or operational weaknesses, and verify the quality of the seller's credit policies and servicer's collection policies and procedures. The findings of these reviews shall be documented;
- c) the credit institution shall assess the characteristics of the purchased receivables pools, including over-advances; history of the seller's arrears, bad debts, and bad debt allowances; payment terms, and potential contra accounts;
- d) the credit institution shall have effective policies and procedures for monitoring on an aggregate basis single-obligor concentrations both within and across purchased receivables pools; and
- e) the credit institution shall ensure that it receives from the servicer timely and sufficiently detailed reports of receivables ageings and dilutions to ensure compliance with the credit institution's eligibility criteria and advancing policies governing purchased receivables, and provide an effective means with which to monitor and confirm the seller's terms of sale and dilution.

Effectiveness of work-out systems

- 107. The credit institution shall have systems and procedures for detecting deteriorations in the seller's financial condition and purchased receivables quality at an early stage, and for addressing emerging problems pro-actively. In particular, the credit institution shall have clear and effective policies, procedures, and information systems to monitor covenant violations, and clear and effective policies and procedures for initiating legal actions and dealing with problem purchased receivables.

Effectiveness of systems for controlling collateral, credit availability, and cash

- 108. The credit institution shall have clear and effective policies and procedures governing the control of purchased receivables, credit, and cash. In particular, written internal policies shall specify all material elements of the receivables purchase programme, including the advancing rates, eligible collateral, necessary documentation, concentration limits, and the way cash receipts are to be handled. These elements shall take appropriate account of all relevant and material factors, including the seller and servicer's financial condition, risk concentrations, and trends in the quality of the purchased receivables and the seller's customer base, and internal systems shall ensure that funds are advanced only against specified supporting collateral and documentation.

Compliance with the credit institution's internal policies and procedures

- 109. The credit institution shall have an effective internal process for assessing compliance with all internal policies and procedures. The process shall include regular audits of all critical phases of the credit institution's receivables purchase programme, verification of the separation of duties between firstly the assessment of the seller and servicer and the assessment of the obligor and secondly between the assessment of the seller and servicer and the field audit of the seller and servicer, and evaluations of back office operations, with particular focus on qualifications, experience, staffing levels, and supporting automation systems.

3. VALIDATION OF INTERNAL ESTIMATES

- 110. Credit institutions shall have robust systems in place to validate the accuracy and consistency of rating systems, processes, and the estimation of all relevant risk parameters. A credit institution shall demonstrate to its competent authority that the internal validation process enables it to assess the performance of internal rating and risk estimation systems consistently and meaningfully.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

111. Credit institutions shall regularly compare realised default rates with estimated PDs for each grade and, where realised default rates are outside the expected range for that grade, credit institutions shall specifically analyse the reasons for the deviation. Credit institutions using own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors shall also perform analogous analysis for these estimates. Such comparisons shall make use of historical data that cover as long a period as possible. The credit institution shall document the methods and data used in such comparisons. This analysis and documentation shall be updated at least annually.
112. Credit institutions shall also use other quantitative validation tools and comparisons with relevant external data sources. The analysis shall be based on data that are appropriate to the portfolio, are updated regularly, and cover a relevant observation period. Credit institutions' internal assessments of the performance of their rating systems shall be based on as long a period as possible.
113. The methods and data used for quantitative validation shall be consistent through time. Changes in estimation and validation methods and data (both data sources and periods covered) shall be documented.
114. Credit institutions shall have sound internal standards for situations where deviations in realised PDs, LGDs, conversion factors and total losses, where EL is used, from expectations, become significant enough to call the validity of the estimates into question. These standards shall take account of business cycles and similar systematic variability in default experience. Where realised values continue to be higher than expected values, credit institutions shall revise estimates upward to reflect their default and loss experience.

4. CALCULATION OF RISK WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS FOR EQUITY EXPOSURES UNDER THE INTERNAL MODELS APPROACH

4.1. *Capital requirement and risk quantification*

115. For the purpose of calculating capital requirements credit institutions shall meet the following standards:
 - a) the estimate of potential loss shall be robust to adverse market movements relevant to the long-term risk profile of the credit institution's specific holdings. The data used to represent return distributions shall reflect the longest sample period for which data is available and meaningful in representing the risk profile of the credit institution's specific equity exposures. The data used shall be sufficient to provide conservative, statistically reliable and robust loss estimates that are not based purely on subjective or judgmental considerations. Credit institutions shall demonstrate to competent authorities that the shock employed provides a conservative estimate of potential losses over a relevant long-term market or business cycle. The credit institution shall combine empirical analysis of available data with adjustments based on a variety of factors in order to attain model outputs that achieve appropriate realism and conservatism. In constructing Value at Risk (VaR) models estimating potential quarterly losses, credit institutions may use quarterly data or convert shorter horizon period data to a quarterly equivalent using an analytically appropriate method supported by empirical evidence and through a well-developed and documented thought process and analysis. Such an approach shall be applied conservatively and consistently over time. Where only limited relevant data is available the credit institution shall add appropriate margins of conservatism;
 - b) the models used shall be able to capture adequately all of the material risks embodied in equity returns including both the general market risk and specific risk exposure of the credit institution's equity portfolio. The internal models shall adequately explain historical price variation, capture both the magnitude and changes in the composition of potential concentrations, and be robust to adverse market environments. The population of risk exposures represented in the data used for estimation shall be closely matched to or at least comparable with those of the credit institution's equity exposures;
 - c) the internal model shall be appropriate for the risk profile and complexity of a credit institution's equity portfolio. Where a credit institution has material holdings with values that are highly non-linear in nature the internal models shall be designed to capture appropriately the risks associated with such instruments;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) mapping of individual positions to proxies, market indices, and risk factors shall be plausible, intuitive, and conceptually sound;
- e) credit institutions shall demonstrate through empirical analyses the appropriateness of risk factors, including their ability to cover both general and specific risk;
- f) the estimates of the return volatility of equity exposures shall incorporate relevant and available data, information, and methods. Independently reviewed internal data or data from external sources (including pooled data) shall be used; and
- g) a rigorous and comprehensive stress-testing programme shall be in place;

4.2. *Risk management process and controls*

116. With regard to the development and use of internal models for capital requirement purposes, credit institutions shall establish policies, procedures, and controls to ensure the integrity of the model and modelling process. These policies, procedures, and controls shall include the following:
- a) full integration of the internal model into the overall management information systems of the credit institution and in the management of the non-trading book equity portfolio. Internal models shall be fully integrated into the credit institution's risk management infrastructure if they are particularly used in measuring and assessing equity portfolio performance (including the risk-adjusted performance), allocating economic capital to equity exposures and evaluating overall capital adequacy and the investment management process;
 - b) established management systems, procedures, and control functions for ensuring the periodic and independent review of all elements of the internal modelling process, including approval of model revisions, vetting of model inputs, and review of model results, such as direct verification of risk computations. These reviews shall assess the accuracy, completeness, and appropriateness of model inputs and results and focus on both finding and limiting potential errors associated with known weaknesses and identifying unknown model weaknesses. Such reviews may be conducted by an internal independent unit, or by an independent external third party;
 - c) adequate systems and procedures for monitoring investment limits and the risk exposures of equity exposures;
 - d) the units responsible for the design and application of the model shall be functionally independent from the units responsible for managing individual investments; and
 - e) parties responsible for any aspect of the modelling process shall be adequately qualified. Management shall allocate sufficient skilled and competent resources to the modelling function.

4.3. *Validation and documentation*

117. Credit institutions shall have a robust system in place to validate the accuracy and consistency of their internal models and modelling processes. All material elements of the internal models and the modelling process and validation shall be documented.
118. Credit institutions shall use the internal validation process to assess the performance of its internal models and processes in a consistent and meaningful way.
119. The methods and data used for quantitative validation shall be consistent through time. Changes in estimation and validation methods and data (both data sources and periods covered) shall be documented.
120. Credit institutions shall regularly compare actual equity returns (computed using realised and unrealised gains and losses) with modelled estimates. Such comparisons shall make use of historical data that cover as long a period as possible. The credit institution shall document the methods and data used in such comparisons. This analysis and documentation shall be updated at least annually.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

121. Credit institutions shall make use of other quantitative validation tools and comparisons with external data sources. The analysis shall be based on data that are appropriate to the portfolio, are updated regularly, and cover a relevant observation period. Credit institutions' internal assessments of the performance of their models shall be based on as long a period as possible.
122. Credit institutions shall have sound internal standards for situations where comparison of actual equity returns with the models estimates calls the validity of the estimates or of the models as such into question. These standards shall take account of business cycles and similar systematic variability in equity returns. All adjustments made to internal models in response to model reviews shall be documented and consistent with the credit institution's model review standards.
123. The internal model and the modelling process shall be documented, including the responsibilities of parties involved in the modelling, and the model approval and model review processes.

5. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT

5.1. *Corporate Governance*

124. All material aspects of the rating and estimation processes shall be approved by the credit institution's management body described in Article 11 or a designated committee thereof and senior management. These parties shall possess a general understanding of the credit institution's rating systems and detailed comprehension of its associated management reports.
125. Senior management shall provide notice to the management body described in Article 11 or a designated committee thereof of material changes or exceptions from established policies that will materially impact the operations of the credit institution's rating systems.
126. Senior management shall have a good understanding of the rating systems designs and operations. Senior management shall ensure, on an ongoing basis that the rating systems are operating properly. Senior management shall be regularly informed by the credit risk control units about the performance of the rating process, areas needing improvement, and the status of efforts to improve previously identified deficiencies.
127. Internal ratings-based analysis of the credit institution's credit risk profile shall be an essential part of the management reporting to these parties. Reporting shall include at least risk profile by grade, migration across grades, estimation of the relevant parameters per grade, and comparison of realised default rates, and to the extent that own estimates are used of realised LGDs and realised conversion factors against expectations and stress-test results. Reporting frequencies shall depend on the significance and type of information and the level of the recipient.

5.2. *Credit risk control*

128. The credit risk control unit shall be independent from the personnel and management functions responsible for originating or renewing exposures and report directly to senior management. The unit shall be responsible for the design or selection, implementation, oversight and performance of the rating systems. It shall regularly produce and analyse reports on the output of the rating systems.
129. The areas of responsibility for the credit risk control unit(s) shall include:
 - a) testing and monitoring grades and pools;
 - b) production and analysis of summary reports from the credit institution's rating systems;
 - c) implementing procedures to verify that grade and pool definitions are consistently applied across departments and geographic areas;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) reviewing and documenting any changes to the rating process, including the reasons for the changes;
 - e) reviewing the rating criteria to evaluate if they remain predictive of risk. Changes to the rating process, criteria or individual rating parameters shall be documented and retained;
 - f) active participation in the design or selection, implementation and validation of models used in the rating process;
 - g) oversight and supervision of models used in the rating process; and
 - h) ongoing review and alterations to models used in the rating process.
130. Notwithstanding point 129, credit institutions using pooled data according to points 57 and 58 may outsource the following tasks:
- a) production of information relevant to testing and monitoring grades and pools;
 - b) production of summary reports from the credit institution's rating systems;
 - c) production of information relevant to review of the rating criteria to evaluate if they remain predictive of risk;
 - d) documentation of changes to the rating process, criteria or individual rating parameters; and
 - e) production of information relevant to ongoing review and alterations to models used in the rating process.

Credit institutions making use of this point shall ensure that the competent authorities have access to all relevant information from the third party that is necessary for examining compliance with the minimum requirements and that the competent authorities may perform on-site examinations to the same extent as within the credit institution.

5.3. *Internal Audit*

131. Internal audit or another comparable independent auditing unit shall review at least annually the credit institution's rating systems and its operations, including the operations of the credit function and the estimation of PDs, LGDs, ELs and conversion factors. Areas of review shall include adherence to all applicable minimum requirements.

ANNEX VIII

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

Part 1 — Eligibility

1. This part sets out eligible forms of credit risk mitigation for the purposes of Article 92.
2. For the purposes of this Annex:
 - 'Secured lending transaction' shall mean any transaction giving rise to an exposure secured by collateral which does not include a provision conferring upon the credit institution the right to receive margin frequently.
 - 'Capital market-driven transaction' shall mean any transaction giving rise to an exposure secured by collateral which includes a provision conferring upon the credit institution the right to receive margin frequently.
1. FUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION
 - 1.1. *On-balance sheet netting*
 3. The on-balance sheet netting of mutual claims between the credit institution and its counterparty may be recognised as eligible.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. Without prejudice to point 5, eligibility is limited to reciprocal cash balances between the credit institution and the counterparty. Only loans and deposits of the lending credit institution may be subject to a modification of risk-weighted exposure amounts and, as relevant, expected loss amounts as a result of an on-balance sheet netting agreement.
 - 1.2. *Master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions*
 5. For credit institutions adopting the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method under Part 3, the effects of bilateral netting contracts covering repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, and/or other capital market-driven transactions with a counterparty may be recognised. Without prejudice to Annex II to Directive 2006/.../EC to be recognised the collateral taken and securities or commodities borrowed within such agreements must comply with the eligibility requirements for collateral set out at points 7 to 11.
 - 1.3. *Collateral*
 6. Where the credit risk mitigation technique used relies on the right of the credit institution to liquidate or retain assets, eligibility depends upon whether risk-weighted exposure amounts, and, as relevant, expected loss amounts, are calculated under Articles 78 to 83 or Articles 84 to 89. Eligibility further depends upon whether the Financial Collateral Simple Method is used or the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method under Part 3. In relation to repurchase transactions and securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, eligibility also depends upon whether the transaction is booked in the non-trading book or the trading book.
 - 1.3.1. Eligibility under all approaches and methods
 7. The following financial items may be recognised as eligible collateral under all approaches and methods:
 - a) cash on deposit with, or cash assimilated instruments held by, the lending credit institution;
 - b) debt securities issued by central governments or central banks, which securities have a credit assessment by an ECAI or export credit agency recognised as eligible for the purposes of Articles 78 to 83 which has been determined by the competent authority to be associated with credit quality step 4 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to central governments and central banks under Articles 78 to 83;
 - c) debt securities issued by institutions, which securities have a credit assessment by an eligible ECAI which has been determined by the competent authority to be associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to credit institutions under Articles 78 to 83;
 - d) debt securities issued by other entities, which securities have a credit assessment by an eligible ECAI which has been determined by the competent authority to be associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83;
 - e) debt securities with a short-term credit assessment by an eligible ECAI which has been determined by the competent authority to be associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of short term exposures under Articles 78 to 83;
 - f) equities or convertible bonds that are included in a main index; and
 - g) gold.
- For the purposes of point (b), 'debt securities issued by central governments or central banks' shall include:
- i) debt securities issued by regional governments or local authorities, exposures to which are treated as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established under Articles 78 to 83;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- ii) debt securities issued by public sector entities which are treated as exposures to central governments in accordance with point 15 of Part 1 of Annex VI;
- iii) debt securities issued by multilateral development banks to which a 0 % risk weight is assigned under Articles 78 to 83; and
- iv) debt securities issued by international organisations which are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83.

For the purposes of point (c), 'debt securities issued by institutions' include:

- i) debt securities issued by regional governments or local authorities other than those exposures to which are treated as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established under Articles 78 to 83;
 - ii) debt securities issued by public sector entities, exposures to which are treated as exposures to credit institutions under Articles 78 to 83; and
 - iii) debt securities issued by multilateral development banks other than those to which a 0 % risk weight is assigned under Articles 78 to 83.
8. Debt securities issued by institutions which securities do not have a credit assessment by an eligible ECAI may be recognised as eligible collateral if they fulfil the following criteria:
- a) they are listed on a recognised exchange;
 - b) they qualify as senior debt;
 - c) all other rated issues by the issuing institution of the same seniority have a credit assessment by an eligible ECAI which has been determined by the competent authorities to be associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to institutions or short term exposures under Articles 78 to 83;
 - d) the lending credit institution has no information to suggest that the issue would justify a credit assessment below that indicated in (c); and
 - e) the credit institution can demonstrate to the competent authorities that the market liquidity of the instrument is sufficient for these purposes.
9. Units in collective investment undertakings may be recognised as eligible collateral if the following conditions are satisfied:
- a) they have a daily public price quote; and
 - b) the collective investment undertaking is limited to investing in instruments that are eligible for recognition under points 7 and 8.

The use (or potential use) by a collective investment undertaking of derivative instruments to hedge permitted investments shall not prevent units in that undertaking from being eligible.

10. In relation to points (b) to (e) of point 7, where a security has two credit assessments by eligible ECAIs, the less favourable assessment shall be deemed to apply. In cases where a security has more than two credit assessments by eligible ECAIs, the two most favourable assessments shall be deemed to apply. If the two most favourable credit assessments are different, the less favourable of the two shall be deemed to apply.

1.3.2. Additional eligibility under the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method

11. In addition to the collateral set out in points 7 to 10, where a credit institution uses the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method under Part 3, the following financial items may be recognised as eligible collateral:
- a) equities or convertible bonds not included in a main index but traded on a recognised exchange; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) units in collective investment undertakings if the following conditions are met:
- i) they have a daily public price quote; and
 - ii) the collective investment undertaking is limited to investing in instruments that are eligible for recognition under point 7 and 8 and the items mentioned in point (a) of this point.

The use (or potential use) by a collective investment undertaking of derivative instruments to hedge permitted investments shall not prevent units in that undertaking from being eligible.

1.3.3. Additional eligibility for calculations under Articles 84 to 89

12. In addition to the collateral set out above the provisions of points 13 to 22 apply where a credit institution calculates risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts under the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89:

(a) **Real estate collateral**

13. Residential real estate property which is or will be occupied or let by the owner, or the beneficial owner in the case of personal investment companies, and commercial real estate property, that is, offices and other commercial premises, may be recognised as eligible collateral where the following conditions are met:
- a) the value of the property does not materially depend upon the credit quality of the obligor. This requirement does not preclude situations where purely macro-economic factors affect both the value of the property and the performance of the borrower; and
 - b) the risk of the borrower does not materially depend upon the performance of the underlying property or project, but rather on the underlying capacity of the borrower to repay the debt from other sources. As such, repayment of the facility does not materially depend on any cash flow generated by the underlying property serving as collateral.
14. Credit institutions may also recognise as eligible collateral shares in Finnish residential housing companies operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation in respect of residential property which is or will be occupied or let by the owner, as residential real estate collateral, provided that these conditions are met.
15. The competent authorities may also authorise their credit institutions to recognise as eligible collateral shares in Finnish housing companies operating in accordance with the Finnish Housing Company Act of 1991 or subsequent equivalent legislation as commercial real estate collateral, provided that these conditions are met.
16. The competent authorities may waive the requirement for their credit institutions to comply with condition (b) in point 13 for exposures secured by residential real estate property situated within the territory of that Member State, if the competent authorities have evidence that the relevant market is well-developed and long-established with loss-rates which are sufficiently low to justify such action. This shall not prevent the competent authorities of a Member State, which do not use this waiver from recognising as eligible residential real estate property recognised as eligible in another Member State by virtue of the waiver. Member States shall disclose publicly the use they make of this waiver.
17. The competent authorities of the Member States may waive the requirement for their credit institutions to comply with the condition in point 13(b) for commercial real estate property situated within the territory of that Member State, if the competent authorities have evidence that the relevant market is well-developed and long-established and that loss-rates stemming from lending secured by commercial real estate property satisfy the following conditions:
- a) losses stemming from loans collateralised by commercial real estate property up to 50 % of the market value (or where applicable and if lower 60 % of the mortgage-lending-value) do not exceed 0,3 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by commercial real estate property in any given year; and
 - b) overall losses stemming from loans collateralised by commercial real estate property do not exceed 0,5 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by commercial real estate property in any given year.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

18. If either of these conditions is not satisfied in a given year, the eligibility to use this treatment will cease until the conditions are satisfied in a subsequent year.
 19. The competent authorities of a Member State may recognise as eligible collateral commercial real estate property recognised as eligible collateral in another Member State by virtue of the waiver provided for in point 17.
- (b) **Receivables**
20. The competent authorities may recognise as eligible collateral amounts receivable linked to a commercial transaction or transactions with an original maturity of less than or equal to one year. Eligible receivables do not include those associated with securitisations, sub-participations or credit derivatives or amounts owed by affiliated parties.
- (c) **Other physical collateral**
21. The competent authorities may recognise as eligible collateral physical items of a type other than those types indicated in points 13 to 19 if satisfied as to the following:
 - a) the existence of liquid markets for disposal of the collateral in an expeditious and economically efficient manner; and
 - b) the existence of well-established publicly available market prices for the collateral. The credit institution must be able to demonstrate that there is no evidence that the net prices it receives when collateral is realised deviates significantly from these market prices.
- (d) **Leasing**
22. Subject to the provisions of Part 3, point 72, where the requirements set out in Part 2, point 11 are met, exposures arising from transactions whereby a credit institution leases property to a third party will be treated the same as loans collateralised by the type of property leased.
- 1.4. *Other funded credit protection*
- 1.4.1. Cash on deposit with, or cash assimilated instruments held by, a third party institution.
 23. Cash on deposit with, or cash assimilated instruments held by, a third party institution in a non-custodial arrangement and pledged to the lending credit institution may be recognised as eligible credit protection.
 - 1.4.2. Life insurance policies pledged to the lending credit institution
 24. Life insurance policies pledged to the lending credit institution may be recognised as eligible credit protection.
 - 1.4.3. Institution instruments repurchased on request
 25. Instruments issued by third party institutions which will be repurchased by that institution on request may be recognised as eligible credit protection.
2. UNFUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION
- 2.1. *Eligibility of protection providers under all approaches*
 26. The following parties may be recognised as eligible providers of unfunded credit protection:
 - a) central governments and central banks;
 - b) regional governments or local authorities;
 - c) multilateral development banks;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- d) international organisations exposures to which a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83 is assigned;
 - e) public sector entities, claims on which are treated by the competent authorities as claims on institutions or central governments under Articles 78 to 83;
 - f) institutions; and
 - g) other corporate entities, including parent, subsidiary and affiliate corporate entities of the credit institution, that:
 - i) have a credit assessment by a recognised ECAI which has been determined by the competent authorities to be associated with credit quality step 2 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83; and
 - ii) in the case of credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts under Articles 84 to 89, do not have a credit assessment by a recognised ECAI and are internally rated as having a PD equivalent to that associated with the credit assessments of ECAIs determined by the competent authorities to be associated with credit quality step 2 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporate under Articles 78 to 83.
27. Where risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts are calculated under Articles 84 to 89, to be eligible a guarantor must be internally rated by the credit institution in accordance with the provisions of Annex VII, Part 4.
28. By way of derogation from point 26, the Member States may also recognise as eligible providers of unfunded credit protection, other financial institutions authorised and supervised by the competent authorities responsible for the authorisation and supervision of credit institutions and subject to prudential requirements equivalent to those applied to credit institutions.
- 2.2. *Eligibility of protection providers under the IRB Approach which qualify for the treatment set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 4.*
29. Institutions, insurance and reinsurance undertakings and export credit agencies which fulfil the following conditions may be recognised as eligible providers of unfunded credit protection which qualify for the treatment set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 4:
- the protection provider has sufficient expertise in providing unfunded credit protection;
 - the protection provider is regulated in a manner equivalent to the rules laid down in this Directive, or had, at the time the credit protection was provided, a credit assessment by a recognised ECAI which had been determined by the competent authorities to be associated with credit quality step 3, or above, under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporate under Articles 78 to 83;
 - the protection provider had, at the time the credit protection was provided, or for any period of time thereafter, an internal rating with a PD equivalent to or lower than that associated with credit quality step 2 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83; and
 - the provider has an internal rating with a PD equivalent to or lower than that associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83.

For the purpose of this point, credit protection provided by export credit agencies shall not benefit from any explicit central government counter-guarantee.

3. TYPES OF CREDIT DERIVATIVES

30. The following types of credit derivatives, and instruments that may be composed of such credit derivatives or that are economically effectively similar, may be recognised as eligible:
- a) credit default swaps;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) total return swaps; and
 - c) credit linked notes to the extent of their cash funding.
31. Where a credit institution buys credit protection through a total return swap and records the net payments received on the swap as net income, but does not record offsetting deterioration in the value of the asset that is protected (either through reductions in fair value or by an addition to reserves), the credit protection shall not be recognised as eligible.
- 3.1. *Internal hedges*
32. When a credit institution conducts an internal hedge using a credit derivative — i.e. hedges the credit risk of an exposure in the non-trading book with a credit derivative booked in the trading book — in order for the protection to be recognised as eligible for the purposes of this Annex the credit risk transferred to the trading book shall be transferred out to a third party or parties. In such circumstances, subject to the compliance of such transfer with the requirements for the recognition of credit risk mitigation set out in this Annex, the rules set out in Parts 3 to 6 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts where unfunded credit protection is acquired shall be applied.

Part 2 — Minimum Requirements

1. The credit institution must satisfy the competent authorities that it has adequate risk management processes to control those risks to which the credit institution may be exposed as a result of carrying out credit risk mitigation practices.
 2. Notwithstanding the presence of credit risk mitigation taken into account for the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and as relevant expected loss amounts, credit institutions shall continue to undertake full credit risk assessment of the underlying exposure and be in a position to demonstrate the fulfilment of this requirement to the competent authorities. In the case of repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions the underlying exposure shall, for the purposes of this point only, be deemed to be the net amount of the exposure.
1. FUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION
- 1.1. *On-balance sheet netting agreements (other than master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions).*
 3. For on-balance sheet netting agreements — other than master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions — to be recognised for the purposes of Articles 90 to 93, the following conditions shall be satisfied:
 - a) they must be legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions, including in the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a counterparty;
 - b) the credit institution must be able to determine at any time those assets and liabilities that are subject to the on-balance sheet netting agreement;
 - c) the credit institution must monitor and control the risks associated with the termination of the credit protection; and
 - d) the credit institution must monitor and control the relevant exposures on a net basis.
 - 1.2. *Master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market driven transactions*
 4. For master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market driven transactions to be recognised for the purposes of Articles 90 to 93, they shall:
 - a) be legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions, including in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the counterparty;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) give the non-defaulting party the right to terminate and close-out in a timely manner all transactions under the agreement upon the event of default, including in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the counterparty; and
 - c) provide for the netting of gains and losses on transactions closed out under a master agreement so that a single net amount is owed by one party to the other.
5. In addition, the minimum requirements for the recognition of financial collateral under the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method set out in point 6 shall be fulfilled.

1.3. *Financial collateral*

1.3.1. Minimum requirements for the recognition of financial collateral under all Approaches and Methods

6. For the recognition of financial collateral and gold, the following conditions shall be met.

(a) Low correlation

The credit quality of the obligor and the value of the collateral must not have a material positive correlation.

Securities issued by the obligor, or any related group entity, are not eligible. This notwithstanding, the obligor's own issues of covered bonds falling within the terms of Annex VI, Part 1, points 68 to 70 may be recognised as eligible when they are posted as collateral for repurchase transactions, provided that the first paragraph of this point is complied with.

(b) Legal certainty

Credit institutions shall fulfil any contractual and statutory requirements in respect of, and take all steps necessary to ensure, the enforceability of the collateral arrangements under the law applicable to their interest in the collateral.

Credit institutions shall have conducted sufficient legal review confirming the enforceability of the collateral arrangements in all relevant jurisdictions. They shall re-conduct such review as necessary to ensure continuing enforceability.

(c) Operational requirements

The collateral arrangements shall be properly documented, with a clear and robust procedure for the timely liquidation of collateral.

Credit institutions shall employ robust procedures and processes to control risks arising from the use of collateral — including risks of failed or reduced credit protection, valuation risks, risks associated with the termination of the credit protection, concentration risk arising from the use of collateral and the interaction with the credit institution's overall risk profile.

The credit institution shall have documented policies and practices concerning the types and amounts of collateral accepted.

Credit institutions shall calculate the market value of the collateral, and revalue it accordingly, with a minimum frequency of once every six months and whenever the credit institution has reason to believe that there has occurred a significant decrease in its market value.

Where the collateral is held by a third party, credit institutions must take reasonable steps to ensure that the third party segregates the collateral from its own assets.

1.3.2. Additional minimum requirements for the recognition of financial collateral under the Financial Collateral Simple Method

7. In addition to the requirements set out in point 6, for the recognition of financial collateral under the Financial Collateral Simple Method the residual maturity of the protection must be at least as long as the residual maturity of the exposure.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1.4. *Minimum requirements for the recognition of real estate collateral*

8. For the recognition of real estate collateral the following conditions shall be met.

(a) Legal certainty

The mortgage or charge shall be enforceable in all jurisdictions which are relevant at the time of the conclusion of the credit agreement, and the mortgage or charge shall be properly filed on a timely basis. The arrangements shall reflect a perfected lien (i.e. all legal requirements for establishing the pledge shall be fulfilled). The protection agreement and the legal process underpinning it shall enable the credit institution to realise the value of the protection within a reasonable timeframe.

(b) Monitoring of property values

The value of the property shall be monitored on a frequent basis and at a minimum once every year for commercial real estate and once every three years for residential real estate. More frequent monitoring shall be carried out where the market is subject to significant changes in conditions. Statistical methods may be used to monitor the value of the property and to identify property that needs revaluation. The property valuation shall be reviewed by an independent valuer when information indicates that the value of the property may have declined materially relative to general market prices. For loans exceeding 3 million Euro or 5 % of the own funds of the credit institution, the property valuation shall be reviewed by an independent valuer at least every three years.

'Independent valuer' shall mean a person who possesses the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to execute a valuation and who is independent from the credit decision process.

(c) Documentation

The types of residential and commercial real estate accepted by the credit institution and its lending policies in this regard shall be clearly documented.

(d) Insurance

The credit institution shall have procedures to monitor that the property taken as protection is adequately insured against damage.

1.5. *Minimum requirements for the recognition of receivables as collateral*

9. For the recognition of receivables as collateral the following conditions shall be met:

(a) Legal certainty

(i) The legal mechanism by which the collateral is provided shall be robust and effective and ensure that the lender has clear rights over the proceeds;

(ii) Credit institutions must take all steps necessary to fulfil local requirements in respect of the enforceability of security interest. There shall be a framework which allows the lender to have a first priority claim over the collateral subject to national discretion to allow such claims to be subject to the claims of preferential creditors provided for in legislative or implementing provisions;

(iii) Credit institutions shall have conducted sufficient legal review confirming the enforceability of the collateral arrangements in all relevant jurisdictions; and

(iv) The collateral arrangements must be properly documented, with a clear and robust procedure for the timely collection of collateral. Credit institution's procedures shall ensure that any legal conditions required for declaring the default of the borrower and timely collection of collateral are observed. In the event of the borrower's financial distress or default, the credit institution shall have legal authority to sell or assign the receivables to other parties without consent of the receivables obligors.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(b) Risk management

- (i) The credit institution must have a sound process for determining the credit risk associated with the receivables. Such a process shall include, among other things, analyses of the borrower's business and industry and the types of customers with whom the borrower does business. Where the credit institution relies on the borrower to ascertain the credit risk of the customers, the credit institution must review the borrower's credit practices to ascertain their soundness and credibility;
- (ii) The margin between the amount of the exposure and the value of the receivables must reflect all appropriate factors, including the cost of collection, concentration within the receivables pool pledged by an individual borrower, and potential concentration risk within the credit institution's total exposures beyond that controlled by the credit institution's general methodology. The credit institution must maintain a continuous monitoring process appropriate to the receivables. Additionally, compliance with loan covenants, environmental restrictions, and other legal requirements shall be reviewed on a regular basis;
- (iii) The receivables pledged by a borrower shall be diversified and not be unduly correlated with the borrower. Where there is material positive correlation, the attendant risks shall be taken into account in the setting of margins for the collateral pool as a whole;
- (iv) Receivables from affiliates of the borrower (including subsidiaries and employees) shall not be recognised as risk mitigants; and
- (v) The credit institution shall have a documented process for collecting receivable payments in distressed situations. The requisite facilities for collection shall be in place, even when the credit institution normally looks to the borrower for collections.

1.6. *Minimum requirements for the recognition of other physical collateral*

10. For the recognition of other physical collateral the following conditions shall be met:

- a) the collateral arrangement shall be legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions and shall enable the credit institution to realise the value of the property within a reasonable timeframe;
- b) with the sole exception of permissible prior claims referred to in point 9(a)(ii), only first liens on, or charges over, collateral are permissible. As such, the credit institution shall have priority over all other lenders to the realised proceeds of the collateral;
- c) the value of the property shall be monitored on a frequent basis and at a minimum once every year. More frequent monitoring shall be required where the market is subject to significant changes in conditions;
- d) the loan agreement shall include detailed descriptions of the collateral plus detailed specifications of the manner and frequency of revaluation;
- e) the types of physical collateral accepted by the credit institution and policies and practices in respect of the appropriate amount of each type of collateral relative to the exposure amount shall be clearly documented in internal credit policies and procedures available for examination;
- f) the credit institution's credit policies with regard to the transaction structure shall address appropriate collateral requirements relative to the exposure amount, the ability to liquidate the collateral readily, the ability to establish objectively a price or market value, the frequency with which the value can readily be obtained (including a professional appraisal or valuation), and the volatility or a proxy of the volatility of the value of the collateral;
- g) both initial valuation and revaluation shall take fully into account any deterioration or obsolescence of the collateral. Particular attention must be paid in valuation and revaluation to the effects of the passage of time on fashion- or date-sensitive collateral;
- h) the credit institution must have the right to physically inspect the property. It shall have policies and procedures addressing its exercise of the right to physical inspection; and
- i) the credit institution must have procedures to monitor that the property taken as protection is adequately insured against damage.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 1.7. *Minimum requirements for treating lease exposures as collateralised*
11. For the exposures arising from leasing transactions to be treated as collateralised by the type of property leased, the following conditions shall be met:
- the conditions set out in points 8 or 10 as appropriate for the recognition as collateral of the type of property leased shall be met;
 - there shall be robust risk management on the part of the lessor with respect to the use to which the leased asset is put, its age and the planned duration of its use, including appropriate monitoring of the value of the security;
 - there shall be in place a robust legal framework establishing the lessor's legal ownership of the asset and its ability to exercise its rights as owner in a timely fashion; and
 - where this has not already been ascertained in calculating the LGD level, the difference between the value of the unamortised amount and the market value of the security must not be so large as to overstate the credit risk mitigation attributed to the leased assets.
- 1.8. *Minimum requirements for the recognition of other funded credit protection*
- 1.8.1. Cash on deposit with, or cash assimilated instruments held by, a third party institution
12. To be eligible for the treatment set out at Part 3, point 79, the protection referred to in Part 1, point 23 must satisfy the following conditions:
- the borrower's claim against the third party institution is openly pledged or assigned to the lending credit institution and such pledge or assignment is legally effective and enforceable in all relevant jurisdictions;
 - the third party institution is notified of the pledge or assignment;
 - as a result of the notification, the third party institution is able to make payments solely to the lending credit institution or to other parties with the lending credit institution's consent; and
 - the pledge or assignment is unconditional and irrevocable.
- 1.8.2. Life insurance policies pledged to the lending credit institution.
13. For life insurance policies pledged to the lending credit institution to be recognised the following conditions shall be met:
- the company providing the life insurance may be recognised as an eligible unfunded credit protection provider under Part 1, point 26;
 - the life insurance policy is openly pledged or assigned to the lending credit institution;
 - the company providing the life insurance is notified of the pledge or assignment and as a result may not pay amounts payable under the contract without the consent of the lending credit institution;
 - the declared surrender value of the policy is non-reducible;
 - the lending credit institution must have the right to cancel the policy and receive the surrender value in a timely way in the event of the default of the borrower;
 - the lending credit institution is informed of any non-payments under the policy by the policyholder;
 - the credit protection must be provided for the maturity of the loan. Where this is not possible because the insurance relationship ends before the loan relationship expires, the credit institution must ensure that the amount deriving from the insurance contract serves the credit institution as security until the end of the duration of the credit agreement; and
 - the pledge or assignment must be legally effective and enforceable in all jurisdictions which are relevant at the time of the conclusion of the credit agreement.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. UNFUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION AND CREDIT LINKED NOTES
 - 2.1. *Requirements common to guarantees and credit derivatives*
 14. Subject to point 16, for the credit protection deriving from a guarantee or credit derivative to be recognised the following conditions shall be met:
 - a) the credit protection shall be direct;
 - b) the extent of the credit protection shall be clearly defined and incontrovertible;
 - c) the credit protection contract shall not contain any clause, the fulfilment of which is outside the direct control of the lender, that:
 - i) would allow the protection provider unilaterally to cancel the protection;
 - ii) would increase the effective cost of protection as a result of deteriorating credit quality of the protected exposure;
 - iii) could prevent the protection provider from being obliged to pay out in a timely manner in the event that the original obligor fails to make any payments due; or
 - iv) could allow the maturity of the credit protection to be reduced by the protection provider;
 - d) it must be legally effective and enforceable in all jurisdictions which are relevant at the time of the conclusion of the credit agreement.
 - 2.1.1. *Operational requirements*
 15. The credit institution shall satisfy the competent authority that it has systems in place to manage potential concentration of risk arising from the credit institution's use of guarantees and credit derivatives. The credit institution must be able to demonstrate how its strategy in respect of its use of credit derivatives and guarantees interacts with its management of its overall risk profile.
 - 2.2. *Sovereign and other public sector counter-guarantees*
 16. Where an exposure is protected by a guarantee which is counter-guaranteed by a central government or central bank, a regional government or local authority, a public sector entity, claims on which are treated as claims on the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established under Articles 78 to 83, a multi-lateral development bank to which a 0 % risk weight is assigned under or by virtue of Articles 78 to 83, or a public sector entity, claims on which are treated as claims on credit institutions under Articles 78 to 83, the exposure may be treated as protected by a guarantee provided by the entity in question, provided the following conditions are satisfied:
 - a) the counter-guarantee covers all credit risk elements of the claim;
 - b) both the original guarantee and the counter-guarantee meet the requirements for guarantees set out in points 14, 15 and 18, except that the counter-guarantee need not be direct; and
 - c) the competent authority is satisfied that the cover is robust and that nothing in the historical evidence suggests that the coverage of the counter-guarantee is less than effectively equivalent to that of a direct guarantee by the entity in question.
 17. The treatment set out in point 16 also applies to an exposure which is not counter-guaranteed by an entity listed in that point if that exposure's counter-guarantee is in turn directly guaranteed by one of the listed entities and the conditions listed in that point are satisfied.
 - 2.3. *Additional requirements for guarantees*
 18. For a guarantee to be recognised the following conditions shall also be met:
 - a) on the qualifying default of and/or non-payment by the counterparty, the lending credit institution shall have the right to pursue, in a timely manner, the guarantor for any monies due under the claim in respect of which the protection is provided. Payment by the guarantor shall not be subject to the lending credit institution first having to pursue the obligor. In the case of unfunded credit protection covering residential mortgage loans, the requirements in point 14(c)(iii) and in the first subparagraph of this point have only to be satisfied within 24 months;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) the guarantee shall be an explicitly documented obligation assumed by the guarantor; and
 - c) subject to the following sentence, the guarantee shall cover all types of payments the obligor is expected to make in respect of the claim. Where certain types of payment are excluded from the guarantee, the recognised value of the guarantee shall be adjusted to reflect the limited coverage.
19. In the case of guarantees provided in the context of mutual guarantee schemes recognised for these purposes by the competent authorities or provided by or counter-guaranteed by entities referred to in point 16, the requirements in point 18(a) shall be considered to be satisfied where either of the following conditions are met:
- a) the lending credit institution has the right to obtain in a timely manner a provisional payment by the guarantor calculated to represent a robust estimate of the amount of the economic loss, including losses resulting from the non-payment of interest and other types of payment which the borrower is obliged to make, likely to be incurred by the lending credit institution proportional to the coverage of the guarantee; or
 - b) the lending credit institution can demonstrate that the loss-protecting effects of the guarantee, including losses resulting from the non-payment of interest and other types of payments which the borrower is obliged to make, justify such treatment.
- 2.4. *Additional requirements for credit derivatives*
20. For a credit derivative to be recognised the following conditions shall also be met:
- a) subject to point (b), the credit events specified under the credit derivative shall at a minimum include:
 - i) the failure to pay the amounts due under the terms of the underlying obligation that are in effect at the time of such failure (with a grace period that is closely in line with or shorter than the grace period in the underlying obligation);
 - ii) the bankruptcy, insolvency or inability of the obligor to pay its debts, or its failure or admission in writing of its inability generally to pay its debts as they become due, and analogous events; and
 - iii) the restructuring of the underlying obligation involving forgiveness or postponement of principal, interest or fees that results in a credit loss event (i.e. value adjustment or other similar debit to the profit and loss account);
 - b) where the credit events specified under the credit derivative do not include restructuring of the underlying obligation as described in point (a)(iii), the credit protection may nonetheless be recognised subject to a reduction in the recognised value as specified in point 83 of Part 3;
 - c) in the case of credit derivatives allowing for cash settlement, a robust valuation process shall be in place in order to estimate loss reliably. There shall be a clearly specified period for obtaining post-credit-event valuations of the underlying obligation;
 - d) if the protection purchaser's right and ability to transfer the underlying obligation to the protection provider is required for settlement, the terms of the underlying obligation shall provide that any required consent to such transfer may not be unreasonably withheld; and
 - e) the identity of the parties responsible for determining whether a credit event has occurred shall be clearly defined. This determination shall not be the sole responsibility of the protection provider. The protection buyer shall have the right/ability to inform the protection provider of the occurrence of a credit event.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

21. A mismatch between the underlying obligation and the reference obligation under the credit derivative (i.e. the obligation used for the purposes of determining cash settlement value or the deliverable obligation) or between the underlying obligation and the obligation used for purposes of determining whether a credit event has occurred is permissible only if the following conditions are met:
- a) the reference obligation or the obligation used for purposes of determining whether a credit event has occurred, as the case may be, ranks *pari passu* with or is junior to the underlying obligation; and
 - b) the underlying obligation and the reference obligation or the obligation used for purposes of determining whether a credit event has occurred, as the case may be, share the same obligor (i.e., the same legal entity) and there are in place legally enforceable cross-default or cross-acceleration clauses.
- 2.5. *Requirements to qualify for the treatment set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 4*
22. To be eligible for the treatment set out in Annex VII, Part 1, point 4, credit protection deriving from a guarantee or credit derivative shall meet the following conditions:
- a) the underlying obligation shall be to:
 - a corporate exposure as defined in Article 86, excluding insurance and reinsurance undertakings;
 - an exposure to a regional government, local authority or Public Sector Entity which is not treated as an exposure to a central government or a central bank according to Article 86; or
 - an exposure to a small or medium sized entity, classified as a retail exposure according to Article 86(4);
 - b) the underlying obligors shall not be members of the same group as the protection provider;
 - c) the exposure shall be hedged by one of the following instruments:
 - single-name unfunded credit derivatives or single-name guarantees,
 - first-to-default basket products — the treatment shall be applied to the asset within the basket with the lowest risk-weighted exposure amount, or
 - nth-to-default basket products — the protection obtained is only eligible for consideration under this framework if eligible (n – 1)th default protection has also be obtained or where (n – 1) of the assets within the basket has/have already defaulted. Where this is the case the treatment shall be applied to the asset within the basket with the lowest risk-weighted exposure amount;
 - d) the credit protection meets the requirements set out in points 14, 15, 18, 20 and 21;
 - e) the risk weight that is associated with the exposure prior to the application of the treatment in Annex VII, Part 1, point 4, does not already factor in any aspect of the credit protection;
 - f) a credit institution shall have the right and expectation to receive payment from the protection provider without having to take legal action in order to pursue the counterparty for payment. To the extent possible, a credit institution shall take steps to satisfy itself that the protection provider is willing to pay promptly should a credit event occur;
 - g) the purchased credit protection shall absorb all credit losses incurred on the hedged portion of an exposure that arise due to the occurrence of credit events outlined in the contract;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- h) if the payout structure provides for physical settlement, then there shall be legal certainty with respect to the deliverability of a loan, bond, or contingent liability. If a credit institution intends to deliver an obligation other than the underlying exposure, it shall ensure that the deliverable obligation is sufficiently liquid so that the credit institution would have the ability to purchase it for delivery in accordance with the contract;
- i) the terms and conditions of credit protection arrangements shall be legally confirmed in writing by both the protection provider and the credit institution;
- j) credit institutions shall have a process in place to detect excessive correlation between the credit-worthiness of a protection provider and the obligor of the underlying exposure due to their performance being dependent on common factors beyond the systematic risk factor; and
- k) in the case of protection against dilution risk, the seller of purchased receivables shall not be a member of the same group as the protection provider.

Part 3 — Calculating the effects of credit risk mitigation

1. Subject to Parts 4 to 6, where the provisions in Parts 1 and 2 are satisfied, the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83 and the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts under Articles 84 to 89 may be modified in accordance with the provisions of this Part.
 2. Cash, securities or commodities purchased, borrowed or received under a repurchase transaction or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transaction shall be treated as collateral.
1. FUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION
 - 1.1. *Credit linked notes*
 3. Investments in credit linked notes issued by the lending credit institution may be treated as cash collateral.
 - 1.2. *On-balance sheet netting*
 4. Loans and deposits with the lending credit institution subject to on-balance sheet netting are to be treated as cash collateral.
 - 1.3. *Master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions*
 - 1.3.1. Calculation of the fully-adjusted exposure value
 - (a) **Using the 'Supervisory' volatility adjustments or the 'Own Estimates' volatility adjustments approaches**
 5. Subject to points 12 to 21, in calculating the 'fully adjusted exposure value' (E*) for the exposures subject to an eligible master netting agreement covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions, the volatility adjustments to be applied shall be calculated either using the Supervisory Volatility Adjustments Approach or the Own Estimates Volatility Adjustments Approach as set out in points 30 to 61 for the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method. For the use of the Own estimates approach, the same conditions and requirements shall apply as apply under the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method
 6. The net position in each 'type of security' or commodity shall be calculated by subtracting from the total value of the securities or commodities of that type lent, sold or provided under the master netting agreement, the total value of securities or commodities of that type borrowed, purchased or received under the agreement.
 7. For the purposes of point 6, 'type of security' means securities which are issued by the same entity, have the same issue date, the same maturity and are subject to the same terms and conditions and are subject to the same liquidation periods as indicated in points 34 to 59.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

8. The net position in each currency, other than the settlement currency of the master netting agreement, shall be calculated by subtracting from the total value of securities denominated in that currency lent, sold or provided under the master netting agreement added to the amount of cash in that currency lent or transferred under the agreement, the total value of securities denominated in that currency borrowed, purchased or received under the agreement added to the amount of cash in that currency borrowed or received under the agreement.
9. The volatility adjustment appropriate to a given type of security or cash position shall be applied to the absolute value of the positive or negative net position in the securities of that type.
10. The foreign exchange risk (fx) volatility adjustment shall be applied to the net positive or negative position in each currency other than the settlement currency of the master netting agreement.
11. E* shall be calculated according to the following formula:

$$E^* = \max \{0, [(\sum(E) - \sum(C)) + \sum(|\text{net position in each security}| \times H_{\text{sec}}) + (\sum|E_{\text{fx}}| \times H_{\text{fx}})]\}$$

Where risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated under Articles 78 to 83, E is the exposure value for each separate exposure under the agreement that would apply in the absence of the credit protection.

Where risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts are calculated under Articles 84 to 89, E is the exposure value for each separate exposure under the agreement that would apply in the absence of the credit protection.

C is the value of the securities or commodities borrowed, purchased or received or the cash borrowed or received in respect of each such exposure.

$\sum(E)$ is the sum of all Es under the agreement.

$\sum(C)$ is the sum of all Cs under the agreement.

E_{fx} is the net position (positive or negative) in a given currency other than the settlement currency of the agreement as calculated under point 8.

H_{sec} is the volatility adjustment appropriate to a particular type of security.

H_{fx} is the foreign exchange volatility adjustment.

E* is the fully adjusted exposure value.

(b) **Using the Internal Models approach**

12. As an alternative to using the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach or the Own Estimates volatility adjustments approach in calculating the fully adjusted exposure value (E*) resulting from the application of an eligible master netting agreement covering repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, and/or other capital market driven transactions other than derivative transactions, credit institutions may be permitted to use an internal models approach which takes into account correlation effects between security positions subject to the master netting agreement as well as the liquidity of the instruments concerned. Internal models used in this approach shall provide estimates of the potential change in value of the unsecured exposure amount ($\sum E - \sum C$). Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, credit institutions may also use their internal models for margin lending transactions, if the transactions are covered under a bilateral master netting agreement that meets the requirements set out in Annex III, Part 7.
13. A credit institution may choose to use an internal models approach independently of the choice it has made between Articles 78 to 83 and Articles 84 to 89 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts. However, if a credit institution seeks to use an internal models approach, it must do so for all counterparties and securities, excluding immaterial portfolios where it may use the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach or the Own estimates volatility adjustments approach as set out in points 5 to 11.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

14. The internal models approach is available to credit institutions that have received recognition for an internal risk-management model under Annex V to Directive 2006/.../EC.
15. Credit institutions which have not received supervisory recognition for use of such a model under Directive 2006/.../EC, may apply to the competent authorities for recognition of an internal risk-measurement model for the purposes of points 12 to 21.
16. Recognition shall only be given if the competent authority is satisfied that the credit institution's risk-management system for managing the risks arising on the transactions covered by the master netting agreement is conceptually sound and implemented with integrity and that, in particular, the following qualitative standards are met:
 - a) the internal risk-measurement model used for calculation of potential price volatility for the transactions is closely integrated into the daily risk-management process of the credit institution and serves as the basis for reporting risk exposures to senior management of the credit institution;
 - b) the credit institution has a risk control unit that is independent from business trading units and reports directly to senior management. The unit must be responsible for designing and implementing the credit institution's risk-management system. It shall produce and analyse daily reports on the output of the risk-measurement model and on the appropriate measures to be taken in terms of position limits;
 - c) the daily reports produced by the risk-control unit are reviewed by a level of management with sufficient authority to enforce reductions of positions taken and of overall risk exposure;
 - d) the credit institution has sufficient staff skilled in the use of sophisticated models in the risk control unit;
 - e) the credit institution has established procedures for monitoring and ensuring compliance with a documented set of internal policies and controls concerning the overall operation of the risk-measurement system;
 - f) the credit institution's models have a proven track record of reasonable accuracy in measuring risks demonstrated through the back-testing of its output using at least one year of data;
 - g) the credit institution frequently conducts a rigorous programme of stress testing and the results of these tests are reviewed by senior management and reflected in the policies and limits it sets;
 - h) the credit institution must conduct, as Part of its regular internal auditing process, an independent review of its risk-measurement system. This review must include both the activities of the business trading units and of the independent risk-control unit;
 - i) at least once a year, the credit institution must conduct a review of its risk-management system; and
 - j) the internal model shall meet the requirements set out in Annex III, Part 6, points 40 to 42.
17. The calculation of the potential change in value shall be subject to the following minimum standards:
 - a) at least daily calculation of the potential change in value;
 - b) a 99th percentile, one-tailed confidence interval;
 - c) a 5-day equivalent liquidation period, except in the case of transactions other than securities repurchase transactions or securities lending or borrowing transactions where a 10-day equivalent liquidation period shall be used;
 - d) an effective historical observation period of at least one year except where a shorter observation period is justified by a significant upsurge in price volatility; and
 - e) three-monthly data set updates.
18. The competent authorities shall require that the internal risk-measurement model captures a sufficient number of risk factors in order to capture all material price risks.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

19. The competent authorities may allow credit institutions to use empirical correlations within risk categories and across risk categories if they are satisfied that the credit institution's system for measuring correlations is sound and implemented with integrity.
20. The fully adjusted exposure value (E*) for credit institutions using the Internal models approach shall be calculated according to the following formula:

$$E^* = \max \{0, [(\sum E - \sum C) + (\text{output of the internal model})]\}$$

Where risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated under Articles 78 to 83, E is the exposure value for each separate exposure under the agreement that would apply in the absence of the credit protection.

Where risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts are calculated under Articles 84 to 89, E is the exposure value for each separate exposure under the agreement that would apply in the absence of the credit protection.

C is the value of the securities borrowed, purchased or received or the cash borrowed or received in respect of each such exposure.

$\sum(E)$ is the sum of all Es under the agreement.

$\sum(C)$ is the sum of all Cs under the agreement.

21. In calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts using internal models, credit institutions shall use the previous business day's model output.
- 1.3.2. Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts for repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions covered by master netting agreements

Standardised Approach

22. E* as calculated under points 5 to 21 shall be taken as the exposure value of the exposure to the counterparty arising from the transactions subject to the master netting agreement for the purposes of Article 80.

IRB Approach

23. E* as calculated under points 5 to 21 shall be taken as the exposure value of the exposure to the counterparty arising from the transactions subject to the master netting agreement for the purposes of Annex VII.

1.4. *Financial collateral*

1.4.1. Financial Collateral Simple Method

24. The Financial Collateral Simple Method shall be available only where risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated under Articles 78 to 83. A credit institution shall not use both the Financial Collateral Simple Method and the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method.

Valuation

25. Under this method, recognised financial collateral is assigned a value equal to its market value as determined in accordance with Part 2, point 6.

Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts

26. The risk weight that would be assigned under Articles 78 to 83 if the lender had a direct exposure to the collateral instrument shall be assigned to those portions of claims collateralised by the market value of recognised collateral. The risk weight of the collateralised portion shall be a minimum of 20 % except as specified in points 27 to 29. The remainder of the exposure shall receive the risk weight that would be assigned to an unsecured exposure to the counterparty under Articles 78 to 83.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Repurchase transactions and securities lending or borrowing transactions

27. A risk weight of 0 % shall be assigned to the collateralised portion of the exposure arising from transactions which fulfil the criteria enumerated in points 58 and 59. If the counterparty to the transaction is not a core market participant a risk weight of 10 % shall be assigned.

OTC derivative transactions subject to daily mark-to-market

28. A risk weight of 0 % shall, to the extent of the collateralisation, be assigned to the exposure values determined under Annex III for the derivative instruments listed in Annex IV and subject to daily marking-to-market, collateralised by cash or cash-assimilated instruments where there is no currency mismatch. A risk weight of 10 % shall be assigned to the extent of the collateralisation to the exposure values of such transactions collateralised by debt securities issued by central governments or central banks which are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83.

For the purposes of this point debt securities issued by central governments or central banks shall include:

- a) debt securities issued by regional governments or local authorities exposures to which are treated as exposures to the central government in whose jurisdiction they are established under Articles 78 to 83;
- b) debt securities issued by multilateral development banks to which a 0 % risk weight is assigned under or by virtue of Articles 78 to 83; and
- c) debt securities issued by international organisations which are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83.

Other transactions

29. A 0 % risk weight may be assigned where the exposure and the collateral are denominated in the same currency, and either:
- a) the collateral is cash on deposit or a cash assimilated instrument; or
 - b) the collateral is in the form of debt securities issued by central governments or central banks eligible for a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83, and its market value has been discounted by 20 %.

For the purposes of this point 'debt securities issued by central governments or central banks' shall to include those indicated under point 28.

1.4.2. Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method

30. In valuing financial collateral for the purposes of the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method, 'volatility adjustments' shall be applied to the market value of collateral, as set out in points 34 to 59 below, in order to take account of price volatility.
31. Subject to the treatment for currency mismatches in the case of OTC derivatives transactions set out in point 32, where collateral is denominated in a currency that differs from that in which the underlying exposure is denominated, an adjustment reflecting currency volatility shall be added to the volatility adjustment appropriate to the collateral as set out in points 34 to 59.
32. In the case of OTC derivatives transactions covered by netting agreements recognised by the competent authorities under Annex III, a volatility adjustment reflecting currency volatility shall be applied when there is a mismatch between the collateral currency and the settlement currency. Even in the case where multiple currencies are involved in the transactions covered by the netting agreement, only a single volatility adjustment shall be applied.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(a) **Calculating adjusted values**

33. The volatility-adjusted value of the collateral to be taken into account is calculated as follows in the case of all transactions except those transactions subject to recognised master netting agreements to which the provisions set out in points 5 to 23 are applied:

$$C_{VA} = C \times (1 - H_C - H_{FX})$$

The volatility-adjusted value of the exposure to be taken into account is calculated as follows:

$$E_{VA} = E \times (1 + H_E), \text{ and, in the case of OTC derivative transactions, } E_{VA} = E.$$

The fully adjusted value of the exposure, taking into account both volatility and the risk-mitigating effects of collateral is calculated as follows:

$$E^* = \max \{0, [E_{VA} - C_{VAM}]\}$$

Where:

E is the exposure value as would be determined under Articles 78 to 83 or Articles 84 to 89 as appropriate if the exposure was not collateralised. For this purpose, for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83, the exposure value of off-balance sheet items listed in Annex II shall be 100 % of its value rather than the percentages indicated in Article 78(1), and for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 84 to 89, the exposure value of the items listed in Annex VII, Part 3, points 9 to 11 shall be calculated using a conversion factor of 100 % rather than the conversion factors or percentages indicated in those points.

E_{VA} is the volatility-adjusted exposure amount.

C_{VA} is the volatility-adjusted value of the collateral.

C_{VAM} is C_{VA} further adjusted for any maturity mismatch in accordance with the provisions of Part 4.

H_E is the volatility adjustment appropriate to the exposure (E), as calculated under points 34 to 59.

H_C is the volatility adjustment appropriate to the collateral, as calculated under points 34 to 59.

H_{FX} is the volatility adjustment appropriate to currency mismatch, as calculated under points 34 to 59.

E^* is the fully adjusted exposure value taking into account volatility and the risk-mitigating effects of the collateral.

(b) **Calculation of volatility adjustments to be applied**

34. Volatility adjustments may be calculated in two ways: the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach and the Own estimates of volatility adjustments approach (the 'Own estimates' approach).
35. A credit institution may choose to use the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach or the Own estimates approach independently of the choice it has made between the Articles 78 to 83 and Articles 84 to 89 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts. However, if credit institutions seek to use the Own estimates approach, they must do so for the full range of instrument types, excluding immaterial portfolios where they may use the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach.

Where the collateral consists of a number of recognised items, the volatility adjustment shall be $H = \sum_i a_i H_i$, where a_i is the proportion of an item to the collateral as a whole and H_i is the volatility adjustment applicable to that item.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(i) Supervisory volatility adjustments

36. The volatility adjustments to be applied under the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach (assuming daily revaluation) shall be those set out in Tables 1 to 4.

VOLATILITY ADJUSTMENTS

Table 1

Credit quality step with which the credit assessment of the debt security is associated	Residual Maturity	Volatility adjustments for debt securities issued by entities described in Part 1, point 7(b)			Volatility adjustments for debt securities issued by entities described in Part 1, point 7(c) and (d)		
		20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)	20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)
1	≤ 1 year	0,707	0,5	0,354	1,414	1	0,707
	>1 ≤ 5 years	2,828	2	1,414	5,657	4	2,828
	> 5 years	5,657	4	2,828	11,314	8	5,657
2-3	≤ 1 year	1,414	1	0,707	2,828	2	1,414
	>1 ≤ 5 years	4,243	3	2,121	8,485	6	4,243
	> 5 years	8,485	6	4,243	16,971	12	8,485
4	≤ 1 year	21,213	15	10,607	N/A	N/A	N/A
	>1 ≤ 5 years	21,213	15	10,607	N/A	N/A	N/A
	> 5 years	21,213	15	10,607	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 2

Credit quality step with which the credit assessment of a short term debt security is associated	Volatility adjustments for debt securities issued by entities described in Part 1, point 7(b) with short-term credit assessments			Volatility adjustments for debt securities issued by entities described in Part 1, point 7(c) and (d) with short-term credit assessments		
	20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)	20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)
1	0,707	0,5	0,354	1,414	1	0,707
2-3	1,414	1	0,707	2,828	2	1,414

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Table 3

Other collateral or exposure types			
	20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)
Main Index Equities, Main Index Convertible Bonds	21,213	15	10,607
Other Equities or Convertible Bonds listed on a recognised exchange	35,355	25	17,678
Cash	0	0	0
Gold	21,213	15	10,607

Table 4

Volatility adjustment for currency mismatch		
20-day liquidation period (%)	10-day liquidation period (%)	5-day liquidation period (%)
11,314	8	5,657

37. For secured lending transactions the liquidation period shall be 20 business days. For repurchase transactions (except insofar as such transactions involve the transfer of commodities or guaranteed rights relating to title to commodities) and securities lending or borrowing transactions the liquidation period shall be 5 business days. For other capital market driven transactions, the liquidation period shall be 10 business days.
38. In Tables 1 to 4 and in points 39 to 41, the credit quality step with which a credit assessment of the debt security is associated is the credit quality step with which the credit assessment is determined by the competent authorities to be associated under Articles 78 to 83. For the purpose of this point, Part 1, point 10 also applies.
39. For non-eligible securities or for commodities lent or sold under repurchase transactions or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, the volatility adjustment is the same as for non-main index equities listed on a recognised exchange.
40. For eligible units in collective investment undertakings the volatility adjustment is the weighted average volatility adjustments that would apply, having regard to the liquidation period of the transaction as specified in point 37, to the assets in which the fund has invested. If the assets in which the fund has invested are not known to the credit institution, the volatility adjustment is the highest volatility adjustment that would apply to any of the assets in which the fund has the right to invest.
41. For unrated debt securities issued by institutions and satisfying the eligibility criteria in Part 1, point 8 the volatility adjustments shall be the same as for securities issued by institutions or corporates with an external credit assessment associated with credit quality steps 2 or 3.

(ii) Own estimates of volatility adjustments

42. The competent authorities shall permit credit institutions complying with the requirements set out in points 47 to 56 to use their own volatility estimates for calculating the volatility adjustments to be applied to collateral and exposures.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

43. When debt securities have a credit assessment from a recognised ECAI equivalent to investment grade or better, the competent authorities may allow credit institutions to calculate a volatility estimate for each category of security.
44. In determining relevant categories, credit institutions shall take into account the type of issuer of the security the external credit assessment of the securities, their residual maturity, and their modified duration. Volatility estimates must be representative of the securities included in the category by the credit institution.
45. For debt securities having a credit assessment from a recognised ECAI equivalent to below investment grade, and for other eligible collateral, the volatility adjustments must be calculated for each individual item.
46. Credit institutions using the Own estimates approach must estimate volatility of the collateral or foreign exchange mismatch without taking into account any correlations between the unsecured exposure, collateral and/or exchange rates.

Quantitative Criteria

47. In calculating the volatility adjustments, a 99th percentile one-tailed confidence interval shall be used.
48. The liquidation period shall be 20 business days for secured lending transactions; 5 business days for repurchase transactions, except insofar as such transactions involve the transfer of commodities or guaranteed rights relating to title to commodities and securities lending or borrowing transactions, and 10 business days for other capital market driven transactions.
49. Credit institutions may use volatility adjustment numbers calculated according to shorter or longer liquidation periods, scaled up or down to the liquidation period set out in point 48 for the type of transaction in question, using the square root of time formula:

$$H_M = H_N \sqrt{T_M/T_N}$$

where T_M is the relevant liquidation period;

H_M is the volatility adjustment under T_M and

H_N is the volatility adjustment based on the liquidation period T_N .

50. Credit institutions shall take into account the illiquidity of lower-quality assets. The liquidation period shall be adjusted upwards in cases where there is doubt concerning the liquidity of the collateral. They shall also identify where historical data may understate potential volatility, e.g., a pegged currency. Such cases shall be dealt with by means of a stress scenario.
51. The historical observation period (sample period) for calculating volatility adjustments shall be a minimum length of one year. For credit institutions that use a weighting scheme or other methods for the historical observation period, the effective observation period shall be at least one year (that is, the weighted average time lag of the individual observations shall not be less than 6 months). The competent authorities may also require a credit institution to calculate its volatility adjustments using a shorter observation period if, in the competent authorities' judgement, this is justified by a significant upsurge in price volatility.
52. Credit institutions shall update their data sets at least once every three months and shall also reassess them whenever market prices are subject to material changes. This implies that volatility adjustments shall be computed at least every three months.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Qualitative Criteria

53. The volatility estimates shall be used in the day-to-day risk management process of the credit institution including in relation to its internal exposure limits.
54. If the liquidation period used by the credit institution in its day-to-day risk management process is longer than that set out in this Part for the type of transaction in question, the credit institution's volatility adjustments shall be scaled up in accordance with the square root of time formula set out in point 49.
55. The credit institution shall have established procedures for monitoring and ensuring compliance with a documented set of policies and controls for the operation of its system for the estimation of volatility adjustments and for the integration of such estimations into its risk management process.
56. An independent review of the credit institution's system for the estimation of volatility adjustments shall be carried out regularly in the credit institution's own internal auditing process. A review of the overall system for the estimation of volatility adjustments and for integration of those adjustments into the credit institution's risk management process shall take place at least once a year and shall specifically address, at a minimum:
- a) the integration of estimated volatility adjustments into daily risk management;
 - b) the validation of any significant change in the process for the estimation of volatility adjustments;
 - c) the verification of the consistency, timeliness and reliability of data sources used to run the system for the estimation of volatility adjustments, including the independence of such data sources; and
 - d) the accuracy and appropriateness of the volatility assumptions.

(iii) Scaling up of volatility adjustments

57. The volatility adjustments set out in points 36 to 41 are the volatility adjustments to be applied where there is daily revaluation. Similarly, where a credit institution uses its own estimates of the volatility adjustments in accordance with points 42 to 56, these must be calculated in the first instance on the basis of daily revaluation. If the frequency of revaluation is less than daily, larger volatility adjustments shall be applied. These shall be calculated by scaling up the daily revaluation volatility adjustments, using the following 'square root of time' formula:

$$H = H_M \sqrt{\frac{N_R + (T_M - 1)}{T_M}}$$

where:

H is the volatility adjustment to be applied

H_M is the volatility adjustment where there is daily revaluation

N_R is the actual number of business days between revaluations

T_M is the liquidation period for the type of transaction in question.

(iv) Conditions for applying a 0 % volatility adjustment

58. In relation to repurchase transactions and securities lending or borrowing transactions, where a credit institution uses the Supervisory Volatility Adjustments Approach or the Own Estimates Approach and where the conditions set out in points (a) to (h) are satisfied, credit institutions may, instead of applying the volatility adjustments calculated under points 34 to 57, apply a 0 % volatility adjustment. This option is not available in respect of credit institutions using the internal models approach set out in points 12 to 21:
- (a) Both the exposure and the collateral are cash or debt securities issued by central governments or central banks within the meaning of Part 1, point 7(b) and eligible for a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (b) Both the exposure and the collateral are denominated in the same currency,
 - (c) Either the maturity of the transaction is no more than one day or both the exposure and the collateral are subject to daily marking-to-market or daily remargining,
 - (d) It is considered that the time between the last marking-to-market before a failure to remargin by the counterparty and the liquidation of the collateral shall be no more than four business days,
 - (e) The transaction is settled across a settlement system proven for that type of transaction,
 - (f) The documentation covering the agreement is standard market documentation for repurchase transactions or securities lending or borrowing transactions in the securities concerned,
 - (g) The transaction is governed by documentation specifying that if the counterparty fails to satisfy an obligation to deliver cash or securities or to deliver margin or otherwise defaults, then the transaction is immediately terminable, and
 - (h) The counterparty is considered a 'core market participant' by the competent authorities. Core market participants shall include the following entities:
 - the entities mentioned in point 7(b) of Part 1 exposures to which are assigned a 0 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83;
 - institutions;
 - other financial companies (including insurance companies) exposures to which are assigned a 20 % risk weight under Articles 78 to 83 or which, in the case of credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts under Articles 83 to 89, do not have a credit assessment by a recognised ECAI and are internally rated as having a PD equivalent to that associated with the credit assessments of ECAIs determined by the competent authorities to be associated with credit quality step 2 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83
 - regulated collective investment undertakings that are subject to capital or leverage requirements;
 - regulated pension funds; and
 - recognised clearing organisations.
59. Where a competent authority permits the treatment set out in point 58 to be applied in the case of repurchase transactions or securities lending or borrowing transactions in securities issued by its domestic government, then other competent authorities may choose to allow credit institutions incorporated in their jurisdiction to adopt the same approach to the same transactions.

(c) ***Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts***

Standardised Approach

60. E* as calculated under point 33 shall be taken as the exposure value for the purposes of Article 80. In the case of off-balance sheet items listed in Annex II, E* shall be taken as the value at which the percentages indicated in Article 78(1) shall be applied to arrive at the exposure value.

IRB Approach

61. LGD* (the effective LGD) calculated as set out in this point shall be taken as the LGD for the purposes of Annex VII.

$$\text{LGD}^* = \text{LGD} \times (\text{E}^*/\text{E})$$

where:

LGD is the LGD that would apply to the exposure under Articles 84 to 89 if the exposure was not collateralised;

E is the exposure value as described under point 33;

E* is as calculated under point 33.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1.5. *Other eligible collateral for Articles 84 to 89*

1.5.1. Valuation

(a) **Real estate collateral**

62. The property shall be valued by an independent valuer at or less than the market value. In those Member States that have laid down rigorous criteria for the assessment of the mortgage lending value in statutory or regulatory provisions the property may instead be valued by an independent valuer at or less than the mortgage lending value.
63. 'Market value' means the estimated amount for which the property should exchange on the date of valuation between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's-length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion. The market value shall be documented in a transparent and clear manner.
64. 'Mortgage lending value' means the value of the property as determined by a prudent assessment of the future marketability of the property taking into account long-term sustainable aspects of the property, the normal and local market conditions, the current use and alternative appropriate uses of the property. Speculative elements shall not be taken into account in the assessment of the mortgage lending value. The mortgage lending value shall be documented in a transparent and clear manner.
65. The value of the collateral shall be the market value or mortgage lending value reduced as appropriate to reflect the results of the monitoring required under Part 2, point 8 and to take account of the any prior claims on the property.

(b) **Receivables**

66. The value of receivables shall be the amount receivable.

(c) **Other physical collateral**

67. The property shall be valued at its market value — that is the estimated amount for which the property would exchange on the date of valuation between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's-length transaction.

1.5.2. Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts

(a) **General treatment**

68. LGD* calculated as set out in points 69 to 72 shall be taken as the LGD for the purposes of Annex VII.
69. Where the ratio of the value of the collateral (C) to the exposure value (E) is below a threshold level of C* (the required minimum collateralisation level for the exposure) as laid down in Table 5, LGD* shall be the LGD laid down in Annex VII for uncollateralised exposures to the counterparty.
70. Where the ratio of the value of the collateral to the exposure value exceeds a second, higher threshold level of C** (i.e. the required level of collateralisation to receive full LGD recognition) as laid down in Table 5, LGD* shall be that prescribed in Table 5.
71. Where the required level of collateralisation C** is not achieved in respect of the exposure as a whole, the exposure shall be considered to be two exposures — that part in respect of which the required level of collateralisation C** is achieved and the remainder.
72. Table 5 sets out the applicable LGD* and required collateralisation levels for the secured parts of exposures.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Table 5

Minimum LGD for secured parts of exposures

	LGD* for senior claims or contingent claims	LGD* for subordinated claims or contingent claims	Required minimum collateralisation level of the exposure (C*)	Required minimum collateralisation level of the exposure (C**)
Receivables	35 %	65 %	0 %	125 %
Residential real estate/commercial real estate	35 %	65 %	30 %	140 %
Other collateral	40 %	70 %	30 %	140 %

By way of derogation, until 31 December 2012 the competent authorities may, subject to the levels of collateralisation indicated in Table 5:

- a) allow credit institutions to assign a 30 % LGD for senior exposures in the form of Commercial Real Estate leasing;
- b) allow credit institutions to assign a 35 % LGD for senior exposures in the form of equipment leasing; and
- c) allow credit institutions to assign a 30 % LGD for senior exposures secured by residential or commercial real estate.

At the end of this period, this derogation shall be reviewed.

(b) Alternative treatment for real estate collateral

73. Subject to the requirements of this point and point 74 and as an alternative to the treatment in points 68 to 72, the competent authorities of a Member State may authorise credit institutions to assign a 50 % risk weight to the Part of the exposure fully collateralised by residential real estate property or commercial real estate property situated within the territory of the Member State if they have evidence that the relevant markets are well-developed and long-established with loss-rates from lending collateralised by residential real estate property or commercial real estate property respectively that do not exceed the following limits:
 - a) losses stemming from lending collateralised by residential real estate property or commercial real estate property respectively up to 50 % of the market value (or where applicable and if lower 60 % of the mortgage-lending-value) do not exceed 0,3 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by that form of real estate property in any given year; and
 - b) overall losses stemming from lending collateralised by residential real estate property or commercial real estate property respectively do not exceed 0,5 % of the outstanding loans collateralised by that form of real estate property in any given year.
 74. If either of the conditions in point 73 is not satisfied in a given year, the eligibility to use this treatment shall cease until the conditions are satisfied in a subsequent year.
 75. The competent authorities, which do not authorise the treatment in point 73, may authorise credit institutions to assign the risk weights permitted under this treatment in respect of exposures collateralised by residential real estate property of commercial real estate property respectively located in the territory of those Member States the competent authorities of which authorise this treatment subject to the same conditions as apply in that Member State.
- 1.6. *Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts in the case of mixed pools of collateral*
76. Where risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts are calculated under Articles 84 to 89, and an exposure is collateralised by both financial collateral and other eligible collateral, LGD*, to be taken as the LGD for the purposes of Annex VII, shall be calculated as follows.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

77. The credit institution shall be required to subdivide the volatility-adjusted value of the exposure (i.e. the value after the application of the volatility adjustment as set out in point 33) into parts each covered by only one type of collateral. That is, the credit institution must divide the exposure into the part covered by eligible financial collateral, the portion covered by receivables, the portions covered by commercial real estate property collateral and/or residential real estate property collateral, the part covered by other eligible collateral, and the unsecured portion, as relevant.
78. LGD* for each part of exposure shall be calculated separately in accordance with the relevant provisions of this Annex.
- 1.7. *Other funded credit protection*
- 1.7.1. Deposits with third party institutions
79. Where the conditions set out in Part 2, point 12 are satisfied, credit protection falling within the terms of Part 1, point 23 may be treated as a guarantee by the third party institution.
- 1.7.2. Life insurance policies pledged to the lending credit institution
80. Where the conditions set out in Part 2, point 13 are satisfied, credit protection falling within the terms of Part 1, point 24 may be treated as a guarantee by the company providing the life insurance. The value of the credit protection recognised shall be the surrender value of the life insurance policy.
- 1.7.3. Institution instruments repurchased on request
81. Instruments eligible under Part 1, point 25 may be treated as a guarantee by the issuing institution.
82. The value of the credit protection recognised shall be the following:
- where the instrument will be repurchased at its face value, the value of the protection shall be that amount;
 - where the instrument will be repurchased at market price, the value of the protection shall be the value of the instrument valued in the same way as the debt securities specified in Part 1, point 8.
2. UNFUNDED CREDIT PROTECTION
- 2.1. *Valuation*
83. The value of unfunded credit protection (G) shall be the amount that the protection provider has undertaken to pay in the event of the default or non-payment of the borrower or on the occurrence of other specified credit events. In the case of credit derivatives which do not include as a credit event restructuring of the underlying obligation involving forgiveness or postponement of principal, interest or fees that result in a credit loss event (e.g. value adjustment, the making of a value adjustment or other similar debit to the profit and loss account),
- where the amount that the protection provider has undertaken to pay is not higher than the exposure value, the value of the credit protection calculated under the first sentence of this point shall be reduced by 40 %; or
 - where the amount that the protection provider has undertaken to pay is higher than the exposure value, the value of the credit protection shall be no higher than 60 % of the exposure value.
84. Where unfunded credit protection is denominated in a currency different from that in which the exposure is denominated (a currency mismatch) the value of the credit protection shall be reduced by the application of a volatility adjustment H_{FX} as follows:

$$G^* = G \times (1 - H_{FX})$$

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

where:

G is the nominal amount of the credit protection,

G* is G adjusted for any foreign exchange risk, and

H_{FX} is the volatility adjustment for any currency mismatch between the credit protection and the underlying obligation.

Where there is no currency mismatch

$G^* = G$

85. The volatility adjustments for any currency mismatch may be calculated based on the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach or the Own estimates approach as set out in points 34 to 57.

2.2. *Calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts*

2.2.1. *Partial protection — tranching*

86. Where the credit institution transfers a part of the risk of a loan in one or more tranches, the rules set out in Articles 94 to 101 shall apply. Materiality thresholds on payments below which no payment shall be made in the event of loss are considered to be equivalent to retained first loss positions and to give rise to a tranching transfer of risk.

2.2.2. *Standardised Approach*

(a) **Full protection**

87. For the purposes of Article 80, g shall be the risk weight to be assigned to an exposure which is fully protected by unfunded protection (G_A),

where:

g is the risk weight of exposures to the protection provider as specified under Articles 78 to 83; and

G_A is the value of G^* as calculated under point 84 further adjusted for any maturity mismatch as laid down in Part 4.

(b) **Partial protection — equal seniority**

88. Where the protected amount is less than the exposure value and the protected and unprotected parts are of equal seniority — i.e. the credit institution and the protection provider share losses on a pro-rata basis, proportional regulatory capital relief shall be afforded. For the purposes of Article 80, risk-weighted exposure amounts shall be calculated in accordance with the following formula:

$$(E - G_A) \times r + G_A \times g$$

where:

E is the exposure value;

G_A is the value of G^* as calculated under point 84 further adjusted for any maturity mismatch as laid down in Part 4;

r is the risk weight of exposures to the obligor as specified under Articles 78 to 83; and

g is the risk weight of exposures to the protection provider as specified under Articles 78 to 83.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

(c) **Sovereign guarantees**

89. The competent authorities may extend the treatment provided for in Annex VI, Part 1, points 4 and 5 to exposures or parts of exposures guaranteed by the central government or central bank, where the guarantee is denominated in the domestic currency of the borrower and the exposure is funded in that currency.

2.2.3. IRB Approach

Full protection/Partial protection — equal seniority

90. For the covered portion of the exposure (based on the adjusted value of the credit protection G_A), the PD for the purposes of Annex VII, Part 2 may be the PD of the protection provider, or a PD between that of the borrower and that of the guarantor if a full substitution is deemed not to be warranted. In the case of subordinated exposures and non-subordinated unfunded protection, the LGD to be applied for the purposes of Annex VII, Part 2 may be that associated with senior claims.
91. For any uncovered portion of the exposure the PD shall be that of the borrower and the LGD shall be that of the underlying exposure.
92. G_A is the value of G^* as calculated under point 84 further adjusted for any maturity mismatch as laid down in Part 4.

Part 4 — Maturity Mismatches

1. For the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts, a maturity mismatch occurs when the residual maturity of the credit protection is less than that of the protected exposure. Protection of less than three months residual maturity, the maturity of which is less than the maturity of the underlying exposure, shall not be recognised.
2. Where there is a maturity mismatch the credit protection shall not be recognised where:
 - a) the original maturity of the protection is less than 1 year; or
 - b) the exposure is a short term exposure specified by the competent authorities as being subject to a one-day floor rather than a one-year floor in respect of the maturity value (M) under Annex VII, Part 2, point 14.

1. DEFINITION OF MATURITY

3. Subject to a maximum of 5 years, the effective maturity of the underlying shall be the longest possible remaining time before the obligor is scheduled to fulfil its obligations. Subject to point 4, the maturity of the credit protection shall be the time to the earliest date at which the protection may terminate or be terminated.
4. Where there is an option to terminate the protection which is at the discretion of the protection seller, the maturity of the protection shall be taken to be the time to the earliest date at which that option may be exercised. Where there is an option to terminate the protection which is at the discretion of the protection buyer and the terms of the arrangement at origination of the protection contain a positive incentive for the credit institution to call the transaction before contractual maturity, the maturity of the protection shall be taken to be the time to the earliest date at which that option may be exercised; otherwise such an option may be considered not to affect the maturity of the protection.
5. Where a credit derivative is not prevented from terminating prior to expiration of any grace period required for a default on the underlying obligation to occur as a result of a failure to pay the maturity of the protection shall be reduced by the amount of the grace period.

2. VALUATION OF PROTECTION

2.1. *Transactions subject to funded credit protection — Financial Collateral Simple Method*

6. Where there is a mismatch between the maturity of the exposure and the maturity of the protection, the collateral shall not be recognised.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2.2. *Transactions subject to funded credit protection — Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method*

7. The maturity of the credit protection and that of the exposure must be reflected in the adjusted value of the collateral according to the following formula:

$$C_{VAM} = C_{VA} \times (t - t^*) / (T - t^*)$$

where:

C_{VA} is the volatility adjusted value of the collateral as specified in Part 3, point 33 or the amount of the exposure, whichever is the lowest;

t is the number of years remaining to the maturity date of the credit protection calculated in accordance with points 3 to 5, or the value of T , whichever is the lower;

T is the number of years remaining to the maturity date of the exposure calculated in accordance with points 3 to 5, or 5 years, whichever is the lower; and

t^* is 0,25.

C_{VAM} shall be taken as C_{VA} further adjusted for maturity mismatch to be included in the formula for the calculation of the fully adjusted value of the exposure (E^*) set out at Part 3, point 33.

2.3. *Transactions subject to unfunded credit protection*

8. The maturity of the credit protection and that of the exposure must be reflected in the adjusted value of the credit protection according to the following formula

$$G_A = G^* \times (t - t^*) / (T - t^*)$$

where:

G^* is the amount of the protection adjusted for any currency mismatch

G_A is G^* adjusted for any maturity mismatch

t is the number of years remaining to the maturity date of the credit protection calculated in accordance with points 3 to 5, or the value of T , whichever is the lower;

T is the number of years remaining to the maturity date of the exposure calculated in accordance with points 3 to 5, or 5 years, whichever is the lower; and

t^* is 0,25.

G_A is then taken as the value of the protection for the purposes of Part 3, points 83 to 92.

Part 5 — Combinations of credit risk mitigation in the Standardised Approach

1. In the case where a credit institution calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83 has more than one form of credit risk mitigation covering a single exposure (e.g. a credit institution has both collateral and a guarantee partially covering an exposure), the credit institution shall be required to subdivide the exposure into parts covered by each type of credit risk mitigation tool (e.g. a part covered by collateral and a portion covered by guarantee) and the risk-weighted exposure amount for each portion must be calculated separately in accordance with the provisions of Articles 78 to 83 and this Annex.
2. When credit protection provided by a single protection provider has differing maturities, a similar approach to that described in point 1 shall be applied.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part 6 — Basket CRM techniques

1. FIRST-TO-DEFAULT CREDIT DERIVATIVES
 1. Where a credit institution obtains credit protection for a number of exposures under terms that the first default among the exposures shall trigger payment and that this credit event shall terminate the contract, the credit institution may modify the calculation of the risk-weighted exposure amount and, as relevant, the expected loss amount of the exposure which would, in the absence of the credit protection, produce the lowest risk-weighted exposure amount under Articles 78 to 83 or Articles 84 to 89 as appropriate in accordance with this Annex, but only if the exposure value is less than or equal to the value of the credit protection.
2. N NTH-TO-DEFAULT CREDIT DERIVATIVES
 2. Where the nth default among the exposures triggers payment under the credit protection, the credit institution purchasing the protection may only recognise the protection for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and, as relevant, expected loss amounts if protection has also been obtained for defaults 1 to n – 1 or when n – 1 defaults have already occurred. In such cases, the methodology shall follow that set out in point 1 for first-to-default derivatives appropriately modified for nth-to-default products.

ANNEX IX**SECURITISATION****Part 1 — Definitions for the purposes of Annex IX**

1. For the purposes of this Annex:
 - 'Excess spread' means finance charge collections and other fee income received in respect of the securitised exposures net of costs and expenses;
 - 'Clean-up call option' means a contractual option for the originator to repurchase or extinguish the securitisation positions before all of the underlying exposures have been repaid, when the amount of outstanding exposures falls below a specified level;
 - 'Liquidity facility' means the securitisation position arising from a contractual agreement to provide funding to ensure timeliness of cash flows to investors;
 - 'Kirb' means 8 % of the risk-weighted exposure amounts that would be calculated under Articles 84 to 89 in respect of the securitised exposures, had they not been securitised, plus the amount of expected losses associated with those exposures calculated under those Articles;
 - 'Ratings based method' means the method of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts for securitisation positions in accordance with Part 4, points 46 to 51;
 - 'Supervisory formula method' means the method of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts for securitisation positions in accordance with Part 4, points 52 to 54;
 - 'Unrated position' means a securitisation position which does not have an eligible credit assessment by an eligible ECAI as defined in Article 97;
 - 'Rated position' means a securitisation position which has an eligible credit assessment by an eligible ECAI as defined in Article 97; and
 - 'Asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) programme' means a programme of securitisations the securities issued by which predominantly take the form of commercial paper with an original maturity of one year or less.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part 2 — Minimum requirements for recognition of significant credit risk transfer and calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts for securitised exposures

1. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR RECOGNITION OF SIGNIFICANT CREDIT RISK TRANSFER IN A TRADITIONAL SECURITISATION

1. The originator credit institution of a traditional securitisation may exclude securitised exposures from the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts if significant credit risk associated with the securitised exposures has been transferred to third parties and the transfer complies with the following conditions:

- (a) The securitisation documentation reflects the economic substance of the transaction;
- (b) The securitised exposures are put beyond the reach of the originator credit institution and its creditors, including in bankruptcy and receivership. This shall be supported by the opinion of qualified legal counsel;
- (c) The securities issued do not represent payment obligations of the originator credit institution;
- (d) The transferee is a securitisation special-purpose entity (SSPE);
- (e) The originator credit institution does not maintain effective or indirect control over the transferred exposures. An originator shall be considered to have maintained effective control over the transferred exposures if it has the right to repurchase from the transferee the previously transferred exposures in order to realise their benefits or if it is obligated to re-assume transferred risk. The originator credit institution's retention of servicing rights or obligations in respect of the exposures shall not of itself constitute indirect control of the exposures;
- (f) Where there is a clean-up call option, the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) The clean-up call option is exercisable at the discretion of the originator credit institution;
 - (ii) The clean-up call option may only be exercised when 10 % or less of the original value of the exposures securitised remains unamortised; and
 - (iii) The clean-up call option is not structured to avoid allocating losses to credit enhancement positions or other positions held by investors and is not otherwise structured to provide credit enhancement; and
- (g) The securitisation documentation does not contain clauses that
 - i) other than in the case of early amortisation provisions, require positions in the securitisation to be improved by the originator credit institution including but not limited to altering the underlying credit exposures or increasing the yield payable to investors in response to a deterioration in the credit quality of the securitised exposures; or
 - ii) increase the yield payable to holders of positions in the securitisation in response to a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying pool.

2. MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR RECOGNITION OF SIGNIFICANT CREDIT RISK TRANSFER IN A SYNTHETIC SECURITISATION

2. An originator credit institution of a synthetic securitisation may calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts, and, as relevant, expected loss amounts, for the securitised exposures in accordance with points 3 and 4 below, if significant credit risk has been transferred to third parties either through funded or unfunded credit protection and the transfer complies with the following conditions:

- (a) The securitisation documentation reflects the economic substance of the transaction;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (b) The credit protection by which the credit risk is transferred complies with the eligibility and other requirements under Articles 90 to 93 for the recognition of such credit protection. For the purposes of this point, special purpose entities shall not be recognised as eligible unfunded protection providers.
- (c) The instruments used to transfer credit risk do not contain terms or conditions that:
- i) impose significant materiality thresholds below which credit protection is deemed not to be triggered if a credit event occurs;
 - ii) allow for the termination of the protection due to deterioration of the credit quality of the underlying exposures;
 - iii) other than in the case of early amortisation provisions, require positions in the securitisation to be improved by the originator credit institution;
 - iv) increase the credit institutions' cost of credit protection or the yield payable to holders of positions in the securitisation in response to a deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying pool; and
- (d) An opinion is obtained from qualified legal counsel confirming the enforceability of the credit protection in all relevant jurisdictions.

3. ORIGINATOR CREDIT INSTITUTIONS' CALCULATION OF RISK-WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS FOR EXPOSURES SECURITISED IN A SYNTHETIC SECURITISATION

3. In calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts for the securitised exposures, where the conditions in point 2 are met, the originator credit institution of a synthetic securitisation shall, subject to points 5 to 7, use the relevant calculation methodologies set out in Part 4 and not those set out in Articles 78 to 89. For credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts and expected loss amounts under Articles 84 to 89, the expected loss amount in respect of such exposures shall be zero.
4. For clarity, point 3 refers to the entire pool of exposures included in the securitisation. Subject to points 5 to 7, the originator credit institution is required to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts in respect of all tranches in the securitisation in accordance with the provisions of Part 4 including those relating to the recognition of credit risk mitigation. For example, where a tranche is transferred by means of unfunded credit protection to a third party, the risk weight of that third party shall be applied to the tranche in the calculation of the originator credit institution's risk-weighted exposure amounts.

3.1. *Treatment of maturity mismatches in synthetic securitisations*

5. For the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with point 3, any maturity mismatch between the credit protection by which the tranching is achieved and the securitised exposures shall be taken into consideration in accordance with points 6 to 7.
6. The maturity of the securitised exposures shall be taken to be the longest maturity of any of those exposures subject to a maximum of five years. The maturity of the credit protection shall be determined in accordance with Annex VIII .
7. An originator credit institution shall ignore any maturity mismatch in calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts for tranches appearing pursuant to Part 4 with a risk weighting of 1 250 %. For all other tranches, the maturity mismatch treatment set out in Annex VIII shall be applied in accordance with the following formula:

$$RW^* \text{ is } [RW(SP) \times (t - t^*) / (T - t^*)] + [RW(Ass) \times (T - t) / (T - t^*)]$$

Where:

RW* is Risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Article 75(a) ;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

RW(Ass) is Risk-weighted exposure amounts for exposures if they had not been securitised, calculated on a pro-rata basis;

RW(SP) is Risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated under point 3 if there was no maturity mismatch;

T is maturity of the underlying exposures expressed in years;

t is maturity of credit protection, expressed in years; and

t* is 0,25.

Part 3 — External credit assessments

1. REQUIREMENTS TO BE MET BY THE CREDIT ASSESSMENTS OF ECAIS
 1. To be used for the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts under Part 4, a credit assessment of an eligible ECAI shall comply with the following conditions.
 - (a) There shall be no mismatch between the types of payments reflected in the credit assessment and the types of payment to which the credit institution is entitled under the contract giving rise to the securitisation position in question; and
 - (b) The credit assessments shall be available publicly to the market. Credit assessments are considered to be publicly available only if they have been published in a publicly accessible forum and they are included in the ECAI's transition matrix. Credit assessments that are made available only to a limited number of entities shall not be considered to be publicly available.
2. USE OF CREDIT ASSESSMENTS
 2. A credit institution may nominate one or more eligible ECAIs the credit assessments of which shall be used in the calculation of its risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 94 to 101 (a 'nominated ECAI').
 3. Subject to points 5 to 7 below, a credit institution must use credit assessments from nominated ECAIs consistently in respect of its securitisation positions.
 4. Subject to points 5 and 6, a credit institution may not use an ECAI's credit assessments for its positions in some tranches and another ECAI's credit assessments for its positions in other tranches within the same structure that may or may not be rated by the first ECAI.
 5. Where a position has two credit assessments by nominated ECAIs, the credit institution shall use the less favourable credit assessment.
 6. Where a position has more than two credit assessments by nominated ECAIs, the two most favourable credit assessments shall be used. If the two most favourable assessments are different, the least favourable of the two shall be used.
 7. Where credit protection eligible under Articles 90 to 93 is provided directly to the SSPE, and that protection is reflected in the credit assessment of a position by a nominated ECAI, the risk weight associated with that credit assessment may be used. If the protection is not eligible under Articles 90 to 93, the credit assessment shall not be recognised. In the situation where the credit protection is not provided to the SSPE but rather directly to a securitisation position, the credit assessment shall not be recognised.
3. MAPPING
 8. The competent authorities shall determine with which credit quality step in the tables set out in Part 4 each credit assessment of an eligible ECAI shall be associated. In doing so the competent authorities shall differentiate between the relative degrees of risk expressed by each assessment. They shall consider quantitative factors, such as default and/or loss rates, and qualitative factors such as the range of transactions assessed by the ECAI and the meaning of the credit assessment.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

9. The competent authorities shall seek to ensure that securitisation positions to which the same risk weight is applied on the basis of the credit assessments of eligible ECAs are subject to equivalent degrees of credit risk. This shall include modifying their determination as to the credit quality step with which a particular credit assessment shall be associated, as appropriate.

Part 4 — Calculation

1. CALCULATION OF RISK-WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS

1. For the purposes of Article 96, the risk-weighted exposure amount of a securitisation position shall be calculated by applying to the exposure value of the position the relevant risk weight as set out in this Part.
2. Subject to point 3:
 - a) where a credit institution calculates risk-weighted exposure amounts under points 6 to 36, the exposure value of an on-balance sheet securitisation position shall be its balance sheet value;
 - b) where a credit institution calculates risk-weighted exposure amounts under points 37 to 76, the exposure value of an on-balance sheet securitisation position shall be measured gross of value adjustments; and
 - c) the exposure value of an off-balance sheet securitisation position shall be its nominal value multiplied by a conversion figure as prescribed in this Annex. This conversion figure shall be 100 % unless otherwise specified.
3. The exposure value of a securitisation position arising from a derivative instrument listed in Annex IV, shall be determined in accordance with Annex III.
4. Where a securitisation position is subject to funded credit protection, the exposure value of that position may be modified in accordance with and subject to the requirements in Annex VIII as further specified in this Annex.
5. Where a credit institution has two or more overlapping positions in a securitisation, it will be required to the extent that they overlap to include in its calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts only the position or portion of a position producing the higher risk-weighted exposure amounts. For the purpose of this point 'overlapping' means that the positions, wholly or partially, represent an exposure to the same risk such that to the extent of the overlap there is a single exposure.

2. CALCULATION OF RISK-WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS UNDER THE STANDARDISED APPROACH

6. Subject to point 8, the risk-weighted exposure amount of a rated securitisation position shall be calculated by applying to the exposure value the risk weight associated with the credit quality step with which the credit assessment has been determined to be associated by the competent authorities in accordance with Article 98 as laid down in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1

Positions other than ones with short-term credit assessments

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5 and below
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	100 %	350 %	1 250 %

Table 2

Positions with short-term credit assessments

Credit quality step	1	2	3	All other credit assessments
Risk weight	20 %	50 %	100 %	1 250 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

7. Subject to points 10 to 15, the risk-weighted exposure amount of an unrated securitisation position shall be calculated by applying a risk weight of 1 250 %.
- 2.1. *Originator and sponsor credit institutions*
 8. For an originator credit institution or sponsor credit institution, the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in respect of its positions in a securitisation may be limited to the risk-weighted exposure amounts which would be calculated for the securitised exposures had they not been securitised subject to the presumed application of a 150 % risk weight to all past due items and items belonging to 'regulatory high risk categories' amongst the securitised exposures.
- 2.2. *Treatment of unrated positions*
 9. Credit institutions having an unrated securitisation position may apply the treatment set out in point 10 for calculating the risk-weighted exposure amount for that position provided the composition of the pool of exposures securitised is known at all times.
 10. A credit institution may apply the weighted-average risk weight that would be applied to the securitised exposures under Articles 78 to 83 by a credit institution holding the exposures, multiplied by a concentration ratio. This concentration ratio is equal to the sum of the nominal amounts of all the tranches divided by the sum of the nominal amounts of the tranches junior to or pari passu with the tranche in which the position is held including that tranche itself. The resulting risk weight shall not be higher than 1 250 % or lower than any risk weight applicable to a rated more senior tranche. Where the credit institution is unable to determine the risk weights that would be applied to the securitised exposures under Articles 78 to 83, it shall apply a risk weight of 1 250 % to the position.
- 2.3. *Treatment of securitisation positions in a second loss tranche or better in an ABCP programme*
 11. Subject to the availability of a more favourable treatment by virtue of the provisions concerning liquidity facilities in points 13 to 15, a credit institution may apply to securitisation positions meeting the conditions set out in point 12 a risk weight that is the greater of 100 % or the highest of the risk weights that would be applied to any of the securitised exposures under Articles 78 to 83 by a credit institution holding the exposures.
 12. For the treatment set out in point 11 to be available, the securitisation position shall be:
 - a) in a tranche which is economically in a second loss position or better in the securitisation and the first loss tranche must provide meaningful credit enhancement to the second loss tranche;
 - b) of a quality the equivalent of investment grade or better; and
 - c) held by a credit institution which does not hold a position in the first loss tranche.
- 2.4. *Treatment of unrated liquidity facilities*
 - 2.4.1. *Eligible liquidity facilities*
 13. When the following conditions are met, to determine its exposure value a conversion figure of 20 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility with an original maturity of one year or less and a conversion figure of 50 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility with an original maturity of more than one year:
 - (a) The liquidity facility documentation shall clearly identify and limit the circumstances under which the facility may be drawn;
 - (b) It shall not be possible for the facility to be drawn so as to provide credit support by covering losses already incurred at the time of draw — for example, by providing liquidity in respect of exposures in default at the time of draw or by acquiring assets at more than fair value;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (c) The facility shall not be used to provide permanent or regular funding for the securitisation;
- (d) Repayment of draws on the facility shall not be subordinated to the claims of investors other than to claims arising in respect of interest rate or currency derivative contracts, fees or other such payments, nor be subject to waiver or deferral;
- (e) It shall not be possible for the facility to be drawn after all applicable credit enhancements from which the liquidity facility would benefit are exhausted; and
- (f) The facility must include a provision that results in an automatic reduction in the amount that can be drawn by the amount of exposures that are in default, where 'default' has the meaning given to it under Articles 84 to 89, or where the pool of securitised exposures consists of rated instruments, that terminates the facility if the average quality of the pool falls below investment grade.

The risk weight to be applied shall be the highest risk weight that would be applied to any of the securitised exposures under Articles 78 to 83 by a credit institution holding the exposures.

2.4.2. Liquidity facilities that may be drawn only in the event of a general market disruption

- 14. To determine its exposure value, a conversion figure of 0 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility that may be drawn only in the event of a general market disruption (i.e. where more than one SPE across different transactions are unable to roll over maturing commercial paper and that inability is not the result of an impairment of the SPE's credit quality or of the credit quality of the securitised exposures), provided that the conditions set out in point 13 are satisfied.

2.4.3. Cash advance facilities

- 15. To determine its exposure value, a conversion figure of 0 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility that is unconditionally cancellable provided that the conditions set out at point 13 are satisfied and that repayment of draws on the facility are senior to any other claims on the cash flows arising from the securitised exposures.

2.5. *Additional capital requirements for securitisations of revolving exposures with early amortisation provisions*

- 16. In addition to the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in respect of its securitisation positions, an originator credit institution shall calculate a risk-weighted exposure amount according to the method set out in points 17 to 33 when it sells revolving exposures into a securitisation that contains an early amortisation provision.
- 17. The credit institution shall calculate a risk-weighted exposure amount in respect of the sum of the originator's interest and the investors' interest.
- 18. For securitisation structures where the securitised exposures comprise revolving and non-revolving exposures, an originator credit institution shall apply the treatment set out in point 19 to 31 to that portion of the underlying pool containing revolving exposures.
- 19. For the purposes of point 16 to 31, 'originator's interest' means the exposure value of that notional Part of a pool of drawn amounts sold into a securitisation, the proportion of which in relation to the amount of the total pool sold into the structure determines the proportion of the cash flows generated by principal and interest collections and other associated amounts which are not available to make payments to those having securitisation positions in the securitisation.

To qualify as such, the originator's interest may not be subordinate to the investors' interest.

'Investors' interest' means the exposure value of the remaining notional Part of the pool of drawn amounts.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

20. The exposure of the originator credit institution, associated with its rights in respect of the originator's interest, shall not be considered a securitisation position but as a pro rata exposure to the securitised exposures as if they had not been securitised.

2.5.1. Exemptions from early amortisation treatment

21. Originators of the following types of securitisation are exempt from the capital requirement in point 16:
- a) securitisations of revolving exposures whereby investors remain fully exposed to all future draws by borrowers so that the risk on the underlying facilities does not return to the originator credit institution even after an early amortisation event has occurred, and
 - b) securitisations where any early amortisation provision is solely triggered by events not related to the performance of the securitised assets or the originator credit institution, such as material changes in tax laws or regulations.

2.5.2. Maximum capital requirement

22. For an originator credit institution subject to the capital requirement in point 16 the total of the risk-weighted exposure amounts in respect of its positions in the investors' interest and the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated under point 16 shall be no greater than the greater of:
- a) the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in respect of its positions in the investors' interest; and
 - b) the risk-weighted exposure amounts that would be calculated in respect of the securitised exposures by a credit institution holding the exposures as if they had not been securitised in an amount equal to the investors' interest.
23. Deduction of net gains, if any, arising from the capitalisation of future income required under Article 57, shall be treated outside the maximum amount indicated in point 22.

2.5.3. Calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts

24. The risk-weighted exposure amount to be calculated in accordance with point 16 shall be determined by multiplying the amount of the investors' interest by the product of the appropriate conversion figure as indicated in points 26 to 33 and the weighted average risk weight that would apply to the securitised exposures if the exposures had not been securitised.
25. An early amortisation provision shall be considered to be 'controlled' where the following conditions are met:
- a) the originator credit institution has an appropriate capital/liquidity plan in place to ensure that it has sufficient capital and liquidity available in the event of an early amortisation;
 - b) throughout the duration of the transaction there is pro-rata sharing between the originator's interest and the investor's interest of payments of interest and principal, expenses, losses and recoveries based on the balance of receivables outstanding at one or more reference points during each month;
 - c) the amortisation period is considered sufficient for 90 % of the total debt (originator's and investors' interest) outstanding at the beginning of the early amortisation period to have been repaid or recognised as in default; and
 - d) the speed of repayment is no more rapid than would be achieved by straight-line amortisation over the period set out in point (c).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

26. In the case of securitisations subject to an early amortisation provision of retail exposures which are uncommitted and unconditionally cancellable without prior notice, where the early amortisation is triggered by the excess spread level falling to a specified level, credit institutions shall compare the three-month average excess spread level with the excess spread levels at which excess spread is required to be trapped.
27. Where the securitisation does not require excess spread to be trapped, the trapping point is deemed to be 4,5 percentage points greater than the excess spread level at which an early amortisation is triggered.
28. The conversion figure to be applied shall be determined by the level of the actual three month average excess spread in accordance with Table 3.

Table 3

	Securitisations subject to a controlled early amortisation provision	Securitisations subject to a non-controlled early amortisation provision
3 months average excess spread	Conversion figure	Conversion figure
Above level A	0 %	0 %
Level A	1 %	5 %
Level B	2 %	15 %
Level C	10 %	50 %
Level D	20 %	100 %
Level E	40 %	100 %

29. In Table 3, 'Level A' means levels of excess spread less than 133,33 % of the trapping level of excess spread but not less than 100 % of that trapping level, 'Level B' means levels of excess spread less than 100 % of the trapping level of excess spread but not less than 75 % of that trapping level, 'Level C' means levels of excess spread less than 75 % of the trapping level of excess spread but not less than 50 % of that trapping level, 'Level D' means levels of excess spread less than 50 % of the trapping level of excess spread but not less than 25 % of that trapping level and 'Level E' means levels of excess spread less than 25 % of the trapping level of excess spread.
30. In the case of securitisations subject to an early amortisation provision of retail exposures which are uncommitted and unconditionally cancellable without prior notice and where the early amortisation is triggered by a quantitative value in respect of something other than the three months average excess spread, the competent authorities may apply a treatment which approximates closely to that prescribed in points 26 to 29 for determining the conversion figure indicated.
31. Where a competent authority intends to apply a treatment in accordance with point 30 in respect of a particular securitisation, it shall first inform the relevant competent authorities of all the other Member States. Before the application of such a treatment becomes Part of the general policy approach of the competent authority to securitisations containing early amortisation clauses of the type in question, the competent authority shall consult the relevant competent authorities of all the other Member States and take into consideration the views expressed. The views expressed in such consultation and the treatment applied shall be publicly disclosed by the competent authority in question.
32. All other securitisations subject to a controlled early amortisation provision of revolving exposures shall be subject to a conversion figure of 90 %.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

33. All other securitisations subject to a non-controlled early amortisation provision of revolving exposures shall be subject to a conversion figure of 100 %.
- 2.6. *Recognition of credit risk mitigation on securitisation positions*
34. Where credit protection is obtained on a securitisation position, the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts may be modified in accordance with Annex VIII.
- 2.7. *Reduction in risk-weighted exposure amounts*
35. As provided in Article 66(2), in respect of a securitisation position in respect of which a 1 250 % risk weight is assigned, credit institutions may, as an alternative to including the position in their calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, deduct from own funds the exposure value of the position. For these purposes, the calculation of the exposure value may reflect eligible funded credit protection in a manner consistent with point 34.
36. Where a credit institution makes use of the alternative indicated in point 35, 12,5 times the amount deducted in accordance with that point shall, for the purposes of point 8, be subtracted from the amount specified in point 8 as the maximum risk-weighted exposure amount to be calculated by the credit institutions there indicated.
3. CALCULATION OF RISK-WEIGHTED EXPOSURE AMOUNTS UNDER THE INTERNAL RATINGS BASED APPROACH
- 3.1. *Hierarchy of methods*
37. For the purposes of Article 96, the risk-weighted exposure amount of a securitisation positions shall be calculated in accordance with points 38 to 76.
38. For a rated position or a position in respect of which an inferred rating may be used, the Ratings Based Method set out in points 46 to 51 shall be used to calculate the risk-weighted exposure amount.
39. For an unrated position the Supervisory Formula Method set out in points 52 to 54 shall be used except where the Internal Assessment Approach is permitted to be used as set out in points 43 and 44.
40. A credit institution other than an originator credit institution or a sponsor credit institution may only use the Supervisory Formula Method with the approval of the competent authorities.
41. In the case of an originator or sponsor credit institution unable to calculate Kirb and which has not obtained approval to use the Internal Assessment Approach for positions in ABCP programmes, and in the case of other credit institutions where they have not obtained approval to use the Supervisory Formula Method or, for positions in ABCP programmes, the Internal Assessment Approach, a risk weight of 1 250 % shall be assigned to securitisation positions which are unrated and in respect of which an inferred rating may not be used.
- 3.1.1. *Use of inferred ratings*
42. When the following minimum operational requirements are satisfied, an institution shall attribute to an unrated position an inferred credit assessment equivalent to the credit assessment of those rated positions (the 'reference positions') which are the most senior positions which are in all respects subordinate to the unrated securitisation position in question:
 - a) the reference positions must be subordinate in all respects to the unrated securitisation position;
 - b) the maturity of the reference positions must be equal to or longer than that of the unrated position in question; and
 - c) on an ongoing basis, any inferred rating must be updated to reflect any changes in the credit assessment of the reference positions.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3.1.2. The 'Internal Assessment Approach' for positions in ABCP programmes

43. Subject to the approval of the competent authorities, when the following conditions are satisfied a credit institution may attribute to an unrated position in an ABCP programme a derived rating as laid down in point 44:
- a) positions in the commercial paper issued from the ABCP programme shall be rated positions;
 - b) the credit institution shall satisfy the competent authorities that its internal assessment of the credit quality of the position reflects the publicly available assessment methodology of one or more eligible ECAIs, for the rating of securities backed by the exposures of the type securitised;
 - c) the ECAIs, the methodology of which shall be reflected as required by the point (b), shall include those ECAIs which have provided an external rating for the commercial paper issued from the ABCP programme. Quantitative elements, such as stress factors, used in assessing the position to a particular credit quality must be at least as conservative as those used in the relevant assessment methodology of the ECAIs in question;
 - d) in developing its internal assessment methodology the credit institution shall take into consideration relevant published ratings methodologies of the eligible ECAIs that rate the commercial paper of the ABCP programme. This consideration shall be documented by the credit institution and updated regularly, as outlined in point (g);
 - e) the credit institution's internal assessment methodology shall include rating grades. There shall be a correspondence between such rating grades and the credit assessments of eligible ECAIs. This correspondence shall be explicitly documented;
 - f) the internal assessment methodology shall be used in the credit institution's internal risk management processes, including its decision making, management information and capital allocation processes;
 - g) internal or external auditors, an ECAI, or the credit institution's internal credit review or risk management function shall perform regular reviews of the internal assessment process and the quality of the internal assessments of the credit quality of the credit institution's exposures to an ABCP programme. If the credit institution's internal audit, credit review, or risk management functions perform the review, then these functions shall be independent of the ABCP programme business line, as well as the customer relationship;
 - h) the credit institution shall track the performance of its internal ratings over time to evaluate the performance of its internal assessment methodology and shall make adjustments, as necessary, to that methodology when the performance of the exposures routinely diverges from that indicated by the internal ratings;
 - i) the ABCP programme shall incorporate underwriting standards in the form of credit and investment guidelines. In deciding on an asset purchase, the ABCP programme administrator shall consider the type of asset being purchased, the type and monetary value of the exposures arising from the provision of liquidity facilities and credit enhancements, the loss distribution, and the legal and economic isolation of the transferred assets from the entity selling the assets. A credit analysis of the asset seller's risk profile shall be performed and shall include analysis of past and expected future financial performance, current market position, expected future competitiveness, leverage, cash flow, interest coverage and debt rating. In addition, a review of the seller's underwriting standards, servicing capabilities, and collection processes shall be performed;
 - j) the ABCP programme's underwriting standards shall establish minimum asset eligibility criteria that, in particular:
 - i) exclude the purchase of assets that are significantly past due or defaulted;
 - ii) limit excess concentration to individual obligor or geographic area; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- iii) limits the tenor of the assets to be purchased;
- k) the ABCP programme shall have collections policies and processes that take into account the operational capability and credit quality of the servicer. The ABCP programme shall mitigate seller/servicer risk through various methods, such as triggers based on current credit quality that would preclude commingling of funds;
- l) the aggregated estimate of loss on an asset pool that the ABCP programme is considering purchasing must take into account all sources of potential risk, such as credit and dilution risk. If the seller-provided credit enhancement is sized based only on credit-related losses, then a separate reserve shall be established for dilution risk, if dilution risk is material for the particular exposure pool. In addition, in sizing the required enhancement level, the program shall review several years of historical information, including losses, delinquencies, dilutions, and the turn-over rate of the receivables; and
- m) the ABCP programme shall incorporate structural features — for example wind down triggers — into the purchase of exposures in order to mitigate potential credit deterioration of the underlying portfolio.

The requirement for the assessment methodology of the ECAI to be publicly available may be waived by the competent authorities where they are satisfied that due to the specific features of the securitisation — for example its unique structure — there is as yet no publicly available ECAI assessment methodology.

44. The unrated position shall be assigned by the credit institution to one of the rating grades described in point 43. The position shall be attributed a derived rating the same as the credit assessments corresponding to that rating grade as laid down in point 43. Where this derived rating is, at the inception of the securitisation, at the level of investment grade or better, it shall be considered the same as an eligible credit assessment by an eligible ECAI for the purposes of calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts.

3.2. *Maximum risk-weighted exposure amounts*

45. For an originator credit institution, a sponsor credit institution, or for other credit institutions which can calculate KIRB, the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in respect of its positions in a securitisation may be limited to that which would produce a capital requirement under Article 75(a) equal to the sum of 8 % of the risk-weighted exposure amounts which would be produced if the securitised assets had not been securitised and were on the balance sheet of the credit institution plus the expected loss amounts of those exposures.

3.3. *Ratings Based Method*

46. Under the Ratings Based Method, the risk-weighted exposure amount of a rated securitisation position shall be calculated by applying to the exposure value the risk weight associated with the credit quality step with which the credit assessment has been determined to be associated by the competent authorities in accordance with Article 98, as set out in the Tables 4 and 5, multiplied by 1,06.

Table 4

Positions other than ones with short-term credit assessments

Credit Quality Step (CQS)	Risk weight		
	A	B	C
CQS 1	7 %	12 %	20 %
CQS 2	8 %	15 %	25 %
CQS 3	10 %	18 %	35 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Credit Quality Step (CQS)	Risk weight		
	A	B	C
CQS 4	12 %	20 %	35 %
CQS 5	20 %	35 %	35 %
CQS 6	35 %	50 %	50 %
CQS 7	60 %	75 %	75 %
CQS 8	100 %	100 %	100 %
CQS 9	250 %	250 %	250 %
CQS 10	425 %	425 %	425 %
CQS 11	650 %	650 %	650 %
Below CQS 11	1 250 %	1 250 %	1 250 %

Table 5

Positions with short term credit assessments

Credit Quality Step (CQS)	Risk weight		
	A	B	C
CQS 1	7 %	12 %	20 %
CQS 2	12 %	20 %	35 %
CQS 3	60 %	75 %	75 %
All other credit assessments	1 250 %	1 250 %	1 250 %

47. Subject to points 48 and 49, the risk weights in column A of each table shall be applied where the position is in the most senior tranche of a securitisation. When determining whether a tranche is the most senior, it is not required to take into consideration amounts due under interest rate or currency derivative contracts, fees due, or other similar payments.
48. A risk weight of 6 % may be applied to a position in the most senior tranche of a securitisation where that tranche is senior in all respects to another tranche of the securitisation positions which would receive a risk weight of 7 % under point 46, provided that:
- the competent authority is satisfied that this is justified due to the loss absorption qualities of subordinate tranches in the securitisation; and
 - either the position has an external credit assessment which has been determined to be associated with credit quality step 1 in Table 4 or 5 or, if it is unrated, requirements (a) to (c) in point 42 are satisfied where 'reference positions' are taken to mean positions in the subordinate tranche which would receive a risk weight of 7 % under point 46.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

49. The risk weights in column C of each table shall be applied where the position is in a securitisation where the effective number of exposures securitised is less than six. In calculating the effective number of exposures securitised multiple exposures to one obligor must be treated as one exposure. The effective number of exposures is calculated as:

$$N = \frac{\left(\sum_i EAD_i\right)^2}{\sum_i EAD_i^2}$$

where EAD_i represents the sum of the exposure values of all exposures to the *i*th obligor. In the case of resecuritisation (securitisation of securitisation exposures), the credit institution must look at the number of securitisation exposures in the pool and not the number of underlying exposures in the original pools from which the underlying securitisation exposures stem. If the portfolio share associated with the largest exposure, C₁, is available, the credit institution may compute N as 1/C₁.

50. The risk weights in Column B shall be applied to all other positions.
51. Credit risk mitigation on securitisation positions may be recognised in accordance with points 60 to 62.

3.4. Supervisory Formula Method

52. Subject to points 58 and 59, under the Supervisory Formula Method, the risk weight for a securitisation position shall be the greater of 7 % or the risk weight to be applied in accordance with point 53.
53. Subject to points 58 and 59, the risk weight to be applied to the exposure amount shall be:

$$12,5 \times (S[L + T] - S[L])/T$$

where:

$$S[x] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \quad \text{when } x \leq Kirbr \\ Kirbr + K[x] - K[Kirbr] + (d \cdot Kirbr/\omega) \left(1 - e^{\omega(Kirbr - x)/Kirbr}\right) \quad \text{when } Kirbr < x \end{array} \right\}$$

where:

$$h = (1 - Kirbr / ELGD)^N$$

$$c = Kirbr / (1 - h)$$

$$v = \frac{(ELGD - Kirbr) Kirbr + 0,25 (1 - ELGD) Kirbr}{N}$$

$$f = \left(\frac{v + Kirbr^2}{1 - h} - c^2 \right) + \frac{(1 - Kirbr) Kirbr - v}{(1 - h)\tau}$$

$$g = \frac{(1 - c)c}{f} - 1$$

$$a = g \cdot c$$

$$b = g \cdot (1 - c)$$

$$d = 1 - (1 - h) \cdot (1 - \text{Beta}[Kirbr; a, b])$$

$$K[x] = (1 - h) \cdot ((1 - \text{Beta}[x; a, b])x + \text{Beta}[x; a + 1, b], c).$$

$\tau = 1\,000$, and

$\omega = 20$.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

In these expressions, Beta [x; a, b] refers to the cumulative beta distribution with parameters a and b evaluated at x.

T (the thickness of the tranche in which the position is held) is measured as the ratio of (a) the nominal amount of the tranche to (b) the sum of the exposure values of the exposures that have been securitised. For the purposes of calculating T the exposure value of a derivative instrument listed in Annex IV shall, where the current replacement cost is not a positive value, be the potential future credit exposure calculated in accordance with Annex III.

Kirbr is the ratio of (a) Kirb to (b) the sum of the exposure values of the exposures that have been securitised. Kirbr is expressed in decimal form (e.g. Kirb equal to 15 % of the pool would be expressed as Kirbr of 0,15).

L (the credit enhancement level) is measured as the ratio of the nominal amount of all tranches subordinate to the tranche in which the position is held to the sum of the exposure values of the exposures that have been securitised. Capitalised future income shall not be included in the measured L. Amounts due by counterparties to derivative instruments listed in Annex IV that represent tranches more junior than the tranche in question may be measured at their current replacement cost (without the potential future credit exposures) in calculating the enhancement level.

N is the effective number of exposures calculated in accordance with point 49.

ELGD, the exposure-weighted average loss-given-default, is calculated as follows:

$$ELGD = \frac{\sum_i LGD_i \cdot EAD_i}{\sum_i EAD_i}$$

where LGD_i represents the average LGD associated with all exposures to the i th obligor, where LGD is determined in accordance with Articles 84 to 89. In the case of resecuritisation, an LGD of 100 % shall be applied to the securitised positions. When default and dilution risk for purchased receivables are treated in an aggregate manner within a securitisation (e.g. a single reserve or over-collateralisation is available to cover losses from either source), the LGD_i input shall be constructed as a weighted average of the LGD for credit risk and the 75 % LGD for dilution risk. The weights shall be the stand-alone capital charges for credit risk and dilution risk respectively.

Simplified inputs

If the exposure value of the largest securitised exposure, C_1 , is no more than 3 % of the sum of the exposure values of the securitised exposures, then, for the purposes of the Supervisory Formula Method, the credit institution may set $LGD=50\%$ and N equal to either:

$$N = \left(C_1 C_m + \left(\frac{C_m - C_1}{m - 1} \right) \max\{1 - m C_1, 0\} \right)^{-1}$$

or

$$N = 1 / C_1$$

C_m is the ratio of the sum of the exposure values of the largest 'm' exposures to the sum of the exposure values of the exposures securitised. The level of 'm' may be set by the credit institution.

For securitisations involving retail exposures, the competent authorities may permit the Supervisory Formula Method to be implemented using the simplifications: $h = 0$ and $v = 0$.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

54. Credit risk mitigation on securitisation positions may be recognised in accordance with points 60, 61 and 63 to 67.

3.5. *Liquidity Facilities*

55. The provisions in points 56 to 59 apply for the purposes of determining the exposure value of an unrated securitisation position in the form of certain types of liquidity facility.

3.5.1. Liquidity Facilities Only Available in the Event of General Market Disruption

56. A conversion figure of 20 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility that may only be drawn in the event of a general market disruption and that meets the conditions to be an 'eligible liquidity facility' set out in point 13.

3.5.2. Cash advance facilities

57. A conversion figure of 0 % may be applied to the nominal amount of a liquidity facility that meets the conditions set out in point 15.

3.5.3. Exceptional treatment where Kirb cannot be calculated.

58. When it is not practical for the credit institution to calculate the risk-weighted exposure amounts for the securitised exposures as if they had not been securitised, a credit institution may, on an exceptional basis and subject to the consent of the competent authorities, temporarily be allowed to apply the method set out in point 59 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts for an unrated securitisation position in the form of a liquidity facility that meets the conditions to be an 'eligible liquidity facility' set out in point 13 or that falls within the terms of point 56.

59. The highest risk weight that would be applied under Articles 78 to 83 to any of the securitised exposures, had they not been securitised, may be applied to the securitisation position represented by the liquidity facility. To determine the exposure value of the position a conversion figure of 50 % may be applied to the nominal amount of the liquidity facility if the facility has an original maturity of one year or less. If the liquidity facility complies with the conditions in point 56 a conversion figure of 20 % may be applied. In other cases a conversion factor of 100 % shall be applied.

3.6. *Recognition of credit risk mitigation in respect of securitisation positions*

3.6.1. Funded credit protection

60. Eligible funded protection is limited to that which is eligible for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83 as laid down under Articles 90 to 93 and recognition is subject to compliance with the relevant minimum requirements as laid down under those Articles.

3.6.2. Unfunded credit protection

61. Eligible unfunded credit protection and unfunded protection providers are limited to those which are eligible under Articles 90 to 93 and recognition is subject to compliance with the relevant minimum requirements laid down under those Articles.

3.6.3. Calculation of capital requirements for securitisation positions with credit risk mitigation

Ratings Based Method

62. Where risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated using the Ratings Based Method, the exposure value and/or the risk-weighted exposure amount for a securitisation position in respect of which credit protection has been obtained may be modified in accordance with the provisions of Annex VIII as they apply for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Supervisory Formula Method — full credit protection

63. Where risk-weighted exposure amounts are calculated using the Supervisory Formula Method, the credit institution shall determine the 'effective risk weight' of the position. It shall do this by dividing the risk-weighted exposure amount of the position by the exposure value of the position and multiplying the result by 100.
64. In the case of funded credit protection, the risk-weighted exposure amount of the securitisation position shall be calculated by multiplying the funded protection-adjusted exposure amount of the position (E^* , as calculated under Articles 90 to 93 for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83 taking the amount of the securitisation position to be E) by the effective risk weight.
65. In the case of unfunded credit protection, the risk-weighted exposure amount of the securitisation position shall be calculated by multiplying G_A (the amount of the protection adjusted for any currency mismatch and maturity mismatch in accordance with the provisions of Annex VIII) by the risk weight of the protection provider; and adding this to the amount arrived at by multiplying the amount of the securitisation position minus G_A by the effective risk weight.

Supervisory formula method — partial protection

66. If the credit risk mitigation covers the 'first loss' or losses on a proportional basis on the securitisation position, the credit institution may apply points 63 to 65.
 67. In other cases, the credit institution shall treat the securitisation position as two or more positions with the uncovered portion being considered the position with the lower credit quality. For the purposes of calculating the risk-weighted exposure amount for this position, the provisions in points 52 to 54 shall apply subject to the modifications that 'T' shall be adjusted to e^* in the case of funded credit protection; and to $T-g$ in the case of unfunded credit protection, where e^* denotes the ratio of E^* to the total notional amount of the underlying pool, where E^* is the adjusted exposure amount of the securitisation position calculated in accordance with the provisions of Annex VIII as they apply for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts under Articles 78 to 83 taking the amount of the securitisation position to be E ; and g is the ratio of the nominal amount of credit protection (adjusted for any currency or maturity mismatch in accordance with the provisions of Annex VIII) to the sum of the exposure amounts of the securitised exposures. In the case of unfunded credit protection the risk weight of the protection provider shall be applied to that portion of the position not falling within the adjusted value of 'T'.
- 3.7. *Additional capital requirements for securitisations of revolving exposures with early amortisation provisions*
68. In addition to the risk-weighted exposure amounts calculated in respect of its securitisation positions, an originator credit institution shall be required to calculate a risk-weighted exposure amount according to the methodology set out in points 16 to 33 when it sells revolving exposures into a securitisation that contains an early amortisation provision.
 69. For the purposes of point 68, points 70 and 71 shall replace points 19 and 20.
 70. For the purposes of these provisions, 'originators interest' shall be the sum of:
 - a) the exposure value of that notional Part of a pool of drawn amounts sold into a securitisation, the proportion of which in relation to the amount of the total pool sold into the structure determines the proportion of the cash flows generated by principal and interest collections and other associated amounts which are not available to make payments to those having securitisation positions in the securitisation; plus
 - b) the exposure value of that Part of the pool of undrawn amounts of the credit lines, the drawn amounts of which have been sold into the securitisation, the proportion of which to the total amount of such undrawn amounts is the same as the proportion of the exposure value described in point (a) to the exposure value of the pool of drawn amounts sold into the securitisation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

To qualify as such, the originator's interest may not be subordinate to the investors' interest.

'Investors' interest' means the exposure value of the notional part of the pool of drawn amounts not falling within point (a) plus the exposure value of that part of the pool of undrawn amounts of credit lines, the drawn amounts of which have been sold into the securitisation, not falling within point (b).

71. The exposure of the originator credit institution associated with its rights in respect of that Part of the originator's interest described in point 70(a) shall not be considered a securitisation position but as a pro rata exposure to the securitised drawn amounts exposures as if they had not been securitised in an amount equal to that described in point 70(a). The originator credit institution shall also be considered to have a pro rata exposure to the undrawn amounts of the credit lines, the drawn amounts of which have been sold into the securitisation, in an amount equal to that described in point 70(b).
 - 3.8. *Reduction in risk-weighted exposure amounts*
 72. The risk-weighted exposure amount of a securitisation position to which a 1 250 % risk weight is assigned may be reduced by 12,5 times the amount of any value adjustments made by the credit institution in respect of the securitised exposures. To the extent that value adjustments are taken account of for this purpose they shall not be taken account of for the purposes of the calculation indicated in Annex VII, Part 1, point 36.
 73. The risk-weighted exposure amount of a securitisation position may be reduced by 12,5 times the amount of any value adjustments made by the credit institution in respect of the position.
 74. As provided in Article 66(2), in respect of a securitisation position in respect of which a 1 250 % risk weight applies, credit institutions may, as an alternative to including the position in their calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, deduct from own funds the exposure value of the position.
 75. For the purposes of point 74:
 - a) the exposure value of the position may be derived from the risk-weighted exposure amounts taking into account any reductions made in accordance with points 72 and 73;
 - b) the calculation of the exposure value may reflect eligible funded protection in a manner consistent with the methodology prescribed in points 60 to 67; and
 - c) where the Supervisory Formula Method is used to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts and $L \leq K_{IRBR}$ and $[L+T] > K_{IRBR}$ the position may be treated as two positions with L equal to K_{IRBR} for the more senior of the positions.
 76. Where a credit institution makes use of the alternative indicated in point 74, 12,5 times the amount deducted in accordance with that point shall, for the purposes of point 45, be subtracted from the amount specified in point 45 as the maximum risk-weighted exposure amount to be calculated by the credit institutions there indicated.
-

ANNEX X

OPERATIONAL RISK**Part 1 — Basic Indicator Approach**

1. CAPITAL REQUIREMENT

1. Under the Basic Indicator Approach, the capital requirement for operational risk is equal to 15 % of the relevant indicator defined in points 2 to 9.

2. RELEVANT INDICATOR

2. The relevant indicator is the average over three years of the sum of net interest income and net non-interest income.
3. The three-year average is calculated on the basis of the last three twelve-monthly observations at the end of the financial year. When audited figures are not available, business estimates may be used.
4. If for any given observation, the sum of net interest income and net non-interest income is negative or equal to zero, this figure shall not be taken into account in the calculation of the three-year average. The relevant indicator shall be calculated as the sum of positive figures divided by the number of positive figures.

2.1. *Credit institutions subject to Directive 86/635/EEC*

5. Based on the accounting categories for the profit and loss account of credit institutions under Article 27 of Directive 86/635/EEC, the relevant indicator shall be expressed as the sum of the elements listed in Table 1. Each element shall be included in the sum with its positive or negative sign.
6. These elements may need to be adjusted to reflect the qualifications in points 7 and 8.

Table 1:

1	Interest receivable and similar income
2	Interest payable and similar charges
3	Income from shares and other variable/fixed-yield securities
4	Commissions/fees receivable
5	Commissions/fees payable
6	Net profit or net loss on financial operations
7	Other operating income

2.1.1. Qualifications:

7. The indicator shall be calculated before the deduction of any provisions and operating expenses. Operating expenses shall include fees paid for outsourcing services rendered by third parties which are not a parent or subsidiary of the credit institution or a subsidiary of a parent which is also the parent of the credit institution. Expenditure on the outsourcing of services rendered by third parties may reduce the relevant indicator if the expenditure is incurred from an undertaking subject to supervision under, or equivalent to, this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

8. The following elements shall not be used in the calculation of the relevant indicator:
- (a) Realised profits/losses from the sale of non-trading book items;
 - (b) Income from extraordinary or irregular items;
 - (c) Income derived from insurance.

When revaluation of trading items is part of the profit and loss statement, revaluation could be included. When Article 36 (2) of Directive 86/635/EEC is applied, revaluation booked in the profit and loss account should be included.

2.2. *Credit institutions subject to a different accounting framework*

9. When credit institutions are subject to an accounting framework different from the one established by Directive 86/635/EEC, they should calculate the relevant indicator on the basis of data that best reflect the definition set out in points 2 to 8.

Part 2 — Standardised Approach

1. CAPITAL REQUIREMENT

1. Under the Standardised Approach, the capital requirement for operational risk is the average over three years of the risk-weighted relevant indicators calculated each year across the business lines referred to in Table 2. In each year, a negative capital requirement in one business line, resulting from a negative relevant indicator may be imputed to the whole. However, where the aggregate capital charge across all business lines within a given year is negative, then the input to the average for that year shall be zero.
2. The three-year average is calculated on the basis of the last three twelve-monthly observations at the end of the financial year. When audited figures are not available, business estimates may be used.

Table 2:

Business line	List of activities	Percentage
Corporate finance	Underwriting of financial instruments and/or placing of financial instruments on a firm commitment basis Services related to underwriting Investment advice Advice to undertakings on capital structure, industrial strategy and related matters and advice and services relating to the mergers and the purchase of undertakings Investment research and financial analysis and other forms of general recommendation relating to transactions in financial instruments	18 %
Trading and sales	Dealing on own account Money broking Reception and transmission of orders in relation to one or more financial instruments Execution of orders on behalf of clients Placing of financial instruments without a firm commitment basis Operation of Multilateral Trading Facilities	18 %
Retail brokerage (Activities with a individual physical persons or with small and medium sized entities meeting the criteria set out in Article 79 for the retail exposure class)	Reception and transmission of orders in relation to one or more financial instruments Execution of orders on behalf of clients Placing of financial instruments without a firm commitment basis	12 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Business line	List of activities	Percentage
Commercial banking	Acceptance of deposits and other repayable funds Lending Financial leasing Guarantees and commitments	15 %
Retail banking (Activities with a individual physical persons or with small and medium sized entities meeting the criteria set out in Article 79 for the retail exposure class)	Acceptance of deposits and other repayable funds Lending Financial leasing Guarantees and commitments	12 %
Payment and settlement	Money transmission services, Issuing and administering means of payment	18 %
Agency services	Safekeeping and administration of financial instruments for the account of clients, including custodianship and related services such as cash/collateral management	15 %
Asset management	Portfolio management Managing of UCITS Other forms of asset management	12 %

3. Competent authorities may authorise a credit institution to calculate its capital requirement for operational risk using an alternative standardised approach, as set out in points 5 to 11.

2. PRINCIPLES FOR BUSINESS LINE MAPPING

4. Credit institutions must develop and document specific policies and criteria for mapping the relevant indicator for current business lines and activities into the standardised framework. The criteria must be reviewed and adjusted as appropriate for new or changing business activities and risks. The principles for business line mapping are:

- a) all activities must be mapped into the business lines in a mutually exclusive and jointly exhaustive manner;
- b) any activity which cannot be readily mapped into the business line framework, but which represents an ancillary function to an activity included in the framework, must be allocated to the business line it supports. If more than one business line is supported through the ancillary activity, an objective-mapping criterion must be used;
- c) if an activity cannot be mapped into a particular business line then the business line yielding the highest percentage must be used. The same business line equally applies to any associated ancillary activity;
- d) credit institutions may use internal pricing methods to allocate the relevant indicator between business lines. Costs generated in one business line which are imputable to a different business line may be reallocated to the business line to which they pertain, for instance by using a treatment based on internal transfer costs between the two business lines;
- e) the mapping of activities into business lines for operational risk capital purposes must be consistent with the categories used for credit and market risks;
- f) senior management is responsible for the mapping policy under the control of the governing bodies of the credit institution; and
- g) the mapping process to business lines must be subject to independent review.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. ALTERNATIVE INDICATORS FOR CERTAIN BUSINESS LINES
 - 3.1. *Modalities*
 5. The competent authorities may authorise the credit institution to use an alternative relevant indicator for the business lines: retail banking and commercial banking.
 6. For these business lines, the relevant indicator shall be a normalised income indicator equal to the three-year average of the total nominal amount of loans and advances multiplied by 0,035.
 7. For the retail and/or commercial banking business lines, the loans and advances shall consist of the total drawn amounts in the corresponding credit portfolios. For the commercial banking business line, securities held in the non trading book shall also be included.
 - 3.2. *Conditions*
 8. The authorisation to use alternative relevant indicators shall be subject to the conditions in points 9 to 11.
 - 3.2.1. General condition
 9. The credit institution meets the qualifying criteria set out in point 12.
 - 3.2.2. Conditions specific to retail banking and commercial banking
 10. The credit institution is overwhelmingly active in retail and/or commercial banking activities, which shall account for at least 90 % of its income.
 11. The credit institution is able to demonstrate to the competent authorities that a significant proportion of its retail and/or commercial banking activities comprise loans associated with a high PD, and that the alternative standardised approach provides an improved basis for assessing the operational risk.
4. QUALIFYING CRITERIA
 12. Credit institutions must meet the qualifying criteria listed below, in addition to the general risk management standards set out in Article 22 and Annex V. Satisfaction of these criteria shall be determined having regard to the size and scale of activities of the credit institution and to the principle of proportionality.
 - (a) Credit institutions shall have a well-documented assessment and management system for operational risk with clear responsibilities assigned for this system. They shall identify their exposures to operational risk and track relevant operational risk data, including material loss data. This system shall be subject to regular independent review.
 - (b) The operational risk assessment system must be closely integrated into the risk management processes of the credit institution. Its output must be an integral Part of the process of monitoring and controlling the credit institution's operational risk profile.
 - (c) Credit institutions shall implement a system of management reporting that provides operational risk reports to relevant functions within the credit institution. Credit institutions shall have procedures for taking appropriate action according to the information within the management reports.

Part 3 — Advanced Measurement Approaches

1. QUALIFYING CRITERIA
 1. To be eligible for an Advanced Measurement Approach, credit institutions must satisfy the competent authorities that they meet the qualifying criteria below, in addition to the general risk management standards in Article 22 and Annex V.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1.1. *Qualitative Standards*

2. The credit institution's internal operational risk measurement system shall be closely integrated into its day-to-day risk management processes.
3. The credit institution must have an independent risk management function for operational risk.
4. There must be regular reporting of operational risk exposures and loss experience. The credit institution shall have procedures for taking appropriate corrective action.
5. The credit institution's risk management system must be well documented. The credit institution shall have routines in place for ensuring compliance and policies for the treatment of non-compliance.
6. The operational risk management processes and measurement systems shall be subject to regular reviews performed by internal and/or external auditors.
7. The validation of the operational risk measurement system by the competent authorities shall include the following elements:
 - a) verifying that the internal validation processes are operating in a satisfactory manner;
 - b) making sure that data flows and processes associated with the risk measurement system are transparent and accessible.

1.2. *Quantitative Standards*

1.2.1. *Process*

8. Credit institutions shall calculate their capital requirement as comprising both expected loss and unexpected loss, unless they can demonstrate that expected loss is adequately captured in their internal business practices. The operational risk measure must capture potentially severe tail events, achieving a soundness standard comparable to a 99,9 % confidence interval over a one year period.
9. The operational risk measurement system of a credit institution must have certain key elements to meet the soundness standard set out in point 8. These elements must include the use of internal data, external data, scenario analysis and factors reflecting the business environment and internal control systems as set out in points 13 to 24. A credit institution needs to have a well documented approach for weighting the use of these four elements in its overall operational risk measurement system.
10. The risk measurement system shall capture the major drivers of risk affecting the shape of the tail of the loss estimates.
11. Correlations in operational risk losses across individual operational risk estimates may be recognised only if credit institutions can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authorities that their systems for measuring correlations are sound, implemented with integrity, and take into account the uncertainty surrounding any such correlation estimates, particularly in periods of stress. The credit institution must validate its correlation assumptions using appropriate quantitative and qualitative techniques.
12. The risk measurement system shall be internally consistent and shall avoid the multiple counting of qualitative assessments or risk mitigation techniques recognised in other areas of the capital adequacy framework.

1.2.2. *Internal data*

13. Internally generated operational risk measures shall be based on a minimum historical observation period of five years. When a credit institution first moves to an Advanced Measurement Approach, a three-year historical observation period is acceptable.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

14. Credit institutions must be able to map their historical internal loss data into the business lines defined in Part 2 and into the event types defined in Part 5, and to provide these data to competent authorities upon request. There must be documented, objective criteria for allocating losses to the specified business lines and event types. The operational risk losses that are related to credit risk and have historically been included in the internal credit risk databases must be recorded in the operational risk databases and be separately identified. Such losses will not be subject to the operational risk charge, as long as they continue to be treated as credit risk for the purposes of calculating minimum capital requirements. Operational risk losses that are related to market risks shall be included in the scope of the capital requirement for operational risk.
15. The credit institution's internal loss data must be comprehensive in that it captures all material activities and exposures from all appropriate sub-systems and geographic locations. Credit institutions must be able to justify that any excluded activities or exposures, both individually and in combination, would not have a material impact on the overall risk estimates. Appropriate minimum loss thresholds for internal loss data collection must be defined.
16. Aside from information on gross loss amounts, credit institutions shall collect information about the date of the event, any recoveries of gross loss amounts, as well as some descriptive information about the drivers or causes of the loss event.
17. There shall be specific criteria for assigning loss data arising from an event in a centralised function or an activity that spans more than one business line, as well as from related events over time.
18. Credit institutions must have documented procedures for assessing the on-going relevance of historical loss data, including those situations in which judgement overrides, scaling, or other adjustments may be used, to what extent they may be used and who is authorised to make such decisions.

1.2.3. External data

19. The credit institution's operational risk measurement system shall use relevant external data, especially when there is reason to believe that the credit institution is exposed to infrequent, yet potentially severe, losses. A credit institution must have a systematic process for determining the situations for which external data must be used and the methodologies used to incorporate the data in its measurement system. The conditions and practices for external data use must be regularly reviewed, documented and subject to periodic independent review.

1.2.4. Scenario analysis

20. The credit institution shall use scenario analysis of expert opinion in conjunction with external data to evaluate its exposure to high severity events. Over time, such assessments need to be validated and re-assessed through comparison to actual loss experience to ensure their reasonableness.

1.2.5. Business environment and internal control factors

21. The credit institution's firm-wide risk assessment methodology must capture key business environment and internal control factors that can change its operational risk profile.
22. The choice of each factor needs to be justified as a meaningful driver of risk, based on experience and involving the expert judgment of the affected business areas.
23. The sensitivity of risk estimates to changes in the factors and the relative weighting of the various factors need to be well reasoned. In addition to capturing changes in risk due to improvements in risk controls, the framework must also capture potential increases in risk due to greater complexity of activities or increased business volume.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

24. This framework must be documented and subject to independent review within the credit institution and by competent authorities. Over time, the process and the outcomes need to be validated and re-assessed through comparison to actual internal loss experience and relevant external data.
2. IMPACT OF INSURANCE AND OTHER RISK TRANSFER MECHANISMS
25. Credit institutions shall be able to recognise the impact of insurance subject to the conditions set out in points 26 to 29 and other risk transfer mechanisms where the credit institution can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the competent authorities that a noticeable risk mitigating effect is achieved.
26. The provider is authorised to provide insurance or re-insurance and the provider has a minimum claims paying ability rating by an eligible ECAI which has been determined by the competent authority to be associated with credit quality step 3 or above under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to credit institutions under Articles 78 to 83.
27. The insurance and the credit institutions' insurance framework shall meet the following conditions:
- a) the insurance policy must have an initial term of no less than one year. For policies with a residual term of less than one year, the credit institution must make appropriate haircuts reflecting the declining residual term of the policy, up to a full 100 % haircut for policies with a residual term of 90 days or less;
 - b) the insurance policy has a minimum notice period for cancellation of the contract of 90 days;
 - c) the insurance policy has no exclusions or limitations triggered by supervisory actions or, in the case of a failed credit institution, that preclude the credit institution receiver or liquidator, from recovering for damages suffered or expenses incurred by the credit institution, except in respect of events occurring after the initiation of receivership or liquidation proceedings in respect of the credit institution; provided that the insurance policy may exclude any fine, penalty, or punitive damages resulting from actions by the competent authorities;
 - d) the risk mitigation calculations must reflect the insurance coverage in a manner that is transparent in its relationship to, and consistent with, the actual likelihood and impact of loss used in the overall determination of operational risk capital;
 - e) the insurance is provided by a third party entity. In the case of insurance through captives and affiliates, the exposure has to be laid off to an independent third party entity, for example through re-insurance, that meets the eligibility criteria; and
 - f) the framework for recognising insurance is well reasoned and documented.
28. The methodology for recognising insurance shall capture the following elements through discounts or haircuts in the amount of insurance recognition:
- a) the residual term of an insurance policy, where less than one year, as noted above;
 - b) a policy's cancellation terms, where less than one year; and
 - c) the uncertainty of payment as well as mismatches in coverage of insurance policies.
29. The capital alleviation arising from the recognition of insurance shall not exceed 20 % of the capital requirement for operational risk before the recognition of risk-mitigation techniques.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. APPLICATION TO USE AN ADVANCED MEASUREMENT APPROACH ON A GROUP-WIDE BASIS
30. When an Advanced Measurement Approach is intended to be used by the EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries, or by the subsidiaries of an EU parent financial holding company, the application shall include a description of the methodology used for allocating operational risk capital between the different entities of the group.
31. The application shall indicate whether and how diversification effects are intended to be factored in the risk measurement system.

Part 4 — Combined use of different methodologies

1. USE OF AN ADVANCED MEASUREMENT APPROACH IN COMBINATION WITH OTHER APPROACHES
 1. A credit institution may use an Advanced Measurement Approach in combination with either the Basic Indicator Approach or the Standardised Approach, subject to the following conditions:
 - a) all operational risks of the credit institution are captured. The competent authority shall be satisfied with the methodology used to cover different activities, geographical locations, legal structures or other relevant divisions determined on an internal basis; and
 - b) the qualifying criteria set out in Parts 2 and 3 are fulfilled for the Part of activities covered by the Standardised Approach and Advanced Measurement Approaches respectively.
 2. On a case-by case basis, the competent authority may impose the following additional conditions:
 - a) on the date of implementation of an Advanced Measurement Approach, a significant part of the credit institution's operational risks are captured by the Advanced Measurement Approach; and
 - b) the credit institution takes a commitment to roll out the Advanced Measurement Approach across a material Part of its operations within a time schedule agreed with its competent authorities.
2. COMBINED USE OF THE BASIC INDICATOR APPROACH AND OF THE STANDARDISED APPROACH
3. A credit institution may use a combination of the Basic Indicator Approach and the Standardised Approach only in exceptional circumstances such as the recent acquisition of new business which may require a transition period for the roll out of the Standardised Approach.
4. The combined use of the Basic Indicator Approach and the Standardised Approach shall be conditional upon a commitment by the credit institution to roll out the Standardised Approach within a time schedule agreed with the competent authorities.

Part 5 — Loss event type classification

Table 3

Event-Type Category	Definition
Internal fraud	Losses due to acts of a type intended to defraud, misappropriate property or circumvent regulations, the law or company policy, excluding diversity/discrimination events, which involves at least one internal party
External fraud	Losses due to acts of a type intended to defraud, misappropriate property or circumvent the law, by a third party
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety	Losses arising from acts inconsistent with employment, health or safety laws or agreements, from payment of personal injury claims, or from diversity/discrimination events

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Event-Type Category	Definition
Clients, Products & Business Practices	Losses arising from an unintentional or negligent failure to meet a professional obligation to specific clients (including fiduciary and suitability requirements), or from the nature or design of a product
Damage to Physical Assets	Losses arising from loss or damage to physical assets from natural disaster or other events
Business disruption and system failures	Losses arising from disruption of business or system failures
Execution, Delivery & Process Management	Losses from failed transaction processing or process management, from relations with trade counterparties and vendors

ANNEX XI

**TECHNICAL CRITERIA ON REVIEW AND EVALUATION BY THE
COMPETENT AUTHORITIES**

1. In addition to credit, market and operational risks, the review and evaluation performed by competent authorities pursuant to Article 124 shall include the following:
 - a) the results of the stress test carried out by the credit institutions applying an IRB approach;
 - b) the exposure to and management of concentration risk by the credit institutions, including their compliance with the requirements laid down in Articles 108 to 118;
 - c) the robustness, suitability and manner of application of the policies and procedures implemented by credit institutions for the management of the residual risk associated with the use of recognized credit risk mitigation techniques;
 - d) the extent to which the own funds held by a credit institution in respect of assets which it has securitised are adequate having regard to the economic substance of the transaction, including the degree of risk transfer achieved;
 - e) the exposure to and management of liquidity risk by the credit institutions;
 - f) the impact of diversification effects and how such effects are factored into the risk measurement system; and
 - g) the results of stress tests carried out by institutions using an internal model to calculate market risk capital requirements under Annex V to Directive 2006/.../EC.
 2. Competent authorities shall monitor whether a credit institution has provided implicit support to a securitisation. If a credit institution is found to have provided implicit support on more than one occasion the competent authority shall take appropriate measures reflective of the increased expectation that it will provide future support to its securitisation thus failing to achieve a significant transfer of risk.
 3. For the purposes of the determination to be made under Article 124(3), competent authorities shall consider whether the value adjustments and provisions taken for positions/portfolios in the trading book, as set out in Part B of Annex VII to Directive 2006/.../EC, enable the credit institution to sell or hedge out its positions within a short period without incurring material losses under normal market conditions.
-

ANNEX XII

TECHNICAL CRITERIA ON DISCLOSURE**Part 1 — General criteria**

1. Information shall be regarded as material in disclosures if its omission or misstatement could change or influence the assessment or decision of a user relying on that information for the purpose of making economic decisions.
2. Information shall be regarded as proprietary to a credit institution if sharing that information with the public would undermine its competitive position. It may include information on products or systems which, if shared with competitors, would render a credit institution's investments therein less valuable.
3. Information shall be regarded as confidential if there are obligations to customers or other counterparty relationships binding a credit institution to confidentiality.
4. Competent authorities shall require credit institution to assess the need to publish some or all disclosures more frequently than annually in the light of the relevant characteristics of their business such as scale of operations, range of activities, presence in different countries, involvement in different financial sectors, and participation in international financial markets and payment, settlement and clearing systems. That assessment shall pay particular attention to the possible need for more frequent disclosure of items of information laid down in Part 2, points 3(b) and 3(e) and 4(b) to 4(e), and information on risk exposure and other items prone to rapid change.
5. The disclosure requirement in Part 2, points 3 and 4 shall be provided pursuant to Article 72(1) and (2).

Part 2 — General requirements

1. The risk management objectives and policies of the credit institution shall be disclosed for each separate category of risk, including the risks referred to under points 1 to 14. These disclosures shall include:
 - a) the strategies and processes to manage those risks;
 - b) the structure and organisation of the relevant risk management function or other appropriate arrangements;
 - c) the scope and nature of risk reporting and measurement systems; and
 - d) the policies for hedging and mitigating risk, and the strategies and processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges and mitigants.
2. The following information shall be disclosed regarding the scope of application of the requirements of this Directive:
 - a) the name of the credit institution to which the requirements of this Directive apply;
 - b) an outline of the differences in the basis of consolidation for accounting and prudential purposes, with a brief description of the entities that are:
 - i) fully consolidated;
 - ii) proportionally consolidated;
 - iii) deducted from own funds; or
 - iv) neither consolidated nor deducted;
 - c) any current or foreseen material practical or legal impediment to the prompt transfer of own funds or repayment of liabilities among the parent undertaking and its subsidiaries;

- d) the aggregate amount by which the actual own funds are less than the required minimum in all subsidiaries not included in the consolidation, and the name or names of such subsidiaries; and
 - e) if applicable, the circumstance of making use of the provisions laid down in Articles 69 and 70.
3. The following information shall be disclosed by the credit institutions regarding their own funds:
- a) summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all own funds items and components thereof;
 - b) the amount of the original own funds, with separate disclosure of all positive items and deductions;
 - c) the total amount of additional own funds, and own funds as defined in Chapter IV of Directive 2006/.../EC;
 - d) deductions from original and additional own funds pursuant to Article 66(2), with separate disclosure of items referred to in Article 57(q); and
 - e) total eligible own funds, net of deductions and limits laid down in Article 66.
4. The following information shall be disclosed regarding the compliance by the credit institution with the requirements laid down in Articles 75 and 123:
- a) a summary of the credit institution's approach to assessing the adequacy of its internal capital to support current and future activities;
 - b) for credit institutions calculating the risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 78 to 83, 8 per cent of the risk-weighted exposure amounts for each of the exposure classes specified in Article 79;
 - c) for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 84 to 89, 8 per cent of the risk-weighted exposure amounts for each of the exposure classes specified in Article 86. For the retail exposure class, this requirement applies to each of the categories of exposures to which the different correlations in Annex VII, Part 1, points 10 to 13 correspond. For the equity exposure class, this requirement applies to:
 - i) each of the approaches provided in Annex VII, Part 1, points 17 to 26;
 - ii) exchange traded exposures, private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios, and other exposures;
 - iii) exposures subject to supervisory transition regarding capital requirements; and
 - iv) exposures subject to grandfathering provisions regarding capital requirements;
 - d) minimum capital requirements calculated in accordance with Article 75, points (b) and (c); and
 - e) minimum capital requirements calculated in accordance with Articles 103 to 105, and disclosed separately.
5. The following information shall be disclosed regarding the credit institution's exposure to counterparty credit risk as defined in Annex III, Part 1:
- a) a discussion of the methodology used to assign internal capital and credit limits for counterparty credit exposures;
 - b) a discussion of policies for securing collateral and establishing credit reserves;
 - c) a discussion of policies with respect to wrong-way risk exposures;
 - d) a discussion of the impact of the amount of collateral the credit institution would have to provide given a downgrade in its credit rating;
 - e) gross positive fair value of contracts, netting benefits, netted current credit exposure, collateral held and net derivatives credit exposure. Net derivatives credit exposure is the credit exposure on derivatives transactions after considering both the benefits from legally enforceable netting agreements and collateral arrangements;

- f) measures for exposure value under the methods set out in Parts 3 to 6 of Annex III, whichever method is applicable;
 - g) the notional value of credit derivative hedges, and the distribution of current credit exposure by types of credit exposure;
 - h) credit derivative transactions (notional), segregated between use for the credit institution's own credit portfolio, as well as in its intermediation activities, including the distribution of the credit derivatives products used, broken down further by protection bought and sold within each product group; and
 - i) the estimate of α if the credit institution has received the approval of the competent authorities to estimate α .
6. The following information shall be disclosed regarding the credit institution's exposure to credit risk and dilution risk:
- a) the definitions for accounting purposes of 'past due' and 'impaired';
 - b) a description of the approaches and methods adopted for determining value adjustments and provisions;
 - c) the total amount of exposures after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation, and the average amount of the exposures over the period broken down by different types of exposure classes;
 - d) the geographic distribution of the exposures, broken down in significant areas by material exposure classes, and further detailed if appropriate;
 - e) the distribution of the exposures by industry or counterparty type, broken down by exposure classes, and further detailed if appropriate;
 - f) the residual maturity breakdown of all the exposures, broken down by exposure classes, and further detailed if appropriate;
 - g) by significant industry or counterparty type, the amount of:
 - i) impaired exposures and past due exposures, provided separately;
 - ii) value adjustments and provisions; and
 - iii) charges for value adjustments and provisions during the period;
 - h) the amount of the impaired exposures and past due exposures, provided separately, broken down by significant geographical areas including, if practical, the amounts of value adjustments and provisions related to each geographical area;
 - i) the reconciliation of changes in the value adjustments and provisions for impaired exposures, shown separately. The information shall comprise:
 - i) a description of the type of value adjustments and provisions;
 - ii) the opening balances;
 - iii) the amounts taken against the provisions during the period;
 - iv) the amounts set aside or reversed for estimated probable losses on exposures during the period, any other adjustments including those determined by exchange rate differences, business combinations, acquisitions and disposals of subsidiaries, and transfers between provisions; and
 - v) the closing balances.

Value adjustments and recoveries recorded directly to the income statement shall be disclosed separately.

7. For credit institutions calculating the risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 78 to 83, the following information shall be disclosed for each of the exposure classes specified in Article 79:
 - a) the names of the nominated ECAIs and ECAs and the reasons for any changes;
 - b) the exposure classes for which each ECAI or ECA is used;
 - c) a description of the process used to transfer the issuer and issue credit assessments onto items not included in the trading book;
 - d) the association of the external rating of each nominated ECAI or ECA with the credit quality steps prescribed in Annex VI, taking into account that this information needs not be disclosed if the credit institution complies with the standard association published by the competent authority; and
 - e) the exposure values and the exposure values after credit risk mitigation associated with each credit quality step prescribed in Annex VI, as well as those deducted from own funds.
8. The credit institutions calculating the risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Annex VII, Part 1, points 6 or 19 to 21 shall disclose the exposures assigned to each category in Table 1 in point 6 of Annex VII, Part 1, or to each risk weight mentioned in points 19 to 21 of Annex VII, Part 1.
9. The credit institutions calculating their capital requirements in accordance with Article 75, points (b) and (c) shall disclose those requirements separately for each risk referred to in those provisions.
10. The following information shall be disclosed by each credit institution which calculates its capital requirements in accordance with Annex V to Directive 2006/.../EC:
 - a) for each sub-portfolio covered:
 - i) the characteristics of the models used;
 - ii) a description of stress testing applied to the sub-portfolio;
 - iii) a description of the approaches used for back-testing and validating the accuracy and consistency of the internal models and modelling processes;
 - b) the scope of acceptance by the competent authority; and
 - c) a description of the extent and methodologies for compliance with the requirements set out in Annex VII, Part B to Directive 2006/.../EC.
11. The following information shall be disclosed by the credit institutions on operational risk:
 - a) the approaches for the assessment of own funds requirements for operational risk that the credit institution qualifies for; and
 - b) a description of the methodology set out in Article 105, if used by the credit institution, including a discussion of relevant internal and external factors considered in the credit institution's measurement approach. In the case of partial use, the scope and coverage of the different methodologies used.
12. The following information shall be disclosed regarding the exposures in equities not included in the trading book:
 - a) the differentiation between exposures based on their objectives, including for capital gains relationship and strategic reasons, and an overview of the accounting techniques and valuation methodologies used, including key assumptions and practices affecting valuation and any significant changes in these practices;
 - b) the balance sheet value, the fair value and, for those exchange-traded, a comparison to the market price where it is materially different from the fair value;

- c) the types, nature and amounts of exchange-traded exposures, private equity exposures in sufficiently diversified portfolios, and other exposures;
 - d) the cumulative realised gains or losses arising from sales and liquidations in the period; and
 - e) the total unrealised gains or losses, the total latent revaluation gains or losses, and any of these amounts included in the original or additional own funds.
13. The following information shall be disclosed by credit institutions on their exposure to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book:
- a) the nature of the interest rate risk and the key assumptions (including assumptions regarding loan prepayments and behaviour of non-maturity deposits), and frequency of measurement of the interest rate risk; and
 - b) the variation in earnings, economic value or other relevant measure used by the management for upward and downward rate shocks according to management's method for measuring the interest rate risk, broken down by currency.
14. The credit institutions calculating risk weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 94 to 101 shall disclose the following information:
- a) a description of the credit institution's objectives in relation to securitisation activity;
 - b) the roles played by the credit institution in the securitisation process;
 - c) an indication of the extent of the credit institution's involvement in each of them;
 - d) the approaches to calculating risk weighted exposure amounts that the credit institution follows for its securitisation activities;
 - e) a summary of the credit institution's accounting policies for securitisation activities, including:
 - i) whether the transactions are treated as sales or financings;
 - ii) the recognition of gains on sales;
 - iii) the key assumptions for valuing retained interests; and
 - iv) the treatment of synthetic securitisations if this is not covered by other accounting policies;
 - f) the names of the ECAs used for securitisations and the types of exposure for which each agency is used;
 - g) the total outstanding amount of exposures securitised by the credit institution and subject to the securitisation framework (broken down into traditional and synthetic), by exposure type;
 - h) for exposures securitised by the credit institution and subject to the securitisation framework, a breakdown by exposure type of the amount of impaired and past due exposures securitised, and the losses recognised by the credit institution during the period;
 - i) the aggregate amount of securitisation positions retained or purchased, broken down by exposure type;
 - j) the aggregate amount of securitisation positions retained or purchased, broken down into a meaningful number of risk weight bands. Positions that have been risk weighted at 1 250 % or deducted shall be disclosed separately;

- k) the aggregate outstanding amount of securitised revolving exposures segregated by the originator's interest and the investors' interest; and
- l) a summary of the securitisation activity in the period, including the amount of exposures securitised (by exposure type), and recognised gain or loss on sale by exposure type.

Part 3 — Qualifying requirements for the use of particular instruments or methodologies

1. The credit institutions calculating the risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 84 to 89 shall disclose the following information:
 - a) the competent authority's acceptance of approach or approved transition;
 - b) an explanation and review of:
 - i) the structure of internal rating systems and relation between internal and external ratings;
 - ii) the use of internal estimates other than for calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 84 to 89;
 - iii) the process for managing and recognising credit risk mitigation; and
 - iv) the control mechanisms for rating systems including a description of independence, accountability, and rating systems review;
 - c) a description of the internal ratings process, provided separately for the following exposure classes:
 - i) central governments and central banks;
 - ii) institutions;
 - iii) corporate, including SMEs, specialised lending and purchased corporate receivables;
 - iv) retail, for each of the categories of exposures to which the different correlations in Annex VII, Part 1, points 10 to 13 correspond; and
 - v) equities;
 - d) the exposure values for each of the exposure classes specified in Article 86. Exposures to central governments and central banks, institutions and corporates where credit institutions use own estimates of LGDs or conversion factors for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts shall be disclosed separately from exposures for which the credit institutions do not use such estimates;
 - e) for each of the exposure classes central governments and central banks, institutions, corporate and equity, and across a sufficient number of obligor grades (including default) to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk, credit institutions shall disclose:
 - i) the total exposures (for the exposure classes central governments and central banks, institutions and corporate, the sum of outstanding loans and exposure values for undrawn commitments; for equities, the outstanding amount);
 - ii) for the credit institutions using own LGD estimates for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, the exposure-weighted average LGD in percentage;
 - iii) the exposure-weighted average risk weight; and
 - iv) for the credit institutions using own estimates of conversion factors for the calculation of risk-weighted exposure amounts, the amount of undrawn commitments and exposure-weighted average exposure values for each exposure class;

- f) for the retail exposure class and for each of the categories as defined under point (c)(iv), either the disclosures outlined under (e) above (if applicable, on a pooled basis), or an analysis of exposures (outstanding loans and exposure values for undrawn commitments) against a sufficient number of EL grades to allow for a meaningful differentiation of credit risk (if applicable, on a pooled basis);
- g) the actual value adjustments in the preceding period for each exposure class (for retail, for each of the categories as defined under point (c)(iv) and how they differ from past experience;
- h) a description of the factors that impacted on the loss experience in the preceding period (for example, has the credit institution experienced higher than average default rates, or higher than average LGDs and conversion factors); and
- i) the credit institution's estimates against actual outcomes over a longer period. At a minimum, this shall include information on estimates of losses against actual losses in each exposure class (for retail, for each of the categories as defined under point (c)(iv) over a period sufficient to allow for a meaningful assessment of the performance of the internal rating processes for each exposure class (for retail for each of the categories as defined under point (c)(iv). Where appropriate, the credit institutions shall further decompose this to provide analysis of PD and, for the credit institutions using own estimates of LGDs and/or conversion factors, LGD and conversion factor outcomes against estimates provided in the quantitative risk assessment disclosures above.

For the purposes of point (c), the description shall include the types of exposure included in the exposure class, the definitions, methods and data for estimation and validation of PD and, if applicable, LGD and conversion factors, including assumptions employed in the derivation of these variables, and the descriptions of material deviations from the definition of default as set out in Annex VII, Part 4, points 44 to 48, including the broad segments affected by such deviations.

2. The credit institutions applying credit risk mitigation techniques shall disclose the following information:
 - a) the policies and processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the entity makes use of, on- and off-balance sheet netting;
 - b) the policies and processes for collateral valuation and management;
 - c) a description of the main types of collateral taken by the credit institution;
 - d) the main types of guarantor and credit derivative counterparty and their creditworthiness;
 - e) information about market or credit risk concentrations within the credit mitigation taken;
 - f) for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 78 to 83 or 84 to 89, but not providing own estimates of LGDs or conversion factors in respect of the exposure class, separately for each exposure class, the total exposure value (after, where applicable, on- or off-balance sheet netting) that is covered — after the application of volatility adjustments — by eligible financial collateral, and other eligible collateral; and
 - g) for credit institutions calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts in accordance with Articles 78 to 83 or 84 to 89, separately for each exposure class, the total exposure (after, where applicable, on- or off-balance sheet netting) that is covered by guarantees or credit derivatives. For the equity exposure class, this requirement applies to each of the approaches provided in Annex VII, Part 1, points 17 to 26.
3. The credit institutions using the approach set out in Article 105 for the calculation of their own funds requirements for operational risk shall disclose a description of the use of insurance for the purpose of mitigating the risk.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX XIII

**PART A — REPEALED DIRECTIVES TOGETHER WITH THEIR
SUCCESSIVE AMENDMENTS (referred to in Article 158)**

Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions

Directive 2000/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 September 2000 amending Directive 2000/12/EC relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions

Directive 2002/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the supplementary supervision of credit institutions, insurance undertakings and investment firms in a financial conglomerate and amending Council Directives 73/239/EEC, 79/267/EEC, 92/49/EEC, 92/96/EEC, 93/6/EEC and 93/22/EEC, and Directives 98/78/EC and 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council

Only Art. 29.1(a)(b), Art. 29.2, Art. 29.4(a)(b), Art. 29.5, Art. 29.6, Art. 29.7, Art. 29.8, Art. 29.9, Art. 29.10, Art. 29.11

Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments amending Council Directives 85/611/EEC and 93/6/EEC and Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 93/22/EEC

Only Art. 68

Commission Directive 2004/69/EC of 27 April 2004 amending Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the definition of 'multilateral development banks'

Directive 2005/1/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2005 amending Council Directives 73/239/EEC, 85/611/EEC, 91/675/EEC, 92/49/EEC and 93/6/EEC and Directives 94/19/EC, 98/78/EC, 2000/12/EC, 2001/34/EC, 2002/83/EC and 2002/87/EC in order to establish a new organisational structure for financial services committees

Only Article 3

NON-REPEALED MODIFICATIONS

Act of Accession 2003

**PART B — DEADLINES FOR TRANSPOSITION (referred to in
Article 158)**

Directive	Deadline for transposition
Directive 2000/12/EC	—
Directive 2000/28/EC	27.4.2002
Directive 2002/87/EC	11.8.2004
Directive 2004/39/EC	30.4.2006/31.1.2007
Directive 2004/69/EC	30.6.2004
Directive 2005/1/EC	13.5.2005

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX XIV

CORRELATION TABLE

This Directive	Directive 2000/12/EC	Directive 2000/28/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC	Directive 2005/1/EC
Article 1	Article 2(1) and (2)				
Article 2	Article 2(3) Act of Accession				
Article 2	Article 2(4)				
Article 3	Article 2(5) and (6)				
Article 3(1), third sub-paragraph					Article 3(2)
Article 4(1)	Article 1(1)				
Article 4(2) to (5)		Article 1(2) to (5)			
Article 4(7) to (9)		Article 1(6) to (8)			
Article 4(10)			Article 29(1)(a)		
Article 4(11) to (14)	Article 1(10), (12) and (13)				
Article 4(21) and 22)			Article 29(1)(b)		
Article 4(23)	Article 1(23)				
Article 4(45) to (47)	Article 1(25) to (27)				
Article 5					
Article 6	Article 4				
Article 7	Article 8				
Article 8	Article 9				
Article 9(1)	Article 5(1) and 1(11)				
Article 9(2)	Article 5(2)				
Article 10	Article 5(3) to (7)				
Article 11	Article 6				
Article 12	Article 7				
Article 13	Article 10				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 2000/12/EC	Directive 2000/28/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC	Directive 2005/1/EC
Article 14	Article 11				
Article 15(1)	Article 12				
Article 15(2) and (3)			Article 29(2)		
Article 16	Article 13				
Article 17	Article 14				
Article 18	Article 15				
Article 19(1)	Article 16(1)				
Article 19(2)			Article 29(3)		
Article 20	Article 16(3)				
Article 21	Article 16(4) to (6)				
Article 22	Article 17				
Article 23	Article 18				
Article 24(1)	Article 19(1) to (3)				
Article 24(2)	Article 19(6)				
Article 24(3)	Article 19(4)				
Article 25(1) to (3)	Article 20(1) to (3), first and second sub- paragraphs				
Article 25(3)	Article 19(5)				
Article 25(4)	Article 20(3) third subparagraph				
Article 26	Article 20(4) to (7)				
Article 27	Article 1(3), second sentence				
Article 28	Article 21				
Article 29	Article 22				
Article 30	Article 22(2) to (4)				
Article 31	Article 22(5)				
Article 32	Article 22(6)				
Article 33	Article 22(7)				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 2000/12/EC	Directive 2000/28/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC	Directive 2005/1/EC
Article 34	Article 22(8)				
Article 35	Article 22(9)				
Article 36	Article 22(10)				
Article 37	Article 22(11)				
Article 38	Article 24				
Article 39(1) and (2)	Article 25				
Article 39(3)					Article 3(8)
Article 40	Article 26				
Article 41	Article 27				
Article 42	Article 28				
Article 43	Article 29				
Article 44	Article 30(1) to (3)				
Article 45	Article 30(4)				
Article 46	Article 30(3)				
Article 47	Article 30(5)				
Article 48	Article 30(6) and (7)				
Article 49	Article 30(8)				
Article 50	Article 30(9), first and second subparagraphs				
Article 51	Article 30(9), third subparagraph				
Article 52	Article 30(10)				
Article 53	Article 31				
Article 54	Article 32				
Article 55	Article 33				
Article 56	Article 34(1)				
Article 57	Article 34(2), first subparagraph; and Article 34(2), point 2, second sentence		Article 29(4)(a)		

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 2000/12/EC	Directive 2000/28/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC	Directive 2005/1/EC
Article 58			Article 29(4)(b)		
Article 59			Article 29(4)(b)		
Article 60			Article 29(4)(b)		
Article 61	Article 34(3) and (4)				
Article 63	Article 35				
Article 64	Article 36				
Article 65	Article 37				
Article 66(1) and (2)	Article 38(1) and (2)				
Article 67	Article 39				
Article 73	Article 52(3)				
Article 106	Article 1(24)				
Article 107	Article 1(1), third subparagraph				
Article 108	Article 48(1)				
Article 109	Article 48(4), first subparagraph				
Article 110	Article 48(2) to (4), second subparagraph				
Article 111	Article 49(1) to (5)				
Article 113	Article 49(4), (6) and (7)				
Article 115	Article 49(8) and (9)				
Article 116	Article 49(10)				
Article 117	Article 49(11)				
Article 118	Article 50				
Article 120	Article 51(1), (2) and (5)				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 2000/12/EC	Directive 2000/28/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC	Directive 2005/1/EC
Article 121	Article 51(4)				
Article 122(1) and (2)	Article 51(6)		Article 29(5)		
Article 125	Article 53(1) and (2)				
Article 126	Article 53(3)				
Article 128	Article 53(5)				
Article 133(1)	Article 54(1)		Article 29(7)(a)		
Article 133(2) and (3)	Article 54(2) and (3)				
Article 134(1)	Article 54(4), first subparagraph				
Article 134(2)	Article 54(4), second subparagraph				
Article 135			Article 29(8)		
Article 137	Article 55				
Article 138			Article 29(9)		
Article 139	Article 56(1) to (3)				
Article 140	Article 56(4) to (6)				
Article 141	Article 56(7)		Article 29(10)		
Article 142	Article 56(8)				
Article 143			Article 29(11)		Article 3(10)
Article 150	Article 60(1)				
Article 151	Article 60(2)				Article 3(10)
Article 158	Article 67				
Article 159	Article 68				
Article 160	Article 69				
Annex I, points 1 to 14, excluding the final paragraph	Annex I				
Annex I, final paragraph				Article 68	
Annex II	Annex II				
Annex III	Annex III				
Annex IV	Annex IV				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0352

Capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions *I**

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council recasting Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions (COM(2004)0486 — C6-0144/2004 — 2004/0159(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0486) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Article 251(2) and Article 47(2) of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0144/2004),
 - having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs and the opinion of the Committee on Legal Affairs (A6-0257/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0159

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions (recast)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 47(2) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Central Bank ⁽²⁾,

After consulting the Committee of the Regions,

Acting in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽³⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 234, 22.9.2005, p. 8.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 52, 2.3.2005, p. 37.

⁽³⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28 September 2005.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Whereas:

- (1) Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions ⁽¹⁾ has been significantly amended on several occasions. Now that new amendments are being made to the said Directive, it is desirable, in order to clarify matters, that it should be recast.
- (2) One of the objectives of Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments ⁽²⁾ is to allow investment firms authorised by the competent authorities of their home Member State and supervised by the same authorities to establish branches and provide services freely in other Member States. That Directive accordingly provides for the coordination of the rules governing the authorisation and pursuit of the business of investment firms.
- (3) Directive 2004/39/EC does not, however, establish common standards for the own funds of investment firms nor indeed does it establish the amounts of the initial capital of such firms or a common framework for monitoring the risks incurred by them.
- (4) It is appropriate to effect only the essential harmonisation that is necessary and sufficient to secure the mutual recognition of authorisation and of prudential supervision systems; in order to achieve mutual recognition within the framework of the internal financial market, measures should be laid down to coordinate the definition of the own funds of investment firms, the establishment of the amounts of their initial capital and the establishment of a common framework for monitoring the risks incurred by investment firms.
- (5) Since the objectives of this Directive, namely the establishment of the capital adequacy requirements applying to investment firms and credit institutions, the rules for their calculation and the rules for their prudential supervision, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and the effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at Community level, the Community may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve its objectives.
- (6) It is appropriate to establish different amounts of initial capital depending on the range of activities that investment firms are authorised to undertake.
- (7) Existing investment firms should be permitted, under certain conditions, to continue their business even if they do not comply with the minimum amount of initial capital fixed for new investment firms.
- (8) Member States should be able to establish rules stricter than those provided for in this Directive.
- (9) The smooth operation of the internal market requires not only legal rules but also close and regular cooperation and significantly enhanced convergence of regulatory and supervisory practices between the competent authorities of the Member States.
- (10) The Commission Communication of 11 May 1999 entitled 'Implementing the framework for financial markets: Action Plan' listed a number of goals that need to be achieved in order to complete the internal market in financial services. The Lisbon European Council of 23 and 24 March 2000 set the goal of implementing the action plan by 2005. Recasting of the provisions on own funds is a key element of the action plan.
- (11) Since investment firms face in respect of their trading book business the same risks as credit institutions, it is appropriate for the pertinent provisions of Directive 2006/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of ... relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions ⁽³⁾ to apply equally to investment firms.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 141, 11.6.1993, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 79, 24.3.2005, p. 9).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 145, 30.4.2004, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L ...

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (12) The own funds of investment firms or credit institutions (hereinafter referred to collectively as 'institutions') can serve to absorb losses which are not matched by a sufficient volume of profits, to ensure the continuity of institutions and to protect investors. The own funds also serve as an important yardstick for the competent authorities, in particular for the assessment of the solvency of institutions and for other prudential purposes. Furthermore, institutions, engage in direct competition with each other in the internal market. Therefore, in order to strengthen the Community financial system and to prevent distortions of competition, it is appropriate to lay down common basic standards for own funds.
- (13) For the purposes of recital (12), it is appropriate for the definition of own funds as laid down in Directive 2006/.../EC to serve as a basis, and to provide for supplementary specific rules which take into account the different scope of market risk related capital requirements.
- (14) As regards credit institutions, common standards have already been established for the supervision and monitoring of different types of risks by Directive 2000/12/EC.
- (15) In that respect, the provisions on minimum capital requirements should be considered in conjunction with other specific instruments which also harmonise the fundamental techniques of the supervision of institutions.
- (16) It is necessary to develop common standards for market risks incurred by credit institutions and provide a complementary framework for the supervision of the risks incurred by institutions, in particular market risks, and more especially position risks, counterparty/settlement risks and foreign-exchange risks.
- (17) It is necessary to provide for the concept of a 'trading book' comprising positions in securities and other financial instruments which are held for trading purposes and which are subject mainly to market risks and exposures relating to certain financial services provided to customers.
- (18) With a view to reducing the administrative burden for institutions with negligible trading-book business in both absolute and relative terms, such institutions should be able to apply Directive 2006/.../EC, rather than the requirements laid down in Annexes I and II to this Directive.
- (19) It is important that monitoring of settlement/delivery risks should take account of the existence of systems offering adequate protection reducing those risks.
- (20) In any case, institutions should comply with this Directive as regards the coverage of the foreign-exchange risks on their overall business. Lower capital requirements should be imposed for positions in closely correlated currencies, whether statistically confirmed or arising out of binding intergovernmental agreements.
- (21) The capital requirements for commodity dealers, including those dealers currently exempt from the requirements of Directive 2004/39/EC, will be reviewed as appropriate in conjunction with the review of that exemption as set out in Article 65(3) of that Directive.
- (22) The goal of liberalisation of gas and electricity markets is both economically and politically important for the Community. With this in mind, the capital requirements and other prudential rules to be applied to firms active in those markets should be proportionate and should not unduly interfere with achievement of the goal of liberalisation. This goal should, in particular, be kept in mind when the reviews referred to in recital 21 are carried out.
- (23) The existence of internal systems for monitoring and controlling interest-rate risks on all business of institutions is a particularly important way of minimising such risks. Consequently, such systems should be supervised by the competent authorities.
- (24) Since Directive 2006/.../EC does not establish common rules for the monitoring and control of large exposures in activities which are principally subject to market risks, it is therefore appropriate to provide for such rules.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (25) Operational risk is a significant risk faced by institutions and requires coverage by own funds. It is essential to take account of the diversity of institutions in the EU by providing alternative approaches.
- (26) Directive 2006/.../EC states the principle of consolidation. It does not establish common rules for the consolidation of financial institutions which are involved in activities principally subject to market risks.
- (27) In order to ensure adequate solvency of institutions within a group, it is essential that the minimum capital requirements apply on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of the group. In order to ensure that own funds are appropriately distributed within the group and are available to protect investments where needed, the minimum capital requirements should apply to individual institutions within a group, unless this objective can be effectively achieved by other means.
- (28) Directive 2006/.../EC does not apply to groups which include one or more investment firms but no credit institutions. A common framework for the introduction of the supervision of investment firms on a consolidated basis should therefore be provided for.
- (29) Institutions should ensure that they have internal capital which, having regard to the risks to which they are or might be exposed, is adequate in quantity, quality and distribution. Accordingly, institutions should have strategies and processes in place for assessing and maintaining the adequacy of their internal capital.
- (30) Competent authorities should evaluate the adequacy of own funds of institutions, having regard to the risks to which the latter are exposed.
- (31) In order for the internal banking market to operate effectively, the Committee of European Banking Supervisors should contribute to the consistent application of this Directive and to the convergence of supervisory practices throughout the Community, and should report on a yearly basis to the Community Institutions on progress made.
- (32) In order for the internal market to operate with increasing effectiveness it is essential that there should be significantly enhanced convergence in the implementation and application of the provisions of harmonising Community legislation.
- (33) For the same reason, and to ensure that Community institutions which are active in several Member States are not disproportionately burdened as a result of the continued responsibilities of individual Member State competent authorities for authorisation and supervision, it is essential significantly to enhance the cooperation between competent authorities. In this context the role of the consolidating supervisor should be strengthened.
- (34) In order for the internal market to operate with increasing effectiveness and for citizens of the Union to be afforded adequate levels of transparency, it is necessary that competent authorities disclose publicly and in a way which allows for meaningful comparison the manner in which the requirements of this Directive are implemented.
- (35) In order to strengthen market discipline and stimulate institutions to improve their market strategy, risk control and internal management organisation, appropriate public disclosures by institutions should be provided for.
- (36) The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in accordance with Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (37) In its Resolution of 5 February 2002 on the implementation of financial services legislation ⁽¹⁾, the Parliament requested that the Parliament and the Council should have an equal role in supervising the way in which the Commission exercises its executive role in order to reflect the legislative powers of Parliament under Article 251 of the Treaty. In the solemn declaration made before the Parliament the same day, by its President, the Commission supported this request. On 11 December 2002, the Commission proposed amendments to Decision 1999/468/EC and then submitted an amended proposal on 22 April 2004. The Parliament considers that this proposal does not preserve its legislative prerogatives. In the Parliament's view, the Parliament and the Council should have the opportunity of evaluating the conferral of implementing powers on the Commission within a determined period. It is therefore appropriate to limit the period during which the Commission may adopt implementing measures.
- (38) The Parliament should be given a period of three months from the first transmission of draft amendments and implementing measures to allow it to examine them and to give its opinion. However, in urgent and duly justified cases, it should be possible to shorten this period. If, within that period, a resolution is adopted by the Parliament, the Commission should re-examine the draft amendments or measures.
- (39) In order to avoid disruption to markets and to ensure continuity in overall levels of own funds, it is appropriate to provide for specific transitional arrangements.
- (40) This Directive respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union as general principles of Community law.
- (41) The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions that represent a substantive change compared to earlier directives. The obligation to transpose the provisions that remain unchanged exists under the earlier directives.
- (42) This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limits for transposition into national law of the Directives set out in Part B of Annex VIII,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

CHAPTER I

SUBJECT MATTER, SCOPE AND DEFINITIONS

Section 1

Subject matter and scope

Article 1

1. This Directive lays down the capital adequacy requirements applying to investment firms and credit institutions, the rules for their calculation and the rules for their prudential supervision. Member States shall apply the requirements of this Directive to investment firms and credit institutions as defined in Article 3.
2. A Member State may impose additional or more stringent requirements on those investment firms and credit institutions that it has authorised.

Article 2

1. Subject to Articles 18, 20, 22 to 32, 34 and 39 of this Directive, Articles 68 to 73 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply mutatis mutandis to investment firms. In applying Articles 70 to 72 of Directive 2006/.../EC to investment firms, every reference to a parent credit institution in a Member State shall be construed as a reference to a parent investment firm in a Member State and every reference to an EU parent credit institution shall be construed as a reference to an EU parent investment firm.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 284 E, 21.11.2002, p. 115.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Where a credit institution has as a parent undertaking a parent investment firm in a Member State, only that parent investment firm shall be subject to requirements on a consolidated basis in accordance with Articles 71 to 73 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Where an investment firm has as a parent undertaking a parent credit institution in a Member State, only that parent credit institution shall be subject to requirements on a consolidated basis in accordance with Articles 71 to 73 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Where a financial holding company has as a subsidiary both a credit institution and an investment firm, requirements on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of the financial holding company shall apply to the credit institution.

2. When a group covered by paragraph 1 does not include a credit institution, Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply, subject to the following:

- a) every reference to credit institutions shall be construed as a reference to investment firms;
- b) in Articles 125 and 140(2) of Directive 2006/.../EC, each reference to other articles of that Directive shall be construed as a reference to Directive 2004/39/EC;
- c) for the purposes of Article 39(3) of Directive 2006/.../EC, references to the European Banking Committee shall be construed as references to the Council and the Commission; and
- d) by way of derogation from Article 140(1) of Directive 2006/.../EC, where a group does not include a credit institution, the first sentence of that Article shall be replaced by the following: 'Where an investment firm, a financial holding company or a mixed-activity holding company controls one or more subsidiaries which are insurance companies, the competent authorities and the authorities entrusted with the public task of supervising insurance undertakings shall cooperate closely'.

Section 2

Definitions

Article 3

1. For the purposes of this Directive the following definitions shall apply:

- a) 'credit institutions' means credit institutions as defined in Article 4(1) of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- b) 'investment firms' means institutions as defined in Article 4(1)(1) of Directive 2004/39/EC, which are subject to the requirements imposed by that Directive, excluding:
 - i) credit institutions;
 - ii) local firms as defined in point (p); and
 - iii) firms which are only authorised to provide the service of investment advice and/or receive and transmit orders from investors without holding money or securities belonging to their clients and which for that reason may not at any time place themselves in debt with those clients;
- c) 'institutions' means credit institutions and investment firms;
- d) 'recognised third-country investment firms' means firms meeting the following conditions:
 - i) firms which, if they were established within the Community, would be covered by the definition of investment firm;
 - ii) firms which are authorised in a third country; and
 - iii) firms which are subject to and comply with prudential rules considered by the competent authorities as at least as stringent as those laid down by this Directive;
- e) 'financial instruments' means any contract that gives rise to both a financial asset of one party and a financial liability or equity instrument of another party;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- f) 'parent investment firm in a Member State' means an investment firm which has an institution or financial institution as a subsidiary or which holds a participation in one or both such entities, and which is not itself a subsidiary of another institution authorised in the same Member State or of a financial holding company set up in the same Member State;
- (g) 'EU parent investment firm' means a parent investment firm in a Member State which is not a subsidiary of another institution authorised in any Member State or of a financial holding company set up in any Member State;
- h) 'over-the-counter (OTC) derivative instruments' means the items falling within the list in Annex IV to Directive 2006/.../EC other than those items to which an exposure value of zero is attributed under point 6 of Part 2 of Annex III to that Directive;
- i) 'regulated market' means a market as defined in Article 4(1)(14) of Directive 2004/39/EC;
- j) 'convertible' means a security which, at the option of the holder, may be exchanged for another security;
- k) 'warrant' means a security which gives the holder the right to purchase an underlying asset at a stipulated price until or at the expiry date of the warrant and which may be settled by the delivery of the underlying itself or by cash settlement;
- l) 'stock financing' means positions where physical stock has been sold forward and the cost of funding has been locked in until the date of the forward sale;
- m) 'repurchase agreement' and 'reverse repurchase agreement' mean any agreement in which an institution or its counterparty - transfers securities or commodities or guaranteed rights relating to title to securities or commodities where that guarantee is issued by a recognised exchange which holds the rights to the securities or commodities and the agreement does not allow an institution to transfer or pledge a particular security or commodity to more than one counterparty at one time, subject to a commitment to repurchase them — or substituted securities or commodities of the same description — at a specified price on a future date specified, or to be specified, by the transferor, being a repurchase agreement for the institution selling the securities or commodities and a reverse repurchase agreement for the institution buying them;
- n) 'securities or commodities lending' and 'securities or commodities borrowing' mean any transaction in which an institution or its counterparty transfers securities or commodities against appropriate collateral, subject to a commitment that the borrower will return equivalent securities or commodities at some future date or when requested to do so by the transferor, that transaction being securities or commodities lending for the institution transferring the securities or commodities and being securities or commodities borrowing for the institution to which they are transferred;
- o) 'clearing member' means a member of the exchange or the clearing house which has a direct contractual relationship with the central counterparty (market guarantor);
- p) 'local firm' means a firm dealing for its own account on markets in financial futures or options or other derivatives and on cash markets for the sole purpose of hedging positions on derivatives markets, or dealing for the accounts of other members of those markets and being guaranteed by clearing members of the same markets, where responsibility for ensuring the performance of contracts entered into by such a firm is assumed by clearing members of the same markets;
- q) 'delta' means the expected change in an option price as a proportion of a small change in the price of the instrument underlying the option;
- r) 'own funds' means own funds as defined in Directive 2006/.../EC; and
- s) 'capital' means own funds.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

For the purposes of applying supervision on a consolidated basis, the term 'investment firm' shall include third-country investment firms.

For the purposes of point (e), financial instruments shall include both primary financial instruments or cash instruments and derivative financial instruments the value of which is derived from the price of an underlying financial instrument, a rate, an index or the price of another underlying item, and include as a minimum the instruments specified in Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC.

2. The terms 'parent undertaking', 'subsidiary undertaking', 'asset management company' and 'financial institution' shall cover undertakings defined in Article 4 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

The terms 'financial holding company', 'parent financial holding company in a Member State', 'EU parent financial holding company' and 'ancillary services undertaking' shall cover undertakings defined in Article 4 of Directive 2006/.../EC, save that every reference to credit institutions shall be read as a reference to institutions.

3. For the purposes of applying Directive 2006/.../EC to groups covered by Article 2(1) which do not include a credit institution, the following definitions shall apply:

- a) 'financial holding company' means a financial institution the subsidiary undertakings of which are either exclusively or mainly investment firms or other financial institutions, at least one of which is an investment firm, and which is not a mixed financial holding company within the meaning of Directive 2002/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the supplementary supervision of credit institutions, insurance undertakings and investment firms in a financial conglomerate ⁽¹⁾;
- b) 'mixed-activity holding company' means a parent undertaking, other than a financial holding company or an investment firm or a mixed financial holding company within the meaning of Directive 2002/87/EC, the subsidiaries of which include at least one investment firm; and
- c) 'competent authorities' means the national authorities which are empowered by law or regulation to supervise investment firms.

CHAPTER II

INITIAL CAPITAL

Article 4

For the purposes of this Directive, 'initial capital' shall be comprised of the items referred to in Article 57(a) and (b) of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Article 5

1. An investment firm that does not deal in any financial instruments for its own account or underwrite issues of financial instruments on a firm commitment basis, but which holds clients' money and/or securities and which offers one or more of the following services, shall have initial capital of 125 000 Euro:

- a) the reception and transmission of investors' orders for financial instruments;
- b) the execution of investors' orders for financial instruments; or
- c) the management of individual portfolios of investments in financial instruments.

2. The competent authorities may allow an investment firm which executes investors' orders for financial instruments to hold such instruments for its own account if the following conditions are met:

- a) such positions arise only as a result of the firm's failure to match investors' orders precisely;
- b) the total market value of all such positions is subject to a ceiling of 15 % of the firm's initial capital;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 35, 11.2.2003, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the firm meets the requirements laid down in Articles 18, 20 and 28; and
- d) such positions are incidental and provisional in nature and strictly limited to the time required to carry out the transaction in question.

The holding of non-trading-book positions in financial instruments in order to invest own funds shall not be considered as dealing in relation to the services set out in paragraph 1 or for the purposes of paragraph 3.

3. Member States may reduce the amount referred to in paragraph 1 to 50 000 Euro where a firm is not authorised to hold clients' money or securities, to deal for its own account, or to underwrite issues on a firm commitment basis.

Article 6

Local firms shall have initial capital of 50 000 Euro insofar as they benefit from the freedom of establishment or to provide services specified in Articles 31 and 32 of Directive 2004/39/EC.

Article 7

Coverage for the firms referred to in Article 3(1)(b)(iii) shall take one of the following forms:

- a) initial capital of 50 000 Euro;
- b) professional indemnity insurance covering the whole territory of the Community or some other comparable guarantee against liability arising from professional negligence, representing at least 1 000 000 Euro applying to each claim and in aggregate 1 500 000 Euro per year for all claims; or
- c) a combination of initial capital and professional indemnity insurance in a form resulting in a level of coverage equivalent to that referred to in points (a) or (b).

The amounts referred to in the first sub-paragraph shall be periodically reviewed by the Commission in order to take account of changes in the European Index of Consumer Prices as published by Eurostat, in line with and at the same time as the adjustments made under Article 4(7) of Directive 2002/92/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 December 2002 on insurance mediation ⁽¹⁾.

Article 8

If a firm as referred to in Article 3(1)(b)(iii) is also registered under Directive 2002/92/EC, it shall comply with Article 4(3) of that Directive and have coverage in one of the following forms:

- a) initial capital of 25 000 Euro;
- b) professional indemnity insurance covering the whole territory of the Community or some other comparable guarantee against liability arising from professional negligence, representing at least 500 000 Euro applying to each claim and in aggregate 750 000 Euro per year for all claims; or
- c) a combination of initial capital and professional indemnity insurance in a form resulting in a level of coverage equivalent to that referred to in points (a) or (b).

Article 9

All investment firms other than those referred to in Articles 5 to 8 shall have initial capital of 730 000 Euro.

Article 10

1. By way of derogation from Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9, Member States may continue an authorisation of investment firms and firms covered by Article 6 which was in existence before 31 December 1995, the own funds of which firms or investment firms are less than the initial capital levels specified for them in Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 9, 15.1.2003, p. 3.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The own funds of such firms or investment firms shall not fall below the highest reference level calculated after the date of notification contained in Directive 93/6/EEC. That reference level shall be the average daily level of own funds calculated over a six-month period preceding the date of calculation. It shall be calculated every six months in respect of the corresponding preceding period.

2. If control of a firm covered by paragraph 1 is taken by a natural or legal person other than the person who controlled it previously, the own funds of that firm shall attain at least the level specified for them in Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9, except in the case of a first transfer by inheritance made after 31 December 1995, subject to the competent authorities' approval and for a period of not more than 10 years from the date of that transfer.

3. In certain specific circumstances, and with the approval of the competent authorities, in the event of a merger of two or more investment firms and/or firms covered by Article 6, the own funds of the firm produced by the merger need not attain the level specified in Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9. Nevertheless, during any period when the level specified in Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9 has not been attained, the own funds of the new firm may not fall below the merged firms' total own funds at the time of the merger.

4. The own funds of investment firms and firms covered by Article 6 may not fall below the level specified in Articles 5(1), 5(3), 6 and 9 and paragraphs 1 and 3 of this Article.

In the event that the own funds of such firms and investment firms fall below that level, the competent authorities may, where the circumstances justify it, allow such firms a limited period in which to rectify their situations or cease their activities.

CHAPTER III

TRADING BOOK

Article 11

1. The trading book of an institution shall consist of all positions in financial instruments and commodities held either with trading intent or in order to hedge other elements of the trading book and which are either free of any restrictive covenants on their tradability or able to be hedged.

2. Positions held with trading intent are those held intentionally for short-term resale and/or with the intention of benefiting from actual or expected short-term price differences between buying and selling prices or from other price or interest rate variations. The term 'positions' shall include proprietary positions and positions arising from client servicing and market making.

3. Trading intent shall be evidenced on the basis of the strategies, policies and procedures set up by the institution to manage the position or portfolio in accordance with Part A of Annex VII.

4. Institutions shall establish and maintain systems and controls to manage their trading book in accordance with Parts B and D of Annex VII.

5. Internal hedges may be included in the trading book, in which case Part C of Annex VII shall apply.

CHAPTER IV

OWN FUNDS

Article 12

'Original own funds' means the sum of points (a) to (c), less the sum of points (i) to (k) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

The Commission shall, by 1 January 2009, submit an appropriate proposal to the European Parliament and to the Council for amendment of this Chapter.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 13

1. Subject to paragraphs 2 to 5 of this Article and Articles 14 to 17, the own funds of investment firms and credit institutions shall be determined in accordance with Directive 2006/.../EC.

In addition, the first subparagraph applies to investment firms which do not have one of the legal forms referred to in Article 1(1) of the Fourth Council Directive 78/660/EEC of 25 July 1978 on the annual accounts of certain types of companies ⁽¹⁾.

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, the competent authorities may permit those institutions which are obliged to meet the capital requirements calculated in accordance with Articles 21 and 28 to 32 and Annexes I and III to VI to use, for that purpose only, an alternative determination of own funds. No part of the own funds used for that purpose may be used simultaneously to meet other capital requirements.

Such an alternative determination shall be the sum of the items set out in points (a) to (c) of this subparagraph, minus the item set out in point (d), with the deduction of that last item being left to the discretion of the competent authorities:

- a) own funds as defined in Directive 2006/.../EC, excluding only points (l) to (p) of Article 57 of that Directive for those investment firms which are required to deduct item (d) of this paragraph from the total of items (a) to (c);
- b) an institution's net trading-book profits net of any foreseeable charges or dividends, less net losses on its other business, provided that none of those amounts has already been included in item (a) of this paragraph as one of the items set out in points (b) or (k) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- c) subordinated loan capital and/or the items referred to in paragraph 5 of this Article, subject to the conditions set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Article and in Article 14; and
- d) illiquid assets as specified in Article 15.

3. The subordinated loan capital referred to in point (c) of the second subparagraph of paragraph 2 shall have an initial maturity of at least two years. It shall be fully paid up and the loan agreement shall not include any clause providing that in specified circumstances, other than the winding up of the institution, the debt will become repayable before the agreed repayment date, unless the competent authorities approve the repayment. Neither the principal nor the interest on such subordinated loan capital may be repaid if such repayment would mean that the own funds of the institution in question would then amount to less than 100 % of that institution's overall capital requirements.

In addition, an institution shall notify the competent authorities of all repayments on such subordinated loan capital as soon as its own funds fall below 120 % of its overall capital requirements.

4. The subordinated loan capital referred to in point (c) of the second subparagraph of paragraph 2 may not exceed a maximum of 150 % of the original own funds left to meet the requirements calculated in accordance with Articles 21 and 28 to 32 and Annexes I to VI and may approach that maximum only in particular circumstances acceptable to the competent authorities.

5. The competent authorities may permit institutions to replace the subordinated loan capital referred to in point (c) of the second subparagraph of paragraph 2 with points (d) to (h) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Article 14

1. The competent authorities may permit investment firms to exceed the ceiling for subordinated loan capital set out in Article 13(4) if they judge it prudentially adequate and provided that the total of such subordinated loan capital and the items referred to in Article 13(5) does not exceed 200 % of the original own funds left to meet the requirements calculated in accordance with Articles 21 and 28 to 32 and Annexes I and III to VI, or 250 % of the same amount where investment firms deduct the item set out in Article 13(2)(d) when calculating own funds.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 222, 14.8.1978, p. 11. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 178, 17.7.2003, p. 16).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. The competent authorities may permit the ceiling for subordinated loan capital set out in Article 13(4) to be exceeded by a credit institution if they judge it prudentially adequate and provided that the total of such subordinated loan capital and points (d) to (h) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC does not exceed 250 % of the original own funds left to meet the requirements calculated in accordance with Articles 28 to 32 and Annexes I and III to VI to this Directive.

Article 15

Illiquid assets as referred to in point (d) of the second subparagraph of Article 13(2) shall include the following:

- a) tangible fixed assets, except to the extent that land and buildings may be allowed to count against the loans which they are securing;
- b) holdings in, including subordinated claims on, credit or financial institutions which may be included in the own funds of those institutions, unless they have been deducted under points (l) to (p) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC or under Article 16(d) of this Directive;
- c) holdings and other investments in undertakings other than credit or financial institutions, which are not readily marketable;
- d) deficiencies in subsidiaries;
- e) deposits made, other than those which are available for repayment within 90 days, and also excluding payments in connection with margined futures or options contracts;
- f) loans and other amounts due, other than those due to be repaid within 90 days; and
- g) physical stocks, unless they are already subject to capital requirements at least as stringent as those set out in Articles 18 and 20.

For the purposes of point (b), where shares in a credit or financial institution are held temporarily for the purpose of a financial assistance operation designed to reorganise and save that institution, the competent authorities may waive the application of this Article. They may also waive it in respect of those shares which are included in an investment firm's trading book.

Article 16

Investment firms included in a group which has been granted the waiver provided for in Article 22 shall calculate their own funds in accordance with Articles 13 to 15, subject to the following:

- a) the illiquid assets referred to in Article 13(2)(d) shall be deducted;
- b) the exclusion referred to in point (a) of Article 13(2) shall not cover those components of points (l) to (p) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC which an investment firm holds in respect of undertakings included in the scope of consolidation as defined in Article 2(1) of this Directive;
- c) the limits referred to in points (a) and (b) of Article 66(1) of Directive 2006/.../EC shall be calculated with reference to the original own funds less the components of points (l) to (p) of Article 57 of that Directive as referred to in point (b) of this Article which are elements of the original own funds of those undertakings; and
- d) the components of points (l) to (p) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC referred to in point (c) of this Article shall be deducted from the original own funds rather than from the total of all items as laid down in Article 66(2) of that Directive for the purposes in particular of Articles 13(4), 13(5) and 14 of this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 17

1. Where an institution calculates risk-weighted exposure amounts for the purposes of Annex II to this Directive in accordance with Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC, then for the purposes of the calculation provided for in point 4 of Part 1 of Annex VII to Directive 2006/.../EC, the following shall apply:

- a) value adjustments made to take account of the credit quality of the counterparty may be included in the sum of value adjustments and provisions made for the exposures indicated in Annex II; and
- b) subject to the approval of the competent authorities, if the credit risk of the counterparty is adequately taken into account in the valuation of a position included in the trading book, the expected loss amount for the counterparty risk exposure shall be zero.

For the purposes of point (a), for such institutions, such value adjustments shall not be included in own funds other than in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph.

2. For the purposes of this Article, Article 153 and 154 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply.

CHAPTER V

*Section 1***Provisions against risks***Article 18*

1. Institutions shall have own funds which are always more than or equal to the sum of the following:

- a) the capital requirements, calculated in accordance with the methods and options laid down in Articles 28 to 32 and Annexes I, II and VI and, as appropriate, Annex V, for their trading-book business; and
- b) the capital requirements, calculated in accordance with the methods and options laid down in Annexes III and IV and, as appropriate, Annex V, for all of their business activities.

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, the competent authorities may allow institutions to calculate the capital requirements for their trading book business in accordance with Article 75(a) of Directive 2006/.../EC and points 6, 7, and 9 of Annex II to this Directive, where the size of the trading book business meets the following requirements:

- a) the trading-book business of such institutions does not normally exceed 5 % of their total business;
- b) their total trading-book positions do not normally exceed 15 million Euro; and
- c) the trading-book business of such institutions never exceeds 6 % of their total business and their total trading-book positions never exceed 20 million Euro.

3. In order to calculate the proportion that trading-book business bears to total business for the purposes of points (a) and (c) of paragraph 2, the competent authorities may refer either to the size of the combined on- and off-balance-sheet business, to the profit and loss account or to the own funds of the institutions in question, or to a combination of those measures. When the size of on- and off-balance-sheet business is assessed, debt instruments shall be valued at their market prices or their principal values, equities at their market prices and derivatives according to the nominal or market values of the instruments underlying them. Long positions and short positions shall be summed regardless of their signs.

4. If an institution should happen for more than a short period to exceed either or both of the limits imposed in paragraph 2(a) and (b) or either or both of the limits imposed in paragraph 2(c), it shall be required to meet the requirements imposed in paragraph 1(a) in respect of its trading-book business and to notify the competent authority thereof.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 19

1. For the purposes of point 14 of Annex I, subject to the discretion of the national authorities, a 0 % weighting can be assigned to debt securities issued by the entities listed in Table 1 of Annex I, where these debt securities are denominated and funded in domestic currency.
2. By way of derogation from points 13 and 14 of Annex I, Member States may set a specific risk requirement for any bonds falling within points 68 to 70 of Part 1 of Annex VI to Directive 2006/.../EC which shall be equal to the specific risk requirement for a qualifying item with the same residual maturity as such bonds and reduced in accordance with the percentages given in point 71 of Part 1 to Annex VI to that Directive.
3. If, as set out in point 52 of Annex I, a competent authority approves a third country's collective investment undertaking (CIU) as eligible, a competent authority in another Member State may make use of this approval without conducting its own assessment.

Article 20

1. Subject to paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of this Article, and Article 34 of this Directive, the requirements in Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply to investment firms.
2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, competent authorities may allow investment firms that are not authorised to provide the investment services listed in points 3 and 6 of Section A of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC to provide own funds which are always more than or equal to the higher of the following:
 - a) the sum of the capital requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
 - b) the amount laid down in Article 21 of this Directive.
3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, competent authorities may allow investment firms which hold initial capital as set out in Article 9, but which fall within the following categories, to provide own funds which are always more than or equal to the sum of the capital requirements calculated in accordance with the requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC and the amount laid down in Article 21 of this Directive:
 - a) investment firms that deal on own account only for the purpose of fulfilling or executing a client order or for the purpose of gaining entrance to a clearing and settlement system or a recognised exchange when acting in an agency capacity or executing a client order; and
 - b) investment firms:
 - i) that do not hold client money or securities;
 - ii) that undertake only dealing on own account;
 - iii) that have no external customers;
 - iv) the execution and settlement of whose transactions takes place under the responsibility of a clearing institution and are guaranteed by that clearing institution.
4. Investment firms referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3 shall remain subject to all other provisions regarding operational risk set out in Annex V of Directive 2006/.../EC.
5. Article 21 shall apply only to investment firms to which paragraphs (2) or (3) or Article 46 apply and in the manner specified therein.

Article 21

Investment firms shall be required to hold own funds equivalent to one quarter of their preceding year's fixed overheads.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The competent authorities may adjust that requirement in the event of a material change in a firm's business since the preceding year.

Where a firm has not completed a year's business, starting from the day it starts up, the requirement shall be a quarter of the fixed overheads projected in its business plan, unless an adjustment to that plan is required by the competent authorities.

Section 2

Application of requirements on a consolidated basis

Article 22

1. The competent authorities required or mandated to exercise supervision of groups covered by Article 2 on a consolidated basis may waive, on a case-by-case basis, the application of capital requirements on a consolidated basis provided that:

- a) each EU investment firm in such a group uses the calculation of own funds set out in Article 16;
- b) all investment firms in such a group fall within the categories in Article 20(2) and (3);
- c) each EU investment firm in such a group meets the requirements imposed in Articles 18 and 20 on an individual basis and at the same time deducts from its own funds any contingent liability in favour of investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings, which would otherwise be consolidated and;
- d) any financial holding company which is the parent financial holding company in a Member State of any investment firm in such a group holds at least as much capital, defined here as the sum of points (a) to (h) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC, as the sum of the full book value of any holdings, subordinated claims and instruments as referred to in Article 57 of that Directive in investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings which would otherwise be consolidated, and the total amount of any contingent liability in favour of investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings which would otherwise be consolidated.

Where the criteria in the first subparagraph are met, each EU investment firm shall have in place systems to monitor and control the sources of capital and funding of all financial holding companies, investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings within the group.

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, competent authorities may permit financial holding companies which are the parent financial holding company in a Member State of an investment firm in such a group to use a value lower than the value calculated under paragraph 1(d), but no lower than the sum of the requirements imposed in Articles 18 and 20 on an individual basis to investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings which would otherwise be consolidated and the total amount of any contingent liability in favour of investment firms, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings which would otherwise be consolidated. For the purposes of this paragraph, the capital requirement for investment undertakings of third countries, financial institutions, asset management companies and ancillary services undertakings is a notional capital requirement.

Article 23

The competent authorities shall require investment firms in a group which has been granted the waiver provided for in Article 22 to notify them of the risks which could undermine their financial positions, including those associated with the composition and sources of their capital and funding. If the competent authorities then consider that the financial positions of those investment firms is not adequately protected, they shall require them to take measures including, if necessary, limitations on the transfer of capital from such firms to group entities.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Where the competent authorities waive the obligation of supervision on a consolidated basis provided for in Article 22, they shall take other appropriate measures to monitor the risks, namely large exposures, of the whole group, including any undertakings not located in a Member State.

Where the competent authorities waive the application of capital requirements on a consolidated basis provided for in Article 22, the requirements of Article 123 and Chapter 5 of Title V of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply on an individual basis, and the requirements of Article 124 of that Directive shall apply to the supervision of investment firms on an individual basis.

Article 24

1. By way of derogation from Article 2(2), competent authorities may exempt investment firms from the consolidated capital requirement established in that Article, provided that all the investment firms in the group are covered by Article 20(2) and the group does not include credit institutions.

2. Where the requirements of paragraph 1 are met, a parent investment firm in a Member State shall be required to provide own funds at a consolidated level which are always more than or equal to the higher of the following two amounts, calculated on the basis of the parent investment firm's consolidated financial position and in compliance with Section 3 of this Chapter:

- a) the sum of the capital requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
- b) the amount prescribed in Article 21 of this Directive.

3. Where the requirements of paragraph 1 are met, an investment firm controlled by a financial holding company shall be required to provide own funds at a consolidated level which are always more than or equal to the higher of the following two amounts, calculated on the basis of the financial holding company's consolidated financial position and in compliance with Section 3 of this Chapter:

- a) the sum of the capital requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
- b) the amount prescribed in Article 21 of this Directive.

Article 25

By way of derogation from Article 2(2), competent authorities may exempt investment firms from the consolidated capital requirement established in that Article, provided that all the investment firms in the group fall within the investment firms referred to in Article 20(2) and (3), and the group does not include credit institutions.

Where the requirements of the first paragraph are met, a parent investment firm in a Member State shall be required to provide own funds at a consolidated level which are always more than or equal to the sum of the requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC and the amount prescribed in Article 21 of this Directive, calculated on the basis of the parent investment firm's consolidated financial position and in compliance with Section 3 of this Chapter.

Where the requirements of the first paragraph are met, an investment firm controlled by a financial holding company shall be required to provide own funds at a consolidated level which are always more than or equal to the sum of the requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC and the amount prescribed in Article 21 of this Directive, calculated on the basis of the financial holding company's consolidated financial position and in compliance with Section 3 of this Chapter.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

*Section 3***Calculation of consolidated requirements***Article 26*

1. Where the waiver provided for in Article 22 is not exercised, the competent authorities may, for the purpose of calculating the capital requirements set out in Annexes I and V and the exposures to clients set out in Articles 28 to 32 and Annex VI on a consolidated basis, permit positions in the trading book of one institution to offset positions in the trading book of another institution according to the rules set out in Articles 28 to 32 Annexes I, V and VI.

In addition, the competent authorities may allow foreign-exchange positions in one institution to offset foreign-exchange positions in another institution in accordance with the rules set out in Annex III and/or Annex V. They may also allow commodities positions in one institution to offset commodities positions in another institution in accordance with the rules set out in Annex IV and/or Annex V.

2. The competent authorities may permit offsetting of the trading book and of the foreign-exchange and commodities positions, respectively, of undertakings located in third countries, subject to the simultaneous fulfilment of the following conditions:

- a) such undertakings have been authorised in a third country and either satisfy the definition of credit institution set out in Article 4(1) of Directive 2006/.../EC or are recognised third-country investment firms;
- b) such undertakings comply, on an individual basis, with capital adequacy rules equivalent to those laid down in this Directive; and
- c) no regulations exist in the third countries in question which might significantly affect the transfer of funds within the group.

3. The competent authorities may also allow the offsetting provided for in paragraph 1 between institutions within a group that have been authorised in the Member State in question, provided that:

- a) there is a satisfactory allocation of capital within the group; and
- b) the regulatory, legal or contractual framework in which the institutions operate is such as to guarantee mutual financial support within the group.

4. Furthermore, the competent authorities may allow the offsetting provided for in paragraph 1 between institutions within a group that fulfil the conditions imposed in paragraph 3 and any institution included in the same group which has been authorised in another Member State provided that that institution is obliged to fulfil the capital requirements imposed in Articles 18, 20 and 28 on an individual basis.

Article 27

1. In the calculation of own funds on a consolidated basis Article 65 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply.

2. The competent authorities responsible for exercising supervision on a consolidated basis may recognise the validity of the specific own-funds definitions applicable to the institutions concerned under Chapter IV in the calculation of their consolidated own funds.

*Section 4***Monitoring and control of large exposures***Article 28*

1. Institutions shall monitor and control their large exposures in accordance with Articles 106 to 118 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, institutions which calculate the capital requirements for their trading-book business in accordance with Annexes I and II, and, as appropriate, Annex V to this Directive, shall monitor and control their large exposures in accordance with Articles 106 to 118 of Directive 2006/.../EC subject to the amendments laid down in Articles 29 to 32 of this Directive.

3. By 31 December 2007, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the functioning of this Section, together with any appropriate proposals.

Article 29

1. The exposures to individual clients which arise on the trading book shall be calculated by summing the following items:

- a) the excess — where positive — of an institution's long positions over its short positions in all the financial instruments issued by the client in question, the net position in each of the different instruments being calculated according to the methods laid down in Annex I;
- b) the net exposure, in the case of the underwriting of a debt or an equity instrument; and
- c) the exposures due to the transactions, agreements and contracts referred to in Annex II with the client in question, such exposures being calculated in the manner laid down in that Annex, for the calculation of exposure values.

For the purposes of point (b), the net exposure is calculated by deducting those underwriting positions which are subscribed or sub-underwritten by third parties on the basis of a formal agreement reduced by the factors set out in point 41 of Annex I.

For the purposes of point (b), pending further coordination, the competent authorities shall require institutions to set up systems to monitor and control their underwriting exposures between the time of the initial commitment and working day one in the light of the nature of the risks incurred in the markets in question.

For the purposes of point (c), Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall be excluded from the reference in point 6 of Annex II to this Directive.

2. The exposures to groups of connected clients on the trading book shall be calculated by summing the exposures to individual clients in a group, as calculated in paragraph 1.

Article 30

1. The overall exposures to individual clients or groups of connected clients shall be calculated by summing the exposures which arise on the trading book and the exposures which arise on the non-trading book, taking into account Article 112 to 117 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

In order to calculate the exposure which arises on the non-trading book, institutions shall take the exposure arising from assets which are deducted from their own funds by virtue of point (d) of the second subparagraph of Article 13(2) to be zero.

2. Institutions' overall exposures to individual clients and groups of connected clients calculated in accordance with paragraph 4 shall be reported in accordance with Article 110 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Other than in relation to repurchase transactions, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions, the calculation of large exposures to individual clients and groups of connected clients for reporting purposes shall not include the recognition of credit risk mitigation.

3. The sum of the exposures to an individual client or group of connected clients in paragraph 1 shall be limited in accordance with Articles 111 to 117 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

4. By derogation from paragraph 3 competent authorities may allow assets constituting claims and other exposures on recognised third-country investment firms and recognised clearing houses and exchanges in financial instruments to be subject to the same treatment accorded to those on institutions laid out in Articles 113(3)(i), 115(2) and 116 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 31

The competent authorities may authorise the limits laid down in Articles 111 to 117 of Directive 2006/.../EC to be exceeded if the following conditions are met:

- a) the exposure on the non-trading book to the client or group of clients in question does not exceed the limits laid down in Articles 111 to 117 of Directive 2006/.../EC, those limits being calculated with reference to own funds as specified in that Directive, so that the excess arises entirely on the trading book;
- b) the institution meets an additional capital requirement on the excess in respect of the limits laid down in Article 111(1) and (2) of Directive 2006/.../EC, that additional capital requirement being calculated in accordance with Annex VI to that Directive;
- c) where 10 days or less has elapsed since the excess occurred, the trading-book exposure to the client or group of connected clients in question shall not exceed 500 % of the institution's own funds;
- d) any excesses that have persisted for more than 10 days must not, in aggregate, exceed 600 % of the institution's own funds; and
- e) institutions shall report to the competent authorities every three months all cases where the limits laid down in Article 111(1) and (2) of Directive 2006/.../EC have been exceeded during the preceding three months.

In relation to point (e), in each case in which the limits have been exceeded the amount of the excess and the name of the client concerned shall be reported.

Article 32

1. The competent authorities shall establish procedures to prevent institutions from deliberately avoiding the additional capital requirements that they would otherwise incur, on exposures exceeding the limits laid down in Article 111(1) and (2) of Directive 2006/.../EC once those exposures have been maintained for more than 10 days, by means of temporarily transferring the exposures in question to another company, whether within the same group or not, and/or by undertaking artificial transactions to close out the exposure during the 10-day period and create a new exposure.

The competent authorities shall notify the Council and the Commission of those procedures.

Institutions shall maintain systems which ensure that any transfer which has the effect referred to in the first subparagraph is immediately reported to the competent authorities.

2. The competent authorities may permit institutions which are allowed to use the alternative determination of own funds under Article 13(2) to use that determination for the purposes of Articles 30(2), 30(3) and 31 provided that the institutions concerned are required to meet all of the obligations set out in Articles 110 to 117 of Directive 2006/.../EC, in respect of the exposures which arise outside their trading books by using own funds as defined in that Directive.

*Section 5***Valuation of positions for reporting purposes***Article 33*

1. All trading book positions shall be subject to prudent valuation rules as specified in Annex VII, Part B. These rules shall require institutions to ensure that the value applied to each of its trading book positions appropriately reflects the current market value. The former value shall contain an appropriate degree of certainty having regard to the dynamic nature of trading book positions, the demands of prudential soundness and the mode of operation and purpose of capital requirements in respect of trading book positions.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Trading book positions shall be re-valued at least daily.
3. In the absence of readily available market prices, the competent authorities may waive the requirement imposed in paragraphs 1 and 2 and shall require institutions to use alternative methods of valuation provided that those methods are sufficiently prudent and have been approved by competent authorities.

Section 6

Risk management and capital assessment

Article 34

Competent authorities shall require that every investment firm, as well as meeting the requirements set out in Article 13 of Directive 2004/39/EC, shall meet the requirements set out in Articles 22 and 123 of Directive 2006/.../EC, subject to the provisions on level of application set out in Articles 68 to 73 of that Directive.

Section 7

Reporting requirements

Article 35

1. Member States shall require that investment firms and credit institutions provide the competent authorities of their home Member States with all the information necessary for the assessment of their compliance with the rules adopted in accordance with this Directive. Member States shall also ensure that internal control mechanisms and administrative and accounting procedures of the institutions permit the verification of their compliance with such rules at all times.
2. Investment firms shall report to the competent authorities in the manner specified by the latter at least once every month in the case of firms covered by Article 9, at least once every three months in the case of firms covered by Article 5(1) and at least once every six months in the case of firms covered by Article 5(3).
3. Notwithstanding paragraph 2, investment firms covered by Articles 5(1) and 9 shall be required to provide the information on a consolidated or sub-consolidated basis only once every six months.
4. Credit institutions shall be obliged to report in the manner specified by the competent authorities as often as they are obliged to report under Directive 2006/.../EC.
5. The competent authorities shall oblige institutions to report to them immediately any case in which their counter parties in repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements or securities and commodities-lending and securities and commodities-borrowing transactions default on their obligations.

CHAPTER VI

Section 1

Competent authorities

Article 36

1. Member States shall designate the authorities which are competent to carry out the duties provided for in this Directive. They shall inform the Commission thereof, indicating any division of duties.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. The competent authorities shall be public authorities or bodies officially recognized by national law or by public authorities as part of the supervisory system in operation in the Member State concerned.
3. The competent authorities shall be granted all the powers necessary for the performance of their tasks, and in particular that of overseeing the constitution of trading books.

Section 2

Supervision

Article 37

1. Chapter 4 of Title V of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply mutatis mutandis to the supervision of investment firms in accordance with the following:
 - a) references to Article 6 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall be construed as references to Article 5 of Directive 2004/39/EC;
 - b) references to Article 22 and 123 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall be construed as references to Article 34 of this Directive; and
 - c) references to Articles 44 to 52 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall be construed as references to Articles 54 and 58 of Directive 2004/39/EC.

Where an EU parent financial holding company has as subsidiary both a credit institution and an investment firm, Title V, Chapter 4 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply to the supervision of institutions as if references to credit institutions were to institutions.

2. Article 129(2) of Directive 2006/.../EC shall also apply to the recognition of internal models of institutions under Annex V to this Directive where the application is submitted by an EU parent credit institution and its subsidiaries or an EU parent investment firm and its subsidiaries, or jointly by the subsidiaries of an EU parent financial holding company.

The period for the recognition referred to in the first sub-paragraph shall be six months.

Article 38

1. The competent authorities of the Member States shall cooperate closely in the performance of the duties provided for in this Directive, particularly where investment services are provided on the basis of the freedom to provide services or through the establishment of branches.

The competent authorities shall on request supply one another with all information likely to facilitate the supervision of the capital adequacy of institutions, in particular the verification of their compliance with the rules laid down in this Directive.

2. Any exchange of information between competent authorities which is provided for in this Directive shall be subject to the following obligations of professional secrecy:
 - a) for investment firms, those imposed in Article 54 and 58 of Directive 2004/39/EC; and
 - b) for credit institutions, those imposed in Articles 44 to 52 of Directive 2006/.../EC.

CHAPTER VII

DISCLOSURE

Article 39

The requirements set out in Title V, Chapter 5 of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply to investment firms.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

CHAPTER VIII

Section 1

Article 40

For the purposes of the calculation of minimum capital requirements for counterparty risk under this Directive, and for the calculation of minimum capital requirements for credit risk under Directive 2006/.../EC, and without prejudice to the provisions of Part 2, point 6 of Annex III to that Directive, exposures to recognised third-country investment firms and exposures to recognised clearing houses and exchanges shall be treated as exposures to institutions.

Section 2

Powers of execution

Article 41

1. The Commission shall decide on any technical adaptations in the following areas in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 42(2):

- a) clarification of the definitions in Article 3 in order to ensure uniform application of this Directive;
- b) clarification of the definitions in Article 3 to take account of developments on financial markets;
- c) adjustment of the amounts of initial capital prescribed in Articles 5 to 9 and the amount referred to in Article 18(2) to take account of developments in the economic and monetary field;
- d) adjustment of the categories of investment firms in Article 20(2) and (3) to take account of developments on financial markets;
- e) clarification of the requirement laid down in Article 21 to ensure uniform application of this Directive;
- f) alignment of terminology on and the framing of definitions in accordance with subsequent acts on institutions and related matters;
- g) adjustment of the technical provisions in Annexes I to VII as a result of developments on financial markets, risk measurement, accounting standards or requirements which take account of Community legislation or which have regard to convergence of supervisory practices; or
- h) technical adaptations to take account of the outcome of the review referred to in Article 65(3) of Directive 2004/39/EC.

2. None of the implementing measures enacted may change the essential provisions of this Directive

Article 42

1. The Commission shall be assisted by the European Banking Committee established by Commission Decision 2004/10/EC of 5 November 2003 ⁽¹⁾ (hereinafter referred to as 'the Committee').

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, the procedure laid down in Article 5 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, having regard to the provisions of Article 7(3) and 8 thereof.

The period laid down in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be three months.

3. Without prejudice to the implementing measures already adopted, upon expiry of a two-year period following the adoption of this Directive, and by 1 April 2008, the application of the provisions of this Directive requiring the adoption of technical rules, amendments and decisions in accordance with paragraph 2 shall be suspended. Acting on a proposal from the Commission and in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty, the Parliament and the Council may renew those provisions and, to that end, shall review them prior to the expiry of the period or by the date referred to in this paragraph, whichever the earlier.

4. The Committee shall adopt its Rules of Procedure

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 3, 7.1.2004, p. 36.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

*Section 3***Transitional provisions***Article 43*

Article 152(1) to (7) of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply, in accordance with Article 2 and Chapter V, Sections 2 and 3 of this Directive, to investment firms calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts, for the purposes of Annex II to this Directive, in accordance with Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC, or using the Advanced Measurement Approach as specified in Article 105 of that Directive for the calculation of their capital requirements for operational risk.

Article 44

Until 31 December 2012, for investment firms the relevant indicator for the trading and sales business line of which represents at least 50 % of the total of relevant indicators for all of their business lines calculated in accordance with Article 20 of this Directive and points 1 to 4 of Part 2 of Annex X to Directive 2006/.../EC, Member States may apply a percentage of 15 % to the business line 'trading and sales'.

Article 45

1. Competent authorities may permit investment firms to exceed the limits concerning large exposures set out in Article 111 of Directive 2006/.../EC. Investment firms need not include any excesses in their calculation of capital requirements exceeding such limits, as set out in Article 75(b) of that Directive. This discretion is available until 31 December 2010 or the date of entry into force of any modifications consequent to the treatment of large exposures pursuant to Article 119 of Directive 2006/.../EC, whichever is the earlier. For this discretion to be exercised, the following conditions shall be met:

- a) the investment firm provides investment services or investment activities related to the financial instruments listed in points 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC;
- b) the investment firm does not provide such investment services or undertake such investment activities for, or on behalf of, retail clients;
- c) breaches of the limits referred to in the introductory part of this paragraph arise in connection with exposures resulting from contracts that are financial instruments as listed in point (a) and relate to commodities or underlyings within the meaning of point 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC (MiFID) and are calculated in accordance with Annexes III and IV of Directive 2006/.../EC, or in connection with exposures resulting from contracts concerning the delivery of commodities or emission allowances; and
- d) the investment firm has a documented strategy for managing and, in particular, for controlling and limiting risks arising from the concentration of exposures. The investment firm shall inform the competent authorities of this strategy and all material changes to it without delay. The investment firm shall make appropriate arrangements to ensure a continuous monitoring of the creditworthiness of borrowers, according to their impact on concentration risk. These arrangements shall enable the investment firm to react adequately and sufficiently promptly to any deterioration in that creditworthiness.

2. Where an investment firm exceeds the internal limits set according to the strategy referred to in point (d) of paragraph 1, it shall notify the competent authority without delay of the size and nature of the excess and of the counterparty.

Article 46

By way of derogation from Article 20(1), until 31 December 2011 competent authorities may choose, on a case-by-case basis, not to apply the capital requirements arising from point (d) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC in respect of investment firms to which Article 20(2) and (3) do not apply, whose total trading book positions never exceed 50 million Euro and whose average number of relevant employees during the financial year does not exceed 100.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Instead, the capital requirement in relation to those investment firms shall be at least the lower of:

- a) the capital requirements arising from point (d) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
- b) 12/88 of the higher of the following:
 - i) the sum of the capital requirements contained in points (a) to (c) of Article 75 of Directive 2006/.../EC; and
 - ii) the amount laid down in Article 21 of this Directive, notwithstanding Article 20(5).

If point (b) applies, an incremental increase shall be applied on at least an annual basis.

Applying this derogation shall not result in a reduction in the overall level of capital requirements for an investment firm, in comparison to the requirements as at 31 December 2006, unless such a reduction is prudentially justified by a reduction in the size of the investment firm's business.

Article 47

Until 31 December 2009 or any earlier date specified by the competent authorities on a case-by-case basis, institutions that have received specific risk model recognition prior to 1 January 2007 in accordance with point 1 of Annex V may, for that existing recognition, treat points 4 and 8 of Annex V to Directive 93/6/EEC as those points stood prior to 1 January 2007.

Article 48

1. The provisions on capital requirements as laid down in this Directive and Directive 2006/.../EC shall not apply to investment firms whose main business consists exclusively of the provision of investment services or activities in relation to the financial instruments set out in points 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC and to whom Directive 93/22/EEC⁽¹⁾ did not apply on 31 December 2006. This exemption is available until 31 December 2010 or the date of entry into force of any modifications pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3, whichever is the earlier.

2. As part of the review required by Article 65(3) of Directive 2004/39/EC, the Commission shall, on the basis of public consultations and in the light of discussions with the competent authorities, report to the Parliament and the Council on:

- a) an appropriate regime for the prudential supervision of investment firms whose main business consists exclusively of the provision of investment services or activities in relation to the commodity derivatives or derivatives contracts set out in points 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC; and
- b) the desirability of amending Directive 2004/39/EC to create a further category of investment firm whose main business consists exclusively of the provision of investment services or activities in relation to the financial instruments set out in points 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC relating to energy supplies (including electricity, coal, gas and oil).

3. On the basis of the report referred to in paragraph 2, the Commission may submit proposals for amendments to this Directive and to Directive 2006/.../EC.

Section 4

Final provisions

Article 49

1. Member States shall adopt and publish, by 31 December 2006, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Articles 2, 3, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 50 and the Annexes I, II, III, V, VII. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

⁽¹⁾ Council Directive 93/22/EEC of 10 May 1993 on investment services in the securities field (OJ L 141, 11.6.1993, p. 27). Directive as last amended by Directive 2002/87/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

They shall apply those provisions from 1 January 2007.

When Member States adopt those measures, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directives repealed by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 50

1. Article 152(8) to (14) of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply mutatis mutandis for the purposes of this Directive subject to the following provisions which shall apply where the discretion referred to in Article 152(8) of Directive 2006/.../EC is exercised:

- a) references in point 7 of Annex II to this Directive to Directive 2006/.../EC shall be read as references to Directive 2000/12/EC as that Directive stood prior to 1 January 2007; and
- b) point 4 of Annex II to this Directive shall apply as it stood prior to 1 January 2007.

2. Article 157(3) of Directive 2006/.../EC shall apply mutatis mutandis for the purposes of Articles 18 and 20 of this Directive.

Article 51

By 1 January 2011, the Commission shall review and report on the application of this Directive and submit its report to the Parliament and the Council together with any appropriate proposals for amendment.

Article 52

Directive 93/6/EEC, as amended by the Directives listed in Annex VIII, Part A, is repealed, without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limits for transposition into national law of the Directives set out in Annex VIII, Part B.

References made to the repealed directives shall be construed as being made to this Directive and should be read in accordance with the correspondence table set out in Annex IX.

Article 53

This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 54

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Strasbourg,

For the European Parliament
The President

For the Council
The President

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX I

CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR POSITION RISK

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Netting

1. The excess of an institution's long (short) positions over its short (long) positions in the same equity, debt and convertible issues and identical financial futures, options, warrants and covered warrants shall be its net position in each of those different instruments. In calculating the net position the competent authorities shall allow positions in derivative instruments to be treated, as laid down in points 4 to 7, as positions in the underlying (or notional) security or securities. Institutions' holdings of their own debt instruments shall be disregarded in calculating specific risk under point 14.
2. No netting shall be allowed between a convertible and an offsetting position in the instrument underlying it, unless the competent authorities adopt an approach under which the likelihood of a particular convertible's being converted is taken into account or have a capital requirement to cover any loss which conversion might entail.
3. All net positions, irrespective of their signs, must be converted on a daily basis into the institution's reporting currency at the prevailing spot exchange rate before their aggregation.

Particular instruments

4. Interest-rate futures, forward-rate agreements (FRAs) and forward commitments to buy or sell debt instruments shall be treated as combinations of long and short positions. Thus a long interest-rate futures position shall be treated as a combination of a borrowing maturing on the delivery date of the futures contract and a holding of an asset with maturity date equal to that of the instrument or notional position underlying the futures contract in question. Similarly a sold FRA will be treated as a long position with a maturity date equal to the settlement date plus the contract period, and a short position with maturity equal to the settlement date. Both the borrowing and the asset holding shall be included in the first category set out in Table 1 in point 14 in order to calculate the capital required against specific risk for interest-rate futures and FRAs. A forward commitment to buy a debt instrument shall be treated as a combination of a borrowing maturing on the delivery date and a long (spot) position in the debt instrument itself. The borrowing shall be included in the first category set out in Table 1 in point 14 for purposes of specific risk, and the debt instrument under whichever column is appropriate for it in the same table.

The competent authorities may allow the capital requirement for an exchange-traded future to be equal to the margin required by the exchange if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the future and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for a future that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V. The competent authorities may also allow the capital requirement for an OTC derivatives contract of the type referred to in this point cleared by a clearing house recognised by them to be equal to the margin required by the clearing house if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the derivatives contract and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for the contract in question that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

For the purposes of this point, 'long position' means a position in which an institution has fixed the interest rate it will receive at some time in the future, and 'short position' means a position in which it has fixed the interest rate it will pay at some time in the future.

5. Options on interest rates, debt instruments, equities, equity indices, financial futures, swaps and foreign currencies shall be treated as if they were positions equal in value to the amount of the underlying instrument to which the option refers, multiplied by its delta for the purposes of this Annex. The latter positions may be netted off against any offsetting positions in the identical underlying securities or derivatives. The delta used shall be that of the exchange concerned, that calculated by the competent authorities or, where that is not available or for OTC-options, that calculated by the institution itself, subject to the competent authorities being satisfied that the model used by the institution is reasonable.

However, the competent authorities may also prescribe that institutions calculate their deltas using a methodology specified by the competent authorities.

Other risks, apart from the delta risk, associated with options shall be safeguarded against. The competent authorities may allow the requirement against a written exchange-traded option to be equal to the margin required by the exchange if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the option and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement against an option that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V. The competent authorities may also allow the capital requirement for an OTC option cleared by a clearing house recognised by them to be equal to the margin required by the clearing house if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the option and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for an OTC option that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V. In addition they may allow the requirement on a bought exchange-traded or OTC option to be the same as that for the instrument underlying it, subject to the constraint that the resulting requirement does not exceed the market value of the option. The requirement against a written OTC option shall be set in relation to the instrument underlying it.

6. Warrants relating to debt instruments and equities shall be treated in the same way as options under point 5.
7. Swaps shall be treated for interest-rate risk purposes on the same basis as on-balance-sheet instruments. Thus, an interest-rate swap under which an institution receives floating-rate interest and pays fixed-rate interest shall be treated as equivalent to a long position in a floating-rate instrument of maturity equivalent to the period until the next interest fixing and a short position in a fixed-rate instrument with the same maturity as the swap itself.

A. *Treatment of the protection seller*

8. When calculating the capital requirement for market risk of the party who assumes the credit risk (the 'protection seller'), unless specified differently, the notional amount of the credit derivative contract must be used. For the purpose of calculating the specific risk charge, other than for total return swaps, the maturity of the credit derivative contract is applicable instead of the maturity of the obligation. Positions are determined as follows:
 - (i) A total return swap creates a long position in the general market risk of the reference obligation and a short position in the general market risk of a government bond with a maturity equivalent to the period until the next interest fixing and which is assigned a 0 % risk weight under Annex VI of Directive 2006/.../EC. It also creates a long position in the specific risk of the reference obligation.
 - (ii) A credit default swap does not create a position for general market risk. For the purposes of specific risk, the institution must record a synthetic long position in an obligation of the reference entity, unless the derivative is rated externally and meets the conditions for a qualifying debt item, in which case a long position in the derivative is recorded. If premium or interest payments are due under the product, these cash flows must be represented as notional positions in government bonds.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (iii) A single name credit linked note creates a long position in the general market risk of the note itself, as an interest rate product. For the purpose of specific risk, a synthetic long position is created in an obligation of the reference entity. An additional long position is created in the issuer of the note. Where the credit linked note has an external rating and meets the conditions for a qualifying debt item, a single long position with the specific risk of the note need only be recorded.
- (iv) In addition to a long position in the specific risk of the issuer of the note, a multiple name credit linked note providing proportional protection creates a position in each reference entity, with the total notional amount of the contract assigned across the positions according to the proportion of the total notional amount that each exposure to a reference entity represents. Where more than one obligation of a reference entity can be selected, the obligation with the highest risk weighting determines the specific risk.

Where a multiple name credit linked note has an external rating and meets the conditions for a qualifying debt item, a single long position with the specific risk of the note need only be recorded.

- (v) A first-asset-to-default credit derivative creates a position for the notional amount in an obligation of each reference entity. If the size of the maximum credit event payment is lower than the capital requirement under the method in the first sentence of this point, the maximum payment amount may be taken as the capital requirement for specific risk.

A second-asset-to-default credit derivative creates a position for the notional amount in an obligation of each reference entity less one (that with the lowest specific risk capital requirement). If the size of the maximum credit event payment is lower than the capital requirement under the method in the first sentence of this point, this amount may be taken as the capital requirement for specific risk.

If a first or second-asset to default derivative is externally rated and meets the conditions for a qualifying debt item, then the protection seller need only calculate one specific risk charge reflecting the rating of the derivative.

B. Treatment of the protection buyer

For the party who transfers credit risk (the 'protection buyer'), the positions are determined as the mirror image of the protection seller, with the exception of a credit linked note (which entails no short position in the issuer). If at a given moment there is a call option in combination with a step-up, such moment is treated as the maturity of the protection. In the case of nth to default credit derivatives, protection buyers are allowed to off-set specific risk for n-1 of the underlyings (i.e., the n-1 assets with the lowest specific risk charge).

- 9. Institutions which mark to market and manage the interest-rate risk on the derivative instruments covered in points 4 to 7 on a discounted-cash-flow basis may use sensitivity models to calculate the positions referred to in those points and may use them for any bond which is amortised over its residual life rather than via one final repayment of principal. Both the model and its use by the institution must be approved by the competent authorities. These models should generate positions which have the same sensitivity to interest-rate changes as the underlying cash flows. This sensitivity must be assessed with reference to independent movements in sample rates across the yield curve, with at least one sensitivity point in each of the maturity bands set out in Table 2 of point 20. The positions shall be included in the calculation of capital requirements according to the provisions laid down in points 17 to 32.
- 10. Institutions which do not use models under point 9 may, with the approval of the competent authorities, treat as fully offsetting any positions in derivative instruments covered in points 4 to 7 which meet the following conditions at least:
 - a) the positions are of the same value and denominated in the same currency;
 - b) the reference rate (for floating-rate positions) or coupon (for fixed-rate positions) is closely matched; and

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the next interest-fixing date or, for fixed coupon positions, residual maturity corresponds with the following limits:
- i) less than one month hence: same day;
 - ii) between one month and one year hence: within seven days; and
 - iii) over one year hence: within 30 days.
11. The transferor of securities or guaranteed rights relating to title to securities in a repurchase agreement and the lender of securities in a securities lending shall include these securities in the calculation of its capital requirement under this Annex provided that such securities meet the criteria laid down in Article 11.

Specific and general risks

12. The position risk on a traded debt instrument or equity (or debt or equity derivative) shall be divided into two components in order to calculate the capital required against it. The first shall be its specific-risk component – this is the risk of a price change in the instrument concerned due to factors related to its issuer or, in the case of a derivative, the issuer of the underlying instrument. The second component shall cover its general risk – this is the risk of a price change in the instrument due (in the case of a traded debt instrument or debt derivative) to a change in the level of interest rates or (in the case of an equity or equity derivative) to a broad equity-market movement unrelated to any specific attributes of individual securities.

TRADED DEBT INSTRUMENTS

13. Net positions shall be classified according to the currency in which they are denominated and shall calculate the capital requirement for general and specific risk in each individual currency separately.

Specific risk

14. The institution shall assign its net positions in the trading book, as calculated in accordance with point 1 to the appropriate categories in Table 1 on the basis of their issuer/obligor, external or internal credit assessment, and residual maturity, and then multiply them by the weightings shown in that table. It shall sum its weighted positions (regardless of whether they are long or short) in order to calculate its capital requirement against specific risk.

Table 1

Categories	Specific risk capital charge
Debt securities issued or guaranteed by central governments, issued by central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or Member States' regional government or local authorities which would qualify for credit quality step 1 or which would receive a 0 % risk weight under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC.	0 %
Debt securities issued or guaranteed by central governments, issued by central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or Member States' regional governments or local authorities which would qualify for credit quality step 2 or 3 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by institutions which would qualify for credit quality step 1 or 2 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by institutions which would qualify for credit quality step 3 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under point 28, Part 1 of Annex VI to Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by corporates which would qualify for credit quality step 1 or 2 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC. Other qualifying items as defined in point 15.	0,25 % (residual term to final maturity 6 months or less) 1,00 % (residual term to final maturity greater than 6 and up to and including 24 months) 1,60 % (residual term to final maturity exceeding 24 months)

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Categories	Specific risk capital charge
Debt securities issued or guaranteed by central governments, issued by central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or Member States' regional governments or local authorities or institutions which would qualify for credit quality step 4 or 5 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by institutions which would qualify for credit quality step 3 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under point 26 of Part 1 of Annex VI to Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by corporates which would qualify for credit quality step 3 or 4 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC. Exposures for which a credit assessment by a nominated ECAI is not available.	8 %
Debt securities issued or guaranteed by central governments, issued by central banks, international organisations, multilateral development banks or Member States' regional governments or local authorities or institutions which would qualify for credit quality step 6 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC, and debt securities issued or guaranteed by corporates which would qualify for credit quality step 5 or 6 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC.	12 %

For institutions which apply the rules for the risk weighting of exposures under Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC, to qualify for a credit quality step the obligor of the exposure shall have an internal rating with a PD equivalent to or lower than that associated with the appropriate credit quality step under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to corporates under Articles 78 to 83 of that Directive.

Instruments issued by a non-qualifying issuer shall receive a specific risk capital charge of 8 % or 12 % according to Table 1. Competent authorities may require institutions to apply a higher specific risk charge to such instruments and/or to disallow offsetting for the purposes of defining the extent of general market risk between such instruments and any other debt instruments.

Securitisation exposures that would be subject to a deduction treatment as set out in Article 66(2) of Directive 2006/.../EC, or risk-weighted at 1 250 % as set out in Part 4 of Annex IX to that Directive, shall be subject to a capital charge that is no less than that set out under those treatments. Unrated liquidity facilities shall be subject to a capital charge that is no less than that set out in Part 4 of Annex IX to Directive 2006/.../EC.

15. For the purposes of point 14 qualifying items shall include:

- a) long and short positions in assets qualifying for a credit quality step corresponding at least to investment grade in the mapping process described in Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Sub-section 1 of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- b) long and short positions in assets which, because of the solvency of the issuer, have a PD which is not higher than that of the assets referred to under (a), under the approach described in Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Sub-section 2 of Directive 2006/.../EC;
- c) long and short positions in assets for which a credit assessment by a nominated external credit assessment institution is not available and which meet the following conditions:
 - i) they are considered by the institutions concerned to be sufficiently liquid;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- ii) their investment quality is, according to the institution's own discretion, at least equivalent to that of the assets referred to under point (a); and
- iii) they are listed on at least one regulated market in a Member State or on a stock exchange in a third country provided that the exchange is recognised by the competent authorities of the relevant Member State;
- d) long and short positions in assets issued by institutions subject to the capital adequacy requirements set out in Directive 2006/.../EC which are considered by the institutions concerned to be sufficiently liquid and whose investment quality is, according to the institution's own discretion, at least equivalent to that of the assets referred to under point (a); and
- e) securities issued by institutions that are deemed to be of equivalent, or higher, credit quality than those associated with credit quality step 2 under the rules for the risk weighting of exposures to institutions set out in Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC and that are subject to supervisory and regulatory arrangements comparable to those under this Directive.

The manner in which the debt instruments are assessed shall be subject to scrutiny by the competent authorities, which shall overturn the judgment of the institution if they consider that the instruments concerned are subject to too high a degree of specific risk to be qualifying items.

16. The competent authorities shall require the institution to apply the maximum weighting shown in Table 1 to point 14 to instruments that show a particular risk because of the insufficient solvency of the issuer.

General risk

(a) *Maturity-based*

17. The procedure for calculating capital requirements against general risk involves two basic steps. First, all positions shall be weighted according to maturity (as explained in point 18), in order to compute the amount of capital required against them. Second, allowance shall be made for this requirement to be reduced when a weighted position is held alongside an opposite weighted position within the same maturity band. A reduction in the requirement shall also be allowed when the opposite weighted positions fall into different maturity bands, with the size of this reduction depending both on whether the two positions fall into the same zone, or not, and on the particular zones they fall into. There are three zones (groups of maturity bands) altogether.
18. The institution shall assign its net positions to the appropriate maturity bands in column 2 or 3, as appropriate, in Table 2 in point 20. It shall do so on the basis of residual maturity in the case of fixed-rate instruments and on the basis of the period until the interest rate is next set in the case of instruments on which the interest rate is variable before final maturity. It shall also distinguish between debt instruments with a coupon of 3 % or more and those with a coupon of less than 3 % and thus allocate them to column 2 or column 3 in Table 2. It shall then multiply each of them by the weighing for the maturity band in question in column 4 in Table 2.
19. It shall then work out the sum of the weighted long positions and the sum of the weighted short positions in each maturity band. The amount of the former which are matched by the latter in a given maturity band shall be the matched weighted position in that band, while the residual long or short position shall be the unmatched weighted position for the same band. The total of the matched weighted positions in all bands shall then be calculated.
20. The institution shall compute the totals of the unmatched weighted long positions for the bands included in each of the zones in Table 2 in order to derive the unmatched weighted long position for each zone. Similarly, the sum of the unmatched weighted short positions for each band in a particular zone shall be summed to compute the unmatched weighted short position for that zone. That part of the unmatched weighted long position for a given zone that is matched by the unmatched weighted short position for the same zone shall be the matched weighted position for that zone. That part of the unmatched weighted long or unmatched weighted short position for a zone that cannot be thus matched shall be the unmatched weighted position for that zone.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Table 2

Zone	Maturity band		Weighting (in %)	Assumed interest rate change (in %)
	Coupon of 3 % or more	Coupon of less than 3 %		
One	0 ≤ 1 month	0 ≤ 1 month	0,00	—
	> 1 ≤ 3 months	> 1 ≤ 3 months	0,20	1,00
	> 3 ≤ 6 months	> 3 ≤ 6 months	0,40	1,00
	> 6 ≤ 12 months	> 6 ≤ 12 months	0,70	1,00
Two	> 1 ≤ 2 years	> 1,0 ≤ 1,9 years	1,25	0,90
	> 2 ≤ 3 years	> 1,9 ≤ 2,8 years	1,75	0,80
	> 3 ≤ 4 years	> 2,8 ≤ 3,6 years	2,25	0,75
Three	> 4 ≤ 5 years	> 3,6 ≤ 4,3 years	2,75	0,75
	> 5 ≤ 7 years	> 4,3 ≤ 5,7 years	3,25	0,70
	> 7 ≤ 10 years	> 5,7 ≤ 7,3 years	3,75	0,65
	> 10 ≤ 15 years	> 7,3 ≤ 9,3 years	4,50	0,60
	> 15 ≤ 20 years	> 9,3 ≤ 10,6 years	5,25	0,60
	> 20 years	> 10,6 ≤ 12,0 years	6,00	0,60
		> 12,0 ≤ 20,0 years	8,00	0,60
	> 20 years	12,50	0,60	

21. The amount of the unmatched weighted long (short) position in zone one which is matched by the unmatched weighted short (long) position in zone two shall then be computed. This shall be referred to in point 25 as the matched weighted position between zones one and two. The same calculation shall then be undertaken with regard to that part of the unmatched weighted position in zone two which is left over and the unmatched weighted position in zone three in order to calculate the matched weighted position between zones two and three.
22. The institution may, if it wishes, reverse the order in point 21 so as to calculate the matched weighted position between zones two and three before calculating that position between zones one and two.
23. The remainder of the unmatched weighted position in zone one shall then be matched with what remains of that for zone three after the latter's matching with zone two in order to derive the matched weighted position between zones one and three.
24. Residual positions, following the three separate matching calculations in points 21, 22 and 23, shall be summed.
25. The institution's capital requirement shall be calculated as the sum of:
 - a) 10 % of the sum of the matched weighted positions in all maturity bands;
 - b) 40 % of the matched weighted position in zone one;
 - c) 30 % of the matched weighted position in zone two;
 - d) 30 % of the matched weighted position in zone three;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- e) 40 % of the matched weighted position between zones one and two and between zones two and three (see point 21);
- f) 150 % of the matched weighted position between zones one and three; and
- g) 100 % of the residual unmatched weighted positions.

(b) *Duration-based*

26. The competent authorities may allow institutions in general or on an individual basis to use a system for calculating the capital requirement for the general risk on traded debt instruments which reflects duration, instead of the system set out in points 17 to 25, provided that the institution does so on a consistent basis.
27. Under a system referred to in point 26 the institution shall take the market value of each fixed-rate debt instrument and thence calculate its yield to maturity, which is implied discount rate for that instrument. In the case of floating-rate instruments, the institution shall take the market value of each instrument and thence calculate its yield on the assumption that the principal is due when the interest rate can next be changed.
28. The institution shall then calculate the modified duration of each debt instrument on the basis of the following formula: modified duration = ((duration (D))/(1 + r)), where:

$$D = \frac{(\sum_{t=1}^m (t C_t) / ((1 + r)^t))}{(\sum_{t=1}^m (C_t) / ((1 + r)^t))}$$

where:

R	=	yield to maturity (see point 25),
C _t	=	cash payment in time t,
M	=	total maturity (see point 25).

29. The institution shall then allocate each debt instrument to the appropriate zone in Table 3. It shall do so on the basis of the modified duration of each instrument.

Table 3

Zone	Modified duration (in years)	Assumed interest (change in %)
One	> 0 ≤ 1,0	1,0
Two	> 1,0 ≤ 3,6	0,85
Three	> 3,6	0,7

30. The institution shall then calculate the duration-weighted position for each instrument by multiplying its market price by its modified duration and by the assumed interest-rate change for an instrument with that particular modified duration (see column 3 in Table 3).
31. The institution shall calculate its duration-weighted long and its duration-weighted short positions within each zone. The amount of the former which are matched by the latter within each zone shall be the matched duration-weighted position for that zone.

The institution shall then calculate the unmatched duration-weighted positions for each zone. It shall then follow the procedures laid down for unmatched weighted positions in points 21 to 24.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

32. The institution's capital requirement shall then be calculated as the sum of:
- 2 % of the matched duration-weighted position for each zone;
 - 40 % of the matched duration-weighted positions between zones one and two and between zones two and three;
 - 150 % of the matched duration-weighted position between zones one and three; and
 - 100 % of the residual unmatched duration-weighted positions.

EQUITIES

33. The institution shall sum all its net long positions and all its net short positions in accordance with point 1. The sum of the two figures shall be its overall gross position. The difference between them shall be its overall net position.

Specific risk

34. The institution shall sum all its net long positions and all its net short positions in accordance with point 1. It shall multiply its overall gross position by 4 % in order to calculate its capital requirement against specific risk.
35. By derogation from point 34, the competent authorities may allow the capital requirement against specific risk to be 2 % rather than 4 % for those portfolios of equities that an institution holds which meet the following conditions:
- the equities shall not be those of issuers which have issued only traded debt instruments that currently attract an 8 % or 12 % requirement in Table 1 to point 14 or that attract a lower requirement only because they are guaranteed or secured;
 - the equities must be adjudged highly liquid by the competent authorities according to objective criteria; and
 - no individual position shall comprise more than 5 % of the value of the institution's whole equity portfolio.

For the purpose of point (c), the competent authorities may authorise individual positions of up to 10 % provided that the total of such positions does not exceed 50 % of the portfolio.

General risk

36. Its capital requirement against general risk shall be its overall net position multiplied by 8 %.

Stock-index futures

37. Stock-index futures, the delta-weighted equivalents of options in stock-index futures and stock indices collectively referred to hereafter as 'stock-index futures', may be broken down into positions in each of their constituent equities. These positions may be treated as underlying positions in the equities in question, and may, subject to the approval of the competent authorities, be netted against opposite positions in the underlying equities themselves.
38. The competent authorities shall ensure that any institution which has netted off its positions in one or more of the equities constituting a stock-index future against one or more positions in the stock-index future itself has adequate capital to cover the risk of loss caused by the future's values not moving fully in line with that of its constituent equities; they shall also do this when an institution holds opposite positions in stock-index futures which are not identical in respect of either their maturity or their composition or both.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

39. By derogation from points 37 and 38, stock-index futures which are exchange traded and – in the opinion of the competent authorities – represent broadly diversified indices shall attract a capital requirement against general risk of 8 %, but no capital requirement against specific risk. Such stock-index futures shall be included in the calculation of the overall net position in point 33, but disregarded in the calculation of the overall gross position in the same point.
40. If a stock-index future is not broken down into its underlying positions, it shall be treated as if it were an individual equity. However, the specific risk on this individual equity can be ignored if the stock-index future in question is exchange traded and, in the opinion of the competent authorities, represents a broadly diversified index.

UNDERWRITING

41. In the case of the underwriting of debt and equity instruments, the competent authorities may allow an institution to use the following procedure in calculating its capital requirements. Firstly, it shall calculate the net positions by deducting the underwriting positions which are subscribed or sub-underwritten by third parties on the basis of formal agreements. Secondly, it shall reduce the net positions by the reduction factors in Table 4

Table 4

— working day 0:	100 %
— working day 1:	90 %
— working days 2 to 3:	75 %
— working day 4:	50 %
— working day 5:	25 %
— after working day 5:	0 %.

'Working day zero' shall be the working day on which the institution becomes unconditionally committed to accepting a known quantity of securities at an agreed price.

Thirdly, it shall calculate its capital requirements using the reduced underwriting positions.

The competent authorities shall ensure that the institution holds sufficient capital against the risk of loss which exists between the time of the initial commitment and working day 1.

SPECIFIC RISK CAPITAL CHARGES FOR TRADING BOOK POSITIONS HEDGED BY CREDIT DERIVATIVES

42. An allowance shall be given for protection provided by credit derivatives, in accordance with the principles set out in points 43 to 46.
43. Full allowance shall be given when the value of two legs always move in the opposite direction and broadly to the same extent. This will be the case in the following situations:
- the two legs consist of completely identical instruments; or
 - a long cash position is hedged by a total rate of return swap (or vice versa) and there is an exact match between the reference obligation and the underlying exposure (i.e., the cash position). The maturity of the swap itself may be different from that of the underlying exposure.

In these situations, a specific risk capital charge should not be applied to either side of the position.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

44. An 80 % offset will be applied when the value of two legs always move in the opposite direction and where there is an exact match in terms of the reference obligation, the maturity of both the reference obligation and the credit derivative, and the currency of the underlying exposure. In addition, key features of the credit derivative contract should not cause the price movement of the credit derivative to materially deviate from the price movements of the cash position. To the extent that the transaction transfers risk, an 80 % specific risk offset will be applied to the side of the transaction with the higher capital charge, while the specific risk requirements on the other side shall be zero.
45. Partial allowance shall be given when the value of two legs usually move in the opposite direction. This would be the case in the following situations:
- a) the position falls under point 43(b) but there is an asset mismatch between the reference obligation and the underlying exposure. However, the positions meet the following requirements:
 - i) the reference obligation ranks pari passu with or is junior to the underlying obligation; and
 - ii) the underlying obligation and reference obligation share the same obligor and have legally enforceable cross-default or cross-acceleration clauses;
 - b) the position falls under point 43(a) or point 44 but there is a currency or maturity mismatch between the credit protection and the underlying asset (currency mismatches should be included in the normal reporting foreign exchange risk under Annex III); or
 - c) the position falls under point 44 but there is an asset mismatch between the cash position and the credit derivative. However, the underlying asset is included in the (deliverable) obligations in the credit derivative documentation.
- In each of those situations, rather than adding the specific risk capital requirements for each side of the transaction, only the higher of the two capital requirements shall apply.
46. In all situations not falling under points 43 to 45, a specific risk capital charge will be assessed against both sides of the positions.

CAPITAL CHARGES FOR CIUS IN THE TRADING BOOK

47. The capital requirements for positions in CIUs which meet the conditions specified in Article 11 for a trading book capital treatment shall be calculated in accordance with the methods set out in points 48 to 56.
48. Without prejudice to other provisions in this section, positions in CIUs shall be subject to a capital requirement for position risk (specific and general) of 32 %. Without prejudice to the provisions of the fourth paragraph of point 2.1 of Annex III or the sixth paragraph of point 12 of Annex V (commodity risk) taken together with the fourth paragraph of point 2.1 of Annex III, where the modified gold treatment set out in those points is used, positions in CIUs shall be subject to a capital requirement for position risk (specific and general) and foreign-exchange risk of no more than 40 %.
49. Institutions may determine the capital requirement for positions in CIUs which meet the criteria set out in point 51, by the methods set out in points 53 to 56.
50. Unless noted otherwise, no netting is permitted between the underlying investments of a CIU and other positions held by the institution.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

GENERAL CRITERIA

51. The general eligibility criteria for using the methods in points 53 to 56, for CIUs issued by companies supervised or incorporated within the Community are that:
- a) the CIU's prospectus or equivalent document shall include:
 - i) the categories of assets the CIU is authorised to invest in;
 - ii) if investment limits apply, the relative limits and the methodologies to calculate them;
 - iii) if leverage is allowed, the maximum level of leverage; and
 - iv) if investment in OTC financial derivatives or repo-style transactions are allowed, a policy to limit counterparty risk arising from these transactions;
 - b) the business of the CIU shall be reported in half-yearly and annual reports to enable an assessment to be made of the assets and liabilities, income and operations over the reporting period;
 - c) the units/shares of the CIU are redeemable in cash, out of the undertaking's assets, on a daily basis at the request of the unit holder;
 - d) investments in the CIU shall be segregated from the assets of the CIU manager; and
 - e) there shall be adequate risk assessment of the CIU, by the investing institution.
52. Third country CIUs may be eligible if the requirements in points (a) to (e) of point 51 are met, subject to the approval of the institution's competent authority.

SPECIFIC METHODS

53. Where the institution is aware of the underlying investments of the CIU on a daily basis, the institution may look through to those underlying investments in order to calculate the capital requirements for position risk (general and specific) for those positions in accordance with the methods set out in this Annex or, if permission has been granted, in accordance with the methods set out in Annex V. Under this approach, positions in CIUs shall be treated as positions in the underlying investments of the CIU. Netting is permitted between positions in the underlying investments of the CIU and other positions held by the institution, as long as the institution holds a sufficient quantity of units to allow for redemption/creation in exchange for the underlying investments.
54. Institutions may calculate the capital requirements for position risk (general and specific) for positions in CIUs in accordance with the methods set out in this Annex or, if permission has been granted, in accordance with the methods set out in Annex V, to assumed positions representing those necessary to replicate the composition and performance of the externally generated index or fixed basket of equities or debt securities referred to in (a), subject to the following conditions:
- a) the purpose of the CIU's mandate is to replicate the composition and performance of an externally generated index or fixed basket of equities or debt securities; and
 - b) a minimum correlation of 0.9 between daily price movements of the CIU and the index or basket of equities or debt securities it tracks can be clearly established over a minimum period of six months. 'Correlation' in this context means the correlation coefficient between daily returns on the CIU and the index or basket of equities or debt securities it tracks.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

55. Where the institution is not aware of the underlying investments of the CIU on a daily basis, the institution may calculate the capital requirements for position risk (general and specific) in accordance with the methods set out in this Annex, subject to the following conditions:
- it will be assumed that the CIU first invests to the maximum extent allowed under its mandate in the asset classes attracting the highest capital requirement for position risk (general and specific), and then continues making investments in descending order until the maximum total investment limit is reached. The position in the CIU will be treated as a direct holding in the assumed position;
 - institutions shall take account of the maximum indirect exposure that they could achieve by taking leveraged positions through the CIU when calculating their capital requirement for position risk, by proportionally increasing the position in the CIU up to the maximum exposure to the underlying investment items resulting from the mandate; and
 - should the capital requirement for position risk (general and specific) according to this point exceed that set out in point 48, the capital requirement shall be capped at that level.
56. Institutions may rely on a third party to calculate and report capital requirements for position risk (general and specific) for positions in CIUs falling under points 53 and 55, in accordance with the methods set out in this Annex, provided that the correctness of the calculation and the report is adequately ensured.

ANNEX II

**CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SETTLEMENT AND
COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK**

SETTLEMENT/DELIVERY RISK

- In the case of transactions in which debt instruments, equities, foreign currencies and commodities (excluding repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements and securities or commodities lending and securities or commodities borrowing) are unsettled after their due delivery dates, an institution must calculate the price difference to which it is exposed. This is the difference between the agreed settlement price for the debt instrument, equity, foreign currency or commodity in question and its current market value, where the difference could involve a loss for the institution. It must multiply this difference by the appropriate factor in column A of Table 1 in order to calculate its capital requirement.

Table 1

Number of working days after due settlement date	(%)
5 — 15	8
16 — 30	50
31 — 45	75
46 or more	100

FREE DELIVERIES

- An institution shall be required to hold own funds, as set out in Table 2, if:
 - it has paid for securities, foreign currencies or commodities before receiving them or it has delivered securities, foreign currencies or commodities before receiving payment for them; and
 - in the case of cross-border transactions, one day or more has elapsed since it made that payment or delivery.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Table 2: Capital treatment for free deliveries

Transaction Type	Up to first contractual payment or delivery leg	From first contractual payment or delivery leg up to four days after second contractual payment or delivery leg	From 5 business days post second contractual payment or delivery leg until extinction of the transaction
Free delivery	No capital charge	Treat as an exposure	Deduct value transferred plus current positive exposure from own funds

3. In applying a risk weight to free delivery exposures treated according to column 3 of Table 2, institutions using the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC, may assign PDs to counterparties, for which they have no other non-trading book exposure, on the basis of the counterparty's external rating. Institutions using own estimates of loss given defaults ('LGDs') may apply the LGD set out in point 8 of Part 2 of Annex VII to Directive 2006/.../EC to free delivery exposures treated according to column 3 of Table 2 provided that they apply it to all such exposures. Alternatively, institutions using the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC may apply the risk weights, as set out in Articles 78 to 83 of that Directive provided that they apply them to all such exposures or may apply a 100 % risk weight to all such exposures.

If the amount of positive exposure resulting from free delivery transactions is not material, institutions may apply a risk weight of 100 % to these exposures.

4. In cases of a system wide failure of a settlement or clearing system, competent authorities may waive the capital requirements calculated as set out in points 1 and 2 until the situation is rectified. In this case, the failure of a counterparty to settle a trade shall not be deemed a default for purposes of credit risk.

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK (CCR)

5. An institution shall be required to hold capital against the CCR arising from exposures due to the following:
- OTC derivative instruments and credit derivatives;
 - Repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions based on securities or commodities included in the trading book;
 - margin lending transactions based on securities or commodities; and
 - long settlement transactions.
6. Subject to the provisions of points 7 to 10, exposure values and risk-weighted exposure amounts for such exposures shall be calculated in accordance with the provisions of Section 3 of Chapter 2 of Title V of Directive 2006/.../EC with references to 'credit institutions' in that Section interpreted as references to 'institutions', references to 'parent credit institutions' interpreted as references to 'parent institutions', and with concomitant terms interpreted accordingly.
7. For the purposes of point 6:

Annex IV to Directive 2006/.../EC shall be considered to be amended to include point 8 of Section C of Annex I to Directive 2004/39/EC;

Annex III to Directive 2006/.../EC shall be considered to be amended to include, after the footnotes of Table 1, the following text:

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

To obtain a figure for potential future credit exposure in the case of total return swap credit derivatives and credit default swap credit derivatives, the nominal amount of the instrument is multiplied by the following percentages:

- where the reference obligation is one that if it gave rise to a direct exposure of the institution it would be a qualifying item for the purposes of Annex I: 5 %; and
- where the reference obligation is one that if it gave rise to a direct exposure of the institution it would not be a qualifying item for the purposes of Annex I: 10 %.

However, in the case of a credit default swap, an institution the exposure of which arising from the swap represents a long position in the underlying shall be permitted to use a figure of 0 % for potential future credit exposure, unless the credit default swap is subject to closeout upon the insolvency of the entity the exposure of which arising from the swap represents a short position in the underlying, even though the underlying has not defaulted.

Where the credit derivative provides protection in relation to 'nth to default' amongst a number of underlying obligations, which of the percentage figures prescribed above is to be applied is determined by the obligation with the nth lowest credit quality determined by whether it is one that if incurred by the institution would be a qualifying item for the purposes of Annex I.

8. For the purposes of point 6 , in calculating risk-weighted exposure amounts institutions shall not be permitted to use the Financial Collateral Simple Method, set out in points 24 to 29, Part 3 , Annex VIII to Directive 2006/.../EC, for the recognition of the effects of financial collateral.
9. For the purposes of point 6 , in the case of repurchase transactions and securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions booked in the trading book, all financial instruments and commodities that are eligible to be included in the trading book may be recognised as eligible collateral. For exposures due to OTC derivative instruments booked in the trading book, commodities that are eligible to be included in the trading book may also be recognised as eligible collateral. For the purposes of calculating volatility adjustments where such financial instruments or commodities which are not eligible under Annex VIII of Directive 2006/.../EC are lent, sold or provided, or borrowed, purchased or received by way of collateral or otherwise under such a transaction, and the institution is using the Supervisory volatility adjustments approach under Part 3 of Annex VIII to that Directive, such instruments and commodities shall be treated in the same way as non-main index equities listed on a recognised exchange.

Where institutions are using the Own Estimates of Volatility adjustments approach under Part 3 of Annex VIII to Directive 2006/.../EC in respect of financial instruments or commodities which are not eligible under Annex VIII of that Directive, volatility adjustments must be calculated for each individual item. Where institutions are using the Internal Models Approach defined in Part 3 of Annex VIII to Directive 2006/.../EC, they may also apply this approach in the trading book.

10. For the purposes of point 6, in relation to the recognition of master netting agreements covering repurchase transactions and/or securities or commodities lending or borrowing transactions and/or other capital market-driven transactions netting across positions in the trading book and the non-trading book will only be recognised when the netted transactions fulfil the following conditions:
 - a) all transactions are marked to market daily; and
 - b) any items borrowed, purchased or received under the transactions may be recognised as eligible financial collateral under Title V, Chapter 2, Section 3, Subsection 3 of Directive 2006/.../EC without the application of point 9 of this Annex.
11. Where a credit derivative included in the trading book forms part of an internal hedge and the credit protection is recognised under Directive 2006/.../EC, there shall be deemed not to be counterparty risk arising from the position in the credit derivative.
12. The capital requirement shall be 8 % of the total risk-weighted exposure amounts.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX III

CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FOREIGN-EXCHANGE RISK

1. If the sum of an institution's overall net foreign-exchange position and its net gold position, calculated in accordance with the procedure set out in point 2, exceeds 2 % of its total own funds, it shall multiply the sum of its net foreign-exchange position and its net gold position by 8 % in order to calculate its own-funds requirement against foreign-exchange risk.
2. A two-stage calculation shall be used for capital requirements for foreign-exchange risk.
 - 2.1. Firstly, the institution's net open position in each currency (including the reporting currency) and in gold shall be calculated.

This net open position shall consist of the sum of the following elements (positive or negative):

- a) the net spot position (i.e. all asset items less all liability items, including accrued interest, in the currency in question or, for gold, the net spot position in gold);
- b) the net forward position (i.e. all amounts to be received less all amounts to be paid under forward exchange and gold transactions, including currency and gold futures and the principal on currency swaps not included in the spot position);
- c) irrevocable guarantees (and similar instruments) that are certain to be called and likely to be irrecoverable;
- d) net future income/expenses not yet accrued but already fully hedged (at the discretion of the reporting institution and with the prior consent of the competent authorities, net future income/expenses not yet entered in accounting records but already fully hedged by forward foreign-exchange transactions may be included here). Such discretion must be exercised on a consistent basis;
- e) the net delta (or delta-based) equivalent of the total book of foreign-currency and gold options; and
- f) the market value of other (i.e. non-foreign-currency and non-gold) options.

Any positions which an institution has deliberately taken in order to hedge against the adverse effect of the exchange rate on its capital ratio may be excluded from the calculation of net open currency positions. Such positions should be of a non-trading or structural nature and their exclusion, and any variation of the terms of their exclusion, shall require the consent of the competent authorities. The same treatment subject to the same conditions as above may be applied to positions which an institution has which relate to items that are already deducted in the calculation of own funds.

For the purposes of the calculation referred to in the first paragraph, in respect of CIUs the actual foreign exchange positions of the CIU shall be taken into account. Institutions may rely on third party reporting of the foreign exchange positions in the CIU, where the correctness of this report is adequately ensured. If an institution is not aware of the foreign exchange positions in a CIU, it shall be assumed that the CIU is invested up to the maximum extent allowed under the CIU's mandate in foreign exchange and institutions shall, for trading book positions, take account of the maximum indirect exposure that they could achieve by taking leveraged positions through the CIU when calculating their capital requirement for foreign exchange risk. This shall be done by proportionally increasing the position in the CIU up to the maximum exposure to the underlying investment items resulting from the investment mandate. The assumed position of the CIU in foreign exchange shall be treated as a separate currency according to the treatment of investments in gold, subject to the modification that, if the direction of the CIU's investment is available, the total long position may be added to the total long open foreign exchange position and the total short position may be added to the total short open foreign exchange position. There would be no netting allowed between such positions prior to the calculation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The competent authorities shall have the discretion to allow institutions to use the net present value when calculating the net open position in each currency and in gold.

- 2.2. Secondly, net short and long positions in each currency other than the reporting currency and the net long or short position in gold shall be converted at spot rates into the reporting currency. They shall then be summed separately to form the total of the net short positions and the total of the net long positions respectively. The higher of these two totals shall be the institution's overall net foreign-exchange position.
3. By derogation from points 1 and 2 and pending further coordination, the competent authorities may prescribe or allow institutions to use the following procedures for the purposes of this Annex.
 - 3.1. The competent authorities may allow institutions to provide lower capital requirements against positions in closely correlated currencies than those which would result from applying points 1 and 2 to them. The competent authorities may deem a pair of currencies to be closely correlated only if the likelihood of a loss — calculated on the basis of daily exchange-rate data for the preceding three or five years — occurring on equal and opposite positions in such currencies over the following 10 working days, which is 4 % or less of the value of the matched position in question (valued in terms of the reporting currency) has a probability of at least 99 %, when an observation period of three years is used, or 95 %, when an observation period of five years is used. The own-funds requirement on the matched position in two closely correlated currencies shall be 4 % multiplied by the value of the matched position. The capital requirement on unmatched positions in closely correlated currencies, and all positions in other currencies, shall be 8 %, multiplied by the higher of the sum of the net short or the net long positions in those currencies after the removal of matched positions in closely correlated currencies.
 - 3.2. The competent authorities may allow institutions to remove positions in any currency which is subject to a legally binding intergovernmental agreement to limit its variation relative to other currencies covered by the same agreement from whichever of the methods described in points 2 and 3.1 that they apply. Institutions shall calculate their matched positions in such currencies and subject them to a capital requirement no lower than half of the maximum permissible variation laid down in the intergovernmental agreement in question in respect of the currencies concerned. Unmatched positions in those currencies shall be treated in the same way as other currencies.

By derogation from the first paragraph, the competent authorities may allow the capital requirement on the matched positions in currencies of Member States participating in the second stage of the economic and monetary union to be 1,6 %, multiplied by the value of such matched positions.

4. Net positions in composite currencies may be broken down into the component currencies according to the quotas in force.

ANNEX IV

CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMODITIES RISK

1. Each position in commodities or commodity derivatives shall be expressed in terms of the standard unit of measurement. The spot price in each commodity shall be expressed in the reporting currency.
2. Positions in gold or gold derivatives shall be considered as being subject to foreign-exchange risk and treated according to Annex III or Annex V, as appropriate, for the purpose of calculating market risk.
3. For the purposes of this Annex, positions which are purely stock financing may be excluded from the commodities risk calculation only.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. The interest-rate and foreign-exchange risks not covered by other provisions of this Annex shall be included in the calculation of general risk for traded debt instruments and in the calculation of foreign-exchange risk.
5. When the short position falls due before the long position, institutions shall also guard against the risk of a shortage of liquidity which may exist in some markets.
6. For the purpose of point 19, the excess of an institution's long (short) positions over its short (long) positions in the same commodity and identical commodity futures, options and warrants shall be its net position in each commodity.

The competent authorities shall allow positions in derivative instruments to be treated, as laid down in points 8, 9 and 10, as positions in the underlying commodity.

7. The competent authorities may regard the following positions as positions in the same commodity:
 - a) positions in different sub-categories of commodities in cases where the sub-categories are deliverable against each other; and
 - b) positions in similar commodities if they are close substitutes and if a minimum correlation of 0,9 between price movements can be clearly established over a minimum period of one year.

Particular instruments

8. Commodity futures and forward commitments to buy or sell individual commodities shall be incorporated in the measurement system as notional amounts in terms of the standard unit of measurement and assigned a maturity with reference to expiry date.

The competent authorities may allow the capital requirement for an exchange-traded future to be equal to the margin required by the exchange if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the future and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for a future that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V.

The competent authorities may also allow the capital requirement for an OTC commodity derivatives contract of the type referred to in this point cleared by a clearing house recognised by them to be equal to the margin required by the clearing house if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the derivatives contract and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for the contract in question that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V.

9. Commodity swaps where one side of the transaction is a fixed price and the other the current market price shall be incorporated into the maturity ladder approach, as set out in points 13 to 18, as a series of positions equal to the notional amount of the contract, with one position corresponding with each payment on the swap and slotted into the maturity ladder set out in Table 1 to point 13. The positions would be long positions if the institution is paying a fixed price and receiving a floating price and short positions if the institution is receiving a fixed price and paying a floating price.

Commodity swaps where the sides of the transaction are in different commodities are to be reported in the relevant reporting ladder for the maturity ladder approach.

10. Options on commodities or on commodity derivatives shall be treated as if they were positions equal in value to the amount of the underlying to which the option refers, multiplied by its delta for the purposes of this Annex. The latter positions may be netted off against any offsetting positions in the identical underlying commodity or commodity derivative. The delta used shall be that of the exchange concerned, that calculated by the competent authorities or, where none of those is available, or for OTC options, that calculated by the institution itself, subject to the competent authorities being satisfied that the model used by the institution is reasonable.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

However, the competent authorities may also prescribe that institutions calculate their deltas using a methodology specified by the competent authorities.

Other risks, apart from the delta risk, associated with commodity options shall be safeguarded against.

The competent authorities may allow the requirement for a written exchange-traded commodity option to be equal to the margin required by the exchange if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the option and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement against an option that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V.

The competent authorities may also allow the capital requirement for an OTC commodity option cleared by a clearing house recognised by them to be equal to the margin required by the clearing house if they are fully satisfied that it provides an accurate measure of the risk associated with the option and that it is at least equal to the capital requirement for an OTC option that would result from a calculation made using the method set out in the remainder of this Annex or applying the internal models method described in Annex V.

In addition they may allow the requirement on a bought exchange-traded or OTC commodity option to be the same as that for the commodity underlying it, subject to the constraint that the resulting requirement does not exceed the market value of the option. The requirement for a written OTC option shall be set in relation to the commodity underlying it.

11. Warrants relating to commodities shall be treated in the same way as commodity options referred to in point 10.
12. The transferor of commodities or guaranteed rights relating to title to commodities in a repurchase agreement and the lender of commodities in a commodities lending agreement shall include such commodities in the calculation of its capital requirement under this Annex.

(a) *Maturity ladder approach*

13. The institution shall use a separate maturity ladder in line with Table 1 for each commodity. All positions in that commodity and all positions which are regarded as positions in the same commodity pursuant to point 7 shall be assigned to the appropriate maturity bands. Physical stocks shall be assigned to the first maturity band.

Table 1

Maturity band (1)	Spread rate (in %) (2)
0 ≤ 1 month	1,50
> 1 ≤ 3 months	1,50
> 3 ≤ 6 months	1,50
> 6 ≤ 12 months	1,50
> 1 ≤ 2 years	1,50
> 2 ≤ 3 years	1,50
> 3 years	1,50

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

14. Competent authorities may allow positions which are, or are regarded pursuant to point 7 as, positions in the same commodity to be offset and assigned to the appropriate maturity bands on a net basis for the following:
 - a) positions in contracts maturing on the same date; and
 - b) positions in contracts maturing within 10 days of each other if the contracts are traded on markets which have daily delivery dates.
15. The institution shall then calculate the sum of the long positions and the sum of the short positions in each maturity band. The amount of the former (latter) which are matched by the latter (former) in a given maturity band shall be the matched positions in that band, while the residual long or short position shall be the unmatched position for the same band.
16. That part of the unmatched long (short) position for a given maturity band that is matched by the unmatched short (long) position for a maturity band further out shall be the matched position between two maturity bands. That part of the unmatched long or unmatched short position that cannot be thus matched shall be the unmatched position.
17. The institution's capital requirement for each commodity shall be calculated on the basis of the relevant maturity ladder as the sum of the following:
 - a) the sum of the matched long and short positions, multiplied by the appropriate spread rate as indicated in the second column of Table 1 to point 13 for each maturity band and by the spot price for the commodity;
 - b) the matched position between two maturity bands for each maturity band into which an unmatched position is carried forward, multiplied by 0,6 % (carry rate) and by the spot price for the commodity; and
 - c) the residual unmatched positions, multiplied by 15 % (outright rate) and by the spot price for the commodity.
18. The institution's overall capital requirement for commodities risk shall be calculated as the sum of the capital requirements calculated for each commodity according to point 17.

(b) *Simplified approach*

19. The institution's capital requirement for each commodity shall be calculated as the sum of:
 - a) 15 % of the net position, long or short, multiplied by the spot price for the commodity; and
 - b) 3 % of the gross position, long plus short, multiplied by the spot price for the commodity.
20. The institution's overall capital requirement for commodities risk shall be calculated as the sum of the capital requirements calculated for each commodity according to point 19.

(c) *Extended Maturity ladder approach*

21. Competent authorities may authorise institutions to use the minimum spread, carry and outright rates set out in the following table (Table 2) instead of those indicated in points 13, 14, 17 and 18 provided that the institutions, in the opinion of their competent authorities:
 - a) undertake significant commodities business;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) have a diversified commodities portfolio; and
- c) are not yet in a position to use internal models for the purpose of calculating the capital requirement on commodities risk in accordance with Annex V.

Table 2

	Precious metals (except gold)	Base metals	Agricultural products (softs)	Other, including energy products
Spread rate (%)	1,0	1,2	1,5	1,5
Carry rate (%)	0,3	0,5	0,6	0,6
Outright rate (%)	8	10	12	15

ANNEX V

USE OF INTERNAL MODELS TO CALCULATE CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

1. The competent authorities may, subject to the conditions laid down in this Annex, allow institutions to calculate their capital requirements for position risk, foreign-exchange risk and/or commodities risk using their own internal risk-management models instead of or in combination with the methods described in Annexes I, III and IV. Explicit recognition by the competent authorities of the use of models for supervisory capital purposes shall be required in each case.
2. Recognition shall only be given if the competent authority is satisfied that the institution's risk-management system is conceptually sound and implemented with integrity and that, in particular, the following qualitative standards are met:
 - a) the internal risk-measurement model is closely integrated into the daily risk-management process of the institution and serves as the basis for reporting risk exposures to senior management of the institution;
 - b) the institution has a risk control unit that is independent from business trading units and reports directly to senior management. The unit must be responsible for designing and implementing the institution's risk-management system. It shall produce and analyse daily reports on the output of the risk-measurement model and on the appropriate measures to be taken in terms of trading limits. The unit shall also conduct the initial and on-going validation of the internal model;
 - c) the institution's board of directors and senior management are actively involved in the risk-control process and the daily reports produced by the risk-control unit are reviewed by a level of management with sufficient authority to enforce both reductions of positions taken by individual traders as well as in the institution's overall risk exposure;
 - d) the institution has sufficient numbers of staff skilled in the use of sophisticated models in the trading, risk-control, audit and back-office areas;
 - e) the institution has established procedures for monitoring and ensuring compliance with a documented set of internal policies and controls concerning the overall operation of the risk-measurement system;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- f) the institution's model has a proven track record of reasonable accuracy in measuring risks;
- g) the institution frequently conducts a rigorous programme of stress testing and the results of these tests are reviewed by senior management and reflected in the policies and limits it sets. This process shall particularly address illiquidity of markets in stressed market conditions, concentration risk, one way markets, event and jump-to-default risks, non-linearity of products, deep out-of-the-money positions, positions subject to the gapping of prices and other risks that may not be captured appropriately in the internal models. The shocks applied shall reflect the nature of the portfolios and the time it could take to hedge out or manage risks under severe market conditions; and
- h) the institution must conduct, as part of its regular internal auditing process, an independent review of its risk-measurement system.

The review referred to in point (h) of the first paragraph shall include both the activities of the business trading units and of the independent risk-control unit. At least once a year, the institution must conduct a review of its overall risk-management process.

The review shall consider the following:

- a) the adequacy of the documentation of the risk-management system and process and the organisation of the risk-control unit;
 - b) the integration of market risk measures into daily risk management and the integrity of the management information system;
 - c) the process the institution employs for approving risk-pricing models and valuation systems that are used by front and back-office personnel;
 - d) the scope of market risks captured by the risk-measurement model and the validation of any significant changes in the risk-measurement process;
 - e) the accuracy and completeness of position data, the accuracy and appropriateness of volatility and correlation assumptions, and the accuracy of valuation and risk sensitivity calculations;
 - f) the verification process the institution employs to evaluate the consistency, timeliness and reliability of data sources used to run internal models, including the independence of such data sources; and
 - g) the verification process the institution uses to evaluate back-testing that is conducted to assess the models' accuracy.
3. Institutions shall have processes in place to ensure that their internal models have been adequately validated by suitably qualified parties independent of the development process to ensure that they are conceptually sound and adequately capture all material risks. The validation shall be conducted when the internal model is initially developed and when any significant changes are made to the internal model. The validation shall also be conducted on a periodic basis but especially where there have been any significant structural changes in the market or changes to the composition of the portfolio which might lead to the internal model no longer being adequate. As techniques and best practices evolve, institutions shall avail themselves of these advances. Internal model validation shall not be limited to back-testing, but shall, at a minimum, also include the following:
- a) tests to demonstrate that any assumptions made within the internal model are appropriate and do not underestimate or overestimate the risk;
 - b) in addition to the regulatory back-testing programmes, institutions shall carry out their own internal model validation tests in relation to the risks and structures of their portfolios; and
 - c) the use of hypothetical portfolios to ensure that the internal model is able to account for particular structural features that may arise, for example material basis risks and concentration risk.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. The institution shall monitor the accuracy and performance of its model by conducting a back-testing programme. The back-testing has to provide for each business day a comparison of the one-day value-at-risk measure generated by the institution's model for the portfolio's end-of-day positions to the one-day change of the portfolio's value by the end of the subsequent business day.

Competent authorities shall examine the institution's capability to perform back-testing on both actual and hypothetical changes in the portfolio's value. Back-testing on hypothetical changes in the portfolio's value is based on a comparison between the portfolio's end-of-day value and, assuming unchanged positions, its value at the end of the subsequent day. Competent authorities shall require institutions to take appropriate measures to improve their back-testing programme if deemed deficient. Competent authorities may require institutions to perform back-testing on either hypothetical (using changes in portfolio value that would occur were end-of-day positions to remain unchanged), or actual trading (excluding fees, commissions, and net interest income) outcomes, or both.

5. For the purpose of calculating capital requirements for specific risk associated with traded debt and equity positions, the competent authorities may recognise the use of an institution's internal model if, in addition to compliance with the conditions in the remainder of this Annex, the internal model meets the following conditions:

- a) it explains the historical price variation in the portfolio;
- b) it captures concentration in terms of magnitude and changes of composition of the portfolio;
- c) it is robust to an adverse environment;
- d) it is validated through back-testing aimed at assessing whether specific risk is being accurately captured. If competent authorities allow this back-testing to be performed on the basis of relevant sub-portfolios, these must be chosen in a consistent manner;
- e) it captures name-related basis risk, that is institutions shall demonstrate that the internal model is sensitive to material idiosyncratic differences between similar but not identical positions; and
- f) it captures event risk.

The institution shall also meet the following conditions:

- where an institution is subject to event risk that is not reflected in its value-at-risk measure, because it is beyond the 10-day holding period and 99 percent confidence interval (low probability and high severity events), the institution shall ensure that the impact of such events is factored in to its internal capital assessment; and
- the institution's internal model shall conservatively assess the risk arising from less liquid positions and positions with limited price transparency under realistic market scenarios. In addition, the internal model shall meet minimum data standards. Proxies shall be appropriately conservative and may be used only where available data is insufficient or is not reflective of the true volatility of a position or portfolio.

Further, as techniques and best practices evolve, institutions shall avail themselves of these advances.

In addition, the institution shall have an approach in place to capture, in the calculation of its capital requirements, the default risk of its trading book positions that is incremental to the default risk captured by the value-at-risk measure as specified in the previous requirements of this point. To avoid double counting, an institution may, when calculating its incremental default risk charge, take into account the extent to which default risk has already been incorporated into the value-at-risk measure, especially for risk positions that could and would be closed within 10 days in the event of adverse market conditions or other indications of deterioration in the credit environment. Where an institution captures its incremental default risk through a surcharge, it shall have in place methodologies for validating the measure.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The institution shall demonstrate that its approach meets soundness standards comparable to the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89 of Directive 2006/.../EC, under the assumption of a constant level of risk, and adjusted where appropriate to reflect the impact of liquidity, concentrations, hedging and optionality.

An institution that does not capture the incremental default risk through an internally developed approach shall calculate the surcharge through an approach consistent with either the approach set out in Articles 78 to 83 of Directive 2006/.../EC or the approach set out in Articles 84 to 89 of that Directive.

With respect to cash or synthetic securitisation exposures that would be subject to a deduction treatment under the treatment set out in Article 66(2) of Directive 2006/.../EC, or risk-weighted at 1 250 % as set out in Part 4 of Annex IX to that Directive, these positions shall be subject to a capital charge that is no less than set forth under that treatment. Institutions that are dealers in these exposures may apply a different treatment where they can demonstrate to their competent authorities, in addition to trading intent, that a liquid two-way market exists for the securitisation exposures or, in the case of synthetic securitisations that rely solely on credit derivatives, for the securitisation exposures themselves or all their constituent risk components. For the purposes of this section a two-way market is deemed to exist where there are independent good faith offers to buy and sell so that a price reasonably related to the last sales price or current good faith competitive bid and offer quotations can be determined within one day and settled at such a price within a relatively short time conforming to trade custom. For an institution to apply a different treatment, it shall have sufficient market data to ensure that it fully captures the concentrated default risk of these exposures in its internal approach for measuring the incremental default risk in accordance with the standards set out above.

6. Institutions using internal models which are not recognised in accordance with point 4 shall be subject to a separate capital charge for specific risk as calculated according to Annex I.
7. For the purposes of point 9(b), the results of the institution's own calculation shall be scaled up by a multiplication factor of at least 3.
8. The multiplication factor shall be increased by a plus-factor of between 0 and 1 in accordance with Table 1, depending on the number of overshootings for the most recent 250 business days as evidenced by the institution's back-testing. Competent authorities shall require the institutions to calculate overshootings consistently on the basis of back-testing either on actual or on hypothetical changes in the portfolio's value. An overshooting is a one-day change in the portfolio's value that exceeds the related one-day value-at-risk measure generated by the institution's model. For the purpose of determining the plus-factor the number of overshootings shall be assessed at least quarterly.

Table 1

Number of overshootings	Plus-factor
Fewer than 5	0,00
5	0,40
6	0,50
7	0,65
8	0,75
9	0,85
10 or more	1,00

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The competent authorities may, in individual cases and owing to an exceptional situation, waive the requirement to increase the multiplication factor by the 'plus-factor' in accordance with Table 1, if the institution has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the competent authorities that such an increase is unjustified and that the model is basically sound.

If numerous overshootings indicate that the model is not sufficiently accurate, the competent authorities shall revoke the model's recognition or impose appropriate measures to ensure that the model is improved promptly.

In order to allow competent authorities to monitor the appropriateness of the plus-factor on an ongoing basis, institutions shall notify promptly, and in any case no later than within five working days, the competent authorities of overshootings that result from their back-testing programme and that would according to the above table imply an increase of a plus-factor.

9. Each institution must meet a capital requirement expressed as the higher of:
 - a) its previous day's value-at-risk measure according to the parameters specified in this Annex plus, where appropriate, the incremental default risk charge required under point 5; or
 - b) an average of the daily value-at-risk measures on each of the preceding 60 business days, multiplied by the factor mentioned in point 7, adjusted by the factor referred to in point 8 plus, where appropriate, the incremental default risk charge required under point 5.
10. The calculation of the value-at-risk measure shall be subject to the following minimum standards:
 - a) at least daily calculation of the value-at-risk measure;
 - b) a 99th percentile, one-tailed confidence interval;
 - c) a 10-day equivalent holding period;
 - d) an effective historical observation period of at least one year except where a shorter observation period is justified by a significant upsurge in price volatility; and
 - e) three-monthly data set updates.
11. The competent authorities shall require that the model captures accurately all the material price risks of options or option-like positions and that any other risks not captured by the model are covered adequately by own funds.
12. The risk-measurement model shall capture a sufficient number of risk factors, depending on the level of activity of the institution in the respective markets and in particular the following.

Interest rate risk

The risk-measurement system shall incorporate a set of risk factors corresponding to the interest rates in each currency in which the institution has interest rate sensitive on- or off-balance sheet positions. The institution shall model the yield curves using one of the generally accepted approaches. For material exposures to interest-rate risk in the major currencies and markets, the yield curve shall be divided into a minimum of six maturity segments, to capture the variations of volatility of rates along the yield curve. The risk-measurement system must also capture the risk of less than perfectly correlated movements between different yield curves.

Foreign-exchange risk

The risk-measurement system shall incorporate risk factors corresponding to gold and to the individual foreign currencies in which the institution's positions are denominated.

For CIUs the actual foreign exchange positions of the CIU shall be taken into account. Institutions may rely on third party reporting of the foreign exchange position of the CIU, where the correctness of this report is adequately ensured. If an institution is not aware of the foreign exchange positions of a CIU, this position should be carved out and treated in accordance with the fourth paragraph of point 2.1 of Annex III.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Equity risk

The risk-measurement system shall use a separate risk factor at least for each of the equity markets in which the institution holds significant positions.

Commodity risk

The risk-measurement system shall use a separate risk factor at least for each commodity in which the institution holds significant positions. The risk-measurement system must also capture the risk of less than perfectly correlated movements between similar, but not identical, commodities and the exposure to changes in forward prices arising from maturity mismatches. It shall also take account of market characteristics, notably delivery dates and the scope provided to traders to close out positions.

13. The competent authorities may allow institutions to use empirical correlations within risk categories and across risk categories if they are satisfied that the institution's system for measuring correlations is sound and implemented with integrity.

 ANNEX VI
CALCULATING CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE EXPOSURES

1. The excess referred to in Article 31(b) shall be calculated by selecting those components of the total trading exposure to the client or group of clients in question which attract the highest specific-risk requirements in Annex I and/or requirements in Annex II, the sum of which equals the amount of the excess referred to in Article 31(a).
2. Where the excess has not persisted for more than 10 days, the additional capital requirement shall be 200 % of the requirements referred to in point 1, on these components.
3. As from 10 days after the excess has occurred, the components of the excess, selected in accordance with point 1, shall be allocated to the appropriate line in column 1 of Table 1 in ascending order of specific-risk requirements in Annex I and/or requirements in Annex II. The additional capital requirement shall be equal to the sum of the specific-risk requirements in Annex I and/or the Annex II requirements on these components, multiplied by the corresponding factor in column 2 of Table 1.

Table 1

Excess over the limits (on the basis of a percentage of own funds)	Factors
Up to 40 %	200 %
From 40 % to 60 %	300 %
From 60 % to 80 %	400 %
From 80 % to 100 %	500 %
From 100 % to 250 %	600 %
Over 250 %	900 %

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX VII

TRADING

Part A — Trading Intent

1. Positions/portfolios held with trading intent shall comply with the following requirements:
 - a) there must be a clearly documented trading strategy for the position/instrument or portfolios, approved by senior management, which shall include expected holding horizon;
 - b) there must be clearly defined policies and procedures for the active management of the position, which shall include the following:
 - i) positions entered into on a trading desk;
 - ii) position limits are set and monitored for appropriateness;
 - iii) dealers have the autonomy to enter into/manage the position within agreed limits and according to the approved strategy;
 - iv) positions are reported to senior management as an integral part of the institution's risk management process; and
 - v) positions are actively monitored with reference to market information sources and an assessment made of the marketability or hedge-ability of the position or its component risks, including the assessment of, the quality and availability of market inputs to the valuation process, level of market turnover, sizes of positions traded in the market; and
 - c) there must be clearly defined policy and procedures to monitor the position against the institution's trading strategy including the monitoring of turnover and stale positions in the institution's trading book.

Part B — Systems and Controls

1. Institutions shall establish and maintain systems and controls sufficient to provide prudent and reliable valuation estimates.
2. Systems and controls shall include at least the following elements:
 - a) documented policies and procedures for the process of valuation. This includes clearly defined responsibilities of the various areas involved in the determination of the valuation, sources of market information and review of their appropriateness, frequency of independent valuation, timing of closing prices, procedures for adjusting valuations, month end and ad-hoc verification procedures; and
 - b) reporting lines for the department accountable for the valuation process that are clear and independent of the front office.

The reporting line shall ultimately be to a main board executive director.

Prudent Valuation Methods

3. Marking to market is the at least daily valuation of positions at readily available close out prices that are sourced independently. Examples include exchange prices, screen prices, or quotes from several independent reputable brokers.
4. When marking to market, the more prudent side of bid/offer shall be used unless the institution is a significant market maker in the particular type of financial instrument or commodity in question and it can close out at mid market.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5. Where marking to market is not possible, institutions must mark to model their positions/portfolios before applying trading book capital treatment. Marking to model is defined as any valuation which has to be benchmarked, extrapolated or otherwise calculated from a market input.
6. The following requirements must be complied with when marking to model:
 - a) senior management shall be aware of the elements of the trading book which are subject to mark to model and shall understand the materiality of the uncertainty this creates in the reporting of the risk/performance of the business;
 - b) market inputs shall be sourced, where possible, in line with market prices, and the appropriateness of the market inputs of the particular position being valued and the parameters of the model shall be assessed on a frequent basis;
 - c) where available, valuation methodologies which are accepted market practice for particular financial instruments or commodities shall be used;
 - d) where the model is developed by the institution itself, it shall be based on appropriate assumptions, which have been assessed and challenged by suitably qualified parties independent of the development process;
 - e) there shall be formal change control procedures in place and a secure copy of the model shall be held and periodically used to check valuations;
 - f) risk management shall be aware of the weaknesses of the models used and how best to reflect those in the valuation output; and
 - g) the model shall be subject to periodic review to determine the accuracy of its performance (e.g. assessing the continued appropriateness of assumptions, analysis of profit and loss versus risk factors, comparison of actual close out values to model outputs).

For the purposes of point (d), the model shall be developed or approved independently of the front office and shall be independently tested, including validation of the mathematics, assumptions and software implementation.

7. Independent price verification should be performed in addition to daily marking to market or marking to model. This is the process by which market prices or model inputs are regularly verified for accuracy and independence. While daily marking to market may be performed by dealers, verification of market prices and model inputs should be performed by a unit independent of the dealing room, at least monthly (or, depending on the nature of the market/trading activity, more frequently). Where independent pricing sources are not available or pricing sources are more subjective, prudent measures such as valuation adjustments may be appropriate.

Valuation adjustments or reserves

8. Institutions shall establish and maintain procedures for considering valuation adjustments/reserves.

General standards

9. The competent authorities shall require the following valuation adjustments/reserves to be formally considered: unearned credit spreads, close-out costs, operational risks, early termination, investing and funding costs, future administrative costs and, where relevant, model risk.

Standards for less liquid positions

10. Less liquid positions could arise from both market events and institution-related situations e.g. concentrated positions and/or stale positions.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

11. Institutions shall consider several factors when determining whether a valuation reserve is necessary for less liquid positions. These factors include the amount of time it would take to hedge out the position/risks within the position, the volatility and average of bid/offer spreads, the availability of market quotes (number and identity of market makers) and the volatility and average of trading volumes, market concentrations, the aging of positions, the extent to which valuation relies on marking-to-model, and the impact of other model risks.
12. When using third party valuations or marking to model, institutions shall consider whether to apply a valuation adjustment. In addition, institutions shall consider the need for establishing reserves for less liquid positions and on an ongoing basis review their continued suitability.
13. When valuation adjustments/reserves give rise to material losses of the current financial year, these shall be deducted from an institution's original own funds according to point (k) of Article 57 of Directive 2006/.../EC.
14. Other profits/losses originating from valuation adjustments/reserves shall be included in the calculation of 'net trading book profits' mentioned in point (b) of Article 13(2) and be added to/deducted from the additional own funds eligible to cover market risk requirements according to such provisions.
15. Valuation adjustments/reserves which exceed those made under the accounting framework to which the institution is subject shall be treated in accordance with point 13 if they give rise to material losses, or point 14 otherwise.

Part C — Internal Hedges

1. An internal hedge is a position that materially or completely offsets the component risk element of a non-trading book position or a set of positions. Positions arising from internal hedges are eligible for trading book capital treatment, provided that they are held with trading intent and that the general criteria on trading intent and prudent valuation specified in Parts A and B are met. In particular:
 - a) internal hedges shall not be primarily intended to avoid or reduce capital requirements;
 - b) internal hedges shall be properly documented and subject to particular internal approval and audit procedures;
 - c) the internal transaction shall be dealt with at market conditions;
 - d) the bulk of the market risk that is generated by the internal hedge shall be dynamically managed in the trading book within the authorised limits; and
 - e) internal transactions shall be carefully monitored.Monitoring must be ensured by adequate procedures.
2. The treatment referred to in point 1 applies without prejudice to the capital requirements applicable to the 'non-trading book leg' of the internal hedge.
3. Notwithstanding points 1 and 2, when an institution hedges a non-trading book credit risk exposure using a credit derivative booked in its trading book (using an internal hedge), the non-trading book exposure is not deemed to be hedged for the purposes of calculating capital requirements unless the institution purchases from an eligible third party protection provider a credit derivative meeting the requirements set out in point 19 of Part 2 of Annex VIII to Directive 2006/.../EC with regard to the non-trading book exposure. Where such third party protection is purchased and is recognised as a hedge of a non-trading book exposure for the purposes of calculating capital requirements, neither the internal nor external credit derivative hedge shall be included in the trading book for the purposes of calculating capital requirements.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Part D — Inclusion In The Trading Book

1. Institutions shall have clearly defined policies and procedures for determining which position to include in the trading book for the purposes of calculating their capital requirements, consistent with the criteria set out in Article 11 and taking into account the institution's risk management capabilities and practices. Compliance with these policies and procedures shall be fully documented and subject to periodic internal audit.
 2. Institutions shall have clearly defined policies and procedures for overall management of the trading book. At a minimum these policies and procedures shall address:
 - a) the activities the institution considers to be trading and as constituting part of the trading book for capital requirement purposes;
 - b) the extent to which a position can be marked-to-market daily by reference to an active, liquid two-way market;
 - c) for positions that are marked-to-model, the extent to which the institution can:
 - i) identify all material risks of the position;
 - ii) hedge all material risks of the position with instruments for which an active, liquid two-way market exists; and
 - iii) derive reliable estimates for the key assumptions and parameters used in the model;
 - d) the extent to which the institution can, and is required to, generate valuations for the position that can be validated externally in a consistent manner;
 - e) the extent to which legal restrictions or other operational requirements would impede the institution's ability to effect a liquidation or hedge of the position in the short term;
 - f) the extent to which the institution can, and is required to, actively risk manage the position within its trading operation; and
 - g) the extent to which the institution may transfer risk or positions between the non-trading and trading books and the criteria for such transfers.
 3. Competent authorities may allow institutions to treat positions that are holdings in the trading book as set out in Article 57(l), (m) and (n) of Directive 2006/.../EC as equity or debt instruments, as appropriate, where an institution demonstrates that it is an active market maker in these positions. In this case, the institution shall have adequate systems and controls surrounding the trading of eligible own funds instruments.
 4. Term trading-related repo-style transactions that an institution accounts for in its non-trading book may be included in the trading book for capital requirement purposes so long as all such repo-style transactions are included. For this purpose, trading-related repo-style transactions are defined as those that meet the requirements of Article 11(2) and of Annex VII, Part A, and both legs are in the form of either cash or securities includable in the trading book. Regardless of where they are booked, all repo-style transactions are subject to a non-trading book counterparty credit risk charge.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX VIII

REPEALED DIRECTIVES

PART A

**REPEALED DIRECTIVES TOGETHER WITH THEIR SUCCESSIVE
AMENDMENTS**

(referred to in Article 52)

Council Directive 93/6/EEC of 15 March 1993 on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions

Directive 98/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 June 1998 amending Council Directive 93/6/EEC on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions

Directive 98/33/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 June 1998 amending Article 12 of Council Directive 77/780/EEC on the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions, Articles 2, 5, 6, 7, 8 of and Annexes II and III to Council Directive 89/647/EEC on a solvency ratio for credit institutions and Article 2 of and Annex II to Council Directive 93/6/EEC on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions

Directive 2002/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the supplementary supervision of credit institutions, insurance undertakings and investment firms in a financial conglomerate and amending Council Directives 73/239/EEC, 79/267/EEC, 92/49/EEC, 92/96/EEC, 93/6/EEC and 93/22/EEC, and Directives 98/78/EC and 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council:

Only Article 26

Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments amending Council Directives 85/611/EEC and 93/6/EEC and Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 93/22/EEC:

Only Article 67

PART B

DEADLINES FOR TRANSPOSITION

(referred to in Article 52)

Directive	Deadline for transposition
Council Directive 93/6/EEC	1.7.1995
Directive 98/31/EC	21.7.2000
Directive 98/33/EC	21.7.2000
Directive 2002/87/EC	11.8.2004
Directive 2004/39/EC	30.4.2006/31.1.2007
Directive 2005/1/EC	13.5.2005

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX IX

CORRELATION TABLE

This Directive	Directive 93/6/EEC	Directive 98/31/EC	Directive 98/33/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC
Article 1(1) first sentence					
Article 1(1) second sentence and (2)	Article 1				
Article 2(1)					
Article 2(2)	Article 7(3)				
Article 3(1)(a)	Article 2(1)				
Article 3(1)(b)	Article 2(2)				Article 67(1)
Article 3(1)(c) to (e)	Article 2(3) to (5)				
Article 3(1)(f) and (g)					
Article 3(1)(h)	Article 2(10)				
Article 3(1)(i)	Article 2(11)		Article 3(1)		
Article 3(1)(j)	Article 2(14)				
Article 3(1)(k) and (l)	Article 2(15) and (16)	Article 1(1)(b)			
Article 3(1)(m)	Article 2(17)	Article 1(1)(c)			
Article 3(1)(n)	Article 2(18)	Article 1(1)(d)			
Article 3(1)(o) to (q)	Article 2(19) to (21)				
Article 3(1)(r)	Article 2(23)				
Article 3(1)(s)	Article 2(26)				
Article 3(2)	Article 2(7) and (8)				
Article 3(3)(a) and (b)	Article 7(3)			Article 26	
Article 3(3)(c)	Article 7(3)				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 93/6/EEC	Directive 98/31/EC	Directive 98/33/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC
Article 4	Article 2(24)				
Article 5	Article 3(1) and (2)				
Article 6	Article 3(4)				Article 67(2)
Article 7	Article 3(4a)				Article 67(3)
Article 8	Article 3(4b)				Article 67(3)
Article 9	Article 3(3)				
Article 10	Article 3(5) to (8)				
Article 11	Article 2(6)				
Article 12 first paragraph	Article 2(25)				
Article 12 second paragraph					
Article 13(1) first sub-paragraph	Annex V(1) first sub-paragraph				
Article 13(1) second sub-paragraph and (2) to (5)	Annex V(1) second sub-paragraph and (2) to (5)	Article 1(7) and Annex 4(a)(b)			
Article 14	Annex V(6) and (7)	Annex 4(c)			
Article 15	Annex V(8)				
Article 16	Annex V(9)				
Article 17					
Article 18(1) first sub-paragraph	Article 4(1) first sub-paragraph				
Article 18(1)(a) and (b)	Article 4(1)(i) and (ii)	Article 1(2)			
Article 18(2) to (4)	Article 4(6) to (8)				
Article 19(1)					
Article 19(2)	Article 11(2)				
Article 19(3)					
Article 20					
Article 21	Annex IV				
Article 22					
Article 23 first and second paragraph	Article 7(5) and (6)				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 93/6/EEC	Directive 98/31/EC	Directive 98/33/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC
Article 23 third paragraph					
Article 24					
Article 25					
Article 26(1)	Article 7(10)	Article 1(4)			
Article 26(2) to (4)	Article 7(11) to (13)				
Article 27	Article 7(14) and (15)				
Article 28(1)	Article 5(1)				
Article 28(2)	Article 5(2)	Article 1(3)			
Article 28(3)					
Article 29(1)(a) to (c) and next two sub-paragraphs	Annex VI(2)				
Article 29(1) last sub-paragraph					
Article 29(2)	Annex VI(3)				
Article 30(1) and (2) first sub-paragraph	Annex VI(4) and (5)				
Article 30(2) second sub-paragraph					
Article 30(3) and (4)	Annex VI(6) and (7)				
Article 31	Annex VI(8)(1), (2) first sentence, (3) to (5)				
Article 32	Annex VI(9) and (10)				
Article 33(1) and (2)					
Article 33(3)	Article 6(2)				
Article 34					
Article 35(1) to (4)	Article 8(1) to (4)				
Article 35(5)	Article 8(5) first sentence	Article 1(5)			

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 93/6/EEC	Directive 98/31/EC	Directive 98/33/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC
Article 36	Article 9(1) to (3)				
Article 37					
Article 38	Article 9(4)				
Article 39					
Article 40	Article 2(9)				
Article 41(1)(a) to (c)	Article 10 first, second and third indents				
Article 41(1)(d) and (e)					
Article 41(1)(f)	Article 10 fourth indent				
Article 41(1)(g)					
Article 42					
Article 43					
Article 44					
Article 45					
Article 46	Article 12				
Article 47					
Article 48					
Article 49					
Article 50	Article 15				
Annex I(1) to (4)	Annex I(1) to (4)				
Annex I(4) last paragraph	Article 2(22)				
Annex I(5) to (7)	Annex I(5) to (7)				
Annex I(8)					
Annex I(9) to (11)	Annex I(8) to (10)				
Annex I(12) to (14)	Annex I(12) to (14)				
Annex I(15) and (16)	Article 2(12)				
Annex I(17) to (41)	Annex I(15) to (39)				

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This Directive	Directive 93/6/EEC	Directive 98/31/EC	Directive 98/33/EC	Directive 2002/87/EC	Directive 2004/39/EC
Annex I(42) to (56)					
Annex II(1) and (2)	Annex II(1) and (2)				
Annex II(3) to (10)					
Annex III(1)	Annex III(1) first sub-paragraph	Article 1(7) and Annex 3(a)			
Annex III(2)	Annex III(2)				
Annex III(2.1) first to third paragraphs	Annex III(3.1)	Article 1(7) and Annex 3(b)			
Annex III(2.1) fourth paragraph					
Annex III(2.1) fifth paragraph	Annex III(3.2)	Article 1(7) and Annex 3(b)			
Annex III(2.2), (3), (3.1)	Annex III(4) to (6)	Article 1(7) and Annex 3(c)			
Annex III(3.2)	Annex III(8)				
Annex III(4)	Annex III(11)				
Annex IV(1) to (20)	Annex VII(1) to (20)	Article 1(7) and Annex 5			
Annex IV(21)	Article 11a	Article 1(6)			
Annex V(1) to (12) fourth paragraph	Annex VIII(1) to (13)(ii)	Article 1(7) and Annex 5			
Annex V(12) fifth paragraph					
Annex V(12) sixth paragraph to (13)	Annex VIII(13)(iii) to (14)	Article 1(7) and Annex 5			
Annex VI	Annex VI(8)(2) after the first sentence				
Annex VII					
Annex VIII					
Annex IX					

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0353

Statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts *I**

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (COM(2004)0177 — C6-0005/2004 — 2004/0065(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0177) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Article 251 (2) and Article 44(2)(g) of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0005/2004),
 - having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs and the opinions of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs, of the Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection and of the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy (A6-0224/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0065

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on statutory audit of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 44(2) (g) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽¹⁾,

Acting in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽²⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 157, 28.6.2005, p. 115.

⁽²⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28 September 2005.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Whereas:

- (1) Currently, the Fourth Council Directive 78/660/EEC of 25 July 1978 based on Article 54(3)(g) of the Treaty on the annual accounts of certain types of companies ⁽¹⁾, the Seventh Council Directive 83/349/EEC of 13 June 1983 based on Article 54(3)(g) of the Treaty on consolidated accounts ⁽²⁾, Council Directive 86/635/EEC of 8 December 1986 on the annual accounts and consolidated accounts of banks and other financial institutions ⁽³⁾ and Council Directive 91/674/EEC of 19 December 1991 on the annual accounts and consolidated accounts of insurance undertakings ⁽⁴⁾ require that the annual accounts or consolidated accounts be audited by one or more persons entitled to carry out such audits.
- (2) The conditions for the approval of persons responsible for carrying out the statutory audit have been laid down in the Eighth Council Directive 84/253/EEC of 10 April 1984 based on Article 54(3)(g) of the Treaty on the approval of persons responsible for carrying out the statutory audits of accounting documents ⁽⁵⁾.
- (3) The lack of a harmonised approach to statutory auditing in the Community was the reason why the Commission proposed in its 1998 Communication on 'The Statutory Audit in the European Union: the way forward' ⁽⁶⁾ the creation of a Committee on Auditing which could develop further action in close cooperation between the accounting profession and Member States.
- (4) On the basis of the work of *that* Committee, the Commission issued a Recommendation on 'Quality Assurance for the Statutory Audit in the EU: *minimum requirements*' ⁽⁷⁾ in November 2000 and a Recommendation on 'Statutory Auditors' Independence in the EU: *A Set of Fundamental Principles*' ⁽⁸⁾ in May 2002.
- (5) ***This Directive aims at substantial — though not full — harmonisation of statutory audit requirements. A Member State requiring statutory audit may impose more stringent requirements, unless otherwise indicated in this Directive.***
- (6) Audit qualifications obtained by statutory auditors on the basis of this Directive are considered equivalent. It should therefore no longer be possible for Member States to insist that a majority of the voting rights in an audit firm must be held by locally approved auditors or that a majority of the members of the administrative or management body of an audit firm must be locally approved.
- (7) The statutory audit requires adequate knowledge of matters such as company law, fiscal law and social law. Such knowledge should be tested before a statutory auditor from another Member State can be approved.
- (8) In order to protect third parties, all approved auditors and audit firms should be *entered* in a register which is accessible to the public and which contains basic information about the statutory auditor or the audit firm.
- (9) Statutory auditors should adhere to the highest ethical standards. They should therefore be subject to professional ethics, ***covering at least their public interest function, their integrity and objectivity and their professional competence and due care. The public interest function of statutory auditors means that a broader community of people and institutions rely on the quality of a statutory auditor's work. Good audit quality contributes to an orderly functioning of markets by enhancing the integrity and efficiency of financial statements. The Commission may adopt implementing measures on professional ethics as minimum standards. In doing so, the principles contained in the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) Code of Ethics might be considered.***

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 222, 14.8.1978, p. 11. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 178, 17.7.2003, p. 16).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 18.7.1983, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 372, 31.12.1986, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2003/51/EC.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 374, 31.12.1991, p. 7. Directive as amended by Directive 2003/51/EC.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 126, 12.5.1984, p. 20.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ C 143, 8.5.1998, p. 12.

⁽⁷⁾ OJ L 91, 31.3.2001, p. 91.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ L 191, 19.7.2002, p. 22.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (10) It is important that statutory auditors and audit firms respect the privacy of their clients. They should therefore be bound by strict rules on confidentiality and professional secrecy which, however, should not impede proper enforcement of *this Directive*. *Those confidentiality rules should also apply to any statutory auditor or audit firm which has ceased to be involved in a specific audit task.*
- (11) Statutory auditors and audit firms should be independent when carrying out a statutory audit. *They may inform the audited entity of matters arising from the audit, but should abstain from the internal decision processes of the audited entity.* If they find themselves in a situation *where the significance of the threats to their independence, even after application of safeguards to mitigate those threats, is too high*, they should resign or abstain from the audit engagement. *The conclusion that there is a relationship which compromises the auditor's independence may be different as regards the relationship between the auditor and the audited entity from that in respect of the relationship between the network and the audited entity.* Where a cooperative within the meaning of Article 2(14), or a similar entity as referred to in Article 45 of Directive 86/635/EEC, is required or permitted under national provisions to be a member of a non-profit making auditing entity, an objective, reasonable and informed party would not conclude that the membership-based relationship compromises the statutory auditor's independence, provided that when such an auditing entity is conducting a statutory audit of one of its members, the principles of independence are applied to the auditors carrying out the audit and those persons who may be in a position to exert influence on the statutory audit. Examples of threats to the independence of a statutory auditor or audit firm are a direct or indirect financial interest in the audited entity and the provision of additional non-audit services. Also, the level of fees received from one audited entity and/or the structure of the fees can threaten the independence of a statutory auditor or audit firm. Types of safeguards to be applied to mitigate or eliminate these threats include prohibitions, restrictions, other policies and procedures and disclosure. Statutory auditors and audit firms should refuse to undertake any additional non-audit service that compromises their independence. The Commission may adopt implementing measures on independence as minimum standards. In doing so, the Commission might take into consideration the principles contained in the Commission Recommendation of 16 May 2002 on 'Statutory Auditors' Independence in the EU: A Set of Fundamental Principles'. In order to determine the independence of auditors, the concept of a 'network' in which auditors operate needs to be clear. In this regard, various circumstances have to be taken into account such as instances where a structure could be defined as a network because it is aimed at profit or cost sharing. The criteria for demonstrating that there is a network should be judged and weighed on the basis of all factual circumstances available, such as whether there are common usual clients.
- (12) In cases of self review or self interest, where appropriate to safeguard the statutory auditor's or audit firm's independence, it should be for the Member State rather than the statutory auditor or the audit firm to decide whether the statutory auditor or audit firm should resign or abstain from an audit engagement with regard to its audit clients. However, this should not lead to a situation where Member States have a general duty to prevent statutory auditors or audit firms from providing non-audit services to their audit clients. For the purposes of determining whether it is appropriate, in cases of self interest or self review, that a statutory auditor or audit firm should not carry out statutory audits, so as to safeguard the statutory auditor's or audit firm's independence, the factors to be taken into account should include the question whether or not the audited public interest entity has issued transferable securities admitted to trading on a regulated market within the meaning of Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on markets in financial instruments ⁽¹⁾.
- (13) It is important to ensure a consistent high quality of all statutory audits required by Community law. All statutory audits should therefore be carried out on the basis of international auditing standards. *The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 145, 30.4.2004, p. 1.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

accordance with Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission ⁽¹⁾ and with due regard to the statement made by the Commission in the European Parliament on 5 February 2002 concerning the implementation of financial services legislation. A technical committee or group on auditing will assist the Commission in the assessment of the technical soundness of all the international auditing standards, and should also involve the system of public oversight bodies of Member States. In order to achieve a maximum degree of harmonisation, Member States should only be allowed to impose national additional audit procedures or requirements if these follow from specific national legal requirements relating to the scope of the statutory audit of annual or consolidated accounts, meaning that these requirements have not been covered by the adopted international auditing standards. Member States could maintain these additional audit procedures until the audit procedures or requirements have been covered by subsequently adopted international auditing standards. If adopted international auditing standards would however contain audit procedures the performance of which would create a specific legal conflict with national law following from specific national requirements related to the scope of the statutory audit, Member States may carve out the conflicting part of the international auditing standard as long as these conflicts exist, provided the measures referred to in Article 26(3) are applied. Any addition or carve out by Member States should add a high level of credibility to the annual accounts of companies, and be conducive to the public good. The above implies that Member States can, for example, require an additional auditor's report to the supervisory board or other reporting and audit requirements based on national corporate governance rules.

- (14) *In order for the Commission to adopt an international auditing standard for application in the Community, it is necessary that it is generally accepted internationally and that it has been developed with full participation of all interested parties following an open and transparent procedure, that it adds to the credibility and quality of annual accounts and consolidated accounts and that it is conducive to the European public good. The need for the adoption of an International Auditing Practice Statement as part of a standard should be assessed under the comitology procedure on a case by case basis. The Commission should ensure that before the start of the adoption process a review is conducted to see whether these requirements have been met and report to members of the Auditing Regulatory Committee on the outcome of the review.*
- (15) In the case of consolidated accounts, it is important that there is a clear definition of responsibilities between the statutory auditors who audit components of the group. **For this purpose**, the group auditor **should bear** full responsibility for the audit report.
- (16) In order to increase comparability between companies applying the same accounting standards, **and to enhance public confidence in the audit function**, the Commission **may** adopt a common audit report for the audit of annual accounts or consolidated accounts prepared on the basis of approved international accounting standards, **unless an appropriate standard for such a report has been adopted at Community level**.
- (17) Regular inspections are a good means of *achieving* a consistent high quality of statutory audits. Statutory auditors and audit firms should therefore be subject to a system of quality assurance that is organised in a manner which is independent from the reviewed statutory auditors and audit firms. **For the application of Article 29 on quality assurance systems, Member States may decide that if individual auditors have a common quality assurance policy only the requirements for audit firms need to be considered. Member States may organise the system of quality assurance in such a manner that each individual auditor is to be subject to a quality assurance review at least every 6 years. In this respect, the funding for the quality assurance system should be free from undue influence. The Commission should have the competence to adopt implementing measures in matters relevant to the organisation of quality assurance systems, and in respect of its funding, in cases where public confidence in the quality assurance system is seriously compromised. Member States should be encouraged to find, through the public oversight system, a coordinated approach to the carrying-out of quality assurance reviews with a view to avoiding the imposition of unnecessary burdens on the parties concerned.**

(¹) OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (18) Investigations and appropriate sanctions contribute to preventing and correcting inadequate execution of a statutory audit.
- (19) Member States should organise an effective system of public oversight for statutory auditors and audit firms on the basis of home country control. The regulatory arrangements for public oversight should enable an effective cooperation at Community level between oversight activities of Member States. **The system of public oversight must be governed by non-practitioners who are knowledgeable in the areas relevant to statutory audit. Member States may however allow a minority of practitioners to be involved in the governance of the public oversight system. These non-practitioners may be specialists who have never been linked with the audit profession or former practitioners who have left the profession.** Competent authorities of Member States should cooperate with each other whenever necessary for the purpose of carrying out their oversight duties on statutory auditors or audit firms approved by them. Such cooperation can make an important contribution to ensuring a consistent high quality of the statutory audit in the Community. **Since it is necessary to ensure efficient cooperation and coordination at European level among competent authorities designated by Member States, the designation of one entity, responsible for ensuring cooperation, should be without prejudice to the ability of each single authority to cooperate directly with the other competent authorities of the Member States.**
- (20) **In order to ensure compliance with Article 32(3) (Principles of public oversight), a non-practitioner is deemed to be knowledgeable in the areas relevant to the statutory audit either because of his past professional skill, or alternatively because he has knowledge of at least one of the subjects listed under Article 8.**
- (21) The statutory auditor or audit firm should be appointed by the general meeting of shareholders **or members** of the audited entity. In order to protect the independence of the auditor it is important that dismissal *should only be possible* where there are proper grounds and if these grounds are communicated to the authority or authorities responsible for public oversight.
- (22) *Since public interest entities have a higher visibility and are economically more important, stricter requirements should apply in the case of a statutory audit of their annual or consolidated accounts.*
- (23) Audit committees and effective internal control system contribute to minimise financial, operational and compliance risks, and enhance the quality of financial reporting. **Member States might have regard to the Commission Recommendation of 15 February 2005 on the role of non-executive or supervisory directors of listed companies and on the committees of the (supervisory) board⁽¹⁾, which sets out how audit committees should be established and function. Member States may determine that the functions assigned to the audit committee or a body performing equivalent functions may be performed by the administrative or supervisory body as a whole.**
- (24) **With regard to the duties of the audit committee, according to Article 41, the statutory auditor or audit firm is in no way subordinated to the committee.**
- (25) **Member States may decide also to exempt public interest entities which are collective investment undertakings whose transferable securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market from the requirement to have an audit committee. This option takes into account the fact that, in those cases where the collective investment undertaking functions merely for the purpose of pooling the assets, the employment of an audit committee will not always be appropriate. The financial reporting and related risks are not comparable to those of other public interest entities. In addition, undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) and their management companies operate in a strictly defined regulatory environment and are subject to specific**

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 52, 25.2.2005, p. 51.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

governance mechanisms such as controls exercised by their depositary. For those collective investment undertakings which are not harmonised by Directive 85/611/EEC ⁽¹⁾ but subject to equivalent safeguards as provided for by that Directive, Member States should, in this particular case, be allowed to provide for an equal treatment with EU-harmonised collective investment undertakings.

- (26) *In order to reinforce the independence of auditors of public interest entities, the key audit partner(s) auditing such entities should rotate. To organise such rotation, Member States should require a change of key audit partner(s) dealing with an audited entity, while allowing the audit firm with which the key audit partner(s) is (are) associated to continue being the statutory auditor of such entity. Where a Member State considers it appropriate in order to attain the objectives pursued, that Member State might, alternatively, require the change of the audit firm, without prejudice to Article 42(2).*
- (27) *The interrelation of capital markets underlines the need also to ensure high quality work performed by auditors from third countries in relation to the Community capital market. The auditors concerned should therefore be registered so as to make them subject to quality assurance reviews and to the system of investigations and sanctions. Derogations on the basis of reciprocity should be possible subject to an equivalence testing to be performed by the Commission in cooperation with Member States. In any case, an entity which has issued transferable securities on a regulated market within the meaning of Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC should always be audited by an auditor either registered in a Member State or overseen by competent authorities of the third country where the auditor comes from, if that third country is acknowledged by the Commission or a Member State to meet requirements equivalent to EU requirements in the field of principles of oversight, quality assurance systems and systems of investigations and sanctions, and if this arrangement is reciprocal. If a system of quality assurance of a third country has been considered by a Member State as equivalent, this does not mean that other Member States have to accept such national equivalence assessment, nor shall it pre-empt the decision of the Commission.*
- (28) *The complexity of international group audits requires good cooperation between the competent authorities of Member States and those of third countries. Member States should therefore ensure that competent authorities of third countries can have access to audit working papers and other documents through the national competent authorities. In order to protect the rights of the parties concerned and at the same time facilitate access to those papers and documents, Member States should be allowed to grant direct access to the competent authorities of third countries subject to the agreement of the national competent authority. One of the relevant criteria for the granting of access is whether the competent authorities in third countries meet requirements which the Commission has declared adequate. Pending such a decision by the Commission, and without prejudice thereto, Member States may assess whether the requirements are adequate.*
- (29) *Disclosure of information as referred to in Article 36 and 47 should be in accordance with the rules on the transfer of personal data to third countries laid down in Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data ⁽²⁾.*
- (30) *The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in accordance with Decision 1999/468/EC and with due regard to the declaration made by the Commission in the European Parliament on 5 February 2002 concerning the implementation of financial services legislation. For that purpose a committee should be set up to assist the Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ Council Directive 85/611/EEC of 20 December 1985 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (OJ L 375, 31.12.1985, p. 3). Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 79, 24.3.2005, p. 9).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31. Directive as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1882/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 284, 31.10.2003, p. 1).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (31) *The European Parliament should be given a period of three months from the first transmission of draft amendments and implementing measures to allow it to examine them and to give its opinion. However, in urgent and duly justified cases, it should be possible to shorten this period. If, within that period, a resolution is passed by the European Parliament, the Commission should re-examine the draft amendments or measures.*
- (32) *The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in accordance with Decision 1999/468/EC.*
- (33) *In accordance with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty, the measures provided for in this Directive, in requiring the application of a single set of international auditing standards, the updating of the educational requirements, the definition of professional ethics and the technical implementation of the cooperation between competent authorities of Member States and between those authorities and the authorities of third countries, are necessary in order to achieve the objectives of further enhancing and harmonising the quality of statutory audit in the Community and facilitating cooperation between Member States and with third countries so as to strengthen confidence in the statutory audit.*
- (34) *With a view to rendering the relationship between the statutory auditor or audit firm and the audited entity more transparent, Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC should be amended so as to require disclosure of the audit fee and the fee paid for non-audit services in the notes to the annual accounts and the consolidated accounts.*
- (35) *Directive 84/253/EEC should be repealed because it lacks a comprehensive set of rules for ensuring an appropriate audit infrastructure, such as public oversight, disciplinary systems and systems of quality assurance and because it does not provide specifically for regulatory cooperation between Member States and third countries. In order to ensure legal certainty, there is a clear need to indicate that statutory auditors and audit firms that have been approved under Directive 84/253/EEC are considered as approved under this Directive.*
- (36) *Statutory auditors and audit firms are responsible for carrying out their work with due care and thus should be liable for the financial damage caused by a lack of the care owed. However, the auditors' and audit firms' ability to obtain professional indemnity insurance cover may be affected by whether they are subject to unlimited financial liability. For its part, the Commission intends examining these issues taking into account the fact that liability regimes of the Member States may vary considerably,*

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Chapter I

Subject matter and definitions

Article 1

Subject matter

This Directive establishes rules concerning the statutory audit of annual and consolidated **accounts**.

Article 2

Definitions

For the purpose of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:

- (1) 'Statutory audit' means an audit of annual accounts or consolidated accounts in so far as required by Community **law**;
- (2) 'Statutory auditor' means a natural person who is approved in accordance with this Directive by the competent authorities of a Member State to carry out statutory audits;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (3) 'Audit firm' means **a legal body or any other** entity that is approved in accordance with *this* Directive by the competent authorities of a Member State to carry out statutory audits, regardless of its legal form;
- (4) '**Third country audit entity**' means an entity, regardless of its legal form, which carries out audits of the annual or consolidated accounts of a company incorporated in a third country;
- (5) '**Third country auditor**' means a natural person who carries out audits of the annual or consolidated accounts of a company incorporated in a third country;
- (6) 'Group auditor' means the statutory **auditor(s)** or audit **firm(s)** that **carries(carry)** out the statutory audit of the consolidated accounts;
- (7) 'Network' means the larger structure:
- **which is aimed at cooperation and** to which a statutory auditor or an audit firm belongs, and
 - **which is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, a common business strategy, the use of a common brand-name or a significant part of professional resources;**
- (8) 'Affiliate of an audit firm' means any undertaking, regardless of its legal form, which is connected to the audit firm by means of common ownership, control or management;
- (9) 'Audit report' means the report referred to in Article 51a of Directive 78/660/EEC and Article 37 of Directive 83/349/EEC issued by the statutory auditor or audit firm;
- (10) 'Competent authorities' means the authorities or bodies designated by law that are **in charge of** the regulation **and/or oversight** of statutory auditors and audit firms **or of specific aspects thereof; the reference to 'competent authority' in a specific article means a reference to the authority or body(ies) responsible for the functions referred to in that article;**
- (11) 'International auditing standards' means International Standards on Auditing *and* related **Statements and Standards**, in so far as relevant to the statutory audit;
- (12) 'International accounting standards' means International Accounting Standards (IAS), International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and related Interpretations (SIC-IFRIC interpretations), subsequent amendments to those standards and related interpretations, future standards and related interpretations issued or adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB);
- (13) 'Public interest entities' means entities **governed by the law of a Member State whose transferable securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market of any Member State within the meaning of Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC, credit institutions within the meaning of Article 1, point 1 of Directive 2000/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 March 2000 relating to the taking up and pursuit of the business of credit institutions⁽¹⁾ and insurance undertakings as defined in Article 2(1) of Directive 91/674/EEC. Member States may also designate other entities as public interest entities, for instance entities that are of significant public relevance because of the nature of their business, their size or **the number of their employees;****
- (14) '**Cooperative**' means a European Cooperative Society as defined in Article 1 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1435/2003 of 22 July 2003 on the Statute for a European Cooperative Society (SCE)⁽²⁾, or any other cooperative which requires a statutory audit under Community law, such as credit institutions within the meaning of Article 1, point 1 of Directive 2000/12/EC and insurance undertakings as defined in Article 2(1) of Directive 91/674/EEC;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 126, 26.5.2000, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Directive 2005/1/EC.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 207, 18.8.2003, p. 1.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (15) *'Non-practitioner'* means any natural person who for at least three years prior to his or her involvement in the governance of the public oversight system has not carried out statutory audits, has not held voting rights in an audit firm, has not been a member of the administrative or management body of an audit firm and has not been employed by or otherwise associated with an audit firm;
- (16) *'Key audit partner(s)'* means:
- the statutory auditor(s) designated by an audit firm for a particular audit engagement as being primarily responsible for carrying out the statutory audit on behalf of the audit firm; or*
 - in the case of a group audit at least the statutory auditor(s) designated by an audit firm as being primarily responsible for carrying out the statutory audit at the level of the group and the statutory auditor(s) designated as being primarily responsible at the level of material subsidiaries; or*
 - the statutory auditor(s) who sign(s) the audit report.*

Chapter II

Approval, continuous education and mutual recognition

Article 3

Approval of statutory auditors and audit firms

- Statutory audits shall be carried out only by statutory auditors or audit firms which are approved by the Member State requiring the statutory audit.*
- Each Member State shall designate competent authorities which shall be responsible for approving statutory auditors and audit firms.*

The competent authorities may be professional associations provided that they are subject to a system of public oversight as provided for in this Directive.

- Without prejudice to Article 11, the competent authorities of the Member States may only approve as statutory auditors natural persons who satisfy at least the conditions laid down in Articles 4 and 6 to 10.*
- The competent authorities of the Member States may only approve as audit firms those entities which satisfy the conditions under points (a) to (d) below. Member States may only set additional conditions in relation to point (c). Such conditions shall be proportionate to the objectives pursued and shall not go beyond what is strictly necessary.*
 - The natural persons who carry out statutory audits on behalf of the firm must satisfy at least the conditions imposed by Articles 4 and 6 to 12 and must be approved as statutory auditors in that Member State.*
 - A majority of the voting rights must be held by audit firms which are approved in any Member State or by natural persons who satisfy at least the conditions imposed by Articles 4 and 6 to 12; Member States may provide that such natural persons must also have been approved in another Member State. For the purpose of the statutory audit of cooperatives and similar entities as referred to in Article 45 of Directive 86/635/EEC, Member States may establish other specific provisions in relation to voting rights.*
 - A majority up to a maximum of 75 % of the members of the administrative or management body of the firm must be audit firms which are approved in any Member State or natural persons who satisfy at least the conditions imposed by Articles 4 and 6 to 12; Member States may provide that such natural persons must also have been approved in another Member State. Where such a body has no more than two members, one of those members must satisfy at least the conditions in this paragraph.*
 - The firm must satisfy the condition imposed by Article 4.*

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 4

Good repute

The competent authorities of a Member State **may** grant approval only to natural persons or firms of good **repute**.

Article 5

Withdrawal of approval

1. Approval of a statutory auditor or an audit firm shall be withdrawn if the good repute of that person or firm has been seriously compromised. **Member States may, however, provide for a reasonable period of time for the purpose of meeting the requirements of good repute.**
2. Approval of an audit firm shall be withdrawn if any of the conditions imposed in Article 3(4), **points (b) and (c)** is no longer fulfilled. Member States may, however, provide for a **reasonable period of time** for the purpose of meeting those requirements.
3. **Where the approval of a statutory auditor or of an audit firm is withdrawn for any reason, the competent authority of the Member State where the approval is withdrawn shall communicate that fact and the reasons for the withdrawal to the relevant competent authorities of Member States where the statutory auditor or audit firm is also approved, details of which authorities are registered in the former Member State's register in accordance with Article 15(3).**

Article 6

Educational qualifications

Without prejudice to Article 11, a natural person may be approved to carry out a statutory audit only after having attained university entrance **or equivalent** level, then completed a course of theoretical instruction, undergone practical training and passed an examination of professional competence of university final **or equivalent** examination level, **organised or recognised by the Member State concerned.**

Article 7

Examination of professional competence

The examination of professional competence referred to in Article 6 shall guarantee the necessary level of theoretical knowledge of subjects relevant to statutory audit and the ability to apply such knowledge in practice. Part at least of that examination must be written.

Article 8

Test of theoretical knowledge

1. The test of theoretical knowledge included in the examination shall cover the following subjects in particular:
 - a) general accounting theory and principles,
 - b) legal requirements and standards relating to the preparation of annual and consolidated accounts,
 - c) international accounting standards,
 - d) financial analysis,
 - e) cost and management accounting,
 - f) risk management and internal control,
 - g) auditing **and professional skills**,
 - h) legal requirements and professional standards relating to statutory audit and statutory auditors,
 - i) international auditing **standards**,
 - j) **professional** ethics and independence.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. It shall also cover **at least** the following subjects in so far as they are relevant to auditing:
 - a) company law and corporate governance,
 - b) the law of insolvency and similar procedures,
 - c) tax law,
 - d) civil and commercial law,
 - e) social security law and law of employment,
 - f) information technology and computer systems,
 - g) business, general and financial economics,
 - h) mathematics and statistics,
 - i) basic principles of the financial management of undertakings.
3. The Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in *Article 48(2)*, adapt the list of subjects to be included in the test of theoretical knowledge referred to in paragraph 1. **The Commission will take into account developments in auditing and the audit profession when adopting these implementing measures.**

Article 9

Exemptions

1. By way of derogation from Articles 7 and 8, a Member State may provide that a person who has passed a university or equivalent examination or holds a university degree or equivalent qualification in one or more of the subjects referred to in Article 8 may be exempted from the test of theoretical knowledge in the subjects covered by that examination or degree.
2. By way of derogation from Article 7, a Member State may provide that a holder of a university degree or equivalent qualification in one or more of the subjects referred to in Article 8 may be exempted from the test of the ability to apply in practice his theoretical knowledge of such subjects if he has received practical training in them attested by an examination or diploma recognized by the State.

Article 10

Practical training

1. In order to ensure the ability to apply theoretical knowledge in practice, a test of which is included in the examination, a trainee shall complete a minimum of three years' practical training in inter alia the auditing of annual accounts, consolidated accounts or similar financial statements. At least *two thirds* of such practical training shall be completed with a statutory auditor or audit firm approved in any Member State.
2. Member States shall ensure that all training is carried out with persons providing adequate guarantees regarding **their ability to provide the practical** training.

Article 11

Qualification through long-term practical experience

Member States may approve a person who does not satisfy the conditions laid down in Article 6 as a statutory auditor, if he **or she** can show either:

- a) that he **or she** has, for 15 years, engaged in professional activities which have enabled him **or her** to acquire sufficient experience in the fields of finance, law and accountancy and has passed the examination of professional competence referred to in **Article 7**, or
- b) that he **or she** has, for seven years, engaged in professional activities in those fields and has, in addition, undergone the practical training referred to in Article 10 and passed the examination of professional competence referred to in **Article 7**.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 12

Combination of practical training and theoretical instruction

1. Member States may provide that periods of theoretical instruction in the fields referred to in Article 8 count towards the period of professional activity referred to in Article 11, provided that such instruction is attested by an examination recognized by the State. Such instruction must last not less than one year, nor may it reduce the period of professional activity by more than four years.
2. The period of professional activity as well as the practical training must not be shorter than the course of theoretical instruction and the practical training required in **Article 10**.

Article 13

Continuous education

Member States shall ensure that statutory auditors are subject to appropriate programmes of continuous education in order to maintain *their* theoretical knowledge, professional skills and values *at a sufficiently high level*, and that failure to respect the continuous education requirements is subject to appropriate sanctions as referred to in Article 30.

Article 14

Approval of statutory auditors from other Member States

The competent authorities of the Member States shall establish procedures for the approval of statutory auditors which have been approved in other Member States. *Those* procedures shall not go beyond a requirement to pass an aptitude test in accordance with Article 4 of Council Directive 89/48/EEC ⁽¹⁾. The aptitude test, **which shall be conducted in one of the languages permitted by the language rules applicable in the Member State concerned**, shall only cover the statutory auditor's adequate knowledge of the laws and regulations of that Member State in so far as relevant for the statutory audit.

Chapter III

Registration

Article 15

Public register

1. Member States shall ensure that statutory auditors and audit firms are *entered* in a public register in accordance with Articles 16 and 17. **In exceptional circumstances, Member States may disapply the requirements laid down in this Article and Article 16 regarding disclosure only to the extent necessary to mitigate an imminent and significant threat to the personal security of any person.**
2. Member States shall ensure that each statutory auditor and audit firm is identified in the public register by an individual number. Registration information shall be **stored** in the register in electronic form and shall be electronically accessible by the public.
3. The public register shall also contain the name and address of the competent authorities in charge of **approval as referred to in Article 3**, quality assurance as referred to in Article 29, investigations and sanctions on statutory auditors and audit firms as referred to in Article 30, and public oversight as referred to in Article 32.
4. Member States shall ensure that the public register is fully operational by ... (*).

⁽¹⁾ Council Directive 89/48/EEC of 21 December 1988 on a general system for the recognition of higher-education diplomas awarded on completion of professional education and training of at least three years' duration (OJ L 19, 24.1.1989, p. 16). Directive as amended by Directive 2001/19/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 206, 31.7.2001, p. 1).

(*) 3 years after the entry into force of this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 16

Registration of statutory auditors

1. As regards statutory auditors, the public register shall, at least, contain the following information:
 - a) name, address and registration number;
 - b) if applicable, the **name, address, website address and registration number** of the audit firm by which the statutory auditor is employed, or with whom he or she is associated as a partner or otherwise;
 - c) **all other registration(s) as statutory auditor with the competent authorities of other Member States and as auditor with third countries, including the name(s) of the registration authority(ies), and, if applicable, the registration number(s).**
2. **Third country auditors registered in accordance with Article 45 shall clearly appear in the register as such and not as statutory auditors.**

Article 17

Registration of audit firms

1. As regards audit firms, the public register shall, at least, contain the following information:
 - a) name, address and registration number;
 - b) legal form;
 - c) contact information, the primary contact person and, where applicable, the website address;
 - d) address of each office in the Member State;
 - e) name and registration number of all statutory auditors employed by or associated as partner or otherwise with the audit firm;
 - f) names and business addresses of all owners and shareholders;
 - g) names and business addresses of all members of the administrative or management body;
 - h) if applicable, the membership of a network and a list of the names and addresses of member firms and affiliates or an indication of the place where such information is publicly available;
 - i) **all other registration(s) as audit firm with the competent authorities of other Member States and as audit entity with third countries, including the name(s) of the registration authority(ies), and, if applicable, the registration number(s).**
2. **Third country audit entities registered in accordance with Article 45 shall clearly appear in the register as such and not as audit firms.**

Article 18

Update of registration information

Member States shall ensure that statutory auditors and audit firms notify without undue delay the competent authorities in charge of the public register about any change of information contained in the public register. The register shall be updated without undue delay after notification.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 19

Responsibility for registration information

The information **provided to the relevant competent authorities** in accordance with **Articles 16, 17 and 18** shall be signed by the statutory auditor or audit firm. **Where the competent authority provides for the provision of the information electronically, this can for example** be done by means of an electronic signature within the meaning of Article 2, point 1 of Directive 1999/93/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 1999 on a Community framework for electronic signatures ⁽¹⁾.

Article 20

Language

1. The information entered in the public register shall be drawn up in one of the languages permitted by the language rules applicable in the Member State concerned.
2. Member States may additionally allow the information to be entered in the public register in any other official language(s) of the Community. Member States may require the translation of the information to be certified.

In all cases, the Member State concerned shall ensure that the register indicates whether or not the translation is certified.

Chapter IV

Professional ethics, independence and professional secrecy

Article 21

Professional ethics

1. Member States shall ensure that all statutory auditors and audit firms are subject to principles of professional ethics, **covering at least their public interest function**, their integrity and objectivity and their professional competence and due care.
2. **In order to ensure confidence in the audit function and in order to ensure uniform application of paragraph 1, the Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2),** adopt implementing measures **concerning principles** governing professional ethics.

Article 22

Independence and objectivity

1. Member States shall ensure that when carrying out a statutory audit, **the** statutory auditor **and/or** the audit firm is independent from the audited entity and is not involved in **the decision-taking** of the audited entity.
2. **Member States shall ensure that a statutory auditor or an audit firm shall not carry out a statutory audit if there is any direct or indirect financial, business, employment or other relationship between the statutory auditor, audit firm or the network — including the provision of additional non-audit services — and the audited entity from which an objective, reasonable and informed third party would conclude that the statutory auditor's or audit firm's independence is compromised. If the statutory auditor's or audit firm's independence is affected by threats, such as self review, self interest, advocacy, familiarity or trust or intimidation, the statutory auditor or audit firm must apply safeguards in order to mitigate those threats. If the significance of the threats compared to the safeguards applied is such that the independence is compromised, the statutory auditor or audit firm shall not carry out the statutory audit.**

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 13, 19.1.2000, p. 12.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Member States shall in addition ensure that, where statutory audits of public interest entities are concerned, a statutory auditor or an audit firm shall, where appropriate to safeguard the statutory auditor's or audit firm's independence, not carry out a statutory audit in cases of self review or self interest.

3. Member States shall ensure that the statutory auditor or audit firm documents in the audit working papers all **significant** threats to **his, her or** their independence as well as the safeguards applied to mitigate those threats.

4. **In order to ensure confidence in the audit function and in order to ensure uniform application of paragraphs 1 and 2, the Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2), adopt principle-based implementing measures concerning:**

- a) **the threats and safeguards referred to in paragraph 3;**
- b) **the situations in which the significance of the threats, as referred to in paragraph 3, is such that the independence of the statutory auditor or audit firm is compromised;**
- c) **the question whether statutory audits may or may not be carried out in the cases of self review and self interest referred to in paragraph 2.**

Article 23

Confidentiality and professional secrecy

1. Member States shall ensure that all information and documents to which the statutory auditor or audit firm has access when carrying out a statutory audit are protected by adequate rules on confidentiality and professional secrecy.

2. Confidentiality and professional secrecy rules relating to statutory auditors or audit firms shall not impede enforcement **of the provisions of this Directive.**

3. **Where a statutory auditor or audit firm is replaced by another statutory auditor or audit firm, the former statutory auditor or audit firm shall provide access to all relevant information concerning the audited entity to the incoming statutory auditor or audit firm.**

4. **A statutory auditor or audit firm who has ceased to be engaged in a particular audit assignment or a former statutory auditor or audit firm shall remain subject to the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 with respect to that audit assignment.**

Article 24

Independence and objectivity of the statutory auditors carrying out the statutory audit on behalf of audit firms

Member States shall ensure that the owners or shareholders of an audit firm as well as the members of the administrative, management and supervisory bodies of such a firm, or of an affiliated firm, do not intervene in the execution of a statutory audit in any way which *jeopardises* the independence and objectivity of the statutory auditor who carries out the statutory audit on behalf of the audit firm.

Article 25

Audit fees

Member States shall ensure that adequate rules are in place which provide that fees for statutory **audits:**

- a) **are** not influenced or determined by the provision of additional services to the audited entity;
- b) cannot be based on any form of contingency.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Chapter V

Auditing standards and audit reporting

Article 26

Auditing standards

1. Member States shall require statutory auditors and audit firms to carry out statutory audits in accordance with international auditing standards adopted by the Commission in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2). **Member States may apply a national auditing standard as long as the Commission has not adopted an international auditing standard covering the same subject-matter. Adopted international auditing standards shall be published in full in each of the official languages of the Community in the Official Journal of the European Union.**
2. The Commission **may decide in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2) on the applicability within the Community of international auditing standards. The Commission shall only** adopt international auditing standards for application in the **Community** if they:
 - a) are generally accepted internationally and are developed with proper due process, public oversight and transparency; **and**
 - b) **contribute** a high level of credibility **and quality** to the annual or consolidated accounts in conformity with the principles set out in Article 2(3) of Directive 78/660/EEC and in Article 16(3) of Directive 83/349/EEC; **and**
 - c) are conducive to the European public good.
3. Member States may only **impose audit procedures or requirements in addition to — or, in exceptional cases, by carving out — parts of the international auditing standards** if these follow from specific **national legal** requirements relating to the scope of the statutory audit. Member States shall **ensure that these audit procedures or requirements comply with the provisions laid down in paragraph 2, points (b) and (c) and shall** communicate **these** to the Commission **and Member States before their adoption. In the exceptional case of carving out parts of an international auditing standard, Member States shall communicate their specific national legal requirements, as well as the grounds for maintaining them, to the Commission and the other Member States at least six months before its national adoption or, in the case of requirements already existing at the time of adoption of an international auditing standard, at the latest within three months after adoption of the relevant international auditing standard.**
4. **Member States may impose additional requirements relating to the statutory audit of annual and consolidated accounts for a period of two years after the deadline for transposition laid down in Article 53(1) has elapsed.**

Article 27

Statutory audit of consolidated accounts

Member States shall ensure that in the case of a statutory audit of consolidated accounts of a group of undertakings:

- a) the group auditor bears the full responsibility for the audit report in relation with the consolidated accounts;
- b) **the** group auditor **carries out a review and** maintains documentation of his **or her** review of the audit work performed by **third country auditor(s), statutory auditor(s), third country audit entity(ies) or audit firm(s) for the purpose of the group audit. The documentation retained by the group auditor shall be adequate for the relevant competent authority to properly review the work of the group auditor;**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) when a component of a group of undertakings is audited by auditor(s) or audit entity(s) from a third country that has no cooperation agreement as referred to in Article 47, the group auditor is responsible for ensuring proper delivery when requested to the public oversight authorities of the documentation of the audit work performed by the third country auditor(s) or audit entity(ies), including the working papers relevant for the group audit. To ensure such delivery, the group auditor shall retain a copy of such documentation, or alternatively agree with the third country auditor(s) or audit entity(ies) his proper and unrestricted access upon request or take any other appropriate action. If legal or other impediments prevent audit working papers from being passed from a third country to the group auditor, the documentation retained by the group auditor shall include evidence that he or she has undertaken the appropriate procedures in order to gain access to the audit documentation, and in the case of impediments other than legal ones arising from country legislation, evidence supporting such impediment.

Article 28

Audit reporting

1. Where an audit firm carries out the statutory audit, the audit report shall be signed at least by the statutory auditor(s) carrying out the statutory audit on behalf of the audit firm. **In exceptional circumstances Member States may provide that this signature need not be disclosed to the public if such disclosure could lead to an imminent and significant threat to the personal security of any person. In any case the name(s) of the person(s) involved shall be known to the relevant competent authorities.**

2. **Notwithstanding Article 51a(1) of Directive 78/660/EEC and in the event that the Commission has not adopted a common standard audit report in accordance with Article 26(1), the Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2), in order to enhance public confidence in the audit function, adopt a common standard audit report for annual or consolidated accounts which have been prepared in accordance with approved international accounting standards.**

Chapter VI

Quality assurance

Article 29

Quality assurance systems

1. Member States shall ensure that all statutory auditors and audit firms are subject to a system of quality assurance which meets at least the following criteria:
- a) the quality assurance system must be organised in such a manner that it is independent from the reviewed statutory auditors and audit firms and subject to public oversight as described in Chapter VIII;
 - b) the funding for the quality assurance system must be secure and free from any possible undue influence by statutory auditors or audit firms;
 - c) the quality assurance system must have adequate resources;
 - d) persons who carry out the quality assurance reviews must have appropriate professional education and relevant experience in statutory audit and financial reporting combined with specific training on quality assurance reviews;
 - e) the selection of reviewers for specific quality assurance review assignments must be made under an objective procedure **designed** to ensure that there are no conflicts of interest between the reviewers and the statutory auditor or audit firm under review;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- f) the scope of the quality assurance review, **supported by adequate testing of selected audit files**, must include an assessment of the **compliance** with applicable auditing standards and independence requirements, **of the quantity and quality of resources spent, of the audit fees charged and of the internal quality control system of the audit firm**;
- g) the quality assurance review must result in the establishment of a report which contains the main conclusions of the quality assurance review;
- h) quality assurance reviews must take place at least every six years;
- i) the overall results of the quality assurance system must be published annually;
- j) recommendations of quality reviews shall be followed up by the statutory auditor or audit firm within a reasonable period.

In *the event that* the recommendations referred to in point (j) are not followed up, the statutory auditor or audit firm shall, **if applicable**, be subject to the system of disciplinary actions or sanctions as referred to in Article 30.

2. The Commission may adopt, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2), implementing measures **in order to enhance public confidence in the audit function and to ensure uniform application of paragraph 1, points (a), (b) and (e) to (j)**.

Chapter VII

Investigations and sanctions

Article 30

Systems of investigations and sanctions

1. Member States shall ensure that there are effective systems of investigations and sanctions to detect, correct and prevent inadequate execution of the statutory audit.
2. Without prejudice to Member States' civil liability regimes, Member States shall provide effective, proportionate and dissuasive **sanctions** in respect of statutory auditors and audit firms, where statutory audits are not carried out in conformity with **the provisions adopted in the implementation of** this Directive.
3. Member States shall provide that **measures** taken or **sanctions** imposed on statutory auditors and audit firms **are** appropriately disclosed to the public. Sanctions should include the possibility **of the withdrawal of approval**.

Article 31

Auditors' liability

The Commission shall before the end of 2006 present a report on the impact of the current national liability rules for carrying out statutory audits on the European capital markets and on the insurance conditions for statutory auditors and audit firms, including an objective analysis of the limitations of financial liability. The Commission shall, where appropriate, carry out a public consultation. In the light of that report, the Commission shall, if it considers it appropriate, submit recommendations to the Member States.

Chapter VIII

Public oversight and regulatory arrangements between Member States

Article 32

Principles of public oversight

1. Member States shall organise an effective system of public oversight for statutory auditors and audit firms based on *the* principles set out in paragraphs 2 to 7.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. All statutory auditors and audit firms must be subject to public oversight.
3. The system of public oversight must be governed by non-practitioners who are knowledgeable in the areas relevant to statutory audit. Member States may however allow a minority of practitioners to be involved in the governance of the public oversight system. Persons involved in **the governance of the public oversight system** must be selected under an independent and transparent nomination procedure.
4. The system of public oversight must have the ultimate responsibility for the oversight of:
 - a) the approval and registration of statutory auditors and audit firms, and
 - b) the adoption of standards on ethics, internal quality control of audit firms and auditing, and
 - c) continuous education, quality assurance and investigative and disciplinary systems.
5. The system of public oversight must have the right, where necessary, to conduct investigations *in relation to* statutory auditors and audit firms and must have the right to take appropriate action.
6. The system of public oversight must be transparent. This shall include the publication of annual work programmes and activity reports.
7. The system of public oversight must be adequately funded. The funding for the public oversight system must be secure and free from **any undue** influence by statutory auditors or audit firms.

Article 33

Cooperation between public oversight systems at Community level

Member States shall ensure that regulatory arrangements for systems of public oversight *permit* effective cooperation at Community level between oversight activities of Member States. To that extent, Member States shall make one entity specifically responsible for ensuring the **cooperation**.

Article 34

Mutual recognition of regulatory arrangements between Member States

1. Regulatory arrangements of Member States shall respect the principle of home country regulation and oversight by the Member State in which the statutory auditor or audit firm is approved and the audited entity has its registered office.
2. In the case of a statutory audit of consolidated accounts, the Member State requiring the statutory audit of the consolidated *accounts may* not impose additional requirements in relation to the statutory audit concerning registration, quality assurance review, auditing standards, ethics and independence on a statutory auditor or audit firm carrying out a statutory audit of a subsidiary established in another Member State.
3. In the case of a company whose securities are traded on a regulated market in *a* Member State *other than that in which* the company has its registered office, the Member State where the securities are traded may not impose any additional requirements in relation to the statutory audit concerning registration, quality assurance review, auditing standards, ethics and independence on a statutory auditor or audit firm carrying out the statutory audit of the annual or consolidated accounts of the company.

Article 35

Designation of competent authorities

1. **Member States shall designate one or more competent authorities for the purposes of the tasks provided for in this Directive. Member States shall inform the Commission of their designation.**
2. **The competent authorities should be organised in such a manner that conflicts of interests are avoided.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 36

Professional secrecy and regulatory cooperation between Member States

1. **The competent authorities of Member States responsible for approval, registration, quality assurance, inspection and discipline shall cooperate with each other whenever necessary for the purpose of carrying out their respective responsibilities under this Directive. The competent authorities in a Member State responsible for approval, registration, quality assurance, inspection and discipline shall render assistance to competent authorities in other Member States. In particular, competent authorities shall exchange information and cooperate in investigations related to the carrying-out of statutory audits.**
2. **The obligation of professional secrecy shall apply to all persons who work or who have worked for competent authorities. Information covered by professional secrecy may not be disclosed to any other person or authority except by virtue of law, regulation or administrative procedures of a Member State.**
3. **Paragraph 2 shall not prevent competent authorities from exchanging confidential information. Information thus exchanged shall be covered by the obligation of professional secrecy, to which persons employed or formerly employed by competent authorities are subject.**
4. **Competent authorities shall, on request, and without undue delay, supply any information required for the purpose referred to in paragraph 1. Where necessary, the competent authorities receiving any such request shall without undue delay take the necessary measures in order to gather the required information. If the requested competent authority is not able to supply the required information without undue delay it shall notify the requesting competent authority of the reasons. Information thus supplied shall be covered by the obligation of professional secrecy to which the persons employed or formerly employed by the competent authorities that received the information are subject.**

The competent authorities may refuse to act on a request for information where:

- a) *communication might adversely affect the sovereignty, security or public order of the State addressed or breach national security rules; or*
- b) *judicial proceedings have already been initiated in respect of the same actions and against the same statutory auditors and audit firms before the authorities of the State addressed; or*
- c) *final judgment has already been passed on such persons for the same actions by the competent authorities of the State addressed.*

Without prejudice to the obligations to which they are subject in judicial proceedings, the authorities which receive information pursuant to paragraph 1 may use it only for the exercise of their functions within the scope of this Directive and in the context of administrative or judicial proceedings specifically related to the exercise of those functions.

5. **Where a competent authority is convinced that activities contrary to the provisions of this Directive are being or have been carried out on the territory of another Member State, it shall communicate and notify this in as specific a manner as possible to the competent authority of the other Member State. The competent authority of the other Member State shall take appropriate action. It shall inform the notifying competent authority of the outcome and, to the extent possible, of significant interim developments.**
6. **A competent authority of one Member State may also request that an investigation be carried out by the competent authority of another Member State, on the latter's territory.**

It may further request that some of its own personnel be allowed to accompany the personnel of the competent authority of that other Member State during the course of the investigation.

The investigation shall be subject throughout to the overall control of the Member State on whose territory it is conducted.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The competent authorities may refuse to act on a request for the carrying-out of an investigation as provided for in the first subparagraph, or on a request for its personnel to be accompanied by personnel of a competent authority of another Member State as provided for in the second subparagraph, where such an investigation might adversely affect the sovereignty, security or public order of the State addressed, or where judicial proceedings have already been initiated in respect of the same actions and against the same persons before the authorities of the State addressed or where final judgment has already been passed on such persons for the same actions by the competent authorities of the State addressed.

7. *The Commission may adopt, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2), implementing measures in order to facilitate cooperation between competent authorities on the procedures of exchange of information and modalities for cross-border investigations provided for in paragraphs 2 to 4.*

Chapter IX

Appointment, dismissal and communication

Article 37

Appointment of statutory auditors or audit firms

1. *The statutory auditor or audit firm shall be appointed by the general meeting of shareholders or members of the audited entity.*
2. *Member States may allow alternative systems or modalities for the appointment of the statutory auditor or audit firm provided that those systems or modalities are designed to ensure the independence of the statutory auditor or audit firm from the executive members of the administrative body or from the managerial body of the audited entity.*

Article 38

Dismissal and resignation of statutory auditors or audit firms

1. *Member States shall ensure that statutory auditors or audit firms may only be dismissed where there are proper grounds; divergence of opinions on accounting treatments or audit procedures shall not be a proper ground for dismissal.*
2. *Member States shall ensure that the audited entity and the statutory auditor or audit firm inform the authority or authorities responsible for public oversight about the dismissal or resignation during the term of appointment and give an adequate explanation of the reasons therefor.*

Article 39

Application to non-listed public interest entities

Member States may exempt public interest entities which have not issued transferable securities admitted to trading on a regulated market within the meaning of Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC, and their statutory auditor(s) or audit firm(s), from one or more of the requirements in this chapter.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Chapter X

Special provisions for the statutory audit of public interest entities

Article 40

Transparency report

1. Member States shall ensure that **statutory auditors or** audit firms that carry out statutory audit(s) of public interest entities publish on their website, **within three months of the end of each financial year**, an annual transparency report that includes at least the following:

- a) a description of the legal structure and ownership;
- b) where the audit firm belongs to a network, a description of the network and the legal and structural arrangements in the network;
- c) a **description of** the governance structure of the audit firm;
- d) a description of the internal quality control system of the audit firm and a statement by the administrative or management body on the effectiveness of its functioning;
- e) an indication of when the last quality assurance review **referred to in Article 29** took place;
- f) a listing of public interest entities for which a statutory audit has been carried out during the last year by the audit firm;
- g) a statement about the audit firm's independence practices which also confirms that an internal review of independence compliance has been conducted;
- h) a statement on the policy followed by the audit firm concerning continuous education of statutory auditors as referred to in Article 13;
- i) financial information showing the importance of the audit firm such as the total turnover divided into fees from the statutory audit of annual and consolidated accounts, and fees charged for other assurance services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services;
- j) information about the basis for the partner remuneration.

Member States may in exceptional circumstances disapply the requirement in point (f) to the extent necessary to mitigate an imminent and significant threat to the personal security of any person.

2. The transparency report shall be signed by the **statutory auditor or** audit firm, **as the case may be**. This can **for example** be done by means of an electronic signature within the meaning of **Article 2(1)** of Directive 1999/93/EC.

Article 41

Audit committee

1. Public interest entities shall have an audit committee. **Member States shall determine whether the audit committee is to be** composed of non-executive members of the administrative body **and/or** members of the supervisory body of the audited entity **and/or of members that are appointed by the general meeting of shareholders of the audited entity**. **At least one member of the audit committee shall be independent and shall have** competence in accounting and/or auditing.

In public interest entities which meet the criteria of Article 2(1), point (f), of Directive 2003/71/EC⁽¹⁾, Member States may permit the functions assigned to the audit committee to be performed by the administrative or supervisory body as a whole, provided at least that when the chairman of such body is an executive member, he or she is not the chairman of the audit committee.

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading (OJ L 345, 31.12.2003, p. 64).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. *Without prejudice to the responsibility of the members of the administrative, management or supervisory bodies, or of other members that are appointed by the general meeting of shareholders of the audited entity, the audit committee shall inter alia:*

- a) monitor the financial reporting process;
- b) monitor the effectiveness of the company's internal control, internal audit where applicable, and risk management systems;
- c) **monitor** the statutory audit of the annual and consolidated accounts;
- d) review and monitor the independence of the statutory auditor or audit firm and in particular the provision of additional services to the audited **entity**.

3. *In public interest entities, the proposal of the administrative or supervisory body for the appointment of a statutory auditor or audit firm shall be based on a recommendation made by the audit committee.*

4. *The statutory auditor or audit firm must report to the audit committee on key matters arising from the statutory audit, in particular on material weaknesses in internal control in relation to the financial reporting process.*

5. *Member States may allow or decide that the provisions laid down in paragraphs 1 to 4 shall not apply to public interest entities that have a body performing equivalent functions to an audit committee, established and functioning according to provisions in place in the Member State where the entity to be audited is registered. In such a case the entity shall disclose which body carries out these functions and how it is composed.*

6. *Member States may exempt from the obligation to have an audit committee:*

- a) *public interest entities which are subsidiary undertakings as defined in Article 1 of Directive 83/349/EEC if the company complies with the requirements in paragraphs 1 to 4 at group level;*
- b) *public interest entities which are collective investment undertakings within the meaning of Article 1(2) of Directive 85/611/EEC; Member States may also exempt public interest entities the sole object of which is the collective investment of capital provided by the public, which operate on the principle of risk spreading and which do not seek to take legal or management control over any of the issuers of its underlying investments provided that those collective investment undertakings are authorised and subject to supervision by competent authorities and that they have a depositary exercising functions equivalent to those under Directive 85/611/EEC;*
- c) *public interest entities the sole business of which is to act as issuer of asset-backed securities as defined in Article 2(5) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 809/2004 of 29 April 2004 implementing Directive 2003/71/EC⁽¹⁾; in such instances, Member States shall require the entity to explain to the public the reasons for which it considers it not appropriate to have either an audit committee or an administrative or supervisory body entrusted to carry out the functions of an audit committee;*
- d) *credit institutions within the meaning of Article 1(1) of Directive 2000/12/EC whose shares are not admitted to trading on a regulated market of any Member State within the meaning of Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC and which have, in a continuous or repeated manner, only issued debt securities provided that the total nominal amount of all such debt securities remains below 100 000 000 Euro and that they have not published a prospectus under Directive 2003/71/EC.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 149, 30.4.2004, p. 1.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 42

Independence

1. In addition to the provisions laid down in **Articles 22** and **24**, Member States shall ensure that **statutory auditors or audit firms that carry out statutory audits of public interest entities**:
 - a) **annually confirm in writing to the audit committee their independence from the audited public interest entity**;
 - b) **annually disclose to the audit committee any additional services provided to the audited entity**; and
 - c) **discuss with the audit committee the threats to their independence and the safeguards applied to mitigate those threats as documented by them pursuant to Article 22(3)**.
2. **Member States shall ensure that the key audit partner(s) responsible for carrying out the statutory audit rotate(s) from the audit engagement within a maximum period of seven years after the date of appointment and is/are allowed to participate in the audit of the audited entity again after a period of at least two years**.
3. **The statutory auditor or the key audit partner who carries out the statutory audit on behalf of an audit firm shall not be allowed to take up a key management position in the audited entity before a period of at least two years has elapsed since he or she resigned as a statutory auditor or key audit partner from the audit engagement**.

Article 43

Quality assurance

The quality review referred to in Article 29 must be carried out at least every three years for statutory auditors or audit firms that carry out statutory audits of public interest **entities**.

Chapter XI

International aspects

Article 44

Approval of auditors from third countries

1. On the condition of reciprocity, the competent authorities of a Member State may **approve a third country auditor** as statutory auditor if the person has furnished proof **that he or she complies with requirements equivalent to those provided for in Articles 4 and 6 to 13**.
2. **The competent authorities of a Member State shall, before granting approval to a third country auditor who meets the requirements of paragraph 1, apply the requirements laid down in Article 14**.

Article 45

Registration and oversight of third country auditors and audit entities

1. The competent authorities of a Member State shall register in accordance with Articles 15 to 17 **all third country auditors and audit entities** which provide an audit report **concerning the** annual or consolidated accounts of a company **incorporated** outside the Community **and** whose **transferable** securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market of that Member State within the meaning of **Article 4(1), point 18 of Directive 2004/39/EC except when the company is an issuer exclusively of debt securities admitted to trading on a regulated market in a Member State within the meaning of Article 2(1)b of Directive 2004/109/EC⁽¹⁾ (Transparency), the denomination per unit of which is at least 50 000 Euro or, in case of debt securities denominated in another currency, equivalent, at the date of the issue, to at least 50 000 Euro**.

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2004/109/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 2004 on the harmonisation of transparency requirements in relation to information about issuers whose securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market (OJ L 390, 31.12.2004, p. 38).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. **Articles 18 and 19** shall apply.
3. Member States shall subject registered **third country auditors and audit entities** to their system of oversight, their quality assurance system and their systems of investigations and sanctions. **Member States may exempt registered third country auditors and audit entities from being subject to their quality assurance system if another Member State, or a third country's system of quality assurance that has been assessed as equivalent in accordance with Article 46, has already carried out a quality review of the third country auditor or audit entity during the previous three years.**
4. Without prejudice to Article 46, audit reports concerning annual accounts or consolidated accounts referred to in paragraph 1 issued by **third country auditors or audit entities** that are not registered in the Member State shall not have legal effect in that Member State.
5. Member States may only register **third country audit entities** if:
 - a) they meet requirements which are equivalent to those **of Article 3(3)**;
 - b) the majority of the members of the administrative or management body of the **third country audit entity** meet requirements which are equivalent to those of Articles 4 to 10;
 - c) the **third country** auditor carrying out the audit on behalf of the **third country audit entity** meets requirements which are equivalent to those of Articles 4 to 10;
 - d) the audits of the annual or consolidated accounts referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out in accordance with international auditing standards as referred to in Article 26, as well as the requirements laid down in **Articles 22, 24 and 25, or with equivalent standards and requirements**;
 - e) they publish on their website an annual transparency report which includes the information referred to in Article 40 **or they comply with equivalent disclosure requirements**.
6. **In order to ensure uniform application of paragraph 5, point (d), the equivalence referred to therein shall be assessed by the Commission in cooperation with Member States and shall be decided upon by the Commission in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2). Pending such a decision by the Commission, Member States may assess the equivalence referred to in paragraph 1 as long as the Commission has not taken any decision.**

Article 46

Derogation in the case of equivalence

1. Member States may disapply or modify the requirements in Article 45(1) and (3) on the basis of reciprocity only if these **third country audit entities or third country auditors** are subject to systems of public oversight, quality assurance and investigations and sanctions in the third country that meet requirements equivalent to those of Articles 29, 30 and **31**.
2. **In order to ensure uniform application of paragraph 1, the equivalence referred to therein shall be assessed by the Commission in cooperation with Member States and shall be decided upon by the Commission in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2). Member States may assess the equivalence referred to in paragraph 1 or rely on the assessments carried out by other Member States as long as the Commission has not taken any decision. If the Commission decides that the requirement of equivalence referred to in paragraph 1 is not complied with, it may allow the audit entities concerned to continue their audit activities in accordance with the relevant Member State's requirements during an appropriate transitional period.**
3. **Member States shall communicate to the Commission:**
 - a) **their assessment of the equivalence referred to in paragraph 2; and**
 - b) **the main elements of the cooperative arrangements with third country systems of public oversight, quality assurance and investigations and sanctions, on the basis of paragraph 1.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 47

Cooperation with competent authorities from third countries

1. Member States may allow the transfer to the competent authorities of a third country of audit working papers or other documents held by statutory auditors or audit firms approved by them, provided that:
 - a) these audit working papers or other documents relate to audits of companies which have issued securities in that third country **or which form part of a group issuing statutory consolidated accounts in that third country**;
 - b) the transfer takes place via the home competent authorities to the competent authorities of that third country and upon their request;
 - c) the competent authorities of the third country concerned meet requirements which have been declared adequate *in accordance with* paragraph 3;
 - d) there are working arrangements on the basis of reciprocity agreed between the competent authorities concerned;
 - e) **the transfer of personal data to the third country is in accordance with Chapter IV of Directive 95/46/EC.**
2. The working arrangements referred to in *paragraph 1, point (d)* shall ensure that:
 - a) justification is provided by the competent authorities of the purpose of the request for audit working papers and other documents;
 - b) the persons employed or formerly employed by the competent authorities of the third country that receive the information are subject to obligations of professional secrecy;
 - c) the competent authorities of the third country may use audit working papers and documents only for the exercise of their functions of public oversight, quality assurance and investigations that meet requirements equivalent to those of Articles 29, 30 and 32;
 - d) the request from a competent authority of a third country for audit working papers or other documents held by a statutory auditor or audit firm can be refused where the provision of those working papers or documents would adversely affect the sovereignty, security or public order of the Community or of the Member State concerned, or where judicial proceedings have already been initiated in respect of the same actions and against the same persons before the authorities of the Member State concerned.
3. The adequacy referred to in *paragraph 1, point (c)* **shall be** decided upon by the Commission in accordance with the procedure referred to in *Article 48(2)* **in order to facilitate cooperation between competent authorities**. The assessment of adequacy shall be carried out in cooperation with Member States and be based on the requirements of *Article 36* or essentially equivalent functional results. Member States shall take the measures necessary to comply with the Commission's decision.
4. In exceptional cases and by way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may allow statutory auditors and audit firms approved by them to transfer audit working papers and other documents directly to the competent authorities of a third country, provided that:
 - a) investigations have been initiated by the competent authorities in that third country;
 - b) the transfer *does not conflict* with the obligations *with* which statutory auditors and audit firms *are required to comply* in relation to the transfer of audit working papers and other documents to their home competent authority;
 - c) there are working arrangements with the competent authorities of that third country that allow the competent authorities in the Member State reciprocal direct access to audit working papers and other documents of audit firms;
 - d) the requesting competent authority of the third country informs in advance the home competent authority of the statutory auditor or audit firm of each direct request for information, indicating the reasons *therefor*;
 - e) the conditions referred to in paragraph 2 are respected.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

5. The Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 48(2), **specify** the exceptional cases referred to in paragraph 4 **in order to facilitate cooperation between competent authorities and to ensure the uniform application of that paragraph.**

6. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the working arrangements referred to in paragraphs 1 and 4.

Chapter XII

Transitional and final provisions

Article 48

Committee

1. The Commission shall be assisted by an audit regulatory committee (hereinafter referred to as the Committee) composed of representatives of the Member States and chaired by a representative of the Commission.

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph the regulatory procedure laid down in Articles 5 and 7 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, having regard to Article 8 thereof.

The period laid down in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be set at three months.

3. **Without prejudice to the implementing measures already adopted, and except for the provisions in Article 26, upon expiry of a two-year period following the adoption of this Directive and on 1 April 2008 at the latest, the application of its provisions requiring the adoption of technical rules, amendments and decisions in accordance with paragraph 2 shall be suspended. Acting on a proposal from the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council may renew the provisions concerned in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty and to that end they shall review them prior to the expiry of the period or date referred to above.**

4. The Committee shall adopt its Rules of Procedure.

Article 49

Amendment of Directive 78/660/EEC and Directive 83/349/EEC

1. Directive 78/660/EEC is amended as follows:

(a) In Article 43(1) the following point is added:

'15) **separately, the total** fees for the financial year **charged by** the statutory auditor or audit firm for the statutory audit of annual accounts, the **total fees charged** for other assurance services, **the total fees charged for** tax advisory services and **the total fees charged for** other non-audit services.

Member States may provide that this requirement shall not apply where the company is included within the consolidated accounts required to be drawn up under Article 1 of Directive 83/349/EEC, provided that such information is given in the notes to the consolidated accounts.'

(b) Article 44(1) shall be replaced by the following:

'1. Member States may permit the companies referred to in Article 11 to draw up abridged notes on their accounts without the information required in Article 43(1), points (5) to (12), (14)(a) and (15). However, the notes must disclose the information specified in Article 43(1), point (6) in total for all the items concerned.'

(c) Article 45(2) shall be replaced by the following:

'2. Paragraph 1(b) shall also apply to the information specified in Article 43(1), point (8).

The Member States may permit the companies referred to in Article 27 to omit disclosure of the information specified in Article 43(1), point (8). The Member States may also permit the companies referred to in Article 27 to omit disclosure of the information specified in Article 43(1), point (15), provided that such information is delivered to the public oversight system referred to in Article 32 of [8th Company Law Directive] when requested by such a public oversight system.'

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. In Article 34 of Directive 83/349/EEC the following point is added:
- '16) **separately, the total** fees for the financial year **charged by** the statutory auditor or audit firm for the statutory audit of the consolidated accounts, the **total fees charged** for other assurance services, **the total fees charged for** tax advisory services and **the total fees charged for** other non-audit services.'

Article 50

Repeal of Directive 84/253/EEC

Directive 84/253/EEC shall be repealed with effect from the date indicated in Article 54. References to the repealed Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive.

Article 51

Transitional provision

Statutory auditors or audit firms that are approved by the competent authorities of the Member States in accordance with Directive 84/253/EEC before the entry into force of the provisions referred to in Article 53(1) shall be considered as having been approved in accordance with this Directive.

Article 52

Minimum harmonisation

Member States requiring statutory audit may impose more stringent requirements, unless otherwise indicated in this Directive.

Article 53

Transposition

1. Member States shall adopt and publish **within ... (**)** the provisions necessary to comply with this Directive. They shall forthwith inform the Commission thereof.
2. When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.
3. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the texts of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 54

Entry into force

This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 55

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at ...

For the European Parliament
The President

For the Council
The President

(**) 24 months after the entry into force of this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0354

Development of the Community's railways ***I

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways (COM(2004)0139 — C6-0001/2004 — 2004/0047(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0139) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Articles 251(2) and 71 of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0001/2004),
 - having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0143/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0047

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC on the development of the Community's railways and amending Directive 2001/14/EC on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 71 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ⁽³⁾,

Acting in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽⁴⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C ..., ..., p.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 221, 8.9.2005, p. 56.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 71, 22.3.2005, p. 26.

⁽⁴⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28.9.2005.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Whereas:

- (1) Council Directive 91/440/EEC of 29 July 1991 on the development of the Community's railways ⁽¹⁾ was designed to facilitate the adaptation of the Community's railways to the requirements of the single market and to improve their efficiency.
- (2) In its White Paper *European transport policy for 2010: time to decide* ⁽²⁾, the Commission announced its intention to continue building the internal market in rail services by proposing to open up the market in international passenger services.
- (3) On 23 October 2003, the European Parliament adopted an amendment ⁽³⁾ calling for the opening up to competition of all national and international rail passenger services by 1 January 2008. The Commission stated on that occasion that it intended to present a specific proposal which dovetailed with the existing legislation on public service contracts as well as measures to protect the rights of passengers on international services.
- (4) International rail services currently present a very contrasting picture. Long-distance services (night trains) are in difficulty and several of them have recently been withdrawn by the railway undertakings concerned to limit losses. The market for international high-speed services, on the other hand, has seen a sharp increase in traffic and will continue its vigorous development with the doubling and interconnection of the trans-European high-speed network by 2010. Nevertheless, there is strong competitive pressure from low-cost airlines in both cases and it is essential to *stimulate new initiatives by promoting competition between railway undertakings*.
- (5) It would not be possible to open up the market in **passenger services** without detailed provisions on infrastructure access, substantial progress on interoperability and a strict framework for rail safety at national and European level. All of these elements are now in place following the transposition of *Directives 2001/12/EC* ⁽⁴⁾, *2001/13/EC* ⁽⁵⁾, *2001/14/EC* ⁽⁶⁾ and **2004/49/EC** ⁽⁷⁾. This new institutional framework must be supported by established, consolidated practice by the proposed date for opening up the **networks for passenger services**. **As these European Community framework directives must be transposed into national law by 2006 at the latest, international passenger networks should be opened up by 2008 and all other forms of passenger service by 2012.**
- (6) **Member States should remain free to anticipate the grant of access rights to railway undertakings and international groupings for national and international passenger transport services. The use of such rights may temporarily be confined to railway undertakings, and their directly and indirectly controlled subsidiaries, that hold a licence in the Member States where analogous conditions for access to the railway infrastructure apply.**
- (7) The number of railway services without intermediate stops is very limited. In the case of journeys with intermediate stops, it is essential to authorise new entrants to pick up and set down passengers along the route in order to ensure that such operations have a realistic profitability threshold and to avoid placing potential competitors in an unfavourable situation compared with the existing operators, which have the right to pick up and set down passengers along the route.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 237, 24.8.1991, p. 25. Directive as last amended by the Act of Accession of 2003.

⁽²⁾ COM(2001)0370.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 82 E, 1.4.2004, p. 502.

⁽⁴⁾ Directive 2001/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2001 amending Council Directive 91/440/EEC (OJ L 75, 15.3.2001, p. 1).

⁽⁵⁾ Directive 2001/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2001 amending Council Directive 95/18/EC on the licensing of railway undertakings (OJ L 75, 15.3.2001, p. 26). Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/49/EC (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 44).

⁽⁶⁾ Directive 2001/14/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2001 on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification (OJ L 75, 15.3.2001, p. 29). Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/49/EC.

⁽⁷⁾ **Directive 2004/49/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on safety on the Community's railways and amending Council Directive 95/18/EC on the licensing of railway undertakings and Directive 2001/14/EC on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (8) Council Regulation (EEC) No 1191/69 of 26 June 1969 on action by Member States concerning the obligations inherent in the concept of a public service in transport by rail, road and inland waterway ⁽¹⁾ authorises Member States and local authorities to award public service contracts. These contracts may contain exclusive rights to operate certain services. It is therefore necessary to ensure that the provisions of this Regulation are consistent with the principle of opening up **passenger services** to competition. On **26 July 2000** the Commission presented **a proposal** for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on action by Member States concerning public service requirements and the award of public service contracts in passenger transport by rail, road and inland waterway ⁽²⁾ to replace Regulation (EEC) No 1191/69. The principal aim of this text is to introduce controlled competition in the award of public service contracts. **The European Parliament adopted a position on this proposal at first reading on 14 November 2001 ⁽³⁾. However, the Council has not yet adopted a common position, so that it has not been possible for the legislative procedure to continue.**
- (9) **In order to create specialised infrastructure, such as high-speed railway lines, railway undertakings require planning and legal certainty commensurate with the substantial long-term investment involved. It should therefore be possible for such undertakings normally to conclude framework agreements with a term of 10 years. Directive 2001/14/EC should be amended accordingly.**
- (10) The application of this Directive should be evaluated on the basis of **two reports** to be presented by the Commission two years after the **dates** of opening up the market in international **and national** passenger services, **respectively. These reports should include first evaluations by the Commission of the impact of the first and second railway packages on public service quality standards, social standards of employees and environmental performance. Furthermore the Commission should present an impact assessment on the opening of the networks for national passenger services by 31 December 2005.**
- (11) *Since the objective of the action to be taken, namely the development of the Community's railways, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States given the need to ensure fair and non-discriminatory conditions of access to infrastructure and take account of the obviously international dimension of the way in which important parts of the rail networks operate, and can therefore, by reason of the need for co-ordinated transnational action, be better achieved at Community level, the Community may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.*
- (12) **Directives 91/440/EEC and 2001/14/EC** should therefore be amended accordingly,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

Directive 91/440/EEC is hereby amended as follows:

- 1) The fourth indent of Article 3 is deleted.
- 2) The following indent is inserted in Article 3 after the fifth indent:

‘— international passenger service shall mean passenger services where the train crosses at least one border of a Member State; the train may be joined and/or split, and the different sections may have different origins and destinations, provided that all wagons cross at least one border.’

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 156, 28.6.1969, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 1893/91 (OJ L 169, 29.6.1991, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ OJ C 365 E, 19.12.2000, p. 169.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 140 E, 13.6.2002, p. 262.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 3) The first indent of Article 5(3) is deleted.
- 4) The phrase 'and international groupings' is deleted in Article 8(1).
- 5) Paragraph (1) of Article 10 is deleted.
- 6) The **amendments** referred to in points 1), 3), 4) and 5) above *shall* take effect on **1 January 2008**.
- 7) The following paragraphs 3(a), 3(b), 3(c) and 3(d) are inserted in Article 10:

3(a) Railway undertakings within the scope of Article 2 shall, **for the purpose of operating international passenger services, by 1 January 2008, and, for the purpose of operating all other types of passenger service, by 1 January 2012**, be granted, **on equitable conditions**, access to the **infrastructure**. Railway undertakings shall, **when operating** an international passenger service, have the right to pick up **and set down** passengers at any station **between the points of departure and destination**.

3(b) Member States may limit the right of access defined in **paragraph 3(a) for services which** are covered by a public service contract conforming to the Community legislation in force. **Any** such limitation, **including one that results in** restricting the right to pick up **and set down** passengers at any station, may **only be imposed, on the basis of an objective economic analysis, by the regulatory body referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2001/14/EC (*) or by agreement between the regulatory bodies concerned if the public service would otherwise no longer be viable**.

3(c) Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that the decisions referred to in *paragraph 3(b)* are subject to judicial review.

3(d) **Member States shall remain free to anticipate the grant of access rights to railway undertakings and international groupings for national and international passenger transport services. The use of such rights may temporarily be confined to railway undertakings, and their directly and indirectly controlled subsidiaries, that hold a licence in the Member States where analogous conditions for access to the railway infrastructure apply.**

(*) OJ L 75, 15.3.2001, p. 29. Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/49/EC (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 44).'

- 8) The following paragraph is added to Article 14:

'By 31 December 2009 and 31 December 2013 respectively, at the latest, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee, the Committee of the Regions and the Council *two reports* on the implementation of the provisions of *this Directive*, the *first report relating to international passenger services and the second report relating to all other types of passenger service, including in each report an assessment of the allocation of train paths and of the effects on public service contracts.*'

Article 2

Article 17(5) of Directive 2001/14/EC shall be replaced by the following:

'5. Framework agreements shall in principle be of a duration of five years and shall be renewable for periods equal to their original duration. The infrastructure manager may agree to a shorter or longer period in specific cases. Periods longer than five years must be justified by reference to the existence of commercial contracts, specialised investments or risks.

For services using specialised infrastructure, as referred to in Article 24, and which require substantial and long-term investment, framework agreements may be of 10 years' duration. Any period longer than 10 years shall be permissible only in exceptional cases, in particular where there is large-scale, long-term investment, and particularly where such investment is covered by contractual commitments including a multi-annual amortisation plan.'

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 3

Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [...] (**) at the latest. They shall forthwith *inform* the Commission thereof.

When Member States adopt *those* provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such a reference *on the occasion* of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive, *together with a table showing how the provisions of this Directive correspond to the national provisions adopted.*

Article 4

This Directive shall enter into force on the day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 5

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at..., ...

For the European Parliament
The President

For the Council
The President

(**) 18 months after *the date of adoption* of this Directive.

P6_TA(2005)0355

Certification of train crews *I**

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network (COM(2004)0142 — C6-0002/2004 — 2004/0048(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

— having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0142) (1),

— having regard to Articles 251(2) and 71 of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0002/2004),

— having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,

— having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0133/2005),

(1) Not yet published in OJ.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0048**Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2005/.../EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the certification of train drivers and train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network**

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 71 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽¹⁾,Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ⁽²⁾,Acting in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽³⁾,

Whereas:

- (1) Directive 2004/49/EC ⁽⁴⁾ requires infrastructure managers and railway undertakings to establish their safety management systems in such a way that the railway system is at least able to achieve the common safety objectives and comply with the national safety regulations and safety requirements defined in the Technical Specifications for Interoperability and that the relevant parts of the Joint Safety Methods are applied. These safety management systems provide, among other things, for staff training programmes and systems which ensure that staff competence is maintained and that duties are performed in the appropriate manner.
- (2) Directive 2004/49/EC provides that, to be able to gain access to railway infrastructure, a railway undertaking must hold a safety certificate.
- (3) Under Council Directive 91/440/EEC of 29 July 1991 on the development of the Community's railways ⁽⁵⁾, licensed railway undertakings have, since 15 March 2003, a right of access to the trans-European freight network and, from 2008 at the latest, to the entire international freight services network. Furthermore, in the framework of the second railway package, it is proposed to extend this right of access to the whole network for international rail freight services and for all types of rail freight services. This gradual extension of access rights will inevitably lead to an increase in cross-border rail freight traffic. The result will be a growing demand for drivers trained and certified for cross-border services.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 221, 8.9.2005, p. 64.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 71, 22.3.2005, p. 26.

⁽³⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28 September 2005.

⁽⁴⁾ Directive 2004/49/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on safety on the Community's railways and amending Council Directive 95/18/EC on the licensing of railway undertakings and Directive 2001/14/EC on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification (Railway Safety Directive) (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p.44).

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 237, 24.8.1991, p. 25. Directive as last amended by the 2003 Act of Accession.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (4) A study carried out by the Commission in 2002 highlighted the fact that the laws of the Member States on the certification conditions for train drivers differ considerably. Community rules for the certification of train drivers need to be adopted to overcome these differences while maintaining the present high level of safety of the Community railway system.
- (5) Such Community rules must also contribute to the aims of Community policies on the freedom of movement of workers, freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services in the context of the common transport policy, while avoiding any distortion of competition.
- (6) To guarantee the necessary uniformity and transparency, the Community should establish a single certification model **in two parts: the basic licence, attesting to compliance with certain requirements and basic fitness and competence to drive trains, and a harmonised complementary certificate attesting to technical knowledge and knowledge specific to the service. The Member States should be responsible for issuing the basic licence, and railway undertakings should be responsible for issuing the harmonised complementary certificate. These documents should be mutually recognised by the Member States.**
- (7) **This Directive follows and is largely based on the ‘historic’ agreements reached on 27 January 2004 between the social partners: the Community of European Railways (CER) and the European Transport Workers’ Federation (ETF) on the ‘European licence for drivers carrying out a cross-border interoperability service’ and on ‘certain aspects of the working conditions of mobile staff engaged in cross-border services’⁽¹⁾.**
- (8) The common provisions on certification **must make** it easier for train drivers to move from one Member State to another, but also from one railway undertaking to another, and generally for the licence and the harmonised complementary certificate to be recognised by all railway sector stakeholders, **while promoting improved training and employment for the staff concerned.** To this end, it is essential that the provisions establish minimum requirements which applicants must meet to obtain the basic licence and the harmonised complementary certificate.
- (9) **In the context of the gradual opening of rail infrastructure and increased competition among railway undertakings, the training capital of train drivers should be preserved by guaranteeing the mutual recognition of their training so as to make it easier for them to find employment by introducing common standards.**
- (10) The requirements for obtaining licences and certificates should cover at least the minimum age for driving a train, the applicant’s medical and psychological fitness, professional experience and knowledge of certain matters relating to driving a train, and a knowledge of the infrastructures on which drivers will be required to travel.
- (11) **The tasks and requirements defined in Annexes I to VI of this Directive may be revised to bring them into line with technical and scientific progress. As technical requirements, these annexes must be fully compatible with the Technical Specifications for Interoperability (TSIs) and must be revised in accordance with the procedures laid down by Directives 96/48/EC⁽²⁾ and 2001/16/EC⁽³⁾ on interoperability and in full compliance with Regulation (EC) No 881/2004⁽⁴⁾, in particular Articles 3, 4, 6, 12 and 17 thereof on the role of the social partners.**

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 195, 27.7.2005, p. 18.

⁽²⁾ Council Directive 96/48/EC of 23 July 1996 on the interoperability of the trans-European high-speed rail system (OJ L 235, 17.9.1996, p. 6). Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

⁽³⁾ Directive 2001/16/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2001 on the interoperability of the trans-European conventional rail system (OJ L 110, 20.4.2001, p. 1). Directive last amended by Directive 2004/50/EC.

⁽⁴⁾ Regulation (EC) No 881/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 establishing a European Railway Agency (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 1).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (12) This Directive must not prejudice the implementation of Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data ⁽¹⁾, nor of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data ⁽²⁾.
- (13) All of the information contained in the licence, the harmonised complementary certificate and the registers must be used by the national safety authorities to facilitate evaluation of the staff certification provided for in Articles 10 and 11 of Directive 2004/49/EC and to speed up the issuing of the safety certificates provided for in the same articles.
- (14) The measures necessary for the implementation of this Directive should be adopted in accordance with Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission ⁽³⁾.
- (15) Member States must provide for inspections and penalties appropriate to infringements of the national provisions for implementing this Directive.
- (16) For rail transport to continue to operate effectively, train drivers who are already working in their profession before the entry into force of this Directive must retain their acquired rights during a transition period.
- (17) ***The replacement of national rules on the certification of train drivers by the provisions of this Directive should be completed gradually and in such a way as to entail the least possible burden on railway undertakings and infrastructure managers. Those entities should, to that end, be called upon in particular to determine how experience acquired should be taken into account for the purposes of issuing licences and certificates under this Directive.***
- (18) Since a common regulatory framework for the certification of **train drivers and** train crews operating locomotives and trains for the carriage of passengers and goods cannot be set up by the Member States, the Community may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve these objectives,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

CHAPTER I

SUBJECT MATTER, SCOPE AND DEFINITIONS

*Article 1***Subject matter**

This Directive lays down the conditions and procedures for the certification of **train drivers and** train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network. For this purpose, it also specifies the tasks for which the competent authorities of the Member States, the train drivers and other stakeholders in the sector, in particular the railway undertakings, infrastructure managers and training centres, are responsible.

The employment of **train drivers and** train crews certified in accordance with this Directive shall not exonerate railway undertakings and infrastructure managers from their obligation to set up a system of monitoring and internal control of the competence and conduct of their **train drivers and** train crews pursuant to Article 9 of and Annex III to Directive 2004/49/EC. The certificate shall not relieve either the railway undertaking or the infrastructure manager of its responsibility as regards safety and, in particular, the training of its staff.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31. *Directive as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1882/2003* (OJ L 284, 31.10.2003, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 8, 12.1.2001, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 2

Definitions

For the purposes of this Directive:

- a) 'competent authority' means the authority appointed by a Member State to issue *train drivers' licences* after establishing *that applicants meet* the necessary requirements. This is the national safety authority set up under Article 16 of Directive 2004/49/EC;
- b) 'train driver' means a person capable of driving **trains, regularly or occasionally, including traction units, electric rail cars**, shunting locomotives **and** work trains or trains for the carriage of passengers or goods by rail in an autonomous, responsible and safe manner. A train driver's duties are listed in Annex II to this Directive;
- c) 'train crew' means staff **who are not train drivers but who are present** on the locomotive or train **and who regularly or occasionally perform safety-related duties in and on the train** and whose professional qualifications accordingly contribute to traffic safety **as regards both the train itself and its passengers and freight**;
- d) 'infrastructure manager' means any entity or undertaking responsible in particular for the establishment and maintenance of the railway infrastructure, or any part thereof, as defined in Article 3 of Directive 91/440/EEC;
- e) 'railway undertaking' means any public or private undertaking the business of which is to provide services for the carriage of goods and/or passengers by rail, with the requirement that the undertaking must ensure traction; this also includes undertakings which provide traction only;
- f) 'technical specifications for interoperability' or 'TSIs' means the specifications adopted under Directives 96/48/EC and 2001/16/EC, which must be complied with in order to ensure the interoperability of the trans-European rail system;
- (g) 'Agency' means the European Railway Agency established by Regulation (EC) No 881/2004;
- h) 'safety certificate' means the certificate issued to a railway undertaking by the national safety authority in accordance with Article 10 of Directive 2004/49/EC;
- i) 'safety authorisation' means the certificate issued to an infrastructure manager by the safety authority in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2004/49/EC;
- j) '**training centre**' means **a body accredited or recognised by a competent authority for the purpose of giving training courses.**

Article 3

Scope

The purpose of this Directive is the certification of **train drivers and** train crews operating locomotives and trains on the Community's rail network for a railway undertaking requiring a safety certificate or an infrastructure manager requiring a safety authorisation.

CHAPTER II

CERTIFICATION OF TRAIN DRIVERS

Article 4

Community certification model

1. All train drivers shall have the necessary fitness and qualifications to drive trains and shall hold the following documents:
 - a) a licence identifying the *train* driver and the authority issuing the certificate and stating the duration of validity. The licence shall be the property of the *train* driver and shall be issued, on application, to *train drivers* who *satisfy* the minimum requirements as regards medical and psychological fitness, basic education and general professional skills. The licence shall comply with the requirements of Annex I;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) a harmonised certificate stating that the holder has received additional training in the framework of the railway undertaking's safety management system or, in the case of *train* drivers employed by the infrastructure manager, that of the latter, indicating the infrastructures on which the holder is authorised to drive and the rolling stock which the holder is authorised to drive. The harmonised certificate shall comply with the requirements of Annex I.
2. The harmonised complementary certificate shall authorise driving in *either* or *both* of the following categories:
- a) category A: shunting locomotives, work trains **and maintenance railway vehicles**;
- b) category B: carriage of passengers **and/or goods**.
3. With regard to the licence referred to in *paragraph 1(a)*, the general system for the recognition of professional qualifications established by Council Directive 92/51/EEC ⁽¹⁾, shall still apply to the recognition of the professional qualifications of *train* drivers who are nationals of a Member State and have obtained their training certificate in a third country. *Train drivers* whose professional qualifications are recognised on this basis shall have to be issued certificates in accordance with this Directive.

Article 5

Issuing bodies

1. The licence referred to in Article 4(1)(a) shall be issued by the competent authority referred to in Article 2(a). The competent authority may delegate this task only under the terms provided for in Article 17.
2. The harmonised certificate referred to in Article 4(1)(b) shall be issued by the railway undertaking which employs the *train* driver or, where appropriate, by the infrastructure manager.

Article 6

Mutual recognition

1. Once *train* drivers have the licence and the harmonised complementary certificate issued in accordance with this Directive, they may drive trains provided that the railway undertaking or the infrastructure manager responsible for the transport in question has a safety certificate or a safety authorisation, and only on the network covered both by the harmonised complementary certificate and by the safety certificate, or the safety authorisation.
2. Licences issued by a Member State in accordance with this Directive shall be mutually recognised by the other Member States.

CHAPTER III

CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE FOR OBTAINING THE LICENCE AND THE HARMONISED COMPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE

Article 7

Minimum requirements

To obtain the licence, applicants shall satisfy the minimum requirements set out in Articles 8, 9 and 11(1) and (2).

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 209, 24.7.1992, p. 25. Directive as last amended by Commission Decision 2004/108/EC (OJ L 32, 5.2.2004, p. 15).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

To obtain the harmonised complementary certificate, applicants shall hold a licence and satisfy the minimum requirements set out in Articles 10 and 11(1), (3) and (4).

Without prejudice to Article 6, a Member State may *apply more stringent requirements* with regard to the issuing of licences on its own territory.

Article 8

Minimum age

Applicants shall be at least 20 years of age. However, Member States may issue licences to applicants from the age of 18 years, the validity of such a licence then being limited to the territory of the issuing Member State.

Article 9

Training and basic skills

1. Applicants shall have received basic training equivalent to level 3 as referred to in Council Decision 85/368/EEC of 16 July 1985 on the comparability of vocational training qualifications between the Member States of the European Community ⁽¹⁾ and satisfy the requirements laid down in Annex III.
2. Applicants shall provide confirmation of their physical and mental fitness by passing a medical examination conducted by a medical doctor recognised by the competent authority. The examination must cover at least the criteria indicated in Annex III, points 2.1 and 4.
3. Applicants shall demonstrate their psychological fitness by passing an examination conducted **or supervised** by a psychologist **or doctor** recognised by the competent authority. The examination shall cover at least the criteria indicated in Annex III, point 2.2.
4. The basic linguistic knowledge criterion referred to in Annex III, point 5, shall be met and shall be checked whenever *train* drivers have to travel on new infrastructures involving new linguistic requirements.

Article 10

Professional experience

Without prejudice to Article 6, a Member State may, on its territory, require applicants to provide evidence of at least two years' professional experience in category A, as referred to in Article 4(2)(a), before they are able to move on to **category B**.

Without prejudice to Article 6, at least three years' professional experience in category B (as referred to in Article 4(2)(b)) shall be required in order to move on to a cross-border transport service.

Article 11

Professional qualifications

1. Applicants shall have undergone a full programme of training as described in Annex IV, point 1, which consists of a part relating to the licence and a part relating to the harmonised complementary certificate. The training method shall satisfy the criteria in Annex IV, points 2 and 3.
2. Applicants shall have passed an examination testing their general knowledge of their profession; this examination shall cover at least the general subjects listed in Annex V.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 199, 31.7.1985, p.56.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. Applicants shall have passed an examination testing their professional knowledge relating to the rolling stock for which the harmonised complementary certificate is being applied for; this examination shall include at least the general subjects listed in Annex VI.

4. Applicants shall have passed an examination testing their professional knowledge relating to the infrastructures for which the harmonised certificate is being applied for. This examination shall cover at least the general subjects listed in Annex VII. Where appropriate, the examination shall also cover linguistic knowledge in accordance with Article 9(4).

Article 12

Application for the licence

1. The competent authority shall publish the procedure to be followed for obtaining a licence, together with the necessary forms.
2. All licence applications shall be lodged with the competent authority by the candidate *train* driver's employer or by the candidate *train* driver.
3. Applications submitted to the competent authority may concern:
 - a) the granting of a new licence: this may be a matter of a novice *train* driver or a person who was already a *train* driver before this Directive entered into force, or a request for a duplicate;
 - b) an update: one or more of the licence particulars have changed and must be updated.

Article 13

Granting of the licence

The competent authority shall issue the licence no later than three weeks after receiving all the necessary documents.

The licence shall be in the official language(s) of the Member State issuing it. The licence must be renewed every five years.

The licence shall be the property of the *train* driver and shall be issued in a single original. Any reproduction of the licence, other than by the competent authority in the case of a request for a duplicate, shall be prohibited.

Article 14

Minimum monitoring requirements

1. In order to keep the licence and the harmonised certificate, holders shall undergo periodic examinations and/or tests relating to the *requirements* referred to in Articles 9 and 11. The following minimum frequency shall be observed:
 - a) medical examinations (physical and mental fitness): every three years up to the age of **55**, thereafter every year;
 - b) knowledge **of infrastructure (including routes and operating rules): every two years or after any absence from the route concerned of more than one year;**
 - c) knowledge of rolling stock: **every two years;**
 - d) **updating of general professional knowledge and knowledge of traffic and safety provisions by way of continuing training: every year.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

For each of these *periodic* checks the railway undertaking shall affirm by a statement in the harmonised certificate and in the register provided for in Article 20 that the *train* driver has met these requirements.

2. For the purpose of maintaining the harmonised complementary certificate, railway undertakings and infrastructure managers shall, in the context of their safety management systems set up pursuant to Article 9 of Directive 2004/49/EC, adopt measures (such as driving under supervision) and lay down periods of validity regarding skills relating to rolling stock, lines and — where necessary — languages so that train drivers do not use the lines and/or vehicles covered by their harmonised complementary certificates beyond those periods.

Article 15

Cessation of employment

When a *train* driver ceases to be employed by a railway undertaking **or infrastructure manager**, it shall inform the competent authority without delay.

The licence shall remain valid without prejudice to Article 14.

The harmonised complementary certificate shall become invalid on cessation of employment. **Nevertheless, in accordance with Article 20(2), the railway undertaking concerned shall be required to keep a record of that certificate in its register. Train drivers shall keep a certified copy of the harmonised complementary certificate which they hold.**

They may submit this certificate to any new railway undertaking or infrastructure manager so that it can adapt its training with a view to issuing a fresh harmonised complementary certificate.

Article 16

Amendments and withdrawals

1. If there is any change in a *train* driver's state of health likely to call into question their fitness for the job and the continuation of their licence or harmonised complementary certificate, the employer or the *train* driver, as appropriate, shall inform the competent authority without delay

2. If the competent authority finds **or is informed** that a *train* driver no longer satisfies one or more requirements, it shall immediately withdraw the licence **and immediately** inform the party concerned and their employer of its reasoned decision, without prejudice to the right of appeal provided for in Article 19. The suspension shall be temporary or permanent depending on the scale of the problems created for rail safety. The competent authority shall update the register provided for in Article 20. **As soon as it is informed, the employer shall withdraw the harmonised certificate, either temporarily or permanently, depending on the reasons given by the competent authority. The employer shall update the register provided for in Article 20.**

If an employer finds that a train driver no longer satisfies one or more requirements, it shall immediately withdraw the harmonised certificate and immediately inform the party concerned and the competent authority of its reasoned decision. The employer shall update the register provided for in Article 20.

3. **Member States shall ensure that in cases where a licence or harmonised complementary certificate is withdrawn provision is made for an independent assessment and, where appropriate, reinstatement procedure. The employee concerned may request this procedure.**

4. Member States shall take all the necessary steps to avoid the risks of falsification of certificates and tampering with the register provided for in Article 20. Employers shall be required to ensure and to check that the licences and complementary certificates of their *train* drivers in service are valid.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

CHAPTER IV

TASKS AND DECISIONS OF THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY

Article 17

Tasks of the competent authority

1. The competent authority shall fulfil its tasks in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner.

It shall respond quickly to requests for information and present any requests for additional information without delay during the preparation of the licences.

2. **The tasks of competent authority shall include:**

- a) **issuing licences following checks that all the conditions laid down in this Directive have been met, on the basis of the requisite documents submitted by applicants;**
- b) **authorising and verifying safety management systems, in accordance with Directive 2004/49/EC;**
- c) **key inspection tasks;**
- d) **guaranteeing the quality and objectivity of training and examination procedures.**

3. The competent authority may delegate or subcontract the tasks referred to in paragraph 5 to third parties provided that such tasks can be carried out by the authorised representative or subcontractor without any conflict of interest.

Tasks shall be delegated in a transparent and non-discriminatory manner.

4. When the competent authority delegates or subcontracts tasks to a railway undertaking, at least one of the following two conditions shall be complied with:

- a) the railway undertaking shall issue licences only to its own *train* drivers;
- b) the railway undertaking shall not enjoy exclusivity in the territory concerned for any of the delegated or subcontracted tasks.

5. The tasks referred to in (a) to (e) may be delegated subject to the conditions attached to them:

- a) the testing of physical and mental fitness shall be carried out by **occupational physicians** or occupational health institutes accredited by the competent authority;
- b) the testing of psychological fitness shall be carried out by psychologists, **transport psychologists** or occupational psychology institutes accredited by the competent authority;
- c) the testing of general professional knowledge shall be carried out by institutes **and** examiners **which are both** accredited by the competent authority;
- d) the granting of new licences and the updating of licences may be delegated to third parties accredited by the competent authority;
- e) the tasks relating to the keeping of the register referred to in Article 20 may be delegated to third parties appointed by the competent authority.

6. When a competent authority delegates or subcontracts tasks, the authorised representative or subcontractor shall be required, in performing such tasks, to comply with the obligations imposed on the competent authorities by this Directive.

7. When a competent authority delegates or subcontracts tasks, it shall set up a system for checking whether these tasks have been carried out, which will enable it to make sure that the conditions laid down in paragraphs 3 and 4 are being complied with.

8. The testing of professional knowledge relating to the rolling stock and infrastructures shall be carried out by the railway undertaking.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 18

Accreditation and recognition

Tasks may be delegated under Article 17 only to persons or bodies already accredited by the competent authority or by an accreditation body appointed by the Member State. The accreditation process shall be based on the **criteria of competence, independence, impartiality and absence of any conflict of interest** and on the evaluation of a dossier submitted by candidates which provides appropriate evidence of their skills in the area in question.

The **Member State shall ensure publication and updating of** a register of persons and bodies accredited under this Directive.

Without prejudice to paragraph 1, a Member State may stipulate that a person or body recognised under this Directive must be recognised by the competent authority or a body designated by the Member State. Recognition shall be based on criteria of independence, skill, and impartiality. However, where a very rare special skill is involved, an exception may be made to that rule subject to a favourable opinion delivered by the Commission in accordance with the procedure provided for in Article 31.

Article 19

Decisions of the competent authority

1. The competent authority shall state the reasons for its decisions.
2. The competent authority shall set up an administrative appeals procedure allowing employers and train drivers to request a review of a decision relating to any application as referred to in Article 12 or any withdrawal as referred to in Article 16(2).
3. Member States shall take the necessary steps to ensure *juridical review* of the decisions taken by a competent authority.

Article 20

Registers and exchange of information

1. The competent authorities shall be required to:
 - a) keep a register of all licences issued, expiring, amended, suspended, cancelled or reported lost or destroyed. This register shall contain the particulars of every licence, which can be called up using the national number allotted to each *train* driver. It shall be regularly updated;
 - b) supply information on the status of such licences to the competent authorities of the other Member States, the Agency or any employer of *train* drivers who wishes to know or to check certain particulars during a recruitment procedure.
2. The railway undertakings shall be required to:
 - a) keep a register of all harmonised complementary certificates issued, expiring, amended, suspended, cancelled or reported lost or destroyed. This register shall contain the particulars of every certificate, as well as the particulars relating to the periodic checks provided for in Article 14. It shall be regularly updated;
 - b) cooperate with the competent authority of the State where they are domiciled in order to interconnect its register with that of the competent authority so as to give it immediate access to the particulars required;
 - c) supply information on the status of such certificates to the competent authorities of the other Member States.
3. The competent authorities shall cooperate with the Agency in order to ensure the interoperability of the registers. To this end the Commission shall adopt, *in accordance with* the procedure referred to in Article 31(2), and on the basis of a draft prepared by the Agency, the basic parameters of the registers to be set up, such as the particulars to be recorded, their format and the data exchange protocol.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. The competent authorities shall make sure that the registers which they set up under paragraph 1 and the modes of operation of such registers comply with Directive 95/46/EC.

5. The Agency shall make sure that the system set up under paragraph 2(a) and (b) complies with Regulation (EC) No 45/2001.

6. Train drivers must have access at all times to the data concerning them stored in the registers of competent authorities and of railway undertakings and must be provided with a copy of that data on request.

CHAPTER V

TRAINING OF TRAIN DRIVERS

Article 21

Training

1. The procedure to be followed with a view to obtaining the licence provided for in Article 12(1) shall include the training programme needed to comply with the professional qualification requirements referred to in Article 11.

The objectives of this training are defined in Annex V. They may be supplemented:

- a) either by the relevant technical specifications for interoperability;
- b) or by the criteria proposed by the Agency pursuant to Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 881/2004 and adopted by the Commission in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 31(2).

2. The procedure to be followed to obtain the harmonised certificate is specific to each railway undertaking. The objectives of the training programme are set out in Article 11 and, more particularly, in Annexes VI and VII.

3. Pursuant to Article 13 of Directive 2004/49/EC, Member States shall take steps to ensure that train drivers have fair and non-discriminatory access to the training needed to fulfil the conditions for obtaining the licence and the complementary harmonised certificate.

Article 22

Financing of training

Railway undertakings and infrastructure managers shall be contractually responsible for professional training, both basic training and in-service training.

A railway undertaking or infrastructure manager employing a train driver whose training has been funded in whole or in part by another railway undertaking or infrastructure manager which the train driver has left voluntarily after less than five years' employment shall refund to that undertaking or infrastructure manager the cost of that training.

The detailed rules for implementing this provision shall be established on the basis of a recommendation drawn up by the Agency in the framework of Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 881/2004.

Article 23

Examinations

The examinations and examiners intended for the purpose of checking the requisite professional qualifications shall be determined when laying down the procedure to be followed to obtain the licence provided for in Article 12(1) and the harmonised complementary certificate. These examinations shall be overseen by selection boards made up of **examiners who are competent, independent, impartial and free from any conflict of interest. Examiners checking the competences required for a licence shall be accredited in accordance with Article 18.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

The choice of examiners and examinations shall be governed by Community criteria proposed by the Agency and adopted by the Commission under the procedure laid down in Article 31(2). In the absence of such Community criteria, the competent authorities shall establish national criteria.

CHAPTER VI

ASSESSMENT

Article 24

Quality standards

The competent authorities shall ensure that all tasks associated with training, assessment of skills, updating of licences and complementary certificates are the subject of continuous monitoring under a quality standards system designed to guarantee the achievement of the objectives set out in this Directive with regard to basic requirements and professional qualifications.

Article 25

Independent assessment

1. An independent assessment of the procedures for the acquisition and assessment of knowledge, understanding, skills and competence, and of the system for the issuing of licences and harmonised complementary certificates, shall be carried out in each Member State at intervals of not more than five years. The assessment shall be carried out by qualified persons who are not themselves involved in the activities concerned.

2. The results of these independent assessments shall be duly documented and brought to the attention of the competent authorities concerned. If need be, Member States shall take appropriate measures to remedy any shortcomings brought to light by the independent assessment.

CHAPTER VII

CERTIFICATION OF TRAIN CREWS

Article 26

Provisions applicable to train crews

All members of the train crew shall be in possession of a certificate issued by a competent authority in accordance with the provisions of this Article.

By 1 January 2009, the Agency shall consider the profiles and tasks of train crew members in the light of their respective effects on transport safety. The Agency shall, in the framework of Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 881/2004, draw up recommendations concerning the certification of train crews consistent with this Directive and with uniform European qualification standards.

On the basis of these recommendations, the Commission shall adopt a certification scheme for train crews in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 31(2). Once adopted, the scheme shall become an integral part of this Directive and shall be set out in an annex to it.

Until the scheme is adopted, the relevant TSIs adopted pursuant to Directives 96/48/EC and 2001/16/EC shall apply to train crews.

In the absence of such Community rules, the competent authorities shall establish and publish the minimum requirements to be met.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

CHAPTER VIII

INSPECTIONS AND PENALTIES

Article 27

State controls

1. The competent authority may at any time take steps to verify, on board trains operating in its area of jurisdiction, that the driving personnel are in possession of the documents issued pursuant to this Directive.
2. Notwithstanding verification as provided for in paragraph 1, *train* drivers may be required to demonstrate their competence in the event of negligence at the workplace. Such a demonstration may consist, in the main, in verifying compliance with the requirements set out in Article 11(3) and (4).
3. The competent authority may carry out administrative enquiries regarding compliance with this Directive by *train* drivers, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers, assessors and training centres pursuing their activities in their areas of jurisdiction.
4. If a competent authority considers that a licence issued by a competent authority in another Member State fails to comply with the relevant criteria, it may approach that authority and request either that a further inspection be carried out or that the licence be withdrawn. The authority that issued the licence in question shall undertake to examine the request within three weeks and to notify the other authority of its decision.
5. If a competent authority considers that a complementary certificate fails to comply with the relevant criteria, it may approach the railway undertaking and request either that a further inspection be carried out or that the complementary certificate be withdrawn.
6. If a Member State considers that a decision taken by a competent authority in another Member State pursuant to paragraph 4 fails to comply with the relevant criteria, the matter shall be referred to the Commission which shall deliver its opinion within three months. If necessary, corrective measures shall be proposed to the Member State concerned. In the event of disagreement or dispute, the matter shall be referred to the Committee mentioned in *Article 31(1)*, and the Commission shall take whatever measures are necessary in accordance with the procedure referred to in *Article 31(2)*.

Article 28

Penalties

The Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate, non-discriminatory and dissuasive. The Member States shall notify the Commission of those provisions by the date specified in *Article 34* at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

CHAPTER IX

FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 29

Derogations

Member States may exclude from the measures they adopt in implementation of this Directive:

- a) metros, trams and other light rail systems;
- b) networks that are operationally separate from the rest of the rail system and are intended only for the operation of local, urban or suburban passenger services and undertakings operating solely on those networks;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) privately owned railway infrastructure that exists solely for use by the infrastructure owners for their own freight operations;
- d) **sections of track that are closed to normal traffic for the purpose of maintaining, renewing or upgrading railway systems.**

Article 30

Amendments to the annexes

The Annexes shall be adapted to scientific and technical progress, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 31(2), **whilst fully respecting the procedures and powers conferred by Regulation (EC) No 881/2004, in particular Articles 3, 4, 6, 12 and 17 thereof.**

The social partners shall be involved in this adaptation process in the context of the sectoral dialogue committee established by Decision 98/500/EC⁽¹⁾.

Article 31

Committee

1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee set up by Article 21 of Directive 96/48/EC.
2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, the regulatory procedure laid down in Articles 5 and 7 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, in compliance with Article 8 thereof.

The period provided for in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be three months.

3. The Committee shall adopt its rules of procedure.

Article 32

Report

The Agency shall evaluate the development of the certification of train drivers in accordance with this Directive **and other developments in the Member States concerning the management of the competence of train drivers.** It shall submit to the Commission, not later than **1 January 2009**, a report containing, where appropriate, improvements to be made to the system as regards the procedures for issuing licences and harmonised complementary certificates, the accreditation of training centres and assessors, the quality system put in place by the competent authorities, the mutual recognition of certificates and mobility in the employment market.

The Commission shall take appropriate measures on the basis of these recommendations and shall propose, if necessary, changes to this Directive.

Article 33

Cooperation

Member States shall assist one another in the implementation of this Directive.

⁽¹⁾ **Commission Decision 98/500/EC of 20 May 1998 on the establishment of Sectoral Dialogue Committees promoting the Dialogue between the social partners at European level** (OJ L 225, 12.8.1998, p. 27). Decision last amended by the Act of Accession of 2003.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 34

Transposition

1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by **31 December 2006** at the latest. They shall forthwith *inform* the Commission thereof.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the essential provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive, *together with a table showing how the provisions of this Directive correspond to the national provisions adopted.*

Article 35

Gradual phasing-in

1. This Directive shall be phased in gradually in stages as indicated below.
 - (a) During the first stage (2006-2008), Member States shall transpose this Directive into national law. The registers mentioned in Article 20 shall be set up.
 - (b) ***With effect from 1 January 2007***, an initial group of train drivers shall be certified in accordance with this Directive; the group in question shall consist of train drivers ***who drive locomotives and trains for which the right of access to the Community's rail network derives from Directive 91/440/EEC or who drive passenger trains operated pursuant to cross-border cooperation arrangements concluded between undertakings.***
 - (c) ***With effect from 1 January 2009 and in accordance with the certification scheme to be adopted by the Commission in accordance with Article 26***, train crew members shall be certified in accordance with this Directive.
 - (d) ***With effect from 1 January 2010***, all other train drivers shall be certified in accordance with this Directive.
2. ***At the request of any Member State, the Commission shall ask the Agency to carry out, in consultation with the Member State so requesting, a cost / benefit analysis of the application of this Directive to train drivers and train crews operating exclusively on the territory of that Member State. The cost / benefit analysis shall cover a period of 10 years and shall be submitted to the Commission by the end of the stage mentioned in paragraph 1(a).***

If the cost / benefit analysis shows that the costs of applying this Directive to such train drivers and train crews outweigh the benefits, the Commission shall, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 31(2), adopt a decision within 6 months of submission of the cost / benefit analysis. That decision may exempt such train drivers and train crews from the application of paragraph 1(c) and (d) for up to 10 years.

3. A transition period shall be *established, being* the period commencing on the date of entry into force of this Directive and ending two years following the Decision on the basic parameters contained in the registers, as provided for in Article 20. During the transition period, Member States may certify train drivers in accordance with the provisions which applied prior to the entry into force of this Directive in the case of train drivers who were working in their profession or who started an approved education and training programme or an approved training course prior to the entry into force of this Directive.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. Member States shall replace, before 2015, all certificates issued prior to the entry into force of this Directive and during the above transition period by licences and harmonised complementary certificates in conformity with this Directive, **without prejudice to paragraph 2.**

5. All *train* drivers duly certified in accordance with the provisions which applied prior to the entry into force of this Directive may continue to pursue their professional activities until 2010 in the case of cross-border services, and until 2015 in other cases. This Directive does not confer any mutual recognition rights on the relevant certificates, albeit without prejudice to the general mutual recognition scheme set up under Directive 92/51/EEC which shall continue to apply until the end of the transition period.

6. **The Agency may at any time** examine the possibility of using a smartcard instead of the licence and harmonised complementary certificate provided for in Article 4. Such a smartcard would have the advantage of combining these two items in one and at the same time could be used for other applications either in the area of security or for *train* driver management purposes. Where appropriate, the Commission shall adopt, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 31(2) and on the basis of a draft prepared by the Agency, the technical and operating specifications for such a smartcard.

Article 36

Entry into force

This Directive shall enter in force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Communities.

Article 37

Addressees

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Strasbourg, ...

For the European
The President

Parliament For the Council
The President

ANNEX I

COMMUNITY MODEL LICENCE AND COMPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE

1. **Characteristics of the licence**

The physical characteristics of the train driver's licence must be in conformity with ISO standards 7810 and 7816-1.

The methods for verifying the characteristics of the driving licences to ensure that they are consistent with international standards must comply with ISO standard 10373.

2. **Contents of the licence**

The front of the licence shall contain:

- a) the words 'Train driver's licence' printed in large type in the language or languages of the Member State issuing the licence;
- b) the name of the Member State issuing the licence (optional);

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- c) the distinguishing sign of the Member State issuing the licence in accordance with the country's ISO 3166 code, printed in negative in a blue rectangle and encircled by 12 yellow stars;
- d) information specific to the certificate issued, numbered as follows:
 - 1. the surname of the holder;
 - 2. other name(s) of the holder;
 - 3. the date and place of birth of the holder;
 - 4. a. the date of issue of the licence;
b. the date of expiry of the licence;
c. the name of the issuing authority;
 - 5. the number of the certificate giving access to data in the national register;
 - 6. a photograph of the holder;
 - 7. the signature of the holder;
 - 8. the permanent place of residence or postal address of the holder (optional);
- e) the words 'European Communities model' in the language or languages of the Member State issuing the licence and the words 'Train driving licence' in the other languages of the Community, printed in yellow to form the background of the licence;
- f) the reference colours:
 - blue: Pantone Reflex blue,
 - yellow: Pantone yellow.

3. Harmonised complementary certificate

The harmonised complementary certificate shall contain:

- 9. the name and address of the railway undertakings for which the *train* driver is authorised to drive trains;
- 10. the categories in which the holder is entitled to drive;
- 11. the type of rolling stock which the holder is authorised to drive;
- 12. the infrastructure on which the holder is authorised to drive;
- 13. any additional information or restrictions.

4. Data contained in national registers

(a) Data relating to the licence:

- 14. Data relating to checking the requirements set out in Article 8;
- 15. Data relating to checking the requirements set out in Article 9;
- 16. Data relating to checking the requirements set out in Article 10;
- 17. Data relating to checking the requirements set out in Article 11(1) and (2);
- 18. Data relating to periodic checks — Article 14.

(b) Data relating to the harmonised complementary certificate:

- 19. Data relating to the railway undertaking (authorised rolling stock — Article 11(3), authorised services, training relating to the safety management system);
 - 20. Data relating to checking the requirements set out in Article 11(4): authorised infrastructure (refer to the register of infrastructure provided for in Article 24 of Directives 96/48/EC and 2001/16/EC);
 - 21. Data relating to periodic checks — Article 14.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX II

DUTIES OF TRAIN DRIVERS

1. Before departure, carrying out the prescribed checks in particular in relation to the functioning and load capacity of the vehicle.
2. Helping to check the effectiveness of the brakes.
3. Driving locomotives in a proper and safe manner with due regard to signals, speed limits and schedules.
4. Operating the safety deadman circuit and the train controlling system, and observing and operating instruments.
5. Recognising and identifying technical and operational faults and unusual incidents in good time, and, when required, inspecting carriages and wagons for damage and defects, protecting the train and summoning assistance.
6. Rectifying minor faults and taking steps to initiate repair work in the event of more serious faults to vehicles.
7. Shunting the train safely.
8. Dealing with routine records and submitting concise written, oral or computerised reports on unusual incidents.

ANNEX III

BASIC REQUIREMENTS

1. **Qualifications**

- at least nine years' secondary education, followed by two to three years' post-secondary education in technical professions or in an apprenticeship or in commercial professions, or
- at least 12 years' education.

2. **Minimum content of the examination before appointment**

2.1 *Medical examinations*

- a general medical examination;
- examinations of sensory functions (vision, hearing, colour perception);
- blood or urine tests to detect diabetes mellitus and other conditions as indicated by the clinical examination;
- an ECG at rest;
- tests for illegal drugs.

2.2 *Psychological examinations*

The purpose of the psychological examinations is to assist the railway undertaking in the appointment and management of staff who have the cognitive, psychomotor, behavioural and personality skills to perform their duties safely.

In determining the content of the psychological examination, the psychologist must, as a minimum, take into account the following criteria which are relevant to the requirements of each safety function:

- Cognitive: attention and concentration; memory; perception; reasoning; communication;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- Psychomotor: reaction time, hand coordination;
- Behavioural and personality: emotional self-control, behavioural reliability, autonomy, conscientiousness.

If the psychologist omits any of the above criteria, this decision must be justified and documented.

3. Periodic examinations after appointment

In addition to the frequency indicated in Article 14(1), the occupational physician must increase the frequency of examinations if the health of the member of staff so requires.

3.1 Minimum content of the periodic medical examination after appointment

If the worker complies with the criteria required for the examination which is carried out before appointment, the specialised periodic examinations must include as a minimum:

- a general medical examination;
- an examination of sensory functions (vision, hearing, colour perception);
- blood or urine tests to detect diabetes mellitus and other conditions as indicated by the clinical examination;
- tests for illegal drugs where clinically indicated.

In addition, an ECG at rest is also required for train drivers over 40 years of age.

3.2 Validation of physical fitness

Physical fitness shall be checked regularly and after any occupational accident **or any period of leave following an accident involving persons**. The occupational physician or the medical service of the undertaking can decide to carry out an additional medical examination, particularly after a period of 30 days' sick leave. The employer can ask the **accredited** physician to check the physical fitness of the *train* driver if the employer had to withdraw the *train* driver from service for safety reasons.

At no time during their service must *train* drivers be under the influence of any substance which is likely to affect their concentration, attention or behaviour.

4. Medical requirements

4.1 General requirements

Staff must not be suffering from any medical conditions or be taking any medication which is likely to cause:

- a sudden loss of consciousness;
- a reduction in attention or concentration;
- sudden incapacity;
- a loss of balance or coordination;
- significant limitation of mobility.

4.2 Vision

The following requirements as regards vision must be complied with:

- aided or unaided distance visual acuity: 0,8; minimum of 0,3 for the worst eye;
- maximum corrective contact lenses: hypermetropia +5/myopia -8. Derogations are authorised in exceptional cases and after having obtained the opinion of an eye specialist. The occupational physician then takes the decision;
- near and intermediate vision: sufficient, whether aided or unaided;
- contact lenses are authorised;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- normal colour vision: use of a recognised test, such as Ishihara, as well as another recognised test if required;
- field of vision: full;
- vision for both eyes: effective;
- binocular vision: effective;
- sensitivity to contrasts: good;
- no progressive eye diseases;
- lens implants, keratotomies and keratectomies are allowed only on condition that they are checked on a yearly basis or at intervals set by the occupational physician.

4.3 *Hearing requirements*

Sufficient hearing confirmed by an audiogram, i.e.:

- hearing good enough to hold a phone conversation and to be able to hear warning sounds and radio messages.

The following values should be taken as guidelines:

- the hearing deficiency must not be higher than 40 dB at 500 and 1 000 Hz;
- the hearing deficiency must not be higher than 45 dB at 2 000 Hz for the ear with the worst air conduction of sound.

4.4 *Pregnancy*

In the event of poor tolerance or a pathological condition, pregnancy must be considered to be a reason for the temporary exclusion of *train* drivers. The occupational physician (as defined above) must ensure that the legal provisions protecting pregnant workers are applied.

4.5 *Special health criteria for train drivers*

4.5.1 *Vision*

- aided or unaided distance visual acuity: 1,2; at least 0,5 for the worst eye;
- ability to withstand dazzle;
- coloured contact lenses and photochromatic lenses are not allowed. UV filter lenses are allowed.

4.5.2 *Hearing and speaking requirements*

- no anomaly of the vestibular system.
- no chronic speech disorder (given the necessity to exchange messages loudly and clearly);
- no use of hearing *aids*.

5. *Language tests*

Staff responsible for controlling rail traffic must be able to use the messages and communication method described in the 'Operations' TSI.

Train drivers and other staff of railway undertakings who have to communicate with the infrastructure manager on critical safety issues must have language skills in the language indicated by the infrastructure manager concerned. Their language skills must be such that they can communicate actively and effectively in routine, adverse and emergency situations.

ANNEX IV

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

General programme and training method

1. Introduction

The content and organisation of the training courses must cover:

- an introduction to the railway undertaking and the post concerned, including first aid and health and safety at work;
- operational rules, traffic safety regulations;
- engineering (railway infrastructure and rolling stock) with emphasis on signalling, braking systems and train control systems, train preparation and fault detection and repairs. Decision-making about the fitness for service of the locomotive;
- communication; training in loudspeaker usage as part of customer service;
- acquisition of driving skills: accompanying an experienced *train* driver, driving under supervision, simulator, independent driving;
- local conditions and route knowledge acquisition; this training may take place after qualifying when the train driver is assigned to a particular area of duty;
- incidents and abnormal situations: coping under stress and in conflict situations;
- fire-fighting.

The skills required are divided into three parts:

- The 'general' part, details of which are given in Annex V;
- The part relating to rolling stock, details of which are given in Annex VI;
- The part relating to infrastructure, details of which are given in Annex VII.

2. Training method

There should be a good balance between theoretical training (classroom and demonstrations) and practical training (on-the-job experience, driving with and without supervision).

Computer-aided training is accepted for individual learning of the operational rules, signalling situations, etc. However, simulators of the latest generation should be used.

The use of simulators may be useful for the effective training of *train* drivers; they are particularly useful for reducing the driving time on the infrastructure, training to deal with abnormal situations and further training on new types of locomotives.

Concerning the acquisition of route knowledge, the approach to be favoured is where the train driver accompanies another *train* driver for a number of journeys along the route, both in daylight and at night. Videos of the routes as seen from the driver's cab can be used as an alternative training method.

3. Examination

There must be theoretical and practical examinations at the end of the training course.

Assessment of driving ability is normally made in driving tests on the network. Simulators may also be used for examining the application of operational rules and the *train* driver's performance in particularly difficult situations.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

ANNEX V

EXAMINATION ON GENERAL PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE

General matters

The general training has the following objectives:

- acquiring the knowledge and procedures regarding of railway technologies, including safety and operational regulations,
- acquiring knowledge and procedures regarding the risks related to railway operation and the various means to be used to combat them,
- acquiring knowledge and procedures regarding one or more railway operating modes,
- acquiring knowledge and procedures regarding one or more types of rolling stock.

In particular, *train* drivers must be able to:

- understand the specific requirements for working in the profession of *train* driver, its importance, and the professional and personal demands (long periods of work, being away from home, etc),
- apply staff safety rules,
- identify traction units,
- know and apply a working method in a precise manner,
- identify the reference and applications documents (manual of procedures and manual of lines as defined in the 'Operations' TSI, driver's manual, breakdown manual, etc),
- adopt a lifestyle which is compatible with the profession of a safety operative,
- identify the procedures applicable to accidents involving persons,
- distinguish the hazards involved in railway operations in general,
- know the principles governing traffic safety,
- apply the principles of electrotechnology.

ANNEX VI

EXAMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ROLLING STOCK

After completing specific training on rolling stock, *train* drivers must be able to carry out the following tasks.

1. Tests and checks prior to departure

Train drivers must be able to:

- perform a route check and consult the relevant documents,
- collect the documentation and the necessary equipment,
- check the capacities of the traction unit,
- check the information entered in the documents on board the traction unit,
- ensure, by performing the checks and tests specified, that the traction unit is capable of providing the required traction power, and that the safety equipment is operating,
- perform any routine preventive maintenance operations.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Knowledge of rolling stock

To operate a locomotive, *train* drivers must be familiar with all the controls and indicators placed at their disposal, in particular those concerning:

- traction,
- braking,
- traffic safety-related elements.

In order to detect and locate anomalies in the rolling stock, report them and determine what is required to repair them, and in certain cases, to take action, *train* drivers must be familiar with ⁽¹⁾:

- the constituent parts of the rolling stock, their purpose, and the devices specific to the hauled stocks, in particular the system of stopping the train by venting the brake pipe,
- the meaning of markings on the inside and outside of the rolling stock, in particular the symbols used for the transportation of dangerous goods,
- the parts specific to traction units ⁽²⁾.

3. Testing the brakes

Train drivers must be able to:

- check, before departure, that the train's actual braking power corresponds to the braking power required for the line as specified in the vehicle documents,
- check the functioning of the traction unit's brakes before departure, at start-up and during running.

4. Operating mode and maximum speed of the train in relation to the line characteristics

Train drivers must be able to:

- take note of information given to them before departure,
- determine the type of running and the maximum speed of the train on the basis of variables such as speed limits or any signalling changes.

5. Driving the train in a way which does not damage installations or vehicles

Train drivers must be able to:

- use all available control systems in accordance with the applicable rules,
- start the train taking account of adhesion and power constraints,
- know the train's position on the line at all times,
- apply the brakes for decelerations and stops, taking account of the rolling stock and installations.

6. Anomalies

Train drivers must be able to:

- be attentive to unusual occurrences concerning the behaviour of the train,
- identify signs of anomalies, distinguish between them and react according to their relative importance, always giving priority to the safety of rail traffic and persons,

⁽¹⁾ In particular:

- mechanical structures
- braking system
- suspension and attachment equipment
- running gear
- safety equipment.

⁽²⁾ In particular:

- collection of current and high-voltage systems
- tanks, fuel supply system, exhaust equipment
- traction chain, motors and transmission
- communication equipment (ground-to-train radio, etc.).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- know the available means of protection and communication,
- inspect the train to detect any minor anomalies,
- try to remedy such anomalies.

7. **Operating incidents and accidents, fires and accidents involving persons**

Train drivers must be able to:

- take steps to protect the train and summon assistance in the event of an accident involving persons on board the train,
- determine whether the train is transporting dangerous goods and identify them on the basis of train documents and wagon lists.

8. **Conditions for continuing running after an accident involving rolling stock**

After an incident, *train drivers* must be able to:

- decide if the vehicle can continue to run and under what conditions,
- inform the infrastructure manager of those conditions as soon as possible.

9. **Immobilisation of the train**

Train drivers must be able to take measures to ensure that the train does not start up unexpectedly, even in the most difficult conditions.

ANNEX VII

EXAMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

Matters relating to infrastructure

1. *Testing the brakes*

Train drivers must be able to check, before departure, that the train's actual braking power corresponds to the braking power required for the line as specified in the vehicle documents.

2. *Type of operation and maximum train speed according to the line characteristics*

Train drivers must be able to:

- take note of information given to them before departure, such as the speed limits or any signalling changes,
- determine the type of running and the maximum speed of the train on the basis of the characteristics of the line.

3. *Knowledge of the line*

Train drivers must be able to anticipate problems and react appropriately in terms of safety and performance. They must therefore have a thorough knowledge of the railway lines and installations on their route and of any equivalent routes agreed on.

The following aspects are important:

- operational conditions (changes of track, one-way running, etc.),
- identification of tracks that can be used for a given type of running,
- the operations regime,
- the block system and associated regulations,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- station names and the position and distance-sighting of stations and signal boxes to adapt driving accordingly,
- transition signalling between different operating or power supply systems,
- speed limits for the different train categories driven,
- topographical profiles,
- particular braking conditions, for example on lines with a steep downward gradient,
- particular operating features: special signals, signs, departure conditions, etc.

4. *Safety regulations*

Train drivers must be able to:

- start the train only when all prescribed conditions are fulfilled (timetable, start order or signal, operation of signals if required, etc.),
- observe track-side or in-cab signals, interpret them immediately and without error, and act as specified,
- run the train safely according to the specific modes of operation: apply special modes if instructed, temporary speed restrictions, running in opposite direction, permission to overrun signals at danger, switching operations, turns, running through construction sites, etc.,
- respect scheduled or supplementary stops, and if necessary perform supplementary operations for passengers during these stops, notably opening and closing the doors.

5. *Driving the train*

Train drivers must:

- know the train's position on the line at all times,
- apply the brakes for decelerations and stops, taking account of the rolling stock and installations,
- adjust the running of the train in accordance with the timetable and any orders given on saving energy, taking account of the characteristics of the traction unit, the train, the line and the environment.

6. *anomalies*

Train drivers must be able to:

- be attentive, insofar as train operation permits, to unusual occurrences concerning the infrastructure and the environment: signals, tracks, energy supply, level crossings, track surrounding, other traffic,
- be attentive to unusual occurrences concerning the behaviour of the train,
- know particular distances to clear obstacles,
- inform the infrastructure manager as soon as possible of the place and nature of anomalies observed, making sure that the information has been understood,
- ensure or take measures to ensure the safety of traffic and persons, whenever necessary.

7. *Operating incidents and accidents, fires and accidents involving persons*

Train drivers must be able to:

- take steps to protect the train and summon assistance in the event of an accident involving persons,
 - determine where to stop the train in the event of a fire and facilitate the evacuation of passengers, if necessary,
 - provide useful information on the fire as soon as possible if the fire cannot be brought under control by the *train* driver acting alone,
 - after an incident, decide if the rolling stock can continue to run and under which conditions,
 - inform the infrastructure manager of these conditions as soon as possible.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0356

International rail passengers' rights and obligations ***I

European Parliament legislative resolution on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on International Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations (COM(2004)0143 — C6-0003/2004 — 2004/0049(COD))

(Codecision procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to the European Parliament and the Council (COM(2004)0143) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Article 251(2) and Article 71(1) of the EC Treaty, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C6-0003/2004),
 - having regard to Rule 51 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0123/2005),
1. Approves the Commission proposal as amended;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend the proposal substantially or replace it with another text;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council and Commission.

⁽¹⁾ Not yet published in OJ.

P6_TC1-COD(2004)0049

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 28 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of European Parliament and Council Regulation (EC) No .../2005 on Rail Passengers' Rights and Obligations

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 71(1) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ⁽²⁾,

Acting in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽³⁾,

Whereas:

- (1) In the framework of the common transport policy, it is important to safeguard the quality of rail services and users' rights **for rail** passengers and to improve the quality and effectiveness **of rail** passenger services in order to *help increase the* share of rail transport in relation to other modes of transport.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 221, 8.9.2005, p. 8.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 71, 22.3.2005, p. 26.

⁽³⁾ Position of the European Parliament of 28 September 2005.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (2) The Consumer Policy Strategy 2002-2006 ⁽¹⁾ seeks to achieve a high level of consumer protection in the field of transport, and to apply consumer protection in the field of transport as provided by Article 153 (2) of the Treaty.
- (3) ***The Convention concerning International Carriage by Rail (COTIF) of 9 May 1980 currently in force includes Uniform Rules concerning the Contract for International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Rail (CIV - Appendix A to the Convention). The COTIF has been amended by the Vilnius Protocol of 3 June 1999. The accession of the Community to the COTIF will be possible once the Vilnius Protocol has entered into force. It is important that this Regulation should take account of what is already provided for in the CIV. However, it is desirable to protect not only international passengers but domestic passengers too. For reasons of legal certainty it is essential, wherever the CIV already contains provisions, to avoid incorporating those provisions verbatim into this Regulation.***
- (4) User's rights for rail services include the receipt of information regarding the service before, during and after the journey.
- (5) The rail passenger is the weaker party to the transport contract, and the passenger's rights in this respect needs to be safeguarded.
- (6) Computerised systems used for selling rail passenger tickets can, if properly used, provide an important and useful service to rail passengers. It is therefore necessary to facilitate access to such systems on a non-discriminatory basis.
- (7) Railway undertakings should cooperate to facilitate transfer from one network to another as well as from one operator to another and by this cooperation **promote** the provision of integrated tickets to rail passengers.
- (8) In order to ensure that **the rail** passenger will benefit from the rules laid down in this Regulation, the railway undertakings offering rail passenger services should cooperate. This cooperation should be open on non-discriminatory terms to any railway undertaking offering a rail passenger service.
- (9) Rail passenger services should benefit **all** citizens in general. **All passengers, including passengers with a disability or other passengers with reduced mobility, have a right to equal opportunities for rail travel free from discrimination.**
- (10) ***Railway undertakings and station managers should always take account of all possible problems for people with reduced mobility, so that, when purchasing new rolling stock or making alterations to stations, information and assistance facilities and the overall accessibility of the railway network are systematically improved.***
- (11) A system of unlimited liability in case of death or injury to passengers is appropriate in the context of a safe and modern rail transport system.
- (12) The introduction of liability limits for loss of, or damage to, luggage and for damage occasioned by delay, missed connections or cancellation of a journey should lead to greater clarity and should provide incentives for **the rail** passenger market, to the benefit of the passengers.
- (13) It is desirable to relieve accident victims and their dependants of short-term financial concerns in the period immediately after the accident.
- (14) It is important that the rules of this Regulation apply even when the passenger transport is carried out by other modes, in particular by sea or inland waterway, as a part of the railway journey or because of temporary changes. This prevalence of liability of the railway operator is in line with international conventions, in particular Article 1 (3) of the CIV, the Vilnius Protocol, and Article 2 (2) of the Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea 2002.

(1) OJ C 137, 8.6.2002, p. 2.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- (15) In the case of travel provided by successive rail undertakings a passenger should be able to claim against any of the railway undertakings taking part in the transport.
- (16) It is in the interests of the rail passenger market that a high level of safety is maintained at stations as well as on board the train.
- (17) In the interests of other passengers and of the railway undertaking, a passenger needs to comply with certain rules of behaviour.
- (18) The effects of this Regulation should be reviewed, in particular, in relation to inflation and to developments of the level of competition on the relevant rail passenger markets.
- (19) This Regulation should be without prejudice to Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data ⁽¹⁾.
- (20) Member States should lay down penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation.
- (21) Since the objectives of the action to be taken, *that is* the development of the Community's railways and the introduction of passenger rights **in rail** traffic, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States **alone and** can, therefore, be better achieved at Community level, the Community may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.
- (22) The measures necessary for the implementation of this Regulation should be adopted in accordance with Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission ⁽²⁾,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1

Subject matter and Scope

1. This Regulation implements certain provisions of the Convention concerning International Carriage by Rail (COTIF) and contains a number of supplementary provisions.

2. This Regulation establishes rights and obligations for **all** rail passengers.

Public service contracts, too, must guarantee at least the level of protection provided for in this Regulation. Member States may provide for more extensive rights in national law or in public service contracts. For a period of five years from the entry into force of this Regulation, Member States may request a derogation from this provision. When adopting its decision on the derogation, the Commission shall take into account the situation and development of the rail market in the Member States concerned.

3. **If** the Community has concluded an agreement on rail transport with a third country, this Regulation shall also apply to international journeys to or from that country or in transit through **it**.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31. Directive amended by Regulation (EC) No 1882/2003 (OJ L 284, 31.10.2003, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 2

Definitions

For the purposes of this Regulation the following definitions shall apply:

- 1) 'railway undertaking' means any public or private undertaking licensed *in accordance with* applicable Community legislation, **the business** of which is **regularly** to provide services for the transport of passengers by rail and entailing the undertaking's provision of traction;
- 2) 'infrastructure manager' means any body or undertaking that is responsible in particular for establishing and maintaining railway infrastructure, or a part thereof, as defined in Article 3 of Council Directive 91/440/EEC of 29 July 1991 *on the development of the Community's railways* ⁽¹⁾, which may also include the management of infrastructure control and safety systems; *the functions* of the infrastructure manager on a network or part of a network may be allocated to different bodies or undertakings;
- 3) 'allocation body' means the organisation responsible for the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity;
- 4) 'charging body' means the organisation responsible for the charging for the use of the railway infrastructure capacity;
- 5) 'tour operator' means, an organiser or retailer other than a railway undertaking within the meaning of Article 2(2) and (3) of Council Directive 90/314/EEC of 13 June 1990 on package travel, package holidays and package tours ⁽²⁾;
- 6) 'main railway station' means a railway station **which the Member State concerned designates as such on account of its volume of traffic, international character and/or geographical location**;
- 7) 'station manager' means the organisational entity in a Member State, which has been made responsible for the management and/or maintenance of railway stations;
- 8) 'transport contract' means a contract between a railway undertaking and/or tour operator on the one hand and a passenger on the other hand for the provision of one or *more journeys* and, where applicable, one or more reservations, regardless *of the railway or other transport undertaking by which* the transport will be carried out and *whether it will be* subcontracted by the railway undertaking and/or tour operator that concludes the contract;
- 9) 'ticket' means a valid document serving as proof of the establishment of a transport contract and giving entitlement to transportation, or something equivalent in paperless form, including electronic form, issued or authorised by the railway undertaking;
- 10) '**season ticket**' means **a transport contract which permits the holder to travel on a regular basis for a certain period of time on a certain route**;
- 11) 'reservation' means **a contract between a passenger and a railway undertaking, in relation to which a ticket or other evidence is made out and issued to the passenger**;
- 12) 'through ticket' means a ticket or tickets representing a transport contract necessary to carry out an international journey from origin to destination using a number of services and/or railway undertakings;
- 13) 'international journey' means a passenger journey by rail whereby at least one internal Community border is crossed, and which can be effected by means of an international service and/or by means of a national service for the domestic part of the journey;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 237, 24.8.1991, p. 25. Directive last amended by the 2003 Act of Accession.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 158, 23.6.1990, p. 59.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- 14) 'international service' means a rail passenger service where the train crosses at least one internal Community border; the train may be joined and/or split and the different sections may have different origins and **destinations**;
- 15) '**delay**' means the time between **the** arrival scheduled in the timetable and real-time arrival of the service; **alterations to the timetable which are announced to passengers at least 48 hours in advance shall not be defined as delays**;
- 16) 'cancellation' means the suspension of a scheduled service **with the exception of services whose cancellation is announced to passengers at least 48 hours in advance**;
- 17) '**Computerised** Information and Reservation System for Rail Transport (CRST)' means a computerised system containing information about all passenger services offered by railway undertakings; the information stored in the CRST on passenger services includes information on:
 - a) schedules and timetables of passenger services;
 - b) availability of seats on passenger services;
 - c) fares and special conditions;
 - d) accessibility of trains for Persons with Reduced Mobility;
 - e) facilities through which reservations can be made or tickets may be issued to the extent that some or all of these facilities are made available to subscribers;
- 18) 'system vendor' means any entity and its affiliates which is or are responsible for the operation or marketing of Computerised Information and Reservation Systems for Rail Transport; **railway undertakings which support their own sales by means of Computerised Information and Reservation Systems are not system vendors as defined here**;
- 19) 'person with reduced *mobility*' means any person whose **independence, whose capacity for orientation or communication or whose** mobility is reduced due to physical, sensory or locomotory incapacity, to an intellectual impairment, age, illness, or any other cause of disability when using transport and whose situation needs special attention and the adaptation to a person's need of the service made available to all passengers;
- 20) 'successive railway undertaking' means a railway undertaking performing part of *an* international service;
- 21) 'substitute railway undertaking' means a railway undertaking which has not concluded the transport contract with the passenger but to whom the railway undertaking has entrusted, in whole or in part, the performance of the transport;
- 22) '**CIV**' means **the Uniform Rules concerning the Contract for International Carriage of Passengers and Luggage by Rail, as amended by the Vilnius Protocol, Appendix A to the COTIF**.

CHAPTER II

INFORMATION AND TICKETS

Article 3

Travel information

Railway undertakings and/or tour operators shall provide to the passenger **upon request** the information set out in Annex I **concerning the services which they offer**.

Information shall be **available** in a format **which is accessible and comprehensible and shall be provided free of charge**. **Particular attention shall be devoted to the needs of people with audio and/or visual impairment**.

Railway undertakings and tour operators shall be liable for the accuracy of the information provided in print or in electronic format concerning the services which they offer.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 4

Transport contract and tickets

1. By the transport contract the railway undertaking or railway undertakings shall undertake to transport the passenger as well as hand luggage and luggage to the place of destination. **They shall transport the bicycle of the passenger in all trains, including transborder and high speed trains, possibly on payment of a charge.** The contract must be confirmed by one or more tickets issued to the passenger. The tickets shall be considered prima facie evidence of the conclusion of the contract. **The provisions of Articles 6 and 7 of the CIV shall apply to transport contracts.**
2. **Without prejudice to paragraph 1,** railway undertakings shall issue tickets which contain at least the information listed in **Annex II.**

Article 5

Access to travel information systems

1. Without prejudice to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2299/89 of 24 July 1989 on a code of conduct for computer reservation systems⁽¹⁾, in particular Article 21 b thereof, paragraphs 2 to 7 below shall apply.
2. A system **vendor shall** allow any railway undertaking which submits a request for this the opportunity to participate, on an equal and non-discriminatory basis, in **distribution** facilities within the available capacity of the system concerned, subject to any technical constraints outside the control of the system vendor.
3. A system vendor shall not:
 - a) attach unreasonable conditions to any contract with a participating railway undertaking;
 - b) require the acceptance of supplementary conditions which, by their nature or according to commercial usage, have no connection with participation in its information system and shall apply the same conditions for the same level of service.
4. A system vendor shall not make it a condition of participation in its information system that a participating railway undertaking may not at the same time be a participant in another system.
5. A participating railway undertaking shall have the right to terminate its contract with a system vendor without penalty on giving at least six months' notice, expiring no earlier than the end of the first year.
6. Loading and processing facilities provided by the system vendor shall be offered to all participating railway undertakings without discrimination.
7. If the system vendor adds any improvement to the distribution facilities provided or the equipment used in the provision of the facilities, it shall offer these improvements to all participating railway undertakings on the same terms and conditions, subject to current technical limitations.

Article 6

Availability of Tickets, Through tickets and Reservations

1. **Railway** undertakings shall co-operate, without prejudice to the provisions of Articles 81, 82 and 86 of the Treaty, in order to offer to the passenger through tickets for international journeys. The co-operation shall be open to any railway undertaking on a non-discriminatory basis. Services performed for the provision of tickets shall be charged on a cost related basis. Railway undertakings shall notify the co-operation agreement(s) to the Commission one year after the entry into force of this Regulation.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 220, 29.7.1989, p. 1. Regulation last amended by Regulation (EC) No 323/1999 (OJ L 40, 13.2.1999, p. 1).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. **Tickets must** be distributed to passengers at least **via**:
 - a) ticket offices and, if available, **accessible** selling machines on all main railway stations or
 - b) telephone/**accessible** internet **sites** or any other widely available information technology without additional charges for the use of this distribution channel.
3. **Tickets issued in the framework of public service contracts must be distributed at least via**:
 - a) **ticket offices and, if available, accessible selling machines on all main railway stations and**
 - b) **telephone/accessible internet sites or any other widely available information technology without additional charges for the use of this distribution channel.**
4. **If there is no ticket office or selling machine in the railway station of departure, passengers must at least be informed in the railway station**:
 - a) **about the possibility of buying a ticket by telephone, through the internet or on the train and the procedures to follow;**
 - b) **about the nearest main railway station or place where ticket offices and/or selling machines are provided.**
5. **Unless, on the grounds of security, anti-fraud policy or compulsory train reservation, access to the train or the terminal is limited to holders of a valid ticket, railway undertakings shall offer the possibility of purchasing tickets on the train, in particular if the passenger was unable to purchase his ticket at the railway station of departure on any of the following grounds**:
 - a) **closed ticket offices;**
 - b) **deficient ticket machines;**
 - c) **absence of ticket offices or ticket machines in the station of departure;**
 - d) **absence of accessible ticket offices or accessible ticket machines where the passenger is a person with reduced mobility.**

The passenger must immediately inform the competent train staff.

Article 7

Technical Specifications for Interoperability

1. **In order to allow passengers to obtain the information referred to in Article 3 and to allow railway undertakings to issue tickets as referred to in Article 4 as well as through tickets and reservations as referred to in Article 6, paragraphs 2 to 4 below shall apply.**
2. **The Technical Specifications for Interoperability (TSI) referred to in Chapter II and Annex II(2.5)(a) (telematics applications for passengers) of Directive 2001/16/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2001 on the interoperability of the trans-European conventional rail system ⁽¹⁾ shall be applied for the purposes of this Regulation to all services offered by railway undertakings, including high-speed services.**
3. **One year after the adoption of this Regulation, the Commission shall, on a proposal to be submitted by the European Railway Agency, adopt the Technical Specifications for the Interoperability of telematics applications for passengers. The TSI shall enable the provision of the information in Annex I.**

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 110, 20.4.2001, p. 1. Directive last amended by Directive 2004/50/EC (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 114).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

4. Railway undertakings shall adapt their computerised information and reservation systems according to the requirements set out in the TSI at the latest one year after adoption of the TSI by the Commission.

CHAPTER III

LIABILITY AND COMPENSATION IN THE EVENT OF DEATH OR INJURY OF PASSENGERS

Article 8

Death and injury of passengers

1. The railway undertaking shall be liable in the event of death or bodily injury, whether physical or **psychological**, of a passenger, upon condition that the accident which caused the death or injury **was not due to natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism**.

2. **Even if the railway undertaking contests its responsibility for bodily injury to a passenger whom it conveys, it shall remain the passenger's sole interlocutor and the only entity from which the passenger may claim compensation, without prejudice to redress on grounds of liability which the railway undertaking may seek from third parties.**

3. The obligation of insurance set out in Article 9 of Council Directive 95/18/EC of 19 June 1995 on the licensing of railway undertakings ⁽¹⁾ as far as it relates to liability for passengers shall be understood as requiring that a railway undertaking shall be insured up to a level that is adequate to ensure that all persons entitled to compensation receive the full amount to which they are entitled in accordance with this Regulation.

The minimum insurance coverage per passenger shall be 310 000 Euro.

Article 9

Compensation in the event of death or injury of passengers

1. **The liability of a railway undertaking for damages sustained in the event of death or bodily injury of a passenger shall not be subject to any financial limit.**

2. **For damages arising under Article 8(1) not exceeding 120 000 Euro per passenger the railway undertaking shall not be able to exclude or limit its liability. Above that amount, the railway undertaking shall not be liable for damages if it proves that it was not negligent or otherwise at fault.**

3. **If, through the death of a passenger, persons to whom the deceased had, or would have had, a legal duty to maintain are deprived of their support, such persons shall also be compensated for that loss.**

Article 10

Advance payments

In the event of the death or injury of a passenger, the railway undertaking shall make an advance payment, to cover immediate economic needs, within 15 days from the identification of the person entitled to damages.

In the event of death this payment shall not be less than 19 000 Euro.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 143, 27.6.1995, p. 70. Directive last amended by Directive 2004/49/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 164, 30.4.2004, p. 44).

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

This advance payment shall not imply any acknowledgement of liability and may be offset against any amounts subsequently paid on account of the liability of the railway undertaking.

CHAPTER IV

LIABILITY AND COMPENSATION IN THE EVENT OF DAMAGE TO OR LOSS OF HAND LUGGAGE, OTHER LUGGAGE, VEHICLES AND ANIMALS

Article 11

Luggage, vehicles and animals

1. *Liability and compensation in the event of total or partial destruction or loss of or damage to hand luggage, other luggage, wheel-chairs, children's prams, bicycles or other vehicles and animals shall be governed by the provisions of the CIV, Chapter III, and in particular Articles 33 to 46 thereof.*
2. *Without prejudice to paragraph 1, the railway undertaking or station manager that is liable for compensation in the event of total or partial destruction or loss of or damage to mobility equipment/medical equipment belonging to a passenger with reduced mobility shall pay compensation equivalent, at the maximum, to the replacement value of the equipment. Where appropriate, the railway undertaking shall also offer the passenger temporary replacement equipment.*

CHAPTER V

LIABILITY, COMPENSATION AND ASSISTANCE IN THE EVENT OF DELAYS

Article 12

Delays

1. *The railway undertaking shall be liable for a delay, or a delay which results in a missed connection and/or cancellation of a service for passengers and/or the transport of luggage.*
2. *The railway undertaking shall not be liable for a delay, missed connection or cancellation of a service if it is due to:*
 - (a) *exceptional weather conditions, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism;*
 - (b) *circumstances outside the operation of the railway undertaking which the carrier, despite the duty of care required under the circumstances, could not prevent and whose consequences it could not prevent;*
 - (c) *the fault of the passenger, or*
 - (d) *the conduct of a third party, which the carrier, despite the duty of care required under the circumstances, could not prevent and whose consequences it could not prevent; another undertaking using the same railway infrastructure shall not be defined as a third party.*
3. *The railway undertaking and/or station manager shall also be liable for delays in the provision of assistance at the station or on board the train which lead a passenger with reduced mobility to miss a train at departure or miss a connection at arrival.*

Article 13

Compensation for delays

1. *The railway undertaking shall refund surcharges to the passenger if the services actually provided do not meet the criteria indicated (see Annex II, point 6) for surcharges.*

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Without losing the right of transport, a passenger may request compensation for delays from the railway undertaking if he is facing a delay. The minimum compensations for delays **shall be as follows:**

- 25 % in the event of a delay of 60 minutes or more;
- 50 % in the event of a delay of 120 minutes or more;
- 75 % in the event of a delay of 180 minutes or more.

3. **Passengers who hold a season ticket and who encounter recurrent delays or cancellations during the period of validity of their season ticket shall receive compensation if requested. This may be granted in various ways: free journeys, price reductions and extension of the period of validity of a season ticket.**

Railway undertakings shall determine in advance, in close consultation with representatives of users and with the authorities in connection with public service contracts, the criteria for punctuality and reliability of the service concerned which shall be used for the purposes of applying this paragraph.

4. The compensation referred to in paragraph 2 shall be granted within **one month** after the submission of the request for compensation. The compensation **can be granted** in vouchers and/or other services **if their terms are flexible (in particular regarding the validity period and destination). The compensation must be paid in money at the justified request of the passenger.**

5. The compensation referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3 shall not be reduced by financial transaction costs such as fees, telephone-costs or stamps. Railway undertakings may introduce a minimum threshold under which payments for compensation will not be paid. This threshold shall not exceed 4 Euro.

6. **This article shall apply without prejudice to a passenger's rights to further compensation. The compensation granted under this article may be deducted from such compensation.**

Article 14

Missed connections and cancellations

1. **The first priority for railway undertakings shall be to ensure connections and avoid cancellations by all available means.**

2. In the event of a delay, **including a delay in the provision of assistance to a person with reduced mobility by the railway undertaking**, leading to a missed connection or a cancellation of a service, paragraph 3 shall apply, except when the railway undertaking can prove that the service was **delayed or cancelled solely because of exceptional circumstances.**

3. When a railway undertaking is facing a delay that will lead to a missed connection or when, before the scheduled time of departure, a railway undertaking cancels or reasonably expects to cancel **a railway service**, it shall make every effort to inform the passengers **and to ensure that the final destination can be reached.**

At the very least the passengers shall be offered a choice between:

- a) reimbursement of the full cost of the ticket, under the conditions by which it was paid, for the part or parts of their journey not made and for the part or parts already made if **the journey** is no longer serving any purpose in relation to the passenger's original travel plan, together with, when relevant, a return service to the first point of departure at the earliest opportunity. The payment of the reimbursement shall be made under the same conditions as the payment for compensation referred to in Article 13(4) and (5); or

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- b) continuation or re-routing, under comparable transport conditions **granting an equivalent degree of accessibility**, to the final destination at the earliest opportunity, **possibly using more expensive trains, without extra costs**; or
- c) continuation or re-routing, under comparable transport conditions, to the final destination at a later **date**.

Article 15

Assistance

1. In *the event* of a delay, a delay leading to a missed connection or a cancellation of service, passengers shall be kept informed about the situation and the estimated departure time and estimated arrival time by the railway undertaking or by the station operator not later than ten minutes after the planned departure time or after the interruption of service.
2. In *the event* of a delay, a delay leading to a missed connection or a cancellation of service, passengers shall be offered free of charge:
 - a) meals and refreshments **where possible**; and/or
 - b) **overnight** accommodation, **unless this is not possible in the circumstances prevailing**, in cases where a stay of one or more nights becomes necessary or an additional stay becomes necessary; and/or
 - c) transport between the railway station and **such** place of accommodation (hotel or other); **or**
 - d) **when the rail service is suspended indefinitely for a reason other than the exceptional circumstances identified in Article 12(2)**, transport between the **affected** railway station and the final destination of the service **by** an alternate transport means.
3. Railway undertakings shall, at the request of the passenger, certify on the ticket that the rail service has suffered a delay, *has lead* to a missed connection or *has been cancelled*. **If a railway undertaking requires such certification, it must take the necessary measures to enable passengers to obtain it simply and quickly.**
4. In applying paragraphs 1 and 2, the operating railway undertaking shall pay particular attention to the needs of passengers with reduced mobility and any accompanying persons, as well as to the needs of unaccompanied children. **Information regarding delays or cancellations, hotel accommodation or alternative transport arrangements, reimbursement schemes, continuation or re-routing options must be communicated in a manner that is accessible. Accommodation or alternate transport arranged for passengers with reduced mobility by the railway undertaking must be accessible and adequate assistance in cases of delay or cancellation must be provided.**

CHAPTER VI

COMMON PROVISIONS

Article 16

Other modes of transport

Where railway vehicles are carried by ferry on **parts** of the journey **or where rail transport is temporary replaced by another mode of transport, Article 31 of the CIV shall apply.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 17

Successive railway undertakings

If **the journey** is performed by successive railway undertakings, the railway undertakings involved in the transport shall be jointly and severally liable in the event of death or personal injuries to the passenger, or the event of damage to or loss of luggage or of delays, delays leading to missed connections or cancellations.

Article 18

Substitute railway undertakings

Where a railway undertaking has entrusted the performance of the transport, in whole or in part, to a substitute railway undertaking, the railway undertaking shall nevertheless remain liable in respect of the entire transport **as provided for in Article 39 of the CIV**.

Article 19

Persons for whom the railway undertaking is responsible

The railway undertaking shall be liable for **persons as provided for in Article 51 of the CIV**.

Article 20

Basis of claims — aggregation of claims

In all cases where this Regulation applies, any action in respect of liability, on whatever grounds, may be brought against the railway undertaking only subject to the conditions and limitations laid down in this Regulation.

The same shall apply to any action brought against its staff or other persons for whom the railway undertaking is liable according to Article 19.

The aggregate amount of compensation payable by the railway undertaking, the substitute railway undertaking and their staff and other persons whose services they make use of for the performance of the transport shall not exceed the limits provided for in this Regulation.

Article 21

Limitation of actions

The period of limitation of actions shall be governed by Article 60 of the CIV.

Article 22

Right of recourse

Nothing in this Regulation shall prejudice the question whether a person liable for damage in accordance with its provisions has a right of recourse against any other person.

The railway undertaking shall have the right to claim compensation from the infrastructure manager to recover the compensation the railway undertaking has paid to the passengers. This infrastructure manager's liability shall be without prejudice to the application of the performance scheme laid down in Article 11 of Directive 2001/14/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2001 on the allocation of railway infrastructure capacity and the levying of charges for the use of railway infrastructure and safety certification ⁽¹⁾ **and shall be proportional to the price of the train path if no compensation system is provided for in the performance scheme.**

(1) OJ L 75, 15.3.2001, p. 29. Directive last amended by Directive 2004/49/EC.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 23

Exclusion of waiver and stipulation of limits

1. Obligations towards passengers pursuant to this Regulation shall not be limited or waived, notably by a derogation or restrictive clause in the transport contract.
2. Railway undertakings may offer contract conditions more favourable for the passenger than the minimum conditions laid down in this Regulation.

Article 24

Exoneration

If the railway undertaking proves that the damage was caused or contributed to by the negligence or other wrongful act or omission of the person claiming compensation, or the person from whom he derives his rights, the railway undertaking shall be wholly or partly exonerated from its liability to the claimant to the extent that such negligence or wrongful act or omission caused or contributed to the damage.

CHAPTER VII

PERSONS WITH REDUCED MOBILITY

Article 25

Prevention of refusal of transport

A railway undertaking and/or a tour operator shall not refuse, on the grounds of reduced mobility, to issue a ticket and reservation for a service departing from a main railway station.

Article 26

Special facilities on board trains

If passengers with reduced mobility require special facilities on board a train, which cannot be made available without very great additional effort, the duty of the railway undertaking to convey such passengers shall apply only insofar as the available capacity permits.

Railway undertakings are called upon to increase their capacity in line with needs in this respect.

Article 27

Prohibition of fare discrimination

Railway undertakings and/or tour operators shall ensure that passengers with disabilities can purchase tickets for the same price as non-disabled passengers.

Article 28

PRM accessibility at stations and on trains

Railway undertakings and station managers shall progressively improve the accessibility of stations, platforms and trains to people with reduced mobility by eliminating all remaining obstacles when trains are renewed or replaced, or when platforms and/ or stations are renewed or newly developed.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 29

Assistance at railway stations

1. On departure from, transit through or arrival at a railway station of a person with reduced **mobility**, **the** station manager shall provide assistance in such a way that the person is able to board the departing service, to change to the corresponding service or to disembark from the arriving service for which he or she purchased a ticket.
2. The assistance referred to in paragraph 1 is provided on condition that notification is made of the person's need for such assistance to the railway undertaking and/or tour operator with which the ticket was purchased, at least **48 hours** before the assistance is needed.
3. If no notification is made in accordance with **paragraph 2**, the station manager of the departure station, transit station or arrival station shall make all reasonable efforts to provide assistance **as referred to in paragraph 1**.
4. **During the training of the railway and station staff responsible, particular attention will be paid to the main problems facing people with reduced mobility when travelling by train, so that they can provide the right assistance; this shall be done in consultation with the organisations concerned.**

Article 30

Requesting assistance

1. The station manager shall be responsible for the provision of the assistance to persons with reduced mobility.
2. The station manager shall designate points, within and outside the **main** railway station, at which persons with reduced mobility can announce their arrival at the **main** railway station and, if need be, request assistance.

Article 31

Assistance on board

A railway undertaking, **station manager** and/or a tour operator shall provide to a person with reduced mobility the assistance on board of a train and during boarding and disembarking from a train **as** set out in **Article 29**.

Article 32

Notification of need for assistance

Railway undertakings and tour operators shall **provide a mechanism whereby passengers with reduced mobility can notify the railway undertaking of their need for assistance, and shall advise them of such mechanism at the point of sale.**

CHAPTER VIII

PERSONAL SECURITY OF PASSENGERS AND COMPLAINTS

Article 33

Personal security of passengers

1. Railway undertakings **and station managers** shall take adequate measures to ensure a high level of **personal** security in railway stations and on trains. They shall prevent risks to passenger security and effectively address these risks where and when they occur within the sphere of their responsibility.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

2. Without prejudice to the provisions of Article 81, 82 and 86 of the Treaty, railway undertakings shall co-operate to accomplish and maintain a high level of security and to exchange information on best practices concerning the prevention of activities, which are likely to deteriorate the level of **security**.

Article 34

Independent assessment

The European Railway Agency shall perform an independent assessment of the effectiveness of self-regulation by the sector and shall facilitate comparisons between railway undertakings.

Article 35

Complaints

1. **Railway undertakings** shall set up a complaint handling mechanism. The railway undertaking shall make the contact details of its complaint handling service widely known to passengers.
2. Passengers may submit a **complaint to** any of the railway undertakings involved in the service, or to the point of sale where the ticket has been purchased.
3. A complaint may be submitted **at least** in the language(s) of the Member States on whose territory the journey has taken **place, or in English**.
4. **The** railway undertaking or point of sale receiving a complaint submitted under paragraph 2 is obliged to give a reasoned response to the passenger within 20 working days after receipt of the complaint. The response will indicate the possibilities for an out of court dispute settlement procedure and applicable legal redress. Where a substantial response is not possible within 20 working days due to lack of information the complainant shall be notified of the expected delay period. In any case the response to the complaint shall be available within three **months**.

Article 36

Addressee of claims

Claims relating to the liability of the railway undertaking shall be addressed in writing to the railway undertaking.

In the event of an international journey performed by successive railway undertakings or by one or several substitute railway undertakings the claim may be addressed to any of the railway undertakings involved in the transport. A claim addressed to one of the railway undertakings taking part in the transport shall be regarded as having been addressed also to the others.

Article 37

Passenger obligations

Without prejudice to the rights provided for in Article 6(5), passengers' obligations shall be governed by Article 9 of the CIV.

CHAPTER IX

INFORMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

Article 38

Information to passengers about their rights

Railway undertakings, **station managers and tour operators shall inform passengers of their rights and obligations under this Regulation.**

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

To this end, the Commission shall make a summary of this Regulation available to railway undertakings, station managers and tour operators in a language comprehensible to the passenger.

Article 39

Enforcement

1. **For each of Articles 3 to 38 of this Regulation**, each Member State shall designate:
 - a) **a body responsible for its enforcement, or**
 - b) **a body which mediates disputes concerning its application and which satisfies the principles set out in Commission Recommendation 2001/310/EC of 4 April 2001 on the principles for out-of-court bodies involved in the consensual resolution of consumer disputes ⁽¹⁾.**

Where appropriate, these bodies shall take the necessary measures to ensure that the rights of passengers are respected. To this end, railway undertakings shall make contact details of the relevant Member State's designated enforcement body widely known to passengers.

The body shall be independent in its organisation, funding decisions, legal structure and decision-making from any infrastructure manager, charging body, allocation body or railway undertaking.

The Member States shall inform the Commission of the body designated in accordance with this paragraph.

2. Each passenger may complain to any body designated under paragraph 1, or to any other competent body designated by a Member State, about an alleged infringement of this Regulation.
3. **Railway undertakings and station managers shall ensure that passengers are informed in an appropriate manner, at the station and on the train, how they can contact this body.**
4. **The body designated pursuant to paragraph 1 shall regularly publish the number and categories of complaints received from passengers.**

Article 40

Co-operation of enforcement bodies

The enforcement bodies referred to in Article 39(1) shall exchange information about their work and decision-making principles and practice for the purpose of co-ordinating their decision-making principles across the Community. The Commission shall support them in this task.

CHAPTER X

FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 41

Penalties

The Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the provisions of this Regulation and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. The Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by ... (*) at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Article 42

Annexes

The annexes shall be modified in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 44 (2).

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 109, 19.4.2001, p. 56.

(*) Six months after the entry into force of this Regulation.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Article 43

Amending provisions

1. The measures necessary for the implementation of Articles 3 to 6 and 28 to 32 shall be adopted in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 44(2).
2. The amounts referred to in Articles 10 and 13 shall be modified in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 44(2).

Article 44

Committee

1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee instituted by Article 11a of Directive 91/440/EEC.
2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Articles 5 and 7 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, having regard to the provisions of Article 8 thereof.

The period laid down in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be set at three months.

3. The Committee shall adopt its Rules of Procedure.

Article 45

Report

The Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation and the results of this Regulation three years after its entry into force, in particular on the service quality levels.

The report will be based on information to be provided pursuant Article 40(1) of this Regulation as well as Article 10b of Directive 91/440/EEC. The report shall be accompanied where necessary by appropriate proposals.

Article 46

This Regulation shall enter into force **one year** after the date of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at ...

For the European Parliament
The President

For the Council
The President

ANNEX I

**MINIMUM INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED BY RAILWAY
UNDERTAKINGS**

Pre journey information

- All relevant conditions applicable to the contract;
- Time schedules and conditions for the fastest trip;
- Time schedules and conditions for the lowest fares;
- Accessibility and access conditions for PRM;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- Accessibility and access conditions for bikes **and other vehicles**;
- Availability of seats in smoking and non-smoking, first and second class as well as couchettes and sleeping carriages;
- Any activities likely to disrupt or delay services;
- Availability of on board services;
- **Information about intermodal options (bus, tram, underground train, light rail, bicycle hire, etc.) upon arrival;**
- **Information about procedures and contact details for the submission of complaints and for use in the event of loss of luggage.**

Information during the journey

- On board services
- Next station
- Delays
- Main connecting services
- Security and safety issues

This information shall be *provided at least in the languages of the Member States through which the service is carried out.*

Information after the journey

- Procedures and places for lost luggage
- Procedures **and** contact **details** for submission of complaints

This information shall be provided at least in the languages of the Member States where the service is provided.

ANNEX II

MINIMUM INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED ON THE TICKET

Railway Undertaking(s) carrying out the transport;

Validity of the tickets (dates; services; class);

Indication whether the ticket must be validated before the journey and conditions of use of the ticket;

Indication whether, and until when, a refund is possible;

Price, including taxes and other charges;

Criteria such as comfort, high speed, etc., on account of which surcharges make the ticket or the services on offer more expensive than conventional services.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0357

25th anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe

European Parliament resolution on the 25th Anniversary of Solidarity and its message for Europe

The European Parliament,

— having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,

- A. whereas the founding fathers of the European Union proclaimed that the unification of Europe would not be complete until the enslavement of central and eastern Europe ceased to exist and the Iron Curtain was shattered,
- B. whereas the enlargement of the European Union on 1 May 2004 was an act unifying Europe around common values and aims which united countries, nations and citizens of the European Union,
- C. believing that the accomplishment of the aims of the European Union should be reinforced by conscientious actions of nations and citizens of Europe seeking freedom and solidarity,
- D. recalling that the mass strikes led by workers which took place in many Polish cities in July and August 1980 were an expression of rejection of a system of totalitarian enslavement,
- E. recalling that the strike under the leadership of Lech Wałęsa, which took place between 14 and 31 August 1980 in the shipyard of Gdańsk under the eyes of Europe and the entire world, was conducted with extraordinary bravery and determination in the name of fundamental European values, and that the '21 postulates' formulated by the shipyard workers from Gdańsk opened a new chapter in the European fight for 'bread and freedom',
- F. expressing its esteem for the Gdańsk Accords signed on 31 August 1980 which, in a totalitarian system, created a 500-day space of freedom for the independent and self-governing trade union Solidarność, with its 10 million members, and gave rise to a powerful citizens' movement uniting all significant social spheres of Poland,
- G. recalling the message to the working people of eastern Europe addressed by the First Convention of Solidarność in the name of the common fight for human rights in that part of Europe,
- H. recalling that Polish society defended its rights and beliefs with courage and determination, particularly in the face of the martial law introduced by the Communist government on 13 December 1981 against Solidarność and against Polish society's aspirations for freedom,
- I. recalling the broad recognition of and support for the events of August 1980, and the support for the Solidarność movement from free societies in Europe during the period of the trade union's legal existence, as well as after the declaration of martial law, and expressing the belief that the historic impulse of Solidarność was one of the most important moments in the formation of a European public space,
- J. recognising that the Solidarność movement applied peaceful means in the fight against a totalitarian system, and was one of the most significant movements in Europe which rejected violence,
- K. acknowledging that the Polish motto 'there is no liberty without Solidarity' is important for the whole of Europe and the world,
- L. recognising that the peaceful success of Solidarność had an influence on other movements fighting for human rights, and believing that it is still a role model for countries that are deprived of freedom,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- M. expressing the belief that the historic events of August 1980 were significant for the whole of Europe, and that the strike of shipyard workers in Gdańsk, as well as the Gdańsk Accords, may be treated as the beginning of the collapse of the Communist system, the end of the Cold War, the end of the division of Europe and the fall of the Berlin Wall,
1. Expresses its deepest esteem and gratitude to the Polish workers and to all people of central and eastern Europe who fought for human rights, freedom, solidarity and the unity of Europe;
 2. Recognises that, in order to commemorate this effort and to place it in the collective memory of Europe, 31 August is to be celebrated as the Day of Freedom and Solidarity;
 3. Calls on the Council and the Commission to raise awareness that Solidarność is part of European education and culture;
 4. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission and the parliaments and governments of the Member States.

P6_TA(2005)0358

Territorial cohesion in regional development

European Parliament resolution on the role of territorial cohesion in regional development (2004/2256(INI))

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe, and in particular to Articles I-3, I-14, II-96, III-220, III-363, paragraph 3 and Article 8 of the Protocol on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality,
- having regard to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, as amended by the Single European Act and the Treaties of Maastricht, Amsterdam and Nice, and in particular Articles 158 and 159 of the Treaty establishing the European Community,
- having regard to its resolutions of 7 February 2002 ⁽¹⁾ and 22 April 2004 ⁽²⁾ respectively on the Commission's Second and Third reports on economic and social cohesion,
- having regard to its resolution of 2 September 2003 on structurally disadvantaged regions (islands, mountain regions, regions with low population density) in the context of cohesion policy, and their institutional prospects ⁽³⁾,
- having regard to its resolution of 29 June 1995 on the Commission document 'Europe 2000+, Cooperation for European territorial development' ⁽⁴⁾,
- having regard to the European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP) adopted in Potsdam in 1999 by the Informal Council of EU Ministers responsible for Spatial Planning,
- having regard to the White Paper on European Governance adopted by the Commission in July 2001 (COM(2001)0428),
- having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions of 10 April 2003 on 'Territorial cohesion in Europe' ⁽⁵⁾,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 284 E, 21.11.2002, p. 329.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 104 E, 30.4.2004, p. 1000.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 76 E, 25.3.2004, p. 111.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ C 183, 17.7.1995, p. 39.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ C 244, 10.10.2003, p. 23.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- having regard to the reports of the European Spatial Planning Observatory Network (ESPON), including that of 2004 on territorial cohesion and the spring 2005 interim report entitled 'In search of territorial potentials',
 - having regard to the study carried out in February 2005 by Notre Europe, at the request of the Committee on Regional Development, on the future of the cohesion policy,
 - having regard to the conclusions of the informal Council of Ministers responsible for spatial planning held on 29 November 2004 in Rotterdam,
 - having regard to the conclusions of the informal Council of Ministers on regional policy and territorial cohesion of 20 and 21 May 2005, and its intention to draft by 2007 a document entitled 'The Territorial State and Perspectives of the European Union',
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Regional Development (A6-0251/2005),
- A. whereas cohesion, since it aims to promote harmonious and uniform development throughout the territory of the EU, represents one of the strategic objectives of the Union, and whereas, following enlargement, the Union must further enhance the effectiveness of cohesion policy, given the much greater disparities which are becoming apparent in the Community of 25 Member States,
- B. whereas territorial cohesion is becoming a new objective of the Union and enriches the objective of economic and social cohesion by giving it a transversal dimension applicable to the whole territory and all Community policies,
- C. whereas a territorial cohesion policy at EU level is of crucial importance for the development of the Union by supplying a fundamental 'Community Added Value,' which is capable of enhancing sustainable development prospects,
- D. whereas the ultimate aim of territorial cohesion is to ensure that the territory as a whole develops to the maximum extent, avoiding geographical concentrations of activities, and to improve the conditions of life for all those who live there, guaranteeing in particular equality between men and women,
- E. whereas regional natural resources and their industrial processing are of great importance for the development of the regions but also for the European Union as a whole, and consequently benefit all citizens of the Union,
- F. whereas it is necessary to incorporate the territorial dimension into Community policies, given the real impact of sectoral policies — particularly transport, environment, competition and research policy — on the territory of the Union,
- G. whereas the mid-term review of the Lisbon and Gothenburg strategies was relatively disappointing as regards the desirability of including the territorial dimension in the EU's priority objectives,
- H. whereas the method for setting the Community's strategic guidelines on cohesion policy now includes territorial cohesion as a purpose for which the Funds may be used,
1. Considers that territorial cohesion is a fundamental objective of regional planning in the Union and provides the *raison d'être* for regional development policy;
 2. Notes that territorial cohesion is based on the principle of equity between citizens, wherever they live in the Union;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

3. Calls, therefore, for regional development to be founded on programmes which guarantee equality of treatment between the EU's territories, while preserving their diversity, which inter alia implies appropriate accessibility of services of general interest (SGI) and services of general economic interest (SGEI);
 4. Calls for the territorial dimension to be considered as a major element in the Lisbon and Gothenburg strategies;
 5. Reiterates that the harmonious development of the whole territory of the EU must be founded on the application of a polycentric spatial development model, parity of access to infrastructure and knowledge and wise management of the natural and cultural heritage, as proposed by the ESDP;
 6. Urges that initial priority should be given to combating distortions between the centre and the periphery and disparities at sub-national level, so as to strengthen cohesion;
 7. Stresses, with this in mind, the importance of cooperation and partnership between urban centres, suburban areas, and the countryside, particularly those with specific disadvantages;
 8. Further stresses the role of towns, particularly small and medium-sized towns, as a specially important motor for growth and territorial balance;
 9. Calls for a boost to be given to all dimensions of territorial cooperation, whether cross-border, trans-national or inter-regional;
 10. Hopes for the implementation of a mechanism for cross-fertilisation between sector-specific policies with a major impact on the development of the EU's territories and regional development policy;
 11. Calls for the measures advocated in the July 2001 White Paper on European Governance to be put into practice with a view to achieving genuine multi-level and multi-sectoral governance with enhanced cooperation between territorial actors at three levels: regional, national and European; considers that this cooperation should be based on the principle of partnership with all appropriate parties;
 12. Reaffirms that the principle of 'One Fund per Programme' makes it possible to strengthen the integrated approach of the cohesion policy, and is at the very heart of the policy of territorial cohesion;
 13. Calls on the Commission, with a view to measuring the development of the regions and evaluating objectively the obstacles — and in particular the specific territorial disadvantages — in its way, to carry out a study alongside GDP, on new territorial indicators, namely the decentralisation and accessibility index, infrastructure and transport provision, the level of activity in research and innovation, education and training, level of diversification of production in the area, and the unemployment rate;
 14. Calls on the Commission to establish, by means of Epsilon, a system for the assessment of the impact of the various Community policies on territorial cohesion within the Union and stresses the role of the European Parliament in the process of further evaluation of the outcomes,
 15. Reiterates the call made in the Third report on economic and social cohesion of February 2004 for Parliament and the Council to adopt a 'Community Cohesion Strategy', which would set out clear priorities and concrete guidelines for the States and regions, forming the regional plank of the Union's sustainable development strategy, based on the principles and policy aims of the ESDP;
 16. Calls, finally, on the Commission to draw up before 2007 a White Paper on the objective of territorial cohesion, indicating, in particular, how this objective is to be incorporated in the national strategic plan of each Member State;
 17. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and Commission.
-

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0359

Partnership for the outermost regions

European Parliament resolution on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions (2004/2253(INI))

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission Communications of 26 May 2004 entitled 'A stronger partnership for the outermost regions' (COM(2004)0343) and of 6 August 2004 on a stronger partnership strengthened for the outermost regions: assessment and prospects (COM(2004)0543), and to the annex to the latter (SEC(2004)1030),
- having regard to the process which has served to establish the special status of the outermost regions in primary EU law (Article 299(2) of the EC Treaty) and to the substance and legal scope of that status,
- having regard to Community action as a whole in aid of the outermost regions,
- having regard to paragraph 58 of the Presidency Conclusions of the Seville European Council of 21 and 22 June 2002, which formed the basis for the above-mentioned Commission Communications on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions,
- having regard to the memorandum by Spain, France, Portugal and the outermost regions on measures to build on Article 299(2) of the EC Treaty and to the contribution of the outermost regions to that memorandum, sent to the Commission on 2 June 2003,
- having regard to the report by the Presidents of the Outermost Regions on the Commission Communication entitled 'A stronger partnership for the outermost regions', sent to the Commission on 17 June 2004,
- having regard to paragraph 47, final indent, of the Presidency Conclusions of the Brussels European Council of 17 and 18 June 2004,
- having regard to the Final Declaration of the Tenth Conference of Presidents of the Outermost Regions, held in Ponta Delgada on 2 September 2004,
- having regard to the opinions of the Committee of the Regions of 18 November 2004 on the Commission Communication entitled 'A stronger partnership for the outermost regions' ⁽¹⁾ and of 13 December 2000 on the outermost regions of the EU and implementation of Article 299 ⁽²⁾,
- having regard to the opinions of the European Economic and Social Committee of 19 July 2005 on the Commission Communication entitled 'A stronger partnership for the outermost regions' (CES/847/2005) and of 29 May 2002 on the future strategy for the outermost regions of the European Union ⁽³⁾,
- having regard to its previous resolutions and opinions concerning the position of the outermost regions, in particular its resolution of 25 October 2000 on the measures to implement Article 299(2): the outermost regions of the European Union ⁽⁴⁾,
- having regard to the Commission Communication of 26 February 2004 entitled 'Building our common Future — Policy challenges and Budgetary means of the Enlarged Union 2007-2013' (COM(2004)0101),

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 71, 22.3.2005, p. 40.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 144, 16.5.2001, p. 11.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 221, 17.9.2002, p. 37.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ C 197, 12.7.2001, p. 197.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- having regard to the Commission Communication of 18 February 2004 entitled ‘Third progress report on economic and social cohesion’ (COM(2004)0107); its resolution of 22 April 2004 on the third report on economic and social cohesion ⁽¹⁾; and the opinion of the Committee of the Regions of 16 June 2004 on the third report on economic and social cohesion ⁽²⁾ and the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee of 30 June 2004 on the third report on economic and social cohesion ⁽³⁾,
 - having regard to the first contribution from the outermost regions on the future of economic and social cohesion, delivered to the Commission in February 2002,
 - having regard to the Commission Communication of 14 July 2004 on the Financial Perspectives 2007-2013 (COM(2004)0487) and other related documents, and to the fact that the Temporary Committee on Policy Challenges and Budgetary Means of the enlarged Union 2007-2013 was set up within Parliament to probe into the European Union’s budget resources in the period from 2007 to 2013, which Committee adopted its report on 19 May 2005 (A6-0153/2005),
 - having regard to its resolution of 8 June 2005 on Policy Challenges and Budgetary Means of the enlarged Union 2007-2013 ⁽⁴⁾,
 - having regard to the proposal for a Council regulation laying down general provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund and the Cohesion Fund (COM(2004)0492); the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Regional Development Fund (COM(2004)0495); the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Social Fund (COM(2004)0493); and the proposal for a Council regulation establishing a Cohesion Fund (COM(2004)0494),
 - having regard to the proposal for a Council regulation on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) (COM(2004)0490); and the proposal for a Council regulation on the European Fisheries Fund (COM(2004)0497),
 - having regard to the decision taken by the Heads of State or Government of the Member States of the European Union on 18 June 2004 to adopt the Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe (hereinafter ‘the Constitutional Treaty’),
 - having regard to the fact that under the Constitutional Treaty a new pillar has been added to cohesion policy, namely the territorial cohesion pillar,
 - having regard to the fact that the status of the outermost regions has been incorporated and strengthened in Articles III-424 and IV-440(2) of the Constitutional Treaty ,
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Regional Development and the opinions of the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development and the Committee on Fisheries (A6-0246/2005),
- A. whereas primary EU law and, recently, the Constitutional Treaty have recognised the nature of the constraints affecting the outermost regions (great distance, island status, small land area, difficult relief and climate, and economic dependency on a small number of products), which constraints, by reason of their permanent and pervasive nature and combined presence, differentiate those regions from the Union’s other regions having geographical disadvantages or population problems,
- B. having regard to the importance of the various Community measures adopted in favour of the outermost regions, while nonetheless pointing out their insufficiency of such measures, especially in areas that are of strategic importance for development,
- C. whereas it remains essential that the European Union supports the outermost regions given their unequal position vis-à-vis the Community as a whole, with a view to their development and to bringing them up to a level of sustained convergence; whereas this support must also enable the outermost regions to be integrated into such highly competitive processes as the internal market, economic and monetary union, the Lisbon strategy, enlargement and globalisation,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 104E, 30.4.2004, p. 1000.

⁽²⁾ OJ C 318, 22.12.2004, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 302, 7.12.2004, p. 60.

⁽⁴⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0224.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

- D. having regard to the need to guarantee the economically, socially and environmentally sustainable exploitation of living aquatic resources, particularly the need to preserve fish stocks in the outermost regions,
- E. whereas the outermost regions have fragile economies with little possibilities for diversification, in which in some cases fishing and ancestral fishing communities play a key social and economic role and strengthen other economic activities upstream and downstream,
- F. welcoming the potential of the future development strategy for the outermost regions as defined by the Commission in the above-mentioned Communications on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions — guided by the 'competitiveness', 'access and the offsetting of other constraints' and 'integration into the regional area' priorities for action — whose implementation calls for input both from the revised economic and social cohesion policy and from the other Community policies,
- G. noting that, in the context of the revised cohesion policy, input remains insufficient, confined as it is to the establishment of a specific programme to compensate for additional costs and the action plan for a wider neighbourhood,
- H. whereas there have been repeated calls for a specific strategy and programme for the outermost regions,
- I. welcoming, nonetheless, the objectives whose achievement is envisaged through the specific programme to compensate for additional costs and the action plan for a wider neighbourhood,
- J. whereas the situation of the outermost regions needs to be referred to in the cohesion policy objective 'European territorial cooperation', thus linking up with the action plan for a wider neighbourhood; whereas the Commission should, in this context, allocate funds on a case-by-case basis, on similar lines to those followed for the specific programme, and should establish criteria which do not limit the participation of the outermost regions; whereas the Commission must ensure that those regions are eligible in practice for crossborder cooperation,
- K. whereas, in the context of the action plan for a wider neighbourhood (and, therefore, of the cohesion policy objective 'European territorial cooperation'), and, additionally, of the European Union's new neighbourhood policy, it is necessary to promote not only the integration of the outermost regions within their respective geographic areas, but also their socio-economic and cultural links with countries with emigrant communities from those regions or with which those regions have traditional ties (e.g. Venezuela, Brazil, the United States, South Africa, Canada and Australia),
- L. whereas the Commission needs, in the context of the action plan for a wider neighbourhood, to propose responses to the difficulties now faced by certain outermost regions regarding illegal immigration and other related problems,
- M. whereas, in addition, with regard to the general framework for access to Structural Funds, the present period of negotiation of the financial perspectives and of the reform of cohesion policy is a crucial one for the future of the outermost regions, and it is therefore essential to defend and safeguard, in this connection, the unique situation of those regions by continuing to provide prioritised financial support,
- N. having regard to the right to differentiated treatment in this area laid down in Article 299(2) of the EC Treaty, which foresees the possibility of adopting relevant measures for the outermost regions with regard, especially, to the conditions of access to Structural Funds; recalling the EU's position thus far towards the outermost regions with regard to Structural Funds and that those regions have even been granted, in view of their special circumstances, higher co-financing rates than those granted to the other cohesion regions,

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

General considerations

1. Calls on the Commission to bring its efforts to bear, exercising the right of initiative accorded to it under Article 299(2) of the EC Treaty, to launch procedures to enable the aspirations of the outermost regions to be met in full, be it under cohesion policy or in connection with the other policies, Community measures and areas important for their development, namely agriculture, fisheries, competition and state aids, enterprise policy, services of general interest and services of general economic interest, taxation, customs measures, environment, energy, research and technological development, vocational training, transport, trans-European networks, new information and communication technologies, regional cooperation, and so forth;
2. Calls on the Commission to carry out an assessment of the impact of the Community legislation liable to have implications for the outermost regions; calls for the special situation of the outermost regions to be properly taken into account at all levels of implementation of Community policies and actions;
3. Recalls that most of the positive discrimination measures adopted for these regions are not such as to affect the fundamental principles of the functioning of the EU;
4. Calls on the Commission to include the outermost regions in the EU's efforts to achieve the objectives of the Lisbon strategy and the Gothenburg goals;

Financial perspective and cohesion policy

5. Calls on the Council to ensure that the adoption of the financial perspective for 2007-2013 results in guaranteed status for the measures aimed at achieving the objectives of the Union, including the economic, social and territorial development of the outermost regions;
6. Calls on the Commission to ensure, whether under the specific programme to compensate for additional costs or under the wider neighbourhood action plan, or where access in general to Structural Funds is concerned, that equal treatment continues along the lines which the Union has been following in its measures concerning the outermost regions;
7. Strongly supports the special action of 1 100 million Euro for the outermost regions proposed by the Commission, as well as the possibility of financing operating aid, as provided in Article 11 of the above-mentioned proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Regional Development Fund; calls for full practical expression to be given to the requirement laid down in Article 299(2) of the EC Treaty for the outermost regions to be treated as a special case as regards their access to the Structural Funds, including those regions whose GDP has already risen above 75 % of the Community average;
8. Calls for the amounts allocated to the specific programme to be increased and devoted exclusively to the outermost regions without penalising any one such region;
9. Calls for the wider neighbourhood action plan to be based on a concept of closeness in the broad sense so as to enable support to be provided for projects involving organisations in countries which have large communities of emigrants from outermost regions, to whom those regions consequently feel very close;
10. Insists that the action plan for a wider neighbourhood should be granted a specific financial allocation for transnational and crossborder cooperation, and that the long-expected coordination between the actions funded by the European Regional Development Fund in support of the outermost regions and their counterparts funded by the European Development Fund (EDF) in support of neighbouring ACP countries or overseas possessions and territories at last become a reality, whether or not budgetisation of the EDF takes place;
11. Calls for the action plan to be used to tackle the problems of illegal immigration which face some outermost regions;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Human capital

12. Calls on the Commission to boost this important part of development in the outermost regions by encouraging training and specific consolidation in areas devoted exclusively to the promotion of competition and growth;

Agriculture and fisheries

13. Reminds the Commission that the economies of the outermost regions are based on small-scale sectors, including agriculture and fisheries, which tend to require support on various levels and, especially, incentives to enhance the attractiveness of the employment of young people;

14. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the revision currently under way of the agricultural component of the Programme of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI), to ensure the stability of the resources allocated, allowing for possible adjustments in line with exceptional needs and simplifying the administrative arrangements;

15. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the future rules of the EAFRD, to take account of the specific circumstances of the outermost regions, allocating them sufficient financial resources to attain the rural development policy objectives and preserving uniform treatment for those regions when setting the Fund's contribution rates, on lines similar to those of the European Fisheries Fund (EFF);

16. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the external dimension of the Common Market Organisation (CMO) in bananas, to introduce a single tariff at a level high enough to preserve the Community's banana production, and, if necessary, to propose compensatory measures for Community producers;

17. Deplores the abolition of sales aid for the sugar sector; calls for the restoration of this instrument and full compensation for loss of income in order genuinely to take account of the specific handicaps suffered by the outermost regions; considers, moreover, that it is essential to ensure that sugar production and refining in the Azores are given access to the national market under conditions equivalent to those in force before the incorporation of this region in the European Union, in the same way as has been the case with the Canary islands, without compromising the pursuit of this activity in the region;

18. Suggests that identical concerns regarding guaranteeing differentiated treatment and the continued viability of the industry in the outermost regions should apply to the milk and fruit and vegetable sectors, subject to their respective specific conditions;

19. Calls on the Commission to adopt measures to boost the competitiveness of the agricultural products of the outermost regions so that they can compete on the market with similar products originating in countries which have association agreements with the EU or which benefit from preferential regimes;

20. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the future provisions of the EFF, to take account of the specific needs of the outermost regions in the sector;

21. Reiterates the need for a permanent protection zone so as to allow positive discrimination in access to maritime resources for the fishing fleets of the outermost regions in such a way as to preserve local economies;

22. Draws attention to the simultaneous great richness and great fragility of the marine ecosystems of the outermost regions, and the consequent need to pay particular attention to the fishing gear and practices permitted, as well as to access to the surrounding and adjacent waters;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

23. Urges the Commission, in view of the particular circumstances of the exclusive economic zones of the outermost regions (absence of a continental shelf in certain cases) and the limitations of their fisheries zones (often confined to submarine hills), to apply, without hesitation, the precautionary principle and the principle of relative stability, thereby helping ensure not only biological and ecological balance for the species concerned, but also the protection of the socio-economic fabric related to the fisheries sector in those regions; urges the Commission also to take account in its legislative proposals of the fact that fishing activity in some of the outermost regions, for example in the Indian Ocean, is very recent and that fish stocks in these areas are still rich;
24. Reiterates the need for future support for the renewal and modernisation of the fishing fleet, in the interests of the sector's profitability and competitiveness;
25. Urges the Commission, in the light of the vulnerabilities coming from the status of the outermost regions, to continue its support in those regions for the fisheries-products processing industry, at a level equivalent to or higher than that of the Financial Instrument for Fisheries Guidance;
26. Calls for fish-processing in the outermost regions to be taken into account in the review of state aid for the fisheries sector;
27. Urges the Commission to accept the principle that POSEI-Fisheries aid should be permanent, and considers that the compensation levels in respect of the additional costs arising from outermost region status in the marketing of certain fisheries products should be increased;
28. Urges the Commission to take all possible steps towards the rapid establishment of the Regional Advisory Councils; considers that, in the case of the Regional Advisory Council for South Western Waters, an island subdivision should be created to deal with the specific fisheries in the outermost regions;

Competition and state aids

29. Hopes, as regards state aid for regional purposes, that the outermost regions can continue to receive non-degressive operating aid, not limited in time, and that the aid can be extended to cover the transport sector, provided that the public procurement rules guarantee fair price-setting by the undertakings concerned; hopes that the outermost regions can continue to benefit from a higher rate over and above the amount of initial investment aid; hopes that movable transport assets will be included among eligible costs as regards initial investment; hopes also that it will be permitted to compensate outermost regions for the additional costs incurred in transporting goods within the EU market;
30. Calls, in the context of the revision of the guidelines on regionally-oriented state aids to be applied from 2007, for compensation to be authorised for the outermost regions for the additional costs arising from the transport of goods within the EU market, rather than only within the national borders of the country concerned;
31. Calls, in addition, in the context of the revision of the same guidelines, for all due value to be given to existing practice as regards the outermost regions, and for the relevant circumstances to be taken into account;
32. Calls for the aid provisions for the outermost regions under Article III-167(3)(a) of the Constitutional Treaty to be implemented without delay;
33. Calls for the rules governing very small-scale aid to be extended to cover the transport sector;

Enterprise policy

34. Calls on the Commission to adapt existing measures to the particular situation of the outermost regions, facilitating the access of those regions to such measures; calls for action to promote the competitiveness of businesses in those regions, whether via specific measures designed to further their integration into a neighbouring geographic area, or via definition of and support for new models of competitiveness; also advocates support for entrepreneurship and the spirit of enterprise;

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

Services of general economic interest

35. Calls on the Commission to act on its promise for a working party to study the operation of services of general economic interest in the outermost regions and to draw up proposals geared to the special features and needs of those regions where the market in public services is concerned;

36. Calls on the Commission, in relation to the EU's approach to services of general interest in the wake of the White Paper (COM(2004)0374), to pay due attention to the specific constraints of the outermost regions, especially when classifying certain service activities in those regions as services of general interest and when determining the application to those activities of competition and internal market rules adapted to the specific circumstances of the outermost regions;

Taxation and customs measures

37. Calls on the Commission to continue to apply specific tax measures for the benefit of the outermost regions and to show willingness to propose other arrangements to promote the self-contained development of those regions;

38. Calls on the Commission to show willingness to consider requests from outermost regions for temporary suspension of Common Customs Tariff duties levied on supplies of non-agricultural commodities for production uses and of fishery products, and on imported capital goods for business and industrial use;

Environment

39. Calls on the Commission not to neglect this area in future measures in support of the outermost regions, since resources are insufficient to meet continuing needs regarding the environment, specifically as regards the protection of biodiversity, implementation of the Natura 2000 network, and waste management, a fact which makes it more difficult to pursue an environmental policy in the outermost regions which is consistent with the fundamental principles of Community environmental policy; calls on the Commission to implement measures along the lines of the environment component of the Programme of options specific to the remote and insular nature of Madeira and the Azores (POSEIMA);

Trans-European networks

40. Calls on the Commission to pay particular attention to the special characteristics of the outermost regions in the context of trans-European networks;

41. Calls on the Commission, as regards trans-European energy networks, to treat projects in outermost regions as subject to the co-financing rate laid down for projects deemed to have priority;

42. Calls on the Commission, as regards trans-European transport infrastructure networks, to enable ports and airports in outermost regions to be co-financed by the Cohesion Fund, if eligible;

Research and technological development

43. Calls on the Commission to give operational effect to recital 14 of Decision No 1513/2002/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 concerning the sixth framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, contributing to the creation of the European Research Area and to innovation (2002 to 2006) ⁽¹⁾, under both the current framework programme and its successor; calls for ways to be found under the next framework programme to open up funding to projects in outermost regions, especially in the centres of excellence in those regions;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 232, 29.8.2002, p. 1.

Wednesday, 28 September 2005

44. Calls for the action plan for research, technological development, demonstration and innovation, submitted by the outermost regions to the Commission in 2003, to be taken into account;
45. Calls for projects in outermost regions to be financed under the framework programme for research and technological development and co-financed in addition by the Structural Funds;

New information and communications technologies

46. Calls on the Commission to ensure that special attention be paid to projects in the outermost regions in the field of information society and technological innovation, given those sectors' key role in promoting development; recalls those regions' demands in this area as set out in the above-mentioned memorandum of Spain, France, Portugal and the outermost regions and contribution of the outermost regions thereto, sent to the Commission on 2 June 2003;

Transport

47. Calls for the outermost regions to be incorporated into every aspect of Community transport policy;
48. Calls on the Commission to ensure that transport projects financed by the Community in the outermost regions bring about improvements first and foremost to the quality of life of the inhabitants and the self-contained development of those regions; calls for projects developed in breach of Community environmental law to be halted; recommends, furthermore, that all transport projects developed for the outermost regions must have a precise and clearly-defined analysis of the benefits for the diversification of the local economy, social cohesion and the sustainability of the regional job market;
49. Calls for co-financing to meet additional transport costs and for transport to be developed in the neighbourhood of the outermost regions, not least by involving non-member countries to that end;

Final considerations

50. Welcomes the fact, lastly, that the bodies working to defend the special status of the outermost regions have established and cemented an important partnership; points to the Commission's key role in that process, as reflected in the above-mentioned Communications on a stronger partnership for the outermost regions;

*
* *

51. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the Committee of the Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee, the national, regional, and local authorities of the outermost regions, and the Chairman-in-Office of the Conference of Presidents of the Outermost Regions.
-

Thursday, 29 September 2005

(2006/C 227 E/04)

MINUTES

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SITTING

IN THE CHAIR: Luigi COCILOVO

Vice-President

1. Opening of sitting

The sitting opened at 10.00.

2. Documents received

The following documents had been received from the Council and Commission:

- Proposal for a decision of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Community action for the European Capital of Culture event for the years 2007 to 2019 (COM(2005)0209 — C6-0157/2005 — 2005/0102(COD))
referred to responsible: CULT
- Proposal for a decision of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Decision No 2256/2003/EC in view of the extension of the programme in 2006 for the dissemination of good practices and monitoring ICT take-up (COM(2005)0347 — C6-0247/2005 — 2005/0144(COD))
referred to responsible: ITRE
opinion: BUDG, CULT, LIBE
- Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on common standards and procedures in Member States for the return of third-country nationals residing illegally (COM(2005)0391 — C6-0266/2005 — 2005/0167(COD))
referred to responsible: LIBE
opinion: AFET, DEVE, EMPL
- Proposal for a Council regulation establishing a European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (COM(2005)0280 [01] — C6-0288/2005 — 2005/0124(CNS))
referred to responsible: LIBE
opinion: AFET, BUDG, CULT, AFCE, FEMM
- Proposal for a Council decision empowering the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights to pursue its activities in areas referred to in Title VI of the Treaty on European Union (COM(2005)0280 [02] — C6-0289/2005 — 2005/0125(CNS))
referred to responsible: LIBE
- Proposal for a Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council on transnational mobility within the Community for education and training purposes: European Quality Charter for Mobility (COM(2005)0450 — C6-0291/2005 — 2005/0179(COD))
referred to responsible: CULT
opinion: EMPL

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- Draft general budget of the European Communities for the financial year 2006 (11186/2005 [01] — C6-0299/2005 — 2005/2001(BUD))
 - referred to responsible: BUDG
 - opinion: AFET, DEVE, INTA, CONT, ECON, EMPL, ENVI, ITRE, IMCO, TRAN, REGI, AGRI, PECH, CULT, JURI, LIBE, AFCO, FEMM, PETI

- Draft general budget of the European Communities for the financial year 2006 (11186/2005 [02] — C6-0300/2005 — 2005/2002(BUD))
 - referred to responsible: BUDG
 - opinion: AFET, DEVE, INTA, CONT, ECON, EMPL, ENVI, ITRE, IMCO, TRAN, REGI, AGRI, PECH, CULT, JURI, LIBE, AFCO, FEMM, PETI

- Proposal for a Council decision on the establishment, operation and use of the second generation Schengen information system (SIS II) (COM(2005)0230 — C6-0301/2005 — 2005/0103(CNS))
 - referred to responsible: LIBE
 - opinion: BUDG

3. Textile industry (debate)

Commission statement: Textile industry

László Kovács (Member of the Commission) made the statement.

The following spoke: Tokia Saifi, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Erika Mann, on behalf of the PSE Group, Johan Van Hecke, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Eva Lichtenberger, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Jacky Henin, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Patrick Louis, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Jean-Claude Martinez, Non-attached Member, Georgios Papastamkos, Elisa Ferreira, Sajjad Karim, Margrete Auken, Pedro Guerreiro, Zuzana Roithová, Joan Calabuig Rull, Anne Laperrouze, Georgios Toussas, Werner Langen, Harlem Désir, Markus Pieper, Panagiotis Beglitis, Ursula Stenzel, Harald Ettl and Avril Doyle.

IN THE CHAIR: Gérard ONESTA

Vice-President

The following spoke: Mario Mantovani and László Kovács.

The debate closed.

4. Membership of committees and delegations

On a request from the Non-attached Members, Parliament ratified the following appointment:

Delegation for relations with the Palestinian Legislative Council

— Giovanni Rivera

5. Prospects for EU-China trade relations (debate)

Report on prospects for trade relations between the EU and China (2005/2015(INI)) - Committee on International Trade.

Rapporteur: Caroline Lucas (A6-0262/2005)

Caroline Lucas introduced report.

László Kovács (Member of the Commission) spoke.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

The following spoke: Bastiaan Belder (draftsman of the opinion of the AFET Committee), Daniel Caspary, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Glyn Ford, on behalf of the PSE Group, Danutė Budreikaitė, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Raiūl Romeva i Rueda, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, Helmuth Markov, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Bogusław Rogalski, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group, Cristiana Muscardini, on behalf of the UEN Group, Glyn Ford, who asked the President to confirm that the debate would continue until all the speakers had spoken so that the report could be put to the vote at midday (the President replied that this was not going to be possible), Alessandra Mussolini, Non-attached Member, on the President's reply, Frank Vanhecke, Paul Rübig, Margrietus van den Berg, Johan Van Hecke, Margrete Auken, Nigel Farage, Gintaras Didžiokas and James Hugh Allister.

The following spoke: Caroline Lucas (rapporteur), on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, who, under Rule 170(4), moved that the vote on the report be held over until the next part-session given that the debate would be continuing into the afternoon.

IN THE CHAIR: Pierre MOSCOVICI

Vice-President

The following spoke: Robert Goebbels, on behalf of the PSE Group, in favour of the proposal.

Parliament approved the proposal.

(The debate was suspended at that point pending voting time. It would resume at 15.00.) (Minutes of 29.9.2005, Item 14)

The following spoke: Philip Bushill-Matthews, who expressed his disappointment that Council Question Time the day before (*Minutes of 28.9.2005, Item 14*) had not lasted as long as it should have done (the President noted his remarks).

6. Voting time

Details of voting (amendments, separate and split votes, etc.) appear in Annex 1 to the Minutes.

6.1. EU-US Wine Agreement (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0489/2005, B6-0511/2005, B6-0514/2005, B6-0515/2005, B6-0516/2005 and B6-0517/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 1)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0489/2005

(replacing B6-0489/2005, B6-0511/2005, B6-0514/2005, B6-0515/2005, B6-0516/2005 and B6-0517/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

María Esther Herranz García, Christa Kläß, Astrid Lulling and Giuseppe Castiglione, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

María Isabel Salinas García, Vincenzo Lavarra and Katerina Batzeli, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Anne Laperrouze, Niels Busk, Willem Schuth, Jorgo Chatzimarkakis and Ignasi Guardans Cambó, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Marie-Hélène Aubert and Friedrich-Wilhelm Graefe zu Baringdorf, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Ilda Figueiredo and Marco Rizzo, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Sergio Berlato, Roberta Angelilli and Sebastiano (Nello) Musumeci, on behalf of the UEN Group

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0361)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6.2. Oil (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0481/2005, B6-0482/2005, B6-0491/2005, B6-0499/2005, B6-0506/2005 and B6-0509/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 2)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0481/2005

(replacing B6-0481/2005, B6-0482/2005, B6-0491/2005, B6-0499/2005, B6-0506/2005 and B6-0509/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Giles Chichester and Paul Rübiger, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Reino Paasilinna, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Fiona Hall, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Claude Turmes, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Umberto Guidoni, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Roberta Angelilli, on behalf of the UEN Group

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0362)

The following spoke on the vote:

- Robert Goebbels, on behalf of the PSE Group, who moved an oral amendment to paragraph 10, which was incorporated;
- Claude Turmes, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, who moved an oral amendment to paragraph 15, indent 6, which was incorporated.

6.3. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0483/2005, B6-0492/2005, B6-0493/2005, B6-0501/2005, B6-0507/2005 and B6-0510/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 3)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0483/2005

(replacing B6-0483/2005, B6-0492/2005, B6-0493/2005, B6-0501/2005, B6-0507/2005 and B6-0510/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Nirj Deva, Francisco José Millán Mon and Simon Coveney, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Glenys Kinnock, Pasqualina Napoletano and Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Alexander Lambsdorff and Lapo Pistelli, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Marie Anne Isler Béguin, Raúl Romeva i Rueda and Frithjof Schmidt, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

André Brie and Luisa Morgantini, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Inese Vaidere and Guntars Krasts, on behalf of the UEN Group.

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0363)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

The following spoke on the vote:

— Alexander Lambsdorff, on behalf of the ALDE Group, who moved an oral amendment to paragraph 20, which was incorporated.

6.4. Belarus (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0486/2005, B6-0488/2005, B6-0490/2005, B6-0494/2005, B6-0497/2005, B6-0503/2005 and B6-0508/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 4)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0486/2005

(replacing B6-0486/2005, B6-0488/2005, B6-0490/2005, B6-0494/2005, B6-0497/2005 and B6-0508/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Barbara Kudrycka, Bogdan Klich, Árpád Duka-Zólyomi and Karl von Wogau, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Jan Marinus Wiersma, Józef Pinior and Joseph Muscat, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Janusz Onyszkiewicz, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Elisabeth Schroedter, Milan Horáček and Marie Anne Isler Béguin, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Bastiaan Belder, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group,

Anna Elzbieta Fotyga, Konrad Szymański and Inese Vaidere, on behalf of the UEN Group

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0364)

(Motion for a resolution B6-0503/2005 fell.)

6.5. EU-India relations (vote)

Report on EU-India relations: a strategic partnership (2004/2169(INI)) — Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Rapporteur: Emilio Menéndez del Valle (A6-0256/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 5)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0365)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Emilio Menéndez del Valle (rapporteur), who moved oral amendments to paragraphs 10 and 17, second part, and to amendment 20, which were incorporated.

6.6. Renewable energy in the EU (vote)

Report on the share of renewable energy in the EU and proposals for concrete actions (2004/2153(INI)) — Committee on Industry, Research and Energy.

Rapporteur: Claude Turmes (A6-0227/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 6)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0366)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6.7. EU road-safety action programme (vote)

Report on the European road safety action programme: halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: a shared responsibility (2004/2162(INI)) — Committee on Transport and Tourism.

Rapporteur: Ari Vatanen (A6-0225/2005)

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 7)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0367)

7. Explanations of vote

Written explanations of vote:

Explanations of vote submitted in writing under Rule 163(3) appear in the verbatim report of proceedings for the sitting.

8. Corrections to votes

Corrections to votes appear on the 'Séance en direct' website under 'Votes'/'Results of votes'/'Roll-call votes'. They are published in hard copy in Annex 2 to the Minutes, 'Result of roll-call votes'.

The electronic version on Europarl will be regularly updated for a maximum of two weeks after the day of the vote concerned.

After the two-week deadline has passed, the list of corrections to votes will be finalised so that it can be translated and published in the Official Journal.

9. Communication of Council common positions

The President announced, pursuant to Rule 57(1), that the following common positions had been received from the Council, together with the reasons which had led to their adoption, and the Commission's position on:

- Common position adopted by the Council on 18 July 2005 with a view to the adoption of a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the application of the provisions of the Aarhus Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters to Community institutions and bodies (06273/2/2005 — 10896/2005 — COM(2005)0410 — C6-0297/2005 — 2003/0242(COD))
referred to responsible: ENVI
- Common position adopted by the Council on 23 September 2005 with a view to the adoption of a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on energy end-use efficiency and energy services and repealing Council Directive 93/76/EEC (10721/3/2005 — 03256/2005 — COM(2005)0455 — C6-0298/2005 — 2003/0300(COD))
referred to responsible: ITRE

The three-month period available to Parliament to adopt its position would therefore begin the following day, 30.9.2005.

(The sitting was suspended at 12.40 and resumed at 15.00.)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

10. Approval of Minutes of previous sitting

The Minutes of the previous sitting were approved.

11. Request for the defence of parliamentary immunity

At its meeting of 14 September 2005, the Committee on Legal Affairs considered the request to uphold the parliamentary immunity of Jean-Charles Marchiani, a former Member of the European Parliament, in the context of legal proceedings under way before the Paris *Tribunal de Grande Instance*. The request had been referred to Parliament on 8 September 2005.

After a briefing from Mr Speroni, the Committee on Legal Affairs,

- having noted, in its resolution of 5 July 2005, that the European Parliament had asked for the French Court of Cassation's judgment of 16 March 2005 to be annulled or overturned, and at all events for it to cease to have any practical or legal effects; and that the Court had failed to apply Article 10 a) of the Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Communities,
- asked the President to bring to the attention of the French authorities the fact that if the Paris *Tribunal de Grande Instance*, which was due to hand down its judgment on the case on 3 October 2005, were to convict Mr Marchiani on the basis of illegally obtained — and therefore inadmissible — evidence taken from intercepted telephone conversations during Mr Marchiani's term of office as a Member of the European Parliament, the French Republic would be contravening primary Community law.

12. Agenda

The Conference of Presidents had decided to include Council and Commission statements on Ethiopia on the agenda of the sitting of 12.10.2005, after the statements on Iran.

Deadlines for tabling amendments and motions for resolutions:

motions for resolution: 5.10.2005, 12.00

amendments and joint motions for resolutions: 10.10.2005, 12.00

13. Appointment of Bulgarian and Romanian observers to committees

The President informed the House that he had received from the Conference of Presidents a list detailing which observers had been appointed to which committees.

The list is published as an annex to these Minutes.

14. Prospects for EU-China trade relations (continuation of debate)

The following spoke: Jorgo Chatzimarkakis, Bastiaan Belder, Alexandra Dobolyi, Manolis Mavrommatis, Béla Glattfelder, Robert Sturdy, Nirj Deva and László Kovács (Member of the Commission).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 13.10.2005, Item 6.11*

15. Debate on cases of breaches of human rights, democracy and the rule of law (debate)

(For the titles and authors of the motions for resolutions, see *Minutes of 27.9.2005, Item 3*)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

15.1. Nepal

Motions for resolution B6-0513/2005, B6-0519/2005, B6-0520/2005, B6-0523/2005, B6-0526/2005 and B6-0530/2005

Neena Gill, Raül Romeva i Rueda, Esko Seppänen, Thomas Mann and Elizabeth Lynne introduced motions for resolutions.

The following spoke: Eija-Riitta Korhola, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Lidia Joanna Geringer de Oedenberg, on behalf of the PSE Group, Glyn Ford and László Kovács (Member of the Commission).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.9.2005, Item 16.1*

15.2. Tunisia

Motions for resolution B6-0512/2005, B6-0522/2005, B6-0524/2005, B6-0525/2005, B6-0529/2005 and B6-0532/2005

Véronique De Keyser, Raül Romeva i Rueda, Esko Seppänen, Charles Tannock and Marios Matsakis introduced motions for resolutions.

The following spoke: Alain Hutchinson, on behalf of the PSE Group, Erik Meijer, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Irena Belohorská, Non-attached Member, Karin Scheele and László Kovács (Member of the Commission).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.9.2005, Item 16.2*

15.3. Vojvodina

Motions for resolution B6-0518/2005, B6-0521/2005, B6-0527/2005, B6-0528/2005, B6-0531/2005, B6-0533/2005 and B6-0534/2005

Bastiaan Belder, Doris Pack, István Szent-Iványi, Erik Meijer and Csaba Sándor Tabajdi introduced motions for resolutions.

The following spoke: Zsolt László Becsey, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group, Gyula Hegyi, on behalf of the PSE Group, Ignasi Guardans Cambó, on behalf of the ALDE Group, Jaromír Kohlíček, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group, Ryszard Czarnecki, Non-attached Member, Bernd Posselt, Kinga Gál, Árpád Duka-Zólyomi, Péter Olajos and László Kovács (Member of the Commission).

The debate closed.

Vote: *Minutes of 29.9.2005, Item 16.3*

16. Voting time

Details of voting (amendments, separate and split votes, etc.) appear in Annex 1 to the Minutes.

16.1. Nepal (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0513/2005, B6-0519/2005, B6-0520/2005, B6-0523/2005, B6-0526/2005 and B6-0530/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: *Annex 1, Item 8*)

Thursday, 29 September 2005

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0513/2005

(replacing B6-0513/2005, B6-0519/2005, B6-0520/2005, B6-0523/2005, B6-0526/2005 and B6-0530/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Thomas Mann, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt, Doris Pack and Zsolt László Becsey, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Pasqualina Napolitano and Neena Gill, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Elizabeth Lynne, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Jean Lambert, Bart Staes, Hélène Flautre and Gérard Onesta, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Luisa Morgantini, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Eoin Ryan and Roberta Angelilli, on behalf of the UEN Group

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0368)

16.2. Tunisia (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0512/2005, B6-0522/2005, B6-0524/2005, B6-0525/2005, B6-0529/2005 and B6-0532/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 9)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0512/2005

(replacing B6-0512/2005, B6-0522/2005, B6-0524/2005, B6-0525/2005, B6-0529/2005 and B6-0532/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Simon Busuttil, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt, Thomas Mann, Doris Pack and Zsolt László Becsey, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Pasqualina Napolitano, Alain Hutchinson and Véronique De Keyser, on behalf of the PSE Group,

Philippe Morillon, Thierry Cornillet, Frédérique Ries and Marios Matsakis, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Hélène Flautre, Raül Romeva i Rueda and Daniel Marc Cohn-Bendit, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

Francis Wurtz, Vittorio Agnoletto and Umberto Guidoni, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Girts Valdis Kristovskis, on behalf of the UEN Group

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0369)

16.3. Vojvodina (vote)

Motions for resolution B6-0518/2005, B6-0521/2005, B6-0527/2005, B6-0528/2005, B6-0531/2005, B6-0533/2005 and B6-0534/2005

(Simple majority)

(Voting record: Annex 1, Item 10)

MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION RC-B6-0518/2005

(replacing B6-0518/2005, B6-0521/2005, B6-0527/2005, B6-0528/2005, B6-0531/2005, B6-0533/2005 and B6-0534/2005):

tabled by the following Members:

Doris Pack, Zsolt László Becsey, Simon Coveney, Bernd Posselt and Thomas Mann, on behalf of the PPE-DE Group,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Pasqualina Napoletano, Hannes Swoboda, Jan Marinus Wiersma and Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, on behalf of the PSE Group,

István Szent-Iványi and Jelko Kacin, on behalf of the ALDE Group,

Gisela Kallenbach, Joost Lagendijk and Angelika Beer, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group,

André Brie, Jonas Sjöstedt, Erik Meijer and Roberto Musacchio, on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group,

Bastiaan Belder, on behalf of the IND/DEM Group,

Adriana Poli Bortone, on behalf of the UEN Group.

Adopted (P6_TA(2005)0370)

The following spoke on the vote:

— Zsolt László Becsey, who moved an oral amendment to recital E, which was incorporated.

17. Written declarations included in the register (Rule 116)

Number of signatures obtained by the written declarations in the register (Rule 116(3)):

Document No	Author	Signatures
38/2005	Amalia Sartori	329
39/2005	Alessandra Mussolini	3
40/2005	Alessandra Mussolini	13
41/2005	Richard Howitt, David Hammerstein Mintz, Ursula Stenzel, Adamos Adamou and Grażyna Staniszevska	405
42/2005	Jean-Claude Martinez	3
43/2005	Jana Bobošíková, Miloslav Ransdorf, Jaromír Kohlíček, Sahra Wagenknecht and Bogdan Golik	18
44/2005	Martin Callanan, Daniel Hannan, Christopher Heaton-Harris and Roger Helmer	12
45/2005	Chris Davies, Nigel Farage, Timothy Kirkhope, Jean Lambert and Gary Titley	126
46/2005	Elsbeth Attwooll, Nigel Farage, Timothy Kirkhope, Jean Lambert and Gary Titley	14
47/2005	James Hugh Allister	5
48/2005	Richard Corbett	38
49/2005	Richard Corbett	29
50/2005	Lissy Gröner, Genowefa Grabowska, Karin Riis-Jørgensen, Gérard Onesta and Vasco Graça Moura	101
51/2005	Silvana Koch-Mehrin	36
52/2005	David Martin, Paulo Casaca, Peter Skinner, Terence Wynn and Robert Evans	25
53/2005	Charles Tannock, Jana Hybášková, Marek Maciej Siwiec, André Brie and Frédérique Ries	35
54/2005	Den Dover and Kathy Sinnott	22
55/2005	Den Dover and Kathy Sinnott	22

Thursday, 29 September 2005

18. Decisions concerning certain documents

Authorisation to draw up own-initiative reports (Rule 45)

AFET Committee:

- EU-China relations (2005/2161(INI))
(opinion: INTA, ECON)

DEVE Committee:

- The development impact of economic partnership agreements (2005/2162(INI))
(opinion: INTA)

CONT Committee:

- Recovery of Community funds (2005/2163(INI))

ECON Committee:

- Public finances in EMU (2005/2166(INI))
(opinion: BUDG)
- State aid reform 2005-2009 (2005/2165(INI))
(opinion: EMPL, ITRE, IMCO, TRAN, REGI)

ITRE Committee:

- A European information society for growth and employment (2005/2167(INI))
(opinion: ECON, EMPL, CULT, FEMM)

TRAN Committee:

- The deployment of the European rail signalling system ERTMS/ETCS (2005/2168(INI))

LIBE Committee:

- Compliance with the Charter of Fundamental Rights in Commission legislative proposals: methodology for systematic and rigorous monitoring (2005/2169(INI))
(opinion: AFCO)

FEMM Committee:

- The situation of Roma women in the European Union (2005/2164(INI))

Decision to draw up own-initiative reports (Rule 114(3))

LIBE Committee:

- Evaluation of the European arrest warrant (2005/2175(INI))

Referral to committees

ECON Committee:

- Better lawmaking 2004: application of the principle of subsidiarity — 12th annual report (2005/2055(INI))
referred to responsible: JURI
(opinion: ECON)

JURI Committee:

- Transatlantic relations (2005/2056(INI))
referred to responsible: AFET
(opinion: INTA, JURI, LIBE)

ECON Committee:

- The implementation, consequences and impact of the internal market legislation in force (2004/2224(INI))
referred to responsible: IMCO
opinion: ECON, JURI

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Enhanced cooperation between committees

LIBE Committee:

- Proposal for a Council regulation establishing a European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (COM(2005)0280 [01] — C6-0288/2005 — 2005/0124(CNS))
(opinion: BUDG, CULT, AFCO, FEMM)
Enhanced cooperation between committees: LIBE, AFET
(Following the Conference of Presidents' Decision of 22.9.2005)

19. Forwarding of texts adopted during the sitting

Pursuant to Rule 172(2), the Minutes of that day's sitting would be submitted to Parliament for its approval at the beginning of the next sitting.

With Parliament's agreement, the texts that had been adopted would be forwarded forthwith to the bodies named therein.

20. Dates for next sittings

The next sittings would be held on 12 and 13.10.2005.

21. Adjournment of session

The session of the European Parliament was adjourned.

The sitting closed at 16.50.

Julian Priestley
Secretary-General

Alejo Vidal-Quadras Roca
Vice-President

Thursday, 29 September 2005

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

The following signed:

Adamou, Allister, Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Andrikenė, Angelilli, Arif, Arnautakis, Atkins, Attwooll, Aubert, Audy, Auken, Ayala Sender, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Baco, Barsi-Pataky, Batten, Batzéli, Bauer, Beaupuy, Beazley, Becsey, Beer, Beglitis, Belder, Belet, Belohorská, Bennahmias, Beňová, Berend, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlato, Berlinguer, Bersani, Birutis, Blokland, Bloom, Bobošíková, Böge, Bösch, Bonde, Bono, Borghezio, Borrell Fontelles, Bourlanges, Bourzai, Bowis, Bowles, Bozkurt, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Breyer, Březina, Brie, Budreikaitė, Buitenweg, Bullmann, van den Burg, Bushill-Matthews, Busk, Busquin, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Calabuig Rull, Callanan, Camre, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casa, Casaca, Cashman, Caspary, Castex, Castiglione, Cavada, Cederschiöld, Cercas, Cesa, Chatzimarkakis, Chichester, Chiesa, Chmielewski, Christensen, Chruszcz, Claeys, Clark, Cocilovo, Coelho, Cohn-Bendit, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Costa, Cottigny, Coûteaux, Cramer, Crowley, Ryszard Czarnecki, D'Alema, Daul, Davies, Degutis, Dehaene, De Keyser, Demetriou, Deprez, De Rossa, De Sarnez, Descamps, Désir, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, De Vits, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Didziokas, Díez González, Dillen, Dionisi, Dobolyi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Douay, Dover, Doyle, Drčar Murko, Duchoň, Duff, Duin, Duka-Zólyomi, Duquesne, Ebner, El Khadraoui, Elles, Esteves, Estrela, Ettl, Eurlings, Jillian Evans, Robert Evans, Fajmon, Falbr, Farage, Fatuzzo, Fava, Fazakas, Ferber, Fernandes, Anne Ferreira, Elisa Ferreira, Fjellner, Flasarová, Flautre, Fontaine, Ford, Fotyga, Fournou, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Fruteau, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, García Pérez, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gebhardt, Gentvilas, Geremek, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gibault, Gierek, Gill, Gklavakis, Glante, Glatfelder, Goebbels, Goepel, Golik, Gollnisch, Gomolka, Goudin, Grabowska, Grabowski, Graça Moura, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grech, Griesbeck, Gröner, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Grossetête, Gruber, Guardans Cambó, Guellec, Guerreiro, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Gyürk, Hall, Hammerstein Mintz, Hamon, Handzlik, Hannan, Harbour, Harkin, Harms, Hasse Ferreira, Hassi, Hatzidakis, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Helmer, Henin, Hennis-Plasschaert, Herczog, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Honeyball, Hoppenstedt, Horáček, Howitt, Hudacký, Hughes, Hutchinson, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, in 't Veld, Isler Béguin, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jackson, Jääteenmäki, Jałowicki, Janowski, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggel, Jensen, Joan i Marí, Jöns, Jørgensen, Jonckheer, Jordan Cizelj, Juknevičienė, Kaczmarek, Kallenbach, Kamall, Karas, Karim, Kasoulides, Kaufmann, Tunne Kelam, Kilroy-Silk, Kindermann, Kinnock, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Kohlček, Konrad, Korhola, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Kozlík, Krahmer, Krasts, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kristovskis, Krupa, Kuc, Kudrycka, Kuhne, Kušķis, Kusstatscher, Kuźmiuk, Lagendijk, Laignel, Lamassoure, Lambert, Lambrinidis, Lambsdorff, Lang, Langen, Langendries, Laperrouze, La Russa, Lavarra, Lax, Lechner, Le Foll, Lehne, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Jean-Marie Le Pen, Letta, Lévai, Lewandowski, Liberadzki, Libicki, Lichtenberger, Liese, Liotard, López-Istúriz White, Louis, Lucas, Ludford, Lulling, Lynne, Maat, Maaten, McAvan, McCarthy, McDonald, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Madeira, Manders, Maňka, Thomas Mann, Mantovani, Markov, Marques, Martens, David Martin, Hans-Peter Martin, Martínez Martínez, Masiel, Masip Hidalgo, Maštálka, Mastenbroek, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Matsakis, Matsis, Matsouka, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Medina Ortega, Meijer, Menéndez del Valle, Meyer Pleite, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Mohácsi, Moraes, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Morgantini, Morillon, Moscovici, Mote, Mulder, Musacchio, Muscardini, Muscat, Musotto, Mussolini, Myller, Napoletano, Nassauer, Nattrass, Navarro, Newton Dunn, Annemie Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Özdemir, Olajos, Olbrycht, Ó Neachtain, Onesta, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Óry, Oviir, Paasilinna, Pack, Pahor, Paleckis, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Panzeri, Papadimoulis, Papastamkos, Parish, Patrie, Peķ, Alojz Peterle, Pflüger, Piecyk, Pieper, Pīks, Pinheiro, Pinior, Piotrowski, Piskorski, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Poignant, Poli Bortone, Portas, Posselt, Prets, Prodi, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Rapkay, Remek, Resetarits, Reul, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Ries, Rivera, Rizzo, Rogalski, Roithová, Romagnoli, Romeva i Rueda, Roszkowski, Roth-Behrendt, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Rühle, Rutowicz, Ryan, Sacconi, Saifi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Salvini, Samuelsen, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Savary, Savi, Sbarbati, Schapira, Scheele, Schenardi, Schierhuber, Schlyter, Schmidt, Ingo Schmitt, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schroedter, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Seppänen, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sinnott, Siwec, Skinner, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Speroni, Staes, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Šťastný, Stenzel, Sterckx, Stevenson, Stihler, Stockmann, Strejček, Strož, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Svensson, Swoboda, Szájer, Szejna, Szent-Iványi, Szymański, Tabajdi, Tajani, Takkula, Tannock, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Toia, Toubon, Toussas, Trakatellis, Trautmann, Triantaphyllides, Trüpel, Turmes, Tzampazi, Ulmer, Väyrynen, Vaidere, Vakalis, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Vanhecke, Van Hecke, Van Lancker, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vaugrenard, Ventre, Verges, Vergnaud, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vincenzi, Vlasák, Vlasto, Voggenhuber, Wagenknecht, Wallis, Walter, Watson, Henri Weber, Manfred Weber, Weiler, Weisgerber, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Wijkman, Wise, von Wogau, Wohlin, Janusz Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Wurtz, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Záborská, Zaleski, Zani, Zapałowski, Zappalà, Ždanoka, Železný, Zieleniec, Žile, Zimmer, Zimmerling, Zingaretti, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Observers:

Anastase Roberta Alma, Athanasiu Alexandru, Bărbulețiu Tiberiu, Becșenescu Dumitru, Buruiană Aprodu Daniela, Ciornei Silvia, Cioroianu Adrian Mihai, Corlățean Titus, Coșea Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea, Crețu Corina, Crețu Gabriela, Dîncu Vasile, Duca Viorel Senior, Dumitrescu Cristian, Gañț Ovidiu Victor, Hogeia Vlad Gabriel, Iacob Ridzi Monica Maria, Kelemen Atilla Béla Ladislau, Kónya-Hamar Sándor, Marinescu Marian-Jean, Mihăescu Eugen, Morțun Alexandru Ioan, Muscă Monica Octavia, Nicolae Șerban, Pașcu Ioan Mircea, Petre Maria, Podgorean Radu, Popa Nicolae Vlad, Popeangă Petre, Sârbu Daciana Octavia, Severin Adrian, Silaghi Ovidiu Ioan, Sofianski Stefan, Szabó Károly Ferenc, Tîrle Radu, Zgonea Valeriu Ștefan

Thursday, 29 September 2005

ANNEX I

LIST OF OBSERVERS APPOINTED TO PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES

C01 — Committee on Foreign Affairs

Observers 7

PPE-DE ABADJIEV Dimitar
ANASTASE Roberta

ALDE CIOROIANU Adrian Mihai Alma
ILCHEV Stanimir

PSE PAȘCU Ioan Mircea
VIGENIN Kristian

NI MIHĂESCU Eugen

C04 — Committee on Budgets

Observers 5

PPE-DE DIMITROV Martin
IACOB RIDZI Monica Maria

PSE ZGONEA Valeriu Ștefan

ALDE SHOULEVA Lydia

NI POPEANGĂ Petre

C06 — Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs

Observers 4

PPE-DE CAPPONE Maria

PSE CREȚU Corina
KIRILOV Evgeni

NI HOGEA Vlad Gabriel

C07 — Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Observers 1

PSE ATHANASIU Alexandru

C08 — Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Observers 4

PPE-DE TÎRLE Radu

PSE SÂRBU Daciana Octavia

ALDE BĂRBULEȚIU Tiberiu
PARVANOVĂ Antonia

Thursday, 29 September 2005

C09 — Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

Observers 3

PSE PAPARIZOV Atanas Atanassov
ALDE CIORNEI Silvia
NI DUCA Viorel Senior

C10 — Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection

Observers 4

PSE BLIZNASHKI Georgi
CREȚU Gabriela
ALDE ALI Nedzhmi
SILAGHI Ovidiu Ioan

C11 — Committee on Transport and Tourism

Observers 2

PSE SEVERIN Adrian
ALDE BECȘENESCU Dumitru

C12 — Committee on Regional Development

Observers 6

PPE-DE PETRE Maria
SOFIANSKI Stefan
PSE DÎNCU Vasile
ALDE HUSMENOVA Filiz
MORȚUN Alexandru Ioan
NI STOYANOV Dimitar

C13 — Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Observers 5

PPE-DE KELEMEN Atilla Béla Ladislau
PSE PODGOREAN Radu
ALDE COȘEA Dumitru Gheorghe Mircea
KAZAK Tchetin
NI BURUIANĂ APRODU Daniela

C15 — Committee on Culture and Education

Observers 3

PPE-DE GANȚ Ovidiu Victor
KÓNYA HAMAR Sándor
ALDE MUSCĂ Monica Octavia

Thursday, 29 September 2005

C16 — Committee on Legal Affairs

Observers 2

PSE ARABADJIEV Alexander
DUMITRESCU Cristian

C17 — Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

Observers 6

PPE-DE MARINESCU Marian Jean
SZABÓ Károly Ferenc
PSE CORLĂȚEAN Titus
IVANOVA Iglîka
ALDE CHRISTOVA Christina Velcheva
POPA Nicolae Vlad

C18 — Committee on Constitutional Affairs

Observers 1

PSE NICOLAE Șerban

Thursday, 29 September 2005

ANNEX II

RESULTS OF VOTES

Abbreviations and symbols

+	adopted
-	rejected
↓	lapsed
W	withdrawn
RCV (... , ... , ...)	roll-call vote (for, against, abstentions)
EV (... , ... , ...)	electronic vote (for, against, abstentions)
split	split vote
sep	separate vote
am	amendment
CA	compromise amendment
CP	corresponding part
D	deleting amendment
=	identical amendments
§	paragraph
art	article
rec	recital
MOT	motion for a resolution
JT MOT	joint motion for a resolution
SEC	secret ballot

1. EU-US Wine Agreement

Motions for resolutions: B6-0489/2005, 0511/2005, 0514/2005, 0515/2005, 0516/2005 and 0517/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0489/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, UEN)					
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0489/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0511/2005		PSE		↓	
B6-0514/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0515/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0516/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0517/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

2. Oil

Motions for resolutions: B6-0481/2005, 0482/2005, 0491/2005, 0499/2005, 0506/2005 and 0509/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0481/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, UEN)					
§ 8	§	original text	sep	+	
After § 9	1	PSE		-	
§ 10	§	original text	sep	+	oral amendment
§ 15, indent 6	§	original text	sep	+	oral amendment
§ 15, after indent 7	2	PSE		+	
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0481/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0482/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0491/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0499/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0506/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0509/2005		PSE		↓	

Mrs In 't Veld and Mr Prodi had also signed the joint motion for a resolution on behalf of the ALDE Group.

Requests for separate votes

PSE : §§ 8 and 10

Verts/ALE: § 15, indent 6

Miscellaneous

M. Mr Goebbels, on behalf of the PSE Group, moved the following oral amendment to paragraph 10:

asks the Commission to keep the regulatory status of hedge funds under review **and examine ways in which added transparency could contribute to more stable oil markets**

M. Mr Turmes, on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group, moved the following oral amendment to § 15, indent 6:

‘— **put forward proposals for** the car manufacturers to develop cleaner and less oil-consuming vehicles’

Thursday, 29 September 2005

3. Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals

Motions for resolutions: B6-0483/2005, 0492/2005, 0493/2205, 0501/2005, 0507/2005 and 0510/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0483/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, UEN)					
§ 3	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
After § 5	3	PSE		-	
After § 7	4	PPE-DE		+	
§ 19	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/RCV	+	423, 93, 27
§ 20	§	original text		+	oral amendment
§ 21	§	original text	RCV	+	455, 48, 45
After § 21	5	PSE		W	
Recital B	1	PSE	RCV	-	232, 303, 13
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0483/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0492/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0493/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0501/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0507/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0510/2005		PSE		↓	

Amendment 2 had been cancelled.

Requests for roll-call votes

IND/DEM: § 21

PPE-DE: § 19, second part, am 1

Requests for split votes

§ 3

First part: up to 'such crimes'

Second part: remainder

PPE-DE, PSE

§ 19

First part: up to 'effectiveness of the Security Council;'

Second part: remainder

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Miscellaneous

M. Mr Lambsdorff, on behalf of the ALDE Group, moved the following oral amendment to paragraph 20:

20. Supports and welcomes the new commitment by the UN on the Democracy Fund as an important tool for the promotion of democracy world-wide, and calls on the Member States and the Commission to fully support it politically and financially; **reaffirms its belief that the UN itself needs to considerably enhance democracy within its structures, and therefore underlines its call for a caucus of democracies within the UN's General Assembly;**

4. Belarus

Motions for resolutions: B6-0486/2005, 0488/2005, 0490/2005, 0494/2005, 0497/2005, 0503/2005 and 0508/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0486/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, IND/DEM, UEN)					
§ 12	1	Verts/ALE		+	<i>see below</i>
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0486/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0488/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0490/2005		PSE		↓	
B6-0494/2005		IND/DEM		↓	
B6-0497/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0503/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0508/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	

'European Neighbourhood Programme' in Am 1 should read 'European Neighbourhood Policy'.

5. EU-India relations

Report: Emilio MENÉNDEZ DEL VALLE (A6-0256/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 2	12	PSE		W	
After § 2	1	PPE-DE		+	
§ 4	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
After § 5	7	PPE-DE		+	
	8	PPE-DE		+	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 10	§	original text		+	oral amendment
	18	Verts/ALE		↓	
§ 11	13	PSE		+	
After § 11	14	PSE		+	
§ 13	15	PSE		+	
§ 14	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 17	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/RCV	+	483, 48, 19 oral amendment
After § 17	16	PSE		+	
§ 31	2	PPE-DE		+	
	§	original text	sep	↓	
§ 37	19D	Verts/ALE		-	
§ 40	3	PPE-DE		+	
After § 43	4	PPE-DE		+	
	5	PPE-DE	EV	-	114, 403, 22
§ 58	20	Verts/ALE	split		
			original	+	
			addition	+	oral amendment
			§	original text	sep
§ 64	21	Verts/ALE		-	
After § 64	22	Verts/ALE		+	
After § 72	9	IND/DEM		-	
§ 74	17D	PSE	EV	+	482, 36, 14
§ 82	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 84	6	PPE-DE		+	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
After citation 4	10	PSE		+	
	11	PSE		+	
Recital H	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	

Request for roll-call vote

IND/DEM: § 17, 2nd part

Requests for separate votes

IND/DEM: recital H

Verts/ALE: § 58

Requests for split votes

IND/DEM:

§ 4

First part: up to 'partnership between the EU and India'

Second part: remainder

§ 14

First part: Text as a whole without the words 'but also the need for a multipolar world'

Second part: those words

§ 17

First part: up to 'towards union'

Second part: remainder

§ 82

First part: Text as a whole excluding the words 'and Israel'

Second part: those words

Verts/ALE

am 20

First part: Up to 'this area'

Second part: remainder (oral amendment)

Miscellaneous

The rapporteur moved oral amendments to:

— § 10

'10. Calls on the Commission and the Council to work together with the Indian Government, as a matter of urgency, to improve the situation of the underprivileged sections of the population, in particular women, children and disadvantaged groups of persons, e.g. Dalits and Adivasis **and requests that any such future activities contribute actively towards ending discrimination on the basis of gender or caste;**'

Thursday, 29 September 2005

— § 17

Second part

'... including the progress in the common foreign and security policy which **could** result from the entry into force of the new European constitution;'

— amendment 20

'20. Calls on the EU Member States potentially able to cooperate in the field of civilian-use nuclear energy to give due consideration to India's enormous and growing energy requirements and to take a decision on the possibility of increasing cooperation with India in this area; **also urges the Commission, the Member States and India to increase cooperation in the field of renewable energies;**'

6. Renewable energy in the EU

Report: Claude TURMES (A6-0227/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 2	25	PPE-DE	EV	-	254, 288, 11
After § 3	32	PPE-DE, ALDE	RCV	+	282, 247, 28
	38	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 6	18= 26=	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL, PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
After § 9	7	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL	RCV	+	550, 11, 2
	5	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
	6	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 10	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 13	8	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 15	19	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL	VE	+	316, 228, 6
	§	original text	sep	↓	
§ 16	§	original text	-		inserted after current § 62
§ 17	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 21	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
After § 21	9	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
	10	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 23	1	PSE		+	
	27	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 25	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3/EV	+	320, 235, 13
After § 25	42	PPE-DE, ALDE	split/RCV		
			1	+	496, 24, 14
			2	+	315, 217, 20
	43	PPE-DE, ALDE	RCV	+	305, 241, 17
§ 26	34D	PPE-DE		-	
§ 29	39	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 30	28	PPE-DE	EV	-	244, 304, 8
§ 31	29	PPE-DE		-	
§ 32	§	-			inserted after § 42a (new)
	20	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 33	30D	PPE-DE		-	
§ 38	35/rev	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 39	31D	PPE-DE		-	
	21	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL		+	
After § 40	11	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 41	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 42, point a)	12	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
After § 42	13	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 45	14	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 46	22	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL	EV	+	314, 238, 9
After § 46	37	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 47	23D	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, GUE/NGL		+	
§ 48	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	+	416, 139, 10
§ 51	§	original text	RCV	+	541, 11, 8
After § 52	40	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 53	§	original text	RCV	+	538, 23, 4
After § 55	36	PPE-DE, ALDE		+	
§ 60	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 61	§	original text	sep	+	
After § 61	41	PPE-DE		+	
§ 62	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 63	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 65	24	PSE		+	
	§	original text		↓	
§ 69	33	PPE-DE	EV	-	271, 285, 14
§ 70	15	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
After § 84	16	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
	17	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
Recital A	2D	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
Recital B	3D	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
Recital C	4D	Verts/ALE, PSE, ALDE, PPE-DE, GUE/NGL		+	
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Requests for roll-call votes

IND/DEM: § 53

PPE-DE: § 51, ams 7, 32, 42, 43

Requests for separate votes

PPE-DE: §§ 61 and 63

Requests for split votes

IND/DEM

§ 41

First part: up to 'possible cost,'

Second part: remainder

PSE

am 42

First part: up to 'by increasing production'

Second part: remainder

PPE-DE

§ 10

First part: Paragraph as a whole without the word 'mandatory'

Second part: that word

§ 17

First part: Paragraph as a whole without the word 'mandatory'

Second part: that word

§ 21

First part: Paragraph as a whole without the word 'enormous'

Second part: that word

§ 25

First part: up to 'Buildings Directive'

Second part: 'to all commercial buildings ... and the use of renewable energies'

Third part: remainder

§ 48

First part: Paragraph as a whole without the word 'mandatory'

Second part: that word

§ 60

First part: Paragraph as a whole without the words 'and use'

Second part: those words

Thursday, 29 September 2005

§ 62

First part: up to 'protect nature and produce clean energy'

Second part: remainder

Miscellaneous

The Verts/ALE Group proposed the following changes to the order of paragraphs:

- § 16 to be placed after the current § 62
- § 32 to be placed after the new § 42a

7. EU road-safety action programme

Report: Ari VATANEN (A6-0225/2005)

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 5	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
After § 5	4	PSE	EV	+	313, 234, 5
	9	Verts/ALE		-	
§ 7	§	<i>original text</i>	RCV	-	211, 334, 9
After § 11	5	PSE		+	
§ 12	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 13	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	-	247, 265, 15
§ 14	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
After § 14	3	PSE	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 19	1/rev2	ALDE		-	
	6	PSE	split/RCV		
			1	+	278, 255, 10
			2	-	216, 307, 10
§	<i>original text</i>		↓		

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
§ 21	2	ALDE		+	
§ 22	7/rev	PSE	RCV	+	284, 244, 6 addition
	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	↓	
§ 25	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 26	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 35	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 36, indent 3	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
§ 36, indent 4	§	<i>original text</i>	sep	+	
Recital C	8	Verts/ALE		-	
Vote: resolution (as a whole)			RCV	+	453, 69, 14

Requests for roll-call votes

PPE-DE: final vote

IND/DEM: § 7

PSE: ams 6 and 7

Requests for separate votes

ALDE: § 7

Verts/ALE: §§ 7, 25 and 35

PPE-DE: §§ 7, 25 and 36 indents 3 and 4

Requests for split votes

PPE-DE

§ 5*First part:* up to 'by road accidents'*Second part:* remainder**§ 12***First part:* up to 'road building, signs'*Second part:* remainder**§ 13***First part:* up to 'higher-risk groups'*Second part:* remainder**§ 14***First part:* up to 'failure to use seat belts'*Second part:* remainder

Thursday, 29 September 2005

§ 26*First part:* up to '(TEN-T)'*Second part:* remainder**am 3***First part:* up to 'speed-limiting devices'*Second part:* remainder

PSE

am 6*First part:* Text as a whole without the words 'speed limits'*Second part:* those words**8. Nepal***Motions for resolutions: B6-0513/2005, 0519/2005, 0520/2005, 0523/2005, 0526/2005 and 0530/2005*

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0513/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, UEN)					
§ 10	§	<i>original text</i>	split/RCV		
			1	+	86, 1,0
			2	-	42, 47, 1
Recital D	§	<i>original text</i>	RCV	-	40, 47, 2
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0513/2005		PSE		↓	
B6-0519/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0520/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0523/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0526/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0530/2005		ALDE		↓	

Request for roll-call vote

PPE-DE: rec D and § 10

Requests for split votes

PPE-DE

§ 10*First part:* Text as a whole excluding the words 'and urges the royal Nepalese army to exercise restraint at all times'*Second part:* those words

Thursday, 29 September 2005

9. Tunisia

Motions for resolutions: B6-0512/2005, 0522/2005, 0524/2005, 0525/2005, 0529/2005 and 0532/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0512/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, UEN)					
Vote: resolution (as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0512/2005		PSE		↓	
B6-0522/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0524/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0525/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0529/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0532/2005		UEN		↓	

10. Vojvodina

Motions for resolutions: B6-0518/2005, 0521/2005, 0527/2005, 0528/2205, 0531/2005, 0533/2005 and 0534/2005

Subject	Am. No	Author	RCV, etc.	Vote	RCV/EV — remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B6-0518/2005 (PPE-DE, PSE, ALDE, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL, IND/DEM and UEN)					
After § 5	1	Verts/ALE		+	
Recital E		original text		+	oral amendment
Vote: resolution (as a whole)			RCV	+	88, 0, 2
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B6-0518/2005		IND/DEM		↓	
B6-0521/2005		Verts/ALE		↓	
B6-0527/2005		PPE-DE		↓	
B6-0528/2005		ALDE		↓	
B6-0531/2005		GUE/NGL		↓	
B6-0533/2005		UEN		↓	
B6-0534/2005		PSE		↓	

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Request for roll-call vote

PPE-DE final vote

Miscellaneous:

M. Mr Becsey moved the following oral amendment to recital E:

'E: whereas no real progress has been made in reversing the deterioration ***in the conditions for national and ethnic minorities in Vojvodina, thereby jeopardising their future in the region, or*** facilitating ...'

Thursday, 29 September 2005

ANNEX III

RESULT OF ROLL-CALL VOTES

1. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform

Paragraph 19/2

For: 423

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimakakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Guardans Cambó, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis

GUE/NGL: Kaufmann

NI: Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Masiel, Rivera, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Busuttill, Buzek, Caspary, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Saifi, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Štátný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Carlotti, Carnero González, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, McAvan, Madeira, Maňka, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Siwiec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Didžiokas, Kristovskis, Muscardini, Poli Bortone

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Against: 93**GUE/NGL:** Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kohlíček, McDonald, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Stroj, Toussas**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Borghezio, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Krupa, Natrass, Piotrowski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin, Železný**NI:** Allister, Bobošíková, Claey, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke**PPE-DE:** Atkins, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Grossetête, Harbour, Nicholson, Pieper, Purvis, Seeberg, Škottová, Strejček, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Weisgerber, Zvěřina**PSE:** Cashman, Corbett, Evans Robert, Gill, Grech, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, McCarthy, Martin David, Morgan, Muscat, Segelström, Stihler, Titley, Whitehead**UEN:** Aylward, Camre, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile**Verts/ALE:** Lucas, Schlyter**Abstention: 27****ALDE:** Hall**GUE/NGL:** Agnoletto, Brie, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Chruszcz, Coûteaux, Grabowski, Louis, Pęk, Rogalski, Zapałowski**NI:** Baco, Kozlík**PPE-DE:** De Veyrac, McMillan-Scott**Verts/ALE:** Lagendijk, Lambert**Corrections to votes****For**

Rainer Wieland, Inger Segelström, Gérard Onesta, Antoine Duquesne

Against

John Attard-Montalto, Christopher Beazley, Linda McAvan

2. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform**Paragraph 21****For: 455****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Wallis, Watson**GUE/NGL:** Agnoletto, Kaufmann, Musacchio, Portas**IND/DEM:** Bonde**NI:** Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Martin Hans-Peter, Masiel, Mussolini, Rutowicz**PPE-DE:** Andriksen, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepols, Březina, Busuttil, Buzek, Caspary, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gähler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Jarzembowski, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Pack, Pálfi, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeborg, Siekierski, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Berlato, Didžiokas

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Evans Jillian, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Zdanoka

Against: 48

GUE/NGL: Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kohlíček, Remek, Stroj, Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Louis, Nattrass, Pełk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Claeys, Dillen, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Mote, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Camre, Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Ryan

Abstention: 45

GUE/NGL: Brie, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Seppänen, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Salvini, Speroni, Wohlin

NI: Baco, Helmer, Kozlík, Rivera

PPE-DE: Atkins, Beazley, Bowis, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Chichester, Deva, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Harbour, Kamall, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Purvis, Škottová, Strejček, Sturdy, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák

UEN: Krasts, Muscardini

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Corrections to votes**Against**

Jens-Peter Bonde

3. RC B6-0483/2005 — UN reform**Amendment 1****For: 232****ALDE:** Chiesa**GUE/NGL:** Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Belder, Blokland, Bonde, Sinnott**NI:** Belohorská, Martin Hans-Peter**PPE-DE:** Cederschiöld, De Veyrac, Fjellner, Gauzès, Hybášková, Millán Mon, Stubb**PSE:** Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti**Verts/ALE:** Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Legendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka**Against: 303****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andria, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson**GUE/NGL:** Strož**IND/DEM:** Batten, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Grabowski, Krupa, Louis, Natrass, Peç, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Speroni, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný**NI:** Allister, Bobošíková, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Romagnoli, Schenardi, Vanhecke

Thursday, 29 September 2005

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowicki, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Pšks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Strejček, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vatanen, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere

Abstention: 13

ALDE: Samuelson

GUE/NGL: Toussas

IND/DEM: Goudin, Wohlin

NI: Baco, Claeys, Kozlík, Rivera

PPE-DE: Beazley, Ventre, Wijkman

PSE: Liberadzki

UEN: Muscardini

Corrections to votes

Against

Jean-Paul Gauzès, Francisco José Millán Mon

4. Report: Menéndez Del Valle A6-0256/2005

Paragraph 17/2

For: 483

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uytbroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelson, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Kaufmann, Morgantini

NI: Belohorská, Bobošíková, Helmer, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Caspary, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Gahler, Gál, Galà, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jarzembowski, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Ptk, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeborg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Kósáné Kovács, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Berlato, Kristovskis, La Russa, Muscardini, Poli Bortone

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 48

GUE/NGL: Guerreiro, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Papadimoulis, Portas, Svensson, Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Louis, Nattrass, Peç, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin, Zapałowski

NI: Allister, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Mote, Romagnoli, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Deva, Strejček

UEN: Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 19

ALDE: Harkin

GUE/NGL: Henin, Markov, Remek, Seppänen

IND/DEM: Coûteaux, Železný

NI: Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez

Thursday, 29 September 2005

PPE-DE: Graça Moura, McMillan-Scott

PSE: Castex

UEN: Krasts, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Lambert, Romeva i Rueda

Corrections to votes

For

Antonio Masip Hidalgo

Against

Caroline Lucas

5. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005

Amendment 32

For: 282

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Harkin, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Maaten, Matsakis, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Ries, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Toia, Van Hecke, Virrankoski

GUE/NGL: Flasarová, Henin, Maštálka, Remek, Strož

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Helmer, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Caspary, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrowski, Doorn, Dover, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Pálfi, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Ingo, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Siekierski, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Beňová, van den Burg

UEN: Angelilli, Berlatto, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Schmidt

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Against: 247

ALDE: Attwooll, Davies, Duff, Hall, in 't Veld, Karim, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Manders, Mohácsi, Samuelsen, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Kaufmann, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Rizzo, Seppänen, Svensson, Toussas, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Blokland, Borghezio, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Martin Hans-Peter

PPE-DE: Doyle, Higgins, Seeberg, Wijkman

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Óger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poinant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Titley, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani

UEN: Aylward, Crowley, Ó Neachtain, Ryan

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schroedter, Staes, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Abstention: 28

ALDE: Bowles, Hennis-Plasschaert, Väyrynen, Wallis

GUE/NGL: Guerreiro

IND/DEM: Coûteaux

NI: Baco, Belohorská, Dillen, Gollnisch, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Ebner, Karas, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Rack, Rübig, Schierhuber, Seeber, Stenzel, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis

Corrections to votes**For**

Toine Manders, Rainer Wieland

Against

Nicola Zingaretti, Frithjof Schmidt,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005**Amendment 7****For: 550**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelson, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Blokland, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wohlin, Zapałowski

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnoc, Caspary, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klauf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kuššis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinšá, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina, Zwiefka

PSE: Arif, Arnautakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Masip Hidalgo, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Óger, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poinant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlatto, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 11

GUE/NGL: Morgantini, Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Bonde, Clark, Farage, Natrass, Wise, Železný

NI: Baco, Kilroy-Silk

Abstention: 2

IND/DEM: Coûteaux, Louis

Corrections to votes

Against

Rainer Wieland

7. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005

Amendment 42/1

For: 496

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtoul, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Zapałowski

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Helmer, Masiel, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Píks, Pinheiro, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

Thursday, 29 September 2005

PSE: Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bösch, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, Díez González, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Wiersma, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 24

IND/DEM: Batten, Borghezio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Mote

PPE-DE: Caspary, Konrad, Pieper, Piskorski, Reul, Roithová, Ulmer

PSE: D'Alema, Sakalas

Abstention: 14

GUE/NGL: Toussas

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Claeys, Gollnisch, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Mussolini, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Ventre

Corrections to votes

For

Luisa Morgantini

8. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005

Amendment 42/2

For: 315

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Boulanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Juknevičienė, Kraemer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Belder, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Zapałowski

NI: Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

Thursday, 29 September 2005

PPE-DE: Andrikienė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttill, Buzek, Cabrnock, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gaľa, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Óry, Pack, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Rudi Uboda, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Beňová, Castex, Correia, De Vits, Falbr, Fernandes, Jöns, Piniór, Poignant

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere

Verts/ALE: Buitenweg, Voggenhuber

Against: 217

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Goudin, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Martin Hans-Peter, Mote

PPE-DE: Caspary, Konrad, Pálfi, Pieper, Reul, Roithová, Seeberg, Strejček, Ulmer

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarand, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani

UEN: Krasts

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Turmes, Ždanoka

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Abstention: 20

GUE/NGL: Guerreiro, Rizzo, Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Borghezio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Speroni

NI: Allister, Baco, Belohorská, Bobošíková, Kozlík

PPE-DE: Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Ventre

PSE: dos Santos

Corrections to votes

Abstention

Thomas Wise

9. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005

Amendment 43

For: 305

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Kraher, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Ries, Samuelson, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Helmer, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Castiglione, Cederschiöld, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klab, Klich, Koch, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Grabowska, Kuc, Kuhne

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere

Verts/ALE: Frassoni, Hammerstein Mintz

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Against: 241**ALDE:** Chiesa**GUE/NGL:** Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer**IND/DEM:** Batten, Belder, Bonde, Borghezio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin, Železný**NI:** Allister, Kilroy-Silk, Martin Hans-Peter, Mote**PPE-DE:** Caspary, Hoppenstedt, Konrad, Pieper, Reul, Roithová**PSE:** Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Díez González, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Grech, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pittella, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Prets, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti**Verts/ALE:** Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka**Abstention: 17****ALDE:** Attwooll, Hall, Letta, Ludford, Resetarits**GUE/NGL:** Guerreiro, Rizzo**NI:** Baco, Bobošíková, Gollnisch, Kozlík, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Schenardi**PPE-DE:** Maat, Ventre**Corrections to votes****Against**

Georgios Toussas

10. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005**Paragraph 51****For: 541****ALDE:** Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtoul, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uytbroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

Thursday, 29 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Borghezio, Chruszcz, Coûteaux, Goudin, Grabowski, Krupa, Louis, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Wohlin, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Belohorská, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrowskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gala, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübige, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beňová, Berès, Berger, Berman, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laiguel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poinant, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Legendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 11

IND/DEM: Bonde, Farage

NI: Kilroy-Silk, Mote

PPE-DE: Fjellner, Jeggle, Konrad, van Nistelrooij, Pieper, Reul, Wuermeling

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Abstention: 8**IND/DEM:** Batten, Clark, Natrass, Wise**NI:** Baco, Bobošíková, Kozlík**UEN:** Krasts**Corrections to votes****Against**

Christofer Fjellner

11. Report: Turmes A6-0227/2005**Paragraph 53****For: 538**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jäätteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Krahmer, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Zapałowski, Źelezny

NI: Allister, Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Helmer, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnich, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gähler, Gál, Gała, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Herranz García, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowicki, Járóka, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, López-Istúriz White, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mato Adrover, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Millán Mon, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pinheiro, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rudi Ubeda, Rübig, Saifi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeber, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, Wijkman, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Goebbels, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Rapkay, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Rouček, Roue, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwec, Sornosa Martínez, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Szejna, Tabajdi, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Evans Jillian, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 23

IND/DEM: Batten, Bonde, Borghesio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Speroni, Wise, Wohlin

NI: Bobošíková, Claeys, Dillen, Kilroy-Silk, Mote, Vanhecke

UEN: Krasts, Kristovskis, Vaidere, Zīle

Abstention: 4

ALDE: Ludford

GUE/NGL: Toussas

NI: Baco, Kozlík

12. Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005

Paragraph 7

For: 211

ALDE: Cocilovo, Costa, Jäättömäki

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Meyer Pleite, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská, Czarnecki Ryszard, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Hatzidakis, Mavrommatis, Novak, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Hutchinson, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Mañka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Óger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Reynaud, Riera Madurell, Rothe, Roue, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwec, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

Thursday, 29 September 2005

UEN: Didžiokas, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Voggenhuber

Against: 334

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uytbroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Toussas

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Borghezio, Clark, Coûteaux, Farage, Goudin, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Wise, Wohlin, Železný

NI: Allister, Bobošíková, Claeys, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, Galeote Quecedo, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mayer, Mayor Oreja, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Štátný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Gröner, Poignant

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Fotyga, Janowski, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Frassoni, Graefe zu Baringdorf, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Ždanoka

Abstention: 9

IND/DEM: Bonde, Rogalski

NI: Baco, Kozlík, Rivera

PPE-DE: Brepoels, Gklavakis, Wijkman

UEN: Camre

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Corrections to votes**Against**

Eva-Britt Svensson

13. Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005**Amendment 6/1****For: 278**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Cavada, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszewska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Borghezio, Salvini, Speroni

NI: Belohorská, Mussolini

PPE-DE: Brepoels, Hatzidakis, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Papastamkos, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Muscat, Myller, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Westlund, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennaahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Frassoni, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 255

ALDE: Chatzimarkakis, Takkula

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Chruszcz, Clark, Farage, Grabowski, Krupa, Natrass, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Wise, Zapałowski, Żelezný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Masiel, Mote, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttil, Buzek, Cabrnock, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Gahler, Gál, Gala, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klaß, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušķis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mayer, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Pack, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rűbig, Saifi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Štátný, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Tannock, Thyssen, Toubon, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Cashman, Corbett, Evans Robert, Ford, Goebbels, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Kinnock, McAvan, McCarthy, Martin David, Morgan, Pahor, Stihler, Titley, Whitehead

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Didziokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 10

IND/DEM: Coúteaux, Goudin, Louis, Wohlin

NI: Baco, Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter

PPE-DE: Gklavakis, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Wijkman

14. Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005

Amendment 6/2

For: 216

ALDE: Cavada, Costa, Gibault, Jäätteenmäki, Neyts-Uytbroeck

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, Markov, Maštálka, Meijer, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská

PPE-DE: Brepoels, Gklavakis, Hatzidakis, Mavrommatis, Papastamkos, Trakatellis, Vakalis, Varvitsiotis

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Carnero González, Casaca, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Herczog, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Muscat, Myller, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Siwec, Sousa Pinto, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Valenciano Martínez-Orozco, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Bennahmias, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Mari, Jonckheer, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Against: 307

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Borghezio, Clark, Louis, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Speroni, Železný

NI: Allister, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Masiel, Mote, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andrikenė, Atkins, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Beazley, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Bradbourn, Braghetto, Brejc, Březina, Bushill-Matthews, Busuttill, Buzek, Cabrnock, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, Deva, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovskis, Doorn, Dover, Doyle, Duchoň, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Elles, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Gała, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Higgins, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggler, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Kamall, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kuškis, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, McGuinness, McMillan-Scott, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mayer, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Nicholson, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Pálfi, Parish, Peterle, Pieper, Píks, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Purvis, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpfli, Schröder, Schwab, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Škottová, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stevenson, Strejček, Stubb, Sturdy, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Ulmer, Van Orden, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasák, Vlasto, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zimmerling, Zvěřina

PSE: Cashman, Corbett, Evans Robert, Ford, Glante, Goebbels, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, McAvan, Martin David, Morgan, Segelström, Stihler, Titley, Westlund, Whitehead

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Schlyter

Abstention: 10

ALDE: Cocilovo

IND/DEM: Coûteaux, Goudin, Wohlin

NI: Kozlík, Martin Hans-Peter, Martinez, Rivera

PPE-DE: Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Wijkman

15. Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005

Amendment 7

For: 284

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Costa, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Manders, Matsakis, Morillon, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Samuelsen, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

Thursday, 29 September 2005

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Maštálka, Meijer, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Toussas, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Bonde, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská, Claeys, Dillen, Helmer, Rivera, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Atkins, Beazley, Belet, Bradbourn, Brepoels, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnach, Deva, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Kamall, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Parish, Pieper, Purvis, Sartori, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Zvěřina

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, van den Burg, Busquin, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, De Rossa, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Ford, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierek, Gill, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedh, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Herczog, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Jöns, Jørgensen, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Liberadzki, McAvan, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Napolitano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Öger, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk, Pinior, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Poignant, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwiec, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Wiersma, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Camre

Verts/ALE: Kallenbach, Onesta

Against: 244

ALDE: Hennis-Plasschaert, Maaten, Mohácsi, Mulder

IND/DEM: Batten, Belder, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Natrass, Salvini, Sinnott, Wise, Wohlin

NI: Allister, Czarnecki Ryszard, Gollnisch, Kilroy-Silk, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Romagnoli, Rutowicz, Schenardi

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Becsey, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejč, Březina, Busuttil, Buzek, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daül, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrovski, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fjellner, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gähler, Gál, Gaľa, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Harbour, Hatzidakis, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hudacký, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jeggel, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Klamt, Klač, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Langendries, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Liese, Lulling, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Píks, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Saifi, Saryusz-Wolski, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Seeberg, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Wuermeling, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalá, Zieleniec, Zimmerling

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hasi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Jonckheer, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Staes, Trüpel, Turmes, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Abstention: 6**IND/DEM:** Borghezio, Coûteaux, Louis, Železný**PPE-DE:** Wijkman**Verts/ALE:** Bennahmias**Corrections to votes****Against**

Paul Rübig, Gérard Onesta

16. Report: Vatanen A6-0225/2005**Resolution****For: 453**

ALDE: Alvaro, Andrejevs, Andria, Attwooll, Beaupuy, Birutis, Bourlanges, Bowles, Budreikaitė, Busk, Cavada, Chatzimarkakis, Chiesa, Cocilovo, Davies, Deprez, De Sarnez, Drčar Murko, Duff, Duquesne, Fourtou, Gentvilas, Geremek, Gibault, Griesbeck, Guardans Cambó, Hall, Harkin, Hennis-Plasschaert, in 't Veld, Jääteenmäki, Jensen, Juknevičienė, Karim, Lambsdorff, Laperrouze, Lax, Letta, Ludford, Lynne, Maaten, Manders, Matsakis, Mohácsi, Morillon, Mulder, Newton Dunn, Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Onyszkiewicz, Ortuondo Larrea, Oviir, Prodi, Resetarits, Ries, Savi, Sbarbati, Staniszevska, Starkevičiūtė, Sterckx, Szent-Iványi, Takkula, Toia, Väyrynen, Van Hecke, Virrankoski, Wallis, Watson

GUE/NGL: Agnoletto, Brie, Flasarová, Guerreiro, Henin, Kaufmann, Kohlíček, Liotard, McDonald, Maštálka, Meijer, Morgantini, Musacchio, Papadimoulis, Pflüger, Portas, Remek, Rizzo, Seppänen, Strož, Svensson, Wagenknecht, Zimmer

IND/DEM: Belder, Chruszcz, Grabowski, Krupa, Pęk, Piotrowski, Rogalski, Sinnott, Zapałowski

NI: Belohorská, Claeys, Czarnecki Ryszard, Dillen, Gollnisch, Lang, Le Pen Jean-Marie, Martinez, Masiel, Mussolini, Rivera, Rutowicz, Schenardi, Vanhecke

PPE-DE: Andriksen, Audy, Ayuso González, Bachelot-Narquin, Bauer, Becsey, Belet, Berend, Böge, Bowis, Braghetto, Brejc, Brepoels, Březina, Busuttill, Buzek, Caspary, Castiglione, Cesa, Chichester, Chmielewski, Coelho, Daul, Dehaene, Descamps, Deß, De Veyrac, Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Dionisi, Dombrowski, Doorn, Doyle, Duka-Zólyomi, Ebner, Esteves, Eurlings, Fatuzzo, Ferber, Fontaine, Fraga Estévez, Freitas, Gahler, Gál, Galá, García-Margallo y Marfil, Garriga Polledo, Gaubert, Gauzès, Gklavakis, Glattfelder, Goepel, Gomolka, Graça Moura, Gräßle, de Grandes Pascual, Grossetête, Guellec, Gyürk, Handzlik, Hatzidakis, Hieronymi, Higgins, Hoppenstedt, Hudacký, Itälä, Iturgaiz Angulo, Jałowiecki, Járóka, Jęgle, Jordan Cizelj, Kaczmarek, Karas, Kasoulides, Kelam, Kłaf, Klich, Koch, Konrad, Korhola, Kudrycka, Kušks, Kuźmiuk, Lamassoure, Langen, Lechner, Lehne, Lewandowski, Maat, McGuinness, Mann Thomas, Mantovani, Marques, Martens, Mathieu, Mauro, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Mitchell, Musotto, Nassauer, Niebler, van Nistelrooij, Novak, Olajos, Olbrycht, Óry, Pack, Pálfi, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Peterle, Pieper, Pīks, Piskorski, Pleštinská, Podkański, Poettering, Posselt, Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Rack, Radwan, Reul, Roithová, Rübig, Saïfi, Sartori, Saryusz-Wolski, Schierhuber, Schmitt Ingo, Schnellhardt, Schöpflin, Schröder, Schwab, Siekierski, Silva Peneda, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Stenzel, Stubb, Sudre, Surján, Szájer, Tajani, Thyssen, Toubon, Trakatellis, Ulmer, Vakalis, Varela Suanzes-Carpegna, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Ventre, Vernola, Vidal-Quadras Roca, Vlasto, Weber Manfred, Weisgerber, Wieland, von Wogau, Wojciechowski, Wortmann-Kool, Záborská, Zaleski, Zappalà, Zieleniec, Zvěřina

PSE: Arif, Arnaoutakis, Attard-Montalto, Ayala Sender, Batzeli, Beglitis, Beňová, Berès, van den Berg, Berger, Berlinguer, Berman, Bersani, Bösch, Bono, Bourzai, Bozkurt, Bullmann, van den Burg, Calabuig Rull, Capoulas Santos, Carlotti, Casaca, Cashman, Castex, Cercas, Christensen, Corbett, Corbey, Correia, Cottigny, D'Alema, De Keyser, Désir, De Vits, Dobolyi, Douay, Duin, El Khadraoui, Estrela, Ettl, Evans Robert, Falbr, Fava, Fazakas, Fernandes, Ferreira Elisa, Fruteau, García Pérez, Gebhardt, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gierak, Gill, Glante, Golik, Grabowska, Grech, Gröner, Gruber, Gurmai, Guy-Quint, Hamon, Hasse Ferreira, Haug, Hazan, Hedkvist Petersen, Hegyi, Honeyball, Howitt, Hughes, Jöns, Kindermann, Kinnock, Koterec, Krehl, Kreissl-Dörfler, Kristensen, Kuc, Kuhne, Laignel, Lambrinidis, Lavarra, Le Foll, Lehtinen, Leichtfried, Leinen, Liberadzki, McCarthy, Madeira, Maňka, Mann Erika, Martin David, Martínez Martínez, Mastenbroek, Matsouka, Medina Ortega, Menéndez del Valle, Miguélez Ramos, Mikko, Moreno Sánchez, Morgan, Muscat, Napoletano, Navarro, Obiols i Germà, Paasilinna, Pahor, Panzeri, Patrie, Piecyk,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

Piniór, Pleguezuelos Aguilar, Rapkay, Reynaud, Rothe, Rouček, Roure, Sacconi, Sakalas, Salinas García, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Savary, Schapira, Scheele, Schulz, Segelström, Siwec, Sousa Pinto, Stihler, Stockmann, Swoboda, Szejna, Tabajdi, Tarabella, Tarand, Thomsen, Titley, Trautmann, Tzampazi, Van Lancker, Vaugrenard, Vergnaud, Vincenzi, Walter, Weber Henri, Weiler, Westlund, Whitehead, Yañez-Barnuevo García, Zani, Zingaretti

UEN: Angelilli, Aylward, Berlato, Camre, Crowley, Didžiokas, Fotyga, Janowski, Krasts, Kristovskis, La Russa, Libicki, Muscardini, Ó Neachtain, Poli Bortone, Roszkowski, Ryan, Szymański, Vaidere, Zile

Verts/ALE: Staes

Against: 69

IND/DEM: Batten, Clark, Farage, Goudin, Natrass, Wise, Wohlin

NI: Allister, Helmer, Kilroy-Silk, Martin Hans-Peter, Mote

PPE-DE: Atkins, Beazley, Bradbourn, Bushill-Matthews, Cabrnock, Dover, Duchoň, Elles, Fjellner, Harbour, Hybášková, Ibrisagic, Kamall, McMillan-Scott, Nicholson, Parish, Purvis, Seeberg, Škottová, Stevenson, Strejček, Sturdy, Tannock, Van Orden, Vlasák, Wijkman

PSE: Goebbels

Verts/ALE: Aubert, Auken, Beer, Breyer, Buitenweg, Cramer, Frassoni, de Groen-Kouwenhoven, Hammerstein Mintz, Harms, Hassi, Horáček, Isler Béguin, Joan i Marí, Kallenbach, Kusstatscher, Lagendijk, Lambert, Lichtenberger, Lucas, Özdemir, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Rühle, Schlyter, Schmidt, Schroedter, Trüpel, Voggenhuber, Ždanoka

Abstention: 14

ALDE: Samuelson

GUE/NGL: Toussas

IND/DEM: Bonde, Borghezio, Coûteaux, Louis, Salvini, Speroni, Železný

PPE-DE: Lulling, Zimmerling

PSE: Herczog, Wiersma

Verts/ALE: Bennahmias

17. RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal

Paragraph 10/1

For: 86

ALDE: Beaupuy, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Lynne, Matsakis, Onyszkiewicz, Szent-Iványi

GUE/NGL: Brie, Kohlíček, Meijer, Seppänen, Strož

IND/DEM: Belder, Piotrowski, Sinnott

NI: Czarnecki Ryszard, Rutowicz

PPE-DE: Andrikiéné, Audy, Becsey, Buzek, Daul, Deß, Deva, Duka-Zólyomi, Fraga Estévez, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Gauzès, Grossetête, Hatzidakis, Jeggle, Kaczmarek, Karas, Mann Thomas, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Pleštinská, Posselt, Purvis, Schöpflin, Schwab, Sommer, Šťastný, Sturdy, Sudre, Tannock, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vlasák, Zaleski, Zimmerling

PSE: Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Beglitis, Casaca, De Keyser, De Vits, Ford, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gill, Hegyi, Kindermann, Kuc, Martínez Martínez, Medina Ortega, Roure, Sakalas, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Scheele, Stihler, Tabajdi, Yañez-Barnuevo García

Verts/ALE: Lagendijk, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Schlyter

Against: 1

IND/DEM: Bonde

Thursday, 29 September 2005

18. RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal**Paragraph 10/2****For: 42****ALDE:** Beaupuy, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Lynne, Matsakis, Onyszkiewicz, Szent-Iványi**GUE/NGL:** Brie, Kohlíček, Meijer, Seppänen, Strož**IND/DEM:** Bonde**PSE:** Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Beglitis, Casaca, De Keyser, De Vits, Ettl, Ford, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gill, Hegyi, Kindermann, Kuc, Martínez Martínez, Medina Ortega, Roure, Sakalas, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Scheele, Stihler, Tabajdi, Yañez-Barnuevo García**Verts/ALE:** Hammerstein Mintz, Lagendijk, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Schlyter**Against: 47****IND/DEM:** Belder, Sinnott**NI:** Czarnecki Ryszard, Rutowicz**PPE-DE:** Andrikienė, Audy, Becsey, Buzek, Daul, Deß, Deva, Duka-Zólyomi, Fraga Estévez, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Gauzès, Grossetête, Hatzidakis, Jeggle, Kaczmarek, Karas, Mann Thomas, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Pleštinská, Posselt, Purvis, Schöpflin, Schwab, Sommer, Šťastný, Sturdy, Sudre, Tannock, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vlasák, Záborská, Zaleski, Zimmerling**Abstention: 1****IND/DEM:** Piotrowski**19. RC B6-0513/2005 — Nepal****Recital D****For: 40****ALDE:** Beaupuy, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Lynne, Matsakis, Onyszkiewicz, Szent-Iványi**GUE/NGL:** Brie, Kohlíček, Meijer, Seppänen, Strož**PSE:** Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Beglitis, Casaca, De Keyser, De Vits, Ettl, Ford, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Gill, Hegyi, Kindermann, Kuc, Martínez Martínez, Roure, Sakalas, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Scheele, Stihler, Tabajdi, Yañez-Barnuevo García**Verts/ALE:** Hammerstein Mintz, Lagendijk, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Schlyter**Against: 47****IND/DEM:** Belder, Sinnott**NI:** Czarnecki Ryszard, Rutowicz**PPE-DE:** Andrikienė, Audy, Becsey, Buzek, Daul, Deß, Deva, Duka-Zólyomi, Fraga Estévez, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Gauzès, Grossetête, Hatzidakis, Jeggle, Kaczmarek, Karas, Mann Thomas, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Pleštinská, Posselt, Purvis, Schöpflin, Schwab, Sommer, Šťastný, Sturdy, Sudre, Tannock, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vlasák, Záborská, Zaleski, Zimmerling**Abstention: 2****IND/DEM:** Bonde, Piotrowski

Thursday, 29 September 2005

20. RC B6-0518/2005 — Vojvodina**Resolution****For: 88****ALDE:** Beaupuy, Geremek, Guardans Cambó, Lynne, Matsakis, Onyszkiewicz, Szent-Iványi**GUE/NGL:** Brie, Meijer, Seppänen**IND/DEM:** Belder, Bonde, Piotrowski, Sinnott**NI:** Czarnecki Ryszard, Rutowicz**PPE-DE:** Andrikienė, Audy, Becsey, Buzek, Daul, Deß, Deva, Duka-Zólyomi, Fraga Estévez, Gahler, Gál, Gała, Gauzès, Grossetête, Hatzidakis, Jeggle, Kaczmarek, Karas, Mann Thomas, Mavrommatis, Mayer, Olajos, Olbrycht, Őry, Pack, Panayotopoulos-Cassiotou, Papastamkos, Pleštinská, Posselt, Purvis, Schöpflin, Schwab, Sommer, Sonik, Šťastný, Sturdy, Sudre, Tannock, Varvitsiotis, Vatanen, Vlasák, Záborská, Zaleski, Zimmerling**PSE:** Arnaoutakis, Ayala Sender, Beglitis, Casaca, De Keyser, De Vits, Ettl, Ford, García Pérez, Geringer de Oedenberg, Hegyi, Kindermann, Kuc, Martínez Martínez, Medina Ortega, Roure, Sakalas, Sánchez Presedo, dos Santos, Scheele, Stihler, Tabajdi, Yañez-Barnuevo García**Verts/ALE:** Hammerstein Mintz, Lagendijk, Onesta, Romeva i Rueda, Schlyter**Abstention: 2****GUE/NGL:** Kohlíček, Strož

Thursday, 29 September 2005

TEXTS ADOPTED

P6_TA(2005)0360

EU-US Wine Agreement

European Parliament resolution on the EU-US wine agreement

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the bilateral agreement initialled by the European Union and the United States of America on 15 September 2005 on trade in wine,
 - having regard to the agricultural chapter of the ongoing negotiations in the World Trade Organization (WTO),
 - having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1493/1999 of 17 May 1999 on the common organisation of the market in wine ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to the Framework Agreement on relations between the European Parliament and the Commission of 26 May 2005 ⁽²⁾ stipulating that, in connection with international agreements, including trade agreements, the Commission shall provide early and clear information to Parliament, both during the phase of preparation of the agreements and during the conduct and conclusion of international negotiations, on draft negotiating directives, the adopted negotiating directives, the subsequent conduct of negotiations and the conclusion of the negotiations,
 - having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas political and economic relations are the bedrock of relations between the European Union and the United States, the scope of which is constantly widening,
- B. whereas this first agreement between the European Union and the United States, which has been concluded after two decades of fruitless negotiations, has only minimal scope and does not deal satisfactorily with all the issues relevant to the bilateral trade in wine, which will be the subject of a second round of agreements,
- C. whereas the bilateral agreement still has to be ratified, inter alia by the United States Congress,
- D. whereas the unrestricted mutual recognition of oenological processes has negative consequences for the European wine industry,
- E. whereas the wrongful use of the geographical indications of origin of the European Union by third countries violates intellectual property rights and causes economic harm to the legitimate holders of those indications on account of loss of market share,
- F. whereas the legal framework of geographical indications is an essential element of the European Union's policies, recognising as it does the importance of multifunctional agriculture and the social and environmental impact of wine production in mountainous areas and disadvantaged regions,
- G. whereas false indications often provide stiff competition for genuine ones and whereas the United States does not respect the protection of wines with a designation of origin, and regards them merely as semi-generic products on its domestic markets,

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 179, 14.7.1999, p. 1. Regulation last amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1795/2003 (OJ L 262, 14.10.2003, p. 13.).

⁽²⁾ Texts Adopted, P6_TA(2005)0194.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- H. whereas the wine sector in Europe offers a major source of employment and income from small family concerns and small wine enterprises, based on the territorial approach of European wine policies,
- I. whereas there has been a departure from the previous line for bilateral agreements and from the idea of an international standard for wine and wine-making put forward by the International Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV),
- J. whereas most of the wines which have a designation of origin are produced using costly traditional methods and in accordance with quality standards, and whereas these methods cannot be compared with the industrial processes used in making American wines, which exist alongside the wines bearing European designations of origin,
- K. whereas the above-mentioned agreement would set a precedent in terms of the WTO most-favoured-nation clause,
- L. whereas special wines are disadvantaged by the definition of the term 'wine' on the European wine market,
1. Notes that this bilateral agreement, reached after 20 years of negotiations, is necessary if it helps to secure exports to the United States, which constitutes the principal market for European wine makers, to restore a climate of trust and to ensure the smooth flow of trade;
 2. Agrees with the need for a bilateral agreement between the European Union and the United States on trade in wine, and hopes that the next phase of the negotiations will result in a satisfactory outcome for traditional production methods, family-based wine making and the quality of our wines; emphasises that the agreement constitutes merely an initial, though insufficient and inadequate, step towards international recognition of the European Union's protected traditional designations;
 3. Criticises the Commission for having agreed to a bilateral deal with the United States without informing Parliament in sufficient time for it to be able to express its views and for the Commission to be able to take those views into account, as provided for in Point 19 of the above-mentioned Framework Agreement;
 4. Draws attention to the repercussions that the new agreement could have for the European Union's wine trade policy and its possible consequences for traditional production models, which form the basis of the recognition of the Community's quality policy;
 5. Deplores the fact that this agreement will substantially weaken the European Union's position in the agriculture negotiations within the WTO, as it undermines the territorial and quality-oriented approach prevailing in a large part of the wine sector;
 6. Calls on the Commission to step up the dialogue with the United States and other partners within the WTO in order to establish a register of internationally recognised geographical indications as a priority in its multilateral agricultural negotiations, to create a joint committee on wine issues and to clarify wine-making practices, certification and the use of traditional names with a view to a second phase of negotiations;
 7. Calls on the Commission to speed up the start of the next phase of negotiations, which is provided for in the agreement with the United States, above all with a view to recognition of the seventeen designations of origin listed in Annex II thereto, so that all European designations of origin for wine are duly protected by the United States authorities on their own market as soon as possible;
 8. Considers necessary the signing of a final compromise within, at the latest, the two years indicated in the bilateral agreement, with a view to putting a stop, once and for all, to the illegal use in the United States of Community designations protected by Community legislation, given the added value they represent for European wine making;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

9. Calls for the establishment of a positive list of oenological practices permitted in trade with third countries, within the framework of the OIV and with the aim of making evaluations prior to future new authorisations;
10. Urges the Commission to promote the negotiation at international level of a binding definition of wine that would halt the development of certain oenological practices, in order to protect the efforts made to maintain quality in the European Union, to avoid Community producers being subjected to unfair competition and to prevent market imbalances;
11. Acknowledges the need for a framework for continued negotiations in the wine sector, in particular in the light of the forthcoming reform of the European Union's common organisation of the market in wine, which is scheduled for 2006;
12. Considers it essential to strengthen Community measures to improve and promote the quality of Community produce as part of the next reform of the common organisation of the market in wine, in order to meet the challenge of increased competition from third countries;
13. Considers that it would be useful to have a legal opinion on the compatibility of this bilateral agreement with Community law;
14. Instructs its President to forward this resolution, together with the names of the signatories, to the Council, the Commission, the Governments of the Member States and the United States Congress.

P6_TA(2005)0361

Oil

European Parliament resolution on oil dependency

The European Parliament,

— having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,

1. Notes with concern the recent continuous increase in the price of crude oil and its effect on the competitiveness of businesses and the general economic health of the population and the fact that it adversely affects growth levels, thus impeding the attainment of the Lisbon objectives;
2. Considers Europe's dependence on oil and oil imports to be of great concern; believes that in order to ensure energy supply, Europe should diversify energy sources and origins of supply and strengthen its strategy to promote energy conservation measures and decentralised renewable energy sources;
3. Calls for a comprehensive and coherent global strategy to promote energy saving and efficiency and the use of alternative energy sources, in view of the very high oil consumption in the US as well as increasing oil consumption in especially large emerging economies such as China and India; calls on the EU rapidly to take the initiative to hold a world summit of the larger oil consumer and producer countries;
4. Calls on the Commission to help developing countries and emerging economies by integrating sustainable energy provision in its development cooperation policy in order to reduce their dependency on imports of fossil fuels and to achieve the Millennium Development Goals, and calls for the EU to push for a proper balance between their energy needs and environmental concerns by promoting the transfer of new energy-saving and renewable technologies;
5. Highlights the geostrategic aspects of Europe's dependence on energy imports; calls for the dialogue with all European energy partners to be intensified, to promote security of supply, market transparency and further investment; recalls that the European Neighbourhood Policy affords an opportunity for a comprehensive agreement with several countries concerned with this issue;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6. Recognises that the most logical response to higher oil prices is to switch to using alternative energy sources, and therefore emphasises the importance of actions to reduce energy intensity by using less energy for the same economic output (noting the rate of reduction in Europe since the 1970s);
7. Strongly endorses the need for follow-up strategies and concrete measures to promote research and development, to increase use of renewable energies and to promote energy efficiency in order to achieve a less fossil-fuel dependent economy, and calls on the Commission to lead the EU in an attempt to become the least fossil-fuel dependent and most energy-efficient economy in the world by 2020;
8. Notes with concern that consumers are having to pay higher prices not only as a result of the high cost of crude oil but also because of increased rates of VAT and energy taxes levied on end products, and that these taxes vary widely throughout the EU and can distort market forces, but supports the conclusions of the Informal ECOFIN meeting in Manchester on 9-10 September 2005 where Ministers agreed that fiscal and other policy interventions that cause distortions and prevent the necessary adjustments should be avoided;
9. Calls on the Commission to present proposals on how to use corporate social responsibility policy at EU level to channel more private investment, financed by the current windfall profits in the oil industry, into energy-saving programmes and alternative energy technologies and related R&D; believes that this should be pursued on the basis of a voluntary agreement with oil companies or, alternatively, through an EU-wide coordinated political initiative;
10. Notes that speculation on future higher prices further increases oil prices; calls on the Commission to keep the hedge funds under review and examine ways in which added transparency could contribute to more stable oil markets;
11. Recalls the importance of the existing legislation on reducing EU energy demand and notes that if the existing and forthcoming legislation is fully implemented, energy savings of at least 23 % could be achieved by 2020;
12. Calls on the Commission to propose measures in the transport sector, which accounts for 70 % of total EU oil consumption, as a matter of urgency, not only with a view to guaranteeing security of supply for petroleum products but also on environmental grounds, such as the use of more fuel-efficient engines and conversion to alternative fuel and propulsion technologies;
13. Agrees with the Commission that biofuels will reduce our dependence on fossil fuels and calls on the Commission to encourage the production of raw materials for biofuels;
14. Urges the Commission and Member States to accelerate the development of renewable energy sources as well as hydrogen fuel cells;
15. Calls on the Commission therefore to:
 - bring forward the European Action Plan on energy efficiency which is to follow the Green Paper (COM(2005)0265),
 - increase pressure for the full and rapid implementation by Member States of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings (Directive 2002/91/EC),
 - push strongly for an agreement on the Energy Services Directive during the December Energy Council,
 - increase transparency and predictability of oil markets through improved collection and aggregation of information,
 - press Member States to fulfil their renewable energy targets, as set in the Directive on the promotion of electricity produced from renewable energy sources (Directive 2001/77/EC),

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- put forward proposals for car manufacturers to develop cleaner and less oil product-consuming vehicles,
 - counter, together with the Member States, the risk of increased social exclusion and reduce the negative effects of rising oil prices on the most vulnerable social groups,
 - work towards greater use of environmentally-friendly coal-based energy;
16. Regrets, however, that in its communication of 6 September 2005 on the 'five-point plan to react to the surge in oil prices', the Commission completely omitted to address the transport sector;
17. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission and the governments and parliaments of the Member States.
-

P6_TA(2005)0362

Reform of the UN, the Millennium Development Goals

European Parliament resolution on the outcome of the United Nations World Summit of 14-16 September 2005

The European Parliament,

- having regard to its resolution of 12 April 2005 on the role of the European Union in the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), ⁽¹⁾ as well as those of 9 June 2005 on the reform of the United Nations ⁽²⁾ and of 29 January 2004 on the relations between the European Union and the United Nations ⁽³⁾,
- having regard to the Millennium Declaration of 8 September 2000, which sets out the Millennium Development Goals established jointly by the international community as a means by which to eliminate world poverty,
- having regard to the report of the UN Secretary-General of 21 March 2005 entitled 'In larger freedom: towards development, security and human rights for all',
- having regard to the report of the UN Millennium Project of 17 January 2005 entitled 'Investing in Development: a Practical Plan to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals',
- having regard to the report of the High-Level Panel on Threats, Challenges and Change of 1 December 2004 entitled 'A more secure world: Our shared responsibility',
- having regard to the statements made by the President of the Commission and the Commissioner for External Relations to the High-Level Plenary Meeting of the UN General Assembly,
- having regard to the Outcome Document of the 2005 UN World Summit adopted in New York on 16 September 2005,
- having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,

⁽¹⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0115.

⁽²⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0237.

⁽³⁾ OJ C 96 E, 21.4.2004, p. 79.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- A. whereas world Heads of State and Government gathered at the UN World Summit held in New York from 14 to 16 September 2005 to decide on further measures to fight world poverty, ensure peace and human security, strengthen human rights and the rule of law and take further concrete steps to reform the UN,
- B. whereas the Outcome Document of that World Summit was the result of a difficult negotiation process which was at several times in danger of collapse,
- C. recalling the importance of an enhanced and closer partnership between the UN and the EU institutions, with a view to achieving better coordination between national and regional entities, international organisations and donors in the effective implementation of global policies,
- D. whereas the UN constitutes the most appropriate and only global institution which is potentially able to develop solutions to global problems in a manner which is both legitimate and efficient; whereas the UN needs to adapt to new challenges; whereas however its reform should not be viewed as an end in itself, but rather as the necessary and inevitable consequence of an in-depth analysis of the political and security, social and economic parameters and factors at stake,
1. Reaffirms its commitment to a strong UN, reiterating that genuine multilateralism is the most appropriate tool for solving the challenges, problems and threats faced by the international community; hopes that the outcome of the above-mentioned World Summit will be an important milestone towards achieving both the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) by 2015 and the reform whose successful completion is necessary in order to ensure that the UN continues to play a leading role in today's international system; takes note of the commitments stated in the Outcome Document and considers this document to be the working basis for further improvements by the 60th General Assembly of the UN in the various areas at stake;
 2. Commends the decision to create a Peace-Building Commission to help countries make the transition from war to peace, and recognises that peace-building requires an entirely different set of skills to peace-keeping; considers that there is a need for regional and global peace-building commissions to develop the necessary skills and capabilities, backed by a support office and a standing fund, thereby raising the UN's profile in crisis and post-conflict areas; calls on the 60th General Assembly swiftly to implement these provisions; greatly welcomes the inclusion of the concept of human security in the official UN framework;
 3. Welcomes the recognition of the international community's responsibility to protect populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity, as well as the clear responsibility of each individual state to protect their own citizens from these crimes, including by means of the prevention of such crimes; further underlines the importance of the International Criminal Court as an essential body in the task of prosecuting the perpetrators of any such crimes;
 4. Commends the UN Secretary-General, Kofi Annan, the President of the 59th General Assembly of the UN and his team and the secretariat of the United Nations for their considerable efforts and valuable contribution in reaching an agreement on the adoption of the above-mentioned Outcome Document; calls in particular on all member states of the UN to stick firmly to their commitments and swiftly to turn them into concrete action;
 5. Welcomes the renewed commitment by the international community to achieving the MDGs and to promoting sustainable development; recalls that reducing extreme poverty and child mortality, providing education as well as access to clean water and enhancing gender equality must remain at the centre of the development agenda; regrets the lack of a precise timetable committing all developed countries to the pursuit of the intermediate and final MDG targets;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6. Deeply regrets that the above-mentioned World Summit did not issue a further appeal to those donor countries which have not yet formally committed themselves to the goal of allocating 0,7 % of their Gross National Income (GNI) to Official Development Assistance (ODA) by 2015; commends the EU for its leading role on this issue and invites it to maintain pressure on all donors to set timetables, which should be monitored;
7. Fully endorses the specific calls made by the UN Secretary-General to establish a clear timetable for developed countries to achieve the target of allocating 0,7 % of GNI to ODA and to recognise the special needs of Africa;
8. Welcomes the agreement to provide immediate support for quick impact initiatives (quick wins) to support anti-malaria efforts, education and health care;
9. Welcomes the Outcome Document's chapter on 'Meeting the special needs of Africa' and invites the Commission to make the achievement of MDGs the central issue in its forthcoming Strategy for Africa and in its review of the Development Policy Statement;
10. Notes that where the Member States found common positions they were able to achieve better results in negotiation; stresses, nevertheless, that the outcome of the Summit falls short of the Parliament's published ambitions for UN reform;
11. Insists that reform efforts have to continue and calls on the Council and Member States to bring their weight to bear to reach concrete results within the 60th General Assembly by the end of next year;
12. Fully endorses the clear condemnation of terrorism by the above-mentioned World Summit; regards as a serious failure, however, the lack of agreement on a comprehensive definition of terrorism and urges the UN member states to rectify this without delay;
13. Welcomes the Summit's commitment to reinforcing the role and doubling the resources of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, thus allowing for better monitoring and implementation of adopted resolutions;
14. Deplores, however, the vague wording in the terms of reference of the Human Rights Council and the absence of a precise time scale, mandate, working methods and composition thereof; calls on the 60th General Assembly to regard this issue as a priority;
15. Urges the General Assembly to establish clear criteria for the use of force by the Security Council, along the lines proposed by the above-mentioned High-Level Panel report;
16. Believes that members of the new Human Rights Council should abide by the highest human rights standards and that membership of the Council is a privilege not a right; believes that the Human Rights Council should be in permanent session, thus avoiding long delays and political manoeuvring by states against which complaints are made;
17. Deeply regrets the Summit's failure to reach agreement on measures for nuclear non-proliferation and disarmament, and insists that work and efforts to make progress on these issues must intensify considerably, first of all through ensuring full respect for the existing Treaties, especially the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons;
18. Reaffirms its belief that the capacity of the UN to respond quickly and effectively to humanitarian disasters which require global leadership needs to be reconsidered and improved; nevertheless welcomes the commitment to establishing a world-wide early warning system for all natural hazards;
19. Regrets that no firm commitment was made with a view to giving more authority to the UN Secretary-General as Chief Administrative Officer of his secretariat; calls on the 60th General Assembly to reconsider this issue;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

20. Regrets that no agreement was reached on the reform of the UN Security Council, but welcomes the fact that the General Assembly will have to report on the Security Council by the end of 2005 on the basis of new proposals, which should take into account the aims of ensuring better representation in and transparency and effectiveness of the Security Council; reiterates its commitment to the prospect of a common European seat on the Security Council as soon as the political, constitutional and legal conditions for such a seat are met;
21. Supports and welcomes the new commitment by the UN on the Democracy Fund as an important tool for the world-wide promotion of democracy, and calls on the Commission and the Member States fully to support it both politically and financially; reaffirms its belief that the UN itself needs to enhance considerably democracy within its structures, and therefore underlines its call for a core group of democracies within the UN General Assembly;
22. Restates its opinion that the diplomatic representation of the EU to the UN is of the utmost importance for improving relations between the two organisations and for the influence of the EU on the international stage; therefore encourages the Council and the Commission actively to pursue the merging of their liaison offices and delegations into a common external EU delegation in each of the UN headquarters in New York, Geneva, Vienna and Nairobi;
23. Welcomes the call for strengthened cooperation between the United Nations and national and regional parliaments, which constitutes a recognition of the specific role of parliaments in the development and democratisation process;
24. Welcomes the renewed commitment on granting 100 % debt relief to 18 of the poorest and most heavily indebted countries made at the meeting of the World Bank, International Monetary Fund and African Development Bank which followed the above-mentioned World Summit;
25. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission, the governments and parliaments of the Member States, the UN Secretary-General, the President and member states of the UN Security Council, the President of the 60th General Assembly and the President of the UN Economic and Social Council.

P6_TA(2005)0363

Belarus

European Parliament resolution on Belarus

The European Parliament,

- having regard to its previous resolutions on the situation in Belarus,
- having regard, in particular to its resolution of 10 March 2005 on Belarus ⁽¹⁾ and its resolution of 7 July 2005 on the political situation and the independence of the media in Belarus ⁽²⁾,
- having regard to its Sakharov Prize for freedom of thought, which was awarded to the Belarussian Association of Journalists in December 2004,
- having regard to the United Nations resolution of 12 April 2005 on the human rights situation in Belarus,
- having regard, in particular, to the 'EU action plan for promoting democracy in Belarus' adopted by the European Parliament's Delegation for relations with Belarus on 23 February 2005,
- having regard to the Communication from the Commission of 12 May 2004 on the European Neighbourhood Policy (COM(2004)0373),

⁽¹⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0080.

⁽²⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0295.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- having regard to the resolutions of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe on the situation in Belarus and, in particular, to its resolution of 28 April 2004 on persecution of the press in the Republic of Belarus,
 - having regard to the EU sanctions adopted on 2 July 2004 against Belarussian officials following the disappearance of 3 Belarussian opposition leaders and one journalist,
 - having regard to the statements by the EU Presidency on Belarus issued on 2 August 2005, 12 August 2005 and 30 August 2005,
 - having regard to the decision by the Commission to grant a 138 000 Euro contract to Deutsche Welle Radio for independent broadcasting via radio and Internet to Belarus for one year from 1 November 2005,
 - having regard to Rule 103(4) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas, instead of improving, the situation in Belarus has further deteriorated, which has led to a situation where human rights are blatantly violated, the Lower House is deprived of its legislative rights, and economic life is controlled by the President; whereas such violations include imprisonment of members of the democratic opposition and other forms of repression used against them,
- B. whereas over the past few years several political parties, 22 independent newspapers, more than 50 pro-democracy NGOs of different levels and different political persuasions and several educational establishments have been closed down for 'technical' reasons, but whereas it is clear that in every case these organisations were being punished for criticising the President and his policies,
- C. whereas Viktor Halavanau, Justice Minister of Belarus, has issued a decree on obligatory registration of blocs of political parties, trade unions, coalitions, civil initiatives and movements, with the aim of preventing the unification of political forces that would support a challenger to Aleksandr Lukashenko in next year's presidential election,
- D. whereas on 13 September 2005 Belarus President Lukashenko issued a decree granting himself the right to pardon property-related crimes in yet another move to further monopolise all power in the country, including judicial authority,
- E. whereas in April 2005 the UN Commission on Human Rights criticised Belarus on account of persistent reports of harassment and closure of NGOs, national minority organisations, independent media outlets, opposition political parties, independent trade unions and religious organisations, and harassment of individuals engaged in democratic activities, including in the independent media,
- F. whereas the closure of independent universities in Belarus has led to the inauguration of the European Humanities University for Belarussian students in exile in Vilnius,
- G. whereas politically motivated arrests and trials of activists belonging to the democratic movement and of independent journalists, and deportations of foreign citizens, are continually taking place in Belarus,
- H. whereas no progress has been made in the unsolved cases of a number of disappeared persons,
- I. whereas on 12 May 2005 the leadership of the Union of Poles in Belarus were declared illegitimate by the Belarussian Ministry of Justice, a printing plant under instructions from the government refused to print the Polish weekly 'Glos znad Niemna' and fake issues were printed under the umbrella of the government,
- J. whereas on 27 August 2005 the Lukashenko regime called a board meeting of the Union of Poles in Belarus to force the resignation of the democratic, and legally elected, leadership in order to replace them with a board compliant with the regime's wishes,
- K. whereas the situation of other minorities, including the Roma and religious minorities, has been steadily deteriorating: Protestant churches have been closed and the Evangelical Church banned,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- L. whereas exercise of the right to freedom of information is thwarted, whereas all television programmes, both national and regional, are in government hands, and whereas all Internet connections are routed through a State-run corporation which has blocked numerous accounts and websites,
- M. whereas all cable operators are persecuted for transmitting the foreign channels not approved by the Belarussian Government; whereas on this basis Belarussian cable TV operators have been banned from broadcasting all Ukrainian channels as well as the Polish channel Polonia,
- N. whereas all registration of new newspapers has been stopped by the State authorities, trials of newspapers and journalists take place every day and many existing newspapers have received heavy fines which makes it impossible for them to continue publishing,
- O. whereas President Lukashenko has made it almost impossible for the international network of NGOs in Europe and Belarus to help children suffering from the consequences of the Chernobyl disaster to travel to various countries to convalesce,
- P. whereas Poland temporarily recalled its ambassador from Minsk after a series of expulsions of diplomats, rejecting President Lukashenko's accusations that Poland was meddling in his country's affairs,
- Q. whereas at the United Nations Summit in New York on 15 September 2005 President Lukashenko accused UN human rights bodies of allowing themselves to be misused to control other countries,
- R. whereas the Council approach towards Belarus has been lacking decisiveness and resolve,
1. Strongly condemns the Belarus regime's indiscriminate attacks on the media, minority and human rights activists, members of the opposition, religious leaders, and any person who attempts freely to voice criticism of the President and the regime, as evidenced by arbitrary arrests, ill-treatment of detainees, disappearances, politically motivated persecution and other acts of repression that flout the basic principles of democracy and the rule of law;
 2. Condemns the Belarus regime's amendment to Decree 460 on the regulations for accepting foreign aid, dated 17 August 2005, which extends the list of objectives for which external aid cannot be accepted: notes that it is now forbidden to accept and use international aid for 'unconstitutional purposes', to overthrow authorities, interfere with the internal affairs of Belarus, prepare elections or referenda, organise meetings, rallies, pickets or strikes, or prepare and distribute propaganda material, and that it is impossible to organise conferences, seminars and meetings of any kind using aid funds from abroad;
 3. Condemns the regime's decision of 22 August 2005 to ban the Reformed Evangelical Church, which had been present in Belarus for more than 400 years and which was outlawed because the community had no legal address, nor could it have registered one, because the authorities had previously evicted it from all of its prayer houses, in violation of the Belarussian law on freedom of belief;
 4. Condemns the government's action against the Union of Poles in Belarus (ZPB) as a violation of the basic principles of the Council of Europe 'Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities' of 1995 and as an attempt to curb the largest NGO and one of the few not controlled by the government; recalls that respect for minority rights also includes freedom of association and the recognition of the elected statutory bodies of that organisation; deplores the government's attempt to take control of 'Glos znad Niemna';
 5. Condemns the continuous persecution of activists belonging to the Polish minority who wish to preserve the independence of their association; observes that the most active are harassed by repeated summonses to the prosecutor's office and the police, that Angelika Borys, the leader of ZPB, has been interrogated more than 50 times in recent weeks and that Tadeusz Gawin, a founder member and current vice-president of ZPB, has been sentenced to 30 days' imprisonment;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6. Condemns the sentencing of ZPB activists Jozef Parzecki, Wieslaw Kiewlak, Andrzej Pisalnik and Andrzej Poczobut to up to two years' imprisonment on false criminal charges;
 7. Condemns the Belarus regime's complete marginalisation of the Roma minority in Belarus, whose basic civic rights have been curtailed, and strongly condemns hate speech against the Roma population in the official media;
 8. Condemns the Belarus authorities for not granting entry visas to a delegation of Members of the European Parliament who went on a fact-finding mission on 8 August 2005;
 9. Calls on the Council and Commission to create a programme of scholarships, visits and placements for NGOs and human rights and minority activists;
 10. Considers that, should the Belarussian authorities fail to improve the situation regarding freedom of speech, assembly and religion, and thereby allow it to deteriorate further, the Commission, the Council and Parliament should initiate the procedure to expand the visa-ban list to include representatives of Belarussian authorities involved in persecution; considers that the sanctions against President Lukashenko's regime should also include the freezing of assets of Belarussian authorities abroad;
 11. Emphasises once again that the further development of EU relations with Belarus will also continue to depend on the progress made towards democratisation and reform in the country and access for Belarussians to objective, free and transparent media, as well as respect for minority and religious rights and freedoms;
 12. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has launched further support for independent broadcasting in Belarus; stresses the importance of offering high quality and independent information to the Belarus public; calls again on the Council and Commission to act on their responsibility stemming from the Neighbourhood Strategy to support Belarussian civil society and make provision for a financial programme relating to the objectives of the European Neighbourhood Policy, adapting the support measures to the case of Belarus; urges the Council and Commission to grant as soon as possible even greater assistance to the free media and independent NGOs in Belarus and to extend broadcasting initiatives;
 13. Calls on the Commission to ensure that no 'pseudo-NGOs', which are created by the Belarus authorities in order to obtain funds from foreign donors, receive any EU funding or any contributions from the EU budget;
 14. Calls on the Commission and the Council to support the democratically elected board of ZPB, as well as the boards of other democratically elected NGOs which are also subject to repression by the Lukashenko regime;
 15. Calls for the creation of an ad hoc high-level delegation to be sent on a fact-finding mission to Belarus and to report its findings to Parliament;
 16. Strongly supports the announcement by the President of the Commission of the posting of a special diplomat to Belarus to monitor the human rights situation, and calls on the Commission to accelerate the opening of a permanent representation of the European Union in Minsk, so as to be able to better distribute information, coordinate projects and monitor the situation in Belarus;
 17. Calls on the Council and the Commission to raise the issue of Belarus with the Russian authorities with a view to defining a common responsibility for bringing about concrete democratic changes in that country;
 18. Appeals to all EU Member States to introduce free visas for Belarussian citizens;
 19. Calls on European political parties and the political groups in the European Parliament to increase their contacts with, and political support for, the Belarussian opposition;
 20. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the Parliaments and Governments of the Member States and the Parliamentary Assemblies of the OSCE and the Council of Europe.
-

Thursday, 29 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0364**EU-India relations****European Parliament resolution on EU-India relations: A Strategic Partnership (2004/2169(INI))**

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the document by the High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy entitled 'A secure Europe in a better world. European security strategy' of 12 December 2003,
- having regard to the Commission Communication on 'an EU-India Strategic Partnership' (COM(2004)0430) of 16 June 2004 and to India's reply to the communication in its strategy document of August 2004,
- having regard to its recommendation to the Council on EU-India relations of 28 October 2004 ⁽¹⁾, and all its recent resolutions regarding India and its region,
- having regard to the conclusions of the General Affairs Council of 11 October 2004 with regard to the Commission Communication,
- having regard to the Fifth EU-India Summit held in The Hague on 8 November 2004,
- having regard to the Sixth EU-India Summit held in New Delhi on 7 September 2005,
- having regard to the joint press release published by the EU and India on 8 November 2004 at the end of the above-mentioned Fifth Summit and the Joint Declaration on Cultural Relations of the same date and the manifest intention of the EU and India to draw up an EU-India plan of action for a strategic association and for a new Joint Political Declaration,
- having regard to the Action Plan for an EU-India Strategic Partnership and the new Joint Political Declaration adopted at the above-mentioned Sixth Summit,
- having regard to the seven meetings of the EU-India Round Table, established as a result of the Agenda drawn up in 2001 at the EU-India Summit in Lisbon, with the aim of bringing civil society in Europe and India closer and establishing a network of EU-India research centres,
- having regard to the above-mentioned Conclusions of the Council of 11 October 2004 with reference to the EU-India dialogue on human rights,
- having regard to the human rights awareness-raising activities and projects to promote good governance and local participation at town and village level in the decision-making process supported by the European Initiative for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR), and other related projects,
- having regard to the visit to New Delhi by the European Parliament Delegation for Relations with the Countries of South Asia and the South Asia Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) in November 2004,
- having regard to the visit to India in January 2005 by the Commissioner responsible for trade, Peter Mandelson, and in particular to the conference in Kolkata at which he spoke on 'The Global Economic Agenda: Europe and India's Challenge',
- having regard to the G-20 meeting organised by India in February 2005 and its participation as an observer at the last G-7 meeting,
- having regard to the Indo-US Parliamentary Forum held at the headquarters of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry in New Delhi in March 2005,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 174 E, 14.7.2005, p. 179.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- having regard to the visit to the region by the US Secretary of State, Condoleeza Rice, in March 2005 and to that of the Prime Minister of China, Wen Jiabao, to Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and India in April,
 - having regard to the WTO Doha Declaration on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and Public Health adopted on 14 November 2001,
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the opinions of the Committee on Development and the Committee on International Trade (A6-0256/2005),
- A. whereas the EU and India constitute the biggest democracies in the world, and their shared commitment to democracy, pluralism, the rule of law and multilateralism in international relations contributes to global peace and stability,
- B. whereas India and the EU share a common vision in which trade, investment and free competition constitute key factors for economic development, and whereas both recognise that to promote such development, socio-economic cohesion, environmental protection and consumer rights should be ensured,
- C. whereas the EU is the biggest trading partner and the biggest source of foreign direct investment in India,
- D. whereas the Congress Party, which won the elections in May 2004, received its electoral support from the rural classes, which had considered themselves to be excluded from the benefits deriving from the technological explosion in India,
- E. whereas there is enormous linguistic, social and religious diversity in India, a country of paradoxes with a population of 1 069 billion people, an open society facing the challenge of freeing 370 million people from poverty, a world leader in the field of information technology but a country in which 550 million live off agriculture,
- F. whereas 34,7 % of India's population are living below the poverty line, a quarter of these in urban areas; whereas, therefore, EU poverty eradication programmes in India must continue in order to help achieve the Millennium Development Goals,
- G. whereas both the European Union and India are democratic and open societies,
- H. whereas India has the second highest Muslim population in the world after Indonesia and whereas the European Union is home to several million people of that religion and culture,
- I. whereas the EU and India have the potential to build a privileged relationship in international relations, but whereas there is in India a lack of awareness of the EU and its democratic system; highlighting the potential of India as a partner of the EU in helping to encourage new and young democracies,
- J. whereas India and Pakistan have engaged in a continuous dialogue since the beginning of 2004 in order to put an end to some of the disputes facing them,
- K. whereas, however, a new crisis may be brewing in Kashmir, and in the Indian states of Punjab and Haryana and the Pakistani provinces of Punjab and Sindh, as a result of the growing water shortages there, which could spark off a new and dangerous conflict,
- L. whereas, in view of ever decreasing supplies, the global thirst for oil is a potential source of strategic and political tensions,
- M. whereas 70 % of the energy consumed in India is imported,

Thursday, 29 September 2005

N. whereas on 1 April 2005 the myriad of local taxes was replaced by a national value added tax, with which it is hoped to raise tens of millions of dollars each year; whereas most, although not all, Indian states have adopted this new law,

Domestic situation

1. Welcomes the democratisation process in India and India's commitment to democracy in an international context;
2. Declares its intention to contribute to a deepening of the relationship between the EU and India in keeping with the above-mentioned Commission Communication, the conclusions of the above-mentioned Fifth Summit and the above-mentioned European Parliament recommendation, as well as its continuing desire to develop and strengthen bilateral relations;
3. Welcomes the adoption, at the above-mentioned Sixth Summit, of a joint action plan to implement the EU-India strategic partnership, together with the joint political declaration, which takes relations between the EU and India to a new, higher and more intensive level, in particular as regards international peace and security, multilateralism, research, development, the environment, science, technology and human rights; welcomes in particular the creation of the high level trade group for trade and investment; considers that these agreements are a turning point in relations between the two economic powers and should be implemented with the active participation and engagement of India;
4. Stresses the remarkable cultural, political and, now, economic importance of India which, in the past, was only inadequately reflected in contractual relations between India and Europe;
5. Warmly welcomes the agreement on a strategic partnership between the EU and India, which confers on relations between the EU and India an importance equal to those with China, Russia, Japan, the USA and Canada;
6. Considers it important, in view of the clear desire on the part of non-European governments and public opinion to forge strategic alliances with India, for the Commission to make special efforts to raise the European Union's profile in India and to promote awareness of its institutions, principles, values and objectives;
7. Recognises that the desired mutual understanding between the EU and India will benefit from the strengthening of the privileged relations which some Member States maintain with certain regions of India for historical reasons, representing added value which favours proximity and genuine social, technical and economic cooperation;
8. Highlights the need to set up EU-India associations in order to promote the study, appreciation, diffusion and recovery of our shared linguistic, historical and cultural heritage;
9. Endorses all the objectives set out by the Commission in its Communication on an EU-India Strategic Partnership;
10. Considers, however, that the goals outlined in the ground-breaking strategic partnership, as presented by the Commission, must be properly funded with new resources in order to be able to fulfil our commitments and aspirations; asks that any additional resources needed to carry out the goals of the strategic partnership be not sourced from, but additional to, existing EU projects and programmes in India;
11. Appreciates and supports the fact that the strategic partnership places particular emphasis on political dialogue; stresses, however, that meeting the Millennium Development Goals and effectively combating poverty should be important elements of the EU-India Strategic Partnership;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

12. Recognises that, in terms of human development indicators, India has made considerable progress over recent decades and has changed to some extent from being a beneficiary to a donor of development aid; voices its concern, however, at the persistently high number of Indians who still have to live in absolute poverty and are deprived of all rights;

13. Calls on the Commission and the Council to work together with the Indian Government, as a matter of urgency, to improve the situation of the underprivileged sections of the population, in particular women, children and disadvantaged groups of persons, e.g. Dalits and Adivasis and requests that any such future action contribute to bringing to an end discrimination based on sex or caste, wherever it takes place;

14. Welcomes the progress achieved at the above-mentioned Sixth Summit in the various areas covered by the joint Plan of Action and in particular the inclusion of India in the European nuclear fusion project International Experimental Fusion Reactor (ITER) and the progress achieved in the negotiations on India's participation in the framework agreement on the Galileo navigation system;

15. Notes that bilateral relations between India and China are expanding, culminating in the summit between the two nations held in New Delhi on 11 April 2005; welcomes the fact that, according to the joint communiqué from that summit, 'the leaders of the two countries have agreed to establish between India and China a strategic and cooperative partnership for peace and prosperity'; welcomes the fact that a solution is apparently being found to the frontier question between India and China; notes that an agreement would contribute substantially to regional stability, enable both parties to spend less on defending their borders and limit the scope for the tension between the two great Asiatic powers being exploited by others;

16. Welcomes the fact that 'cricket diplomacy' led to the holding of a summit in New Delhi between the Indian Prime Minister and the President of Pakistan on 17 April 2005; welcomes the fact that both parties are making progress in consolidating confidence-building measures, through gradual bilateral normalisation which could lead to a political settlement of the dispute in Kashmir; notes with satisfaction that the joint Commission on Trade has been revived and notes that the two countries have agreed to promote the project for building a gas pipeline from Iran to India, passing through Pakistani territory, which would undoubtedly create positive ties between the parties;

17. Recognises the legitimate aspirations of the USA to establish a strategic alliance with India, but also the need for a multipolar world, and is convinced of the significant advantages that European know-how and sensibility may offer India; recommends, to this end, that the EU should progress quickly with the consolidation of the strategic partnership between the EU and India; believes that new resources must be made available to fulfil the goals of that strategic partnership;

18. Considers that, while systematic and structured dialogue between the two parties on economic and trade matters is very important as part of the strategic partnership, the EU must pay special attention to increasing and enhancing cooperation in the political and strategic fields, given the established belief shared by the EU and India that the world can be made a safer place through international relations based on multilateralism and respect for international law and for the philosophy, charter and resolutions of the United Nations;

19. Urges the EU and India, in order to put into practice the multilateralism in international relations which they both advocate, to set up a mutual consultation mechanism that would operate in advance of international meetings or conferences, with the aim of proposing joint initiatives or adopting common responses to the problems raised on those occasions;

20. Considers that, given that large swathes of Indian public opinion and the business community see the EU not as an entity but rather as a 25-state conglomerate, the EU institutions should adopt a communications and visibility strategy that will help society and the authorities in India to gain a better understanding of the advances made towards union, including the progress of the common foreign and security policy which the entry into force of the new European constitution could entail;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

21. Calls for the organisation of an annual Parliament Summit to run parallel or directly prior to the annual EU-India Summit, which currently takes place without the formal involvement of the European Parliament; considers that such a summit would be a way of developing links between Parliamentary bodies and of enhancing understanding of the points of view and democratic systems on both sides;
22. Welcomes the fact that the new government of Manmohan Singh has taken important and positive steps with regard to the necessary socio-economic reforms;
23. Welcomes the fact that democratic culture and development in India have attained very high standards, as shown by the proper functioning of coalition governments at federal and state level, and that this is enabling progress to be made towards reform in the economic and social fields which would not otherwise have been possible;
24. Considers nevertheless that, given the enormous complexity and diversity of India's social, economic and political fabric, this will not fully guarantee that the process will not experience difficulties and fluctuating fortunes;
25. Welcomes the release of 450 children between the ages of 6 and 14 who were working illegally in Mumbai under conditions of slavery, secured by the police in June 2005, and the arrest of the 42 unscrupulous businessmen who were exploiting them; nevertheless, expresses its alarm at the UNICEF reports according to which seventeen and a half million children (double that number according to some NGOs) are working in India, mostly in subhuman conditions; commends the new approach seemingly adopted by the Indian police and employment authorities of prosecuting exploiters and urges them to ensure that sufficient resources and continuing political will are available to make it possible to eliminate this shameful scourge on society;

Economic issues

26. Notes that the government is pushing for structural change in the economy and that it has made progress in the deregulation of several sectors (mobile telephony, insurance, energy, aviation, etc.); welcomes the fact that the new government's first budget provides for structural improvements, tariff reductions and a lifting of restrictions on foreign ownership, and that it is moving forward with the privatisation of state enterprises;
27. Given that India and the EU share the view that trade, investment and free competition are key factors in economic development, but also that, if such development is to be harmonious and equitable, account must be taken of fundamental social needs which strengthen economic and social cohesion, the environment and consumer rights, urges the Indian Government to pay heed to these matters as it embarks on the vast task of development;
28. With this in view, and given this shared vision, urges the EU and India to jointly tackle aspects of industrial, environmental and development cooperation, trade, investment and good governance policy which are of common interest to both parties,
29. Urges the Indian private sector, which has benefited from the full confidence of the government and is playing a key role in the economic measures and plans drawn up by the government essential to the sustainable development of the country, to display the utmost social sensitivity when participating in these plans;
30. Takes note of the measures announced by the Indian Ministry for Trade and Industry to facilitate exports, including the introduction of a single uniform application form, which could considerably reduce the excessive amount of red tape existing at present;
31. Welcomes also the decision to cut waiting times at the country's congested ports which, together with the financial measures for ports and other major infrastructure works contained in the national budget approved in February 2005 on the initiative of the Minister for Finance, could bring about major advantages for imports and exports;
32. Welcomes the fact that both the EU and India have decided to coordinate their actions on geographical indications (GI) and have agreed to hold seminars to map out a strategy in this area;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

33. Takes a positive view of the agreement reached at the above-mentioned Fifth Summit to facilitate and develop further bilateral trade and investment, given that the volume of bilateral trade relations clearly falls short of its potential; points out, however, that investment, in particular, needs to be increased and that it is essential for India to open up its market more and carry out economic reforms aimed at further dismantling of tariffs, tackling non-tariff restrictions and effectively protecting intellectual property rights;

34. Believes that it is in the interests of the EU and India to work together towards a successful outcome of negotiations on the Doha Development Agenda (DDA), and that to this end both parties must seek as close a convergence of views as possible on key DDA issues; considers that greater contact between Members of the European and Indian Parliaments would be particularly useful;

35. Hopes that India will assume its key role in the current World Trade Organization (WTO) negotiations and will actively contribute towards resolving the problems involved, particularly in the area of market access for non-agricultural goods; in this context, calls on the EU and India to use the next three months before the WTO ministerial meeting in Hong Kong to reflect and act decisively and make the case for greater openness towards the other members of the WTO;

36. Recognises the need to strengthen bilateral cooperation, focusing on technical obstacles to trade and sanitary issues, engaging in dialogue over the instruments of trade defence and, more generally, compliance with WTO rules;

37. Calls for talks between the EU and India concerning investment to take account of the socio-political responsibility of foreign investors in the host country; also stresses that the rights to be granted to firms should go hand in hand with obligations and that investors in the host country should at least apply the International Labour Organization's (ILO) core labour standards;

38. Welcomes the decision of the government in New Delhi to create special economic zones with the aim of attracting foreign investment and urges India to review and update its legislation in this area and take steps to prevent the exploitation of workers, safeguarding the rights and obligations of both employers and workers;

39. Considers that the allocation of mobile communications frequencies is of crucial importance to the EU since it has serious consequences for mobile telephony (GSM); calls on India to give due attention to bringing mobile communications frequencies into line with the International Telecommunications Union standards;

40. Calls on India to make a positive response to proposals such as that made by Malaysia, India's main trading partner in ASEAN, to establish a free trade area, since proposals of this kind can contribute to the stability, development and prosperity of the various peoples and states of the region;

41. Notes that in India a substantial and growing middle class is emerging, a group which not only could be seen as a positive target for certain trade products, but also and above all is receptive to European culture;

42. Urges the EU and India to give firm encouragement to the consolidation and continual updating of a specific cultural cooperation programme as part of the planned strategic partnership; believes that this is important, given the rich cultural diversity on both sides, and believes that awareness and dissemination of the two cultures among Indian and European citizens will help to provide a more solid foundation for this partnership;

43. Welcomes the recent signing by the Commission and the Indian Government of an agreement under which the former is offering one thousand bursaries (worth 33 million Euro) to enable Indian students to attend European universities under the Erasmus Mundus programme, which will undoubtedly contribute to the objectives set out in the previous paragraph; notes that the Indian Council for Cultural Relations is offering opportunities for European students to attend universities in India, but calls for greater interest to be shown in this so as to make a more active contribution to consolidating the foundations of the strategic partnership;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

44. Hopes that India, together with other beneficiary countries, will also answer the call by other developing countries to find solutions to the problems which will undoubtedly arise from the abolition of quantitative restrictions on textile and clothing imports, bearing in mind that India is expected to benefit particularly from this measure; equally hopes that India will abstain from any unfair trade practices towards the EU industry, so that the EU in turn will not be forced to have recourse to the appropriate trade defence instruments consistent with WTO rules;

45. Notes that India already possesses immense geopolitical strength, which is based on economic strength, particularly in the new technologies sector, and stresses that India should therefore be regarded as an internationally recognised global player and that such status entails additional social responsibility for India;

46. Stresses the need also for the EU to assist India in combating poverty and in attaining its development targets generally; also emphasises the need for the EU to cooperate with India over a wide range of issues including development policy, governance, environmental sustainability and social and economic cohesion;

47. Stresses, moreover, that the EU should consider it important to encourage and support India in regard to the implementation or continuing adoption of international labour standards, in particular through the comprehensive ratification and practical application of the ILO Conventions and, in particular, given the need to combat child labour, which continues to be a major problem in India today, the Convention concerning Minimum Age for Admission to Employment (Minimum Age Convention (C138));

48. Welcomes the 1 800 million Euro Airbus deal, concluded during the EU-India Business Summit of 7 September 2005, which is a sign of developing bilateral relations and confirmed the success of the European consortium;

Development

49. Is seriously concerned at the spread of AIDS in the country, which could become an epidemic in the region unless it is firmly tackled, and calls on the Indian Government to declare a firm commitment to tackling this problem as a priority, exchanging information and seeking to develop common strategies with other countries affected;

50. Understands that the laws on intellectual property rights recently adopted by the Indian Parliament may have adverse effects on the production capacity of the Indian pharmaceutical industry, which has been a source of reliable and affordable medicines, particularly for AIDS, for many patients world-wide; therefore strongly believes that the law should allow derogations for medicines which are of public interest, such as those used to combat, inter alia, AIDS, cancer, malaria, tuberculosis and hepatitis; believes that access to reliable and affordable medicines, in particular for AIDS, is critical; calls upon the Indian authorities to ensure that international standards of animal welfare are applied in the use of live animals in scientific experiments and that such experiments are minimised and alternatives found;

51. Points out that half of the patients who take antiviral medicines in poor countries are using medication produced in India; calls on the EU to support India in further implementing its intellectual property laws in a manner that will avoid barriers to the production, marketing and export of essential medicines and, in so doing, create an environment that will continue to encourage and facilitate investment by the Indian generic manufacturing industry in providing affordable essential medicines for developing countries;

52. Recalls that the WTO allows such derogations and that the Doha Declaration on TRIPS still applies, which states that 'the Agreement can and should be interpreted and implemented in a manner supportive of WTO members' right to protect public health and, in particular, to promote access to medicines for all';

Thursday, 29 September 2005

53. Encourages the Commission and India to work together, on the basis of permanent and continuous contacts, to push the Doha Development Agenda (DDA) forward to a final and successful conclusion benefiting all parties concerned;

54. With this in view, believes that it should be possible for Indian and European negotiators to establish direct and permanent links for addressing bilateral and multilateral topics; this will help to secure balanced and mutually beneficial progress on the main points of the Doha Development Agenda;

55. Calls on the EU and India to study as soon as possible the possibilities for joint action in cooperative development projects in third countries and to take the necessary steps to push these forward once their feasibility has been confirmed;

56. Welcomes the fact that both parties have agreed to hold the first meeting of the EU-India Environmental Forum in October/November 2005 and calls on the Commission to devote special attention to those areas which are priorities for India, such as renewable energies, clean technologies and the treatment of waste, and to provide all possible assistance;

57. Calls on the Indian Government to take firm and effective measures to prevent the occurrence of the phenomenon of dumping in its trade with the EU;

Environment and agriculture

58. Stresses that the increasing environmental destruction in India is a constantly growing problem, particularly for the poor population, in terms of water pollution, land degradation, air pollution, climate change and loss of biodiversity, and stresses the particular urgency of pressing ahead with EU cooperation with India in this field;

59. Urges India, which has signed the Kyoto Protocol but which enjoys a temporary derogation from certain commitments, to show greater sensitivity to the question of global warming, while pursuing its development needs and objectives in a reasonable manner compatible with sustainable development;

60. Is concerned at the alarming drop in the number of tigers to be found in the country's major nature reserves and calls on the Indian authorities to step up their efforts to combat the corruption and incompetence responsible for this decline;

61. Considers that the supervisory and control measures designed to protect this animal should be stepped up, particularly in the states of Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar; with this in view, urges that the recently established National Wildlife Crime Prevention Bureau be given the necessary resources to enable it to operate effectively;

62. Calls on the Commission to concern itself with this matter and ensure the appropriate cooperation, since tigers are not only significant for India's ecosystem and important for the country's tourist sector, but can also be seen as part of mankind's heritage;

63. Calls on the Member States potentially able to cooperate in the field of civilian-use nuclear energy to give due consideration to India's enormous and growing energy requirements and to take a decision on the possibility of increasing cooperation with India in this area; also urges the Commission, the Member States and India to increase cooperation in the field of renewable energies;

64. Is concerned at the serious farming crisis which, according to various experts, could within a few years have very serious consequences because of the dearth of water in various parts of the country, and calls on the Council and the Commission to pay close attention to this question;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

65. Notes that, twenty years after the Union Carbide Corporation's pesticide plant in Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, leaked toxic gases, killing more than 22 000 people and leaving tens of thousands more with chronic and debilitating illnesses, the site has still not been cleaned up and toxic waste continues to pollute the environment and groundwater; calls on the Indian authorities and Dow Chemicals to immediately clean up the site and affected surroundings, provide a full remedy for the victims, and bring those responsible to justice;

66. Calls on the EU to apply the strategic partnership in such a way as to make a tangible difference on the ground and to encourage people to engage in partnership across the globe so as to improve their working customs and quality of life and to exchange ideas and best practice in all sectors, especially in industry and agriculture;

67. Considers that more support should be given to cooperation in science and technology, one of the principal objectives being to promote dialogue — at all levels — focusing on subjects of common interest such as information technologies, space technology, biotechnology, electronic commerce and textiles; points to the importance of expanding facilities for university contacts and exchanges;

Human rights

68. Believes that the distinguishing feature of a true partnership is an open and honest dialogue on all matters of mutual interest and, therefore, welcomes the fact that the strategic partnership provides for the extension and institutionalisation of the human rights dialogue;

69. Welcomes the decision of the Indian Supreme Court to order the review of over two thousand complaints closed by the police and approximately two hundred cases, which had ended in acquittals, arising from the 2002 mass killing of two thousand Muslims in the State of Gujarat; congratulates the Indian National Human Rights Commission on its independent and rigorous work regarding this and other issues, such as discrimination based on castes and that suffered, inter alia, by Dalits and Adivasis, and believes that the judicial and political authorities must be given sufficient time to conclude their work without outside interference, which may prove counter-productive in the end; calls for cooperation with human rights organisations in this process;

70. Welcomes the Nanavati Commission report and the Government's Action Taken Report on the violence against Sikhs in 1984, and calls on the Government of India to fulfil its promises to hold the perpetrators to account promptly and with earnest commitment;

71. With regard to discrimination and violence against women, urges the government to continue its specific measures to effectively eliminate domestic violence and provide assistance for victims; calls also for continuing efforts to be undertaken to promote the education of girls, thereby complying with Millennium Development Goals 2 and 3;

72. Recognises that for centuries India has preserved a multi-ethnic, multi-religious, multi-cultural and linguistically diverse society within its territory, offering an example to the West and to Europe, and calls on the Indian Government to guard particularly against the emergence of inter-ethnic, inter-religious and inter-cultural tensions among communities, which would jeopardise the country's secular heritage of tolerance and coexistence;

73. Aware of the new Government's proven will to modernise, urges it to press on resolutely with the reform of the exceedingly slow and antiquated judicial system; points out that, on occasion, the slow operation of the justice system or particular instances of malpractice or failure to act on the part of the police, particularly as regards certain rape cases, lead to frustration among the population, prompting it to take justice into its own hands; welcomes, nevertheless, the fact that this has generated a public debate on these issues in particular and on the situation as regards the justice system in general;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

74. Calls on the New Delhi government to abolish the use of the death penalty in its judicial system;
75. Draws attention to the fact that India is one of the few democratic countries not to have ratified the United Nations Convention Against Torture, which entered into force in 1987, and notes that said ratification is a vital pre-requisite for the strengthening of relations between the EU and India; calls on it also to ratify the conventions on the abolition of child labour and on the promotion of collective bargaining;
76. Stresses that the EU must urge India to comply fully with the recommendations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination;
77. Urges India to sign up to the International Criminal Court;
78. Calls on the Council and the Commission to enter into a dialogue with India on the ratification of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, the abolition of the death penalty, the ratification in particular of the conventions against torture and child labour together with the additional protocols to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and to the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination Against Women;

International situation

79. Notes that the planned strategic association between Washington and New Delhi provides for the sale of US aircraft and the sharing of space and civilian-use nuclear technology;
80. Recognises that India has played a major role in conflict prevention and peacekeeping, for example in Afghanistan; takes notes of its reaction to the recent royal coup in Nepal and its aftermath; calls upon India, as the largest member of the SAARC, to take a lead in developing SAARC regional cooperation further;
81. Notes that the EU must encourage regional cooperation in southern Asia and also undertake a strategic rapprochement with a view to upgrading relations between the EU and the SAARC;
82. Takes note of the rapprochement between Iran, Pakistan and India, as a result of which consideration is being given to the building of a gas pipeline from Iran to India passing through Pakistani territory; considers that support should be given to projects of this kind, which are essentially peaceful in nature, benefit the peoples of the region and create a network of mutual interests deterring the prospect of conflict between them, and encourage regional stability;
83. Welcomes the signs of progress in Indo-Pakistani bilateral talks on Kashmir and other mutual displays of flexibility, such as the recently launched bus service between the two, Indian and Pakistani, parts of the territory, and the visits by the Indian Minister of Foreign Affairs to Pakistan and the President of Pakistan to India;
84. Condemns the terrorist attacks perpetrated against the abovementioned bus service on the day of its inauguration, 7 April 2005;
85. Welcomes the Indian Prime Minister's declaration, supported by the Pakistani President Musharraf, that the Siachen Glacier in Kashmir should be regarded as a 'Mountain of Peace' and as a new symbol on the path to full reconciliation between India and Pakistan on Kashmir, and calls on the EU to support this positive move in order to achieve, as soon as possible, a definitive agreement between the parties, including on redeployment and withdrawal of military forces in the area;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

86. Calls upon the Council and the Commission to support India and its competent security services and law enforcement authorities in their application to enjoy privileged partner status with Europol in order to combat international terrorism and organised crime more effectively;
87. Urges India, together with Pakistan and Israel, to become parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, which is an irreplaceable multilateral instrument for maintaining and consolidating international peace, security and stability and establishes a legal framework to prevent further proliferation of nuclear weapons;
88. Urges the Government of India to accede to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on Their Destruction (Ottawa Convention);
89. Expresses its profound sadness at the loss of life and property caused by the tsunami in December 2004 and congratulates the Indian Government for displaying such solidarity with its rapid response to the disaster and, in particular, the Indian Navy which dispatched several units to the affected regions the very next day; calls upon the Commission to ensure that India is included in its programme of post-tsunami reconstruction;
90. Calls upon its Conference of Presidents of the European Parliament to consider, for the second half of the parliamentary term, creating a specific European Parliament-India Inter-parliamentary delegation in order to establish deeper links between the Parliaments of the two largest democracies in the world;

*
* *

91. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the governments and parliaments of the Member States of the European Union, the Government and Parliament of India and the governments and parliaments of the SAARC Member States.

P6_TA(2005)0365

Renewable energy in the EU

European Parliament resolution on the share of renewable energy in the EU and proposals for concrete actions (2004/2153(INI))

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on the share of renewable energy in the EU (COM(2004)0366),
- having regard to Directive 2001/77/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 September 2001 on the promotion of electricity produced from renewable energy sources in the internal electricity market ⁽¹⁾,
- having regard to Directive 2003/30/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 May 2003 on the promotion of the use of biofuels or other renewable fuels for transport ⁽²⁾ ('the Biofuels Directive'),
- having regard to the Communication from the Commission entitled 'Energy for the future: Renewable sources of energy — White Paper for a Community strategy and action plan' (COM(1997)0599),

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 283, 27.10.2001, p. 33.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 123, 17.5.2003, p. 42.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- having regard to its resolution of 1 April 2004 on the International Conference for Renewable Energies (Bonn, June 2004) ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy and the opinions of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety and the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development (A6-0227/2005),
1. Welcomes the Communication from the Commission on the share of renewable energy in the EU and encourages the Commission to continue to develop an ambitious and, at the same time, realistic strategy in the area of renewable energies;
 2. Recognises the exceptional importance of renewable energies, along with energy efficiency and conservation, not only in surmounting health and environmental degradation and ensuring sustainable development which meets Europe's climate objectives, but also in contributing to innovation and both regional and national development, trade opportunities and the creation of jobs in line with the Lisbon agenda;
 3. Stresses, furthermore, that renewable energies combined with energy conservation measures reduce Europe's dependence on energy imports and thus diminish the political and economic risks resulting from these imports;
 4. Calls on the Commission to set ambitious but realistic targets for ultra-low or non CO₂ emitting and CO₂ neutral energy technologies to supply 60 % of EU electricity demand by 2020, in support of European climate and security-of-supply objectives;
 5. Points out that 'renewable energies' does not just refer to wind power, and that other renewable energies such as hydropower plants, solar-thermal power plants, geothermal plants and biomass can be managed to improve network stability;

Twenty-one renewable energies for the 21st century

6. Recognises the potential from a wide diversity of more than twenty-one different renewable energy technologies, which in principle cover not only all geographical areas but also all relevant energy uses;
7. Recognises that renewable energies are the fastest growing sector of the energy industry in Europe and even worldwide, with a growth rate above 20 % a year for wind and solar photovoltaic (PV) energy, and that the development of renewable energy technologies has created more than 300 000 jobs;
8. Recognises that impressive cost reductions have been achieved in the various renewable energy technologies, in some cases up to 50 % in 15 years, but notes that there must be further cost reductions, which will require the provision of strong incentives;
9. Calls for adoption of the Biomass Action Plan as announced, greater promotion of solar thermal power stations in the south of the EU and a coordinated major project for North Sea wind power to promote the rapid expansion of these renewable energies;
10. Welcomes the fact that the EU is a world leader in most renewable energy technologies as a result of the efforts in some Member States, and calls for new EU-wide initiatives and directives;
11. Recognises the potential of biomass, which must be harnessed through a systemic approach to the use and integrated maintenance of the territory;
12. Recognises, further, the potential of geothermal energy for both heat and electricity production and calls for more political attention to be paid to the potential in geothermal energies;

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 103 E, 29.4.2004, p. 838.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

13. Welcomes moves to promote hydrogen technology and a hydrogen-based economy and stresses that hydrogen is an energy carrier, the advantages of which become especially evident when it is produced from renewable sources of energy, thereby effectively promoting environmental protection, sustainable development and measures to combat the greenhouse effect;

Paving the way for the EU as a world market leader for renewable energies

14. Stresses the importance of setting mandatory targets for 2020, which will send a clear signal to market actors, such as large-scale energy companies and the financial community, as well as to national policy makers, that renewable energies are the future of energy in the EU and part of its environmental and industrial strategy;

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to monitor closely compliance by the Member States with indicative national targets and to seek to draw up a medium-term renewable energy strategy for EU covering the period after 2010, in addition to a detailed assessment of progress in achieving the 2010 objectives and value-for-money for final consumers (including the calculation of external costs) and, finally, progress made in improving energy efficiency;

16. Recalls its resolution of 1 April 2004 in which an overwhelming majority of the House called for a 20 % target for renewable energies in the EU's overall energy consumption by 2020;

17. Points out that new studies, in particular those that take into account energy-efficiency scenarios for all sectors, show that, with better conditions for renewable energy and energy efficiency, a more ambitious target is feasible;

18. Asks, therefore, the Commission to develop demand-efficiency scenarios which will lead us to the overall climate change objective of peaking the global temperature at 2° C above pre-industrial level, and provide a better basis for fixing long-term targets for renewable energies;

19. Notes that with a more systemic approach to energy policies, which both integrates and speeds up, inter alia through higher incentives, the large-scale potentials of energy conservation, energy efficiency and renewable energies, a share of 25 % of the EU's overall energy consumption could be provided by renewable energies by 2020; considers that a further reduction in the relative costs of renewable technologies would play an important role in achieving such a share, in particular by stimulating demand and R&D;

20. Notes that in order to provide the necessary signals for such highly differentiated energy markets as the electricity, transport fuels and the heating and cooling sectors, the EU target must be broken down into both sectoral and national targets, so that simpler and more economically-attractive conditions can be created for investment in research on, and use of, renewable energy; therefore asks the Commission to come up with mandatory targets for each of these three sectors;

21. Considers that incentives in the form of tax cuts are generally an effective way to promote renewable energies; encourages the Member States to use such instruments and the Commission to abolish all obstacles to such action by the Member States;

Heating and cooling: A major market for low-temperature renewable energies

22. Notes that the heating and cooling of buildings accounts for roughly 40 % of all energy use in the EU and urges a systemic approach that will integrate best available technologies for reducing heat and cooling demand with low-density energy from low-temperature renewables or co- or tri-generation units;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

23. Welcomes the progress made in the energy design of new buildings, in which the integration of solar architecture, insulation and renewable energies is leading to low-energy, passive-energy and, even, plus-energy houses which produce more energy over a year than they consume;
24. Highlights the enormous productivity gains which could result from the enhanced integration of energy conservation and renewable energies into prefabricated building materials like roofs and facades;
25. Highlights the progress in solar thermal heating but regrets the huge gap between the current capacity per head in a few leading Member States (Cyprus, Austria, Greece and Germany) and most other Member States, and notes the high potential for solar thermal energy in the EU;
26. Highlights the importance of market penetration of cooling, i.e. thermally driven cooling based on solar thermal energy, biomass or geothermal energy input, and notes that an increase in renewable cooling is a necessary answer to the market pressure caused by the growing demand for cooling;
27. Highlights the market potential of renewable energies, such as biomass and geothermal energy, for the growing central district-heating and district-cooling sector by producing green electricity and using low-temperature 'waste' energy to heat or cool buildings;
28. Highlights the potential offered by district heating and cooling networks, which as infrastructures make it possible to optimally use and combine a large spectrum of 'fossil-fuel free' energy inputs: surplus heat from electricity production, different forms of renewable heat (e.g. geothermal energy, heat/cold from deep-sea or lake water or biomass), heat pumps, and heat from biodegradable waste incineration and/or from industrial processes;
29. Notes that investment in sustainable housing requires a higher initial investment while reducing the running costs of buildings; stresses the need for Energy Efficiency Service Companies to bridge the investor-user gap and calls on the European institutions to use the proposed directive on energy end-use efficiency and energy services to create a stable market for this type of investment;
30. Asks the Commission to broaden the scope of Directive 2002/91/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002 on the energy performance of buildings ⁽¹⁾ ('the Buildings Directive') to all commercial buildings of more than 250 m² with regard to total energy efficiency and the use of renewable energies, and to come forward with a proposal for a directive on renewable energies in the heating and cooling sector;
31. Stresses that, in contrast to its approach to the electricity and fuel sectors, the European Union has no systematic approach towards supporting renewable energies in the heating and cooling sector, even though dependence on gas and oil imports is particularly high in this sector and the costs associated with increasing the share of renewable energies are comparatively low; therefore, calls for an EU-wide strategy aimed at making renewable heating and cooling units competitive by increasing production; observes, in this regard, that bureaucratic regulations at EU level imposed on house owners and builders are not the appropriate way to achieve this, but rather a directive that sets realistic but ambitious targets and coordinates the Member States' actions on the basis of temporary limited incentives for market access;
32. Considers, in this regard, that the Commission should present a proposal for a directive on heating and cooling in a format similar to that of the Commission proposal for the Biofuels Directive (COM(2001)0547);

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 1, 4.1.2003, p. 65.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

33. Asks the Commission to work together with Member States to introduce by 2012, at the latest, minimum building standards for all private homes, based on passive energy (below 10 kW/m²) standards;
34. Asks the Commission and ECOFIN to take rapid and effective measures to eliminate environmentally harmful subsidies in the heating and cooling sector; asks the Member States to use effective incentives in the form of tax cuts to promote the use of renewable energies in the heating and cooling sector and asks the Commission to abolish all obstacles to the use of such energies;

Electricity: Fair market conditions for renewable electricity production

35. Recalls the target of 21 % of renewable energies in the overall electricity mix of the EU set out in Directive 2001/77/EC;
36. Remembers that this target is a percentage of overall electricity consumption and asks all European Union Institutions not to forget the enormous potential for reducing electricity consumption by active policies aimed at electricity consumers as a whole;
37. Notes that the Commission welcomes the fact that certain Member States, notably Germany and Spain, have introduced an adequate policy framework to fulfil their national targets and notes that other governments are not forecast to meet the national targets that they committed to; urges the Commission to use the possibilities available to it under Directive 2001/77/EC to introduce binding national targets;
38. Asks the Commission to integrate in its 2005 report on Directive 2001/77/EC further provisions on the removal of all barriers (for example, administrative and political barriers) and provisions addressing the lack of fair and free access to the grid and non-discriminatory tariffs, which currently prevent the development of renewable sources of electricity in several Member States;
39. Notes that the Commission has identified as high the administrative barriers, as referred to in Article 6(1) of Directive 2001/77/EC, in several Member States, and urges the Commission to take action against these Member States;
40. Notes that the Commission has also ascertained that unfair access to the grid is blocking the further development of renewable electricity projects in several Member States; asks the Commission to monitor carefully the application of Article 7 of Directive 2001/77/EC and to take action against these Member States;
41. Notes that conditions on the electricity market are such that there is still no equal competition between electricity suppliers and distributors formerly structured along monopoly lines and small and medium-sized renewable energy providers, and calls on the Commission to take that fact into account, not least when assessing Directive 2001/77/EC;
42. Urges Member States to continue to develop national strategies and structures for the promotion of renewable energies with a view to reducing planning and licensing red tape, facilitating grid access, ensuring guarantees of origin, preserving network stability and reviewing environmentally harmful subsidies;
43. Calls on the Commission and the Council to allow for the 'polluter pays' principle and internalisation of external costs in respect of every energy resource;
44. Notes that the development of wind energy in a few European countries has been impressive with more than 34 600 MW installed at the end of 2004, thus outperforming all predictions, and calls for further research to solve problems relating to the regulation of energy and network stability; notes that the installation of wind power plants should be done in an intelligent way, so that the problems felt by the population in the affected areas are limited; regrets that the full potential of biomass electricity production has not been developed as expected; welcomes in this respect the Commission's announcement of a Biomass Action Plan;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

45. Recalls that the EU electricity market is still suffering from a number of serious distortions, such as insufficient ownership-unbundling requirements, ineffective wholesale markets, increased market concentration, large direct and indirect subsidies, no non-discriminatory access to balancing facilities like the large hydro-storage power plants, non-segregated decommissioning funds and non-internalisation of external costs, and insists that the Commission tackle these issues in its reports on the internal electricity and gas markets at the end of the year and put forward new legislative initiatives to put an end to those huge market distortions which penalise renewable energy production;

46. Regrets that the EU has so far failed to provide the support needed for the introduction of solar thermal power station technology onto the market; calls on the Commission to help enable the great potential of this technology to be tapped on a larger scale;

47. Takes the view that high-voltage direct-current transmission lines can provide a substantial boost to the use of renewable energies;

48. Notes the huge off-shore wind potential of the North Sea and insists that through the TEN-E funds the European Institutions should contribute to efficient coordination between the North Sea, Baltic Sea and Irish Sea border countries to integrate these potentials into the European grid system at the lowest possible cost; notes that similar large-scale wind potential exists in southern Morocco, and urges the Commission to consider a strategic partnership with the Maghreb countries on renewable energies;

49. Asks that in the longer term, a harmonised European incentive system be created which fulfils the following criteria, it must:

- a) contribute to the achievement of both the current targets and more-ambitious future targets;
- b) be compatible with the principles of the internal electricity market;
- c) form part of a systemic approach towards the development of renewables which takes into account the characteristics of different sources of renewable energy, together with the different technologies, and geographical differences;
- d) promote the use of renewable energy sources in an effective way, and be simple and, at the same time, as efficient as possible, particularly in terms of cost;
- e) internalise the external costs of all energy sources;
- f) include sufficient transitional periods for national support systems to maintain investor confidence;

and considers that, based on these criteria, uniform Community legislation on European feed-in systems could make sense in the long term, but that a quota or tendering model could also be taken into consideration provided that the current weaknesses of such models, which have come to light in a few Member States, can be eliminated;

50. Draws attention to the presence within the European Union of islands with, for example, independent power plants which rely principally on advanced hybrid generating technology using renewable forms of energy combined with storage technology to enhance their effectiveness; calls on the Member States and the Commission to take further initiatives in this direction; calls on the Commission to study best practices regarding network administration so as to resolve the problems of linking up to renewable energy, in particular to wind and solar energy networks;

51. Notes that part of the production of electricity from renewable technologies, mainly PV sources, is still expensive; encourages the Commission and Member States to promote measures to reduce the costs involved, mainly through research and development (R&D), and to draft incentives in such a way that improvements in technology and reductions in costs will continue;

Transport: Efficiency first, renewable fuels second

52. Identifies the transport sector as creating huge dependence on oil imports at highly volatile prices, causing significant health problems due to air pollution and being responsible for the fastest growing CO₂ emissions;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

53. Takes note that in the transport sector efficiency gains through structural measures like better urban and regional planning, modal shifts in goods and passenger transport and the gradual raising of efficiency performance standards for vehicles and airplanes are crucial and complementary to renewable fuel strategies;
54. Urges the Commission to use the analyses of the overall environmental impacts of fuels — the so-called 'well-to-wheel' energy chains — as developed by the Commission's Joint Research Centre in the CONCAWE study before initiating major EU policy measures in this field;
55. Asks Member States to reach the targets set in the Biofuels Directive and to apply the fiscal incentives allowed under Council Directive 2003/96/EC of 27 October 2003 restructuring the Community framework for the taxation of energy products and electricity ⁽¹⁾; considers that promoting the use of biofuels will create new opportunities for sustainable rural development and open new perspectives for innovative agricultural products; hopes that the results of the assessment of the technical specifications for blending ethanol with conventional fuels are satisfactory and that it will be possible to modify as soon as possible the annexes of Directive 98/70/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 October 1998 relating to the quality of petrol and diesel fuels and amending Council Directive 93/12/EEC ⁽²⁾;
56. Asks the Commission to include in its Biomass Action Plan a mandatory, gradually progressive obligation on fuel companies to include biomass based fuels in their sales;
57. Stresses that the use of ethanol for fuel will contribute to boosting agricultural areas in the EU and increasing the value of agricultural raw materials; considers that given recent reforms and cuts in financial support (CAP, sugar), promoting the use and production of ethanol for fuel could offer this sector a new outlet;
58. Calls on the Commission, in the light of the emerging technologies, to exploit the potential of bioenergy and biofuels in conjunction with sustainable agriculture and forestry and sustainable management of waste within the CAP and the EU waste strategy;

Biomass: The sleeping giant amongst renewable energies

59. Notes that biomass has many advantages over conventional energy sources, as well as over some other renewable energies, in particular, relatively low costs, less dependence on short-term weather changes, promotion of regional economic structures and provision of alternative income sources for farmers;
60. Regrets therefore that the enormous potential of biomass in the field of renewable energies has not been exploited in line with its technical potential at feasible cost; welcomes therefore the Commission's announcement that it will present a Biomass Action Plan and encourages the Commission to draft an ambitious document which includes concrete, legally binding proposals;
61. Stresses that the benefits of biomass in the area of electricity are particularly felt where installations are designed in such a way as equally to use power, heat and cooling;
62. Asks the Commission and the Member States to use the Structural and Cohesion Funds to promote the use of biomass;
63. Asks the Commission and Member States to use the enormous potential of the second pillar of the CAP (rural development) to promote the sustainable use of biomass;
64. Asks the Commission to include the eco-efficient use of biomass in its priorities for the specific programmes under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development (FP7);

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 283, 31.10.2003, p. 51. Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/75/EC (OJ L 157, 30.4.2004, p. 100).

⁽²⁾ OJ L 350, 28.12.1998, p. 58. Directive as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1882/2003 (OJ L 284, 31.10.2003, p. 1).

Thursday, 29 September 2005

65. Highlights the enormous potential of co-firing of biomass and fossil fuels (e.g. coal), where the share of renewable energies can be increased in the short term and cost-efficiently, whereas in the long term installations that work only with biomass have to be built;

66. Urges Member States to ensure that national taxation policy does not hinder the development of biomass production;

67. Encourages the Member States to examine their tax systems, abolish all unnecessary tax burdens for users of biomass and consider tax cuts as effective incentives;

68. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission to set up a Biomass Action Plan; however, as it is a complex and controversial issue, calls on the Commission to hold widespread public and stakeholder consultations in order to set up a balanced and ambitious working plan for the future;

69. Takes the view that steps to encourage greater use of biomass in the production of a renewable form of energy using sustainable production methods must not provide an excuse for the EU not to pursue research aimed at achieving greater energy efficiency (cutting down the energy used for fuel, heating, electricity, etc.), a potential means of lowering the financial burden of farmers;

70. Calls for the rules on coexistence and labelling that apply to the cultivation and use of genetically modified organisms in food production to apply also in the area of renewable energies;

71. Recognises the contribution made by the CAP to the production of renewable energies, via biomass and biofuels, and urges that their further development and use be encouraged; considers it indispensable to increase the possibility of the co-funding of investment schemes by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development and the other Structural Funds so as to ensure the balanced and rational development and use of renewable energy provided that the energy and environmental outcomes of this use prove positive and compatible with sustainable production methods;

72. Calls for the use in energy production of agricultural and forestry by-products, such as crops grown on marginal land, hedge clippings and forestry by-products (waste wood), to be promoted as a priority, in order to largely exclude competition with essential food production;

73. Recognises the potential of renewable energy production to simultaneously and effectively diversify and secure farm income, create jobs, protect nature and produce clean energy; points out, however, that the security of food supply must continue to take precedence over energy production; considers, therefore, that measures are necessary to prevent food production being replaced by energy production in good agricultural locations and food imports into the EU becoming still more numerous;

74. Recognises the forestry sector as an unexploited source of energy that can play a vital role not only in developing and expanding the biomass market but also in bringing the energy source closer to the consumer;

Innovation: The importance of better coordination between the European, national, local and regional levels

75. Insists on the fact that a coherent European renewable energy and energy efficiency strategy is only achievable if a better interaction between all relevant actors takes place; stresses particularly the importance of the local and regional level in this strategy;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

76. Calls for a new Intelligent Energy for Europe program under the Financial Perspective 2007- 2013 with significantly increased funding in order to boost networking around best practices and to stimulate 100 % renewable communities;

77. Supports the Commission's view that the promotion of renewable energies should in future be a key element of European structural policy; and points out that this will open up new opportunities for the new Member States in particular to strengthen their small and medium-sized industries;

Research and development: A priority for renewable energy and energy efficiency

78. Notes that solar thermal electricity, marine renewables such as sea current, wave, tidal and osmosis energies are potential, new areas for generating renewable electricity and that EU R&D should heavily invest in them;

79. Welcomes the role of the EU as the world leader in renewable energy technologies;

80. Notes that in the energy field all non-mature energy technologies need a certain amount of support in the first years of development and highlights the fact that the International Energy Agency notes that between 1974 and 2001 only 8,2 % of total energy R&D funds of OECD countries were allocated to renewable energies;

81. Insists that in the upcoming FP7 specific programmes a substantial amount be dedicated to renewable energies and to energy efficiency, given the multiple benefits of renewables for climate change, energy independency and security of energy supply;

82. Stresses that the FP7 must include increased funding for renewable sources of energy and energy efficiency; stresses the need to bridge the gap between the demonstration, dissemination and marketing of renewable energy technologies and to focus on research into offshore windparks;

83. Insists that the Community's main financial instruments, notably the Structural and Cohesion Funds, as well as the financial support made available through the Community's international co-operation programmes, the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument in particular, should be oriented towards large-scale investment in new and best performance renewable and energy-conservation technologies;

84. Calls on the European Investment Bank (EIB) and the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) to set escalating targets for the share of renewable energy in their respective energy loans portfolio and to make the prevention of greenhouse gas emissions an indispensable criterion in the selection of projects to be supported;

85. Believes that technology platforms should be foreseen for solar electricity production, for wind power, for biomass and for integration of renewable energies into the building sector including renewables-based combined heat and power and district heating and cooling (CHP/DHC);

86. Encourages the Member States to invest in education in the domain of renewable energies to make sure that professional operators and the general public are better informed;

87. Takes the view that research is needed urgently, especially in the areas of forecasting and temporary storage of energy generated from volatile sources such as wind and solar power;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

88. Calls for extension of the existing exchange-of-information procedures and for improvements in the transparency of databases in order to allow for more multilateral co-operation in environment research and planning;

Export strategy, developing policy

89. Insists on the responsibility of the EU in assisting least and less-developed countries and emerging economies in developing, promoting and financing adapted renewable technologies;

90. Invites the EU to continue to defend its position as world leader in this field and its commitments made at the Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development of 26 August to 4 September 2002 and to use its influence to further strengthen an efficient renewable energy deployment policy in the relevant international bodies;

91. Points to the importance of renewable energies in development cooperation and in the context of the Euro-Mediterranean Partnership;

92. Calls on the Commission to provide greater support for the use of solar thermal power station technology in the southern and eastern Mediterranean;

93. Notes that there is a huge potential for cooperation with North African countries and the Mediterranean region in the harvesting of solar, geothermal and wind energy, for the further development of these regions as well as the export of technology from, and import of electricity into, the EU market;

94. Calls upon the EU to help foster the use of renewable energies in developing countries in the interests of poverty alleviation, conflict prevention and sustainable development;

95. Calls on the Commission and Council to treat the promotion of a sustainable energy supply as a priority in development aid and especially in poverty reduction strategies, and to urge leading financing institutions such as the EIB, the EBRD, the World Bank and national export credit agencies to assign priority to investment in renewables and energy efficiency so as to encourage and facilitate development in that direction;

European Union Institutions as flagships for renewable energies

96. Asks the European Union institutions to set positive and visible examples to European citizens by the use of renewable energies in their own buildings and modes of transportation;

97. Calls on its Bureau to make a long term commitment to the gradual phasing in of renewable energies in the electricity, heating, cooling and transportation needs of the Parliament and to combine this effort with measures to increase energy efficiency in the operation of the Parliament;

*
* *

98. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

P6_TA(2005)0366

EU road-safety action programme**European Parliament resolution on the European Road Safety Action Programme: Halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: A shared responsibility (2004/2162(INI))**

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission White Paper 'European transport policy for 2010: time to decide' (COM(2001)0370), and its resolution of 12 February 2003 thereon ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to the Commission Communication 'Information and Communications Technologies for Safe and Intelligent Vehicles' (COM(2003)0542),
 - having regard to the Commission Communication 'European road safety action programme — Halving the number of road accident victims in the European Union by 2010: a shared responsibility' (COM(2003)0311) and more recently its publication 'Saving 20 000 lives on our roads' of October 2004,
 - having regard to Commission Recommendation 2004/345/EC of 6 April 2004 on enforcement in the field of road safety ⁽²⁾,
 - having regard to the Verona Declaration on Road Safety of 24 October 2003 as well as the conclusions on the Second Verona Conference held on 25 and 26 October 2004 and the subsequent commitment given by EU transport ministers to regard road safety as a priority,
 - having regard to the European Road Safety Charter annexed to the abovementioned Commission Communication on the European road safety action programme,
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A6-0225/2005),
- A. whereas the target of halving the number of road fatalities in the EU by 2010 as well as the ongoing mid-term reviews of the European road safety action programme by the Commission are to be welcomed,
- B. whereas important work is being done by the e-Safety Forum, with the participation of an impressive number of stakeholders,
- C. whereas the enforcement of speed, alcohol and seat belt legislation must be based on the exchange of best practices,
- D. whereas it is universally recognised that exceeding speed limits or driving at a speed inappropriate to the road conditions, driving while under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or particular medicines, and the failure to use seat belts properly play havoc with road safety, given the death toll arising from those factors and the numbers that they leave injured or disabled; whereas even though many efforts have already been undertaken, the high death toll implies that much more needs to be done to achieve the target set for 2010,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 43 E, 19.2.2004, p. 250.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 111, 17.4.2004, p. 75.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- E. whereas as far as road safety is concerned, the EU has specific obligations explicitly laid down in the Treaties and is empowered to act in areas in which EU action could provide added value over and above the measures taken by Member States, as well as in other vitally important matters such as the use of seat belts and driving licences; whereas, in addition, the scope of EU action has widened and thus covers a further 80 million citizens,
- F. whereas the exchange of best practice has a particularly important role to play in preventing road accidents, 65 % of which occur in towns, 30 % out of towns and no more than 5 % on motorways,
- G. having regard to the fact that every year, more than 40 000 deaths are caused by road traffic accidents in the EU and, in addition to the unacceptable human suffering, there are the related direct and indirect costs, estimated at 180 billion Euro or 2 % of EU GNP,
- H. noting with satisfaction that vehicles are now four times safer than in 1970, a fact which has contributed significantly to reducing by 50 % the number of deaths in the EU of 15 Member States since 1970, during a period in which traffic volumes have tripled,
- I. concerned by the low levels of road safety in some Member States, especially in many of the 10 new Member States; noting that, if all the Member States were to achieve the same results as the United Kingdom and Sweden, the number of fatalities would fall by 17 000 a year in the Union of 25 Member States, representing a reduction of 39 % and thus a great step forward, but falling short of the 50 % target,
1. Stresses the shared responsibility of all stakeholders, namely the EU, Member States, regional and local authorities, industry, organisations, and individuals to take concrete positive and coherent action to improve road safety and to halve the number of road accident victims by 2010, thereby achieving the common target; stresses that the principle of subsidiarity should be fully respected, without using it as an excuse for complacency or inaction in light of the important responsibility which Europe bears to create the necessary policy framework;
 2. Welcomes the planned mid-term review by the Commission of progress made by Member States in implementing the European road safety action programme;
 3. Urges the Commission to propose in its mid-term review a comprehensive and permanent EU road safety framework in which all relevant areas of road safety are detailed, targets and accompanying measures for the EU and Member States are presented and progress is measured against the targets and widely published on a yearly basis;
 4. Regrets that the abovementioned Commission Communication on the European road safety action programme did not include an evaluation of the Second Road Safety Action Programme (1997-2001), as an evaluation is essential to avoid the repetition of errors; furthermore, regrets the fact that the Communication failed to address the particular road safety problems of urban areas;
 5. Calls on the Commission to develop a long-term road safety concept, going beyond 2010 and describing the required steps leading to the avoidance of all fatalities and serious injuries caused by road accidents ('Vision Zero');
 6. Considers that the Commission should promote a move towards public transport and soft modes of non-motorised road transport with a view to improving road safety and considers furthermore that a clear framework of political support is necessary in this regard;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

7. Is of the view that exchange of best practice and coordination of common policies call for enhanced policy coordination, the dissemination of irrefutable data so as to place poor performers under pressure and a more structured approach than has been the case so far; considers that the vital tasks for which a common approach is needed include, for instance, the following:

- collecting, analysing and publishing data as well as safety-performance indicators,
- harmonising accident statistics (and their subsequent inclusion in a EU database),
- conducting Community-wide road safety campaigns,
- promoting research programmes and possibly introducing new technologies in close cooperation with industry and other stakeholders,
- enhancing cross-border information exchange and audits on the enforcement of Community legislation e.g. on driving times and rest periods in road transport, in order to stimulate more uniform interpretation and application of that legislation;

8. Asks the Commission to report to the European Parliament within two years on what institutional setting would be the most appropriate, in terms of independence and expertise, for evaluating and fostering progress on road safety;

9. Calls on the Council Presidency to host the 3rd Verona Conference in 2005 and initiate the Verona Process, incorporating it into the proposed EU road safety framework; expects the Verona Process to help create the necessary political leadership, as did the Cardiff or Lisbon processes, by encouraging top-level political decision makers to strongly commit themselves to reducing road accidents; furthermore, considers that performance indicators and peer reviews conducted by Member States can be efficient if used to 'name, shame and fame' and thus create political pressure to reach targeted safety levels;

10. Points out that high-level engagement with regard to road safety can — as recently demonstrated in France, where a campaign launched in 2002 reduced the number of fatalities by 30 % over two years — bring about significant results in a short time; calls for a higher level of political commitment to road safety across the EU;

11. Welcomes the fact that the European Road Safety Charter so clearly demonstrates that road safety is a shared responsibility and provides a means for the stakeholders concerned to undertake commitments; is concerned, however, that the Charter has not attracted as many adherents or publicity as initially foreseen; proposes that the Charter be promoted by a campaign organised jointly at European and national level to publicise the commitments undertaken; calls for adequate financial resources to be committed also by the Community Institutions and for a strengthened communication strategy to be developed so as to attract the interest of a greater number of players, such as SMEs, and to disseminate best practice in each field; calls for yearly road safety awards to be given to best performers at highly publicised events; invites the Commission to study the possibility of reaching individual citizens by means of personal road safety commitments;

12. Stresses the importance of the buyers of transport services participating actively in the work to improve road safety and calls on the Commission to do everything it can to ensure that buyers of transport services demand that their suppliers meet road safety requirements; calls on the European, national, regional and local bodies responsible to require a plan of action in the area of road safety from the undertakings from which they buy transport services; calls on the Commission to do what it can to ensure that the European road safety charter serve to issue transport undertakings with a certificate certifying that they meet the road safety requirements;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

13. Is convinced that only an integrated approach involving all aspects of road safety, namely all road users and all users and purchasers of transport services and especially the driver (physical condition, training, behaviour), the vehicle (its equipment, safety regulations, maintenance) and the infrastructure (condition and maintenance of road networks, the intensity of road use, road building, signs) — together with incentives to make greater use of public transport — and effective legislation in the Member States, can lead to significant and lasting results;

14. Calls on the Commission, the Member States and their regional authorities to focus their road safety education, legislation and control measures on higher-risk groups;

15. Considers that thorough and high-quality training for drivers, instructors and law enforcement officers is of great importance; calls on the Commission to promote training, as early as in primary schools so as to reduce the death rate among the young, as well as life-long driver education with due regard to the needs of specific groups such as the elderly, disabled people or immigrants; supports Community-wide campaigns especially targeting the most frequent offenders and putting emphasis on the most serious causes of death such as speeding, drink-driving or the failure to use seat belts; calls for the rapid introduction of the European driving licence not least with a view to enabling the physical and mental faculties of drivers and their driving skills to be checked over time;

16. Expressly supports the Commission's efforts to investigate, in the area of commercial freight transport, the impact of the increasing use of small commercial vehicles on road safety in connection with training, driving and rest times and speed-limiting devices; calls on the Commission to forward the results of this examination to the European Parliament as quickly as possible, if necessary in conjunction with a legislative proposal;

17. Recalls that many fatalities are caused by driver fatigue, as has been demonstrated by a British study⁽¹⁾ which found that fatigue is the cause of around 20 % of accidents on long journeys on trunk roads and motorways; calls on the Commission to publish statistics on the overall situation in Europe and to support measures to counter this problem;

18. Calls on the Commission, the Member States and their regional authorities to pay particular attention to the protection and safety of vulnerable road users, such as pedestrians and cyclists;

19. Is worried about the safety of those vulnerable road users; including young people, for whom the death rate is particularly high; notes that the risk of death in motorcycle or moped travel is 17 times higher than in car travel and that walking or cycling is up to nine times riskier; stresses that safety needs to be significantly improved not only for car occupants but also for vulnerable road users, such as pedestrians, cyclists and motorcyclists; highlights the need to focus on road safety education, legislation and control measures on higher-risk groups through a more holistic approach; calls on the Commission to propose effective measures to ensure that all vulnerable road users benefit from maximum protection - such as hazard warning lights for the safety of two-wheeled vehicles; maintains that all road users should be made aware of the risks and of ways to reduce them; welcomes the EU-funded New Programme for the Assessment of Child Seats (NPACS) that establishes harmonised test and rating protocols; calls on the Commission to investigate whether child safety could be given higher priority in the Community road safety policy, whether extra attention to pedestrian safety could be brought to bear in European New Car Assessment Programme (EuroNCAP) crash tests and in the introduction of the second phase of Directive 2003/102/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 relating to the protection of pedestrians and other vulnerable road users before and in the event of a collision with a motor vehicle and amending Council Directive 70/156/EEC⁽²⁾;

20. Considers that proper, regular enforcement is of crucial importance for the improvement of road safety;

⁽¹⁾ <http://www.thinkroadsafety.gov.uk/>

⁽²⁾ OJ L 321, 6.12.2003, p. 15.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

21. Points out that enforcing compliance with existing road traffic rules would dramatically improve road safety as most accidents are the result of the non-respect of traffic rules; especially emphasises the importance of compliance with speed, blood alcohol limits, medicine and drug intake as well as with rules on the use of seat belts and helmets noting that these, primarily fall within the competence of the Member States but are in urgent need of coordination and dissemination of best practice; especially welcomes the Recommendation of the European Commission of 17 January 2001 of a maximum alcohol level of 0.5 mg/ml⁽¹⁾ and urges all Member States to adopt this maximum limit; urges Member States to implement swiftly the Commission's Recommendation of 6 April 2004 on enforcement⁽²⁾; calls on the Commission to monitor the implementation of the Recommendation and, as necessary, to lend support to Member States which do not succeed in implementing the Recommendation; calls on the Commission to report, in its mid-term review of the Action Programme, on the level of implementation; calls on the Commission, on the basis of this evaluation, to propose the necessary legislative measures of a binding nature in the area of maximum alcohol levels (in line with the European Parliament recommendation of 0,5 mg/ml for adults and 0.2mg/ml for novice drivers) and the use of safety-belts;

22. Is aware that cross-border enforcement of road traffic law remains very unsatisfactory owing to the lack of any uniform system by means of which the authorities of one Member State are able to prosecute offenders from other Member States⁽³⁾ urges the Commission to outline a proposal for a workable Community-wide campaign to ensure that drivers obey road traffic rules in whichever Member State they are driving; urges the Commission to outline a proposal for a workable Community-wide approach to enable the Member States to follow up offences and penalties imposed; notes that, as regards financial penalties, both the basis for possible legislation⁽⁴⁾ and the necessary framework for an information exchange system⁽⁵⁾ have been prepared;

23. Welcomes the idea of introducing compulsory harmonised pictograms on medical packaging, based on the European classification of drugs according to their effects;

24. Points out the danger of blind spots; calls for rapid, low-cost measures for the fitting of lorries with mirrors to eliminate blind spots; calls on the Commission to consider the need for and feasibility of revising Community legislation in order to enable manufacturers to introduce central A pillars offering a better field of vision; calls for the fitting of articulated lorries with 'front view mirrors' in particular, so as to counteract the blind spot for drivers at pedestrian crossings;

25. Recalls that a newer car fleet would also be a safer one; regrets that the Commission Communication on the taxation of passenger cars in the European Union (COM(2002)0431) and the subsequent resolution adopted by the European Parliament⁽⁶⁾ have not led to the suggested replacement of registration taxes by annual road taxes, thus forfeiting an improvement in the functioning of the internal market and a faster introduction of newer and safer cars; calls on the Commission to put forward incentive programmes for the renewal of the vehicle fleet, including agricultural vehicles, which would bring clear benefits not only in terms of road safety and the environment but also in terms of industrial development; to this end, calls on the Commission to assess the effect of the increased use of 4x4 vehicles and of other vehicles intended for other purposes (quads, buggies, etc.) on the accident rate and to put forward measures to reduce the risks posed by them;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 43, 14.2.2001, p. 31.

⁽²⁾ Police enforcement of rules covering speeding, drink driving and the use of seat belt alone can help avoid 6 000 fatalities and 14 000 injuries by 2010, according to Commission estimates.

⁽³⁾ An illustration of this is that in its first four months of operation, approximately 25 % of the violations recorded by the French national speed enforcement system, which started in 2003, were committed by vehicles registered outside France (VERA 2 2004:1), while these vehicles represent only 10 % of the overall traffic.

⁽⁴⁾ Council Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA of 24 February 2005 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to financial penalties (OJ L 76, 22.3.2005, p. 16).

⁽⁵⁾ EUCARIS is a system based on a multilateral treaty of 29 June 2000. It is an infrastructure through which participating countries can search databases of other countries which hold driving licence and/or vehicle information (www.eucaris.com).

RESPER is the Driving Licence network being set up the Commission and Council to share information and data on all European Driving Licences.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ C 83 E, 2.4.2004, p. 191.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

26. Is keen to preserve the cultural heritage represented by historic vehicles; therefore urges that planned legislation should take into consideration any unintentional but potentially negative effects on the use — and thus also the preservation — of historic vehicles;

27. Recalls that an incident-prone road network and a road network which does not minimise the consequences of accidents is a major safety hazard; recognises that roads should be upgraded to accommodate current traffic levels and built according to standards which take into account the needs of all road users, including the more vulnerable ones; strongly favours the endeavours of the Commission to introduce a harmonised definition of black spots, Community signs, motorist information, and counter-measures;

28. Regards a framework directive on safe infrastructure management as a useful tool for implementing an integrated approach to road safety; considers that such a directive should establish which operational procedures are required at the design, construction and operational stages of new and existing roads to ensure that they meet all safety standards, encourage national programmes to find solutions for road sections which present a high risk of accidents, in particular by doing away with level crossings, and contribute to setting up expert networks enabling 'best in Europe' approaches to safe road design and management; stresses that the Member States should systematically take account of the safety of all drivers (of motorcycles, bicycles, heavy vehicles, etc.) and of accident prevention when designing and building roads;

29. Urges the Commission to pay more attention to coordinating the European road safety action programme with the Environmental Action Programme, and suggests the inclusion of safety and environmental criteria in assessments for funding the Trans-European Transport Network (TEN-T); proposes the basic harmonisation of road signs and information as a first step towards a European system of road signs with uniform colour, shape, typeface and symbols, followed by the equipment of roads with intelligent traffic management and information systems;

30. Notes the potential of the EuroTest platform to foster the development of a range of Community mobility assessment and benchmarking programmes for mobility infrastructure products and services and to raise citizens' awareness; especially welcomes the EuroRAP (European Road Assessment Programme) and EuroTAP (European Tunnel Assessment Programme); calls for the swift extension, of EuroRAP and EuroTAP programmes to all Member States and all major roads and tunnels as well as for the publication of best practice guidelines; supports the idea of allocating 'safety points' to all major EU roads in accordance with EU guidelines;

31. Notes the findings of the EuroTest 2005 road signs survey, which revealed that 91 % of motorists want greater harmonisation of road signs across Europe in order to improve road safety; calls on the Commission to respond by taking effective measures to improve traffic signing systems and driver behaviour and the provision of information to drivers in this respect; calls on the Commission to launch an initiative to ensure that the UN Convention of 8 November 1968 on Road Signs and Signals is interpreted in the same way throughout the EU; urges the Commission to investigate identified problems such as the over-abundance of road signs and the deficient understanding of signs; favours the provision of user-friendly and up-to-date information about the traffic signing systems used in the Member States, thus facilitating cross-border traffic; maintains that such information should be made easily accessible via an EU internet website available in all official EU languages;

32. Regrets the fact that the common emergency number 112 is not known to all Europeans; calls on the Commission and the Member States to evaluate current awareness of the single European emergency number on the part of the European public and the quality of the services provided to citizens in distress via this number; invites the Commission and the Member States to propose measures based on that evaluation to improve the situation in the EU;

33. Calls for an ex-ante cost-efficiency analysis for every action having a considerable financial impact and every major action to be undertaken; recommends that, when the benefit is likely to be insignificant, the Commission explain why it has come to its conclusion; notes that it is sensible to involve the Member States in the assessment of whether a measure should be implemented;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

34. Draws attention to the role which insurance companies may have in reducing road accidents in commercial traffic; differential premiums are an appropriate way of motivating haulage firms to prioritise road safety and thereby to reduce the number of road accidents;

35. Regrets that the Third Road Safety Action Programme does not particularly highlight the road safety problems in densely populated areas and that the ways in which public transport can contribute to reducing the number of road accidents is not mentioned; is convinced of the enormous potential impact of the sharing of best practice for urban areas all over Europe; calls for strengthened action for spreading best practices and for intensifying research; in this context, underlines the major contribution to road safety of developing common standards concerning road geometry, infrastructure design and traffic signs;

36. Is aware that many promising technologies cannot be introduced immediately; calls, therefore, on the Commission to propose a list of priority areas in which technological research should be focused as well as a road map for their introduction; insists that both the priority list and the road map should be established only when a thorough cost-benefit analysis has been carried out; calls for these priority activities to cover the short, medium and long term and to be seamlessly included in the Verona process;

37. Considers that technologies such as telematics offer, in the long term, the possibility of eliminating fatal accidents almost totally; calls, therefore, for intensive research and cooperation between all stakeholders in order to promote the speedy introduction of the most promising technologies;

38. Is aware of the fact that introducing many new technologies may prove to be costly and that new car buyers are not always able or willing to pay the full cost even though the socio-economic cost savings would be higher than the added cost to the vehicle; calls on the Commission to define, together with the Member States (and at the same time safeguarding the functioning of the internal market), fiscal and other incentives to accelerate the introduction of effective solutions and enhance their introduction through a reformed and more exhaustive EuroNCAP;

39. Is of the view that out of the huge selection of technologies the following solutions should receive particular attention and be considered:

— Seat belt reminders and advanced restraint systems: notes that in Sweden, 95 % of car occupants wear their seatbelts while half of all those killed were not wearing their seatbelts; supports the compulsory fitting of seat belt reminders for driver seats in all new vehicles with due exceptions for urban public transport, and the extension of such reminders to passenger seats;

— Electronic Stability Control (ESC): points out that worldwide research is unanimous of the significant life saving potential of vehicle stability control systems such as ESC ⁽¹⁾; supports the rapid introduction of ESC systems — possibly by a voluntary agreement — as well as the development of an internationally harmonised validation test for vehicle stability systems;

— Speed limitation systems: notes the possibilities of speed reduction technologies through information to the driver, user selectable speed limiters and Intelligent Speed Adaptation (ISA), which could reduce crashes by around 35 % as a compulsory and intervening system ⁽²⁾; calls for speed alert systems in cars and eventually the introduction of ISA where seen appropriate by national authorities; favours common technical standards as well as action to make EU-wide speed limit data available for digital maps;

⁽¹⁾ US research by the National Highway Safety Administration suggests that there could be a reduction of 30 % in deaths in single car crashes if all cars were equipped with ESC.

⁽²⁾ Intelligent Transportation Systems and Road Safety, ETSC 1999.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- Alcolocks: notes that alcohol-related road accidents total about 10 000 every year; urges the Commission to stimulate the introduction of reliable alcolocks; sees merit in a step-by-step approach starting with rehabilitation measures for repeat offenders, voluntary measures and commercial transports;
 - eCall: recalls that eCall (emergency call) has the potential to greatly reduce the number of fatalities, the severity of injuries and stress in post-crash situations, by speeding up the response to emergencies; welcomes the Action Plan for equipping new cars with e-call by 2009, and calls for this to be extended, if deemed cost-effective, to passenger vehicles and to vehicles for the transport of dangerous goods;
40. Supports the introduction of a revised, comprehensive EuroNCAP by strengthening cooperation with the Commission through additional financial support and more active participation in the work of the programme; calls for EuroNCAP to incorporate other passive safety aspects, such as whiplash protection and the compatibility of vehicles in the event of car-on-car impact; notes, furthermore, that active safety systems (such as ESC) are still a largely untried possibility with great potential for the improvement of road safety and that the most promising solutions should be incorporated into the EuroNCAP procedure;
41. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission and the governments and parliaments of the Member States.
-

P6_TA(2005)0367

Nepal

European Parliament resolution on Nepal

The European Parliament,

- having regard to its previous resolution of 24 February 2005 on Nepal ⁽¹⁾, in which it condemned the royal coup and urged King Gyanendra to lift the state of emergency and re-establish parliamentary powers and democratic institutions,
 - having regard to the Declaration of 6 September 2005 by the Presidency on behalf of the European Union welcoming the ceasefire declaration by Chairman Prachanda of the Communist Party of Nepal (Maoist) (CPN(M)),
 - having regard to the visit to Nepal of the Special Adviser of the Secretary-General of the UN, Lakhdar Brahimi, in July 2005,
 - having regard to the EU Troika visit planned for October 4 to 6 2005,
 - having regard to Rule 115(5) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas on 1 February 2005 King Gyanendra, in an unconstitutional act, dismissed the government, assumed direct power and declared a state of emergency,
- B. whereas violence has escalated in Nepal since the royal coup, and the powers of the Royal Nepalese Army have been unchecked in the absence of an elected government,

⁽¹⁾ *Texts Adopted*, P6_TA(2005)0058.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- C. whereas the royal coup has strengthened the position of the Maoist rebel groups, which have over 15 000 fighters and many areas of Nepal under their control,
- D. whereas a seven-party alliance bringing together most mainstream political parties, which between them had more than 190 seats in the disbanded 205-member parliament, is calling for the reinstatement of the 1999 House of Representatives and for peace negotiations with the Maoists,
- E. whereas meetings took place between party representatives in New Delhi in May 2005, and whereas in August 2005 the seven-party alliance agreed to appoint a joint team for formal negotiations,
- F. whereas a recent peace rally of 30 000 people in Kathmandu called for an end to the long-running conflict which has left some 12 000 dead,
- G. whereas Nepal, with a population of 23 million, is among the poorest and least developed countries in the world, with 42 % of its population living below the poverty line, 80 % of the population living in rural areas, and a 47 % unemployment rate,
- H. whereas fundamental human rights continue to be breached, including harassment of democratic party leaders, detention of political and human rights activists, the arrest of 140 journalists during pro-democracy demonstrations, and restrictions on freedom of expression for trade unionists,
- I. whereas media censorship and severe human rights abuses, including torture, detention, displacements, abductions and unlawful killings committed by the police forces, the Royal Nepalese Army, as well as the Maoist insurgents, continue and hundreds of political and human rights activists, journalists and trade unionists remain under arrest,
- J. whereas Nepal has the highest number of 'disappearances' of any country in the world, and impunity is a widespread phenomenon; whereas the risk of a humanitarian crisis is rising with the increasing number of internally displaced persons,
- K. whereas the most fundamental rights of children are being routinely violated by all parties involved in the conflict,
- L. whereas the EU has condemned Nepal's Maoist rebels for using children as soldiers,
1. Welcomes the ceasefire declaration by the CPN(M) and the first steps by the seven-party alliance to start a political process to resolve the armed conflict; calls for an indefinite extension of the Maoist ceasefire and for King Gyanendra to reciprocate the ceasefire and engage in constructive talks with political groups, which should include the Maoist rebels, with a view to restoring democratic processes in Nepal;
 2. Calls for urgent action by the Nepalese authorities with a view to the upcoming Troika visit; asks them to cooperate at these meetings to enable a fruitful discussion to take place, with a view to furthering the peace process and reinstating democracy, with the guidance and encouragement of the international community;
 3. Calls on King Gyanendra to guarantee the full sovereignty of parliamentary democratic authorities;
 4. Asks for the international community to establish a Contact Group, made up of Nepal's key partners and international organisations (the EU, the US, India and the UN), to provide coordinated international action with regard to Nepal; proposes that the European Parliament appoint a special rapporteur to monitor the situation;
 5. Welcomes the UN initiative of deploying a Special Rapporteur and a UN Office of Human Rights in Nepal, which will carefully monitor the human rights situation;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

6. Calls for a follow-up to the 2002 London International Conference, to be organised by the Contact Group of key partners, which should set out the principles and values needed to underpin a peace process in Nepal and bring together all major players from the international community, as well as King Gyanendra, the Maoist rebel groups and the main political parties;
7. Calls on the Council and the Member States to continue to suspend military aid; asks that all aid to Nepal be monitored and that smart sanctions be imposed in order to maintain pressure on the royal government to restore democratic governance and explore all avenues to peace talks;
8. Calls on the Commission and the administrations of the Member States to scrutinise closely all development assistance to Nepal and to make sure that it serves its prime purpose of alleviating poverty and addresses the underlying causes of conflict in the country;
9. Notes that municipal elections are to be held in April 2006; calls for parliamentary elections to be held at the same time; calls for the EU to send an election observation mission to monitor these elections; calls for all political parties to be able to participate fully in the elections;
10. Strongly condemns violence in all forms and by all parties; stresses that basic human rights and freedoms must be upheld in Nepal, and therefore asks that both the King and the Maoists sign human rights accords to curb abuses;
11. Notes the release of some political prisoners, but remains deeply concerned that other political leaders, students and human rights activists are still being detained in prison;
12. Stresses that any restrictions on media freedom should be lifted immediately and that all political prisoners in detention should be either released or charged;
13. Asks the King to provide rehabilitation for the 30 000 Kapilvastu villagers displaced by violent conflict;
14. Calls firmly once again on the Nepalese Government to re-establish the Tibetan Refugee Welfare Office in Kathmandu and to allow the representative office of the Dalai Lama to resume operations in providing relief services to Tibetan refugees as an implementing partner of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees;
15. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, King Gyanendra, the Governments of India and other member states of the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation, the Secretary-General of the UN and the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights.

P6_TA(2005)0368

Tunisia

European Parliament resolution on Tunisia

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Euro-Mediterranean Association Agreement between the European Union and Tunisia ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 2 thereof,
- having regard to the 2002, 2003 and 2004 reports on human development in the Arab world drawn up by the United Nations Development Programme,

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 97, 30.3.1998, p. 2.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- having regard to the Commission Communication of 21 May 2003, entitled 'Reinvigorating EU actions on human rights and democratisation with Mediterranean partners' (COM(2003)0294),
 - having regard to the Commission Communication of 12 April 2005 entitled 'Tenth Anniversary of the Euro-Mediterranean Partnership: A work programme to meet the challenges of the next five years' (COM(2005)0139),
 - having regard to the resolution of the Euro-Mediterranean Parliamentary Assembly adopted in Cairo on 15 March 2005,
 - having regard to the statement made by the President of Parliament on 7 September 2005 on the decision to stay the Congress of the Tunisian Human Rights League (LTDH),
 - having regard to the statement of 13 September 2005 by the Presidency of the European Union on the obstacles placed in the path of the activities of the LTDH,
 - having regard to Rule 115(5) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas the primary aim of the Euro-Mediterranean partnership is to create an area of peace and stability founded on the principles of human rights, fundamental freedoms and democracy,
- B. whereas the EU's neighbourhood policy is based on a mutually recognised commitment to shared values, such as democracy, the rule of law, good governance and respect for human rights,
- C. pointing out, in that connection, that Tunisia and the EU have jointly drawn up an action plan which requires, as a priority, the strengthening of reforms to safeguard democracy and the rule of law and, in particular, the promotion of freedom of expression, freedom of opinion, freedom of association and the freedom to hold meetings,
- D. whereas its delegation for relations with the Maghreb countries recently visited Tunis with the aim of strengthening parliamentary relations between Tunisia and the European Union,
- E. whereas Tunisia is certainly one of the region's most advanced countries in the area of economic, social and health policies and one which, moreover, recognised at a very early stage the principle of equality between men and women and the secular nature of the State,
- F. being deeply concerned at the decision taken on 5 September 2005 to stay the Congress of the LTDH, which was due to take place from 9 to 11 September 2005 in Tunis,
- G. having regard to the leading role which Tunisia, the first Mediterranean country to have signed an association agreement with the European Union, plays in the Euro-Mediterranean integration process,
- H. having regard to the recommendations made by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression,
1. Welcomes the significant economic and social progress made in Tunisia, including in the areas of education and training, health and social security, and expresses its hope that this progress will be accompanied by similar advances in the areas of the strengthening of democracy, the rule of law, human rights, in particular freedom of expression and association, and judicial independence, which form part of the Barcelona Process *acquis*;
 2. Hopes that the political dialogue conducted between the EU and Tunisia in the context of their association agreement will continue to play a key role in promoting and improving human rights;
 3. Expresses its concern at the case of Maître Mohammed Abbou and calls for his immediate release;
 4. Calls on the Tunisian authorities to provide all the requisite explanations concerning the case of the Zarsis Internet-users;

Thursday, 29 September 2005

5. Calls on the Tunisian authorities to allow the LTDH, the Union of Tunisian Journalists and the Association of Tunisian Magistrates to carry on their activities freely and to hold their congresses;
6. Expresses its concern at the lack of progress towards the release of Community funds intended to provide financial support for the projects undertaken by the LTDH and the IMED (the Mediterranean Institute) and AFTURD (the Association of Tunisian Women for Research and Development) project on positive action for women's rights of citizenship and equal opportunities in the Maghreb, the project undertaken by Santé Sud and the modernisation plan for the Tunisian justice system;
7. Calls on the Tunisian Government to take immediate action to release the Community funds intended for the above-mentioned projects and rapidly to reach agreement on the modernisation plan for the justice system;
8. Calls on the Council and Commission to work to improve the management of projects under the MEDA programme and the European Initiative for Democracy and Human Rights and urges the Commission to determine the measures to be taken if no progress is made towards the release of blocked funds;
9. Urges the Council and Commission to step up their political dialogue with Tunisia, which is based on mutual understanding and respect and has the aim of encouraging democracy, respect for human rights, the rule of law and good governance, by calling for the EU-Tunisia Human Rights Subcommittee, provided for in the Association Agreement, to be made fully operational in order to discuss the overall human rights situation and, in particular, individual cases of abuse;
10. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the governments and parliaments of the Member States and the Tunisian Government and Parliament.

P6_TA(2005)0369

Vojvodina

European Parliament resolution on the defence of multi-ethnicity in Vojvodina

The European Parliament,

- having regard to its resolution of 16 September 2004 on the harassment of minorities in Vojvodina ⁽¹⁾,
 - having regard to the report of 2 March 2005 on a fact-finding mission by its ad hoc delegation to Vojvodina and Belgrade,
 - having regard to the Communication from the Commission of 12 April 2005 on the preparedness of Serbia and Montenegro to negotiate a Stabilisation and Association Agreement with the European Union (COM(2005)0476),
 - having regard to Rule 115(5) of its Rules of Procedure,
- A. whereas the EU and its Member States have been promoting democratisation and respect for human and minority rights in the Republic of Serbia and the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro,
- B. whereas breaches of human and minority rights in Vojvodina continue to be reported by political forces and civil society, including harassment and physical assault of non-ethnic Serbs and threats against ethnic Hungarian political leaders,

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 140 E, 9.6.2005, p. 163.

Thursday, 29 September 2005

- C. whereas in recent years central and local authorities in Serbia have failed to improve respect for human and minority rights or to bring to justice the perpetrators of violent acts and acts of harassment,
- D. whereas both its resolution of 16 September 2004 and its fact-finding mission have had a positive effect on the situation in Vojvodina,
- E. whereas no real progress has been made in reversing the deterioration in the conditions for national and ethnic minorities in Vojvodina, thereby jeopardising their future in the region, or facilitating their participation in the field of education or their representation in public administration, the judiciary and the police force, or guaranteeing equal access to and equal treatment before the courts and the institutions of a state based on the rule of law,
- F. whereas the Government of Serbia has failed to set up two committees dealing respectively with individual ethnically motivated incidents and ethnic relations in general, despite the declarations made by Mr Kostunica in September 2004,
- G. whereas the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro is bound by international and European human rights conventions and must secure their full implementation as a condition for further progress towards integration into the European Union,
1. Expresses its deep concern at the repeated breaches of human rights and the lack of law and order in the province of Vojvodina;
 2. Calls on the authorities in the Republic of Serbia and the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro to acknowledge these violent acts as criminal acts in breach of the laws in force, and insists on the importance of immediate and effective action, so that similar offences are prevented in the future and their effects are not left unremedied;
 3. Intends to continue monitoring the situation in Vojvodina, in particular with regard to the content and principles of the Stability and Association Agreement, and requests regular consultations with the Commission and Council during this procedure;
 4. Supports the initiative taken by its Interparliamentary Delegation for relations with the countries of South-East Europe for a public hearing on the minority and political situation in Vojvodina;
 5. Reaffirms its willingness to use its budgetary powers both to assist and bring pressure to bear on Serbia-Montenegro, in order to encourage respect for fundamental human rights and freedoms, including minority rights;
 6. Calls on the authorities in the Republic of Serbia and the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro to reinstate the autonomy Vojvodina enjoyed until 1990 and to reinstate the real powers of the regional parliament of Vojvodina in the field of education and the media, so as to enable the regional parliament to develop adequate policies in this multi-ethnic region;
 7. Urges the Commission, the Council and the High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy to monitor closely developments in Vojvodina, paying closer attention to the high security risk which the harassment of minorities in Vojvodina represents, and calls, therefore, for EU monitors (EUMM) to be sent to the province;
 8. Reminds the Government of the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro and the Government of Serbia that the principles of liberty, democracy, and respect of human rights and fundamental freedoms, the founding elements of the Stabilisation and Association process and the rule of law are prerequisites for Parliament's assent to the conclusion of the Stabilisation and Association Agreement and any future partnership with the European Union in general;
 9. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy the Commission, the Government of Serbia, the Government of the State Union of Serbia and Montenegro and the authorities of Vojvodina.
-