ISSN 1725-2555

Official Journal of the European Union

L 206

Volume 49

English edition

Legislation

27 July 2006

Contents

(1) Text with EEA relevance.

Price: EUR 22

EN

Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

I

(Acts whose publication is obligatory)

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/60/CE

of 7 July 2006

amending Annexes to Council Directive 90/642/EEC as regards the maximum residue levels of trifloxystrobin, thiabendazole, abamectin, benomyl, carbendazim, thiophanate-methyl, myclobutanyl, glyphosate, trimethylsulfonium, fenpropimorph and chlormequat

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/642/EEC of 27 November 1990 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on certain products of plant origin including fruit and vegetables ([1]), and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market ([2]), and in particular Article 4(1)(f) thereof

Whereas:

(1) In accordance with Directive 91/414/EEC, authorisations of plant protection products for use on specific crops are the responsibility of the Member States. Such authorisations have to be based on the evaluation of effects on human and animal health and influence on the environment. Elements to be taken into account in such evaluations include operator and bystander exposure and impact on the terrestrial, aquatic and aerial environments, as well as impact on humans and animals through consumption of residues on treated crops.

(2) Maximum residue levels (MRLs) reflect the use of minimum quantities of pesticides to achieve effective protection of plants, applied in such a manner that the amount of residue is the smallest practicable and is toxicologically acceptable, in particular in terms of estimated dietary intake.

(3) MRLs for pesticides covered by Directive 90/642/EEC are to be kept under review and may be modified to take account of new or changed uses. Information about new or changed uses has been communicated to the Commission which will lead to changes in the residue levels of trifloxystrobin, thiabendazole, abamectin, the benomyl group (benomyl, carbendazim, and thiophanate-methyl), myclobutanyl, glyphosate, trimethylsulfonium and fenpropimorph.

(4) For chlormequat information has been communicated to the Commission that justifies the adoption of a temporary MRL on pears for three years.

(5) The lifetime exposure of consumers to those pesticides via food products that may contain residues of those pesticides, has been assessed and evaluated in accordance with the procedures and practices used within the Community, taking account of guidelines published by the World Health Organization ([3]). In this evaluation it was taken into account that abamectin and thiabendazole are also used as veterinary medicines intended for food producing animals and that Maximum Residues Limits have been establishment for those two substances in accordance with the provisions of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2377/90 ([4]). Based on that assessment and evaluations, the MRLs for those pesticides should be set so as to ensure that the acceptable daily intake is not exceeded.

([1]) OJ L 350, 14.12.1990, p. 71. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/53/EC (OJ L 154, 8.6.2006, p. 11).

([2]) OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/45/EC (OJ L 130, 18.5.2006, p. 27).

([3]) Guidelines for predicting dietary intake of pesticide residues (revised), prepared by the GEMS/Food Programme in collaboration with the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, published by the World Health Organisation 1997 (WHO/FSF/FOS/97.7).

([4]) OJ L 224, 18.8.1990, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Commission Regulation (EC No 205/2006 (OJ L 34, 7.2.2006, p. 21).

(6) In the case of benomyl, carbendazim, thiophanate-methyl, fenpropimorph and chlormequat for which an acute reference dose (ARfD) exists, the acute exposure of consumers via each of the food products that may contain residues of these pesticides has been assessed and evaluated in accordance with the procedures and practices currently used within the Community, taking account of guidelines published by the World Health Organization. The opinions of the Scientific Committee on Plants, in particular advice and recommendations concerning the protection of consumers of food products treated with pesticides [1], have been taken into account. Based on the dietary intake assessment, the MRLs for those pesticides should be fixed so as to ensure that the ARfD will not be exceeded. In the case of the other substances, an assessment of the available information has shown that no ARfD is required and that therefore a short term assessment is not needed.

(7) Where authorised uses of plant protection products do not result in detectable levels of pesticide residues in or on the food product, or where there are no authorised uses, or where uses which have been authorised by Member States have not been supported by the necessary data, or where uses in third countries resulting in residues in or on food products which may enter into circulation in the Community market have not been supported with such necessary data, MRLs should be fixed at the lower limit of analytical determination.

(8) Therefore it is appropriate to fix new MRLs for those pesticides.

(9) The setting or modification at Community level of provisional MRLs does not prevent the Member States from establishing provisional MRLs for glyphosate, trimethylsulfonium and trifloxistrobin in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC and Annex VI thereto. It is considered that a period of four years is sufficient to permit further uses of these substances. The provisional Community MRL should then become definitive.

(10) Lupines are consumed as food in several Member States. On lupines the use of glyphosate is authorised. The insertion of the entry 'lupines' and setting of MRLs for lupines is therefore necessary to protect consumers from excess pesticide residues used on lupines.

(11) Directive 90/642/EEC should therefore be amended accordingly.

(12) The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

Directive 90/642/EEC is amended as follows:

1. in Annex I, in group '3 Pulses', the entry 'Lupines' is added in such a way that the terms 'Whole product' in the last column cover all four entries;

2. Annex II is amended in accordance with the Annex to this Directive.

Article 2

1. Member States shall adopt and publish, by 20 January 2007 at the latest, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive, except for the benomyl group and thiophanate-methyl for which they shall adopt and publish these by fourteen September 2006 and for chlormequat by thirty one July 2006. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

They shall apply those provisions from 21 January 2007, except for the benomyl group and thiophanate-methyl for which they shall be applied by fifteen September 2006 and for chlormequat by the first of August 2006.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

[1] Opinion regarding questions relating to amending the annexes to Council Directives 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC (Opinion expressed by the SCP, 14 July 1998); Opinion regarding variable pesticide residues in fruit and vegetables (Opinion expressed by SCP on 14 July 1998) http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/scp/outcome_ppp_en.html

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 3

This Directive shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 4

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 7 July 2006.

For the Commission
Markos KYPRIANOU
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

Annex II to Directive 90/642/EEC is amended as follows:

1. the footnote (t) at the entry for chlormequat on pears is replaced by the following: 'A temporary MRL of 0,2 mg/kg shall apply until 31 July 2009';

2. in part A, the columns for trifloxystrobin, thiabendazole, abamectin, benomyl, carbendazim, thiophanate-methyl, myclobutanyl, glyphosate, trimethylsulfonium and fenpropimorph are replaced by the following:

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
'1. **Fruit, fresh, dried or uncooked, preserved by freezing, not containing added sugar; nuts**									
(i) CITRUS FRUIT	0,3 (P)	5	0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	3			0,05 (*)
Grapefruit									
Lemons									
Limes									
Mandarins (including clementines and other hybrids)							0,5 (P)	0,5 (P)	
Oranges							0,5 (P)	0,5 (P)	
Pomelos									
Others							0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	
(ii) TREE NUTS (shelled or unshelled)	0,02 (*) (P)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,2	0,05 (*)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Almonds									
Brazil nuts									
Cashew nuts									
Chestnuts									
Coconuts									
Hazelnuts									
Macadamia									
Pecans									

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
Pine nuts									
Pistachios									
Walnuts									
Others									
(iii) POME FRUIT	0,5 (P)		0,01 (*)	0,2	0,5	0,5	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Apples		5							
Pears		5							
Quinces									
Others		0,05 (*)							
(iv) STONE FRUIT		0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)				0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Apricots	1 (P)			0,2	2	0,3			
Cherries	1 (P)			0,5	0,3	1			
Peaches (including nectarines and similar hybrids)	1 (P)			0,2	2	0,5			
Plums	0,2 (P)			0,5	0,3	0,5			
Others	0,02 (*) (P)			0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)			
(v) BERRIES AND SMALL FRUITAND		0,05 (*)						0,05 (*) (P)	
(a) Table and wine grapes	5 (P)		0,01 (*)			1	0,5 (P)		0,05 (*)
Table grapes				0,3	0,1 (*)				
Wine grapes				0,5	3				
(b) Strawberries (other than wild)	0,5 (*) (P)		0,1	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	1	0,1 (*) (P)		1
(c) Cane fruit (other than wild)	0,02 (*) (P)			0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)		1
Blackberries			0,1			1			
Dewberries									
Loganberries									
Raspberries			0,1			1			
Others			0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)			

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
(d) Other small fruit and berries (other than wild)			0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)		1
Bilberries									
Cranberries									
Currants (red, black and white)	1 (P)					1			
Gooseberries	1 (P)					1			
Others	0,02 (*) (P)					0,02 (*)			
(e) Wild berries and wild fruit	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*) (P)		0,05 (*)
(vi) MISCELLANEOUS			0,01 (*)						
Avocados		15							
Bananas	0,05 (P)	5				2			2
Dates									
Figs									
Kiwi									
Kumquats									
Litchis									
Mangoes		5							
Olives (table consumption)									
Olives (oil extraction)							1 (P)	1 (P)	
Papaya		10		0,2	1				
Passion fruit									
Pineapples									
Pomegranate									
Others	0,02 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)		0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
2. Vegetables, fresh or uncooked, frozen or dry									
(i) ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Beetroot									
Carrots						0,2			
Cassava		15							
Celeriac									
Horseradish						0,2			
Jerusalem artichokes									
Parsnips						0,2			
Parsley root						0,2			
Radishes									
Falsify									
Sweet potatoes		15							
Swedes									
Turnips									
Yam		15							
Others		0,05 (*)				0,02 (*)			
(ii) BULB VEGETABLES	0,02 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Garlic									
Onions									
Shallots									
Spring onions									
Others									
(iii) FRUITION VEGETABLES		0,05 (*)					0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
(a) Solanacea									
Tomatoes	0,5 (P)		0,02	0,5	2	0,3			

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
Peppers			0,05			0,5			
Aubergines			0,02	0,5	2	0,3			
Okra				2	1				
Others	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)			
(b) Cucurbits - edible peel	0,2 (P)		0,02	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1			
Cucumbers									
Gherkins									
Courgettes									
Others									
(c) Cucurbits - inedible peel			0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,3	0,2			
Melons	0,3 (P)								
Squashes									
Watermelons									
Others	0,02 (*) (P)								
(d) Sweet corn	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)			
(iv) BRASSICA VEGETABLES	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	
(a) Flowering brassica				0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)				0,05 (*)
Broccoli (including Calabrese)		5							
Cauliflower									
Others		0,05 (*)							
(b) Head brassica		0,05 (*)							
Brussels sprouts				0,5	1				0,5
Head cabbage									
Others				0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)				0,05 (*)
(c) Leafy brassica		0,05 (*)		0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)				0,05 (*)
Chinese cabbage									

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
Kale									
Others									
(d) Kohlrabi		0,05 (*)		0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)				0,05 (*)
(v) LEAF VEGETABLES AND FRESH HERBSAND	0,02 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)		0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
(a) Lettuce and similar			0,1						
Cress									
Lamb's lettuce						5			
Lettuce									
Scarole (broad-leaf endive)									
Ruccola									
Leaves and stems of brassica									
Others						0,02 (*)			
(b) Spinach and similar			0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)			
Spinach									
Beet leaves (chard)									
Others									
(c) Water cress			0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)			
(d) Witloof		1	0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)			
(e) Herbs			0,01 (*)			0,02 (*)			
Chervil									
Chives									
Parsley									
Celery leaves									
Others									
(vi) LEGUME VEGETABLES (fresh)		0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)				0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Beans (with pods)	0,5 (P)			0,2	0,1 (*)	0,3			

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
Beans (without pods)									
Peas (with pods)				0,2	0,1 (*)				
Peas (without pods)									
Others	0,02 (*) (P)			0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)			
(vii) STEM VEGETABLES (fresh)	0,02 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	
Asparagus									
Cardoons									
Celery									
Fennel									
Globe artichokes						0,5			
Leek									1
Rhubarb									
Others						0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)
(viii) FUNGI	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)
(a) Cultivated mushrooms		10					0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	
(b) Wild mushrooms		0,05 (*)					50 (P)	20 (P)	
3. **Pulses**	0,02 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)		0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Beans							2 (P)		
Lentils									
Peas							10 (P)		
Lupins							10 (P)		
Others							0,1 (*) (P)		
4. **Oilseeds**	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)			0,05 (*)
Linseed							10 (P)		
Peanuts									
Poppy seed									
Sesame seed									

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Pesticide residue and maximum residue level (mg/kg)								
	Trifloxy-strobin	Thiaben-dazole	Abamectin (sum of avermectin B1a, avermectin B1b and delta-8,9 isomer of avermectin B1a)	Sum of benomyl and carbendazim, expressed as carbendazim	Thiophanate-methyl	Myclobutanyl	Glyphosate	Trimethyl-sulfonium, cation resulting from the use of glyphosate	Fenpropi-morph
Sunflower seed							20 (P)		
Rape seed							10 (P)		
Soya bean				0,2	0,3		20 (P)	10 (P)	
Mustard seed							10 (P)		
Cotton seed							10 (P)		
Hemp seed									
Others				0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)		0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	
5. **Potatoes**	0,02 (*) (P)		0,01 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,5 (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
Early potatoes		0,05 (*)							
Ware potatoes		15							
6. **Tea (dried leaves and stalks, fermented or other-wise, *Camellia sinensis*)**	0,05 (*) (P)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,05 (*)	2 (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,1 (*)
7. **Hops (dried), including hop pellets and unconcentrated powder**	30 (P)	0,1 (*)	0,05	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	2	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	10

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.
(P) Indicates that the maximum residue level has been established provisionally in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC.'

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/61/EC

of 7 July 2006

amending the Annexes to Council Directives 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC as regards maximum residue levels for atrazine, azinphos-ethyl, cyfluthrin, ethephon, fenthion, methamidophos, methomyl, paraquat and triazophos

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 86/362/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on cereals [1], and in particular Article 10 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 86/363/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on foodstuffs of animal origin [2], and in particular Article 10 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/642/EEC of 27 November 1990 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on certain products of plant origin, including fruit and vegetables [3], and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market [4], and in particular Article 4(1)(f) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) In the case of cereals and products of plant origin including fruit and vegetables, residue levels reflect the use of minimum quantities of pesticides necessary to achieve effective protection of plants, applied in such a manner that the amount of residue is as low as is practicable and toxicologically acceptable, having regard, in particular to the protection of the environment and the estimated dietary intake of consumers. In the case of foodstuffs of animal origin, residue levels reflect the consumption by animals of cereals and products of plant origin treated with pesticides and, where relevant, the direct consequences of the use of veterinary medicines. Community maximum residue levels (MRLs) represent the upper limit of the amount of such residues that might be expected to be found in commodities when good agricultural practices have been respected.

(2) MRLs for pesticides are kept under review and changed to take account of new information and data. MRLs are fixed at the lower limit of analytical determination where authorised uses of plant protection products do not result in detectable levels of pesticide residue in or on the food product, or where there are no authorised uses, or where uses which have been authorised by Member States have not been supported by the necessary data, or where uses in third countries resulting in residues in or on food products which may enter into circulation in the Community market have not been supported by the necessary data.

(3) The Commission was informed that for several pesticides current MRLs may need to be revised in the light of the availability of new information on the toxicology and consumer intake. The Commission has asked the relevant rapporteur Member States to make proposals for the review of Community MRLs. Such proposals were submitted to the Commission.

(4) The lifetime and short-term exposure of consumers to the pesticides referred to in this Directive via food products has been reassessed and evaluated in accordance with Community procedures and practices, taking account of guidelines published by the World Health Organization [5]. On that basis, it is appropriate to fix new MRLs, which will ensure that there is no unacceptable consumer exposure.

[1] OJ L 221, 7.8.1986, p. 37. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC (OJ L 175, 29.6.2006, p. 61).

[2] OJ L 221, 7.8.1986, p. 43. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC.

[3] OJ L 350, 14.12.1990, p. 71. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC.

[4] OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/45/EC (OJ L 130, 18.5.2006, p. 27).

[5] Guidelines for predicting dietary intake of pesticide residues (revised), prepared by the GEMS/Food Programme in collaboration with the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, published by the World Health Organization 1997 (WHO/FSF/FOS/97.7).

(5) Where relevant, the acute exposure of consumers to those pesticides via each of the food products that may contain residues has been assessed and evaluated in accordance with Community procedures and practices, taking account of guidelines published by the World Health Organization. It is concluded that the presence of pesticide residues at or below the new MRLs will not cause acute toxic effects.

(6) Through the World Trade Organization, the Community's trading partners have been consulted about the new MRLs and their comments on these levels have been taken into account.

(7) The Annexes to Directives 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC should therefore be amended accordingly.

(8) The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

Annex II to Directive 86/362/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex I to this Directive.

Article 2

Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex II to this Directive.

Article 3

Annex II to Directive 90/642/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex III to this Directive.

Article 4

1. Member States shall adopt and publish, by 20 January 2007 at the latest, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

They shall apply those provisions from 21 January 2007.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 5

This Directive shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 6

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 7 July 2006.

For the Commission

Markos KYPRIANOU

Member of the Commission

ANNEX I

Part A of Annex II to Directive 86/362/EEC is amended as follows:

1. The following line for atrazine is added:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg
'Atrazine	0,05 (*) CEREALS
(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'	

2. The lines for azinphos-ethyl, ethephon and triazophos are replaced by the following:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg
'Azinphos-ethyl	0,05 (*) CEREALS
Ethephon	0,5 barley, rye 0,2 triticale, wheat 0,05 (*) cereals others
Triazophos	0,02 (*) CEREALS
(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'	

ANNEX II

Part A of Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC is amended as follows:

1. In Part A of Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC, the lines for azinphos-ethyl and triazophos are replaced by the following:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg		
	of fat contained in meat, preparations of meat, offal and animal fats listed in Annex I under headings Nos ex 0201, 0202, 0203, 0204, 0205 00 00, 0206, 0207, ex 0208, 0209 00, 0210, 1601 00 and 1602 (1) (4)	for cow's milk and whole cream cow's milk listed in Annex I under headings No 0401: for other foodstuffs in heading Nos 0401, 0402, 0405 00 and 0406 in accordance with (2) (4)	of shelled fresh eggs, for bird's eggs and egg yolks listed in Annex I under headings Nos 0407 00 and 0408 (3) (4)
'Azinphos-ethyl	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)
Triazophos	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'

2. In Part A of Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC, the line for fenthion is added by the following:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg		
	of fat contained in meat, preparations of meat, offal and animal fats listed in Annex I under headings Nos ex 0201, 0202, 0203, 0204, 0205 00 00, 0206, 0207, ex 0208, 0209 00, 0210, 1601 00 and 1602 (1) (4)	for cow's milk and whole cream cow's milk listed in Annex I under headings No 0401: for other foodstuffs in heading Nos 0401, 0402, 0405 00 and 0406 in accordance with (2) (4)	of shelled fresh eggs, for bird's eggs and egg yolks listed in Annex I under headings Nos 0407 00 and 0408 (3) (4)
'Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	—

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'

ANNEX III

Part A of Annex II to Directive 90/642/EEC is amended as follows:

1. The following column for fenthion is added:

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent
'1. **Fruit, fresh, dried or uncooked, preserved by freezing, not containing added sugar; nuts**	
(i) CITRUS FRUIT	3
Grapefruit	
Lemons	
Limes	
Mandarins (including clementines and other hybrids)	
Oranges	
Pomelos	
Others	
(ii) TREE NUTS (shelled or unshelled)	0,01 (*)
Almonds	
Brazil nuts	
Cashew nuts	
Chestnuts	
Coconuts	
Hazelnuts	
Macadamia	
Pecans	
Pine nuts	
Pistachios	
Walnuts	
Others	
(iii) POME FRUIT	0,01 (*)
Apples	
Pears	
Quinces	
Others	
(iv) STONE FRUIT	
Apricots	
Cherries	2
Peaches (including nectarines and similar hybrids)	
Plums	
Others	0,01 (*)
(v) BERRIES AND SMALL FRUIT	0,01 (*)
(a) Table and wine grapes	
Table grapes	
Wine grapes	
(b) Strawberries (other than wild)	

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent
(c) Cane fruit (other than wild)	
Blackberries	
Dewberries	
Loganberries	
Raspberries	
Others	
(d) Other small fruit and berries (other than wild)	
Bilberries	
Cranberries	
Currants (red, black and white)	
Gooseberries	
Others	
(e) Wild berries and wild fruit	
(vi) MISCELLANEOUS	
Avocados	
Bananas	
Dates	
Figs	
Kiwi	
Kumquats	
Litchis	
Mangoes	
olives (table consumption)	1
olives (oil extraction)	1
Papaya	
Passion fruit	
Pineapples	
Others	0,01 (*)
2. **Vegetables, fresh or uncooked, frozen or dry**	0,01 (*)
(i) ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES	
Beetroot	
Carrots	
Cassava	
Celeriac	
Horseradish	
Jerusalem artichokes	
Parsnips	
Parsley root	
Radishes	
Salsify	
Sweet potatoes	
Swedes	
Turnips	

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent
Yam	
Others	
(ii) BULB VEGETABLES	
Garlic	
Onions	
Shallots	
Spring onions	
Others	
(iii) FRUITING VEGETABLES	
(a) Solanacea	
Tomatoes	
Peppers	
Aubergines	
Okra	
Others	
(b) Cucurbits - edible peel	
Cucumbers	
Gherkins	
Courgettes	
Others	
(c) Cucurbits - inedible peel	
Melons	
Squashes	
Watermelons	
Others	
(d) Sweet corn	
(iv) BRASSICA VEGETABLES	
(a) Flowering brassica	
Broccoli	
Cauliflower	
Others	
(b) Head brassica	
Brussels sprouts	
Head cabbage	
Others	
(c) Leafy brassica	
Chinese cabbage	
Kale	
Others	
(d) Kohlrabi	
(v) LEAF VEGETABLES AND FRESH HERBS	
(a) Lettuce & similar	
Cress	

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent
Lamb's lettuce	
Lettuce	
Scarole	
Ruccola	
Leaves and stems of brassica	
Others	
(b) Spinach & similar	
Spinach	
Beet leaves (chard)	
Others	
(c) Water cress	
(d) Witloof	
(e) Herbs	
Chervil	
Chives	
Parsley	
Celery leaves	
Others	
(vi) LEGUME VEGETABLES (fresh)	
Beans (with pods)	
Beans (without pods)	
Peas (with pods)	
Peas (without pods)	
Others	
(vii) STEM VEGETABLES (fresh)	
Asparagus	
Cardoons	
Celery	
Fennel	
Globe artichokes	
Leek	
Rhubarb	
Others	
(viii) FUNGI	
(a) Cultivated mushrooms	
(b) Wild mushrooms	
3. **Pulses**	0,01 (*)
Beans	
Lentils	
Peas	

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Fenthion and its oxygen analogue, their sulfoxides and sulfones expressed as parent
Others	
4. **Oil seed**	0,02 (*)
Linseed	
Peanuts	
Poppy seeds	
Sesame seeds	
Sunflower seed	
Rape seed	
Soya bean	
Mustard seed	
Cotton seed	
Hemp seed	
Others	
5. **Potatoes**	0,01 (*)
Early potatoes	
Ware potatoes	
6. **Tea (leaves and stems, dried, fermented or otherwise, from the leaves of *Camellia sinensis*)**	0,1 (*)
7. **Hops (dried), including hop pellets and unconcentrated powder**	0,1 (*)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'

2. The columns for atrazine, azinphos-ethyl, cyfluthrin, ethephon, methamidophos, methomyl, paraquat and triazophos are replaced by the following:

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
'1. **Fruit, fresh, dried or uncooked, preserved by freezing, not containing added sugar; nuts**	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)					0,02 (*)	0,01 (*)
(i) CITRUS FRUIT			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)			
Grapefruit						0,5		
Lemons						1		
Limes						1		
Mandarins (including clementines and other hybrids)						1		
Oranges						0,5		
Pomelos						0,5		
Others						0,05 (*)		

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
(ii) TREE NUTS (shelled or unshelled)			0,02 (*)	0,1	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
Almonds								
Brazil nuts								
Cashew nuts								
Chestnuts								
Coconuts								
Hazelnuts								
Macadamia								
Pecans								
Pine nuts								
Pistachios								
Walnuts								
Others								
(iii) POME FRUIT			0,2		0,01 (*)	0,2		
Apples				0,5				
Pears								
Quinces								
Others				0,05 (*)				
(iv) STONE FRUIT								
Apricots			0,3		0,1	0,2		
Cherries			0,2	3		0,1		
Peaches (including nectarines and similar hybrids)			0,3		0,05	0,2		
Plums			0,2			0,5		
Others			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
(v) BERRIES AND SMALL FRUIT					0,01 (*)			
(a) Table and wine grapes			0,3	1				
Table grapes						0,05 (*)		
Wine grapes						1		
(b) Strawberries (other than wild)			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
(c) Cane fruit (other than wild)			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
Blackberries								
Dewberries								

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
Loganberries								
Raspberries								
Others								
(d) Other small fruit and berries (other than wild)			0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)		
Bilberries								
Cranberries								
Currants (red, black and white)				5				
Gooseberries								
Others				0,05 (*)				
(e) Wild berries and wild fruit			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
(vi) MISCELLANEOUS			0,02 (*)		0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
Avocados								
Bananas								
Dates								
Figs								
Kiwi								
Kumquats								
Litchis								
Mangoes								
olives (table consuption)								
olives (oil extraction)								
Papaya								
Passion fruit								
Pineapples				2				
Others				0,05 (*)				
2. **Vegetables, fresh or uncooked, frozen or dry**		0,02 (*)					0,02 (*)	0,01 (*)
(i) ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES	0,05 (*)		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)			
Beetroot								
Carrots								
Cassava								
Celeriac								
Horseradish								

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
Jerusalem artichokes								
Parsnips								
Parsley root								
Radishes						0,5		
Salsify								
Sweet potatoes								
Swedes								
Turnips								
Yam								
Others						0,05 (*)		
(ii) BULB VEGETABLES	0,05 (*)		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
Garlic								
Onions								
Shallots								
Spring onions								
Others								
(iii) FRUITING VEGETABLES					0,01 (*)			
(a) Solanacea	0,05 (*)							
Tomatoes			0,05	1		0,2		
Peppers			0,3	3				
Aubergines						0,2		
Okra								
Others			0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
(b) Cucurbits - edible peel	0,05 (*)			0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
Cucumbers			0,1					
Gherkins								
Courgettes								
Others			0,02 (*)					
(c) Cucurbits - inedible peel	0,05 (*)		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
Melons								
Squashes								
Watermelons								
Others								

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
(d) Sweet corn	0,1		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
(iv) BRASSICA VEGETABLES	0,05 (*)			0,05 (*)				
(a) Flowering brassica			0,05		0,02			
Broccoli						0,2		
Cauliflower								
Others						0,05 (*)		
(b) Head brassica			0,2		0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
Brussels sprouts								
Head cabbage								
Others								
(c) Leafy brassica			0,3		0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
Chinese cabbage								
Kale								
Others								
(d) Kohlrabi			0,02 (*)		0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
(v) LEAF VEGETABLES AND FRESH HERBS	0,05 (*)			0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)			
(a) Lettuce & similar			0,5					
Cress								
Lamb's lettuce								
Lettuce						0,3		
Scarole								
Ruccola								
Leaves and stems of brassica								
Others						0,05 (*)		
(b) Spinach & similar			0,02 (*)					
Spinach						0,05		
Beet leaves (chard)								
Others						0,05 (*)		
(c) Water cress			0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)		
(d) Witloof			0,02 (*)			0,05 (*)		
(e) Herbs			0,02 (*)			0,3		
Chervil								
Chives								
Parsley								

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
Celery leaves								
Others								
(vi) LEGUME VEGETABLES (fresh)	0,05 (*)		0,05	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
Beans (with pods)					0,5			
Beans (without pods)								
Peas (with pods)					0,5			
Peas (without pods)								
Others					0,01 (*)			
(vii) STEM VEGETABLES (fresh)	0,05 (*)		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)		0,05 (*)		
Asparagus								
Cardoons								
Celery								
Fennel								
Globe artichokes					0,1			
Leek								
Rhubarb								
Others					0,01 (*)			
(viii) FUNGI	0,05 (*)		0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		
(a) Cultivated mushrooms								
(b) Wild mushrooms								
3. **Pulses**	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,01 (*)
Beans								
Lentils								
Peas								
Others								
4. **Oil seed**	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)					0,02 (*)	0,01 (*)
Linseed								
Peanuts						0,1		
Poppy seeds								
Sesame seeds								
Sunflower seed								
Rape seed			0,05					
Soya bean					0,2	0,1		
Mustard seed								
Cotton seed				2	0,2	0,1		
Hemp seed								
Others			0,02 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)		

Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs apply	Atrazine	Azinphos-ethyl	Cyfluthrin including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)	Ethephon	Methamidophos	Methomyl/Thiodicarb (sum expressed as methomyl)	Paraquat	Triazophos
5. **Potatoes**	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,01 (*)
Early potatoes								
Ware potatoes								
6. **Tea (leaves and stems, dried, fermented or otherwise, from the leaves of *Camellia sinensis*)**	0,1 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	0,1 (*)	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)
7. **Hops (dried), including hop pellets and unconcentrated powder**	0,1 (*)	0,05 (*)	20	0,1 (*)	0,02 (*)	10	0,05 (*)	0,02 (*)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/62/EC

of 12 July 2006

amending the Annexes to Council Directives 76/895/EEC, 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC as regards maximum residue levels for desmedipham, phenmedipham and chlorfenvinphos

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 76/895/EEC of 23 November 1976 relating to the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on fruit and vegetables [1], and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 86/362/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on cereals [2], and in particular Article 10 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 86/363/EEC of 24 July 1986 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on foodstuffs of animal origin [3], and in particular Article 10 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/642/EEC of 27 November 1990 on the fixing of maximum levels for pesticide residues in and on certain products of plant origin, including fruit and vegetables [4], and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market [5] and in particular Article 4(1)(f) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) The existing active substances desmedipham and phenmedipham have been included in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC by Commission Directive 2004/58/EC [6].

(2) The inclusion in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC of the active substances concerned was based on the assessment of the information submitted concerning their proposed use. Information relating to that use has been submitted by certain Member States in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC. The information available has been reviewed and is sufficient to allow certain maximum residue levels (MRLs) to be fixed.

(3) For chlorfenvinphos it has been decided by Commission Regulation (EC) No 2076/2002 [7] not to include that substance in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Certain Member States are allowed to maintain certain authorisations for the use of products containing chlorfenvinphos in force until 30 June 2007.

(4) There are already Community MRLs for chlorfenvinphos in Directive 76/895/EEC. They should be taken into consideration when setting the MRLs for chlorfenvinphos in Directive 90/642/EEC.

(5) The Commission review reports which were prepared for the inclusion in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC of the active substances concerned, fixed the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) and if necessary, the Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) for those substances. The exposure of consumers of food products treated with the active substances concerned has been assessed in accordance with Community procedures. Account has also been taken of guidelines published by the World Health Organization [8] and the opinion of the Scientific Committee for Plants [9] on the methodology employed. It is concluded that MRLs proposed will not lead to those ADIs or ARfD being exceeded.

---

(1) OJ L 340, 9.12.1976, p. 26. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC (OJ L 175, 29.6.2006, p. 61.

(2) OJ L 221, 7.8.1986, p. 37. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC.

(3) OJ L 221, 7.8.1986, p. 43. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC.

(4) OJ L 350, 14.12.1990, p. 71. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/59/EC.

(5) OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/45/EC (OJ L 130, 18.5.2006, p. 27).

(6) OJ L 120, 24.4.2004, p. 26.

(7) OJ L 319, 23.11.2002, p. 3. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1335/2005 (OJ L 211, 13.8.2005, p. 6).

(8) Guidelines for predicting dietary intake of pesticide residues (revised), prepared by the GEMS/Food Programme in collaboration with the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues, published by the World Health Organization 1997 (WHO/FSF/FOS/97.7).

(9) Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Plants regarding questions relating to amending the annexes to Council Directives 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC (Opinion expressed by the Scientific Committee on Plants, 14 July 1998) (http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc/index_en.html).

(6) In order to ensure that the consumer is adequately protected from exposure to residues resulting from unauthorised uses of plant protection products, provisional MRLs should be set for the relevant product/pesticide combinations at the lower limit of analytical determination.

(7) The setting at Community level of such provisional MRLs does not prevent the Member States from establishing provisional MRLs for the substances concerned in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC and Annex VI thereto. It is considered that a period of four years is sufficient to permit further uses of the active substance concerned. The provisional MRL should then become definitive.

(8) It is therefore necessary to add all of the MRLs arising from the use of these plant protection products to the Annexes to Directives 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC to allow for proper surveillance and control of the prohibition of their uses and to protect the consumer. Where MRLs have not been defined until now, it is appropriate to set them for the first time.

(9) Provisions of Directive 76/895/EEC which set MRLs for chlorfenvinphos should consequently be deleted.

(10) Directives 76/895/EEC, 86/362/EEC, 86/363/EEC and 90/642/EEC should therefore be amended accordingly.

(11) The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

In Annex II to Directive 76/895/EEC, the line for chlorfenvinphos is deleted.

Article 2

Annex II to Directive 86/362/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex I to this Directive.

Article 3

Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex II to this Directive.

Article 4

Annex II to Directive 90/642/EEC is amended in accordance with Annex III to this Directive.

Article 5

1. Member States shall adopt and publish, by 20 January 2008 at the latest, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

They shall apply those provisions from 21 January 2008.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 6

This Directive shall enter into force on the 20th day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 7

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 12 July 2006.

For the Commission
Markos KYPRIANOU
Member of the Commission

ANNEX I

In Part A of Annex II to Directive 86/362/EEC, the following lines for desmedipham, phenmedipham and chlorfenvinphos are added:

Pesticide residue	Maximum level in mg/kg
'Desmedipham	0,05 (*) (P) cereals
Phenmedipham	0,05 (*) (P) cereals
Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)	0,02 (*) cereals

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination
(P) Indicates that the maximum residue level has been established provisionally in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC.'

ANNEX II

Annex II to Directive 86/363/EEC is amended as follows:

(1) In Part A, the following line for chlorfenvinphos is added:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg		
	of fat contained in meat, preparations of meat, offal and animal fats listed in Annex I under headings Nos ex 0201, 0202, 0203, 0204, 0205 00 00, 0206, 0207, ex 0208, 0209 00, 0210, 1601 00 and 1602 (1) (4)	for cow's milk and whole cream cow's milk listed in Annex I under headings No 0401; for other foodstuffs in heading Nos 0401, 0402, 0405 00 and 0406 in accordance with (2) (4)	of shelled fresh eggs, for bird's eggs and egg yolks listed in Annex I under headings Nos 0407 00 and 0408 (3) (4)
'Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)	0,01 (*)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination.'

(2) In Part B, the following line for phenmedipham is added:

Pesticide residues	Maximum level in mg/kg		
	of meat, including fat, preparations of meat, offal and animal fats listed in Annex I under headings Nos ex 0201, 0202, 0203, 0204, 0205 00 00, 0206, 0207, ex 0208, 0209 00, 0210, 1601 00 and 1602	for milk and milk products listed in Annex I under headings Nos 0401, 0402, 0405 00 and 0406	of shelled fresh eggs, for bird's eggs and egg yolks listed in Annex I under headings Nos 0407 00 and 0408
'Phenmedipham (Methyl-N-(3-hydroxyphenyl) carbamate (MHPC) expressed as phenmediphan)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination

(P) Indicates provisional maximum residue level in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC: unless amended, this level will become definitive with effect from 9 August 2010.'

ANNEX III

In Part A of Annex II to Directive 90/642/EEC, the following columns for desmedipham, phenmedipham and chlorfenvinphos are added:

Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (mg/kg)			
Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Desmedipham	Phenmedipham	Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)
'1. **Fruit, fresh, dried or uncooked, preserved by freezing, not containing added sugar; nuts**	0,05 (*) (P)		0,02 (*)
(i) CITRUS FRUIT		0,05 (*) (P)	
Grapefruit			
Lemons			
Limes			
Mandarins (including clementines and other hybrids)			
Oranges			
Pomelos			
Others			
(ii) TREE NUTS (shelled or unshelled)		0,05 (*) (P)	
Almonds			
Brazil nuts			
Cashew nuts			
Chestnuts			
Coconuts			
Hazelnuts			
Macadamia			
Pecans			
Pine nuts			
Pistachios			
Walnuts			
Others			
(iii) POME FRUIT		0,05 (*) (P)	
Apples			
Pears			
Quinces			
Others			
(iv) STONE FRUIT		0,05 (*) (P)	
Apricots			
Cherries			
Peaches (including nectarines and similar hybrids)			
Plums			
Others			
(v) BERRIES & SMALL FRUIT			
(a) Table and wine grapes		0,05 (*) (P)	
Table grapes			
Wine grapes			

Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (mg/kg)			
Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Desmedipham	Phenmedipham	Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)
(b) Strawberries (other than wild)		0,1 (*) (P)	
(c) Cane fruit (other than wild)		0,05 (*) (P)	
Blackberries			
Dewberries			
Loganberries			
Raspberries			
Others			
(d) Other small fruit & berries (other than wild)		0,05 (*) (P)	
Bilberries			
Cranberries			
Currants (red, black and white)			
Gooseberries			
Others			
(e) Wild berries and wild fruit		0,05 (*) (P)	
(vi) MISCELLANEOUS		0,05 (*) (P)	
Avocados			
Bananas			
Dates			
Figs			
Kiwi			
Kumquats			
Litchis			
Mangoes			
Olives (table consumption)			
Olives (oil extraction)			
Papaya			
Passion fruit			
Pineapples			
Pomegranate			
Others			
2. **Vegetables, fresh or uncooked, frozen or dry**	0,05 (*) (P)		
(i) ROOT AND TUBER VEGETABLES			
Beetroot		0,1 (*) (P)	
Carrots			0,5
Cassava			
Celeriac			
Horseradish			
Jerusalem artichokes			
Parsnips			0,5
Parsley root			
Radishes			0,5

Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (mg/kg)			
Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Desmedipham	Phenmedipham	Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)
Salsify			
Sweet potatoes			
Swedes			0,5
Turnips			0,5
Yam			
Others		0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
(ii) BULB VEGETABLES		0,05 (*) (P)	
Garlic			0,5
Onions			
Shallots			0,5
Spring onions			
Others			0,02 (*)
(iii) FRUITING VEGETABLES		0,05 (*) (P)	
(a) Solanacea			0,02 (*)
Tomatoes			
Peppers			
Aubergines			
Okra			
Others			
(b) Cucurbits - edible peel			
Cucumbers			
Gherkins			
Courgettes			0,1
Others			0,02 (*)
(c) Cucurbits-inedible peel			0,02 (*)
Melons			
Squashes			
Watermelons			
Others			
(d) Sweet corn			0,02 (*)
(iv) BRASSICA VEGETABLES		0,05 (*) (P)	
(a) Flowering brassica			0,02 (*)
Broccoli (including Calabrese)			
Cauliflower			
Others			
(b) Head brassica			
Brussels sprouts			0,1
Head cabbage			0,5
Others			0,02 (*)
(c) Leafy brassica			0,02 (*)

Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (mg/kg)			
Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Desmedipham	Phenmedipham	Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)
Chinese cabbage			
Kale			
Others			
(d) Kohlrabi			0,3
(v) LEAF VEGETABLES & FRESH HERBS			
(a) Lettuce & similar		0,05 (*) (P)	
Cress			0,1
Lamb's lettuce			0,1
Lettuce			
Scarole (broad-leaf endive)			
Ruccola			
Leaves and stems of brassica			
Others			0,02 (*)
(b) Spinach & similar		0,5 (P)	
Spinach			0,1
Beet leaves (chard)			
Others			0,02 (*)
(c) Water cress		0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
(d) Witloof		0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
(e) Herbs		0,05 (*) (P)	
Chervil			
Chives			
Parsley			0,5
Celery leaves			
Others			0,02 (*)
(vi) LEGUME VEGETABLES (fresh)		0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
Beans (with pods)			
Beans (without pods)			
Peas (with pods)			
Peas (without pods)			
Others			
(vii) STEM VEGETABLES (fresh)			
Asparagus			0,1
Cardoons			
Celery			0,5
Fennel			
Globe artichokes		0,2 (P)	
Leek			0,1
Rhubarb			
Others		0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)

Pesticide residues and maximum residue levels (mg/kg)			
Groups and examples of individual products to which the MRLs would apply	Desmedipham	Phenmedipham	Chlorfenvinphos (sum of E- and Z-isomers)
(viii) FUNGI		0,05 (*) (P)	
(a) Cultivated mushrooms			0,05
(b) Wild mushrooms			0,02 (*)
3. **Pulses**	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
Beans			
Lentils			
Peas			
Others			
4. **Oilseeds**	0,1 (*) (P)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
Linseed			
Peanuts			
Poppy seed			
Sesame seed			
Sunflower seed			
Rape seed			
Soya bean			
Mustard seed			
Cotton seed			
Hemp seed			
Others			
5. **Potatoes**	0,05 (*) (P)	0,05 (*) (P)	0,02 (*)
Early potatoes			
Ware potatoes			
6. **Tea (dried leaves and stalks, fermented or other-wise, *Camellia sinensis*)**	0,1 (*) (P)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)
7. **Hops (dried), including hop pellets and unconcentrated powder**	0,1 (*) (P)	0,1 (*) (P)	0,05 (*)

(*) Indicates lower limit of analytical determination

(P) Indicates that the maximum residue level has been established provisionally in accordance with Article 4(1)(f) of Directive 91/414/EEC.'

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/63/CE

of 14 July 2006

amending Annexes II to VII to Council Directive 98/57/EC on the control of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.*

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 98/57/EC of 20 July 1998 [1] on the control of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.*, and in particular Article 11 thereof,

Whereas:

(1) One of the important organisms harmful to potatoes and tomatoes is *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.*, the pathogenic agent of the potato brown rot disease and of bacterial wilt in potatoes and tomatoes (hereinafter referred to as the organism);

(2) The organism still occurs in some parts of the Community;

(3) Directive 98/57/EC has laid down detailed measures to be taken within the Member States against the organism in order to locate it and determine its distribution; prevent its occurrence and spread; and, if found, to prevent its spread and to control it with the aim of eradication;

(4) Since then, there have been significant developments in the understanding of the biology, the detection and identification procedures of the organism; moreover practical experiences gained in controlling the organism calls for review of several technical provisions related to control measures;

(5) As a result of such developments, it appears necessary to review and update the measures included in certain Annexes to Directive 98/57/EC;

(6) As regards the detection and identification procedures, the fluorescent *in-situ* hybridisation (FISH), a modern detection method, is incorporated. Improvements of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method, as well as improvements of various technical elements of the current detection and identification procedure, and methods for the detection and identification of the organism in other host plants than potato, and in water and soil, have also been included;

(7) As regards the technical elements of the control measures, improved provisions are made for: the way of conservation of tested samples in order to ensure trace back of the organism, the elements needed to determine the extent of the probable contamination, the details of the notification of any confirmed presence of the organism and of the relevant contaminated zone, measures to implement in places of production designated as contaminated and within the demarcated zones. In addition, some provisions for tomato have been incorporated in order to take more into account the relevance of this plant as host for the organism;

(8) The measures provided in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Plant Health.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

Annexes II to VII to Directive 98/57/EC are hereby replaced by the corresponding texts in Annex to this Directive.

Article 2

1. Member States shall adopt and publish, by 31 March 2007 at the latest, the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of these provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and the Directive.

They shall apply those provisions from 1 April 2007.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

[1] OJ L 235, 21.8.1998, p. 1.

2. Member States shall immediately communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 3

This Directive shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 4

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 July 2006.

For the Commission
Markos KYPRIANOU
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

'ANNEX II

TEST SCHEME FOR DIAGNOSIS, DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF *RALSTONIA SOLANACEARUM* (SMITH) YABUUCHI *ET AL.*

SCOPE OF THE TEST SCHEME

The presented scheme describes the various procedures involved in:

(i) Diagnosis of brown rot in potato tubers and of bacterial wilt in potato, tomato and some other host plants;

(ii) Detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in samples of potato tubers, potato-, tomato- and other host plants, water and soil;

(iii) Identification of *Ralstonia solanacearum (R. solanacearum).*

CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

OptimiseOptimised protocols for the various methods, validated reagents and details for the preparation of test and control materials are provided in the Appendices. A list of the laboratories that were included in optimization and validation of protocols is provided in Appendix 1.

Since the protocols involve detection of a quarantine organism and will include the use of viable cultures of *R. solanacearum* as control materials, it will be necessary to perform the procedures under suitable quarantined conditions with adequate waste disposal facilities and under the conditions of appropriate licences as issued by the official plant quarantine authorities.

Testing parameters must assure consistent and reproducible detection of levels of *R. solanacearum* at the set thresholds of the selected methods.

Precise preparation of positive controls is imperative.

Testing according to the required thresholds also implies correct settings, maintenance and calibration of equipment, careful handling and preservation of reagents and all measures to prevent contamination between samples, e.g. separation of positive controls from test samples. Quality control standards must be applied to avoid administrative and other errors, especially concerning labelling and documentation.

A suspected occurrence, as referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 98/57/EC implies a positive result in diagnostic or screening tests performed on a sample as specified in flow charts below. A positive first screening test (IF test, PCR/FISH, selective isolation) must be confirmed by a second screening test based on a different biological principle.

If the first screening test is positive, then contamination with *R. solanacearum* is suspected and a second screening test must be done. If the second screening test is positive, than the suspicion is confirmed (suspected occurrence) and the testing according to the scheme must be continued. If the second screening test is negative, then the sample is considered not contaminated with *R. solanacearum*.

Confirmed presence as referred to in Article 5(1) in Directive 98/57/EC implies the isolation and identification of a pure culture of *R. solanacearum* with confirmation of pathogenicity.

SECTION I

APPLICATION OF THE TEST SCHEME

1. **Detection scheme for the diagnosis of brown rot and bacterial wilt (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) in potato tubers and potato, tomato or other host plants with symptoms of brown rot or bacterial wilt.**

   The testing procedure is intended for potato tubers and plants with symptoms typical or suspect of brown rot or vascular wilt. It involves a rapid screening test, isolation of the pathogen from infected vascular tissue on (selective) medium and, in case of a positive result, identification of the culture as *Ralstonia solanacearum*.


Potato tuber(s) or plant(s) of potato, tomato or other host with symptoms suspect of brown rot or bacterial wilt (1)
RAPID DIAGNOSTIC TESTS (2)
Perform at least one of the following tests for presumptive diagnosis :
— bacterial ooze streaming test (3)
— poly- β-hydroxybutyrate test (4)
— serological agglutination test (5)
— IF test (6) / FISH test (7) / ELISA test (8) / PCR test (9)
CORE ISOLATION TEST (10)
Colonies with typical morphology (11)
NO (12)
R. solanacearum not detected
sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
YES
Purify by subculturing
IDENTIFICATION TESTS (13)
PATHOGENICITY TEST (14)
Both tests confirm pure culture as R. solanacearum
NO
Sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
YES
Sample infected by R. solanacearum

([1]) For description of symptoms see Section II.1.

([2]) Rapid diagnostic tests facilitate presumptive diagnosis but are not essential. A negative result does not always guarantee absence of the pathogen.

([3]) Streaming test for bacterial ooze from vascular stem tissue is described in Section VI.A.1.

([4]) Test for poly-ß-hydroxybutyrate granules in bacterial cells is described in Section VI.A.2.

([5]) Serological agglutination tests on bacterial ooze or extracts from symptomatic tissue are described in Section VI.A.3.

([6]) IF test on bacterial ooze suspended in water or symptomatic tissue extracts is described in Section VI.A.5.

([7]) FISH test on bacterial ooze suspended in water or symptomatic tissue extracts is described in Section VI.A.7.

([8]) ELISA test on bacterial ooze suspended in water or symptomatic tissue extracts is described in Section VI.A.8.

([9]) PCR test on bacterial ooze suspended in water or symptomatic tissue extracts is described in Section VI.A.6.

([10]) The pathogen is usually easily isolated from symptomatic plant material by dilution plating (Section II.3).

([11]) Typical colony morphology is described in Section II.3.d.

([12]) Culturing may fail from advanced stages of infection due to competition or overgrowth by saprophytic bacteria. If disease symptoms are typical, but the isolation test is negative, then the isolation must be repeated, preferably using a selective plate test.

([13]) Reliable identification of pure cultures of presumptive *R. solanacearum* isolates is achieved using the tests described in Section VI.B. Sub-specific characterisation is optional but recommended for each new case.

([14]) The pathogenicity test is described in Section VI.C.

2. **Scheme for detection and identification of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in samples of asymptomatic potato tubers**

Principle:

The testing procedure is intended for detection of latent infections in potato tubers. A positive result from at least two screening tests ([3]), based on different biological principles, must be complemented by the isolation of the pathogen; followed by, in case of isolation of typical colonies, confirmation of a pure culture as *R. solanacearum* A positive result from only one of the screening tests is not sufficient to consider the sample suspect.

Screening tests and isolation tests must permit detection of 10^3 to 10^4 cells/ml of resuspended pellet, included as positive controls in each series of tests.


Sample of potato tubers (1)
Extraction and concentration of the pathogen (2)
CORE SCREENING TESTS (3)
IF test (4) / selective isolation (5) / PCR test (6) / FISH test (7)
Optional auxiliary test : ELISA (8)
First screening test (3)
Negative
R. solanacearum not detected
sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
Positive
Second screening test (3)
Negative
R. solanacearum not detected
sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
Positive
Selective isolation (5) and/or bioassay (9)
Colonies with typical morphology (10)
NO (11)
R. solanacearum not detected
sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
YES
Purify by subculture
IDENTIFICATION TESTS (12)
PATHOGENICITY TEST (13)
Both tests confirm pure culture as R. solanacearum
NO
Sample not infected by
R. solanacearum
YES
Sample infected by R. solanacearum

([1]) The standard sample size is 200 tubers, although the procedure can be used with smaller samples if 200 tubers are not available.

([2]) Pathogen extraction and concentration methods are described in Section III.1.1.

([3]) If at least two tests based on different biological principles are positive, isolation and confirmation have to be done Perform at least one screening test. When this test is negative the sample is considered to be negative In case this test is positive a second or more screening tests based on different biological principles are required to verify the first positive result. If the second or other tests are negative the sample is considered negative. Further tests are not necessary.

([4]) The IF test is described in Section VI.A.5.

([5]) The selective isolation test is described in Section VI.A.4.

([6]) PCR tests are described in Section VI.A.6.

([7]) The FISH test is described in Section VI.A.7.

([8]) ELISA tests are described in Section VI.A.8.

([9]) The bioassay is described in Section VI.A.9.

([10]) Typical colony morphology is described in Section II.3.d.

([11]) Culturing or bioassays can fail due to competition or inhibition by saprophytic bacteria. If clear positive results are obtained in screening tests, but the isolation tests are negative, then repeat the isolation tests from the same pellet or by taking additional vascular tissue near the heel end from cut tubers of the same sample and, if necessary, test additional samples.

([12]) Reliable identification of pure cultures of presumptive *R. solanacearum* isolates is achieved using the tests described in Section VI.B.

([13]) The pathogenicity test is described in Section VI.C.

3. **Scheme for detection and identification of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in samples of asymptomatic potato, tomato or other host plants**



([1]) See Section III.2.1. for recommended sample sizes.

([2]) Pathogen extraction and concentration methods are described in Section III.2.1.

([3]) If at least two tests based on different biological priciples are positive, isolation and confirmation have to be done Perform at least one screening test. When this test is negative the sample is considered to be negative In case this test is positive a second or more screening tests based on different biological principles are required to verify the first positive result. If the second or other tests are negative the sample is considered negative. Further tests are not necessary.

([4]) The selective isolation test is described in Section VI.A.4.

([5]) The IF test is described in Section VI.A.5.

([6]) PCR tests are described in Section VI.A.6.

([7]) The FISH test is described in Section VI.A.7.

([8]) ELISA tests are described in Section VI.A.8.

([9]) The bioassay is described in Section VI.A.9.

([10]) Typical colony morphology is described in Section II.3.d.

([11]) Culturing or bioassays can fail due to competition or inhibition by saprophytic bacteria. If positive results are obtained in screening tests, but the isolation tests are negative, then repeat the isolation tests.

([12]) Reliable identification of pure presumptive *R. solanacearum* cultures is achieved using the tests described in Section VI.B.

([13]) The pathogenicity test is described in Section VI.C.

SECTION II

DETAILED METHODS FOR DETECTION OF *RALSTONIA SOLANACEARUM* IN POTATO TUBERS AND POTATO, TOMATO OR OTHER HOST PLANTS WITH SYMPTOMS OF BROWN ROT OR BACTERIAL WILT

1. **Symptoms** (see website http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main)

1.1. Symptoms on potato

The potato plant. The early stage of infection in the field is recognised by wilting of the leaves towards the top of the plant at high temperatures during the day with recovery at night. In early stages of wilting leaves remain green, but later yellowing and brown necrosis develops. Epinasty also occurs. Wilting of one shoot or whole plants becomes rapidly irreversible and results in the collapse and death of the plant. The vascular tissue of transversely cut stems from wilted plants usually appears brown and a milky bacterial ooze exudes from the cut surface or can be expressed by squeezing. When a cut stem is placed vertically in water, threads of slime will stream from the vascular bundles.

The potato tuber. Potato tubers must be cut transversely close to the heel (stolon end) or longitudinally over the stolon end. The early stage of infection is recognised by a glassy yellow to light brown discolouration of the vascular ring from which a pale cream bacterial ooze emerges spontaneously after some minutes. Later, the vascular discolouration becomes a more distinct brown and necrosis can extend into the parenchymatous tissue. In advanced stages, infection breaks outwards from the heel end and the eyes from which bacterial slime may ooze causing soil particles to adhere. Reddish-brown slightly sunken lesions may appear on the skin due to collapse of vascular tissues internally. Secondary development of fungal and bacterial soft rots is common in the advanced stages of the disease.

1.2. Symptoms on tomato

The tomato plant. The first visible symptom is the flaccid appearance of the youngest leaves. Under favourable environmental conditions for the pathogen (soil temperatures of approximately 25 °C; saturated humidity), epinasty and wilting of one side or of the whole plant follows within a few days leading to total plant collapse. Under less favourable conditions (soil temperature below 21 °C), less wilting occurs, but large numbers of adventitious roots may develop on the stem. It is possible to observe watersoaked streaks from the base of the stem which is evidence of necrosis in the vascular system. When the stem is cut crosswise, discoloured brown vascular tissues exude white or yellowish bacterial ooze.

1.3. Symptoms on other hosts

Solanum dulcamara and S. nigrum plants. Under natural conditions, wilting symptoms are rarely observed in these weed hosts unless soil temperatures exceed 25 °C or inoculum levels are extremely high (e.g. as for *S. nigrum* growing adjacent to diseased potato or tomato plants). When wilting does occur, the symptoms are as described for tomato. Non-wilting *S. dulcamara* plants growing with stems and roots in water may show internal light brown discolouration of vascular tissues on transverse section of the stem base or underwater stem parts. Bacteria may ooze from cut vascular tissues or form threads of slime if the cut stem is placed vertically in water, even in the absence of wilting symptoms.

2. **Rapid screening tests**

Rapid screening tests may facilitate presumptive diagnosis but are not essential. Use one or more of the following validated tests:

2.1. Stem streaming test

(See Section VI.A.1.)

2.2. Detection of poly-ß-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) granules

Characteristic PHB granules in the cells of *R. solanacearum* are visualised by staining heat-fixed smears of bacterial ooze from infected tissue on a microscope slide with Nile Blue A or Sudan Black (See Section VI.A.2.).

2.3. Serological agglutination tests

(See Section VI.A.3.)

2.4. Other tests

Further appropriate rapid screening tests include the IF test (see Section VI.A.5.), FISH test (see Section VI.A.7.), ELISA tests (see Section VI.A.8.) and PCR tests (see Section VI.A.6).

3. **Isolation procedure**

(a) Remove ooze or sections of discoloured tissue from the vascular ring in the potato tuber or from the vascular strands in stems of potato, tomato or other wilting host plants. Suspend in a small volume of sterile distilled water or 50mM phosphate buffer (Appendix 4) and leave for 5 to 10 minutes.

(b) Prepare a series of decimal dilutions of the suspension.

(c) Transfer 50-100 µl of the suspension and dilutions to a general nutrient medium (NA, YPGA or SPA; see Appendix 2) and/or to Kelman's tetrazolium medium (Appendix 2) and/or a validated selective medium (e.g. SMSA; see Appendix 2). Spread or streak with an appropriate dilution plating technique. If useful, prepare separate plates with a diluted cell suspension of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 as a positive control.

(d) Incubate the plates for two to six days at 28 °C.

— On the general nutrient media, virulent isolates of *R. solanacearum* develop pearly cream-white, flat, irregular and fluidal colonies often with characteristic whorls in the centre. Avirulent forms of *R. solanacearum* form small round non-fluidal, butyrous colonies which are entirely cream-white.

— On Kelman's tetrazolium and SMSA media, the whorls are blood red in colour. Avirulent forms of *Ralstonia solanacearum* form small round non-fluidal, butyrous colonies which are entirely deep red.

4. **Identification tests for *R. solanacearum***

Tests to confirm identity of presumptive isolates of *R. solanacearum* are shown in Section VI.B.

SECTION III

1. **Detailed methods for detection and identification of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in samples of asymptomatic potato tubers**

1.1. Sample preparation

Note:

— The standard sample size is 200 tubers per test. More intensive sampling requires more tests on samples of this size. Larger numbers of tubers in the sample will lead to inhibition or difficult interpretation of the results. However, the procedure can be conveniently applied for samples with less than 200 tubers where fewer tubers are available.

— Validation of all detection methods described below is based on testing of samples of 200 tubers.

— The potato extract described below can also be used for detection of the potato ring rot bacterium, *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *sepedonicus*.

Optional pre-treatment in advance to sample preparation:

(a) Incubation of samples at 25 to 30 °C, for up to two weeks before testing, to encourage multiplication of any *R. solanacearum* populations.

(b) Wash the tubers. Use appropriate disinfectants (chlorine compounds when PCR-test is to be used in order to remove pathogen DNA) and detergents between each sample. Air dry the tubers. This washing procedure is particularly useful (but not required) for samples with excess soil and if a PCR-test or direct isolation procedure is to be performed.

1.1.1. Remove with a clean and disinfected scalpel or vegetable knife the skin at the heel (stolon) end of each tuber so that the vascular tissues become visible. Carefully cut out a small core of vascular tissue at the heel end and keep the amount of non-vascular tissue to a minimum. (see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main).

Note: Set aside any (rotting) tubers with suspected brown rot symptoms and test separately.

If during removal of the heel end core suspect symptoms of brown rot are observed, a visual inspection of this tuber should be done and the tuber cut near the heel end. Any cut tuber with suspected symptoms should be kept for at least two days at room temperature in order to allow suberisation and stored refrigerated (at 4 to 10 °C) under proper quarantine conditions. All tubers including those with suspicioussymptoms should be kept according to Annex III.

1.1.2. Collect the heel end cores in unused disposable containers which can be closed and/or sealed (in case containers are reused they should be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected using chlorine compounds). Preferably, the heel end cores should be processed immediately. If this is not possible, store them in the container, without addition of buffer, refrigerated for not longer than 72 hours or for not longer than 24 hours at room temperature.

Process the heel end cores by one of the following procedures: either,

(a) Cover the cores with sufficient volume (approximately 40 ml) of extraction buffer (Appendix 4) and agitate on a rotary shaker (50-100 rpm) for 4 hours below 24 °C or for 16 to 24 hours refrigerated,

or

(b) Homogenise the cores with sufficient volume (approximately 40 ml) of extraction buffer (Appendix 4), either in a blender (e.g. Waring or Ultra Thurax) or by crushing in a sealed disposable maceration bag (e.g. Stomacher or Bioreba strong guage polythene, 150 mm × 250 mm; radiation sterilised) using a rubber mallet or suitable grinding apparatus (e.g. Homex).

Note: The risk of cross-contamination of samples is high when samples are homogenized using a blender. Take precautions to avoid aerosol generation or spillage during the extraction process. Ensure that freshly sterilised blender blades and vessels are used for each sample. If the PCR test is to be used, avoid carry-over of DNA on containers or grinding apparatus. Crushing in disposable bags and use of disposable tubes is recommended where PCR is to be used.

1.1.3. Decant the supernatant. If excessively cloudy, clarify either by slow speed centrifugation (at not more than 180 g for 10 minutes at a temperature between 4 to 10 °C) or by vacuum filtration (40 to 100 µm), washing the filter with additional (approximately 10 ml) extraction buffer.

1.1.4. Concentrate the bacterial fraction by centrifugation at 7 000 g for 15 minutes (or 10 000 g for 10 minutes) at a temperature between 4 to 10 °C and discard the supernatant without disturbing the pellet.

1.1.5. Resuspend the pellet in 1.5 ml pellet buffer (Appendix 4). Use 500 µl to test for *R. solanacearum*, 500 µl for *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *sepedonicus* and 500 µl for reference purposes. Add sterile glycerol to final concentration of 10 to 25 % (v/v) to the 500 µl of the reference aliquot and to the remaining test aliquot, vortex and store at – 16 to – 24 °C (weeks) or at – 68 to – 86 °C (months). Preserve the test aliquots at 4 to 10 °C during testing.

Repeated freezing and thawing is not advisable.

If transport of the extract is required, ensure delivery in a cool box within 24 to 48 hours.

1.1.6. It is imperative that all *R. solanacearum* positive controls and samples are treated separately to avoid contamination. This applies to IF slides and to all tests.

1.2. Testing

See Flow chart and description of the tests and optimised protocols in the relevant appendices:

Selective isolation (see Section VI.A.4.)

IF test (see Section VI.A.5.)

PCR tests (see Section VI.A.6.)

FISH test (see Section VI.A.7.)

ELISA tests (see Section VI.A.8.)

Bioassay (see Section VI.A.9.)

2. **Detailed methods for detection and identification of *R. solanacearum* in samples of asymptomatic potato, tomato or other host plants**

2.1. Sample preparation

Note: For detection of latent R. solanacearum populations it is advised to test composite samples. The procedure can be conveniently applied for composite samples of up to 200 stem parts. Where surveys are performed they should be based on a statistically representative sample of the plant population under investigation.

2.1.1. Collect 1 to 2 cm stem segments in a closed sterile container according to the following sampling procedures:

Nursery tomato seedlings: With a clean disinfected knife, remove a 1 cm segment from the base of each stem, just above the soil level.

Field or glasshouse grown tomato plants: With a clean disinfected knife, remove the lowermost side shoot from each plant by cutting just above the joint with the main stem. Remove the lowermost 1cm segment from each side shoot.

Other hosts: With a clean disinfected knife or pruning shears, remove a 1 cm segment from the base of each stem, just above the soil level. In the case of *S. dulcamara* or other host plants growing in water, remove 1-2 cm sections from underwater stems or stolons with aquatic roots.

When sampling a particular location it is recommended to test a statistically representative sample of at least 10 plants per sampling point of each potential weed host. Pathogen detection will be most reliable during late spring, summer and autumn seasons, although natural infections can be detected all year round in the perennial *Solanum dulcamara* growing in watercourses. Known hosts include volunteer potato plants (groundkeepers), *Solanum dulcamara, S. nigrum, Datura stramonium* and other members of the family Solanaceae. Further hosts are *Pelargonium* spp. and *Portulaca oleracea*. Some European weed spp. which may potentially harbour *R. solanacearum* biovar 2/Race 3 populations in roots and/or rhizospheres under specific environmental conditions include *Atriplex hastata, Bidens pilosa, Cerastium glomeratum, Chenopodium album, Eupatorium cannabinum, Galinsoga parviflora, Ranunculus scleratus, Rorippa* spp, *Rumex* spp., *Silene alba, S. nutans., Tussilago farfarra and Urtica dioica*.

Note: Visual examination for internal symptoms (vascular staining or bacterial ooze) can be done at this stage. Set aside any stem segments with symptoms and test separately (See Section II).

2.1.2. Disinfect stem segments briefly with ethanol 70 % and immediately blot dry on tissue paper. Then process the stem segments by one of the following procedures: either,

(a) Cover the segments with sufficient volume (approximately 40 ml) of extraction buffer (Appendix 4) and agitate on a rotary shaker (50 to 100 rpm) for four hours below 24 °C or for 16 to 24 hours refrigerated, or

(b) Process immediately by crushing the segments in a strong maceration bag (e.g. Stomacher or Bioreba) with an appropriate volume of extraction buffer (Appendix 4) using a rubber mallet or appropriate grinding apparatus (e.g. Homex). If this is not possible, store the stem segments refrigerated for not longer than 72 hours or for not longer than 24 hours at room temperature.

2.1.3. Decant the supernatant after settling for 15 minutes.

2.1.4. Further clarification of the extract or concentration of the bacterial fraction are not usually required but may be achieved by filtration and/or centrifugation as described in Section III.1.1.3 – 1.1.5.

2.1.5. Divide the neat or concentrated sample extract into two equal parts. Maintain one half at 4 to 10 °C during testing and store the other half with 10 to 25 % (v/v) sterile glycerol at – 16 to – 24 °C (weeks) or at – 68 to – 86 °C (month) in case further testing is required.

2.2. Testing

See Flow chart and description of the tests and optimised protocols in the relevant appendices:

Selective isolation (see Section VI.A.4.)

IF test (see Section VI.A.5.)

PCR tests (see Section VI.A.6.)

FISH test (see Section VI.A.7.)

ELISA tests (see Section VI.A.8.)

Bioassay (see Section VI.A.9.)

SECTION IV

1. **Scheme for detection and identification of *R. solanacearum* in water**



([1]) See Section IV.2.1. for recommended sampling procedures.

([2]) Pathogen concentration methods are described in Section IV.2.1. Concentration increases populations of both pathogen and competing saprophytic bacteria and is recommended only if it does not result in inhibition of the isolation test.

([3]) The selective isolation test is described in Section VI.A.4.

([4]) The bioassay test is described in Section VI.A.9.

([5]) Enrichment PCR methods are described in Section VI.A.4.2 and Section VI.A.6.

([6]) Enrichment ELISA methods are described in Section VI.A.4.2 and Section VI.A.8.

([7]) Typical colony morphology is described in Section II.3.d.

([8]) Culturing can fail due to competition or inhibition by saprophytic bacteria. If high saprophyte populations are suspected to affect the reliability of the isolation, then repeat the isolation tests after dilution of the sample in sterile water.

([9]) Reliable identification of pure presumptive *R. solanacearum* cultures is achieved using the tests described in Section VI.B.

([10]) The pathogenicity test is described in Section VI.C.

2. **Methods for detection and identification of *R. solanacearum* in water**

Principle

The validated detection scheme, described in this section, is applicable for pathogen detection in samples of surface water and can also be applied for testing samples of potato processing or sewage effluents. However, it is important to note that the expected sensitivity of detection will vary with the substrate. Sensitivity of the isolation test is affected by populations of competing saprophytic bacteria which are generally much higher in potato processing and sewage effluents than in surface water. Whereas the scheme below is expected to detect as few as 10^3 cells per litre in surface water the sensitivity of detection in potato processing or sewage effluents is likely to be significantly lower. For this reason, it is recommended to test effluents after any purification treatments (e.g. sedimentation or filtration) during which saprophytic bacterial populations are reduced. The limitations in sensitivity of the test scheme should be considered when assessing the reliability of any negative results obtained. Whereas this scheme has been successfully used in survey work to determine presence or absence of the pathogen in surface water, its limitations should be realised when used in similar surveys of potato processing or sewage effluents.

2.1. Sample preparation

Note:

— Detection of *R. solanacearum* in surface water is most reliable during late spring, summer and autumn seasons when water temperatures exceed 15 °C.

— Repeated sampling at different times in the above mentioned period at designated sampling points will increase the reliability of detection by reducing the effects of climatic variation.

— Take into account the effects of heavy rainfall and the geography of the watercourse to avoid extensive dilution effects that may obscure presence of the pathogen.

— Take surface water samples in the vicinity of host plants if these hosts are present.

2.1.1. At selected sampling points, collect water samples by filling disposable sterile tubes or bottles at a depth if possible below 30 cm and within 2 m from the bank. For processing and sewage effluents, collect samples from the point of effluent discharge. Sample sizes up to 500 ml per sampling point are recommended. If smaller samples are preferred, it is advisable to take samples on at least three occasions per sampling point, each sample consisting of two replicated sub-samples of at least 30 ml. For intensive survey work, select at least three sampling points per 3 km of watercourse and ensure that tributaries entering the watercourse are also sampled.

2.1.2. Transport samples in cool dark conditions (4 to 10 °C) and test within 24 hours.

2.1.3. If required, the bacterial fraction may be concentrated using one of the following methods:

(a) Centrifuge 30 to 50 ml sub-samples at 10 000 g for 10 minutes (or 7 000 g for 15 minutes) preferably at 4 to 10 °C, discard the supernatant and resuspend the pellet in 1 ml pellet buffer (Appendix 4).

(b) Membrane filtration (minimum pore size 0,45 µm) followed by washing the filter in 5 to 10 ml pellet buffer and retention of the washings. This method is suitable for larger volumes of water containing low numbers of saprophytes.

Concentration is usually not advisable for samples of potato processing or sewage effluent since increased populations of competing saprophytic bacteria will inhibit detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum*.

2.2. Testing

See flow chart and description of the tests in the relevant appendices.

SECTION V

1. **Scheme for detection and identification of *R. solanacearum* in soil**



([1]) See Section V.2.1. for recommended sampling procedures.

([2]) The selective isolation test is described in Section VI.A.4.

([3]) Enrichment PCR methods are described in Section VI.A.4.2 and Section VI.A.6.

([4]) The bioassay is described in Section VI.A.9.

([5]) Typical colony morphology is described in Section II.3.d.

([6]) Culturing can fail due to competition or inhibition by saprophytic bacteria. If high saprophyte populations are suspected to affect the reliability of the isolation, then repeat the isolation tests after further dilution of the sample.

([7]) Reliable identification of pure presumptive *R. solanacearum* cultures is achieved using the tests described in Section VI.B.

([8]) The pathogenicity test is described in Section VI.C.

2. **Methods for detection and identification of *R. solanacearum* in soil**

Principles

The validated detection scheme, described in this section, is applicable for pathogen detection in soil samples but can also be used to test samples of solid potato processing waste or sewage sludge. However, it should be noted that these methods are insufficiently sensitive to guarantee detection of low and/or irregularly dispersed populations of *Ralstonia solanacearum* that may occur in naturally infested samples of these substrates.

The limitations in sensitivity of this test scheme should be considered when assessing the reliability of any negative results obtained and also when used in surveys to determine presence or absence of the pathogen in soils or sludges. The most reliable test for presence of the pathogen in a field soil is to plant a susceptible host and monitor it for infection, but even with this method low levels of contamination will escape detection.

2.1. Sample preparation

2.1.1. Sampling of field soil should follow standard principals used for nematode sampling. Collect 0,5 to 1 kg of soil per sample from 60 sites per 0,3 ha from a depth of 10 to 20 cm (or in a grid of 7 x 7 metres) If the pathogen is suspected to be present, increase the number of collection points to 120 per 0,3 ha. Maintain samples at 12 to 15 °C prior to testing. Sample potato processing and sewage sludges by collecting a total of 1 kg from sites representing the total volume of sludge to be tested. Mix each sample well before testing.

2.1.2. Disperse sub-samples of 10 to 25 g of soil or sludge by rotary shaking (250 rpm) in 60 to 150 ml extraction buffer (Appendix 4) for up to two hours. If required, addition of 0,02 % sterile Tween-20 and 10 to 20 g sterile gravel may assist dispersion.

2.1.3. Maintain the suspension at 4 °C during testing.

2.2. Testing

See flow chart and description of the tests in the relevant appendices.

SECTION VI

OPTIMISED PROTOCOLS FOR DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF *R. SOLANACEARUM*

A. *DIAGNOSTIC AND DETECTION TESTS*

1. **Stem streaming test**

The presence of *R. solanacearum* in stems of wilting potato, tomato or other host plants can be indicated by the following simple presumptive test: Cut the stem just above the soil level. Suspend the cut surface in a tube of clean water. Observe for characteristic spontaneous streaming of threads of bacterial slime from the cut vascular bundles after a few minutes.

2. **Detection of poly-ß-hydroxybutyrate granules**

1. Prepare a smear of bacterial ooze from infected tissue or from a 48-hour culture on YPGA or SPA medium (Appendix 2) on a microscope slide.

2. Prepare positive control smears of a biovar 2 strain of *R. solanacearum* and, if considered useful, a negative control smear of a known PHB negative sp.

3. Allow to air dry and pass the lower surface of each slide rapidly above a flame to fix the smears.

4. Stain preparation with either Nile Blue or Sudan Black and observe microscopically as described below:

Nile blue test:

(a) Flood each slide with 1 % aquous solution of Nile Blue A and incubate for 10 minutes at 55 °C.

(b) Drain off the staining solution. Wash briefly in gently running tap water. Remove excess water with tissue paper.

(c) Flood the smear with 8 % aqueous acetic acid and incubate for one minute at ambient temperature.

(d) Wash briefly in gently running tap water. Remove excess water with tissue paper.

(e) Re-moisten with a drop of water and apply a coverslip.

(f) Examine the stained smear with an epifluorescence microscope at 450 nm under oil immersion at a magnification of 600 to 1 000 using an oil- or water-immersion objective.

(g) Observe for bright orange fluorescence of PHB granules. Also observe under transmitted normal light to ensure that the granules are intracellular and that cell morphology is typical of *R. solanacearum*.

Sudan Black test:

(a) Flood each slide with 0,3 % Sudan Black B solution in 70 % ethanol and incubate for 10 minutes at ambient temperature.

(b) Drain off the staining solution and wash briefly in tap water, removing excess water with tissue paper.

(c) Dip the slides briefly in xylol and blot dry on tissue paper. *Caution: Xylol is harmful, take necessary safety precautions and work in a fume cupboard.*

(d) Flood the slides with 0,5 % (w/v) aqueous safranin and leave for 10 seconds at ambient temperature. *Caution: Safranin is harmful, take necessary safety precautions and work in a fume cupboard.*

(e) Wash in gently running tap water, blot dry on tissue paper and apply a coverslip.

(f) Examine stained smears with a light microscope using transmitted light under oil immersion at a magnification of 1 000 using an oil-immersion objective.

(g) Observe for blue-black staining of PHB granules in cells of *R. solanacearum* with pink-stained cell walls.

3. **Serological agglutination tests**

Agglutination of *R. solanacearum* cells in bacterial ooze or symptomatic tissue extracts is best observed using validated antibodies (see Appendix 3) labelled with appropriate coloured markers such as red *Staphylococcus aureus* cells or coloured latex particles. If using a commercially available kit (see Appendix 3), follow the manufacturers instructions. Otherwise perform the following procedure:

(a) Mix drops of a suspension of labelled antibody and bacterial ooze (approximately 5 µl each) on windows of multiwell test slides.

(b) Prepare positive and negative controls using suspensions of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 and a heterologous strain.

(c) Observe for agglutination in positive samples after gentle mixing for 15 seconds.

4. **Selective isolation**

4.1. Selective plating

Note: Before using this method for the first time, perform preliminary tests to ensure reproducible detection of 10^3 to 10^4 colony-forming units of *R. solanacearum* per ml added to extracts from samples which previously tested negative.

Use an appropriately validated selective medium such as SMSA (as modified by Elphinstone *et al.*, 1996; see Appendix 2).

Care is required to differentiate *R. solanacearum* from other bacteria able to develop colonies on the medium. Furthermore, colonies of *R. solanacearum* may show atypical morphology if plates are overcrowded or antagonistic bacteria are also present. Where effects of competition or antagonism are suspected, the sample should be re-tested using a different test.

Highest sensitivity of detection by this method can be expected when using freshly prepared sample extracts. However, the method is also applicable for use with extracts which have been stored under glycerol at – 68 to – 86 °C.

As positive controls, prepare decimal dilutions from a suspension of 10^6 cfu per ml of a virulent biovar 2 strain of *R. solanacearum* (e.g. NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857). To avoid any possibility of contamination, prepare positive controls totally separately from samples to be tested.

For each newly prepared batch of a selective medium its suitability for growth of the pathogen should be tested before it is used to test routine samples.

Test control material in an identical manner as the sample(s).

4.1.1. Perform an appropriate dilution plating technique aiming to ensure that any background saprophytic colony-forming populations are diluted out. Spread 50 - 100 µl per plate of sample extract and each dilution.

4.1.2. Incubate plates at 28 °C. Read plates after 48 hours and daily thereafter up to six days. Typical *R. solanacearum* colonies on SMSA medium are milky white, flat, irregular and fluidal and after three days incubation develop pink to blood-red coloration in the centre with internal streaking or whorling. (see website http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main).

Note: Atypical colonies of *R. solanacearum* sometimes form on this medium. These may be small, round, entirely red in colour and non-fluidal or only partially fluidal and therefore difficult to distinguish from saprophytic colony-forming bacteria.

4.1.3. Purify presumptive *R. solanacearum* colonies after streaking or dilution plating onto a general nutrient medium to obtain isolated colonies (see Appendix 2).

4.1.4. Store cultures short-term in sterile water (pH 6 to 8, chlorine free) at room temperature in the dark, or long term in a suitable cryoprotectant medium at – 68 to – 86 °C or lyophilised.

4.1.5. Identify presumptive cultures (see Section VI.B.) and perform a pathogenicity test (see Section VI. C).

Interpretation of selective plating test results

The selective plating test is negative if no bacterial colonies are observed after six days or if no presumptive colonies typical of *R. solanacearum* are found, provided that no inhibition is suspected due to competition or antagonism by other bacteria and that typical *R. solanacearum* colonies are found in the positive controls.

The selective plating test is positive if presumptive *R. solanacearum* colonies are isolated.

4.2. Enrichment procedure

Use a validated enrichment medium such as modified Wilbrink broth (see Appendix 2).

This procedure can be used to selectively increase R. solanacearum *populations in sample extracts and increase sensitivity of detection. The procedure also effectively dilutes inhibitors of the PCR reaction (1:100). It should be noted, however, that enrichment of* R. solanacearum *can fail due to competition or antagonism by saprophytic organisms which are often simultaneously enriched. For this reason, isolation of* R.solanacearum *from enriched broth cultures may be difficult. In addition, since populations of serologically related saprophytes can be increased, the use of specific monoclonal antibodies rather than polyclonal antibodies is recommended where the ELISA test is to be used.*

4.2.1. For enrichment-PCR, transfer 100 µl of sample extract into 10 ml of enrichment broth (Appendix 2) previously aliquoted into DNA-free tubes or flasks. For enrichment-ELISA, higher proportions of sample extract to broth can be used (e.g. 100 µl in 1,0 ml of enrichment broth).

4.2.2. Incubate for 72 hours at 27 to 30 °C in shaking culture or static culture with caps loosely- fitted to permit aeration.

4.2.3. Mix well before using in ELISA or PCR tests.

4.2.4. Treat enriched broth in an identical manner as the sample(s) in the above tests.

Note: If inhibition of enrichment of R. solanacearum is anticipated, due to high populations of certain competing saprophytic bacteria, enrichment of sample extracts before any centrifugation or other concentration steps may give better results.

5. **IF Test**

Principle

The use of the IF test as the principal screening test is recommended because of its proven robustness to achieve the required thresholds.

When the IF test is used as the principal screening test and the IF reading is positive, the Isolation, PCR or FISH test must be performed as a second screening test. When the IF test is used as the second screening test and the IF reading is positive, further testing according to the flow scheme is required to complete the analysis.

Note: Use a validated source of antibodies to *R. solanacearum* (see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main). It is recommended that the titre is determined for each new batch of antibodies. The titre is defined as the highest dilution at which optimum reaction occurs when testing a suspension containing 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml of the homologous strain of *R. solanacearum* and using an appropriate fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugate according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Validated polyclonal antisera all had an IF titre of at least 1:2 000. During testing, the antibodies should be used at a working dilution(s) close to or at the titre.

The test should be performed on freshly-prepared sample extracts. If necessary, it can be successfully performed on extracts stored at – 68 to – 86 °C under glycerol. Glycerol can be removed from the sample by addition of 1 ml pellet buffer (Appendix 4), re-centrifugation for 15 minutes at 7 000 g and re-suspension in an equal volume of pellet buffer. This is often not necessary, especially if samples are fixed to the slides by flaming.

Prepare separate positive control slides of the homologous strain or any other reference strain of *R. solanacearum*, suspended in potato extract, as specified in Appendix 3 B, and optionally in buffer.

Naturally infected tissue (maintained by lyophilisation or freezing at – 16 to – 24 °C) should be used where possible as a similar control on the same slide.

As negative controls, aliquots of sample extract which previously tested negative for *R. solanacearum* can be used.

Standardised positive and negative control materials available for use with this test are listed in Appendix 3.

Use multiwell microscope slides with preferably 10 windows of at least 6 mm diameter.

Test control material in an identical manner as the sample(s).

5.1. Prepare the test slides by one of the following procedures:

(i) For pellets with relatively little starch sediment:

Pipette a measured standard volume (15 µl is appropriate for 6 mm window diameter – scale up volume for larger windows) of a 1/100 dilution of the resuspended potato pellet onto the first window. Subsequently pipette a similar volume of undiluted pellet (1/1) onto the remaining windows on the row. The second row can be used as duplicate or for a second sample as presented in Figure 1.

(ii) For other pellets:

Prepare decimal dilutions (1/10, 1/100) of the resuspended pellet in pellet buffer. Pipette a measured standard volume (15 µl is appropriate for 6 mm window diameter – scale up volume for larger windows) of the resuspended pellet and each dilution on a row of windows. The second row can be used as duplicate or for a second sample as presented in Figure 2.

5.2. Dry the droplets at ambient temperature or by warming to temperatures of 40 to 45 °C. Fix the bacterial cells to the slide either by heating (15 minutes at 60 °C), flaming, with 95 % ethanol or according to specific instructions from the suppliers of the antibodies.

If necessary, fixed slides may then be stored frozen in a desiccated box for as little time as necessary (up to a maximum of three months) prior to further testing.

5.3. IF procedure

(i) According to test slide preparation in 5.1(i):

Prepare a set of twofold dilutions The first well should have 1/2 of the titre (T/2), the others 1/4 of the titre (T/4), 1/2 of the titre (T/2), the titre (T) and twice the titre (2T).

(ii) According to test slide preparation in 5.1(ii):

Prepare the working dilution (WD) of the antibody in IF buffer. The working dilution affects the specificity.

Figure 1. Preparation of the test slide according to 5.1(i) and 5.3(i)



Figure 2. Preparation of the test slide according to 5.1(ii) and 5.3(ii).



5.3.1. Arrange the slides on moist tissue paper. Cover each test window completely with the antibody dilution(s). The volume of antibody applied on each window must be at least the volume of extract applied.

The following procedure should be carried out in the absence of specific instructions from the suppliers of the antibodies:

5.3.2. Incubate the slides on moist paper under a cover for 30 minutes at ambient temperature (18 to 25 °C).

5.3.3. Shake the droplets off each slide and rinse carefully with IF buffer. Wash by submerging for five minutes in IF buffer-Tween (Appendix 4) and subsequently in IF buffer. Avoid causing aerosols or droplet transfer that could result in cross-contamination. Carefully remove excess moisture by blotting gently.

5.3.4. Arrange the slides on moist paper. Cover the test windows with the dilution of FITC conjugate used to determine the titre. The volume of conjugate applied on the windows must be identical to the volume of antibody applied.

5.3.5. Incubate the slides on moist paper under a cover for 30 minutes at ambient temperature (18 to 25 °C).

5.3.6. Shake the droplets of conjugate off the slide. Rinse and wash as before (5.3.3).

Carefully remove excess moisture.

5.3.7. Pipette 5 - 10 µl of 0,1M phosphate-buffered glycerol (Appendix 4) or a commercially antifading mountant on each window and apply a coverslip.

5.4. Reading the IF test:

5.4.1 Examine test slides on an epifluorescence microscope with filters suitable for excitation of FITC, under oil or water immersion at a magnification of 500-1 000. Scan windows across two diameters at right angles and around the perimeter. For samples showing no or low number of cells observe at least 40 microscope fields.

Check the positive control slide first. Cells must be bright fluorescent and completely stained at the determined antibody titre or working dilution. The IF test (Section VI.A.5.) must be repeated if the staining is aberrant.

5.4.2. Observe for bright fluorescing cells with characteristic morphology of *R. solanacearum* in the test windows of the test slides (see website http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main). The fluorescence intensity must be equivalent to the positive control strain at the same antibody dilution. Cells with incomplete staining or with weak fluorescence must be disregarded.

If any contamination is suspected the test must be repeated. This may be the case when all slides in a batch show positive cells due to the contamination of buffer or if positive cells are found (outside of the slide windows) on the slide coating.

5.4.3. There are several problems inherent to the specificity of the immunofluorescence test. Background populations of fluorescing cells with atypical morphology and cross reacting saprophytic bacteria with size and morphology similar to *R. solanacearum* are likely to occur in potato heel end core and stem segment pellets.

5.4.4. Consider only fluorescing cells with typical size and morphology at the titre or working dilution of the antibodies as in 5.3.

5.4.5. Interpretation of the IF reading:

(i) If bright fluorescing cells with characteristic morphology are found, estimate the average number of typical cells per microscope field and calculate the number of typical cells per ml of resuspended pellet (Appendix 5).

The IF reading is positive for samples with at least 5×10^3 typical cells per ml of resuspended pellet. The sample is considered potentially contaminated and further testing is required.

(ii) The IF reading is negative for samples with less than 5×10^3 cells per ml of resuspended pellet and the sample is considered negative. Further testing is not required.

6. **PCR tests**

Principles

When the PCR test is used as the principal screening test and found to be positive, isolation or IF must be performed as a second compulsory screening test. When PCR is used as the second screening test and found to be positive, further testing according to the flow scheme is required to complete the diagnosis.

Full exploitation of this method as principal screening test is only recommended when specialised expertise has been acquired.

Note: Preliminary testing with this method should permit reproducible detection of 10^3 to 10^4 cells of *R. solanacearum* per ml added to sample extracts which previously tested negative. Optimisation experiments may be required to achieve maximum levels of sensitivity and specificity in all laboratories.

Use validated PCR reagents and protocols (see Appendix 6). Preferably select a method with an internal control.

Use appropriate precautions to avoid contamination of sample with target DNA. The PCR test should be performed by experienced technicians, in dedicated molecular biology laboratories, in order to minimise the possibility of contamination with target DNA.

Negative controls (for DNA extraction and PCR procedures) should always be handled as final samples in the procedure, to make evident whether any carry over of DNA has occurred.

The following negative controls should be included in the PCR test:

— Sample extract that previously tested negative for *R. solanacearum*,

— Buffer controls used for extracting the bacterium and the DNA from the sample,

— PCR-reaction mix.

The following positive controls should be included:

— Aliquots of resuspended pellets to which *R. solanacearum* has been added (preparation see Appendix 3 B).

— A suspension of 10^6 cells per ml of *R. solanacearum* in water from a virulent isolate (e.g. NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857; see Appendix 3 B).

— If possible use also DNA extracted from positive control samples in the PCR test.

To avoid potential contamination prepare positive controls in a separate environment from samples to be tested.

Sample extracts should be as free as possible from soil. It could therefore, in certain cases, be advisible to prepare extracts from washed potatoes if PCR protocols are to be used.

Standardized positive and negative control material available for use with this test are listed in Appendix 3).

6.1. DNA purification methods

Use positive and negative control samples as described above (see Appendix 3).

Test control material in an identical manner as the sample(s).

A variety of methods are available for purification of target DNA from complex sample substrates, thus removing inhibitors of PCR and other enzymatic reactions and concentrating target DNA in the sample extract. The following method has been optimised for use with the validated PCR methods shown in Appendix 6.

(a) Method according to Pastrik (2000)

1) Pipette 220 µl of lysis buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8,0], 1 mM EDTA [pH 8,0]) into a 1,5 ml Eppendorf tube.

2) Add 100 µl sample extract and place in a heating block or water bath at 95 °C for 10 min.

3) Put tube on ice for 5 min.

4) Add 80 µl Lysozyme stock solution (50 mg Lysozyme per ml in 10 mM Tris HCl, pH 8,0) and incubate at 37 °C for 30 min.

5) Add 220 µl of Easy DNA® solution A (Invitrogen), mix well by vortexing and incubate at 65 °C for 30 min.

6) Add 100 µl of Easy DNA® solution B (Invitrogen), vortex vigorously until the precipitate runs freely in the tube and the sample is uniformly viscous.

7) Add 500 µl of chloroform and vortex until the viscosity decreases and the mixture is homogeneous.

8) Centrifuge at 15 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C to separate phases and form the interphase.

9) Transfer the upper phase into a fresh Eppendorf tube.

10) Add 1 ml of 100 % ethanol (– 20 °C) vortex briefly and incubate on ice for 10 min.

11) Centrifuge at 15 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C and remove ethanol from pellet.

12) Add 500 µl 80 % ethanol (– 20 °C) and mix by inverting the tube.

13) Centrifuge at 15 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C, save the pellet and remove ethanol.

14) Allow the pellet to dry in air or in a DNA speed vac.

15) Resuspend the pellet in 100 µl sterile UPW and leave at room temperature for at least 20 minutes.

16) Store at – 20 °C until required for PCR.

17) Spin down any white precipitate by centrifugation and use 5 µl of the supernatant containing DNA for the PCR.

(b) Other methods

Other DNA extraction methods, e.g. Qiagen DNeasy Plant Kit, could be applied providing that they are proven to be equally as effective in purifying DNA from control samples containing 10^3 to 10^4 pathogen cells per ml.

6.2. PCR

6.2.1. Prepare test and control templates for PCR according to the validated protocols (Section VI.A.6.). Prepare one decimal dilution of sample DNA extract (1:10 in UPW).

6.2.2. Prepare the appropriate PCR reaction mix in a contamination-free environment according to the published protocols (Appendix 6). Where possible, it is recommended to use a multiplex PCR protocol that also incorporates an internal PCR control.

6.2.3. Add 2-5 µl of DNA extract per 25 µl PCR reaction in sterile PCR tubes according to the PCR protocols, (see Appendix 6).

6.2.4. Incorporate a negative control sample containing only PCR reaction mix and add the same source of UPW as used in the PCR mix in place of sample.

6.2.5. Place tubes in the same thermal cycler which was used in preliminary testing and run the appropriately optimised PCR programme (Appendix 6).

6.3. Analysis of the PCR product

6.3.1. Resolve PCR amplicons by agarose gel electrophoresis. Run at least 12 µl of amplified DNA reaction mixture from each sample mixed with 3 µl loading buffer (Appendix 6) in 2,0 % (w/v) agarose gels in tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer (Appendix 6) at 5 to 8 V per cm. Use an appropriate DNA marker, e.g. 100 bp ladder.

6.3.2. Reveal DNA bands by staining in ethidium bromide (0,5 mg per L) for 30 to 60 minutes taking appropriate precautions for handling this mutagen.

6.3.3. Observe stained gel under short wave UV transillumination (λ = 302 nm) for amplified PCR products of the expected size (Appendix 6) and document.

6.3.4. For all new findings/cases verify authenticity of the PCR amplicon by performing restriction enzyme analysis on a sample of the remaining amplified DNA by incubating at the optimum temperature and time with an appropriate enzyme and buffer (see Appendix 6). Resolve the digested fragments by agarose gel electrophoresis as before and observe characteristic restriction fragment pattern under UV transillumination after ethidium bromide staining and compare with the undigested and digested positive control.

Interpretation of the PCR test result:

The PCR test is negative if the *R. solanacearum*-specific PCR amplicon of expected size is not detected for the sample in question but is detected for all positive control samples (in case of multiplex PCR with plant specific internal control primers: a second PCR-product of expected size must be amplified with the sample in question).

The PCR test is positive if the *R. solanacearum*-specific PCR amplicon of expected size and restriction pattern (when required) is detected, providing that it is not amplified from any of the negative control samples. Reliable confirmation of a positive result can also be obtained by repeating the test with a second set of PCR primers (Appendix 6).

Note: Inhibition of the PCR may be suspected if the expected amplicon is obtained from the positive control sample containing *R. solanacearum* in water but negative results are obtained from positive controls with *R. solanacearum* in potato extract. In multiplex PCR protocols with internal PCR controls, inhibition of the reaction is indicated when neither of the two amplicons are obtained.

Contamination may be suspected if the expected amplicon is obtained from one or more of the negative controls.

7. **FISH test**

Principle

When the FISH test is used as the first screening test and found to be positive, Isolation or the IF test must be performed as a second compulsory screening test. When the FISH test is used as the second screening test and found to be positive, further testing according to the flow scheme is required to complete the diagnosis.

Note: Use validated *R. solanacearum*-specific oligo-probes (see Appendix 7). Preliminary testing with this method should permit reproducible detection of at least 10^3 to 10^4 cells of *R. solanacearum* per ml added to sample extracts which previously tested negative.

The following procedure should preferably be performed on freshly prepared sample extract but can also be successfully performed on sample extract that has been stored under glycerol at – 16 to – 24 or – 68 to – 86 °C.

As negative controls, use aliquots of sample extract that previously tested negative for *R. solanacearum*.

As positive controls prepare suspensions containing 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (e.g. strain NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857, see Appendix 3) in 0,01M phosphate buffer (PB) from a 3 to 5 day culture). Prepare separate positive control slides of the homologous strain or any other reference strain of *R. solanacearum*, suspended in potato extract, as specified in Appendix 3 B.

The use of the FITC-labelled eubacterial oligo-probe offers a control for the hybridisation process, since it will stain all eubacteria that are present in the sample.

Standardized positive and negative control material available for use with this test are listed in Appendix 3A).

Test control material in an identical manner as the sample(s).

7.1. Potato extract fixation

The following protocol is based upon Wullings *et al.* (1998):

7.1.1. Prepare fixative solution (see Appendix 7).

7.1.2. Pipette 100 µl of each sample extract into an Eppendorf tube and centrifuge for 7 minutes at 7 000 g.

7.1.3. Remove the supernatant and dissolve the pellet in 200 µl of fixative prepared < 24 hours previously. Vortex and incubate for one hour in the refrigerator.

7.1.4. Centrifuge for 7 minutes at 7 000 g, remove the supernatant and resuspend the pellet in 75 µl 0,01M PB (see Appendix 7).

7.1.5. Spot 16 µl of the fixed suspensions onto a clean multitest slide as shown in Fig. 7.1. Applying two different samples per slide, undiluted and use 10 µl to make a 1:100 dilution (in 0,01 M PB). The remaining sample solution (49 µl) can be stored at – 20 °C after addition of one volume of 96 % ethanol. In case the FISH assay requires repeating, remove the ethanol by centrifugation and add an equal volume of 0,01 PB (mix by vortexing).

Fig. 7.1 Layout for FISH slide



7.1.6. Air-dry the slides (or on slide dryer at 37 °C) and fix them by flaming.

At this stage the procedure may be interrupted and the hybridisation continued the following day. Slides should be stored dust-free and dry at room temperature.

7.2. Hybridisation

7.2.1. Dehydrate the cells in a graded ethanol series of 50 %, 80 % and 96 % for one minute each. Air dry the slides in a slide-holder.

7.2.2. Prepare a moist incubation chamber by covering the bottom of an air-tight box with tissue or filter paper soaked in 1x hybmix (Appendix 7). Pre-incubate the box in the hybridisation oven at 45 °C for at least 10 minutes.

7.2.3. Apply 10 µl of hybridisation solution (Appendix 7) to eight windows (windows 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10; see Fig 7.1) of each slide leaving the two centre windows (3 and 8) empty.

7.2.4. Apply coverslips (24 × 24 mm) to the first and last four windows without trapping air. Place the slides in the pre-warmed moist chamber and hybridise for five hours in the oven at 45 °C in the dark.

7.2.5. Prepare three beakers containing 1 l of Milli Q (molecular grade) water, 1 l of 1x hybmix (334 ml 3x hybmix and 666 ml Milli Q water) and 1 l of 1/8x hybmix (42 ml 3x hybmix and 958 ml Milli Q water). Pre-incubate each in a waterbath at 45 °C.

7.2.6. Remove the coverslips from the slides and place the slides in a slide holder.

7.2.7. Wash away excess probe by incubation for 15 minutes in the beaker with 1x hybmix at 45 °C.

7.2.8. Transfer the slide holder to 1/8 hybmix washing solution and incubate for a further 15 minutes.

7.2.9. Dip the slides briefly in Milli Q water and place them on filter paper. Remove excess moisture by covering the surface gently with filter paper. Pipette 5 to 10 µl of anti-fading mountant solution (e.g. Vectashield, Vecta Laboratories, CA, USA or equivalent) on each window and apply a large coverslip (24 × 60 mm) over the whole slide.

7.3. Reading the FISH test

7.3.1. Observe the slides immediately with a microscope fitted for epifluorescence microscopy at 630 or 1 000 × magnification under immersion oil. With a filter suitable for fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) eubacterial cells (including most gram negative cells) in the sample are stained fluorescent green. Using a filter for tetramethylrhodamine-5-isothiocyanate, Cy3-stained cells of *R. solanacearum* appear fluorescent red. Compare cell morphology with that of the positive controls. Cells must be bright fluorescent and completely stained The FISH test (Section VI.A.7.) must be repeated if the staining is aberrant. Scan windows across two diameters at right angles and around the perimeter. For samples showing no or low number of cells observe at least 40 microscope fields.

7.3.2. Observe for bright fluorescing cells with characteristic morphology of *R. solanacearum* in the test windows of the test slides (see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main). The fluorescence intensity must be equivalent or better than that of the positive control strain. Cells with incomplete staining or with weak fluorescence must be disregarded.

7.3.3. If any contamination is suspected the test must be repeated. This may be the case when all slides in a batch show positive cells due to the contamination of buffer or if positive cells are found (outside of the slide windows) on the the slide coating.

7.3.4. There are several problems inherent to the specificity of the FISH test. Background populations of fluorescing cells with atypical morphology and cross reacting saprophytic bacteria with size and morphology similar to *R. solanacearum* may occur, although much less frequent as in the IF test, in potato heel end core and stem segment pellets.

7.3.5. Consider only fluorescing cells with typical size and morphology.

7.3.6. Interpretation of the FISH test result:

(i) Valid FISH test results are obtained if bright green fluorescent cells of size and morphology typical of *R. solanacearum* are observed using the FITC filter and bright red fluorescent cells using the rhodamine filter in all positive controls and not in any of the negative controls. If bright fluorescing cells with characteristic morphology are found, estimate the average number of typical cells per microscope field and calculate the number of typical cells per ml of resuspended pellet (Appendix 4). Samples with at least 5×10^3 typical cells per ml of resuspended pellet are considered potentially contaminated. Further testing is required. Samples with less than 5×10^3 typical cells per ml of resuspended pellet are considered negative.

(ii) The FISH test is negative if bright red fluorescent cells with size and morphology typical of *R. solanacearum* are not observed using the rhodamine filter, provided that typical bright red fluorescent cells are observed in the positive control preparations when using the rhodamine filter.

8. **ELISA tests**

Principle

ELISA can only be used as an optional test in addition to IF, PCR or FISH due to a relatively low sensitivity of this test. When DAS ELISA is used enrichment and the use of monoclonal antibodies are compulsory (see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main). Enrichment of the samples before using ELISA may be useful in order to increase the sensitivity of the test, but it can fail due to competition by other organisms in the sample.

Note: Use an validated source of antibodies to *R. solanacearum* (see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main) It is recommended that the titre is determined for each new batch of antibodies. The titre is defined as the highest dilution at which optimum reaction occurs when testing a suspension containing 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml of the homologous strain of *R. solanacearum* and using appropriate secondary antibody conjugates according to the manufacturer's recommendations. During testing, the antibodies should be used at a working dilution close to or at the titre of the commercial formulation.

Determine the titre of the antibodies on a suspension of 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml of the homologous strain of *R. solanacearum*.

Include a sample extract that previously tested negative for *R. solanacearum* and a suspension of a non-cross reacting bacterium in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) as negative controls.

As positive control use aliquots of sample extract, that previously tested negative, mixed with 10^3 to 10^4 cells per ml of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (e.g. strain NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857, see Appendix 2 A and B). For comparison of results on each plate use a standard suspension of 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml in PBS of *R. solanacearum*. Ensure positive controls are well separated on the microtitre plate from the sample(s) under test.

Standardised positive and negative control materials available for use with this test are listed in Appendix 3 A.

Test control material in an identical manner as the sample(s).

Two ELISA protocols have been validated.

(a) Indirect ELISA (Robinson Smith *et al.*, 1995)

1) Use 100 to 200 µl aliquots of sample extract. (Heating at 100 °C for four minutes in a waterbath or heating block may reduce non-specific results in some cases).

2) Add an equal volume of double strength coating buffer (Appendix 4) and vortex.

3) Apply 100 µl aliquots to each of at least two wells of a microtitre plate (e.g. Nunc-Polysorp or equivalent) and incubate for one hour at 37 °C or overnight at 4 °C.

4) Flick out the extracts from the wells. Wash the wells three times with PBS-Tween (Appendix 4), leaving the last washing solution in the wells for at least five minutes.

5) Prepare the appropriate dilution of antibodies against-*R. solanacearum* in blocking buffer (Appendix 4). For validated commercial antibodies, use the recommended dilutions (usually twice as concentrated as the titre).

6) Add 100 µl to each well and incubate for one hour at 37 °C.

7) Flick out the antibody solution from the wells and wash as before (4).

8) Prepare the appropriate dilution of secondary antibody-alkaline phosphatase conjugate in blocking buffer. Add 100 µl to each well and incubate for one hour at 37 °C.

9) Flick out conjugated antibody from wells and wash as before (4).

10) Add 100 µl alkaline phosphatase substrate solution (Appendix 4) to each well. Incubate in the dark at ambient temperature and read absorbance at 405 nm at regular intervals within 90 minutes.

(b) DASI ELISA

1) Prepare the appropriate dilution of anti-*R. solanacearum* polyclonal immunoglobulins in coating buffer pH 9.6 (Appendix 4). Add 200 µl to each well. Incubate at 37 °C for four to five hours or at 4 °C for 16 hours.

2) Wash the wells three times with PBS-Tween (Appendix 4).

Add 190 µl of sample extract to at least two wells. Also add positive and negative controls in two wells each per plate. Incubate for 16 hr at 4 °C.

3) Wash the wells three times with PBS-Tween (Appendix 4).

4) Prepare an appropriate dilution of *R. solanacearum*-specific monoclonal antibodies in PBS (Appendix 4) also containing 0,5 % bovine serum albumin (BSA) and add 190 µl to each well. Incubate at 37 °C for two hours.

5) Wash the wells three times with PBS-Tween (Appendix 4).

6) Prepare an appropriate dilution of anti-mouse immunoglobulins conjugated with alkaline phosphatase in PBS. Add 190 µl to each well. Incubate at 37 °C for two hours.

7) Wash the wells three times with PBS-Tween (Appendix 4).

8) Prepare an alkaline phosphatase substrate solution containing 1 mg p-nitrophenyl phosphate per ml of substrate buffer (Appendix 4). Add 200 µl to each well. Incubate in the dark at ambient temperature and read absorbance at 40 nm at regular intervals within 90 minutes.

Interpretation of ELISA test results:

The ELISA test is negative if the average optical density (OD) reading from duplicate sample wells is < 2x OD of that in the negative sample extract control well, providing the OD for the positive controls are all above 1,0 (after 90 minutes incubation with the substrate) and are greater than twice the OD obtained for negative sample extracts.

The ELISA test is positive if the average OD readings from duplicate sample wells is > 2x OD in the negative sample extract well provided that OD readings in all negative control wells are < 2x those in the positive control wells.

Negative ELISA readings in positive control wells indicate that the test has not been performed correctly or that it has been inhibited. Positive ELISA readings in negative control wells indicate that cross-contamination or non-specific antibody binding has occurred.

9. **Bioassay test**

Note: Preliminary testing with this method should permit reproducible detection of 10^3 to 10^4 colony-forming units of *R. solanacearum* per ml added to sample extracts that previously tested negative (preparation see Appendix 3).

Highest sensitivity of detection can be expected when using freshly prepared sample extract and optimal growth conditions. However, the method can be successfully applied to extracts that have been stored under glycerol at – 68 to – 86 °C.

The following protocol is based upon Janse (1988):

9.1. Use 10 test plants of a susceptible tomato cultivar (e.g. Moneymaker or cultivar with equivalent susceptibility as determined by the testing laboratory) at the third true leaf stage for each sample. For cultural details, see Appendix 8. Alternatively, use eggplants (e.g. cultivar Black Beauty or cultivars with equivalent susceptibility), use only plants at leaf stage two to three up to full expansion of the third true leaf. Symptoms have been show to be less severe and to develop more slowly in eggplant. Where possible, it is therefore recommended to use tomato seedlings.

9.2. Distribute 100 µl of sample extract between the test plants.

9.2.1. Syringe inoculation

Inoculate the plant stems just above the cotyledons using a syringe fitted with a hypodermic needle (not less than 23G). Distribute the sample between the test plants.

9.2.2. Slit inoculation

Holding the plant between two fingers, pipette a drop (approximately 5 - 10 µl) of the suspended pellet on the stem between the cotyledons and the first leaf.

Using a sterile scalpel, make a diagonal slit, about 1.0 cm long and approximately 2/3 of the stem thickness deep, starting the cut from the pellet drop.

Seal the cut with sterile vaseline from a syringe.

9.3. Inoculate by the same technique, five seedlings with an aqueous suspension of 10^5 to 10^6 cells per ml prepared from a 48 hr culture of a virulent biovar 2 strain of *R. solanacearum* as a positive control and with pellet buffer (Appendix 4) as negative control. Separate positive and negative control plants from the other plants to avoid cross-contamination.

9.4. Grow the test plants in quarantine facilities for up to four weeks at 25 to 30 °C and high relative humidity with appropriate watering to prevent waterlogging or wilting through water deficiency. To avoid contamination incubate positive control and negative control plants on clearly separated benches in a glasshouse or growth chamber or, in case space is limited, ensure strict separation between treatments. If plants for different samples must be incubated close together, separate them with appropriate screens. When fertilising, watering, inspecting and any other manipulations take great care to avoid cross-contamination. It is essential to keep glasshouses and growth chambers free of all insect pests since they may transmit the bacterium from sample to sample.

Observe for symptoms of wilting, epinasty, chlorosis and/or stunting.

9.5. Isolate from infected plants (Section II.3.) and identify purified cultures of presumptive *R. solanacearum* (Section VI.B.).

9.6. If no symptoms are observed after three weeks perform IF/PCR/Isolation on a composite sample of 1 cm stem sections of each test plant taken above the inoculation site. If the test is positive perform dilution plating (section 4.1).

9.7. Identify any purified cultures of presumptive *R. solanacearum* (Section VI.B.).

Interpretation of the bioassay test results

Valid Bioassay test results are obtained when plants of the positive control show typical symptoms, the bacteria can be reisolated from these plants and no symptoms are found on the negative controls.

The bioassay test is negative if test plants are not infected by *R. solanacearum*, and provided that *R. solanacearum* is detected in positive controls.

The bioassay test is positive if the test plants are infected by *R. solanacearum*.

B. *IDENTIFICATION TESTS*

Identify pure cultures of presumptive *R. solanacearum* isolates using at least two of the following tests based on different biological principles.

Include known reference strains where appropriate for each test performed (see Appendix 3).

1. **Nutritional and enzymatic identification tests**

Determine the following phenotypic properties, which are universally present or absent in *R. solanacearum*, according to the methods of Lelliott and Stead (1987), Klement *et al.* (1990), Schaad (2001).

Test	Expected result
Fluorescent pigment production	–
Poly-ß-hydroxybutyrate inclusions	+
Oxidation/fermentation (O/F) test	O+/F–
Catalase activity	+
Kovac's oxidase test	+
Reduction of nitrate	+
Utilisation of citrate	+
Growth at 40 °C	–
Growth in 1 % NaCl	+
Growth in 2 % NaCl	–
Arginine dihydrolase activity	–
Gelatine liquefaction	–
Starch hydrolysis	–
Aesculin hydrolysis	–
Levan production	–

2. **IF test**

2.1. Prepare a suspension of approximately 10^6 cells per ml in IF buffer (Appendix 4).

2.2. Prepare a twofold dilution series of an appropriate antiserum (see website http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main).

2.3. Apply the IF procedure (Section VI.A.5.).

2.4. A positive IF test is achieved if the IF titre of the culture is equivalent to that of the positive control.

3. **ELISA test**

Note: If performing only 2 identification tests, do not use another serological test in addition to this method.

3.1. Prepare a suspension of approximately 10^8 cells per ml in 1X PBS (Appendix 4).

3.2. Perform an appropriate ELISA procedure with a specific monoclonal antibody to *R. solanacearum*.

3.3. A positive ELISA test is achieved if the ELISA reading obtained from the culture is at least half that obtained for the positive control.

4. **PCR tests**

4.1. Prepare a suspension of approximately 10^6 cells per ml in molecular grade sterile water.

4.2. Heat 100 µl of the cell suspension in closed tubes in a heating block or boiling waterbath at 100 °C for four minutes. The samples may then be stored at – 16 to – 24 °C until required.

4.3. Apply appropriate PCR procedures to amplify *R. solanacearum*-specific amplicons (e.g. Seal *et al.* (1993); Pastrik and Maiss (2000); Pastrik *et al.* (2002); Boudazin *et al.* (1999); Opina *et al.* (1997), Weller *et al.* (1999).

4.4. A positive identification of *R. solanacearum* is achieved if the PCR amplicons are the same size and have the same restriction fragment length polymorphisms as for the positive control strain.

5. **FISH test**

5.1. Prepare a suspension of approximately 10^6 cells per ml in UPW.

5.2. Apply the FISH procedure (Section VI.A.7.) with at least 2 *R. solanacearum*-specific oligo-probes (Appendix 7).

5.3. A positive FISH test is achieved if the same reactions are achieved from the culture and the positive control.

6. **Fatty acid profiling (FAP)**

6.1. Grow the culture on trypticase soy agar (Oxoid) for 48 hours at 28 °C.

6.2. Apply an appropriate FAP procedure (Janse, 1991; Stead, 1992).

6.3. A positive FAP test is achieved if the profile of the presumptive culture is identical to that of the positive control. The presence of characteristic fatty acids are 14:0 3OH, 16:0 2OH, 16:1 2OH and 18:1 2OH and absence of 16:0 3OH is highly indicative of a *Ralstonia* sp.

7. **Strain characterisation methods**

Strain characterisation using one of the following methods is recommended for each new case of isolation of *R. solanacearum*.

Include known reference strains where appropriate for each test performed (see Appendix 3).

7.1. Biovar determination

R. solanacearum is separated into biovars on the basis of the ability to utilise and/or oxidise three disaccharides and three hexose alcohols (Hayward, 1964 and Hayward *et al.*, 1990). Growth media for the biovar test is described in Appendix 2. The test can be successfully performed by stab inoculating the media with pure cultures of *R. solanacearum* isolates and incubating at 28 °C. If the media are dispensed into sterile 96 well cell culture plates (200 µl per well) colour change from olive green to yellow can be observed within 72 hours, indicating a positive test result.

	Biovar				
	1	2	3	4	5
Utilisation of:					
Maltose	–	+	+	–	+
Lactose	–	+	+	–	+
D (+) Cellobiose	–	+	+	–	+
Mannitol	–	–	+	+	+
Sorbitol	–	–	+	+	–
Dulcitol	–	–	+	+	–

Additional tests differentiate biovar 2 sub-phenotypes

	Biovar 2A (Worldwide distribution)	Biovar 2A (Found in Chile and Colombia)	Biovar 2T (Found in tropical areas)
Utilisation of trehalose	–	+	+
Utilisation of *meso*-inositol	+	–	+
Utilisation of D ribose	–	–	+
Pectolytic activity ([1])	low	low	high

([1]) See Lelliott and Stead (1987)

7.2. Genomic fingerprinting

Molecular differentiation of strains in the *R. solanacearum* complex can be achieved using several techniques, including:

7.2.1. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis (Cook *et al.*, 1989).

7.2.2. Repetitive sequence PCR using REP, BOX and ERIC primers (Louws *et al.*, 1995; Smith *et al.*, 1995).

7.2.3. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis (Van der Wolf *et al.*, 1998).

7.3. PCR methods

Specific PCR primers (Pastrik *et al*, 2002; see Appendix 6) can be used to differentiate strains belonging to division 1 (biovars 3, 4 and 5) and division 2 (biovars 1, 2A and 2T) of *R. solanacearum*, as originally defined by RFLP analysis (Cook *et al.*, 1989) and 16S rDNA sequencing (Taghavi *et al.*, 1996).

C. *CONFIRMATION TEST*

The pathogenicity test must be performed as final confirmation of a diagnosis of *R. solanacearum* and for assessment of virulence of cultures identified as *R. solanacearum*.

1) Prepare an inoculum of approximately 10^6 cells per ml from a 24 to 48 hour culture of the isolate to be tested and an appropriate positive control strain of *R. solanacearum* (e.g. NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857; see Appendix 3).

2) Inoculate 5 to 10 susceptible tomato or eggplant seedlings at the third true leaf stage (see Section VI.A.9).

3) Incubate for up to two weeks at 25 to 28 °C and high relative humidity with appropriate watering to avoid waterlogging or drought stress. With pure cultures typical wilting should be obtained within 14 days. If after this period symptoms are not present, the culture cannot be confirmed as being a pathogenic form of *R. solanacearum*.

4) Observe for symptoms of wilting and/or epinasty, chlorosis and stunting.

5) Isolate from symptomatic plants by removing a section of stem about 2 cm above the inoculation point. Comminute and suspend in a small volume of sterile distilled water or 50 mM phosphate buffer (Appendix 4). Isolate from the suspension by dilution spreading or streaking on a suitable medium, preferably onto a selective medium (Appendix 2), incubate for 48 to 72 hours at 28 °C and observe the formation of colonies typical of *R. solanacearum*.

Appendix 1

Laboratories involved in optimisation and validation of protocols

Laboratory (1)	Location	Country
Agentur für Gesundheit und Ernährungssicherheit	Vienna and Linz	Austria
Departement Gewasbescherming	Merelbeke	Belgium
Plantedirektoratet	Lyngby	Denmark
Central Science Laboratory	York	England
Scottish Agricultural Science Agency	Edinburgh	Scotland
Laboratoire National de la Protection des Végétaux, Unité de Bactériologie	Angers	France
Laboratoire National de la Protection des Végétaux, Station de Quarantaine de la Pomme de Terre	Le Rheu	France
Biologische Bundesanstalt	Kleinmachnow	Germany
Pflanzenschutzamt Hannover	Hannover	Germany
State Laboratory	Dublin	Ireland
Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agroambientali	Bologna	Italy
Regione Veneto Unità Periferica per i Servizi Fitosanitari	Verona	Italy
Nederlandse Algemene Keuringsdienst	Emmeloord	Netherlands
Plantenziektenkundige Dienst	Wageningen	Netherlands
Direcção-Geral de Protecção das Culturas	Lisbon	Portugal
Centro Diagnostico de Aldearrubia	Salamanca	Spain
Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias	Valencia	Spain
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences	Uppsala	Sweden

(1) Contact scientists: see web site http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main.

Appendix 2

Media for isolation and culture of *R. solanacearum*

(a) **General growth media**

Nutrient Agar (NA)

Nutrient Agar (Difco)	23,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 L

Dissolve ingredients and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 min.

Yeast Peptone Glucose Agar (YPGA)

Yeast extract (Difco)	5,0 g
Bacto-Peptone (Difco)	5,0 g
D(+) Glucose (monohydrate)	10,0 g
Bacto-Agar (Difco)	15,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 L

Dissolve ingredients and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Sucrose Peptone Agar (SPA)

Sucrose	20,0 g
Bacto-Peptone (Difco)	5,0 g
K_2HPO_4	0,5 g
$MgSO_4.7H_2O$	0,25 g
Bacto-Agar (Difco)	15,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 l
pH 7,2 – 7,4	

Dissolve ingredients and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Kelman's Tetrazolium Medium

Casamino acids (Difco)	1,0 g
Bacto-Peptone (Difco)	10,0 g
Dextrose	5,0 g
Bacto-Agar (Difco)	15,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 l

Dissolve ingredients and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Cool to 50 °C and add a filter-sterilised solution of 2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (Sigma) to obtain a final concentration of 50 mg per l.

(b) **Validated selective growth media**

SMSA medium (Englebrecht, 1994 as modified by Elphinstone *et al.*, 1996)

Basal medium	
Casamino acids (Difco)	1,0 g
Bacto-Peptone (Difco)	10,0 g
Glycerol	5,0 ml
Bacto-Agar (Difco); see *Note 2*.	15,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 L

Dissolve ingredients and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Cool to 50 °C and add filter-sterilised aqueous stock solutions of the following ingredients to obtain the specified final concentrations:

Crystal Violet (Sigma)	5 mg per l
Polymixin-B-Sulphate (Sigma P-1004)	600 000 U (approximately 100 mg) per l
Bacitracin (Sigma B-0125)	1 250 U (approximately 25 mg) per l
Chloramphenicol (Sigma C-3175)	5 mg per l
Penicillin-G (Sigma P-3032)	825 U (approximately 0,5 mg) per l
2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (Sigma)	50 mg per l

Note:

1. Use of reagents other than those specified above may affect growth of *R. solanacearum*.
2. Oxoid Agar #1 can be used in place of Bacto-Agar (Difco). In this case growth of *R. solanacearum* will be slower, although growth of competing saprophytes may also be reduced. Typical colonies of *R. solanacearum* may take 1 to 2 days longer to form and the red colouration may be lighter and more diffuse than on Bacto-Agar.
3. Increasing bacitracin concentration to 2 500 U per l may reduce populations of competing bacteria without affecting growth of *Ralstonia solanacearum*.

Store media and stock solutions of antibiotics at 4 °C in the dark and use within one month.

Plates should be free from surface condensation before use.

Avoid excess drying of plates.

Quality control should be performed after preparation of each new batch of medium by plating a suspension of a reference culture of *R. solanacearum* (see Appendix 3) and observing formation of typical colonies after incubation at 28 °C for two to five days.

(c) **Validated enrichment media**

SMSA Broth (Elphinstone *et al.*, 1996)

Prepare as for SMSA selective agar medium but omit Bacto-Agar and 2,3,5-tetrazolium chloride.

Modified Wilbrink broth (Caruso *et al.*, 2002)

Sucrose	10 g
Proteose peptone	5 g
K_2HPO_4	0,5 g
$MgSO_4$	0,25 g
$NaNO_3$	0,25 g
Distilled water	1 l

Sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes and cool to 50 °C

Add antibiotic stock solutions as for SMSA broth.

Appendix 3

A. **Commercially available standardised control material**

(a) *Bacterial isolates*

The following bacterial isolates are recommended for use as standard reference material either as positive controls (Table 1) or during optimisation of tests to avoid cross-reactions (Table 2). All strains are commercially available from:

1. National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria (NCPPB), Central Science Laboratory, York, UK

2. Culture Collection of the Plant Protection Service (PD), Wageningen, the Netherlands.

3. Collection Française de Bactéries Phytopathogènes (CFBP), INRA Station Phytobactériologie, Angers, France.

Table 1 SMT reference panel of isolates of *R. solanacearum*

NCPPB code	SMT #	Other codes	Country of origin	Biovar
NCPPB 4153	6	CFBP 4582, Pr 3020, EURS11	Egypt	2
NCPPB 4154	10	CFBP 4585, 550, EURS21	Turkey	2
NCPPB 3857	12	CFBP 4587, Pr 1140, EURS26	England	2
NCPPB 1584	23	CFBP 4598, EURS49	Cyprus	2
NCPPB 2505	24	CFBP 4599, EURS50	Sweden	2
NCPPB 4155	26	CFBP 4601, 502, EURS55	Belgium	2
NCPPB 4156 (*)	71 (*)	PD 2762, CFBP 3857	Netherlands	2
NCPPB 4157	66	LNPV 15.59	France	2
NCPPB 4158	39	CFBP 4608, Port 448, EURS80	Portugal	2
NCPPB 4160	69	IVIA-1632-2	Spain	2
NCPPB 4161	76	B3B	Germany	2
NCPPB 325	41	CFBP 2047, KEL60-1, R842	USA	1
NCPPB 3967	42	CFBP 4610, R285, GONg7	Costa Rica	1
NCPPB 4028	43	CFBP 4611, R303/571, CIP310, SEQ205	Colombia	2
NCPPB 3985	44	CFBP 4612, R578, CIP312	Peru	2T
NCPPB 3989	45	CFBP 4613, R568, CIP226	Brazil	2T
NCPPB 3996	46	CFBP 3928, R276/355, CIP72, SEQ225	Peru	3
NCPPB 3997	47	CFBP 4614, R280/363, CIP49, HAY0131a	Australia	3
NCPPB 4029	48	CFBP 4615, R297/349, CIP121, CMIb2861	Sri Lanka	4
NCPPB 4005	49	CFBP 4616, R470	Philippines	4
NCPPB 4011	50	CFBP 4617, R288, HEmps2	China	5

(*) Use as standard reference strain of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (race 3).

Note: Authenticity of the above strains can be guaranteed only if obtained from an authentic culture collection.

Table 2: SMT reference panel of serologically- or genetically-related bacteria for use in optimisation of detection tests

NCPPB code	SMT #	Other code	Identification
NCPPB 4162	51	CFBP 1954	*Bacillus polymyxa* (1)
NCPPB 4163	52	CFBP 1538	*Pseudomonas marginalis pv. marginalis* (1)
NCPPB 4164	—	CFBP 2227	*Burkholderia cepacia* (2)
NCPPB 4165	—	CFBP 2459	*Ralstonia pickettii* (2)
NCPPB 4166	58	CFBP 3567 CSL Pr1150	*Ralstonia pickettii* (1)
NCPPB 4167	60	CFBP 4618 PD 2778	*Ralstonia* sp. (1)
NCPPB 1127	53	CFBP 3575	*Burkholderia andropogonis* (1)
NCPPB 353	54	CFBP 3572	*Burkholderia caryophylli* (1)
NCPPB 945	55	CFBP 3569	*Burkholderia cepacia* (1)
NCPPB 3708	56	CFBP 3574	*Burkholderia glumae* (1)
NCPPB 3590	57	CFBP 3573	*Burkholderia plantarii* (1)
NCPPB 3726	59	CFBP 3568	*Banana Blood Disease Bacterium* (1) (2) (3)
NCPPB 4168	61	CFBP 4619 IPO S339	*Enterobacter* sp. (1)
NCPPB 4169	62	IPO 1695	*Enterobacter* sp. (1)
NCPPB 4170	63	CFBP 4621 IPO S306	*Ochrobactrum anthropi* (1) (2)
NCPPB 4171	64	CFBP 4622 IPO 1693	*Curtobacterium* sp. (1) (2)
NCPPB 4172	65	IPO 1696a	*Pseudomonas* sp. (1)
NCPPB 4173	—	PD 2318	*Aureobacterium* sp. (2)
NCPPB 4174	81	IVIA 1844.06	*Flavobacterium* sp. (1) (2)

(1) Potential cross-reacting strain in serological tests (IF and/or ELISA) with polyclonal antisera.
(2) Strain from which PCR product can be amplified in some laboratories of a similar size to that expected using specific primers OLI-1 and Y-2 (see Appendix 6).
(3) Likely to cross-react in most tests but known to occur only on banana in Indonesia.

(b) *Commercially available standardised control material*

The following standard control material is available from the NCPPB culture collection.

Freeze dried pellet of potato extract from 200 healthy potato tubers as negative control for all tests.

Freeze dried pellet of potato extract from 200 healthy potato tubers containing 10^3 to 10^4 and 10^4 to 10^6 cells *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (strain NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857) as positive controls for serological and PCR tests. Since cell viability is affected during freeze-drying, these are not suitable as standard controls for isolation or bioassay tests.

Formalin-fixed suspensions of *R. solanacearum* biovar 2 (strain NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857) at 10^6 cells per ml as positive controls for serological tests.

B. **Preparation of positive and negative controls for the core screening tests PCR/IF and FISH**

Produce a 48 hour culture of a virulent strain of *R. solanacearum* race3/biovar2 (e.g. strain NCPPB 4156 = PD 2762 = CFBP 3857) on basal SMSA medium and suspend in 10 mM phosphate buffer to obtain a cell density of approximately 2×10^8 cfu per ml. This is usually obtained by a faintly turbid suspension equivalent to an optical density of 0,15 at 600 nm.

Remove the heel end cores of 200 tubers taken from a white skin variety production known to be free from *R. solanacearum*.

Process the heel ends as usual and resuspend the pellet in 10 ml.

Prepare 10 sterile 1,5 ml microvials with 900 µl of the resuspended pellet.

Transfer 100 µl of the suspension of *R. solanacearum* to the first microvial. Vortex.

Establish decimal levels of contamination by further diluting in the next five microvials.

The six contaminated microvials will be used as positive controls. The four non-contaminated microvials will be used as negative controls. Label the microvials accordingly.

Prepare aliquots of 100 µl in sterile 1,5 ml microvials thus obtaining nine replicas of each control sample. Store at – 16 to – 24 °C until use.

The presence and quantification of *R. solanacearum* in the control samples should be first confirmed by IF.

For the PCR test perform DNA extraction from positive and negative control samples with each series of test samples.

For IF and FISH tests perform assays on positive and negative control samples with each series of test samples.

For IF, FISH and PCR assays *R. solanacearum* must be detected in at least the 10^6 and 10^4 cells/ml of the positive controls and not in any of the negative controls.

Appendix 4

Buffers for test procedures

General: Unopened sterilised buffers can be stored for up to one year

1. **Buffers for extraction procedure**

1.1. Extraction buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7,0)

This buffer is used for extraction of the bacterium from plant tissues by homogenisation or shaking.

Na_2HPO_4 (anhydrous)	4,26 g
KH_2PO_4	2,72 g
Distilled water	1.00 l

Dissolve ingredients, check pH and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 min.

Additional components may be useful as follows:

	Purpose	*Quantity (per l)*
Lubrol flakes	Deflocculant (*)	0,5 g
DC silicone antifoam	Anti-foam agent (*)	1,0 ml
Tetrasodium pyrophosphate	Anti-oxidant	1,0 g
Polyvinylpyrrolidone-40000 (PVP-40)	Binding of PCR inhibitors	50 g

(*) For use with homogenisation extraction method

1.2. Pellet buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7,2)

This buffer is used for resuspension and dilution of potato tuber heel-end core extracts following concentration to a pellet by centrifugation.

$Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$	2,7 g
$NaH_2PO_4.2H_2O$	0,4 g
Distilled water	1,0 l

Dissolve ingredients, check pH and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

2. **Buffers for the IF test**

2.1. IF-Buffer (10 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2)

This buffer is used for dilution of antibodies

$Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$	2,7 g
$NaH_2PO_4.2H_2O$	0,4 g
NaCL	8,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 l

Dissolve ingredients, check pH and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

2.2. IF-buffer-Tween

This buffer is used to wash slides.

Add 0,1 % Tween 20 to the IF buffer.

2.3. Phosphate buffered glycerol, pH 7,6

This buffer is used as a mountant fluid on the windows of IF slides to enhance fluorescence.

$Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$	3,2 g
$NaH_2PO_4.2H_2O$	0,15 g
Glycerol	50 ml
Distilled water	100 ml

Anti-fading mountant solutions are commercially available e.g. Vectashield® (Vector Laboratories) or Citifluor® (Leica).

3. **Buffers for the Indirect ELISA test**

3.1. Double strength coating buffer, pH 9,6.

Na_2CO_3	6,36 g
$NaHCO_3$	11,72 g
Distilled water	1,00 l

Dissolve ingredients, check pH and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Sodium sulphite (0.2 %) may be added as antioxidant if required to prevent build up of oxidised aromatic compounds.

3.2. 10X Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7,4

NaCL	80,0 g
KH_2PO_4	2,0 g
$Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$	29,0 g
KCl	2,0 g
Distilled water	1,0 L

3.3. PBS-Tween

10X PBS	100 ml
10 % Tween 20	5 ml
Distilled water	895 ml

3.4. Blocking (antibody) buffer (must be freshly prepared).

10X PBS	10,0 ml
Polyvinylpyrrolidone-44000 (PVP-44)	2,0 g
10 % Tween 20	0,5 ml
Milk powder	0,5 g
Distilled water	make up to 100 ml

3.5. Alkaline phosphatase substrate solution, pH 9,8

Diethanolamine	97 ml
Distilled water	800 ml

Mix and adjust to pH 9,8 with concentrated HCl.

Make up to 1 L with distilled water.

Add 0,2 g $MgCl_2$.

Dissolve 2 phosphatase substrate 5 mg tablets (Sigma) per 15 ml of solution.

4. **Buffers for DASI ELISA test**

4.1. Coating buffer, pH 9,6

Na_2CO_3	1,59 g
$NaHCO_3$	2,93 g
Distilled water	1 000 ml

Dissolve ingredients and check pH 9,6

4.2. 10X Phosphate saline buffer(PBS) pH 7,2 to 7,4

NaCl	80,0 g
$NaH_2PO_4.2\ H_2O$	4,0 g
$Na_2HPO_4.12H_2O$	27,0 g
Distilled water	1 000 ml

4.3. PBS-Tween

10X PBS	50 ml
10 % Tween 20	5 ml
Distilled water	950 ml

4.4. Substrate buffer, pH 9,8

Diethanolamine	100 ml
Distilled water	900 ml

Mix and adjust to pH 9,8 with concentrated HCl.

Appendix 5

Determination of contamination level in IF and FISH tests

1. Count the mean number of typical fluorescent cells per field of view (c).

2. Calculate the number of typical fluorescent cells per microscope slide window (C).

   $C = c \times S/s$

   where S = surface area of window of multispot slide
   and s = surface area of objective field

   $s = \pi i^2/4G^2K^2$ where i = field coefficient (varies from 8 to 24 depending upon ocular type)
   K = tube coefficient (1 or 1,25)
   G = magnification of objective (100 ×, 40 × etc.).

3. Calculate the number of typical fluorescent cells per ml of re-suspended pellet (N).

   $N = C \times 1\,000/y \times F$

   where y = volume of re-suspended pellet on each window
   and F = dilution factor of re-suspended pellet.

Appendix 6

Validated PCR protocols and reagents

NB: Preliminary testing should permit reproducible detection of 10^3 to 10^4 cells of *R. solanacearum* per ml of sample extract.

Preliminary testing should also show no false positive results with a panel of selected bacterial strains (see Appendix 3).

1. **PCR protocol of Seal *et al.* (1993)**

1.1. Oligonucleotide primers

Forward primer OLI-1 5′-GGG GGT AGC TTG CTA CCT GCC-3′

Reverse primer Y-2 5′-CCC ACT GCT GCC TCC CGT AGG AGT-3′

Expected amplicon size from *R. solanacearum* template DNA = 288 bp

1.2. PCR reaction mix

Reagent	Quantity per reaction	Final concentration
Sterile UPW	17,65 µl	
10X PCR buffer (1) (15 mM $MgCl_2$)	2,5 µl	1X (1,5 mM $MgCl_2$)
dNTP mix (20 mM)	0,25 µl	0,2 mM
Primer OLI-1 (20 µM)	1,25 µl	1µM
Primer Y-2 (20 µM)	1,25 µl	1µM
Taq polymerase (5U/µl) (1)	0,1 µl	0,5 U
Sample volume	2,0 µl	
Total volume	25 µl	

(1) Method was validated using *Taq* polymerase from Perkin Elmer (AmpliTaq) and Gibco BRL.

1.3. PCR reaction conditions

Run the following programme:

1 cycle of:	(i)	2 minutes at 96 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
35 cycles of:	(ii)	20 seconds at 94 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
	(iii)	20 seconds at 68 °C (annealing of primers)
	(iv)	30 seconds at 72 °C (extension of copy)
1 cycle of:	(v)	10 minutes at 72 °C (final extension)
	(vi)	hold at 4 °C.

NB: This programme was optimised for use with a Perkin Elmer 9600 thermal cycler. Modification of the duration steps of cycles (ii), (iii) and (iv) may be required for use with other models.

1.4. Restriction enzyme analysis of amplicon.

PCR products amplified from *R. solanacearum* DNA produce a distinctive restriction fragment length polymorphism with enzyme *Ava* II after incubation at 37 °C.

2. **PCR protocol of Pastrik and Maiss (2000)**

2.1. Oligonucleotide primers

Forward primer Ps-1 5′- agt cga acg gca gcg ggg g -3′

Reverse primer Ps-2 5′- ggg gat ttc aca tcg gtc ttg ca -3′

Expected amplicon size from *R. solanacearum* template DNA = 553 bp.

2.2. PCR reaction mix

Reagent	Quantity per reaction	Final concentration
Sterile UPW	16,025 µl	
10X PCR buffer (1)	2,5 µl	1X (1,5 mM $MgCl_2$)
BSA (fraction V) (10 %)	0,25 µl	0,1 %
d-nTP mix (20 mM)	0,125 µl	0,1 mM
Primer Ps-1 (10 µM)	0,5 µl	0,2 µM
Primer Ps-2 (10 µM)	0,5 µl	0,2 µM
Taq polymerase (5U/µl) (1)	0,1 µl	0,5 U
Sample volume	5,0 µl	
Total volume:	25,0 µl	

(1) Methods were validated using *Taq* polymerase from Perkin Elmer (AmpliTaq) and Gibco BRL.
N.B. Originally optimised for MJ Research PTC 200 thermocycler with Gibco Taq Polymerase.
Perkin Elmer AmpliTaq and buffer can also be used at the same concentrations.

2.3. PCR reaction conditions

Run the following programme:

1 cycle of:	(i)	5 minutes at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
35 cycles of:	(ii)	30 seconds at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
	(iii)	30 seconds at 68 °C (annealing of primers)
	(iv)	45 seconds at 72 °C (extension of copy)
1 cycle of:	(v)	5 minutes at 72 °C (final extension)
	(vi)	hold at 4 °C.

NB: This programme is optimised for use with an MJ Research PTC 200 thermal cycler. Modification of the duration steps of cycles (ii), (iii) and (iv) may be required for use with other models.

2.4. Restriction enzyme analysis of amplicon.

PCR products amplified from *R. solanacearum* DNA produce a distinctive restriction fragment length polymorphism with enzyme *Taq* I after incubation at 65 °C for 30 minutes. The restriction fragments obtained from *R. solanacearum*-specific fragment are 457 bp and 96 bp in size.

3. **Multiplex PCR protocol with internal PCR control (Pastrik *et al.*, 2002)**

3.1. Oligonucleotide primers

Forward primer RS-1-F 5′- ACT AAC GAA GCA GAG ATG CAT TA -3′

Reverse primer RS-1-R 5′- CCC AGT CAC GGC AGA GAC T -3′

Forward primer NS-5-F 5′- AAC TTA AAG GAA TTG ACG GAA G -3′

Reverse primer NS-6-R 5′- GCA TCA CAG ACC TGT TAT TGC CTC -3′

Expected amplicon size from *R. solanacearum* template DNA = 718 bp (RS-primer set)

Expected amplicon size from the 18S rRNA internal PCR control = 310 bp (NS-primer set).

3.2. PCR reaction mix

Reagent	Quantity per reaction	Final concentration
Sterile UPW	12,625 µl	
10X PCR buffer (1) (15 mM $MgCl_2$)	2,5 µl	1X (1,5 mM $MgCl_2$)
BSA (fraction V) (10 %)	0,25 µl	0,1 %
d-nTP mix (20 mM)	0,125 µl	0,1 mM
Primer RS-1-F (10 µM)	2,0 µl	0,8 µM
Primer RS-1-R (10 µM)	2,0 µl	0,8 µM
Primer NS-5-F (10 µM) (2)	0,15 µl	0,06 µM
Primer NS-6-R (10 µM) (2)	0,15 µl	0,06 µM
Taq polymerase (5 U/µl) (1)	0,2 µl	1,0 U
Sample volume	5,0 µl	
Total volume:	25,0 µl	

(1) Methods were validated using *Taq* polymerase from Perkin Elmer (AmpliTaq) and Gibco BRL.
(2) Concentration of primers NS-5-F and NS-6-R were optimised for potato heel end core extraction using the homogenisation method and DNA purification according to Pastrik (2000) (see Section VI.A.6.1.a.). Re-optimisation of reagent concentrations will be required if extraction by shaking or other DNA isolation methods are used.

3.3. PCR reaction conditions

Run the following programme:

1 cycle of:	(i)	5 minutes at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
35 cycles of:	(ii)	30 seconds at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
	(iii)	30 seconds at 58 °C (annealing of primers)
	(iv)	45 seconds at 72 °C (extension of copy)
1 cycle of:	(v)	5 minutes at 72 °C (final extension)
	(vi)	hold at 4 °C.

NB: This programme is optimised for use with an MJ Research PTC 200 thermal cycler. Modification of the duration steps of cycles (ii), (iii) and (iv) may be required for use with other models.

3.4. Restriction enzyme analysis of amplicon.

PCR products amplified from *R. solanacearum* DNA produce a distinctive restriction fragment length polymorphism with enzyme *Bsm* I or an Isoschizomere (e.g. Mva 1269 I) after incubation at 65 °C for 30 minutes.

4. **R. solanacearum biovar-specific PCR protocol (Pastrik *et al.*, 2001)**

4.1. Oligonucleotide primers

Forward primer Rs-1-F 5′- ACT AAC GAA GCA GAG ATG CAT TA -3′

Reverse primer Rs-1-R 5′- CCC AGT CAC GGC AGA GAC T -3′

Reverse primer Rs-3-R 5′- TTC ACG GCA AGA TCG CTC -3′

Expected amplicon size from *R. solanacearum* template DNA:

with Rs-1-F/Rs-1-R = 718 bp

with Rs-1-F/Rs-3-R = 716 bp.

4.2. PCR reaction mix

(a) Biovar 1/2-specific PCR

Reagent	Quantity per reaction	Final concentration
Sterile UPW	12,925 µl	
10X PCR Buffer (1)	2,5 µl	1X (1,5 mM $MgCl_2$)
BSA (fraction V) (10 %)	0,25 µl	0,1 %
d-NTP mix (20mM)	0,125 µl	0,1 mM
Primer Rs-1-F (10 µM)	2 µl	0,8 µM
Primer Rs-1-R (10 µM)	2 µl	0,8 µM
Taq polymerase (5U/µl) (1)	0,2 µl	1 U
Sample volume	5,0 µl	
Total volume	25,0 µl	

(1) Methods have been validated using *Taq* polymerase from Perkin Elmer (AmpliTaq) and Gibco BRL.

(b) Biovar 3/4/5-specific PCR

Reagent	Quantity per reaction	Final concentration
Sterile UPW	14,925 µl	
10X PCR Buffer (1)	2,5 µl	1X (1,5 mM $MgCl_2$)
BSA (fraction V) (10 %)	0,25 µl	0,1 %
dNTP mix (20 mM)	0,125 µl	0,1 mM
Primer Rs-1-F (10 µM)	1 µl	0,4 µM
Primer Rs-3-R (10 µM)	1 µl	0,4 µM
Taq polymerase (5 U/µl) (1)	0,2 µl	1 U
Sample volume	5,0 µl	
Total volume	25,0 µl	

(1) Methods have been validated using *Taq* polymerase from Perkin Elmer (AmpliTaq) and Gibco BRL.

4.3. PCR reaction conditions

Run the following programme for both biovar 1/2- and biovar 3/4/5-specific reactions:

1 cycle of:	(i)	5 minutes at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
35 cycles of:	(ii)	30 seconds at 95 °C (denaturation of template DNA)
	(iii)	30 seconds at 58 °C (annealing of primers)
	(iv)	45 seconds at 72 °C (extension of copy)
1 cycle of:	(v)	5 minutes at 72 °C (final extension)
	(vi)	hold at 4 °C.

NB: This programme was optimised for use with an MJ Research PTC 200 thermal cycler. Modification of the duration steps of cycles (ii), (iii) and (iv) may be required for use with other models.

4.4. Restriction enzyme analysis of amplicon.

PCR products amplified from *R. solanacearum* DNA using primers Rs-1-F and Rs-1-R produce a distinctive restriction fragment length polymorphism with enzyme *Bsm* I or an Isoschizomere (e.g. Mva 1269 I) after incubation at 65 °C for 30 minutes. PCR products amplified from *R. solanacearum* DNA using primers Rs-1-F and Rs-3-R have no restriction sites.

5. **Preparation of the loading buffer**

5.1. Bromphenol blue (10 %-**stock solution**)

Bromphenol blue	5 g
Distilled water (bidest)	50 ml

5.2. Loading buffer

Glycerol (86 %)	3,5 ml
Bromphenol blue (5,1)	300 µl
Distilled Water (bidest)	6,2 ml

6. **10X Tris Acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer, pH 8.0**

Tris buffer	48,40 g
Glacial acetic acid	11,42 ml
EDTA (disodium salt)	3,72 g
Distilled water	1,00 L

Dilute to 1X before use.

Also commercially available (e.g. Invitrogen or equivalent).

Appendix 7

Validated reagents for FISH test

1. **Oligo-probes**

R. solanacearum-specific probe OLI-1-CY3: 5′-ggc agg tag caa gct acc ccc-3′

Non-specific eubacterial probe EUB-338-FITC: 5′-gct gcc tcc cgt agg agt-3′

2. **Fixative solution**

(WARNING! THE FIXATIVE CONTAINS PARAFORMALDEHYDE WHICH IS TOXIC. WEAR GLOVES AND DO NOT INHALE. IT IS ADVISABLE TO WORK IN A FUME CUPBOARD.)

(i) Heat 9 ml molecular grade water (e.g. Ultra pure water (UPW)) to about 60 °C and add 0,4 g paraformaldehyde. Paraformaldehyde dissolves after adding 5 drops of 1N NaOH and stirring with a magnetic stirrer.

(ii) Adjust pH to 7.0 by addition of 1ml of 0,1M phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7,0) and 5 drops of 1N HCl. Check pH with indicator strips and adjust if necessary with HCl or NaOH. *(WARNING! DO NOT USE A PH METER IN SOLUTIONS WITH PARAFORMALEDHYDE.)*

(iii) Filter the solution through a 0,22 µm membrane filter and keep dust-free at 4 °C until further use.

3. **3X Hybmix**

NaCl	2,7 M
Tris-HCl	60 mM (pH 7,4)
EDTA (filter sterilised and autoclaved)	15 mM

Dilute to 1X as required.

4. **Hybridisation solution**

1X Hybmix	
Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)	0,01 %
Formamide	30 %,
probe EUB 338	5 ng/µl
probe OLI-1 or OLI-2	5 ng/µl

Prepare quantities of hybridisation solution according to the calculations in Table 1. For each slide (containing 2 different samples in duplicate) 90 µl hybridisation solution is required. *IMPORTANT: FORMAMIDE IS VERY TOXIC SO WEAR GLOVES AND TAKE NECESSARY SAFETY PRECAUTIONS!*

Table 1 Suggested quantities for preparation of hybridisation mix

Number of slides:	1	4	6	8	10
Sterile UPW	23,1	92,4	138,6	184,8	231,0
3x hybmix	30,0	120,0	180,0	240,0	300,0
1 % SDS	0,9	3,6	5,4	7,2	9,0
Formamide	27,0	108,0	162,0	216,0	270,0
Probe EUB 338 (100 ng/µl)	4,5	18,0	27,0	36,0	45,0
Probe OLI-1 or OLI-2 (100 ng/µl)	4,5	18,0	27,0	36,0	45,0
Total volume (µl)	90,0	360,0	540,0	720,0	900,0

NB: Store all solutions containing light sensitive oligo-probes in the dark at – 20 °C.
Protect from direct sunlight or electric light during use.

5. **0,1M Phosphate buffer, pH 7,0**

Na_2HPO_4	8,52 g
KH_2PO_4	5,44 g
Distilled water	1,00 L

Dissolve ingredients, check pH and sterilise by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Appendix 8

Eggplant and tomato culture

Sow seeds of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) or eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) in pasteurised seed compost. Transplant seedlings with fully expanded cotyledons (10 to 14 days) into pasteurised potting compost.

Eggplants or tomatoes should be grown in a glasshouse with the following environmental conditions prior to inoculation:

Day length	14 hours or natural day length if greater;
Temperature	day 21 to 24 °C, night14 to 18 °C.
Susceptible variety of tomato	'"Moneymaker"'
Susceptible variety of eggplant	'"Black Beauty"'
Suppliers	see website http://forum.europa.eu.int/Public/irc/sanco/Home/main

REFERENCES

1. Amann, R.I., L. Krumholz and D.A. Stahl. 1990. Fluorescent-oligonucleotide probing of whole cells for determinative, phylogenetic and environmental studies in microbiology. J. Bacteriol. 172: 762-770.

2. Anon. 1998. Council Directive 98/57/EC of 20 July 1998 on the control of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al*. Official Journal of the European Communities L235, 1-39.

3. Boudazin, G., A.C. Le Roux, K. Josi, P. Labarre and B. Jouan. 1999. Design of division specific primers of *Ralstonia solanacearum* and application to the identification of European isolates. European Journal of Plant Pathology 105; 373-380.

4. Caruso, P., Gorris, M.T., Cambra, M., Palomo, J.L., Collar, J and Lopez, M.M. 2002. Enrichment Double-Antibody Sandwich Indirect Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay That Uses a Specific Monoclonal Antibody for sensitive Detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in Asymptomatic Potato Tubers. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68, 3634-3638.

5. Cook, D., Barlow, E. and Sequeira, L. 1989. Genetic diversity of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*: detection of restriction fragment length polymorphisms with DNA probes that specify virulence and the hypersensitive response. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 1:113-121.

6. Elphinstone, J.G., Hennessy, J., Wilson, J.K. and Stead, D.E. 1996. Sensitivity of detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in potato tuber extracts. EPPO Bulletin 26; 663-678.

7. Englebrecht, M.C. (1994) Modification of a semi-selective medium for the isolation and quantification of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. In: A.C. Hayward (ed.) Bacterial Wilt Newsletter 10, 3-5. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, Australia.

8. Hayward, A.C. 1964. Characteristics of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 27; 265-277.

9. Hayward, A.C., El-Nashaar, H.M., Nydegger, U. and De Lindo, L. 1990. Variation in nitrate metabolism in biovars of *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 69; 269-280.

10. Ito, S., Y. Ushijima, T. Fujii, S. Tanaka, M. Kameya-Iwaki, S. Yoshiwara and F. Kishi. 1998. Detection of viable cells of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in soil using a semi-selective medium and a PCR technique. J. Phytopathology 146; 379-384.

11. Janse, J.D. (1988) A detection method for *Pseudomonas solanacearum* in symptomless potato tubers and some data on its sensitivity and specificity. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 18, 343-351.

12. Janse, J.D. 1991. Infra- and intra-specific classification of *Pseudomonas solanacaerum* strains using whole cell fatty-acid analysis. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 14; 335-345.

13. Kelman, A. 1954. The relationship of pathogenicity of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* to colony appearance on a tetrazolium medium. Phytopathology 44; 693-695.

14. Klement Z.; Rudolph, K and D.C. Sands, 1990. Methods in Phytobacteriology. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 568 pp.

15. Lelliott, R.A. and Stead, D.E. 1987. Methods for the diagnosis of bacterial diseases of plants. Blackwell scientific Publications Ltd., Oxford. 216 pp.

16. Lopez, M.M., Gorris, M.T., Llop, P., Cubero, J., Vicedo, B., Cambra, M., 1997. Selective enrichment improves selective isolation, serological and molecular detection of plant pathogenic bacteria. In: H.W. Dehne *et al*., (eds). Klewer Academic Publishers. pp. 117-121.

17. Louws, F.J., Fulbright, D.W., Stephens, C.T. and De Bruijn, F.J., 1994. Specific genomic fingerprints of phytopathogenic Xanthomonas and Pseudomonas pathovars and strains generated with repetitive sequences and PCR. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 60, 2286-2295.

18. Louws, F.J., Fulbright, D.W., Stephens, C.T. and De Bruijn, F.J. 1995. Differentiation of genomic structure by rep-PCR fingerprinting to rapidly classify *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vesicatoria*. Phytopathology 85; 528-536.

19. Opina, N., F. Tavner, G. Holloway, J.-F Wang, T.-H Li, R. Maghirang, M. Fegan, A.C. Hayward, V. Krishnapillai, W.F. Hong, B.W. Holloway, J.N. Timmis. 1997. A novel method for development of species and strain-specific DNA probes and PCR primers for identifying *Burkholderia solanacearum* (formerly *Pseudomonas solanacearum*). As Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol. 5; 19-33.

20. Pastrik, K.H. and Maiss, E. 2000. Detection of *R. solanacearum* in potato tubers by polymerase chain reaction. J. Phytopathology 148; 619-626.

21. Pastrik, K.H., Elphinstone, J.G. and Pukall, R. 2002. Sequence analysis and detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* by multiplex PCR amplification of 16S-23S ribosomal intergenic spacer region with internal positive control. European Journal of Plant Pathology 108, 831-842.

22. Robinson-Smith, A., Jones, P., Elphinstone, J.G. and Forde, S.M.D. (1995) Production of antibodies to *Pseudomonas solanacearum*, the causative agent of bacterial wilt. Food and Agricultural Immunology 7, 67-79.

23. Schaad, W. 2001. Laboratory guide for identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. Schaad [Hrsg.]. - 3. ed.; St. Paul, Minnesota: 373 pp.

24. Seal, S.E., L.A. Jackson, J.P.W. Young, and M.J. Daniels. 1993. Detection of *Pseudomonas solanacearum, Pseudomonas syzygii, Pseudomonas pickettii* and Blood Disease Bacterium by partial 16S rRNA sequencing: construction of oligonucleotide primers for sensitive detection by polymerase chain reaction. J. Gen. Microbiol. 139: 1587-1594.

25. Smith, J.J., Offord, L.C., Holderness, M. and Saddler, G.S. 1995. Genetic diversity of *Burkholderia solanacearum* (synonym *Pseudomonas solanacearum*) race 3 in Kenya. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61; 4262-4268.

26. Stead, D.E. 1992. Grouping of plant pathogenic and some other *Pseudomonas* spp. using cellular fatty-acid profiles. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 42; 281-295.

27. Taghavi, M., Hayward, A.C., Sly, L.I., Fegan, M. 1996. Analysiss of the phylogenetic relationships of strains of *Burkholderia solanacearum, Pseudomonas syzygii*, and the blood disease bacterium of banana based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 46; 10-15.

28. Van Der Wolf, J.M., Bonants, P.J.M., Smith, J.J., Hagenaar, M., Nijhuis, E., Van Beckhoven, J.R.C., Saddler, G.S., Trigalet, A., Feuillade, R. 1998. Genetic diversity of *Ralstonia solanacearum* Race 3 in Western Europe as determined by AFLP, RC-PFGE and rep-PCR. In: Prior, P., Allen, C. and Elphinstone, J. (eds.) Bacterial wilt disease: Molecular and Ecological Aspects. Springer (Berlin) pp. 44-49.

29. Weller, S.A., Elphinstone, J.G., Smith, N., Stead, D.E. and Boonham, N. 1999. Detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains using an automated and quantitative flourogenic 5' nuclease TaqMan assay. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66; 2853-2858.

30. Wullings, B.A., A.R. van Beuningen, J.D. Janse and A.D.L. Akkermans. 1998. Detection of R. *solanacearum*, which causes brown rot of potato, by fluorescent in situ hybridization with 23s rRNA-targeted probes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64: 4546-4554.

ANNEX III

1. For each suspected occurrence for which a positive result in the screening test(s) has been identified according to, for the listed plant material and for all other cases, the relevant methods set out in Annex II, and confirmation or refutation by completion of the said methods is awaited, there should be retention and appropriate conservation of:

   — all tubers sampled and, wherever possible, all plants sampled,

   — any remaining extract and additional prepared material for the screening test(s) e.g. immunofluorescence slides,

   and

   — all relevant documentation,

   until the completion of the said methods.

   Retention of the tubers will enable variety testing to be undertaken where appropriate.

2. In the case of positive confirmation of the organism, there should be retention and appropriate conservation of:

   — the material specified in paragraph 1,

   — a sample of the infected tomato or eggplant material inoculated with the tuber or plant extract, where appropriate,

   and

   — the isolated culture of the organism,

   until at least one month after the notification procedure under Article 5(2).

ANNEX IV

The elements in the investigation referred to in Article 5(1)(a)(i) shall include where relevant:

(i) places of production,

— growing or having grown, potatoes which are clonally related to potatoes found to be infected with the organism,

— growing or having grown, tomatoes which are from the same source as tomatoes found to be infected with the organism,

— growing or having grown, potatoes or tomatoes which have been placed under official control because of the suspected occurrence of the organism,

— growing or having grown, potatoes which are clonally related to potatoes that have been grown on places of production found to be infested with the organism,

— growing potatoes or tomatoes and located in the neighbourhood of infested places of production, including such places of production sharing production equipment and facilities directly or through a common contractor,

— using surface water for irrigation or spraying from any source confirmed or suspected to be contaminated with the organism,

— using surface water for irrigation or spraying from a source used in common with places of production confirmed or suspected to be infested with the organism,

— flooded or have been flooded with surface water confirmed or suspected to be contaminated with the organism;

and

(ii) surface water used for irrigation or spraying of, or which has flooded field(s) or place(s) of production confirmed to be infested with the organism.

ANNEX V

1. The elements to be considered in the determination of the extent of probable contamination under Article 5(1)(a)(iii) and 5(1)(c)(iii), shall include:

— the listed plant material grown at a place of production designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii),

— place(s) of production with some production link to the listed plant material designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii), including those sharing production equipment and facilities directly or through a common contractor,

— the listed plant material produced in the place(s) of production referred to in the previous indent, or present in such place(s) of production during the period when the listed plant material designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii), was present on the place of production referred to in the first indent,

— premises handling the listed plant material from the places of production referred to in the above indents,

— any machinery, vehicle, vessel, store, or units thereof, and any other objects including packaging material, that may have come into contact with the listed plant material designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii),

— any of the listed plant material stored in, or in contact with, any of the structures or objects listed in the previous indent, prior to the cleansing and disinfection of such structures and objects,

— as a result of the investigation and testing under Article 5(1)(a)(i), in the case of potato, those tubers or plants with a sister or parental clonal relationship to, and in the case of tomato, those plants with the same source as, the listed plant material designated to be contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii) and for which, although they may have tested negative for the organism, it appears that contamination is probable through a clonal link. Variety testing may be undertaken to verify the identity of the contaminated and clonally related tubers or plants,

— place(s) of production of the listed plant material referred to in the previous indent,

— place(s) of production of the listed plant material using water for irrigation or spraying which has been designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(c)(ii),

— listed plant material produced on fields flooded with surface water confirmed to be contaminated.

2. The elements to be considered in the determination of the possible spread under Article 5(1)(a)(iv) and 5(1)(c)(iii) shall include:

(i) in cases under Article 5(1)(a)(iv),

— the proximity of other places of production growing the listed plant material,

— the common production and use of seed potato stocks,

— places of production using surface water for irrigation or spraying of listed plant material in cases where there is or has been risk of surface water run-off from, or flooding of, place(s) of production designated to be contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii).

(ii) in cases where surface water has been designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(c)(ii):

— place(s) of production producing listed plant material adjacent to, or at risk from flooding by, the surface water designated as contaminated,

— any discrete irrigation basin associated with the surface water designated as contaminated,

— water bodies connected with the surface water designated as contaminated, taking into account:

— the direction and rate of flow of the water designated as contaminated,

— the presence of wild solanaceous host plants.

3. The notification referred to in the first subparagraph of Article 5(2) shall be provided as follows:

— immediately after the presence of the organism has been confirmed by laboratory testing, using the methods set out in Annex II, at least:

— for potatoes,

(a) the variety name of the lot,

(b) the type (ware, seed, etc.) and where applicable the seed category,

— for tomato plants, the variety name of the lot and, where applicable, the category.

— without prejudice to the requirements for notification of a suspected occurrence in Article 4 (3), the Member State in which the occurrence has been confirmed shall, when there is a risk of contamination of listed plant material from or into another Member States(s), immediately notify the Member State(s) concerned of information needed to comply with Article 5(3), such as:

(a) the variety name of the potato or tomato lot,

(b) the name and address of the consignor and the consignee,

(c) the date of delivery of the potato or tomato lot,

(d) the size of the potato or tomato lot delivered,

(e) a copy of the plant passport or at least the plant passport number where appropriate, or where appropriate the registration number of the grower or merchant, and a copy of the delivery notice.

The Commission shall be notified immediately when such information has been provided.

4. The details of the additional notification referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 5(2) shall be provided as follows:

After all investigations have been finalised, for each case:

(a) the date that contamination was confirmed,

(b) a brief description of the investigation carried out to identify the source and possible spread of the contamination, including the level of sampling undertaken,

(c) information on the identified or presumed sources(s) of contamination,

(d) details of the extent of the designated contamination, including the number of places of production and for potatoes, the number of lots with an indication of the variety and, if seed potatoes, category,

(e) details of the zone demarcation, including the number of places of production, not designated as contaminated, but included in the zone,

(f) details of water designation, including the name and location of the water body and the extent of the designation/irrigation ban,

(g) for any tomato plant consignment or lot designated as contaminated, the certificates prescribed in Article 13(1)(ii) of Directive 2000/29/EC and passport number, in accordance with the listing in Annex V, Part A, Section I.2.2 to Directive 2000/29/EC,

(h) such other information relating to the confirmed outbreak(s) as the Commission may require.

ANNEX VI

1. The provisions referred to in Article 6(1) shall be:

— use as animal feed after heat treatment such that there is no risk of the organism surviving,

or

— disposal at an officially approved dedicated waste disposal site atwhich there is no identifiable risk of escape of the organism into the environment e.g. through seepage to agricultural land or contact with water sources which could be used for irrigation of agricultural land,

or

— incineration,

or

— industrial processing through direct and immediate delivery to a processing plant with officially approved waste disposal facilities for which it has been established that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading, and with a system of cleansing and disinfection of at least the departing vehicles,

or

— other measures, provided that it has been established that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading; such measures and their justification to be notified to the Commission and to the other Member States.

Any remaining waste associated with and arising from the above options shall be disposed of by officially approved methods in accordance with Annex VII to this Directive.

2. The appropriate use or disposal of the listed plant material referred to in Article 6(2), under the control of the responsible official bodies of the Member State(s) concerned, with appropriate communication between responsible official bodies to ensure such control at all times and approval by the responsible official body of the Member State where the potatoes are to be packed or processed in respect of the waste disposal facilities referred to in the first and second indents, shall be:

(i) for potato tubers,

— use as ware potatoes intended for consumption, packed ready for direct delivery and use without repacking, on a site with appropriate waste disposal facilities. Potatoes intended for planting may only be handled at the same site, if this is done separately or after cleansing and disinfection,

or

— use as ware potatoes intended for industrial processing, and intended for direct and immediate delivery to a processing plant with appropriate waste disposal facilities and a system of cleansing and disinfection of at least the departing vehicles,

or

— some other use or disposal, provided that it is established that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading and subject to approval by the said responsible official bodies.

(ii) for other plant parts including stem and foliage debris,

— destruction,

or

— some other use or disposal, provided that it is established that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading; and subject to approval by the said responsible official bodies.

3. The appropriate methods for decontamination of the objects referred to in Article 6(3) shall be cleansing and, where appropriate, disinfection, such that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading and shall be employed under the supervision of the responsible official bodies of the Member States.

4. The series of measures to be implemented by Member States within the demarcated zone(s) established under Article 5(1)(a)(iv) and (c)(iii) and referred to in Article 6(4) shall include:

4.1. In cases where places of production have been designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii):

(a) in a field or unit of protected crop production designated to be contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii), either

(i) during at least the four growing years following the designated contamination,

— measures shall be taken to eliminate volunteer potato and tomato plants as well as other host plants of the organism including solanaceous weeds,

and

— the following shall not be planted:

— potato tubers, plants or true seeds,

— tomato plants and seeds,

— taking into account the biology of the organism,

— other host plants,

— plants of species of *Brassica*, for which there is an identified risk of the organism surviving,

— crops for which there is an identified risk of the organism spreading;

— in the first potato or tomato cropping season following the period specified in the preceding indent, and on the condition that the field has been found free from volunteer potato and tomato plants and other host plants including solanaceous weeds during official inspections for at least the two consecutive growing years prior to planting,

— in the case of potatoes, only ware potato production shall be allowed,

— in the case of potatoes and tomatoes, the harvested potato tubers, or the tomato plants, as appropriate, shall be tested according to the procedure detailed in Annex II;

— in the potato or tomato cropping season succeeding that referred to in the previous indent and following an appropriate rotation cycle, which shall be at least two years if seed potatoes are to be grown, an official survey as detailed in Article 2(1), shall be conducted;

or

(ii) during the five growing years following that of the designated contamination,

— measures shall be taken to eliminate volunteer potato and tomato plants as well as other naturally found host plants of the organism including solanaceous weeds,

and

— the field shall be established and maintained during the first three years either, in bare fallow or, in cereals according to the risk identified, or, in permanent pasture with frequent close cutting or intensive grazing or, as grass for seed production, followed by planting in the succeeding two years with non-host plants of the organism for which there is no identified risk of the organism surviving or spreading,

— in the first potato or tomato cropping season following the period specified in the preceding indent, and on the condition that the field has been found free from volunteer potato and tomato plants and other host plants including solanaceous weeds during official inspections for at least the two consecutive growing years prior to planting,

— in the case of potatoes, seed or ware potato production shall be allowed,

— the harvested potato tubers, or the tomato plants, as appropriate, shall be tested according to the procedure detailed in Annex II;

(b) in all other fields of the contaminated place of production and on the condition that the responsible official bodies are satisfied that the risk of volunteer potato plants and tomato plants and other naturally found host plants of the organism including solanaceous weeds as appropriate have been eliminated:

— in the growing year following that of the designated contamination,

— either no potato tubers or plants or true seeds, or other host plants of the organism shall be planted,

or

— in the case of potato tubers, certified seed potatoes may be planted for ware production only,

— in the case of tomato plants, tomato plants grown from seed which meets the requirements of Directive 2000/29/EC may be planted for fruit production only;

— in the second growing year following that of the designated contamination,

— in the case of potatoes, only certified seed potatoes or seed potatoes officially tested for the absence of brown rot and grown under official control on places of production other than those referred to in 4.1 shall be planted for either seed or ware production,

— in the case of tomatoes, only tomato plants grown from seed which meets the requirements of Directive 2000/29/EC or, if vegetatively propagated, from tomato plants produced from such seed and grown under official control on places of production other than those referred to in 4.1, shall be planted for either plant or fruit production;

— for at least in the third growing year following that of the designated contamination,

— in the case of potatoes, only certified seed potatoes or seed potatoes grown under official control from certified seed potatoes shall be planted for either seed or ware production,

— in the case of tomatoes, only tomato plants grown from seed which meets the requirements of Directive 2000/29/EC or tomato plants grown under official control from such plants shall be planted for either plant or fruit production;

— in each of the growing years referred to in the previous indents, measures shall be taken to eliminate volunteer potato plants and other naturally found host plants of the organism if present and, an official inspection of the growing crop at appropriate times shall be conducted and in each potato field, official testing of the harvested potatoes shall be conducted according to the procedure detailed in Annex II;

(c) immediately following the designation of contamination under Article 5(1)(a)(ii) and after the first subsequent growing year:

— all machinery and storage facilities on the place of production and involved in potato or tomato production shall be cleansed and, where appropriate, disinfected using appropriate methods, as specified in point 3,

— official controls on irrigation and spraying programmes, including a ban thereof, shall be introduced as appropriate in order to prevent the spread of the organism;

(d) in an unit of protected crop production designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(ii) where complete replacement of the growing medium is possible,

— no potato tubers, plants or true seeds, or other host plants of the organism including tomato plants and seeds shall be planted unless the production unit has been subjected to officially supervised measures to eliminate the organism and to remove all host plant material, including, at least, a complete change in growing medium and cleansing and, where appropriate, disinfection of the said unit and all equipment, and subsequently has been granted approval for potato or tomato production by the responsible official bodies,

and

— for potato production, this production shall be from certified seed potatoes, or from mini-tubers or micro-plants derived from tested sources,

— for tomato production, this production shall be from seed which meets the requirements of Directive 2000/29/EC or, if vegetatively propagated, from tomato plants produced from such seed and grown under official control,

— official controls on irrigation and spraying programmes, including a ban thereof, shall be introduced as appropriate, in order to prevent the spread of the organism;

4.2. Within the demarcated zone, without prejudice to the measures detailed under 4.1, the Member States shall:

(a) immediately following the designated contamination ensure that all machinery and storage facilities on such premises and involved with potato or tomato production be cleansed and disinfected, as appropriate, and using appropriate methods, as specified in 3,

(b) immediately, and for at least three growing years following the designated contamination:

(ba) in cases where the demarcated zone has been determined under Article 5(1)(a)(iv),

— ensure supervision by their responsible official bodies of premises growing, storing or handling potato tubers or tomatoes, together with premises which operate machinery for potato or tomato production under contract,

— require the planting of only certified seed or seed grown under official control for all potato crops within that zone, and testing after harvest of seed potato crops grown in places of production determined as probably contaminated under Article 5(1)(a)(iii),

— require the separate handling of harvested seed potato stocks to those of ware on all premises within the zone, or a system of cleansing and, where appropriate, disinfection to be carried out between the handling of seed and ware stocks,

— require the planting of only tomato plants grown from seed which meets the requirements of Directive 2000/29/EC or, if vegetatively propagated, from tomato plants produced from such seed and grown under official control, for all tomato crops within that zone,

— conduct an official survey as detailed in Article 2(1);

(bb) in cases where surface water has been designated as contaminated under Article 5(1)(c)(ii) or included in the elements for the possible spread of the organism in accordance with Annex V point 2,

— conduct an annual survey at appropriate times including sampling of surface water and where appropriate solanaceous host plants in the relevant water sources and testing in accordance with the relevant methods set out in Annex II for the listed plant material and for other cases,

— introduce official controls on irrigation and spraying programmes, including a ban on the use of the water designated as contaminated for the irrigation and spraying of listed plant material, and, where appropriate, other host plants in order to prevent the spread of the organism. This ban may be reviewed on the basis of the results obtained in the said annual survey, and designations revoked where the responsible official bodies are satisfied that the surface water is no longer contaminated. The use of water subject to a ban may be permitted, under official control, for irrigation and spraying of host plants, where officially approved techniques are employed which eliminate the organism and prevent its spread,

— in cases where liquid waste discharges are contaminated, introduce official controls on the disposal of solid or liquid waste discharges from industrial processing or packaging premises handling listed plant material;

(c) establish a programme, where appropriate, for the replacement of all seed potato stocks over an appropriate period of time.

ANNEX VII

The officially approved waste disposal methods referred to in Annex VI paragraph 1, shall conform to the following provisions such that any identifiable risk of spreading the organism is obviated:

(i) potato and tomato waste (including rejected potatoes and peelings and tomatoes) and any other solid waste associated with the potatoes and tomatoes (including soil, stones and other debris) shall be disposed by either,

— disposal at an officially approved dedicated waste disposal site at which there is no identifiable risk of escape of the organism into the environment e.g. through seepage to agricultural land or contact with water sources which could be used for irrigation of agricultural land. The waste shall be conveyed directly to the site under containment conditions such that there is no risk of loss of the waste,

or

— incineration,

or

— other measures, provided that it has been established that there is no identifiable risk of the organism spreading; such measures to be notified to the Commission and to the other Member States.

(ii) liquid waste: prior to disposal, liquid waste containing suspended solids shall be subjected to filtration or settlement processes to remove such solids. These solids shall be disposed of as set out in subparagraph (i).

The liquid waste shall then be either:

— heated to a minimum of 60 °C throughout the entire volume during at least 30 minutes prior to disposal,

or

— otherwise disposed of subject to official approval and under official control such that there is no identifiable risk that the waste could come into contact with agricultural land or water sources which could be used for irrigation of agricultural land. The details thereof shall be notified to the other Member States and to the Commission.

The options described in this Annex also apply to the waste associated with handling, disposal and processing of contaminated lots.'

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/64/CE

of 18 July 2006

amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC to include clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac as active substances

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market [1], and in particular Article 6(1) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Commission Regulations (EC) No 451/2000 [2] and (EC) No 703/2001 [3] lay down the detailed rules for the implementation of the second stage of the programme of work referred to in Article 8(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC and establish a list of active substances to be assessed, with a view to their possible inclusion in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. That list includes clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac.

(2) For those active substances the effects on human health and the environment have been assessed in accordance with the provisions laid down in Regulations (EC) No 451/2000 and (EC) No 703/2001 for a range of uses proposed by the notifier. Moreover, those Regulations designate the rapporteur Member States which have to submit the relevant assessment reports and recommendations to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in accordance with Article 8(1) of Regulation (EC) No 451/2000. For clopyralid the rapporteur Member State was Finland and all relevant information was submitted on 2 December 2003. For cyprodinil and fosetyl the rapporteur Member State was France and all relevant information was submitted on 16 January 2004 and 20 October 2003 respectively. For trinexapac the rapporteur Member State was The Netherlands and all relevant information was submitted on 7 November 2003.

(3) The assessment reports have been peer reviewed by the Member States and the EFSA and presented to the Commission on 14 December 2005 in the format of the EFSA Scientific Reports for clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac [4]. These reports have been reviewed by the Member States and the Commission within the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health and finalised on 4 April 2006 in the format of the Commission review reports for clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac.

(4) It has appeared from the various examinations made that plant protection products containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac may be expected to satisfy, in general, the requirements laid down in Article 5(1) (a) and (b) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in particular with regard to the uses which were examined and detailed in the Commission review reports. It is therefore appropriate to include these active substances in Annex I, in order to ensure that in all Member States the authorisations of plant protection products containing these active substances can be granted in accordance with the provisions of that Directive.

(5) Without prejudice to that conclusion, it is appropriate to obtain further information on certain specific points concerning clopyralid, cyprodinil and fosetyl. Article 6(1) of Directive 91/414/EC provides that inclusion of a substance in Annex I may be subject to conditions. Therefore it is appropriate to require that clopyralid, cyprodinil and fosetyl should be subjected to further testing for confirmation of the risk assessment for some issues and that such studies should be presented by the notifiers.

[1] OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2006/45/EC (OJ L 130, 18.5.2006, p. 27).

[2] OJ L 55, 29.2.2000, p. 25. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1 044/2003 (OJ L 151, 19.6.2003, p. 32).

[3] OJ L 98, 7.4.2001, p. 6.

[4] EFSA Scientific Report (2005) 50, 1-65, Conclusion regarding the Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance clopyralid (finalised: 14 December 2005).
EFSA Scientific Report (2005) 51, 1-78, Conclusion regarding the Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance cyprodinil (finalised: 14 December 2005).
EFSA Scientific Report (2005) 54, 1-79, Conclusion regarding the Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance fosethyl (finalised: 14 December 2005).
EFSA Scientific Report (2005) 57, 1-70, Conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance trinexapac (finalised: 14 December 2005).

(6) A reasonable period should be allowed to elapse before an active substance is included in Annex I in order to permit Member States and the interested parties to prepare themselves to meet the new requirements which will result from the inclusion.

(7) Without prejudice to the obligations defined by Directive 91/414/EEC as a consequence of including an active substance in Annex I, Member States should be allowed a period of six months after inclusion to review existing authorisations of plant protection products containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac to ensure that the requirements laid down by Directive 91/414/EEC, in particular in its Article 13 and the relevant conditions set out in Annex I, are satisfied. Member States should vary, replace or withdraw, as appropriate, existing authorisations, in accordance with the provisions of Directive 91/414/EEC. By way of derogation from the above deadline, a longer period should be provided for the submission and assessment of the complete Annex III dossier of each plant protection product for each intended use in accordance with the uniform principles laid down in Directive 91/414/EEC.

(8) The experience gained from previous inclusions in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC of active substances assessed in the framework of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3600/92 [1] has shown that difficulties can arise in interpreting the duties of holders of existing authorisations in relation to access to data. In order to avoid further difficulties it therefore appears necessary to clarify the duties of the Member States, especially the duty to verify that the holder of an authorisation demonstrates access to a dossier satisfying the requirements of Annex II to that Directive. However, this clarification does not impose any new obligations on Member States or holders of authorisations compared to the directives which have been adopted until now amending Annex I.

(9) It is therefore appropriate to amend Directive 91/414/EEC accordingly.

(10) The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

[1] OJ L 366, 15.12.1992, p. 10. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 2266/2000 (OJ L 259, 13.10.2000, p. 27).

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1

Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC is amended as set out in the Annex to this Directive.

Article 2

Member States shall adopt and publish by 31 October 2007 at the latest the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

They shall apply those provisions from 1 November 2007.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

Article 3

1. Member States shall in accordance with Directive 91/414/EEC, where necessary, amend or withdraw existing authorisations for plant protection products containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac as active substances by 31 October 2007.

By that date they shall in particular verify that the conditions in Annex I to that Directive relating to clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac are met, with the exception of those identified in part B of the entry concerning that active substance, and that the holder of the authorisation has, or has access to, a dossier satisfying the requirements of Annex II to that Directive in accordance with the conditions of Article 13 of that Directive.

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, for each authorised plant protection product containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac as either the only active substance or as one of several active substances all of which were listed in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC by 30 April 2007 at the latest, Member States shall re-evaluate the product in accordance with the uniform principles provided for in Annex VI to Directive 91/414/EEC, on the basis of a dossier satisfying the requirements of Annex III to that Directive and taking into account part B of the entry in Annex I to that Directive concerning clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac respectively. On the basis of that evaluation, they shall determine whether the product satisfies the conditions set out in Article 4(1)(b), (c), (d) and (e) of Directive 91/414/EEC.

Following that determination Member States shall:

(a) in the case of a product containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac as the only active substance, where necessary, amend or withdraw the authorisation by 30 April 2011 at the latest;

or

(b) in the case of a product containing clopyralid, cyprodinil, fosetyl and trinexapac as one of several active substances, where necessary, amend or withdraw the authorisation by 30 April 2011 or by the date fixed for such an amendment or withdrawal in the respective Directive or Directives which added the relevant substance or substances to Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC, whichever is the latest.

Article 4

This Directive shall enter into force on 1 May 2007.

Article 5

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 18 July 2006.

For the Commission
Markos KYPRIANOU
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

The following entry shall be added at the end of the table in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EC.

No	Common name, identification numbers	IUPAC name	Purity [1]	Entry into force	Expiration of inclusion	Specific provisions
'131	Clopyralid CAS No 1702-17-6 CIPAC No 455	3,6-dichloropyridine-2-carboxylic acid	≥ 950 g/kg	1 May 2007	30 April 2017	PART A Only uses as herbicide may be authorised. PART B In assessing applications to authorise plant protection products containing clopyralid for uses other than spring applications, Member States shall pay particular attention to the criteria in Article 4(1)(b), and shall ensure that any necessary data and information is provided before such an authorisation is granted. For the implementation of the uniform principles of Annex VI, the conclusions of the review report on clopyralid, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 4 April 2006 shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States must pay particular attention to: — the protection of non target plants and groundwater under vulnerable conditions. Conditions of authorisation should include risk mitigation measures and monitoring programmes should be initiated to verify potential groundwater contamination in vulnerable zones, where appropriate. The concerned Member States shall request the submission of further studies to confirm the results on animal metabolism. They shall ensure that the notifiers at whose request clopyralid has been included in this Annex provide such studies to the Commission within two years from the entry into force of this Directive.
132	Cyprodinil CAS No 121522-61-2 CIPAC No 511	(4-cyclopropyl-6-methyl-pyrimidin-2-yl)-phenyl-amine	≥ 980 g/kg	1 May 2007	30 April 2017	PART A Only uses as fungicide may be authorised. PART B For the implementation of the uniform principles of Annex VI, the conclusions of the review report on cyprodinil, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 4 April 2006 shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States: — must pay particular attention to the safety of operators and ensure that conditions of use prescribe the application of adequate personal protective equipment; — must pay particular attention to the protection of birds, mammals and aquatic organisms. Conditions of authorisation should include risk mitigation measures, such as buffer zones. The concerned Member States shall request the submission of further studies to confirm the risk assessment for birds and mammals and for possible presence of residues of metabolite CGA 304075 in food of animal origin. They shall ensure that the notifiers at whose request cyprodinil has been included in this Annex provide such studies to the Commission within two years from the entry into force of this Directive.

No	Common name, identification numbers	IUPAC name	Purity (1)	Entry into force	Expiration of inclusion	Specific provisions
133	Fosetyl CAS No 15845-66-6 CIPAC No 384	Ethyl hydrogen phosphonate	≥ 960 g/kg (expressed as fosetyl-Al)	1 May 2007	30 April 2017	PART A Only uses as fungicide may be authorised. PART B For the implementation of the uniform principles of Annex VI, the conclusions of the review report on fosetyl, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 4 April 2006 shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States: — must pay particular attention to the protection of birds, mammals, aquatic organisms and non-target arthropods. Conditions of authorisation should include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate, such as buffer zones. The concerned Member States shall request the submission of further studies to confirm the risk assessment for non-target arthropods, in particular with regard to in-field recovery, and for herbivorous mammals. They shall ensure that the notifier at whose request fosetyl has been included in this Annex provide such studies to the Commission within two years from the entry into force of this Directive.
134	Trinexapac CAS No 104273-73-6 CIPAC No 732	4-(cyclopropyl-hydroxymethylene)-3,5-dioxo-cyclohexanecarboxy-lic acid	≥ 940g/kg (expressed as trinexapac-ethyl)	1 May 2007	30 April 2017	PART A Only uses as plant growth regulator may be authorised. PART B For the implementation of the uniform principles of Annex VI, the conclusions of the review report on trinexapac, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 4 April 2006 shall be taken into account. In this overall assessment Member States: — must pay particular attention to the protection of birds and mammals. Conditions of authorisation should include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.

(1) Further details on identity and specification of active substance are provided in the review report.'