

4. If the answer to the question in point 1 is in the affirmative, is there any difference between the measures which an airline must take to avoid the obligation to pay compensation under Article 5(3) of the regulation and the measures which it must take to avoid liability for damage under Article 19 of the Montreal Convention?

<sup>(1)</sup> Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights, and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 295/91 (OJ 2004 L 46, p. 1).

**Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia (Italy) lodged on 2 April 2012 — Alessandra Venturini v A.S.L. Varese and Others**

(Case C-159/12)

(2012/C 157/07)

*Language of the case: Italian*

**Referring court**

Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Alessandra Venturini

*Defendants:* ASL Varese and Others

**Question referred**

Do the principles of freedom of establishment, non-discrimination and the preservation of competition under Article 49 et seq TFEU preclude national legislation which does not allow a pharmacist, who is qualified and entered in the relevant professional register but does not own a pharmacy on the 'pianta organica' [territorial grid], also to offer for retail sale, in the para-pharmacy owned by that pharmacist, pharmaceutical products which are subject to a prescription in the form of a 'ricetta bianca' — that is to say, pharmaceutical products the cost of which is borne not by the [national health service] but wholly by the citizen — and which accordingly also establishes in that sector a prohibition on the sale of certain categories of pharmaceutical product, as well as a quota in relation to the number of commercial outlets which may be established in the national territory?

**Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia (Italy) lodged on 2 April 2012 — Maria Rosa Gramegna v A.S.L. Lodi and Others**

(Case C-160/12)

(2012/C 157/08)

*Language of the case: Italian*

**Referring court**

Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Maria Rosa Gramegna

*Defendants:* A.S.L. Lodi and Others

**Question referred**

Do the principles of freedom of establishment, non-discrimination and the preservation of competition under Article 49 et seq TFEU preclude national legislation which does not allow a pharmacist, who is qualified and entered in the relevant professional register but does not own a pharmacy on the 'pianta organica' [territorial grid], also to offer for retail sale, in the para-pharmacy owned by that pharmacist, pharmaceutical products which are subject to a prescription in the form of a 'ricetta bianca' — that is to say, pharmaceutical products the cost of which is borne not by the [national health service] but wholly by the citizen — and which accordingly also establishes in that sector a prohibition on the sale of certain categories of pharmaceutical product, as well as a quota in relation to the number of commercial outlets which may be established in the national territory?

**Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia (Italy) lodged on 2 April 2012 — Anna Muzzio v A.S.L. Pavia and Others**

(Case C-161/12)

(2012/C 157/09)

*Language of the case: Italian*

**Referring court**

Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale per la Lombardia

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Anna Muzzio

*Defendants:* A.S.L. Pavia and Others