V

(Announcements)

## COURT PROCEEDINGS

# COURT OF JUSTICE

Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 7 March 2013

— Swiss Confederation v European Commission, Federal
Republic of Germany, Landkreis Waldshut

(Case C-547/10 P) (1)

(Appeal — External relations — Agreement between the European Community and the Swiss Confederation on air transport — Regulation (EEC) No 2408/92 — Access of Community air carriers to intra-Community air routes — Articles 8 and 9 — Scope — Exercise of traffic rights — Decision 2004/12/EC — German measures relating to the approaches to Zurich Airport — Duty to state reasons — Non-discrimination — Proportionality — Burden of proof)

(2013/C 123/02)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Appellant: Swiss Confederation (represented by: S. Hirsbrunner, Rechtsanwalt)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: T. van Rijn, K. Simonsson and K. P. Wojcik, Agents); Federal Republic of Germany (represented by T. Henze, Agent, assisted by T. Masing, Rechtsanwalt); Landkreis Waldshut, (represented by M. Núñez Müller, Rechtsanwalt)

#### Re:

Appeal against the judgment delivered by the General Court (Fifth Chamber) on 9 September 2010 in Case T-319/05 Switzerland v Commission by which that court dismissed the action brought by the Swiss Confederation for the annulment of Commission Decision 2004/12/EC of 5 December 2003 on a procedure relating to the application of Article 18(2), first sentence, of the Agreement between the European Community and the Swiss Confederation on air transport and Council Regulation (EEC) No 2408/92 of 23 July 1992 on access for Community air carriers to intra-Community air routes (OJ 1993 L 15, p. 33) — Measures adopted by Germany relating to the approaches to Zurich airport Wrongful assessment of the applicability of Article 9(1) of Regulation (EEC) No 2408/92 to the contested measures — Misinterpretation of the scope of the Commission's obligation to state reasons — Failure to take account of the rights of the airport operator and the people living around the airport — Infringement of the principles of non-discrimination and proportionality

### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal.
- 2. Orders the Swiss Confederation to bear, in addition to its own costs, all of the costs incurred by the European Commission both at first instance and on appeal.
- 3. Orders the Federal Republic of Germany and Landkreis Waldshut to bear their own costs.

(1) OJ C 30, 29.1.2011.

Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 7 March 2013 (request for a preliminary ruling from the arbeidshof te Antwerpen — Belgium) — Aldegonda van den Booren v Rijksdienst voor Pensioenen

(Case C-127/11) (1)

(Social security for migrant workers — Article 46a of Regulation (EEC) No 1408/71 — National rules against overlapping — Old-age pension — Increase in the amount paid by a Member State — Survivor's pension — Reduction in the amount paid by another Member State)

(2013/C 123/03)

Language of the case: Dutch

# Referring court

Arbeidshof te Antwerpen